The conditional subtree numbering is not applicable anymore. IETF already allocated the number \{transmission 184\} for the standard.

Suggested Remedy
Remove the text:

Before the approval of the IETF; however, wmanIfMib is temporarily located under enterprise via
iso.org.dod.internet.private.enterprise.wmanIfMib (1.3.6.1.4.1.n)
Or
iso.org.dod.internet.private.enterprise.vendorID.wmanIfMib (1.3.6.1.4.1.xxx.n)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Accepted

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted

Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution

Group’s Notes

Group’s Action Items

Editor’s Notes

Editor’s Actions

Editor’s Questions and Concerns

Editor’s Action Items
Subclause 11.2.8 has the following problems:
1/ It allows overriding of the agent implementation in SS. So for example read-only object may be requested to become read-write. This will make it impossible to implement in many cases (e.g. read-only secrity table reporting security association would potentially be open to sets).
2/ Provides the access to SS, which would normally require new build of software or at best is reserved to debugging utilities.
3/ Provides SNMP MIB related TLV without qualifying that it is not required by SS, which is IP managed but not necessarily SNMP managed.

Suggested Remedy

Two options:
A/ Remove subclause 11.2.8 (preferred). Keen implementers would be able to find other custom ways to achieve whatever this TLV meant to help to achieve.
B/ Change the qualification to optional by adding the sentences: "The TLV type 10 applies only to SS managed using SNMP protocol." "The support for TLV type 10 is optional"

Proposed Resolution

Change the first paragraph to read:
"SS support of MIB object write-access control is recommended but is not required. This object makes it possible for an operator to disable SNMP "Set" access to individual Management Information Base (MIB) objects while a subscriber station is connected to that operator network. This behavior is not persistent and terminates when the SS de-registers or loses connection to the BS. Each instance of this object controls access to all of the writable MIB objects whose Object ID (OID) prefix matches. This object may be repeated to disable access to any number of MIB objects,"

On line 41:
Change
"The Null OID 0.0 may be used to control access to all MIB objects." to:
"The Null OID 0.0 may be used to control access to all MIB objects with MAX-ACCESS as writable."

Replace the last line of 11.2.8:
'This example disallows access to all objects in some table except for someTable.1.3.wmanIfMib ::= {transmission 184}-- WMAN interface table'
With:
'This example disallows MAX-ACCESS write-access to all writable objects in someTable except for someTable.1.3.'

Add at the end of section 11.2.8:
'An attempt to set the MAX-ACCESS write-access of an unsupported MIB element or prefix shall be silently discarded.'
SS support of MIB object write-access control is recommended, but is not required. This object makes it possible for an operator to disable SNMP "Set" access to individual Management Information Base (MIB) objects while a subscriber station is connected to that operator network. This behavior is not persistent and terminates when the SS de-registers or loses connection to the BS. Each instance of this object controls access to all of the writable MIB objects whose Object ID (OID) prefix matches. This object may be repeated to disable access to any number of MIB objects.

On line 41:
Change "The Null OID 0.0 may be used to control access to all MIB objects." to:
"The Null OID 0.0 may be used to control access to all MIB objects with MAX-ACCESS as writable."

Replace the last line of 11.2.8:
'This example disallows access to all objects in some table except for someTable.1.3.wmanIfMib ::= {transmission 184}-- WMAN interface table'
With:
'This example disallows MAX-ACCESS write-access to all writable objects in someTable except for someTable.1.3.'

Add at the end of section 11.2.8:
'An attempt to set the MAX-ACCESS write-access of an unsupported MIB element or prefix shall be silently discarded.'
Subclause 11.2.9 has the following problems:
1/ As already discussed during recirc for draft 4 this subclause opens the possibility to bypass the SNMP security. Even though the ftp server holding config files is under operators control this is still not appropriate to implement the security for access using legitimate protocol (SNMP in this case) while at the same time offer the other way without similar security control.
2/ Provides SNMP MIB related TLV without qualifying that it is not required by SS, whcih is IP managed but not necessarily SNMP managed.

Suggested Remedy

Two options:

A/ Remove subclause 11.2.9 (preferred). Keen implementers would be able to find other custom ways to achieve whatever this TLV meant to help to achieve.
B/ Change the qualification to optional by adding the sentences: "The TLV type 11 applies only to SS managed using SNMP protocol." "The support for TLV type 11 is optional."

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Accepted-Modified

Replace the text:
"The SS shall treat this object as if it were part of an "Set" Request for the applicable MIB variable with the following caveats:
1) It shall treat the request as fully authorized (it shall not refuse the request for lack of privilege).
2) ASN.1 Write-Control provisions (see 11.2.8) do not apply."

With:
"SS support of Set MIB Object is recommended, but is not required. The SS shall treat this object as if it were part of an SNMP "Set" Request for the applicable MIB variable with the following caveats:
1) It shall treat the request as fully authorized (it shall not refuse the request for lack of SNMP privilege).
2) Attempt to write to MAX-ACCESS read-only MIB variables will be disallowed and silently discarded. Temporary MAX-ACCESS write restriction due to application of MIB object write-access control (11.2.8) shall not be considered when evaluating attempt to write to MIB objects for this purpose.
3) Writes to persistent MIB variables shall only update the "working" copy. (A MIB value that supports persistence shall not update its non-volatile store for the indicated MIB object; such updates are only supported when the subscriber station is connected and registered to the BS.)"
**SS support of Set MIB Object is recommended, but is not required.** The SS shall treat this object as if it were part of an SNMP "Set" Request for the applicable MIB variable with the following caveats:

1) It shall treat the request as fully authorized (it shall not refuse the request for lack of SNMP privilege).

2) ASN.1 Write Control provisions (see 11.2.8) do not apply.

3) Attempt to write to MAX-ACCESS read-only MIB variables will be disallowed and silently discarded. Temporary MAX-ACCESS write restriction due to application of MIB object write-access control (11.2.8) shall not be considered when evaluating attempt to write to MIB objects for this purpose.

4) Writes to persistent MIB variables shall only update the "working" copy. (A MIB value that supports persistence shall not update its non-volatile store for the indicated MIB object; such updates are only supported when the subscriber station is connected and registered to the BS.)

---

**Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution**

**Group’s Notes**

**Group’s Action Items**

**Editor’s Notes**

**Editor’s Actions**

**k) done**

**Editor’s Questions and Concerns**

**Editor’s Action Items**
I object the resolution on the comment 1007 in the 80216-05_036r4. Software upgrading is a frequently used procedure for operators during maintenance work. So, there should have some way to upgrade the software that run in the device. So a way that upgrade the device's software should be defined in the network management system.

Suggested Remedy
Adopt the remedy in the contribution C80216f-05_008r4.

Proposed Resolution
Adopt the remedy in the contribution C80216f-05_008r4

Reason for Recommendation
Adopt the remedy in the contribution C80216f-05_008r4

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution
Note that this comment and contribution are in response to the previously accepted comment 340L. The Editor had been previously unable to apply comment 340L due to lack of adequate Editorial instruction.

Editor's Notes

1. Change the DESCRIPTION of wmanDevBsDeviceIndex object to "An index identifies a BS device." to make the description more generic.
2. Change "wmanDevBsSoftwareUpgradeState" to "wmanDevBsSoftwareUpgradeAdminState", since the object has more control function.
3. Add 'UNITS       %" to wmanDevBsDownloadSwProgress object
I object the resolution on the comment 1007 in the 80216-05_036r4. There are several object instances in a device. In order to filter the event log from the different object instances, a event log's property that describe which object instance generate the event log should be defined in the corresponding MIB tree.

**Suggested Remedy**

Adopt the remedy in the contribution C80216f-05_007. (Zou Lan)

**Proposed Resolution**

**Recommendation: Withdrawn**

**Reason for Recommendation**

**Resolution of Group**

**Decision of Group: Withdrawn**

**Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution**

**Group’s Notes**

**Group’s Action Items**

**Editor’s Notes**

**Editor’s Actions**

1) none needed

**Editor’s Questions and Concerns**

**Editor’s Action Items**
Capitalisation of Bs in "wmanIfBSClassifierRuleBitMap" not compatible with the rest of columnar objects.

Suggested Remedy
Change wmanIfBSClassifierRuleBitMap to wmanIfBsClassifierRuleBitMap in all places.

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Accepted
Change wmanIfBSClassifierRuleBitMap to wmanIfBsClassifierRuleBitMap in all places.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted
Change wmanIfBSClassifierRuleBitMap to wmanIfBsClassifierRuleBitMap in all places.

Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes
Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items
Problems with definition of the private MIB:
1. This private MIB is defined under Intel enterprise but uses wman prefixes. It should either be private or standard.

2. The private MIB was defined as an example to follow. This should be expressed very explicitly.

3. The OID of the module is broken and doesn't match the intention spelled in the description:

```
DESCRIPTION
"This MIB Module defines vendor specific managed objects for IEEE 802.16-2004 based Base Station to provide critical remote monitoring functions, and is located under the Private MIB subtree. For example:

enterprises(1).intel(343).wmanPriMib(1)"
::= { 1 3 6 1 4 343 1}
```

Suggested Remedy

1. Change as follows (sorry no indentation):

```
-- The path to the root
ord_OBJECT_IDENTIFIER ::= { iso 3 }
dod_OBJECT_IDENTIFIER ::= { org 6 }
internet_OBJECT_IDENTIFIER ::= { dod 1 }
private_OBJECT_IDENTIFIER ::= { internet 4 }
enterprises_OBJECT_IDENTIFIER ::= { private 1 }
intel_OBJECT_IDENTIFIER ::= { enterprises 343 }
```

```
INTEL-WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB DEFINITIONS ::= BEGIN IMPORTS
MODULE-IDENTITY, OBJECT-TYPE, NOTIFICATION-TYPE,
Unsigned32, Integer32, mib-2 FROM SNMPv2-SMI
SnmpAdminString
FROM SNMP-FRAMEWORK-MIB
OBJECT-GROUP,
MODULE-COMPLIANCE,
NOTIFICATION-GROUP
FROM SNMPv2-CONF;
intelWmmanPriMib MODULE-IDENTITY
LAST-UPDATED "200506270000Z" -- June 27, 2005
ORGANIZATION "IEEE 802.16"
```
This MIB Module provides the example of how to define vendor specific managed objects for IEEE 802.16-2004 based Base Station to provide critical remote monitoring functions, and is located under the Private MIB subtree. This MIB is not intended to be used directly as defined here. Instead enterprise developers should follow this example when defining their private MIBs.

For example:

```
::= 
```

2. Annex D ASN.1 Definitions of wmanPriMib

[Add a new Annex]

This Annex describes an example of a MIB Module that defines vendor specific managed objects for IEEE 802.16-2004 based Base Station to provide critical remote monitoring functions for temperature, fan and power alarms. This MIB is located under the Private MIB subtree.

For example:

```
```
WMAN PRIVATE MIB

DEFINITIONS ::= BEGIN

IMPORTS
MODULE-IDENTITY, OBJECT-TYPE, NOTIFICATION-TYPE,
Unsigned32, Integer32, mib-2
FROM SNMPv2-SMI
SnmpAdminString
FROM SNMP-FRAMEWORK-MIB
OBJECT-GROUP,
MODULE-COMPLIANCE,
NOTIFICATION-GROUP
FROM SNMPv2-CONF;

wmanPriMib MODULE-IDENTITY
LAST-UPDATED "200506270000Z" -- June 27, 2005
ORGANIZATION "IEEE 802.16"
CONTACT-INFO
"WG E-mail: stds-802-1@ieee.org
WG Chair: Roger B. Marks
Postal: IEEE
E-mail: r.b.marks@ieee.org
TGF Chair: Phillip Barber
Postal: Broadband Mobile Technologies, Inc
E-mail: pbarber@broadbandmobiletech.com
Editor: Joey Chou
Postal: Intel Corporation
5000 W. Chandler Blvd,
Chandler, AZ 85227, USA
E-mail: joey.chou@intel.com"

DESCRIPTION
"This MIB Module provides the example of how to define vendor specific managed objects
for IEEE 802.16-2004 based Base Station to provide
critical remote monitoring functions, and is located
under the Private MIB subtree. This MIB is not intended to be used directly as defined here. Instead
enterprise developers should follow this example when defining their private MIBs.
For example:
iso(1).org(3).dod(6).internet(1).private(4)
.enterprises(1).intel(343).intelExamples(0).wmanPriMib(1)
 ::= { intel.1.4.3.6.1.4.343.1 }

Resolution of Group
Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

1. Change as follows:

-- The path to the root
ord OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { iso 3 }
dod OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { org 6 }
internet OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { dod 1 }
private OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { internet 4 }
enterprises OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { private 1 }
intel OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { enterprises 343 }

WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB-DEFINITIONS ::= BEGIN

IMPORTS
MODULE-IDENTITY,
OBJECT-TYPE,
NOTIFICATION-TYPE,
Unsigned32, Integer32, mib-2
FROM SNMPv2-SMI
SnmpAdminString
FROM SNMP-FRAMEWORK-MIB
OBJECT-GROUP,
MODULE-COMPLIANCE,
NOTIFICATION-GROUP
FROM SNMPv2-CONF;

wmanPriMib MODULE-IDENTITY
LAST-UPDATED "200506270000Z" -- June 27, 2005
ORGANIZATION "IEEE 802.16"
CONTACT-INFO
"WG E-mail: stds-802-1@ieee.org
WG Chair: Roger B. Marks
Postal: IEEE
E-mail: r.b.marks@ieee.org
TGf Chair: Phillip Barber
Postal: Broadband Mobile Technologies, Inc
E-mail: pbarber@broadbandmobitech.com
Editor: Joey Chou
Postal: Intel Corporation
5000 W. Chandler Blvd,
Chandler, AZ 85227, USA
E-mail: joey.chou@intel.com"

DESCRIPTION
"This MIB Module provides the example of how to defines vendor specific managed objects
for IEEE 802.16-2004 based Base Station to provide
critical remote monitoring functions, and is located

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes
Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes
Editor's Actions
k) done

Got a compliation error with the squested remedy "(3) MODULE-IDENTITY clause must be the first declaration in a module"
Use the alternate remedy ::  { iso org(3) dod(6) internet(1) private(4) enterprises(1) intel(343) 1 }
Use the alternate remedy ::= 

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items
The resolution for comment #1036 was deferred until remedy is available. This comments duplicates #1036 and provides the remedy.

iso8802 object identity should be imported - compilation warning:
C:\JobNMS\NG\MIBS\Standard\WMAN-D-1.MIB(47) : Warning 21 : Node "isox0x8802" with OID "1.0.8802" created

Suggested Remedy

Modify MIB as follows:

```markdown
--- The path to the root
std OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { iso 0 }
iso8802 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { std 8802 }
wman OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { iso8802 16 }
```

wmanDevMib MODULE-IDENTITY
LAST-UPDATED "200506270000Z" -- June 27, 2005
ORGANIZATION "IEEE 802.16"
CONTACT-INFO
 "WG E-mail: stds-802-16@ieee.org
   WG Chair: Roger B. Marks
   Postal:   NIST
   E-mail:   r.b.marks@ieee.org"

TG Chair: Phillip Barber
Postal: Broadband Mobile Technologies, Inc
E-mail: pbarber@broadbandmobiletech.com

Editor: Joey Chou
Postal: Intel Corporation
      5000 W. Chandler Blvd,
      Chandler, AZ 85227, USA
E-mail: joey.chou@intel.com"

DESCRIPTION
 "This material is from unapproved IEEE Standards Draft P802.16f/D5
Copyright 2005 IEEE
This MIB Module defines device related managed objects
for IEEE 802.16-2004 based Subscriber Station
and Base Station, and is under
iso(1).std(0).iso8802(8802).wman(16).wmanDevMib(1)"
 ::= { 1.0.8802-16 wman 1 }
```
Modify MIB as follows:

-- The path to the root

std OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { iso 0 }
iso8802 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { std 8802 }
wman OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { iso8802 16 }

wmanDevMib MODULE-IDENTITY
LAST-UPDATED "200506270000Z" -- June 27, 2005
ORGANIZATION "IEEE 802.16"
CONTACT-INFO
"WG E-mail: stds-802-16@ieee.org
WG Chair: Roger B. Marks
Postal: NIST
E-mail: r.b.marks@ieee.org

TG Chair: Phillip Barber
Postal: Broadband Mobile Technologies, Inc
E-mail: pbarber@broadbandmobiletech.com

Editor: Joey Chou
Postal: Intel Corporation
5000 W. Chandler Blvd,
Chandler, AZ 85227, USA
E-mail: joey.chou@intel.com"

DESCRIPTION
"This material is from unapproved IEEE Standards Draft P802.16f/D5
Copyright 2005 IEEE
This MIB Module defines device related managed objects
for IEEE 802.16-2004 based Subscriber Station
and Base Station, and is under
iso(1).std(0).iso8802(8802).wman(16).wmanDevMib(1)"

::= { -10 8802 16 wman 1 }

---

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted

 Modify MIB as follows:

-- The path to the root

std OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { iso 0 }
iso8802 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { std 8802 }
wman OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { iso8802 16 }

wmanDevMib MODULE-IDENTITY
Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution

Group’s Notes

Group’s Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

k) done

Got a compilation error with the suggested remedy "(3) MODULE-IDENTITY clause must be the first declaration in a module"
Use the alternate remedy suggested by the commenter ::= \{ iso std(0) iso8802(8802) wman(16) wmanDevMib(1) \}.

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items
Throughout the document, the unit symbol dBm is found. This is not defined in IEEE/ASTM SI 10 nor in IEEE Std 260.1; these define instead the unit decibel (dB). In fact, IEEE/ASTM SI 10 states in clause 3.5.5, "Attachments of letters to a unit symbol as a means of giving information about the nature of the quantity is incorrect." IEEE Std 260.1 states that reference levels are to be indicated in the text or as part of the quantity symbol, not as part of the unit symbol. The proper emendment would be to either provide annotated quantity symbols or to make a blanket statement that all levels are referenced to some particular value (perhaps 1 mV or perhaps 1 mW, but not both globally) and then to change all instances of dBm to dB.

It is recognized that other SDOs may recognize the unit with symbol dBm but support for its use here ought to be made readily available to the reader. If the WG considers it absolutely essential, for the sake of harmony with standards from other SDOs to use dBm, then this document needs to define that symbol up front and not leave it to the reader to find the correct answer. It would be circular logic to aver that those who already "know the meaning" do not need this support since they already know the meaning. Those who do not know the answer probably also do not know where to find it on their own and they would find no help on that in IEEE/ASTM SI 10 or IEEE Std 260.1.

Suggested Remedy

Emend to change all instances of dBm to dB (preferred) or provide a local definition at the front of the document for dBm (acceptable).

Responding Organization: P802.16f/D5

Documents under Review: IEEE P802.16f/D5

Ballot Number: 0001057

Comment Date: 2009-07-14

Comment Submitted by: James R. Frylinger

Type: Coordination

Page # 999

Line #

Section

Proposed Resolution: Recommendation: Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group: Decision of Group: Rejected

Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution

The term “dBm” is used in IEEE Std 802.16-2004, the base standard. The P802.16f project MIB amendment is not the proper venue to address this issue. Modification/clarification of legacy language use of common technical terms in the base document is not within the scope of the P802.16f project authorization. The P802.16f project authorization limits the scope of the project to addressing only the addition of MIB related elements. Clearly, the legacy use of the common technical term 'dBm' in the base document is not a MIB specific element. However, the comment is squarely within the domain of the existing IEEE P802.16-2004/Cor 1 project, which is developing a Corridendum to the same base standard. A relevant Coordination comment was submitted in the recent IEEE-SA Sponsor Ballot of this Corrigendum project:

SCC14 Coordination Comments on P802.16-2004/Cor 1: Corrigendum to IEEE Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks - Part 16: Air Interface for Fixed Broadband Wireless Access Systems

Very little in this long standard raises any concerns from SCC14. Here are a couple of picky points:

1) The decibel, dB, is of course a permitted unit (although, oddly, it is not SI). Likewise, the dBm is well-enough understood to be permitted also. But I'd like to see a definition (that is, the reference level) of dBi when it first appears (in subclause 8.3.10). The "m" and the "i" would be better as subscripts.
2) A little more care needs to be taken to ensure that all quantity symbols are set, as they should be, in italic. Note that k and k appear interchangeably in 8.4.4.5.2) (k is correct). The integer counting symbol n or N occasionally appears incorrectly as roman.
3) Note that the unit symbol for "second" is "s" and that for "millisecond" is "ms." In Table 342 I find the incorrect "msec," which is specifically not permitted.

For IEEE SCC14
John T. Scott
21 June 2005

The response to that comment will be:

==============
1) In section 4, we have included the following abbreviations:

"dBm   Decibels relative to one milliwatt
dBi   Decibels of gain relative to the zero dB gain of a free-space isotropic radiator"

[Note that dBm is taken from the IEEE Dictionary (IEEE Std 100-1996); dBi is taken from <http://ntia.its.bldrdoc.gov/fs-1037/fs-1037c.htm>]

Regarding subscripting the "m" or the "i", note that the IEEE Dictionary does not subscript the "m" in dBm. Nor does the baseline document IEEE Std 802.16-2004 subscript the "m" or the "i" in dBm or dBi, so I do not want the Corrigendum to be inconsistent with that document. Making such a change would be in the authority of the IEEE staff editor, however.

2) We have reviewed all quantity symbols through out the document (for example the symbol k in section 8.4.4.5.2), and edited them to be italic.

3) We have changed every instance of "msec" to "ms".

==============

Since the P802.16f SCC14 Coordination comment is being fully addressed by the response to the Corrigendum Coordination comment, we believe it is most appropriate to make no corresponding change to the P802.16f draft.
Throughout the document the symbol for bit per second is incorrectly given as bps; the proper symbol is b/s. This error occurs in comment sections of the coding, not in the active code. [IEEE Std 1541, IEEE/ASTM SI 10] Change bps to b/s.

Suggested Remedy
Change "bps" to "b/s"

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Accepted
Change "bps" to "b/s"

Reason for Recommendation
Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted
Change "bps" to "b/s"

Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items
W: f(WMAN-DEV-MIB.mi2), (23,1) MODULE-IDENTITY wmanDevMib should have at least one REVISION clause

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Accepted-Modified Recommendation by
Editor to add the REVISION clause in the MODULE-IDENTITY section

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified
Editor to add the REVISION clause in the MODULE-IDENTITY section

Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution

Group’s Notes

Group’s Action Items

Editor’s Notes

Editor’s Actions k) done

Per RFC 2578, the Editor constructed and inserted the REVISION note as follows:

wmanDevMib MODULE-IDENTITY
LAST-UPDATED "200508010000Z" -- August 1, 2005
ORGANIZATION "IEEE 802.16"
CONTACT-INFO
  "WG E-mail: stds-802-16@ieee.org
  WG Chair: Roger B. Marks
  Postal: IEEE
  E-mail: r.b.marks@ieee.org

TGf Chair: Phillip Barber
Postal: Broadband Mobile Technologies, Inc
E-mail: pbarber@broadbandmobiletech.com

Editor: Joey Chou
Postal: Intel Corporation
  5000 W. Chandler Blvd,
  Chandler, AZ 85227, USA
E-mail: joey.chou@intel.com"
Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items
W: f(WMAN-DEV-MIB.mi2), (178,21) Item "wmanDevSsHwId" should have SIZE specified
W: f(WMAN-DEV-MIB.mi2), (187,21) Item "wmanDevSsSwVersion" should have SIZE specified
W: f(WMAN-DEV-MIB.mi2), (199,21) Item "wmanDevSsUpgradeFileName" should have SIZE specified

Proposed Resolution

Change: OCTET STRING
to: OCTET STRING (SIZE(0..255))
for all those objects.

Add the SIZE of 256 to "wmanDevSsHwId"
Add the SIZE of 256 to "wmanDevSsSwVersion"
Add the SIZE of 256 to "wmanDevSsUpgradeFileName"

Reason for Recommendation

Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Change: OCTET STRING
to: OCTET STRING (SIZE(0..255))
for all those objects.

Add the SIZE of 256 to "wmanDevSsHwId"
Add the SIZE of 256 to "wmanDevSsSwVersion"
Add the SIZE of 256 to "wmanDevSsUpgradeFileName"

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Action Items

k) done
Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Rejected Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation
This is often done in RFC standard MIBs (see comment #2017 with same error in rfc2863!).

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Rejected

Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution
This is often done in RFC standard MIBs (see comment #2017 with same error in rfc2863!).

Group’s Notes
Group’s Action Items

Editor’s Notes Editor’s Actions 1) none needed

Editor’s Questions and Concerns

Editor’s Action Items
Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Remove "IpAddress", "mib-2", "MacAddress" imports

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Remove "IpAddress", "mib-2", "MacAddress" imports

Group's Action Items

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items
W: f(WMAN-DEV-MIB.mi2), (722,1) OBJECT-GROUP "wmanDevMibCmnGroup" is not used in a MODULE-COMPLIANCE in current module

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Accepted-Modified

Add "wmanDevMibCmnGroup" to the MODULE-COMPLIANCE statement

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Add "wmanDevMibCmnGroup" to the MODULE-COMPLIANCE statement

Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution

Group’s Notes

Group’s Action Items

Editor’s Notes Editor’s Actions k) done

Editor’s Questions and Concerns

Editor’s Action Items
Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Rejected Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation
These errors are in rfc2863 not in WMAN-IF-MIB

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Rejected

Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution
These errors are in rfc2863 not in WMAN-IF-MIB

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions 1) none needed

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items
WMAN-IF-MIB

W: f/rfc2863.mi2), (1146,15) Variable "ifIndex" in notification "linkDown" is an index for a table
W: f/rfc2863.mi2), (1158,15) Variable "ifIndex" in notification "linkUp" is an index for a table

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Rejected Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation
These errors are in rfc2863 not in WMAN-IF-MIB

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Rejected

Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution
These errors are in rfc2863 not in WMAN-IF-MIB

Group’s Notes
Group’s Action Items

Editor’s Notes
Editor’s Actions 1) none needed

Editor’s Questions and Concerns

Editor’s Action Items
WMAN-IF-MIB

W: f(rfc2863.mi2), (1691,1) OBJECT-GROUP "ifOldObjectsGroup" is not used in a MODULE-COMPLIANCE in current module

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Rejected Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation
These errors are in rfc2863 not in WMAN-IF-MIB

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Rejected

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution
These errors are in rfc2863 not in WMAN-IF-MIB

Group's Notes
Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions l) none needed

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items
W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (26,1) MODULE-IDENTITY wmanIfMib should have at least one REVISION clause

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution  Recommendation: Accepted-Modified  Recommendation by
Editor to add a REVISION clause to WMAN-IF-MIB MODULE IDENTITY

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group  Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified
Editor to add a REVISION clause to WMAN-IF-MIB MODULE IDENTITY

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes  Editor's Actions  k) done
Per RFC 2578, the Editor constructed and inserted the REVISION note as follows:

wmanIfMib MODULE-IDENTITY
  LAST-UPDATED "200508010000Z" -- August 1, 2005
  ORGANIZATION "IEEE 802.16"
  CONTACT-INFO
    "WG E-mail: stds-802-16@ieee.org
    WG Chair: Roger B. Marks
    Postal: IEEE
    E-mail: r.b.marks@ieee.org

    TGf Chair: Phillip Barber
    Postal: Broadband Mobile Technologies, Inc
    E-mail: pbarber@broadbandmobiletech.com
Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items
Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution | Recommendation: Accepted-Modified | Recommendation by
--- | --- | ---
Change OCTET STRING to OCTET STRING (SIZE(0..65535)) for the following all objects:
- wmanIfBsClassifierRulePhsMask
- wmanIfSsDeviceCert
- wmanIfSsDeviceManufCert
- wmanIfSsUnknownTlv
- wmanIfCmnPhsRulePhsField
- wmanIfCmnPhsRulePhsMask

Change OCTET STRING to OCTET STRING (SIZE(0..255)) for all other objects.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group | Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified
--- | ---
Change OCTET STRING to OCTET STRING (SIZE(0..65535)) for the following all objects:
- wmanIfBsClassifierRulePhsMask
wmanIfSsDeviceCert
wmanIfSsDeviceManufCert
wmanIfSsUnknownTlv
wmanIfCmnPhsRulePhsField
wmanIfCmnPhsRulePhsMask

Change OCTET STRING to OCTET STRING (SIZE(0..255)) for the following objects.
wmanIfBsSsStatusInfo
wmanIfBsDynamicServiceFailReason
wmanIfBsSsRssiStatusInfo
wmanIfBsDynamicServiceFailReason
wmanIfSsRssiStatusInfo

Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution

Group’s Notes
Group’s Action Items

Editor’s Notes Editor’s Actions k) done

Editor’s Questions and Concerns

Editor’s Action Items
Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Rejected**

**Reason for Recommendation**
This is often done in RFC standard MIBs (see comment #2017 with same error in rfc2863!).

**Resolution of Group**
Decision of Group: **Rejected**

**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**
This is often done in RFC standard MIBs (see comment #2017 with same error in rfc2863!).

**Group's Notes**

**Group's Action Items**

**Editor's Notes**

**Editor's Actions**
1) none needed

**Editor's Questions and Concerns**

**Editor's Action Items**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment #</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>Comment submitted by:</th>
<th>Bert Wijnen</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Starting Page #</th>
<th>999</th>
<th>Starting Line #</th>
<th>W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (4485,22) Variable &quot;ifIndex&quot; in notification &quot;wmanIfBsSsStatusNotificationTrap&quot; is an index for a table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (4486,22) Variable &quot;wmanIfBsSsNotificationMacAddr&quot; in notification &quot;wmanIfBsSsStatusNotificationTrap&quot; is an index for a table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (4497,22) Variable &quot;ifIndex&quot; in notification &quot;wmanIfBsSsDynamicServiceFailTrap&quot; is an index for a table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (4498,22) Variable &quot;wmanIfBsSsNotificationMacAddr&quot; in notification &quot;wmanIfBsSsDynamicServiceFailTrap&quot; is an index for a table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (4509,22) Variable &quot;ifIndex&quot; in notification &quot;wmanIfBsSsRssiStatusChangeTrap&quot; is an index for a table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (4510,22) Variable &quot;wmanIfBsSsNotificationMacAddr&quot; in notification &quot;wmanIfBsSsRssiStatusChangeTrap&quot; is an index for a table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (4521,22) Variable &quot;wmanIfBsSsNotificationMacAddr&quot; in notification &quot;wmanIfBsSsPkmFailTrap&quot; is an index for a table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (4528,22) Variable &quot;wmanIfBsSsNotificationMacAddr&quot; in notification &quot;wmanIfBsSsRegisterTrap&quot; is an index for a table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (7425,22) Variable &quot;ifIndex&quot; in notification &quot;wmanIfSsTlvUnknownTrap&quot; is an index for a table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (7435,22) Variable &quot;ifIndex&quot; in notification &quot;wmanIfSsDynamicServiceFailTrap&quot; is an index for a table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (7447,22) Variable &quot;ifIndex&quot; in notification &quot;wmanIfSsDhcpSuccessTrap&quot; is an index for a table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (7456,22) Variable &quot;ifIndex&quot; in notification &quot;wmanIfSsRssiStatusChangeTrap&quot; is an index for a table</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Suggested Remedy**

**Proposed Resolution**

**Recommendation:** Rejected

**Reason for Recommendation**

This is often done in RFC standard MIBs (see comment #2017 with same error in rfc2863!).

**Resolution of Group**

**Decision of Group:** Rejected

**Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution**

This is often done in RFC standard MIBs (see comment #2017 with same error in rfc2863!).

**Group's Notes**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Editor's Notes</th>
<th>Editor's Action Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>l) none needed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comment # 2023 Comment submitted by: Bert Wijnen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (8,20) "TimeTicks" imported but not used
W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (8,31) "IpAddress" imported but not used
W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (23,18) "InterfaceIndexOrZero" imported but not used

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Accepted-Modified Recommendation by
Remove "TimeTicks", "IpAddress" and "InterfaceIndexOrZero" imports.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified
Remove "TimeTicks", "IpAddress" and "InterfaceIndexOrZero" imports.

Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution

Group’s Notes

Group’s Action Items

Editor’s Notes Editor’s Actions k) done

Editor’s Questions and Concerns

Editor’s Action Items
Comment # 2024  Starting Page #: 999

W: f(WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB.mi2), (16,1) MODULE-IDENTITY wmanPriMib should have at least one REVISION clause

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution  Recommendation: Accepted-Modified

Editor to add a REVISION clause to WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB MODULE IDENTITY

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group  Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Editor to add a REVISION clause to WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB MODULE IDENTITY

Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution

Group’s Notes

Group’s Action Items

Editor’s Notes

Editor’s Actions  k) done

Per RFC 2578, the Editor constructed and inserted the REVISION note as follows:

wmanPriMib MODULE-IDENTITY
  LAST-UPDATED "200508010000Z" -- August 1, 2005
  ORGANIZATION "IEEE 802.16"
  CONTACT-INFO
    "WG E-mail: stds-802-16@ieee.org
    WG Chair: Roger B. Marks
    Postal: IEEE
    E-mail: r.b.marks@ieee.org

  TGf Chair: Phillip Barber
  Postal: Broadband Mobile Technologies, Inc
Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items
W: f(WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB.mi2), (217,21) Item "wmanPriPowerStatusInfo" should have SIZE specified
W: f(WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB.mi2), (225,21) Item "wmanPriFanStatusInfo" should have SIZE specified
W: f(WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB.mi2), (233,21) Item "wmanPriTemperatureStatusInfo" should have SIZE specified

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Accepted-Modified Recommendation by
Change: OCTET STRING to: OCTET STRING (SIZE(0..255)) for all those objects.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified
Change: OCTET STRING to: OCTET STRING (SIZE(0..255)) for all those objects.

wmanPriPowerStatusInfo
wmanPriFanStatusInfo
wmanPriTemperatureStatusInfo

Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution

Group’s Notes
Group’s Action Items

Editor’s Notes
Editor’s Actions k) done

Editor’s Questions and Concerns
Variable "wmanPriDeviceIndex" in notification "wmanPriPowerStatusChangeTrap" is an index for a table

Variable "wmanPriDeviceIndex" in notification "wmanPriFanStatusTrap" is an index for a table

Variable "wmanPriDeviceIndex" in notification "wmanPriTemperatureChangeTrap" is an index for a table

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Rejected Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation
This is often done in RFC standard MIBs (see comment #2017 with same error in rfc2863!).

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Rejected

Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution
This is often done in RFC standard MIBs (see comment #2017 with same error in rfc2863!).

Group’s Notes

Group’s Action Items

Editor’s Notes

Editor’s Actions 1) none needed

Editor’s Questions and Concerns

Editor’s Action Items
Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Accepted-Modified

Add the following missing objects to the compliance statement groups:
IEEE 802.16-05/044r4

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Add the following missing objects to the compliance statement groups

W: f(WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB.mi2), (57,1) Item "wmanPriTrapControlRegister" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
W: f(WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB.mi2), (98,1) Item "wmanPriDeviceIndex" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
W: f(WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB.mi2), (118,1) Item "wmanPriTempLowAlarmThreshold" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
W: f(WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB.mi2), (128,1) Item "wmanPriTempLowAlarmRestoredThreshold" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
W: f(WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB.mi2), (140,1) Item "wmanPriTempHighAlarmThreshold" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
W: f(WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB.mi2), (180,1) Item "wmanPriTempHighAlarmRestoredThreshold" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
W: f(WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB.mi2), (200,1) Item "wmanPriPowerStatus" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
W: f(WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB.mi2), (224,1) Item "wmanPriFanStatusInfo" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
W: f(WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB.mi2), (232,1) Item "wmanPriTemperatureStatusInfo" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
W: f(WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB.mi2), (243,1) Item "wmanPriPowerStatusChangeTrap" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
W: f(WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB.mi2), (253,1) Item "wmanPriFanStatusTrap" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
W: f(WMAN-PRIVATE-MIB.mi2), (262,1) Item "wmanPriTemperatureChangeTrap" is not contained in any group defined in the current module

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items
The text reads: "The wmanDevMib is composed of two groups" and then it shows three groups...

Suggested Remedy
Replace "two" by "three"

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Accepted Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted

Replace "two" by "three"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

k) done

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items
In subclause 14.1 (page 47, line 12 and page 190, line 31)

Remove: "If the referenced parameter is not present in a classifier, this object reports the value of ipv4(1)."

However there is no TLV for the address type in 11.13.19.3.4 and this parameter is always known by the address type used by the CS type.

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Accepted-Modified

wmanIfBsClassifierRuleIpAddressType and wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleIpAddressType are redundant since they can be inferred by the service flow parameters. Therefore, wmanIfBsClassifierRuleIpAddressType and wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleIpAddressType should be removed.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

wmanIfBsClassifierRuleIpAddressType and wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleIpAddressType are redundant since they can be inferred by the service flow parameters. Therefore, wmanIfBsClassifierRuleIpAddressType and wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleIpAddressType should be removed.

Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group’s Action Items

Editor’s Notes

Editor’s Actions k) done
Suggested Remedy
Replace "downlink" by "uplink"

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Accepted
Replace "downlink" by "uplink"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted
Replace "downlink" by "uplink"

Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution

Group’s Notes

Group’s Action Items

Editor’s Notes

Editor’s Actions k) done

Editor’s Questions and Concerns

Editor’s Action Items
Replace multiple occurrences of 'WmanIfMaxNumOfClassifier' by 'WmanIfMaxNumOfClassifiers'

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Accepted

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

k) done

Since WmanIfMaxNumOfClassifiers is too long that can cause wrap-around in the PDF file, the editor change "WmanIfMaxNumOfClassifier" to "WmanIfMaxNumOfClassifiers"

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor’s Action Items
Suggested Remedy
Replace multiple occurrences of 'WmanIfPhsRulVerify' by 'WmanIfPhsRuleVerify'

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Accepted
Replace multiple occurrences of 'WmanIfPhsRulVerify' by 'WmanIfPhsRuleVerify'

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Accepted
Replace multiple occurrences of 'WmanIfPhsRulVerify' by 'WmanIfPhsRuleVerify'

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes
Group's Action Items

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items
The Classifier rule tables are incomplete. They do not include the classification parameter for IPv6 Flow Label in sub-clause 11.13.19.3.8.

To be consistent with the rest of P802.16f/D5, the object (to be added) should consist of just one IPv6 Flow Label value and the "list of" text should not be included in its description.

Suggested Remedy
Add the classification parameter for IPv6 Flow Label consisting of one IPv6 Flow Label value. Changes are needed in the *ClassifierRuleEntry, "WmanIfCmnClassifierRuleEntry", "WmanIfBsClassifierRuleEntry", "WmanIfCmnClassifierRuleBitMap", and "WmanIfBsClassifierRuleBitMap" objects.

Proposed Resolution
Add the classification parameter for IPv6 Flow Label consisting of one IPv6 Flow Label value. Changes are needed in the *ClassifierRuleEntry, "WmanIfCmnClassifierRuleEntry", "WmanIfBsClassifierRuleEntry", "WmanIfCmnClassifierRuleBitMap", and "WmanIfBsClassifierRuleBitMap" objects.

Reason for Recommendation
Add the classification parameter for IPv6 Flow Label consisting of one IPv6 Flow Label value. Changes are needed in the *ClassifierRuleEntry, "WmanIfCmnClassifierRuleEntry", "WmanIfBsClassifierRuleEntry", "WmanIfCmnClassifierRuleBitMap", and "WmanIfBsClassifierRuleBitMap" objects.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution
Add the classification parameter for IPv6 Flow Label consisting of one IPv6 Flow Label value. Changes are needed in the *ClassifierRuleEntry, "WmanIfCmnClassifierRuleEntry", "WmanIfBsClassifierRuleEntry", "WmanIfCmnClassifierRuleBitMap", and "WmanIfBsClassifierRuleBitMap" objects.

Editor's Questions and Concerns
k) done

Editor's Action Items
Replace the last line of 11.2.8:

\texttt{disallows access to all objects in some table except for someTable.1.3.wmanIfMib ::= \{transmission 184\}-- WMAN interface table With: disallows write-access to all writable objects in someTable except for someTable.1.3.}

\textbf{Suggested Remedy}

Replace the last line of 11.2.8:

\texttt{disallows access to all objects in some table except for someTable.1.3.wmanIfMib ::= \{transmission 184\}-- WMAN interface table With: disallows write-access to all writable objects in someTable except for someTable.1.3.}

\textbf{Proposed Resolution} \hspace{1cm} \textbf{Recommendation: Accepted-Modified} \hspace{1cm} \textbf{Recommendation by}

See resolution of comment 2002

\textbf{Reason for Recommendation}

\textbf{Resolution of Group} \hspace{1cm} \textbf{Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified}

See resolution of comment 2002

\textbf{Reason for Group’s Decision/Resolution}

\textbf{Group’s Notes}

\textbf{Group’s Action Items}

\textbf{Editor’s Notes} \hspace{1cm} \textbf{Editor’s Actions} \hspace{1cm} l) none needed

\textbf{Editor’s Questions and Concerns}

\textbf{Editor’s Action Items}