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Views on the Issues being investigated by the License-Exempt ad hoc and a Proposal for Conducting the Work
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1 Introduction

During the meeting of the LE ad hoc in Shenzhen two papers were discussed (C802.16-04/07r1 and C802.16-04/10r1). Both papers showed that there was a considerable coexistence problem between 802.16 systems operating in a non-synchronized, non-coordinated basis. Those concerns were reflected in the chair’s report to the 802.16 plenary (C802.16-04/11r1) and the minutes (C802.16-04/12r1).

Use of the LE bands by 802.16 was one scenario described in the input papers to the Shenzhen meeting. There was also discussion at the meeting of the need to study coexistence with other 802 technologies in the LE bands, particularly 802.11 operating in the 5.8 GHz band. It seems appropriate that studies include all of the LE portions of the 5 GHz band and the coexistence with other 802-based standards operating in these bands.

During the meeting there also was discussion, and seeming agreement, on the need to form a formal Study Group to develop a coexistence methodology, to perform the studies and to develop solutions.

This contribution discusses the coexistence scenarios and proposes the formation of a formal study group for the coexistence among 802 based systems operating in LE bands.

2 Analysis

2.1 BS to BS interference (802.16 to 802.16)

Based on the material presented at the Shenzhen meeting there is no doubt that further careful study and the development of a coexistence procedure is needed to address 802.16 BS to BS interference. The inter base-station separation distances discussed were extreme, ranging from 3.7 km to 130 km. Those distances cover many variations in deployment scenarios including antennas, adjacent or co-channel use, etc.

The conclusion is that deployment of 802.16 LE systems will be extremely difficult without the development of well defined coordination techniques and procedures.

2.2 Interference between 802.16 and 802.11 systems

The LE bands are already home to many operating radio systems, most commonly those based on other 802 standards (e.g. 802.11). These systems are used both indoors and outdoors in the bands 5.250-5350, 5.470-5.725 and 5.725-5.85 GHz. Many homes, businesses, government and industry already rely on these convenient 802.11 based communications systems. In the United States, these systems are governed by the same regulations (CFR 47, Part 15) and operate with similar RF powers, antenna gains and sensitivities as the new 802.16 systems being developed for the LE bands.
These 802.11 based systems will be both sources and victims of interference when 802.16 systems are introduced in the band. The 802.11 systems control both their inter-system and intra-system interference through the use of a CSMA protocol and packet burst transmissions. This protocol causes devices to defer their transmissions until the channel is clear. The introduction of equipment in this environment that does not follow a protocol equivalent to the packet deference technique will cause disruption to the 802.11 systems operating in the area.

A new standard for the LE bands that disrupts existing equipment and services will make many manufacturers understandably reluctant to introduce new 802.16 products in the LE bands. The possibility of one 802 based standard disrupting another established one will also reflect badly on the credibility of the IEEE 802 standards development process. The hallmark of the existing IEEE 802 standards is universal compatibility, ease of use and interoperability. Both customers and network operators have come to expect backwards compatibility with new technologies.

The conclusion is that deployment of 802 systems in the LE bands will become extremely difficult without the development of well defined coordination techniques and procedures for both intra-802.16 and inter-802.11 - 802.16 compatibility.

3 Conclusion

Without some form of coexistence standard/methodology it will be difficult to deploy 802.16 systems in a manner that will allow for their successful operation in a LE band shared not only with other 802.16 systems but with 802.11 based systems. Accomplishing this LE band coexistence may result in extensions to the current 802.16 and other 802 standards. Backwards compatibility should be carefully considered with the introduction of new 802 based standards for the LE bands.

4 Proposal

It is suggested that a new 802 study group be formed to study the coexistence/compatibility issue. This group would undertake to:

(a) develop a methodology for studying the inter and intra-coexistence of 802 based systems in the LE bands,
(b) undertake and report on the studies, and
(c) develop suitable technical solutions (including deployment techniques and extensions to the 802 standards) to ensure compatibility between LE 802 standardised systems.

As there is considerable industry experience and precedent for studying compatibility and solutions, the committee is encouraged to make use of the best available existing practices to assist it in its work.

The output of the study group should be phased.

(a) The first report should be a coexistence standard/methodology report that will examine intra 802.16 and inter 802.16 - 802.11 technologies coexisting in the LE bands.
(b) The second report will be the results of the interference/coordination study.
(c) The final report will include the proposed methodology for coordinating LE deployments to assure coexistence and any proposed amendments to the 802 standards needed to
support coexistence among 802.16 and 802.11 systems operating in LE bands. The solutions should be totally transparent to the user, and preserve the simplicity of deployment, interoperability, extensibility and compatibility that are typical of 802 standards.

Attached in Annex 1 is a draft possible PAR and 5 for the SG.
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