

Document under Review: [P802.16-2004/Cor1/D5](#)Ballot Number: **0001080**

Comment Date

Comment # Comment submitted by: [Lalit](#)[Kotecha](#)

Member

[2005-09-21](#)

Comment	Type	Technical	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	-----------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

[Not satisfied with resolution of comment. Continue my Disapprove vote with same comment](#)

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation by
---------------------	-----------------	-------------------

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group	Decision of Group: Repeat of Comment
---------------------	--

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

[Please see the response to Comment 017 in IEEE 802.16-05/065r5 \(P802.16-2004/Cor1/D4 Sponsor Ballot Recirculation Comments\).](#)

[No action need be taken, as the comment has already been recirculated.](#)

Group's Notes**Group's Action Items**

Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions
----------------	------------------

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **P802.16-2004/Cor1/D5**Ballot Number: **0001080**

Comment Date

Comment #	Comment submitted by: James R.	Frysinger	Other	2005-09-24
-----------	--------------------------------	-----------	-------	------------

Comment	Type	Coordination	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	--------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

I do see a definition of "dBm" here under "Abbreviations and Acronyms" (though "dBm" is a unit symbol and neither of these).

Is FED-STD-1037C going to be added to the list of references or to the bibliography? This relates to my comment on P802.16e a few moments ago.

Suggested Remedy

Add FED-STD-1037C to the list of references or to the bibliography.

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation by
---------------------	-----------------	-------------------

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group	Decision of Group: Accepted (in principle)
---------------------	--

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The Working Group has already responded to an SCC14 Coordination Comment from John T. Scott on this issue, and he has accepted our response.

Mr. Frysinger is following up on an SCC14 Coordination Comment that he submitted previously in a different ballot (P802.16e). In that case, the 802.16 Working Group suggested that the issue would best be handled in the P802.16-2004/Cor1 ballot. The WG also provided Mr. Frysinger with the explicit response to Mr. Scott's comment. Mr. Frysinger responded with a rather detailed note accepting this resolution [see IEEE 802.16-05/059r2 <http://ieee802.org/16/docs/05/80216-05_059r2.pdf>, pages 8-10].

In Mr. Frysinger's detailed note in the P802.16e ballot, he mentioned in regard to FED-STD-1037C that "I must trust that the baseline document includes the citation in its bibliography or references." This item was not submitted in or transmitted to the P802.16-2004/Cor1 ballot process for consideration, until now.

At this time, Mr. Frysinger is suggesting that we "Add FED-STD-1037C to the list of references or to the bibliography." We accept the comment, in principle. However, we do not believe that this response warrants another recirculation. We would be happy to communicate to the IEEE-SA editorial staff a request for the implementation of the following editorial instruction:

In Annex A [Bibliography], Insert new reference and renumber the remaining references as needed:

*Federal Standard 1037C, Telecommunications: Glossary of Telecommunication Terms, August 1996

<<http://ntia.its.bldrdoc.gov/fs-1037/fs-1037c.htm>>