IEEE 802.16 Working Group on Broadband Wireless Access



http://WirelessMAN.org

Dr. Roger B. Marks, Chair 325 Broadway, MC 818.00 Boulder, CO 80305 USA Tel: +1 303 497 7837 mailto:r.b.marks@ieee.org 12 August 2005

Dear IEEE-SA RevCom:

This submittal is an application for approval of IEEE P802.16e/D10 ("Draft Amendment to IEEE Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks - Part 16: Air Interface for Fixed and Mobile Broadband Wireless Access Systems - Amendment for Physical and Medium Access Control Layers for Combined Fixed and Mobile Operation in Licensed Bands").

Attached to this letter, please find the following:

- Page 2-5: IEEE-SA Standards Board Form for Submittal of Proposed Standards
- Page 6-9: Coordination comments and responses:

The draft itself will be included separately in PDF format and supplied to the IEEE Staff Project Editor in FrameMaker format. The ballot results will be provided directly to the RevCom Administrator to avoid publicizing the private contact information of the ballot group members.

As of this time, the final 15-day recirculation has been requested. We expect it open before 12 August. Until that recirculation is complete, I cannot completely confirm the approval ratio. However, many of the remaining Disapprove voters have indicated to us that they are satisfied and intend to convert their vote to Approve. Based on this information, we estimate that the current voting result is 133 Approve, 6 Disapprove, and 10 Abstain, for an approval ratio of 95.7%.

The cover letter for the upcoming recirculation is available as hyperlinked document IEEE 802.16-05/057.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Roger B. Marks Chair, IEEE 802.16 Working Group on Broadband Wireless Access

IEEE-SA STANDARDS BOARD FORM FOR SUBMITTAL OF PROPOSED STANDARDS

1. PROJECT NUMBER: P802.16e

2. DATE: 12 August 2005

E-Mail: r.b.marks@ieee.org

3. TITLE: Draft Amendment to IEEE Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks - Part 16: Air Interface for Fixed and Mobile Broadband Wireless Access Systems - Amendment for Physical and Medium Access Control Layers for Combined Fixed and Mobile Operation in Licensed Bands

4. SPONSOR (Full name of society/committee): Computer Society/LMSC + Microwave Theory & Techniques Society

5. BALLOTING COMMITTEE: IEEE 802.16 Working Group + Microwave Theory and Techniques Society

6. NAME OF WORKING GROUP: IEEE 802.16 Working Group on Broadband Wireless Access

7. NAME AND ADDRESS OF SUBMITTER

Roger B. Marks NIST 325 Broadway, MC 818.00 Boulder, CO 80305 USA

Telephone: +1 303 497 7837 Fax: -

8. DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT (Check one from each column.)

| X New □ Revision □ Reaffirmation □ Withdrawal | Standard Recommended Practice Guide Amendment/Corrigenda to an exstandard (Indicate number and year) | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| 8A. REAFFIRMATION ONLY: | The Sponsor confirms that the balloting group agrees that this standard continues to be useful in its current form and contains no significant obsolete or erroneous information. | | |

9. BALLOT INFORMATION

List the interest categories of **eligible** balloters only. Refer to the IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual and the Working Guide for Submittal of Proposed Standards for the rules of balloting committee classification.

| User | 36 | Pro | ducer | 85 | Gene | ral Interest | 61 | Government | 3 |
|-----------------------------|------|----------|---------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----|
| Interest Category | No. | Inte | erest Category | No. | Interes | t Category | No. | Interest Category | No. |
| SUMMARY OF ELIGIBLE BALLOTS | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | NITIAL BALL | OT | RECIRCULATION BALLOT (if applicable) | | | | |
| | | Draft D5 | Date Closed: | 2004-11-04 | <u>.</u> | Draft D9 | Da | te Closed: 2005-07-14 | |
| | | Number | Percentage | | | Number | | rcentage | |
| Eligible Balloters | | 185 | 100% | | | 185 | 10 | 0% | |
| Ballots Returned | | 141 | 76 | | | 149 | 80 | | |
| Affirmatives | | 74 | 56 | | | 128 | 91 | | |
| Total Negatives | | 58 | <u>N/A</u> | | | 12 | <u>N/</u> | <u>A</u> | |
| Abstentions | | 9 | 06 | | | 09 | 06 | | |
| Reasons for abstention | ons: | Lack | of time = $\underline{7}$ | | Lack o | of expertise - | = 1 | Other = 1 | |

10. RESOLUTION OF COMMENTS AND NEGATIVE VOTES

All balloting group members, observers, and coordinating groups have been advised of substantive changes made with respect to the balloted draft standard (in response to comments, in resolving negative votes, or for other reasons) and have received copies of all unresolved negative votes with reasons from the negative voter and the rebuttal, and have been advised that they have an opportunity to change their votes.

| A. | Have unresolved comments accompanying negative | 🏽 Yes | □ No | \square No unresolved comments |
|----|--|-------------|-------|----------------------------------|
| | votes been circulated? Include unresolved negative commen- | ts and rebu | ttal. | |
| В. | Have substantive document changes been circulated? | 🏝 Yes | □ No | □ No substantive changes |

11. COORDINATION ACTIVITY (Not required for reaffirmation)

Using the abbreviations listed below, indicate the response received from each committee/organization required for coordination and include a copy of the response. Include documentation authorizing coordination by common membership, if applicable.

| R = Received | R/C = Received with com | ment $NR = Not rece$ | eived |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| Committee/Organization | Response | Committee/Organization | Response |
| SCC10 (IEEE Dictionary) | NR | | |
| SCC14 (Quantities, Units, & Letter S | Symbols) R/C | | |
| IEEE Standards Editorial Staff | R | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Indicate below any unresolved problems from coordination activities.

Comment 6347, received from SCC14 in the fourth recirculation, was not accepted. The comment suggests the addition of a definition of "dBm". The group noted that such a definition is included in a corrigendum draft that will be submitted to RevCom simultaneously. The group believes that the problem is better addressed in the corrigendum and need not be duplicated here.

| | | b | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | ENT/COPYRIGHT and REGISTRATION ISSUES | | | | | | |
| | y patent letters of assurance (LoAs) received by the Sp | onsor are to be forwarded to the PatCom | | | | | |
| Administrator [Fax: + 1 732 875 0524].B. Is there any copyrighted material in the proposed standard?□ Yes☑ Yos | | | | | | | |
| | es, include copyright release(s). | | | | | | |
| | he registration of objects and/or numbers a provision of | f \Box Yes XNo \Box Already approved by RAC | | | | | |
| | proposed standard? If yes, include a proposal for revie | | | | | | |
| by t | the IEEE-SA Registration Authority Committee (RAC |). | | | | | |
| 13 INT | ERNATIONAL STANDARDS ACTIVITIES (Not r | equired for reaffirmation) | | | | | |
| | s document intended to be the basis of or included in a | | | | | | |
| | | reliminary Draft New Recommendation under development in | | | | | |
| ITU-R W | Vorking Party 8A. | | | | | | |
| 14 UNU | T OF MEASUREMENT (check one) | | | | | | |
| | | bund \Box Both \Box Not measurement sensitive | | | | | |
| 2 m | | | | | | | |
| □ Otl | her | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | rce Materials Submitted to IEEE Standards Depar | | | | | | |
| | ave electronic versions of the source documents (text a een provided? | and figures) Δ Yes \Box No Format: <u>FrameMaker</u> | | | | | |
| | <i>7 i i i i i i i i i i</i> | company the \Box Yes Δ No | | | | | |
| | ublished standard? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 16. Subr | mission checklist (X = included in submittal packa | | | | | | |
| | Submission Package Item | List URL if online | | | | | |
| X | This submittal form | http://ieee802.org/16/docs/05/80216-05_059r1.pdf | | | | | |
| X | Ballot summary form(s) (1 per ballot cycle) | emailed to RevCom Admin to protect private contact info | | | | | |
| X | Copies of unresolved negatives & rebuttals | http://ieee802.org/16/docs/05/80216-05_058.pdf | | | | | |
| X | PAR and PAR approval letter | http://ieee802.org/16/docs/04/80216-04_33r6.pdf | | | | | |
| Х | Coordination comments and responses | http://ieee802.org/16/docs/05/80216-05_059r1.pdf | | | | | |
| Х | .pdf of final balloted draft #D10 | http://ieee802.org/16/private/drafts/tge/P80216e_D10.zip | | | | | |
| N/A | Permissions & copyright releases | | | | | | |

DATE: 2005-08-12

This draft standard has been developed in accordance with the policies and procedures of the Sponsor and I am authorized by those policies and procedures to make this submittal.

Roger B. Marks

Chair, IEEE 802.16 WG

Signature of Submitter

Title (role in Sponsor)

FOR STANDARDS DEPARTMENT USE ONLY

IEEE-SA Standards Board Chair

Signature of IEEE-SA Officer

Title

Date

Return to:

IEEE Standards Department RevCom Secretary 445 Hoes Lane PO Box 1331 Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331

Coordination Comments and Responses

(1) Editorial

Ballot/Comment Data for 0001045 (P802.16e/D8 3rd Recirculation)
Submitted Mon Jun 6 15:00:25 EDT 2005
Type: comment
Record Number: 00001001

ballot_code = 0001045
form_type = comment
ieee_number = 00001001
name = Michelle Turner
email = m.d.turner@ieee.org
phone = 732-562-3825
fax = 732-562-1571
org = IEEE
page = general
line =
subclause =
comment_type = Coordination
comment = Separate electronic files of figures shall be supplied in TIFF format (unless created in FrameMaker).
suggested_remedy =

(2) SCC14

Ballot/Comment Data for 0000754 (P802.16e) # Submitted Fri Oct 29 12:05:32 EDT 2004 # Type: comment # Record Number: 00001002 $ballot_code = 0000754$ form_type = comment ieee number = 00001002name = John T. Scott email = john.scott@physics.org phone = 973-748-1399 fax = 973-748-7074org = IEEE SCC14 page = General line = subclause = comment_type = Coordination comment = This draft meets all the requirements of IEEE SCC14. suggested_remedy =

Ballot/Comment Data for 0001037 (P802.16e/D7 2nd Recirculation) # Submitted Wed Apr 27 10:32:10 EDT 2005 # Type: comment # Record Number: 00001002

ballot_code = 0001037 form_type = comment ieee_number = 00001002 name = John T. Scott email = john.scott@physics.org phone = 973-748-1399 fax = 973-748-7074 org = IEEE SCC14 page = General line = subclause = comment_type = Coordination comment = SCC14 is happy that our recommendations concerning typography etc. have been understood and addressed. Thank you. suggested_remedy =

Ballot/Comment Data for 0001045 (P802.16e/D8 3rd Recirculation)
Submitted Wed Jun 1 10:49:30 EDT 2005
Type: comment
Record Number: 00001002

ballot_code = 0001045 form_type = comment ieee_number = 00001002 name = John T. Scott email = john.scott@physics.org phone = 973-748-1399 fax = 973-748-7074 org = IEEE SCC14 page = General line = subclause = comment_type = Coordination comment = This document meets SCC14 standards. suggested_remedy = None needed

Ballot/Comment Data for 0001056 (P802.16e/D9 4th Recirculation)
Submitted Sun Jul 10 11:02:17 EDT 2005
Type: comment
Record Number: 00001002

ballot code = 0001056form_type = comment $ieee_number = 00001002$ name = James R. Frysinger email = frysingerj@cofc.edu phone = 843.953.7644fax = 843.953.4824 org = College of Charleston/Dept. of Physics and Astronomy page = generalline = subclause = comment_type = Coordination comment = Throughout the document, the unit symbol dBm is found. This is not defined in IEEE/ASTM SI 10 nor in IEEE Std 260.1; these define instead the unit decibel (dB). In fact, IEEE/ASTM SI 10 states in clause 3.5.5, "Attachments of letters to a unit symbol as a means of giving information about the nature of teh quantity is incorrect." IEEE Std 260.1 states that reference levels are to be indicated in the text or as part of the quantity symbol, not as part of the unit symbol. The proper emendment would be to either provide annotated quantity symbols or to make a blanket statement that all levels are referenced to some particular value (perhaps 1 mV or

perhaps 1 mW, but not both globally) and then to change all instances of dBm to dB.

It is recognized that other SDOs may recognize the unit with symbol dBm but support for its use here ought to be made readily available to the reader. If the WG considers it absolutely essential, for the sake of harmony with standards from other SDOs to use dBm, then this document needs to define that symbol up front and not leave it to the reader to find the correct answer. It would be circular logic to aver that those who already "know the meaning" do not need this support since they already know the meaning. Those who do not know the answer probably also do not know where to find it on their own and they would find no help on that in IEEE/ASTM SI 10 or IEEE Std 260.1.

suggested_remedy = Emend to change all instances of dBm to dB (preferred) or provide a local definition at the front of the document for dBm (acceptable).

Response

The term "dBm" is used in IEEE Std 802.16-2004, the base standard. Therefore, we prefer to choose the second option of the suggested remedy. However, the comment is more appropriately addressed within the existing IEEE P802.16-2004/Cor 1 project, which is developing a Corridendum to the same base standard. A relevant Coordination comment was submitted in the recent IEEE-SA Sponsor Ballot of this Corrigendum project:

SCC14 Coordination Comments on P802.16-2004/Cor 1: Corrigendum to IEEE Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks - Part 16: Air Interface for Fixed Broadband Wireless Access Systems

Very little in this long standard raises any concerns from SCC14. Here are a couple of picky points:

1) The decibel, dB, is of course a permitted unit (although, oddly, it is not SI). Likewise, the dBm is well-enough understood to be permitted also. But I'd like to see a definition (that is, the

reference level) of dBi when it first appears (in subclause 8.3.10). The "m" and the "i" would be better as subscripts.

2) A little more care needs to be taken to ensure that all quantity symbols are set, as they should be, in italic. Note that k and k appear interchangeably in 8.4.4.5 2) (k is correct). The integer counting symbol n or N occasionally appears incorrectly as roman.

3) Note that the unit symbol for "second" is "s" and that for "millisecond" is "ms." In Table 342 I find the incorrect "msec," which is specifically not permitted.

For IEEE SCC14 John T. Scott 21 June 2005

The response to that comment will be:

1) In section 4, we have included the following abbreviations:

"dBm Decibels relative to one milliwatt dBi Decibels of gain relative to the zero dB gain of a free-space isotropic radiator"

[Note that dBm is taken from the IEEE Dictionary (IEEE Std 100-1996); dBi is taken from http://ntia.its.bldrdoc.gov/fs-1037/fs-1037c.htm]

Regarding subscripting the "m" or the "i", note that the IEEE Dictionary does not subscript the "m" in dBm. Nor does the baseline document IEEE Std 802.16-2004 subscript the "m" or the "i" in dBm or dBi, so I do not want the Corrigendum to be inconsistent with that document. Making such a change would be in the authority of the IEEE staff editor, however.

2) We have reviewed all quantity symbols through out the document (for example the symbol k in section 8.4.4.5.2), and edited them to be italic.

3) We have changed every instance of "msec" to "ms".

Since the P802.16e SCC14 Coordination comment is being fully addressed by the response to the Corrigendum Coordination comment, we believe it is most appropriate to make no corresponding change to the P802.16e draft.