802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot	Number: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	001	Comment submitted by:	Savoula		Amanatidis	Other		2003/01/10
Comment	Type Editori	al	Starting	Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section	
MEMO								

TO: Balloting CenterFROM: Savoula AmanatidisDATE: 10 January 2003RE: Editorial Coordination of P802.16a/D3

I have reviewed P802.16a/D3 and I have the following comments:

a) A new copyright statement has been written for drafts. Please replace the current copyright statement with the following. Please use 2003 as the copyright date, and place this statement above the Abstract instead of on the bottom as a footnote.

Copyright © 2003 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. Three Park Avenue New York, New York 10016-5997, USA

All rights reserved. This document is an unapproved draft of a proposed IEEE Standard. As such, this document is subject to change. USE AT YOUR OWN RISK! Because this is an unapproved draft, this document must not be utilized for any conformance/compliance purposes. Permission is hereby granted for IEEE Standards Committee participants to reproduce this document for purposes of IEEE standardization activities only. Prior to submitting this document to another standards development organization for standardization activities, permission must first be obtained from the Manager, Standards Licensing and Contracts, IEEE Standards Activities Department. Other entities seeking permission to reproduce this document, in whole or in part, must obtain permission from the Manager, Standards Licensing and Contracts, IEEE Standards Activities Department.

IEEE Standards Activities Department Standards Licensing and Contracts 445 Hoes Lane, P.O. Box 1331 Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331, USA

b) Please change the year to 2003 in the running foot—the copyright line at the bottom of every page.

c) On p. 1, the information above Clause 1 is incorrect. Please delete the NOTE, the second paragraph, and the sentence instructing

802.16-03/03r1

to "Replace Clause 1 through Clause 9 and Annex A through Annex G with the following:".

d) The last three paragraphs of Clause 1 Overview should be 1.1 Scope; that is, 1.1. Scope should begin with "The scope of this recommended practice. . .".

e) In Clause 2 References, please provide the missing date, and add the footnote for IEEE Std 802.16-2001 stating from where it can be obtained.

f) Would you like users to refer to the most recent version of the publications in Clause 2? If yes, then please reword the beginning sentence:

This recommended practice shall be used in conjunction with the following publications. When the following publications are superseded by an approved revision, the revision shall apply.

g) Clause 4 Abbreviations should be reworded to "Clause 4 Acronyms and abbreviations".

h) On p. 44 in 5.7.1.1 at the end of the fourth sentence, please add a character space after the period.

i) Figure C.5, C.7, C.9, and C.10 appear fuzzy. If possible, please provide sharper art figures.

j) The Working Group will need to provide source information (native application software and platform) for all figures. If possible, please provide the figures separately as well, preferably in TIF, EPS, or WMF format.

k) Since some of the figures are derived or obtained from sources other than the Working Group itself, please obtain and supply permission from those sources. Please do the same if any tables have been obtained from other sources.

1) Please begin Annex A, Annex B, Annex C and Annex D on a new page.

m) At the time of submission to the Board, or just prior to publication, you will need to supply a mailing address for each member of the Working Group that worked on this recommended practice. This will ensure that all members of the Working Group receive a complimentary copy of the published recommended practice.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Savoula Amanatidis Managing Editor, IEEE Standards Activities

Voice: +1 732 562 3831 Fax: +1 732 562 1571 Email: s.amanatidis@ieee.org

802.16-03/03r1

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: Accepted-ModifiedRecommendation byNico van Waes(a) implement as proposed except place copyright statement at bottom of page

b) Please change the year to 2003 in the running foot—the copyright line at the bottom of every page

(c) The proposed note and instructions in D3 are part of the the required format for an amendment and should therefore be kept.

d) The last three paragraphs of Clause 1 Overview should be 1.1 Scope; that is, 1.1. Scope should begin with "The scope of this recommended practice. . .".

e) In Clause 2 References, delete date for ETSI standard "(2001-12), and add a footnote for IEEE Std 802.16-2001 stating from where it can be obtained.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

(a) implement as proposed except place copyright statement at bottom of page

b) Please change the year to 2003 in the running foot—the copyright line at the bottom of every page

(c) The proposed note and instructions in D3 are part of the the required format for an amendment and should therefore be kept.

d) The last three paragraphs of Clause 1 Overview should be 1.1 Scope; that is, 1.1. Scope should begin with "The scope of this recommended practice. . .".

e) In Clause 2 References, delete date for ETSI standard "(2001-12), and add a footnote for IEEE Std 802.16-2001 stating from where it can be obtained.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot	Number: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	002	Comment submitted by:	Savoula		Amanatidis	Other		2003/01/10
Comment	Type Editor	rial	Starting	Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section	
MEMO								

TO: Balloting CenterFROM: Savoula AmanatidisDATE: 10 January 2003RE: SCC10 Coordination of P802.16a/D3

I have reviewed P802.16a/D3 and I have the following comments regarding Clause 3 Definitions.

a) According to 10.4.2 of the *IEEE Standards Style Manual*, when defining terms "the term should not be used in its own definition". Therefore, the following definitions will need to be revised.

- 3.1.7 digital modulation
- 3.1.24 block bandwidth (B)
- 3.1.25 Channel bandwidth (*BO*)
- 3.1.32 radiation pattern envelop (RPE)
- 3.1.39 terminal equiment

b) Please note that all terms defined in IEEE standards are incorporated into IEEE 100, *The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms*. For this reason, it is important that terms and definitions have as general an application as possible. Therefore, the following definitions will need to be revised:

- 3.1.13 Frequency Range 1 [Note that this definition is repeated as 3.1.49—please delete.]
- **3.1.14 Frequency Range 2**
- **3.1.15 Frequency Range 3**

c) Please revise Definition 3.1.51 Raleigh fading so that it begins with a complete sentence.

For more information, please see 10.4.2 Construction of the definition clause in the *IEEE Standards Style Manual* (http://standards.ieee.org/guides/style/section4.html#527).

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

802.16-03/03r1

Sincerely,

Savoula Amanatidis Managing Editor, IEEE Standards Activities

Voice: +1 732 562 3831 Fax: +1 732 562 1571 Email: s.amanatidis@ieee.org

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

(a) delete from 3.1.7 "Digital modulation is"

delete from 3.1.24 "Block bandwidth B is defined to be"

In 3.1.25 delete in first sentence "channel bandwidth B0 is defined to be". In seconde sentence replace "The channel bandwidth" with "This". In second paragraph replace "the channel bandwidth of this composite transmission is defined to be" with ":" and delete "of the channel bandwidths". Delete the whole of NOTE1.

In 3.1.32 delete "The RPE is"

In 3.1.39 delete "Terminal equipment encompasses"

In 3.1.53 delete "Is"

(b) Delete 3.1.13, 3.1.14, 3.1.15 and 3.1.49 and replace all references to the various frequency ranges with the actual values i.e 2-11GHz, 10-23.5 GHz, 23.5-43.5 GHz, 43.5 - 66 GHz.

(c) In 3.1.51 change start of sentence to "A propagation phenomenon caused by......"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Editor's Action Items

Document ເ	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2	Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354		Comment Date	÷
Comment #	003	Comment submitted by:	Bruce	Ba	irrow	Other	2003-01-08	
Comment	Type Techn	ical	Starting	Page # ?	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section	
not correct, b	ecause the d	N/m2)/MHz", which appe ecibel level cannot be di is clearly nonsense. %%	vided. If			• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	e 11, and elsewhere are 3W/m2)/MHz = -228	

Suggested Remedy

Avoid the division sign, using phraseology such as%%"The coordination trigger values of -114 dBW for 1 m2 and a bandwidth of 1 MHz (24,26, and 28 GHz bands), and -111 dBW (38 and 42 GHz bands), are employed"

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: Accepted-ModifiedRecommendation byRemi ChayerUse the standard ITU-R expression "xx dB(W/m^2) in any 1MHz band"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Use the standard ITU-R expression "xx dB(W/m²) in any 1 MHz band" Change all entries for psfd in the draft to this format

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Although the original recommended practice used the same format as we have proposed in the amendment, it is preferred to use the international convention as used by ITU, as this is now widely recognized. The recommendation ITU-R F.1403 details psfd requirements and uses the new proposed expression format.

Group's Notes Group's Action Items Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Document u	nder Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-20	02 Bal	lot Number: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	004	Comment submitted by	·: Jim	Dickerman	Other		2003-01-11
Comment Roger,	Type Techn	ical, Non-binding	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section	

We were able to get the 802.16.2a D3 draft and reviewed it. Technically it looks very sound and several of the results matched independent work we had done so that was reassuring for us. The only comment we have is about why the network deployment assumptions for "mesh" are so different than PMP. We were under the impression that both technologies were trying to meet the same deployment scenarios. Specifically in Table 31 the mesh cell radius is 2km while the corresponding PMP in Table 30 is 7km. This also shows up in the number of nodes for mesh being limited to 100 per sector. It would seem this would dramatically increase the number of backhaul points in a network and make it cost prohibitive to deploy. Was this a consideration during the development of the standard? For comparison our deployment scenarios have always been up to 8-10km radius with up to 1000 nodes per sector.

Jim Dickerman VP of Engineering CoWave Networks 510 657-0612 x116 jim@cowave.com

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

On page 89, table 31 change cell radius to 3.2 km to be consistent with the analysis.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The concept of a cell is different between a mesh and PMP systems. For a mesh system the "cell" size affects the total number of consecutive hops, for which there is a limit dependent on the system parameters. The example included is based on the only contributions provided for this topic. Although other examples might be possible, there are no contributions to support these cases.

The cell radius in table 31 was incorrect.

802.16-03/03r1

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document un	der Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2	Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment # 0	05	Comment submitted by:	Roger	Ma	arks	Member		2003-01-11
Comment	Type Editori	al	Starting	Page # iii	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section	Part.
Working Group Officer wording is not in typical format.								

Suggested Remedy

Change "This document was developed by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on Broadband Wireless Access, which develops the WirelessMAN[™] Standard for Wireless Metropolitan Area Networks.

IEEE 802.16 Working Group Officers" to:

"This document was developed by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on Broadband Wireless Access, which is responsible for Wireless Metropolitan Area Network (WirelessMAN™) Standards. The IEEE 802.16 Working Group had the following officers:"

 Proposed Resolution
 Recommendation:
 Recommendation by

 Reason for Recommendation
 Decision of Group: Accepted
 Image: Commendation of Group is a ccepted

 Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution
 Group's Notes
 Image: Commendation of Group is a ccion items

 Editor's Notes
 Editor's Actions k) done
 Editor's Questions and Concerns

 Editor's Action Items
 Editor's Action Items

802.16-03/03r1

Document u	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2	Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	006	Comment submitted by:	Roger	Ma	arks	Member		2003-01-11
Comment	Type Editor	ial	Starting	Page # iii	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section	Part.
Task Group (Officer wordin	g is not in typical format.						

Recommendation by

Suggested Remedy

Change "Primary development was carried out by the Working Group's Task Group 2:

Task Group 2 Officers" to:

"Primary development was carried out by the Working Group's Task Group c, which had the following officers:"

Proposed Resolution Recommendation:

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Change "Primary development was carried out by the Working Group's Task Group 2:

Task Group 2 Officers" to:

"Primary development was carried out by the Working Group's Task Group 2, which had the following officers:"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Document u	nder Review: P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ballot	Number: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment #	007 Comment submitted by:	Roger	Marks	Member	2003-01-11
Comment	Type Editorial	Starting Page # iv	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section Part.
WG list wordi	ng is not in typical format.				

Suggested Remedy

Change "The following members of the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on Broadband Wireless Access participated in the Working Group Letter Ballot in which the draft of this standard was approved:" to:

"The following members of the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on Broadband Wireless Access participated in the Working Group Letter Ballot in which the draft of this standard was approved, voting for approval, disapproval, or abstention::"

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:		Recommendation	by
Reason for Recommendat	ion			
Resolution of Group	Decision	of Group: Accepted		
Reason for Group's Decis	sion/Resolution			
Group's Notes				
Group's Action Items				
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions	k) done		
Editor's Questions and C	oncerns			
Editor's Action Items				

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot	Number: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	800	Comment submitted by:	Roger		Marks	Member		2003-01-11
Comment	Type Editor	ial	Starting	Page # i	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section	Title
Title is not in conventional IEEE form.								

Suggested Remedy

Change title to "IEEE Recommended Practice for Local and metropolitan area networks Coexistence of Fixed Broadband Wireless Access Systems - Amendment 1"

Same change on Page 1.

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation	by
Reason for Recommendati	ion		
Resolution of Group	Decision of Group: Accepted		
Reason for Group's Decis	sion/Resolution		
Group's Notes			
Group's Action Items			
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done		
Editor's Questions and Co	oncerns		
Editor's Action Items			

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot	Number: 0000354			Comment	Date
Comment #	009	Comment submitted by:	Roger		Marks	Member		2003-01-	-11
Comment	туре Editori	al	Starting	Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section		
Note the foll	owing issue:								

Yvette:

We have an amendment (to a different standard: 802.16.2) under ballot. Because it became so complicated and virtually incomprehensible, the editors and Working Group decided to basically put it in the form of "replace most everything in the base standard with this new version." If this is acceptable to the ballot group, do you foresee any problems with the approach?

Roger

Suggested Remedy Roger,

Wouldn't it have been preferable to make this a revision rather than an amendment? If you still want to go along the amendment path, there is nothing that prevents you from doing this. You may be reprimanded at RevCom, since they may suggest that a revision would have been more appropriate, but I'm not sure whether any offenses would have occurred. Other things may happen, e.g., deferment until you consider their recommendation that you do a revision instead. It would be up to the committee to decide what action they would take.

I would suggest that the easier solution would be to request a PAR change and denote the project and ballot as a revision. In either case, I'd decide what the best publishing format would be. Without seeing the document, an extensive revision like the one you mentioned would probably be best published as the base document with all changes indicated (strikethroughs, underlines, and notes if entire sections were deleted). We can talk about this also next week.

Regards,

Yvette Ho Sang Manager, Standards Publishing Programs IEEE Standards Activities

Proposed R	Resolution	Recommendation:
------------	------------	------------------------

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Rejected

The document structure is intended to make reading easier, and this has required the replacement and re-ordering of substantial amounts of

802.16-03/03r1

text. Without this , the amendment would be extremely difficult to follow.

The draft is in accordance with the PAR. Now that the document has initial sponsor ballot approval, the WG is not in a position to change the process and is obligated to proceed towards publication as quickly as possible.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions I) none needed

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Document under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ballo	t Number: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment # 010	Comment submitted by:	Roger	Marks	Membe	r	2003-01-11
Comment Type Editor Document number of base		Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section	
Suggested Remedy Change "IEEE Recommen	ded Practice 802.16.2-2	2002" to:				
"IEEE Standard 802.16.2-	-2001"					
Proposed Resolution Re	commendation:		Recommendation by			
Reason for Recommendation						
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	oup: Accepted				
Reason for Group's Decision	n/Resolution					
Group's Notes						
Group's Action Items						
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done	9				
Editor's Questions and Cond	erns					
Editor's Action Items						

802.16-03/03r1

Document u	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2 Ball	ot Num	nber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	011	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	Sta	nwood	Member		2002-12-23
Comment	Type Editor	ial	Starting Page #	1	Starting Line # 27	Fig/Table#	Section	
After the instructions to replace clauses 1 through 9 and Annex A through G, there are 5 new clauses, 1-5. The on page 52, line 44 there are instructions to insert the following clauses 10 through 22, but what follows is clauses 6-Annex D.								

What portions of the original document are being replaced by what and which portions of the original document stay, if any. It's not clear whether this amendment completely replaces the original document (disappointing if this is the case), or merely amends it.

Suggested Remedy

Clarify the editing instructions and the relationship between this amndment and the original document.

 Proposed Resolution
 Recommendation:
 Recommendation by

 Reason for Recommendation
 Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

 Delete the instruction on page 52 line 44
 Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

 Group's Notes
 Group's Action Items

 Editor's Notes
 Editor's Actions k) done

 Editor's Questions and Concerns
 Editor's Action Items

802.16-03/03r1

Document under Review Comment # 012	v: P802.16.2a/D3-2002 Comment submitted by:		Number: 0000354 Marks	Member		Comment Date 2003-01-11
the second se	orial o RevCom since it does no ment from PAR must be ind			Fig/Table#	Section 1	
Suggested Remedy Insert Scope and Purpos Put a different title over	se from PAR. material in existing Scope s	section.				
Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	R	ecommendation by			
Reason for Recommendati	on					
Resolution of Group	Decision of Grou	JP: Accepted				
Insert "1.1 Introduction"	ope" to continue after curr e, revert to "The intent"					
Reason for Group's Decis	ion/Resolution					
Group's Notes Group's Action Items						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Editor's Actions k) done sing the text of the old docu scope and purpose verbat nent scope and PARs.					

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Document under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ballot	Number: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment # 013	Comment submitted by:	Roger	Marks	Member	2003-01-11
Comment Type Editor	rial	Starting Page # 2	Starting Line # 46	Fig/Table#	Section
Title of second reference	is incorrect.				
Suggested Remedy					
Change "Standard" to "IE	EEE Standard"				
0					
Proposed Resolution R	ecommendation:	,	Recommendation by		
			·····		
Reason for Recommendation	n				
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted			
Reason for Group's Decisio	on/Resolution				
Group's Notes					
Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done				
Editor's Questions and Con	cerns				
Editor's Action Items					

802.16-03/03r1

Document under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ballot	Number: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment # 014	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	Stanwood	Member		2002-12-23
Comment Type Editor	rial	Starting Page # 3	Starting Line # 61	Fig/Table#	Section	3.1.13
Frequency range 1, as de	fined here, disagrees wit	h frequency range	1 defined in section 3.1.49	on page 6, line 44		

Suggested Remedy

define one of the two as frequency range 4 so that there is no ambiguity, but also so that 10-23.5 might be addressed some day.

Proposed Resolution Recommend	dation:	Recommendation	by					
Reason for Recommendation								
Resolution of Group	Decision of Group: Superceded							
superceded by resolution of comm	superceded by resolution of comment#2							
Reason for Group's Decision/Resoluti	on							
Group's Notes								
Group's Action Items								
Editor's Notes Editor's	Actions I) none needed							
Editor's Questions and Concerns								
Editor's Action Items								

Document under	Review: P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ballot	Number: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment # 015	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	Stanwood	Member	2002-12-23
Starting with sect	pe Editorial ion 3.1.45, the definitions are no on), it would be nice to maintain t	longer in alphabetic	cal order. Since this appea	Fig/Table# Section ars to be a total re-write of t	
Suggested Remed	y .				
Proposed Resoluti	on Recommendation:	I	Recommendation by		
Reason for Recom	mendation				
Resolution of Grou	p Decision of Gro	oup: Accepted			
Reason for Group	's Decision/Resolution				
Group's Notes					
Group's Action Ite	ms				
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done	Э			
Editor's Questions	and Concerns				
Editor's Action Ite	ms				

Document under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ballot	Number: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment # 016	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	Stanwood	Member	2002-12-23
Comment Type Editor Both BFWA and FBWA ar instance, FBWA is used in	e defined. The seem to b	be used as synonyr		-	Section 3.2 1 on page 76, for
Suggested Remedy Consistently use only one	of these acronyms and g	get rid of the other	one.		
Proposed Resolution R	ecommendation:	I	Recommendation by		
Reason for Recommendation	1				
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted			
Make global change to Br delete definition of FBWA					
Reason for Group's Decisio	on/Resolution				
Group's Notes					
Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done				
Editor's Questions and Con	cerns				
Editor's Action Items					

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Rev	view: P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Nur	nber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	017	Comment submitted by:	Nico	var	Waes	Member		2003-01-11
Comment	Туре Т	echnical, Non-binding	Starting Pa	age # <mark>9</mark>	Starting Line # 12	Fig/Table#	Section	

Suggested Remedy

In table 1, add the values 80 km and 6 km for 2-11 GHz and state that 60 and 12 km are for 10-66 GHz

Proposed Resolution Recommendation:

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted

In table 1, add the values 80 km and 6 km for 2-11 GHz and state that 60 and 12 km are for 10-66 GHz

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

I actually didn't do this. Instead I moved Table 1 to section 5.3, where it belongs (I compared the base standard). This also resolves the error on page 22, line 20-21, which went unnoticed. This makes for a more even spec, since Clause 7 already has such a list for 2-11 GHz, and the suggested additions would merely be duplicated.

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Document under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ballot N	lumber: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment # 018	Comment submitted by:	Nico	van Waes	Memb	er 2003-01-11
CommentType EditorText in 4.1 through 4.3 isI've tried to consolidate it	rather redundant.	Starting Page # 10 e meaning of it.	Starting Line # 1	Fig/Table#	Section 4.1
Suggested Remedy Replace 4.1 through 4.3 v	with 4.1 as shown in C80	2.16.2a-03_01			
Proposed Resolution R	ecommendation:	Re	commendation by		
Reason for Recommendation	n				
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted-Modified	I		
Replace 4.1 through 4.3 w Change heading of 4.1.2 Change all occurrences of	to MP-MP Systems		he following changes:		
Reason for Group's Decisio	on/Resolution				
Group's Notes Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done				
Editor's Questions and Con	cerns				
Editor's Action Items					

Document under Review	·: P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ballot	Number: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment # 019	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	Stanwood	Member	2002-12-23
systems. Even though b	orial stations in a mesh system pases stations may look dif a bit about base stations	for 2-11 GHz, but p ferent in mesh, and	some terminals may neve	are no base station or directly talk with a	àHz mesh
Suggested Remedy Make the text on page 1	1 consistent with the rest c	of the document.			
Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	F	ecommendation by		
Reason for Recommendation	on				
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted			
After "Base stations" on	line 24 add "(stations form	ing points of connect	ction with core networks)"		
Reason for Group's Decis	ion/Resolution				
Group's Notes Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions I) none	needed			
	ced in comment 018, whic	h captures the abov	/e.		
Editor's Questions and Co	oncerns				
Editor's Action Items					

802.16-03/03r1

2003/01/27				002.10-03/03		
Document under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ballot	Number: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment # 020	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	Stanwood	Membe	er	2002-12-23
Comment Type Editor missing space	rial	Starting Page # 1	2 Starting Line # 61	Fig/Table#	Section 4.4	1
Suggested Remedy Change "signal.The" to "s	ignal. The"					
Proposed Resolution R	ecommendation:		Recommendation by			
Reason for Recommendatior	1					
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	oup: Accepted				
Reason for Group's Decisio	n/Resolution					
Group's Notes Group's Action Items						
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions I) none	needed				
Text was replaced in com	,					

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ba	allot	Number: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	021	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth		Stanwood	Member		2002-12-23
Comment missing space	туре Editor ce	ial	Starting Page #	¥ 15	5 Starting Line # 56	Fig/Table#	Section	4.4.3.1
Suggested Ro change "Pra	-	"Practice. With"						
Proposed Res	solution Re	ecommendation:		F	Recommendation by			

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Document under Review: P802.16.2a/D3-2002 Ballot Number: 0000354 Comm						
Comment # 022	Comment submitted by:	Nico van	Waes	Member	2003-01-11	
Comment Type Tech	nical, Non-binding	Starting Page # 17	Starting Line # 36	Fig/Table#	Section	
-	s not true. It doesn't really ection, which is just a num		whether it's co- or adj.	channel interference	e. The only difference is	
Suggested Remedy						
Delete sentence.						
Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Reco	mmendation by			
Reason for Recommendation	on					
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	oup: Accepted				
Reason for Group's Decisi	ion/Resolution					
Group's Notes						
Group's Action Items						
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done	9				
Editor's Questions and Co	ncerns					
Editor's Action Items						

Document under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2 Ballo	t Number: 00	00354			Comment Date
Comment # 023	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	Stanwood		Membe	r	2002-12-23
Comment Type Editor	ial	Starting Page # 1	7 Starting	Line # 45	Fig/Table#	Section	4.4.3.3
SU is used here, but is not rest of the document. BS						t don't seen	n to be in the
Suggested Remedy Change "SU" to "SS" and	"BSU" to "BS".						
Proposed Resolution Re	ecommendation:		Recommendati	on by			
Reason for Recommendation	L						
Resolution of Group	Decision of Grou	up: Accepted					
Change "SU" to "SS" and	"BSU" to "BS".						
Reason for Group's Decisio	n/Resolution						
Group's Notes Group's Action Items							
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done						
Also changed CS to BS a Editor's Questions and Cond		propriate abbrevia	ations and co	rrected the cha	nnel separation a	abbrevatior	i issue
Editor's Action Items							

802.16-03/03r1

Document u	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-20	02	Ballot Num	ıber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	024	Comment submitted by	: Nico	van	Waes	Member		2003-01-11
Comment	Type Editori	al	Starting Pag	e # 18	Starting Line # 45	Fig/Table#	Section	
consistency	California da Maria							

correct use of abbreviations

Suggested Remedy

change title to: Coexistence of FBWA systems in 23.5 - 43.5 GHz

Proposed Resolution Recommendation:

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

change title to: Coexistence of BFWA systems in 23.5 - 43.5 GHz

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution BFWA was selected as the standard term throught the document

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Document u	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2	Ball	ot Nun	nber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	025	Comment submitted by:	Nico		van	Waes	Member		2003-01-11
Comment	Type Editori	al	Starting	Page #	18	Starting Line # 52	Fig/Table#	Section	
This text is a	repetition of t	he general introduction.							

We now get a bit abruptly into the recommendations, but maybe we can come up with something more useful to say here.

Suggested Remedy Delete lines 51 through 64

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	F	Recommendation	by					
Reason for Recommendation									
Resolution of Group	Decision of	Group: Accepted-Modifie	d						
Delete lines 51 through 64 and the title. Renumber subsequent sections									
Reason for Group's Decis	sion/Resolution								
Group's Notes									
Group's Action Items									
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done							
Editor's Questions and Co	oncerns								
Editor's Action Items									

	P802.16.2a/D3-2002		umber: 0000354	Manusha		Comment Date
Comment # 026	Comment submitted by:	NICO V	an Waes	Membe	er	2003-01-11
Comment Type Editor erroneous cross-reference		Starting Page # 19	Starting Line # 42	Fig/Table#	Section	
Suggested Remedy change "Clause 7" to 5.6						
Proposed Resolution Re	ecommendation:	Re	commendation by			
Reason for Recommendation						
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted				
change "Clause 7" to 5.6						
Reason for Group's Decision	n/Resolution					
Group's Notes						
Group's Action Items						
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done					
Editor's Questions and Conc	cerns					
Editor's Action Items						

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Nu	ımber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	027	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	St	anwood	Member		2002-12-23
Comment	Type Editor	ial	Starting Page	e # 22	Starting Line # 28	Fig/Table#	Section	5.4
"Frequency	Ranges 1-3 (1	0-66 GHz)" contradicts i	tself given the	e new de	finition of range 1.			

Suggested Remedy

Fix the definition of frequency ranges.

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommenda	ation by						
Reason for Recommendation									
Resolution of Group	Decision of	Group: Superceded							
Superceded by resolution of comment #2									
Reason for Group's Deci	sion/Resolution								
Group's Notes									
Group's Action Items									
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions I) r	none needed							
Editor's Questions and C	oncerns								
Editor's Action Items									

Document under Review Comment # 028	v: P802.16.2a/D3-200 Comment submitted by:		t Number: 0000354 Stanwood	Member	Comment Date 2002-12-23
Comment Type Edit The asterisk after CS is		Starting Page # 2 m to remember so	27 Starting Line #	r in the document warning	about the dual definition
Suggested Remedy delete the asterisk here	and in the title for figure 8				
Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:		Recommendation by		
Reason for Recommendati	on				
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted			
Reason for Group's Decis The "source" statement Group's Notes	sion/Resolution defines the meaning of CS	S in these ETSI dia	agrams.		
Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes Removed the Central S Editor's Questions and Co Editor's Action Items	Editor's Actions I) none tation meaning of CS, so i oncerns		obreviation		

2000/01/21				002.10 00,0011	
Document under Review:P802.16.2a/D3-200Comment # 029Comment submitted by:			mber: 0000354 anwood	Member	Comment Date 2002-12-23
Comment Type Editor missing space		Starting Page # 29	Starting Line # 20	Fig/Table#	Section 5.5.2.2.1
Suggested Remedy change "conditions.In" to "	'conditions. In"				
Proposed Resolution Re	ecommendation:	Rec	ommendation by		
Reason for Recommendation					
Resolution of Group	Decision of Grou	JP: Accepted			
Reason for Group's Decisio	n/Resolution				
Group's Notes Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done				
Editor's Questions and Con Editor's Action Items	cerns				

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Bal	lot Number: 0000	354			Comment Date
Comment #	030	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	Stanwood		Member		2002-12-23
Comment	Type Editor	ial	Starting Page #	29 Starting Lin	ne # 34	Fig/Table#	Section	5.5.2.2.1.1
-		at it's good to perform li rm linear interpolation b			Ibeit a bit n	erdly), the politically	/ correct	recommended
Suggested Re change "pint	-							
Do the same	e on page 34,	line 10-11.						
Proposed Res	solution Re	ecommendation:		Recommendation	by			
Reason for R	ecommendation							
Resolution of	Group	Decision of Gro	oup: Accepted					
Reason for G	iroup's Decisio	n/Resolution						
Group's Note:	S							
Group's Actio	n Items							
Editor's Note	S	Editor's Actions k) done	e					
Editor's Ques	tions and Cond	erns						
Editor's Actio	n Items							

Document under Review: P802.16.2a/D3-2002 Ballot Number: 0000354 Comment Date					
Comment # 031	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth S	tanwood	Member	2002-12-23
Comment Type Editor missing space.	prial	Starting Page # 34	Starting Line # 10	Fig/Table# Section	5.5.2.3.1
Suggested Remedy change "used.The" to "used. The"					
Proposed Resolution F	Recommendation:	Re	commendation by		
Reason for Recommendation					
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted			
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution					
Group's Notes					
Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done				
Editor's Questions and Concerns					
Editor's Action Items					

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Num	nber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	032	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	Sta	nwood	Member		2002-12-23
Comment	Type Editori	al	Starting F	Page # 34	Starting Line # 11	Fig/Table#	Section	5.5.2.3.1

typo

Suggested Remedy

change "and f BS" to "and BS"

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation	by						
Reason for Recommendat	ion								
Resolution of Group	Decision of Group: Accepted								
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution									
Group's Notes									
Group's Action Items									
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done								
Editor's Questions and C	oncerns								
Editor's Action Items									

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	033	Comment submitted by:	Bruce	Ba	Irrow	Other		2003-01-08
Comment	Type Coord	lination	Starting	Page # 37	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section	5.5.2.4.1
Symbol "km	/hr" is not corre	ect.						

Suggested Remedy

Use "km/h" to conform to international and IEEE standards on unit symbols.

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted

Use "km/h" to conform to international and IEEE standards on unit symbols (Note multiple occurrences)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

2 occurences corrected

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Document under Review	P802.16.2a/D3-2003	2 Ballot N	umber: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment # 034	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth S	Stanwood	Member	2002-12-23
Comment Type Editor missing punctuation	orial	Starting Page # 43	Starting Line # 27	Fig/Table# S	ection 5.6.3
Suggested Remedy change "C/N This" to "C/	N. This"				
Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Re	commendation by		
Reason for Recommendation	on				
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted			
Reason for Group's Decis	ion/Resolution				
Group's Notes					
Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done				
Editor's Questions and Co	oncerns				
Editor's Action Items					

2000/01/27				002.10-00/001		
Document under Review:P802.16.2a/D3-20Comment # 035Comment submitted by:			umber: 0000354 Iarks	Member		Comment Date 2003-01-11
Comment Type Editori Too many capital letters.	al	Starting Page # 44	Starting Line # 1	Fig/Table#	Section 5/7	7
Suggested Remedy Change "INterference" to "	Interference".					
Proposed Resolution Re	commendation:	Red	commendation by			
Reason for Recommendation Resolution of Group	Decision of Grou	up: Accepted				
Reason for Group's Decisio	n/Resolution					
Group's Notes Group's Action Items						
Editor's Notes Editor's Questions and Conc Editor's Action Items	Editor's Actions k) done erns					

	P802.16.2a/D3-2002		mber: 0000354	Marshar		Comment Date
Comment # 036	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth Sta	anwood	Member		2002-12-23
Comment Type Editor capitalization	ial	Starting Page # 44	Starting Line # 1	Fig/Table#	Section 5.7	
Suggested Remedy change "INterference" to "I	Interference"					
Proposed Resolution Re	ecommendation:	Rec	ommendation by			
Reason for Recommendation						
Resolution of Group	Decision of Grou	IP: Accepted-Duplicate				
Reason for Group's Decision	n/Resolution					
Group's Notes Group's Action Items						
Editor's Notes Editor's Questions and Cond	Editor's Actions I) none n cerns	needed				
Editor's Action Items						

802.16-03/03r1

Document under Review	w: P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Bal	lot Number: 000	00354			Comment Date			
Comment # 037	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	Stanwood		Member		2002-12-23			
Comment Type Edit missing space	torial	Starting Page #	44 Starting	Line # 19	Fig/Table#	Section	5.7.1.1			
Suggested Remedy change "systems.The" to "systems. The"										
Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:		Recommendati	on by						
Reason for Recommendati	ion									
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	oup: Accepted								
Reason for Group's Decis	sion/Resolution									

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200)2	Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	038	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	St	anwood	Member		2002-12-23
Comment	туре Editori	al	Starting	Page # 44	Starting Line # 44	Fig/Table#	Section	5.7.1.2
spelling								

5155115

Suggested Remedy

change "interferwence" to "interference"

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation by							
Reason for Recommendat	ion								
Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted									
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution									
Group's Notes									
Group's Action Items									
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done								
Editor's Questions and C	oncerns								
Editor's Action Items									

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/[3-2002	2	Ballot Nu	umber: 00003	54			Comment Date
Comment #	039	Comment submit	ted by:	Kenneth	S	tanwood		Member		2002-12-23
Comment	Type Editori	al		Starting	Page # 47	Starting Line	# 25	Fig/Table#	Section	5.7.1.10.1
spelling										

Suggested Remedy

change "interferes" to "interferers"

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation by							
Reason for Recommendat	ion								
Resolution of Group	Decision of Group: Accepted								
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution									
Group's Notes									
Group's Action Items									
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done								
Editor's Questions and C	oncerns								
Editor's Action Items									

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2	a/D3-2002	2	Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354	ł.			Comment Date
Comment #	040	Comment su	ıbmitted by:	Kenneth	Sta	anwood		Member		2002-12-23
Comment	Type Editori	al		Starting Page	e # 47	Starting Line #	31	Fig/Table#	Section	5.7.1.10.1
punctuation ·	- there appear	s to be a spa	ace between	n co- and cha	annel.					

Suggested Remedy

change "co- channel" to "co-channel"

by

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	041	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	St	anwood	Member		2002-12-23
Comment	Type Editor	ial	Starting Pag	e # 54	Starting Line # 3	Fig/Table#	Section	6.1.4
The "1-8" in	this sentence	referes to recommendat	ion 1-8, not t	he set of	recommendations 1 th	rough 8.		

Suggested Remedy

Change "Recommendations 1-8 highlight" to "Recommndation 1-8 highlights"

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation	by
Reason for Recommendat	lion		
Resolution of Group	Decision of Group: Accepted		
Reason for Group's Deci	sion/Resolution		
Group's Notes			
Group's Action Items			
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done		
Editor's Questions and C	oncerns		
Editor's Action Items			

Document under Review: P802 Comment # 042 Comm			umber: 0000354 tanwood	Member	Comment Date 2002-12-23
Comment Type Editorial extra space	S	tarting Page # 54	Starting Line # 24	Fig/Table#	Section 6.1.7
Suggested Remedy change "block ," to "block,"					
Proposed Resolution Recomm	endation:	Rec	commendation by		
Reason for Recommendation					
Resolution of Group	Decision of Group	Accepted			
Reason for Group's Decision/Reso	lution				
Group's Notes Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes Edito Editor's Questions and Concerns Editor's Action Items	or's Actions k) done				

Document under Review: Comment # 043 Comment Type Editor extra space			umber: 0000354 tanwood Starting Line # 59	Member Fig/Table#	Comment Date 2002-12-23 Section 6.3
Suggested Remedy change "inter- cell" to "inte	er-cell"				
Proposed Resolution Re	ecommendation:	Rec	commendation by		
Reason for Recommendation Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted			
Reason for Group's Decisio	n/Resolution				
Group's Notes Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes Editor's Questions and Cond Editor's Action Items	Editor's Actions k) done cerns				

Document under Review	.: P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ballot Nu	ımber: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment # 044	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth S	tanwood	Member	2002-12-23
	rated from the number by a s 60 and 63. Once I notic	•	sometimes it is not (28	Fig/Table# 16 Section GHz). This inconsistency in the document for almost	
Suggested Remedy Be consistent throughou	t the document as to whet	her to use a space be	etween numbers and the	eir units.	
Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Rec	commendation by		
Reason for Recommendati	on				
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted			
Check all occurrences o	f xx GHz and other units to	o ensure that there is	always a space after the	e number	
Reason for Group's Decis	ion/Resolution				
Group's Notes Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes verified for *Hz, dB* and Some others found by c Editor's Questions and Co Editor's Action Items	hance.				

Document under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2 Ballot	t Number: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment # 045	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	Stanwood	Member	2002-12-23
Comment Type Editori	al	Starting Page # 6	8 Starting Line # 46	Fig/Table#	Section 6.4.2
			systems" as defined in section and section 6.5 to refer to a section 6.5 to a s		
Suggested Remedy					
Properly define the various	s types of systems referre	ed to and use the	nomenclature consistently.		
Proposed Resolution Re	commendation:		Recommendation by		
Reason for Recommendation					
Resolution of Group	Decision of Grou	up: Accepted-Modif	ied		
On page 68 line 46 add aft Change beginning of sente			comprising a number of poir	nt to point links)"	
Reason for Group's Decision	n/Resolution				
Group's Notes					
Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done				
Also changed almost all oc	curences of "point to po	int" and "point-to-p	point" to "PTP"		
Editor's Questions and Conc	erns				
Editor's Action Items					

802.16-03/03r1

Document u	under Review	: P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2	Ba	allot Nu	mber: 0000354				Comment Date
Comment #	046	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	1	Sta	anwood		Member		2002-12-23
Comment	Type Edito	orial	Starting	Page #	# <mark>68</mark>	Starting Line #	54	Fig/Table#	Section	6.4.2.1
"point point- t	to- link static	on" is obviously a typo, but	l can't f	figure c	out what	t it should be rep	placed w	vith.		

Suggested Remedy

Correct the erroneous text.

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation by	
Reason for Recommendat	ion		
Resolution of Group	Decision of G	aroup: Accepted	
Change "point point- to- Delete "PTP" from same		e point-to-point link station"	
Reason for Group's Deci	sion/Resolution		
Group's Notes			
Group's Action Items			
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) do	one	
changed the second pa	rt to "multiple such statio	ns"	
Editor's Questions and C	oncerns		
Editor's Action Items			

802.16-03/03r1

Document under Re	view: P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354				Comment Date
Comment # 047	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	St	anwood	Ν	/lember		2002-12-23
Comment Type	Editorial	Starting Pa	age # 71	Starting Line # 1	Fig/Table#	25	Section	6.6.3.1
The word "continued"	' in the table header got chop	ped off.						
Suggested Remedy								

Suggested Remedy fix the table header.

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation	n:		Recommendation	by
Reason for Recommendat	ion				
Resolution of Group	Deci	sion of	Group: Accepted		
Complete table header	"(continued)"			
Reason for Group's Deci	sion/Resolution				
Group's Notes					
Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes	Editor's Acti	ons k) done		
Editor's Questions and C	oncerns				
Editor's Action Items					

Document under Review: P802	.16.2a/D3-2002	Ballot Nur	nber: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment # 048 Comm	nent submitted by: Kei	nneth Sta	anwood	Member	2002-12-23
Comment Type Editorial missing space	Sta	arting Page # 71	Starting Line # 34	Fig/Table# S	section 6.6.3.1
Suggested Remedy change "30below" to "30 below"					
Proposed Resolution Recomme	endation:	Reco	ommendation by		
Reason for Recommendation					
Resolution of Group	Decision of Group:	Accepted			
Reason for Group's Decision/Resol	ution				
Group's Notes Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes Editor Editor's Questions and Concerns Editor's Action Items	r's Actions k) done				

802.16-03/03r1

Document u	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354	ł.			Comment Date
Comment #	049	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	St	anwood		Member		2002-12-23
Comment	Type Editor	ial	Starting	Page # 79	Starting Line #	19	Fig/Table#	Section	6.7.6.4
extra space									

Suggested Remedy

change "multi- link" to "mutli-link" (assuming multi-link was properly defined earlier per a previous comment.)

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted

change "multi- link" to "mutli-link" (assuming multi-link was properly defined earlier per a previous comment.)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

replaced multi-link (PTP) system with "system with multiple PTP links" also replaced all occurrences of PP with PTP where applicable

Editor's Questions and Concerns

2000/01/21				002.10-00/00	
Document under Review: Comment # 050	P802.16.2a/D3-2002 Comment submitted by:		umber: 0000354	Membe	comment Date 2002-12-23
Comment Type Editori missing space		Starting Page # 80		Fig/Table#	Section 6.8
Suggested Remedy change "co- channel" to "c	o-channel"				
Proposed Resolution Re	commendation:	Re	commendation by		
Reason for Recommendation					
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted-Modified			
change "co- channel" to "c (extra space, not missing s					
Reason for Group's Decision	n/Resolution				
Group's Notes Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes Editor's Questions and Conc	Editor's Actions k) done)			
Editor's Action Items					

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16	6.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354				Comment Date
Comment #	051	Comment	submitted by:	Kenneth	St	anwood		Member		2002-12-23
Comment	Type Editor	ial		Starting I	Page # <mark>81</mark>	Starting Line #	7 ғ	ig/Table#	Section	6.8.1
What is a "r	nulti-link PMP	system"?	Or is the more	appropria	ate question:	"Are there single	e-link PMF	o systems?"		

Suggested Remedy

Figure out which type of system is being referred to here and use the proerly defined nomencalture to state it.

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation:Recommendation byReason for RecommendationDecision of Group: Accepted

Replace "PMP" with "PTP" The multi-link PTP system is one made up of a number of PTP links

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Nu	ımber: 0000354	4			Comment Date
Comment #	052	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	St	tanwood		Member		2002-12-23
Comment	Type Editori	al	Starting	Page # <mark>83</mark>	Starting Line #	2	Fig/Table#	Section 7	7.1
I don't see an annex M in either this amendment or in the original 802.16.2.									
Suggested Re	emedy								
Change "Anr	nex M" to "Anr	nex D"							

Proposed Resolu	ution Recom	mendation:	Recommendation	by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted-Duplicate

Change "Annex M" to "Annex A3" (Annex D contains summarises of the various input contributions, whereas A3 is the list of actual references)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes Group's Action Items Editor's Notes Editor's Actions I) none needed Editor's Questions and Concerns

Document under Review	v: P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2 Ballot Nu	umber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment # 053	Comment submitted by:	Nico va	an Waes	Membe	ər	2003-01-11
Comment Type Edit erroneous cross-referer		Starting Page # 83	Starting Line # 3	Fig/Table#	Section	
Suggested Remedy Replace M with A.3						
Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Rec	commendation by			
Reason for Recommendati	on					
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted				
Replace M with A.3						
Reason for Group's Decis	ion/Resolution					
Group's Notes Group's Action Items						
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done					
Editor's Questions and Co	oncerns					
Editor's Action Items						

Document under Review: P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ballot I	Number: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment # 054 Comment submitted by:		van Waes	Member	2003-01-11
Comment Type Editorial	Starting Page # 83	Starting Line # 40	Fig/Table#	Section
Suggested Remedy correct cross-references B39 and B40				
Proposed Resolution Recommendation:	R	ecommendation by		
Reason for Recommendation				
Resolution of Group Decision of Gro	oup: Accepted-Modified	b		
Change sentence to "Industry Canada are ava	ailable in sections B.4	4.2 and B.6."		
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution				
Group's Notes Group's Action Items				
Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done	9			
Editor's Questions and Concerns				
Editor's Action Items				

000 16 00/00+1

2003/01/27			802.16-03/03r1							
Document under Review Comment # 055	P802.16.2a/D3-200 Comment submitted by:		umber: 0000354 an Waes	Meml	Comment Date 2003-01-11					
Comment Type Edite	orial	Starting Page # 83	Starting Line # 58	Fig/Table#	Section					
Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Rec	commendation by							
Reason for Recommendation	on									
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	oup: Accepted-Modified								
In Recommendation 3-2		on 1-5" to "Recommen	dation 3-5" and change	e "Recommendati	ion 1-6" to "Rec	commendation				
Reason for Group's Decis	ion/Resolution									
Group's Notes Group's Action Items										
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done	e								
Editor's Questions and Co	oncerns									
Editor's Action Items										

802.16-03/03r1

Documen	t under Review	• P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 е	Ballot N	umber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment	# 056	Comment submitted by:	Nico	v	van Waes	Member		2003-01-11
Comment where is "b	Type Edite below" ?	orial	Starting Page	# 84	Starting Line # 41	Fig/Table#	Section	
Suggested add a mor	Remedy e clear referer	ice						
Proposed F	Resolution	Recommendation:		Re	commendation by			

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted

change "below" to "in the following Recommendations3-4 through 3-7"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Document under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ballot N	umber: 0000354		Comment Date				
Comment # 057	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth S	Stanwood	Member	2002-12-23				
Comment Type Edito	rial	Starting Page # 85	Starting Line # 6	Fig/Table#	Section 7.2.5				
grammar - The word "that plural, so "that" should be		econd instance of the	e word "values" in the se	entence beginning o	n line 6. But, "values" is				
Suggested Remedy									
Change "that power spectral flux density values which," to "those power spectral flux density values which,"									
Proposed Resolution R	lecommendation:	Re	commendation by						
Reason for Recommendation	n								
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted-Modified							
Change "that power spec	tral flux density values wl	hich," to "the power s	pectral flux density valu	es which,"					
Reason for Group's Decisio	on/Resolution								
Group's Notes									
Group's Action Items									
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done)							
Editor's Questions and Con	ncerns								
Editor's Action Items									

Document under Review	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ballot N	umber: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment # 058	Comment submitted by:	Avraham F	reedman	Member	2002-12-17
Comment Type Tech	nical, Non-binding	Starting Page # 85	Starting Line # 18	Fig/Table#	Section 7.2.7
	nfusion if the reader is refe Ition to that, annex B.5, B.				
Add:	n 1-5" to "Recommendati ion procedures are descri		B.5, and B.6."		
at the end of the paragra	aph				
Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Re	commendation by		
Reason for Recommendation	n				
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	oup: Accepted-Modified			
Add: "Three existing coordinat	n 1-5" to "Recommendati ion procedures are descri ise at higher frequencies i aph	ibed in Annexes B.4,		ce noted that these	procedures were
Reason for Group's Decisi	on/Resolution				
Group's Notes Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done	9			
Editor's Questions and Co	ncerns				
Editor's Action Items					

802.16-03/03r1

Document u	Inder Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354			Comment Date	
Comment #	059	Comment submitted by:	Nico	va	n Waes	Member		2003-01-11	
Comment	Type Editori	al	Starting Page	# 85	Starting Line # 53	Fig/Table#	Section		
This subclaus	This subclause doesn't provide what is claimed in the first 4 lines. This text is the same as that on page 94, where it is more appropriate.								

Suggested Remedy

Leave only the last two sentences of this paragraph put the word "Overview" in the 7.3 header. In fact, I think it would be more logical to move 7.3 after 7.6 and make it the introduction (7.7.1) of 7.7, which would then have the current 7.7 and 7.8 as subsections 7.7.2 and 7.7.3

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation by							
Reason for Recommendation									
Resolution of Group	Decision of	Group: Accepted-Modified							
In 7.7. change "of part Copy text of 7.7 to 7.8 Delete 7.7.1									
Reason for Group's Deci	sion/Resolution								
Group's Notes Group's Action Items									
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k)	done							
Editor's Questions and C	concerns								
Editor's Action Items									

Document under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment # 060	Comment submitted by:	Nico va	n Waes	Memb	er 2003-01-11
Comment Type Techr completeness	nical, Satisfied (was	Starting Page # 86	Starting Line # 10	Fig/Table#	Section
Suggested Remedy add to table 29					
Mesh cell to PMP BS Mesh cell to Mesh cell Mesh cell to mesh cell	3.5 GHz; adjacent ar 3.5 GHz; adjacent ar 3.5 GHz; adjacent ar		Spacing to at leas Spacing to at leas Spacing to a few h	t the cell-radius n	
Proposed Resolution R	ecommendation:	Rec	ommendation by		
Reason for Recommendation	n				
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	oup: Accepted			
add to table 29					
Mesh cell to PMP BS Mesh cell to Mesh cell Mesh cell to mesh cell	3.5 GHz; adjacent ar 3.5 GHz; adjacent ar 3.5 GHz; adjacent ar		Spacing to at leas Spacing to at leas Spacing to a few h	t the cell-radius n	
Reason for Group's Decisio	on/Resolution				
Group's Notes Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done	e			
Per implementation of oth Since this is my own com			-	(and be demonst	ratably wrong anyway).
Editor's Questions and Con	cerns				
Editor's Action Items					

802.16-03/03r1

Document u		P802.16.2a/D3-200 Comment submitted by:			nber: 0000354	Member		Comment Date 2003-01-11
Comment spelling error	Type Editori	al	Starting Pa	age # 86	Starting Line # 31	Fig/Table#	Section	
Suggested Remedy interfrence -> interference								
Proposed Reso	lution Re	commendation:		Reco	ommendation by			
Reason for Re	commendation							

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Document under Review: Comment # 062	P802.16.2a/D3-2002 Comment submitted by:		mber: 0000354 n Waes		Comment Date 2003-01-11	
Comment Type Editor		Starting Page # 86	Starting Line # 35	Fig/Table#	Section	
This subclause is redunda	ant with 4.3					
Suggested Remedy Delete 7.4 entirely						
Proposed Resolution Re	ecommendation:	Rec	ommendation by			
Reason for Recommendation	I					
Resolution of Group	Decision of Grou	up: Accepted				
Delete 7.4 entirely Renumber accordingly						
Reason for Group's Decisio	n/Resolution					
Group's Notes						
Group's Action Items						
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done					
Editor's Questions and Cone	cerns					
Editor's Action Items						

Document under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2 Ballot Nur	nber: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment # 063	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth Sta	anwood	Member	2002-12-23
Comment Type Editor what does "greater than a could be considered "arou	round 1.5 Mbits/s" mean?		er than 1.5 Mbits/s", o	Fig/Table# Secti r not? Relative to Gigabit I	on 7.4 Ethernet, 64 kbps
Suggested Remedy Delete the word "around".					
Proposed Resolution R	ecommendation:	Reco	ommendation by		
Reason for Recommendatior	1				
Resolution of Group	Decision of Grou	Jp: Accepted-Modified			
text on page 86 superced Similar text on page 9 - de On page 8 line 39 add "g	elete "around"				
Reason for Group's Decisio	n/Resolution				
Group's Notes					
Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done				
Editor's Questions and Con	cerns				
Editor's Action Items					

802.16-03/03r1

Document under Review:		ot Number: 0000354 Stanwood	Member	Comment Date				
Comment # 064	Comment submitted by:							
Comment Type Editor missing space	rial	Starting Page #	86 Starting Line #	41 Fig/Table#	Section 7.4			
Suggested Remedy change "significantlyhigher" to "significantly higher"								
Proposed Resolution R	ecommendation:		Recommendation by					
Reason for Recommendation	1							
Resolution of Group	Decision of Grou	up: Superceded						

superceded by resolution of comment # 62

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions I) none needed

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Document u	under Review:	P802.16	6.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Nu	ımber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	065	Comment	submitted by:	Nico	Va	an Waes	Member		2003-01-11
Comment	Type Editor	ial		Starting	Page # <mark>88</mark>	Starting Line # 1	Fig/Table#	Section	
I thought we had agreed to change Table 30 and 32 to the format of 31 to avoid the confusion between receiver and transmitter parameters									

Suggested Remedy

update tables to better distinguish deployment, Rx and Tx parameters as done in Table 31.

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted

update tables to better distinguish deployment, Rx and Tx parameters as done in Table 31.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Document under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2 Ballo	t Number: 0000354		Comment Date				
Comment # 066	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	Stanwood	Member	2002-12-23				
CommentType EditorialStarting Page # 88Starting Line # 5Fig/Table# 30Section 7.5.1use proper superscripting of exponents as is done in table 31 on line 14 of page 89.									
Suggested Remedy replace "d^4" with properly superscripted exponent									
Proposed Resolution R	ecommendation:		Recommendation by						
Reason for Recommendation	n								
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted							

replace "d^4" with properly superscripted exponent

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16	6.2a/D3-20	02	Ва	llot Num	1ber: 0000354				Comment Date
Comment #	067	Comment	submitted by:	Nico		van	Waes		Member		2003-01-11
Comment	Type Editori	al		Starting	Page #	90	Starting Line #	61	Fig/Table#	Section	
completenes	SS										

Suggested Remedy

change 30 and 31 to Table 30, Table 31 and Table 32. make those proper cross-references.

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation by						
Reason for Recommendati	on							
Resolution of Group	Decision of Group: Accepted							
change 30 and 31 to Table 30, Table 31 and Table 32. make those proper cross-references.								
Reason for Group's Decis	sion/Resolution							
Group's Notes								
Group's Action Items								
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done							
Editor's Questions and Co	oncerns							
Editor's Action Items								

802.16-03/03r1

Document under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2 Ballot	Number: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment # 068	Comment submitted by:	Avraham	Freedman	Member		2002-12-17
Comment Type Editori Part 1 no longer exists	ial	Starting Page # 9 ⁻	1 Starting Line # 8	Fig/Table#	Section	7.5.3
Suggested Remedy Replace "Part 1" with "Clau	use 5"					
Proposed Resolution Re	commendation:	I	Recommendation by			
Reason for Recommendation						
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted-Duplic	ate			
See resolution of commen	t # 69					

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions I) none needed

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Document u	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ballo	t Number	r: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	069	Comment submitted by:	Nico	van Wa	aes	Member		2003-01-11
Comment	Type Editori	al	Starting Page #	91 Sta	arting Line # 8	Fig/Table#	Section	

accuracy

Suggested Remedy

replace line 8 with: The interference scenarios described in subclause 4.4 are applicable.

Proposed	Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation	by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted

replace line 8 with: The interference scenarios described in subclause 4.4 are applicable.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200)2	Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	070	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	St	anwood		Member	2002-12-23
Comment	Type Editor	ial	Starting	Page # 91	Starting Line # 3	B7 Fig/Ta	able# Sectio	n 7.6.1.1
missing space	ce(s).							

Suggested Remedy

replace "80km.The" with "80km. The" (another comment covers having a space before "km".)

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted

replace "80km. The" with "80km. The" (another comment covers having a space before "km".)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

2003/01/21				002.10-03/0	511	
	P802.16.2a/D3-200 Comment submitted by:		umber: 0000354 an Waes	Memb	er	Comment Date 2003-01-11
Comment Type Editor thought we agreed to fix th		Starting Page # 91	Starting Line # 37	Fig/Table#	Section	
Suggested Remedy change "80 km" to "the co-	-ordination trigger (see 7	7.6.1.2)"				
Proposed Resolution Re	ecommendation:	Rec	commendation by			
Reason for Recommendation						
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	oup: Accepted				
change "80 km" to "the co	-ordination trigger (see 7	7.6.1.2)"				
Reason for Group's Decisio	n/Resolution					
Group's Notes						
Group's Action Items						
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done	2				
Editor's Questions and Con	cerns					
Editor's Action Items						

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	072	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	St	anwood		Member	2002-12-23
Comment	Type Editori	al	Starting F	Page # 91	Starting Line # 4	9 Fig/Tabl	e# Section	7.6.1.1
spelling								

Suggested Remedy

change "horion" to "horizon"

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation	by					
Reason for Recommendation								
Resolution of Group	Decision of Group: Accepted							
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution								
Group's Notes								
Group's Action Items								
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done							
Editor's Questions and C	oncerns							
Editor's Action Items								

2003/01/27				002.10-03/0	311	
Document under Review: Comment # 073	P802.16.2a/D3-200 Comment submitted by:		umber: 0000354 an Waes	Memb	ber	Comment Date 2003-01-11
Comment Type Editor correct cross-reference	ial	Starting Page # 92	Starting Line # 31	Fig/Table#	Section	
Suggested Remedy replace Table 32 with a pr	oper cross-reference to	table 33				
Proposed Resolution Re	ecommendation:	Re	commendation by			
Reason for Recommendation	L. C.					
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted				
replace Table 32 with a pr	oper cross-reference to	table 33				
Reason for Group's Decisio	n/Resolution					
Group's Notes						
Group's Action Items						
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done)				
Editor's Questions and Con	cerns					
Editor's Action Items						

802.16-03/03r1

2003/01/27				802.16-03/031	r 1	
Document under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2 Ballot	Number: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment # 074	Comment submitted by:	Avraham	Freedman	Member		2002-12-17
Comment Type Editor	rial	Starting Page # 9	2 Starting Line # 32	Fig/Table#	Section	7.6.1.1
The psfds are specified in	table 33 and not in table	e 32				
Suggested Remedy Change "Table 32" to "Tal	ble 33"					
Proposed Resolution R	ecommendation:		Recommendation by			
Reason for Recommendatior	1					
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	oup: Accepted-Duplic	ate			
Reason for Group's Decisio	on/Resolution					
Group's Notes						
Group's Action Items						
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done	e				
Editor's Questions and Con	cerns					

802.16-03/03r1

2003/01/27				002.10-03/031	·	
Document under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2 Ballo	ot Number: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment # 075	Comment submitted by:	Avraham	Freedman	Member		2002-12-17
Comment Type Editor The "k" should be inside t		Starting Page #	93 Starting Line # 4	Fig/Table#	Section	7.6.1.2.1
Suggested Remedy Change "sqrt(R _e) k" to "sq	rt(R _e k)"					
Proposed Resolution R	ecommendation:		Recommendation by			
Reason for Recommendation	1					
Resolution of Group	Decision of Grou	up: Accepted				
Change "sqrt(R_e) k" to "sq	rt(R _e k)"					
Reason for Group's Decisio	n/Resolution					
Group's Notes						
Group's Action Items						

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Nur	nber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	076	Comment submitted by:	Avraham	Fre	edman	Member		2002-12-17
Comment	туре Editori	al	Starting Page	e # 93	Starting Line # 3	1 Fig/Table#	Section	7.6.1.2.1
typo								

Suggested Remedy

Change "ares" to "are"

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:		Recommendation	by
Reason for Recommendation	on			
Resolution of Group	Decision	of Group: Accepted		
change "ares" to "are"				
Reason for Group's Decis	ion/Resolution			
Group's Notes				
Group's Action Items				
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions	k) done		
Editor's Questions and Co	ncerns			
Editor's Action Items				

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2	Ballot Num	nber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	077	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	Sta	anwood	Member		2002-12-23
Comment spelling	туре Editor	ial	Starting Page	e # 93	Starting Line # 31	Fig/Table#	Section	7.6.1.2.1
Suggested R	emedy							

change "ares" to "are"

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation	by
Reason for Recommendat	lion		
Resolution of Group	Decision	of Group: Accepted-Duplicate	
see comment # 76			
Reason for Group's Deci	sion/Resolution		
Group's Notes			
Group's Action Items			
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions	k) done	
Editor's Questions and C	oncerns		
Editor's Action Items			

Document u	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2 Bal	lot Nur	nber: 000035	4			Comment Date
Comment #	078	Comment submitted by:	Avraham	Fre	edman		Member		2002-12-17
Comment	Type Editor	ial	Starting Page #	93	Starting Line #	¥ 39	Fig/Table#	Section	7.6.1.2.2
According to to mesh.	7.4.1, the term	m multipoint includes po	int-to-multipoint	(PMP)	and multipoint	-to-multi	point (MP-MP), wh	ile this se	ction refers only
Suggested Re Change title '		MP"							

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation by
Reason for Recommendation	on	
Resolution of Group	Decision of Group: Accepted	d
Reason for Group's Decis	sion/Resolution	
Group's Notes		
Group's Action Items		
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done	
Editor's Questions and Co	oncerns	
Editor's Action Items		

000 10 00/00-1

2003/01/27				802.16-03/03	3r1	
Document under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ballot	Number: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment # 079	Comment submitted by:	Nico	van Waes	Memb	er	2003-01-11
Comment Type Editori MP means both PMP and I		Starting Page # 93 addresses MP-MP	3 Starting Line # 39	Fig/Table#	Section	
Suggested Remedy change MP in the section h	neader and right below i	t (twice) to MP-MP				
Proposed Resolution Re	commendation:	F	Recommendation by			
Reason for Recommendation						
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted				
see also #78 which partly of	duplicates this comment	:				
Reason for Group's Decisior	n/Resolution					
Group's Notes						
Group's Action Items						
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done					
Editor's Questions and Conc	erns					
Editor's Action Items						

802.16-03/03r1

Document ι	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Nu	mber: 000035	4			Comment Date
Comment #	080	Comment submitted by:	Avraham	Fre	edman		Member	r	2002-12-17
Comment	Type Techn	nical, Binding	Starting F	Page # <mark>93</mark>	Starting Line #	42	Fig/Table#	Section	7.6.1.2.2
given for PMI PMP victim.	P. It does not	completely new in D3) in t use the psfd as a meas	ure, but ra	ther the path	loss for the me	esh syste	em itself. Thus it c	does not co	ver the case of a
worst case so	cenario of 100	ns, the psfd for a single 0 nodes (it seems to me reach the trigger value t	that the c	alculation in	7.6.1.2.2 is bas	ed on a	single node), the	psfd would	

Suggested Remedy

Change the coordination trigger distance to 25km: In page 94 I.12 In page 84 line 46 (recommendation 3-4)

Proposed Resolution Recommendation:

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Add the following text on page 94 line 12 after "6 km" the following "for mesh to mesh interference" Add similar explanatory notes to the mesh guidelines in tables 1 and 29

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Apparently, the assumptions for Rx sensitivity used for PMP do not match those for mesh. The mesh values were adopted from P802.16a. As differences in sensitivity are not considered for inter-PMP interference and inter-mesh interference, it would be awkward to consider them for interference between mesh and PMP, particularly since both systems can use the same PHY implementation. When in the simulations the sensitivity is changed by x dB the power control will ensure that the power also changes by x dB. As such the interference from mesh to PMP would not change compared to mesh to mesh interference as a result of any change in Rx sensitivity. Changing the Rx sensitivity in fact does not have any impact on the distance because of the power control.

It should be noted however, that the calculation of 7.6.1 only concerns mesh to mesh. For mesh to PMP, numbers will be different, due to the larger antenna gain of the PMP BS and SS and different propagation characteristics.

Group's Notes Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

802.16-03/03r1

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Document under Review: P802.16.2a/D3-2002 Comment # 081 Comment submitted by:		mber: 0000354 urias	Member	Comment Date 2003-01-09
CommentType EditorialGtx = Grx = Antenna gain (Tx/Rx) implies the receiv	Starting Page # 93 ve and transmit anten	Starting Line # 59 nas have the same gain	U	ction 7.6.1.2.2
Suggested Remedy Use a comma to separate Gtx and Grx or put Grx or	n a separate line.			
Proposed Resolution Recommendation:	Rec	ommendation by		
Reason for Recommendation				
Resolution of GroupDecision of GroupIn the specific example provided, the gains are equipart	•	might not always be the	e case.	
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution				
Group's Notes Group's Action Items				
Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done Editor's Questions and Concerns Editor's Action Items				

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Num	ber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	082	Comment submitted by:	Nico	van	Waes	Member		2003-01-11
Comment	Type Editor	ial	Starting P	age # 94	Starting Line # 33	Fig/Table#	Section	

grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "This subclause indicates some of the models, simulations, and analysis used in the preparation of Part 3 of this Recommended Practice."

to

"This subclause indicates some of the models, simulations, and analysis used in the derivation of the Recommendations described in 7.2."

Recommendation by

Proposed Resolution Recommendation:

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Change "This subclause indicates some of the models, simulations, and analysis used in the preparation of Part 3 of this Recommended Practice."

to

"This subclause indicates some of the models, simulations, and analysis used in the derivation of the Recommendations described in 7.2 and the guidelines in 7.3"

Make similar changes to clauses 5, 6 and 7.8

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Document under Revie	w: P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ballot Nui	mber: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment # 083	Comment submitted by:	Avraham Fre	eedman	Member	2002-12-17
Comment Type Edi	torial	Starting Page # 94	Starting Line # 34	Fig/Table#	Section 7.7
Part 3 no longer exists					
Suggested Remedy					
Change "Part 3" to "Cla	use 7"				
Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Reco	ommendation by		
Reason for Recommendat	ion				
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	oup: Accepted-Duplicate			
see # 82					
Reason for Group's Deci	sion/Resolution				
Group's Notes					
Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done)			
Editor's Questions and C	oncerns				
Editor's Action Items					

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2 Ballot	Number: 0000354			Comment Date	
Comment #	084	Comment submitted by:	Nico	van Waes	Member		2003-01-11	
Comment I think it's rat	туре Editori ther pointless t	al o say what you're not do	Starting Page # 94 bing. Besides, it's g	-	Fig/Table#	Section		
Suggested Ro Delete first p		"Thus, " and "here." fron	n the next paragrap	oh.				
Proposed Res	solution Re	commendation:	I	Recommendation by				

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Num	1ber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	085	Comment submitted by:	Nico	van	Waes	Member		2003-01-11
Comment	Type Editor	ial	Starting Page	e # 95	Starting Line # 48	Fig/Table#	Section	
aroman								

grammar

Fairly useless section, but anyway.

Suggested Remedy

change paragraph to:

Two possible other methods, which are not used in this Clause, are the Interference Area (IA) method (see 5.7.1.5) and the ISOP (Interference scenario occurrence probability) method (5.7.1.6).

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Recommendation by Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted

change paragraph to:

Two possible other methods, which are not used in this Clause, are the Interference Area (IA) method (see 5.7.1.5) and the ISOP (Interference scenario occurrence probability) method (5.7.1.6).

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Document under Review	v: P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2 Ballot Nu	ımber: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment # 086	Comment submitted by:	Avraham Fr	reedman	Member	er 2002-12-17
Comment Type Edit	orial	Starting Page # 95	Starting Line # 50	Fig/Table#	Section 7.7.5
Part 1 no longer exists					
Suggested Remedy					
Change "Part 1" to "Clau	use 5"				
Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Rec	commendation by		
Reason for Recommendati	on				
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Superceded			
see # 85					
Reason for Group's Decis	sion/Resolution				
Group's Notes					
Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done				
Editor's Questions and Co	oncerns				
Editor's Action Items					

802.16-03/03r1

Document u	nder Review:	P802.16	6.2a/D3-20)2	Ball	ot Num	ber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	087	Comment	submitted by:	Nico		van	Waes	Member		2003-01-11
Comment	Type Editoria	al		Starting	Page #	95	Starting Line # 57	Fig/Table#	Section	

grammar

Suggested Remedy

change first sentence to: Table 34 summarizes the scenarios analysed. This table actually should fit on one page if you don't break the paragraph halfway

Proposed	Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation	ו by						
Reason f	Reason for Recommendation									
Resolutio	n of Group	Decision	of Group: Accepted							
change first sentence to: Table 34 summarizes the scenarios analysed. This table actually should fit on one page if you don't break the paragraph halfway										
Reason f	or Group's Decis	ion/Resolution								
Group's	Notes									
Group's	Action Items									
Editor's	Notes	Editor's Actions	k) done							
Editor's	Editor's Questions and Concerns									
Editor's	Action Items									

Document under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-	2002 Ball	ot Number: 0000354		Comment Date				
Comment # 088	Comment submitted	by: Avraham	Freedman	Member	2002-12-17				
Comment Type Editori	al	Starting Page #	95 Starting Line # 60	Fig/Table# <mark>34</mark> S	Section 7.7.6				
The table should contain the	The table should contain the simulations presented in Clause 7.8. Guidelines are accroding to the next two comments								
Suggested Remedy									
Add the following rows to t									
mesh node to mesh node			annel At least 12.5 km		Monte Carlo analysis				
mesh node to mesh node	3.5GHz S	ame area, adjacent ch	nannel 2 guard channels	are required	Monte Carlo analysis				
Proposed Resolution Re	commendation:		Recommendation by						
Reason for Recommendation									
Resolution of Group	Decision of	Group: Rejected							
Reason for Group's Decisior	n/Resolution								
Table 34 is associated with	n the PMP analysis.	The mesh section is	intended to be stand - alo	ne, since it is relatively s	short and has only one				
set of results.									
Group's Notes									
Group's Action Items									
-									
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done							
Editor's Questions and Concerns									
Editor's Action Items									

802.16-03/03r1

Document u	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2	Ballot N	umber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	089	Comment submitted by:	Avraham	F	reedman	Member		2002-12-17
Comment	Type Editor	ial	Starting Pa	age # <mark>9</mark> 7	Starting Line # 1	Fig/Table#	Section 7.8	3
The new sec part of the ma		ibes the simulations use	d for mesh	systems.	It should not be part of th	e main text, as all	other simula	tions are not

Suggested Remedy

Move section 7.8 to Annex as section D.2.7

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation by
Reason for Recommend	dation	
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Rejected
	alysis consists of only one se repetition and cross- referenc	et of results and is short, it was decided to include the whole section in the main text. This ing.
Reason for Group's De	ecision/Resolution	
Group's Notes		
Group's Action Items		
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions I) none I	needed
Editor's Questions and	Concerns	

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot N	lumber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	090	Comment submitted by:	Ron	I	Murias	Member		2003-01-09
Comment Typo.	Type Editori	al	Starting	Page # 97	Starting Line # 30	Fig/Table#	Section	7.8.1

Suggested Remedy

Remove the period (.) following the first comma in the sentence.

Proposed Resolution F	Recommendation:	Recommendation	by						
Reason for Recommendatio	Reason for Recommendation								
Resolution of Group	Decision of Group: Accepted								
Remove the period (.) following the first comma in the sentence.									
Reason for Group's Decisi	Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution								
Group's Notes									
Group's Action Items									
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done								
Editor's Questions and Cor	ncerns								
Editor's Action Items									

Document under Review: P80			nber: 0000354			Comment Date	
Comment # 091 Com	ment submitted by: Kenneth	Sta	inwood	Member		2002-12-23	
Comment Type Editorial extra punctuation	Starting	Page # 97	Starting Line # 30	Fig/Table#	Section 7	7.8.1	
Suggested Remedy change "strategy,." to "strategy,"							
Proposed Resolution Recomm	nendation:	Reco	mmendation by				
Reason for Recommendation							
Resolution of Group	Decision of Group: Accep	oted-Duplicate					
see #90		·					
Reason for Group's Decision/Reso	blution						
Group's Notes							
Group's Action Items							
Editor's Notes Edito	or's Actions I) none needed						
Editor's Questions and Concerns							
Editor's Action Items							

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16	6.2a/D3-20	002	Bal	lot Num	oer: 0000354	ł.			Comment Date
Comment #	092	Comment	submitted by	y: Ron		Muria	IS		Member		2003-01-09
Comment Typo.	Type Editori	al		Starting	Page #	97	Starting Line #	33	Fig/Table#	Section	7.8.1

Suggested Remedy

Change "leas" to "leads".

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:		Recommendation by						
Reason for Recommendati	on								
Resolution of Group	Decision	of Group: Accepted							
Change "leas" to "leads"	Change "leas" to "leads".								
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution Group's Notes									
Group's Action Items									
Editor's Notes Editor's Questions and Co	Editor's Actions oncerns	k) done							
Editor's Action Items									

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16	6.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot N	lumber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	093	Comment	submitted by:	Kenneth	5	Stanwood	Member		2002-12-23
Comment spelling	Type Editori	al		Starting	Page # 97	Starting Line # 34	Fig/Table#	Section	7.8.1

Suggested Remedy

change "leas" to "leads"

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation by						
Reason for Recommendat	ion							
Resolution of Group	Decision	of Group: Accepted-Duplicate						
see # 92								
Reason for Group's Deci	Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution							
Group's Notes								
Group's Action Items								
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions	I) none needed						
Editor's Questions and C	oncerns							
Editor's Action Items								

802.16-03/03r1

	P802.16.2a/D3-2002 Comment submitted by:		mber: 0000354 n Waes	Membe	ər	Comment Date 2003-01-11
Comment Type Editor typo		Starting Page # 97	Starting Line # 34	Fig/Table#	Section	
Suggested Remedy leas -> leads						
Proposed Resolution Re	ecommendation:	Rec	ommendation by			
Reason for Recommendation	I					
Resolution of Group	Decision of Grou	up: Accepted-Duplicate				
see # 92						
Reason for Group's Decisio	n/Resolution					
Group's Notes Group's Action Items						
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions I) none r	needed				

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	095	Comment submitted by:	Avraham	Fr	eedman	Member		2002-12-17
Comment	Type Tech	nical, Binding	Starting Pa	age # 99	Starting Line # 34	Fig/Table#	Section	7.8.1

The result of the analysis shows that the probability of interference to a mesh node located at a re-use factor of 2, two cells away is 0.6% -2.5%. This is a very large number compared to the 99.9% availability requirement given in table 32, and even more so for a PMP system requiring 99.99% availability. The presented analysis does not include an analysis for a co-channel PMP BS or SS victim, which is too bad, however one cannot use but the contributed result.

In any case, assuming the interfered with node is a node of a victim mesh system, it is clear that in order to get the required 99.9% availability, the distance to the victim node should be increased. According to my rough calculation it should be increased to 8500m if minimum energy per bit minization is used and to 12.5km if hop minimzation routing is used. As a trigger the worst result should be taken - 12.5 km

Suggested Remedy

Insert in the "Guideline Spacing" column in table 34 for the entry describing this simulation: "A distance of at least 12.5km is needed"

Proposed	Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation	by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted-Clarified

In table 31 change the parameters in "availability" to read as follows:

99% link availability approximately equal to 99.9% system availability

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Although the comment is true if a link availability of 99.9% is desired, this is not in fact needed. The numbers as derived relate to link availability. For PMP, link availability equals service availability. The inherent redundancy of mesh links ensures that a 99% link availability results in a far higher service availability, which is for the customer the only relevant number.

Group'sNotesGroup'sActionEditor'sNotesEditor'sQuestions and Concerns

Document under Review	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ballot	Number: 0000354		Comment Date				
Comment # 096	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	Stanwood	Member	2002-12-23				
Comment Type Editor missing space	orial	Starting Page # 99	9 Starting Line # 52	Fig/Table# S	Section 7.8.2				
Suggested Remedy change "linkbudget" to "l	ink budget"								
Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	I	Recommendation by						
Reason for Recommendation									
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted							
change "linkbudget" to "l	ink budget"								
Reason for Group's Decis	ion/Resolution								
Group's Notes									
Group's Action Items									
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done								
Editor's Questions and Co	ncerns								
Editor's Action Items									

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Nur	nber: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment #	097	Comment submitted by:	Avraham	Fre	edman	Member	2002-12-17
Comment	Type Techr	nical, Binding	Starting Pa	age # 100	Starting Line # 1	Fig/Table#	Section 7.8.3

The result of the analysis shows that the probability of interference to a mesh node in adjacent channel/ same area scenario is 0.5% -0.2%. This is still a large number compared to the 99.9% availability requirement given in table 32, and even more so for a PMP system requiring 99.99% availability.

Assuming the interfered with node is a node of a victim mesh system, it is clear that in order to get the required 99.9% availability, the channel separations between the nodes should be increased. I believe that two guard channels would do the job.

Suggested Remedy

Insert in the "Guideline Spacing" column in table 34 for the entry describing this simulation: "Two guard cannnels are required"

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted-Clarified

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This issue is accurately captured in 7.8.5. The isolation required varies substantially based on the assumption of minimum separation between nodes. Given a realistic minimum node separation of the order of 20m, the single guard channel as indicated in 7.8.5 should be sufficient.for limited but acceptable probability of interference. A 2 channel guard band would only be needed to eliminate the last small proportion of interference cases.

See also resolution of comment # 95

Group's Notes Group's Action Items Editor's Notes Editor's Actions I) none needed Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Document under Re	view: P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354	c		Comment Date			
Comment # 098	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	Sta	anwood	Membe	r	2002-12-23			
Comment Type E AA is not in the acron	Editorial nym list	Starting	Page # 100	Starting Line # 55	Fig/Table#	Section	7.9			
Suggested Remedy Put it in the acronym list or spell out its 5 occurrances.										

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation	by							
Reason for Recommendation										
Resolution of Group	Decision of Group: Accepted-Mod	ified								
Add to abbreviation list AA = adaptive antenna										
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution										
Group's Notes										
Group's Action Items										
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done									
Editor's Questions and C	oncerns									

802.16-03/03r1

Document u	nder Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2	Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	099	Comment submitted by:	Roger	Ma	arks	Member		2003-01-11
Comment	Type Editori	al	Starting	Page # 101	Starting Line # 29	Fig/Table#	Section A	
References a	re not formatt	ed consistently.						

Suggested Remedy

Format inconsistently throughout all annexes, following IEEE Manual http://standards.ieee.org/resources/glance_at_writing_new.html.

Proposed Resolution Re	ecommendation:	Recommendation	by					
Reason for Recommendation	ı							
Resolution of Group	Decision of Group: Accepted							
Format inconsistently throughout all annexes, following IEEE Manual http://standards.ieee.org/resources/glance_at_writing_new.html .								
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution								
Group's Notes								
Group's Action Items								
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done							
Editor's Questions and Con	cerns							
Editor's Action Items								

802.16-03/03r1

Document u	nder Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2	Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	100	Comment submitted by:	Nico van Waes Member			2003-01-11		
Comment	Type Editor	ial	Starting Page	# 101	Starting Line # 29	Fig/Table#	Section	
Clean up refe	rences (hard	to find the trees through	the forest et	c).				

Suggested Remedy

Delete or find appropriate reference points for:

- [H1.3]
- [H1.17]

Alternatively, move em below what is now [H1.26]

Remove the number [H1.26]. This is not a reference.

Critically look at which references after H1.26 are really useful, get rid of the rest.

Delete or find appropriate reference points for :

[H2.6] [H2.7]

- [H2.8]
- [H2.10]
- [H2.11]
- [H2.13]
- [H2.15]
- [H2.16]
- [H2.17]
- [H2.18]
- [H2.20]
- [H2.24]
- [H2.25]
- [H2.26]
- [H3.1]
- [H3.2] [H3.9]
- [H3.12]
- [H3.15] [H3.16]
- [H3.16] [H3.18]
- [H3.19]
- [H3.20]
- [H3.21]

802.16-03/03r1

[H3.22]

Replace the reference [H3.25] in Table 31 with [H3.26] Remove the bracket from [H3.27] Insert reference [H3.29] after "network" on first line of 7.8.1

Make sure the format of the references is consistent and ensure that all info is present without extra banter.

Proposed Resolution Recommendation:

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified Resolution of Group Move [H1.3] below what is now [H1.26] Delete [H1.17] Remove the number [H1.26]. This is not a reference. After H1.26 delete the following: [H1.29] [H1.47] [H1.48] [H1.50] [H1.52] [H1.53] [H1.54] [H1.56] [H1.57] [H1.58] [H1.59] [H1.62] [H1.64] [H1.65] TI 14 001

נמסיום]

[H1.67] [H1.68]

[H1.69]

[H1.70]

[H1.71]

[H1.72]

[H1.73]

[H1.75]

[H1.76]

[H1.78]

[H1.79]

Delete the following:

[H2.6] [H2.7] [H2.8] [H2.10] [H2.11]

H2.13

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

did not delete H3.2 and H3.9 due to obvious conflict

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

2000/01/21		002.10-00/0011						
	P802.16.2a/D3-200		lumber: 0000354			Comment Date		
Comment # 101 Comment submitted by:		Roger	Marks	Member		2003-01-11		
Comment Type Editor The reference numbers sh			Starting Line # 32 style.	Fig/Table#	Section A			
Suggested Remedy Renumber references to b	egin with "B" instead of "	"H".						
Proposed Resolution R	ecommendation:	Re	ecommendation by					
Reason for Recommendation	1							
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	oup: Accepted						
Renumber references to b	egin with "B" instead of "	"H".						
Reason for Group's Decisio	n/Resolution							
Omerce Natas								

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Document u	Inder Review:	P802.16	.2a/D3-20	02	Ball	ot Nun	nber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	102	Comment	submitted by	: Nico		van	Waes	Member		2003-01-11
Comment consistency	Type Editoria	al		Starting	Page #	101	Starting Line # 32	Fig/Table#	Section	

Suggested Remedy

change [H#.#] to [A#.#]

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation by
Reason for Recommendat	ion	
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gr	oup: Accepted-Modified
change [H#.#] to [B#.#]	otherwise duplicates com	ment # 101
Reason for Group's Decis	sion/Resolution	
Group's Notes		
Group's Action Items		
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions I) non	e needed
Editor's Questions and C	oncerns	
Editor's Action Items		

Document under Revie	w: P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment # 103	Comment submitted by:	Roger Ma	arks	Member	2003-01-11
no sense. It is not a refe		anged so as to apply t	ed in the text, are relate		Section A pful to the reader:") makes s no reason that the
Suggested Remedy Delete [H1.26] .					
Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Reco	ommendation by		
Reason for Recommendat	ion				
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted-Modified			
delete reference but ret see also comment # 10					
Reason for Group's Deci	sion/Resolution				
Group's Notes Group's Action Items					
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done)			
Editor's Questions and C	oncerns				
Editor's Action Items					

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D	3-200	2	Ballot Nur	nber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	104	Comment submit	ed by:	Roger	Ма	rks	Member		2003-01-11
Comment	Type Editori	al		Starting F	Page # 103	Starting Line # 33	Fig/Table#	Section A	
The reference	The references to 802.16 contributions are formatted inconsistently.								

Suggested Remedy

Format inconsistently throughout all annexes. Include "IEEE" as part of the document number. Make sure the date is unambigous and complete. Include the first two digits of the year in the date.

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation by						
Reason for Recommendat	ion							
Resolution of Group	Decision of Group: Accepted							
Format inconsistently throughout all annexes. Include "IEEE" as part of the document number. Make sure the date is unambigous and complete. Include the first two digits of the year in the date.								
Reason for Group's Decis	sion/Resolution							
Group's Notes								
Group's Action Items								
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done							
Editor's Questions and C	oncerns							
Editor's Action Items								

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Nur	nber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	105	Comment submitted by:	Roger	Ма	rks	Member		2003-01-11
Comment	Type Editor	ial	Starting	Page # 103	Starting Line # 42	Fig/Table#	Section A	
In [H1.43], "[Presentation 802.16.2p-00/19]" and "[Rev. 0: 00/08/16]" are irrelevant to the reference.								

Suggested Remedy

In [H1.43], delete "[Presentation 802.16.2p-00/19]" and "[Rev. 0: 00/08/16]".

The same goes for bracketed material in other references (H1.46, H1.57, H1.58, H1.74, H1.78, H3.13, H3.14, and possibly more).

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted

In [H1.43], delete "[Presentation 802.16.2p-00/19]" and "[Rev. 0: 00/08/16]".

The same goes for bracketed material in other references (H1.46, H1.57, H1.58, H1.74, H1.78, H3.13, H3.14, and possibly more).

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Document under Review	P802.16.2a/D3-200	lot Number: 00	000354			Comment Date				
Comment # 106	Comment submitted by:	Roger	Marks		Membe	r	2003-01-11			
Comment Type Editor Reference is numbered t		Starting Page #	104 Starting	g Line # 57	Fig/Table#	Section A				
Suggested Remedy Fix.										
Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:		Recommenda	tion by						
Reason for Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation									
Resolution of Group	Decision of Gro	up: Accepted								
delete "[H1.30]"										
Reason for Group's Decis	ion/Resolution									
Group's Notes										
Group's Action Items										
Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions k) done									
Editor's Questions and Co	ncerns									
Editor's Action Items										

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2	Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment #	107	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth	St	anwood	Member	2002-12-23
Comment	Type Editor	ial	Starting	Page # 108	Starting Line # 41	Fig/Table#	Section A.3
missing clos	ing parenthes	sis					

Suggested Remedy

change "(Nico van Waes, 02/09/04." to "(Nico van Waes, 02/09/04)"

 Proposed Resolution
 Recommendation:
 Recommendation by

 Reason for Recommendation
 Decision of Group: Accepted
 Image: Comparison of Group: Accepted

 Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution
 Group's Notes
 Image: Comparison of Group: Accepted
 Image: Comparison of Group: Accepted

 Group's Notes
 Editor's Action Items
 Editor's Actions k) done
 Image: Comparison of Group: Accepted
 Image: Comparison of Group of Group: Accepted

 Editor's Notes
 Editor's Actions k) done
 Editor's Action Items
 Image: Comparison of Group of

802.16-03/03r1

Document under Review: P802.16.2a/D3-200			2	Ballot Number: 0000354			4			Comment Date	
Comment #	108	Comment submitted by:	Kenneth		Sta	anwood		Member		2002-12-23	
Comment capitalization	Type Editor	ial	Starting	Page #	145	Starting Line #	¥ 56	Fig/Table#	Section	C.2.1.2	
Suggested Re change "inter	-	" to "interferer, a large"									
Proposed Res	olution Re	ecommendation:			Reco	ommendation by					

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted

change "interferer, A large" to "interferer, a large"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-200	2 Ba	llot Nu	mber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	109	Comment submitted by:	Nico	va	n Waes	Member		2003-01-11
Comment wrong refere grammar	туре Edito ence	rial	Starting Page #	157	Starting Line # 41	Fig/Table#	Section	
remove "3.5	vith the right GHz -" from I	cross reference B.2 header D1.2 ference B.3 and replace "/	Annex B of Part	: 1" wit	h B.2.1			

Proposed Resolution Recommendation:

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted

replace "B" with the right cross reference B.2 remove "3.5 GHz -" from header D1.2 replace B3 with cross-reference B.3 and replace "Annex B of Part 1" with B.2.1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Document under Revie	w: P802.16.2a/D3-2002	Ballot Nur	nber: 0000354		Comment Date
Comment # 110	nent # 110 Comment submitted by: Nico		Waes	Memb	er 2003-01-11
	chnical, Non-binding It given Table 30 and Table	•••	•	Fig/Table# s probably partiall	Section ly redundant and/or
Suggested Remedy Harmonize					
Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Reco	mmendation by		
Reason for Recommendat	ion				
Resolution of Group	Decision of Grou	p: Accepted-Modified			
made in tables 30 and 3	eaded SS/BS Antenna RPE	· · ·			the initial assumptions

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Although the table may be partly redundant, the final parameters chosen for this set of simulations were slightly different. This arose because analysis showed the initial assumptions to be sub - optimal. The differences shown in table D1 therefore need to be documented.

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

802.16-03/03r1

Document	under Review:	P802.16.2a/D3-2002	2	Ballot Nu	mber: 0000354			Comment Date
Comment #	111	Comment submitted by:	Nico van Waes		Member		2003-01-11	
Comment	Type Editor	ial	Starting	Page # 162	Starting Line # 48	Fig/Table#	Section	
They're a bit If needed, I c		I than was the intention.	They're	easy to stretc	h up though.			

Suggested Remedy

slightly stretch out the drawings D.1 through D.4 and D.7vertically

Proposed Resolution Recommer	dation:	Recommendation	by
Reason for Recommendation			
Resolution of Group	Decision of Group: Accepted		
slightly stretch out the drawings D	.1 through D.4 and D.7vertically		
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolut	lion		
Group's Notes			
Group's Action Items			
Editor's Notes Editor's	s Actions k) done		
Editor's Questions and Concerns			
Editor's Action Items			

2003/01/27		802.16-03/03r1					
Document under Review: P802.16.2a/D Comment # 112 Comment submitt				Member		Comment Date 2002-12-23	
Comment Type Editorial polarizations should be plural, not plural po		# 165	Starting Line # 51	Fig/Table#	Section	D.2.6	
Suggested Remedy delete the apostrophe following "two polari	zations"						
Proposed Resolution Recommendation:		Reco	ommendation by				
Reason for Recommendation							
Resolution of Group Decision	of Group: Accepted						
delete the apostrophe following "two polari	zations"						
Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution							
Group's Notes Group's Action Items							
Editor's Notes Editor's Actions	k) done						
Editor's Questions and Concerns							
Editor's Action Items							