

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Wenhao Zhu

Membership Status: Member

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 1

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 5 Line 4 Fig/Table# Subclause ?

All others use lowercase, so I think "authentication" is better than "Authentication".

Suggested Remedy

AC authentication control

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Comment appears to be towards a different ballot.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Wenhao Zhu

Membership Status: Member

Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 2

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 5 Line 15 Fig/Table# Subclause 4

relay is better than Relay

Suggested Remedy

R-ACK relay ACK

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Comment appears to be towards a different ballot.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Scc14 Coordination Membership Status: Member Date: 13-Aug-2008

Comment # 3 Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<u>Satisfied</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>
----------------	-------------	--------------------	------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------------	------------------

General

SCC14 coordination OK.

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

Comment by: Pieter-Paul GiesbertsMembership Status: MemberDate: 3-Sep-2008Comment # 4Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 46	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 11.11
----------------	-----------------------	--	---	---------------	----------------	-------------------	------------------------

REP-REQ messages can request measurement for zone-specific physical CINR over a DL zone with a specific PRBS_ID. For the first PUSC zone, no PRBS_ID is defined (as it is only defined in a zone-switching IE such as STC_DL_Zone_IE() in 8.3.5.3.4), so clarification is required. For the CQICH_Alloc_IE this has already been clarified as follows: "The PRBS_ID of the zone on which to report or the Segment number + 1 (see 8.3.9.4.1) as indicated by the frame preamble for the first DL Zone or DL AAS zone with Diversity_Map support.". Therefore we suggest to use the same clarification for REP-REQ messages.

Suggested Remedy

Change text in value column as follows:

from

"Bits 5-6: PRBS_ID of the zone for which CINR should be estimated. Ignored for safety channel."

to

"Bits 5-6: PRBS_ID of the zone for which CINR should be estimated or the Segment number + 1 (see 8.3.9.4.1) as indicated by the frame preamble for the first DL Zone or DL AAS zone with Diversity_Map support. Ignored for safety channel."

GroupResolution**Decision of Group: Principle**

[Change text in value column as follows:]

from

"Bits 5-6: PRBS_ID of the zone for which CINR should be estimated. Ignored for safety channel."

to

"Bits 5-6: PRBS_ID of the zone for which CINR should be estimated or the Segment number as indicated by the frame preamble for the first DL Zone or DL AAS zone with Diversity_Map support. Ignored for safety channel."

[in 8.3.5.4.12, p 881, line 26]

replace "Segment number + 1"

with "Segment number"

and remove reference to 8.3.9.4.1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes**

Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions** a) done

Coordinated changes with Itzik

2008/08/05**IEEE 802.16-08/049r3****Comment by:** Pieter-Paul Giesberts**Membership Status:** Member**Date:** 3-Sep-2008**Comment #** 5**Document under Review:** P802.16Rev2/D6a**Ballot ID:** 802.16Rev2R0**Comment** **Type** Technical **Part of Dis** ☒ **Satisfied** ☐ **Page** ? **Line** 35 **Fig/Table#** **Subclause** 11.11

REP-REQ messages can request measurement for zone-specific effective CINR over a DL zone with a specific PRBS_ID. For the first PUSC zone, no PRBS_ID is defined (as it is only defined in a zone-switching IE such as STC_DL_Zone_IE() in 8.3.5.3.4), so clarification is required. For the CQICH_Alloc_IE this has already been clarified as follows: "The PRBS_ID of the zone on which to report or the Segment number + 1 (see 8.3.9.4.1) as indicated by the frame preamble for the first DL Zone or DL AAS zone with Diversity_Map support.". Therefore I suggest to use the same clarification for REP-REQ messages.

Suggested Remedy

Change text in Value column as follows. From:

"Bits 5-6: PRBS_ID of the zone for which effective CINR should be reported. Ignored for Safety Channel."
to

"Bits 5-6: PRBS_ID of the zone for which effective CINR should be reported or the Segment number + 1 (see 8.3.9.4.1) as indicated by the frame preamble for the first DL Zone or DL AAS zone with Diversity_Map support. Ignored for Safety Channel."

GroupResolution**Decision of Group:** Principle

Change text in Value column as follows. From:

"Bits 5-6: PRBS_ID of the zone for which effective CINR should be reported. Ignored for Safety Channel."
to

"Bits 5-6: PRBS_ID of the zone for which effective CINR should be reported or the Segment number as indicated by the frame preamble for the first DL Zone or DL AAS zone with Diversity_Map support. Ignored for Safety Channel."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes**

9/16: deferred

Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions** a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Michelle Turner

Membership Status: Member

Date: 4-Sep-2008

Comment # 6

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 0 Line 0 Fig/Table# Subclause 0

Figures in IEEE standards are printed in black ink only. Please make sure the color that exists in your graphics is not needed to understand the graphic.

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Michelle Turner

Membership Status: Member

Date: 4-Sep-2008

Comment # 7

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 0 Line 0 Fig/Table# Subclause 0

Have you secured permission to use data from other sources, including text, tables, or figures? Even if they were used in a previous edition, those permissions might need to be updated.

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

We have reviewed the permission from Cable Television Laboratories (see i) and see no reason to update the existing permission statements and we are not aware of any other materials for which permission is required.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Michelle Turner

Membership Status: Member

Date: 4-Sep-2008

Comment # 8

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 9 Line 0 Fig/Table# Subclause 2

I noticed that many of the IEEE references have years attached to them, most of them outdated. However, as the introductory paragraph of the standard explains, undated references are automatically updated to the most recent editions. Unless the references are only to be made to the older editions, please remove the years.

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Remove the years from all IEEE references listed in Subclause 2.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Michelle Turner

Membership Status: Member

Date: 4-Sep-2008

Comment # 9

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 0 Line 0 Fig/Table# Subclause 0

Please review the labeling of informative text within the standard. Currently, Table 3, 7.2.2.2.9.1.3, and a table cell of Table 459 are labeled as "informative" or "informational." Informative text shall be placed in notes (to text, tables, and figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative. Please change accordingly.

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

- (1) For Figure 3: Move subclause 1.4.4 into a new Annex N
- (2) for 7.2.2.2.9.1.3: move subclause to a new Annex O
- (3) for table 459:
 - (a) remove PAPR column from Table 459
 - (b) create a new Annex P that lists PAPR for given preamble modulation series index

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

9/16: deferred

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions h) pass off to other editor

Ron to create Annex N, O and P

Scott to remove PAPR column from Table 459

MSP -- Itzik to remove PAPR from Table 459. I deleted subclause 1.4.4 and subclause 7.2.2.2.9.1.3

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Michelle Turner

Membership Status: Member

Date: 4-Sep-2008

Comment # 10

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 0 Line 0 Fig/Table# Subclause 0

Many equations use multidots. From the IEEE Style Manual, "a multiplication sign, rather than the letter "x" or a multidot, should be used to indicate multiplication of numbers and numerical values. Please go through the equations and make the appropriate changes.

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

All editors to scan equations in their sections for multidots. Replace with the multiplication sign.

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 11

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 52 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.1.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 12

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 52 Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.1.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "should" to "would"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 13

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 85 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 14

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 87 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 15

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 94 Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.6

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 16

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 94 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.6

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 17

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 117 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.9.19

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 18

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 121 Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.9.25

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 19

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 135 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.23

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 20

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 136 Line 16 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.24

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 21

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 146 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.36

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 22

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 148 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 23

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 151 Line 5 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Previous sentence gives options where the format is set to something other than the default at the start of a frame. "Shall" will break this functionality.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 24

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 151 Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 25

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 152 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should be always" to "shall always be"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 26

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 153 Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 27

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 154 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 28

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 155 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 29

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 156 Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 30

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 156 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 31

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 156 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 32

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 156 Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 33

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 164 Line 10 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.6.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "should" to "would"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 34

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 164 Line 45 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.6.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "BITMAP should" to "the BITMAP shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 35

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 180

Line 48

Fig/Table#

Subclause

6.2.2.3.38.7.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 36

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 180

Line 54

Fig/Table#

Subclause

6.2.2.3.38.7.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

change "should" to "would"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 37

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page

180

Line

55

Fig/Table#

Subclause

6.2.2.3.38.7.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 38

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 227 Line 53 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 39

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 227 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 40

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 227 Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008-09-07

Comment # 41

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 228 Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 42

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 228 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 43

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 228 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 44

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 228 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 45

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 228 Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008-09-07

Comment # 46

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 228 Line 59 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008-09-07

Comment # 47

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 229 Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008-09-07

Comment # 48

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 229 Line 45 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 49

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 229 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008-09-07

Comment # 50

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 230 Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008-09-07

Comment # 51

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 230 Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 52

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 230 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 53

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 231 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 54

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 231 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008-09-07

Comment # 55

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 232 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 56

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 232 Line 10 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 57

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 232 Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008-09-07

Comment # 58

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 235 Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008-09-07

Comment # 59

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 235 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 60

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 235 Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 61

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 238 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.48

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 62

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 238 Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.48

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008-09-07

Comment # 63

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 243 Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 64

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 243 Line 16 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 65

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 243 Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 66

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 243 Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 67

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 243 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 68

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 243 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 69

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 244 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008-09-07

Comment # 70

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 244 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 71

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 244 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 72

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 245 Line 10 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 73

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 245 Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 74

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 245 Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 75

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 245 Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 76

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 245 Line 59 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 77

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 245 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 78

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 246 Line 44 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 79

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 246 Line 51 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 80

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 247 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 81

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 247 Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 82

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 247 Line 51 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 83

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 250 Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 84

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 250 Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 85

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 250 Line 30 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 86

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 254 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.52

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

change "should" to "would"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 87

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 256 Line 13 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.52

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "MS should" to "the MS shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 88

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 266 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.55

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 89

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 267 Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.56

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 90

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 271 Line 10 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.59

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 91

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 281 Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.4.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 92

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 296 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.4.6.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 93

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 355 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.10.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 94

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 355 Line 51 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.10.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 95

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 367 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.10.3.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 96

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 367 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.10.3.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 97

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 377 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.14.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 98

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 380 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.14.4.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

There may be certain memory limitations in the MS that make it necessary for the MS to not reserve the maximum burst size.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 99

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 388 Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.14.9.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 100

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 389 Line 16 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.14.9.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 101

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 425 Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.15.7

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 102

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 428 Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.16.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 103

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 429 Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.17.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 104

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 429 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.17.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 105

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 429 Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.17.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This section is subject to scheduling constraints on UL allocations which can't be guaranteed.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 106

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 429 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.17.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 107

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 430 Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.17.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 108

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 430 Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.17.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 109

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 432 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.18.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 110

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 434 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.19.1.2.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 111

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 445 Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.20.8

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 112

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 445 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.20.8

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 113

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 445 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.20.9

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 114

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 446 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.1.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 115

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 447 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.1.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 116

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 448 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.1.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 117

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 450 Line 3 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.1.3.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 118

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 450 Line 15 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.1.3.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 119

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 455 Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 120

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 455 Line 53 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 121

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 455 Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 122

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 456 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 123

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 456 Line 3 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 124

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 456 Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 125

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 456 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 126

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 457 Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 127

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 457 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 128

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 458 Line 4 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 129

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 461 Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.7

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 130

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 462 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.8

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 131

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 463

Line 20

Fig/Table#

Subclause

6.2.21.2.8.1.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 132

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 463

Line 36

Fig/Table#

Subclause

6.2.21.2.8.1.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 133

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 466 Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.22128166

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 134

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 466 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.22128167

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 135

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 473 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.11

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 136

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 474 Line 44 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 137

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 474 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The choice of the word "should" allows the MS to exercise some degree of autonomy in making HO decisions.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 138

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 475 Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 139

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 476 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.3.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 140

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 480 Line 15 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.3.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008-09-07

Comment # 141

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 481 Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.22

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008-09-07

Comment # 142

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 481 Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.22

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 143

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 481 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.22

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 144

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 494 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.26.1.1.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 145

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 494 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.26.1.2.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 146

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 496 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.26.4.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 147

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 497 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.26.4.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 148

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 497 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.26.4.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 149

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 498 Line 64 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.26.4.7.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 150

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 499 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.26.4.7.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 151

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 499 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.26.4.7.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 152

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 503 Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.1.3.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008-09-07

Comment # 153

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 508 Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.1.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 154

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 531 Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 155

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 537 Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.5.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 156

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 537 Line 44 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.5.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 157

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 537 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.5.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 158

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 538 Line 59 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.5.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 159

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 539 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.5.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 160

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 565 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.5.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 161

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 567 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.5.4.4.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

In this context, "should" is the proper word.

Group's Notes

9/17: deferred

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 162

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 567 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.5.4.4.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 163

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 567 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.5.4.4.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

In this context, "should" is the proper word.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 164

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 572 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.6.1.4.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 165

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 572 Line 44 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.6.1.4.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 166

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 572 Line 64 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.6.1.4.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 167

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 573 Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.6.1.7.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 168

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 574 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.6.1.7.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008-09-07

Comment # 169

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 575 Line 44 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.8.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 170

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 576 Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.8.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 171

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 576 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.8.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 172

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 582 Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.9.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 173

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 618 Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.1.5.3.7

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 174

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 619 Line 62 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.1.7.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 175

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 620 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.1.7.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 176

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 622 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.1.8.1.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 177

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 625 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.1.8.2.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 178

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 627 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.1.9.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 179

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 628 Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.1.9.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 180

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 630 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.1.1.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 181

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 630 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.1.1.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
20080907

Comment # 182

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 636 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.3.2.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No clear reason to change this recommendation.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
20080907

Comment # 183

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 651 Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.5.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 184

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 655 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.5.1.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 185

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 662 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.5.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 186

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 672 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.6.3.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 187

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 672 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.6.3.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 188

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 674 Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.6.3.10

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 189

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 684 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.6.7.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 190

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 685 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.6.7.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 191

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 685 Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.6.7.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 192

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 694 Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.7.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 193

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 696 Line 28 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.7.4.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 194

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 696 Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.7.4.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 195

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 699 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.9.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 196

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 700 Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.9.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 197

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 707 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.2.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 198

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 707 Line 28 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.2.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 199

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 715 Line 62 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.1.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

MAP decode failure may prevent the MS from following the BS instruction.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 200

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 718 Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 201

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 729 Line 13 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.6.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 202

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 729 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.6.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 203

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 738 Line 3 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 204

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 743 Line 59 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 205

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 744 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 206

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 745 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 207

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 749 Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.8

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 208

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 761 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.16

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The translation CID is not absolutely needed. In this context, "should" is the proper word.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 209

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 766 Line 28 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.19

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 210

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 774 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.21

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 211

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 774 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.21

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 212

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 774 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.21

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 213

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 776 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.21

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 214

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 776 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.21

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 215

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 776 Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.21

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 216

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 778 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.21

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 217

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 778 Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.21

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 218

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 778 Line 51 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.21

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 219

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 788 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.21.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 220

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 788 Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.22

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 221

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 788 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.22

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 222

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 788 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.22

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "BITMAP should" to "the BITMAP shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 223

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 788 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.21.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 224

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 795 Line 44 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.26

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 225

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 795 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.26

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008-09-07

Comment # 226

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 795 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.26

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 227

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 797 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.28

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 228

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 803 Line 62 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 229

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 804 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 230

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 804 Line 16 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 231

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 809 Line 13 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 232

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 809 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 233

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 809 Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 234

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 813 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 235

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 813 Line 16 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 236

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 813 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 237

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 816 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 238

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 821 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 239

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 826 Line 45 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 240

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 835 Line 45 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 241

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 840 Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 242

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 840 Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 243

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 840 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 244

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 843 Line 16 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.7

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 245

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 847 Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.10

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 246

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 847 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.10

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 247

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 848 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.10.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Replacement of "should" with "shall" does not improve sentence.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 248

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 849 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.10.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 249

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 851 Line 3 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.10.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 250

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 851 Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.10.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 251

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 858 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.10.7

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 252

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 867 Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.10.14

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 253

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 880 Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.12

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 254

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 880 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.12

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 255

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 887 Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.16

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 256

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 887 Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.16

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 257

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 894 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.22

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 258

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 895 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.23

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 259

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 895 Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.23

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It's possible that the MS will be unable to obey this command in the course of a handoff, therefore this can not be a mandatory requirement.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 260

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 896 Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.23

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 261

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 896 Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.23

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 262

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 896 Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.25

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 263

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 909 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.25

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 264

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 896 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.25

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 265

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 956 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.9.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 266

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 956 Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.9.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 267

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 956 Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.9.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 268

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 981 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.1.1.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 269

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 992 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.1.2.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 270

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 993 Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.1.2.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 271

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 993 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.1.2.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 272

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.2.7.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 273

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 274

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 275

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 53 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 276

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 53 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.3.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 277

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 2	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 8.3.8.1.5
----------------	-----------------------	--	---	---------------	---------------	-------------------	----------------------------

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 278

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 5 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.8.1.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 279

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.8.1.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 280

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.8.4.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 281

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 7	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 8.3.9.2.3.5.6
----------------	-----------------------	--	---	---------------	---------------	-------------------	--------------------------------

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 282

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.9.2.3.5.6

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 283

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.9.2.3.5.7

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 284

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.9.2.3.5.7

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 285

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.9.4.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 286

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.10.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 287

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.10.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 288

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.10.3.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 289

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.10.3.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 290

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.11.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 291

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.11.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 292

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.12.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 293

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.12.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 294

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 9.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace text as indicated:

“Equipment compliant to this standard <delete>should</delete> <insert>shall</insert> implement the <delete>WMAN-IF2-MIB</delete> <insert>WMAN-IF2-BS-MIB, WMAN-IF2M-BS-MIB, WMAN-IF2F-BS-MIB, WMAN-IF2-SS-MIB, and WMAN-IF2-TC-MIB modules</insert> <delete>module</delete> rather than the WMAN-IF-MIB MIB module.”

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 295

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 9.4.1.1.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Practical considerations prevent this from being stated concretely as a "shall".

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 296

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 30 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.1.9

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 297

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page ?

Line 17

Fig/Table#

Subclause 11.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 298

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Change on page 1181, lines 16-20 as indicated:]

Boolean to indicate whether unsolicited AAS Beam Select messages
(see 6.2.2.3.36) <delete>should<delete> <insert>may</insert> be sent by the MS. The default value
is 1, with possible values of 0–1:

- 0 – MS <delete>should<delete> <insert>shall</insert>not send AAS Beam Select Messages
- 1 – MS may send AAS Beam Select Messages

[change 6.2.2.3.36 page 146, line 52 as indicated:]

For systems supporting mobility, the parameter “Allow AAS Beam Select Messages” in the UCD channel
encoding TLV messages can be configured to indicate that these messages <delete>should<delete> <insert>shall</insert>not be sent
by any MS, and the default value of “Allow AAS Beam Select Messages” is 1.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 299

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.3.1.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 300

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.4.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 301

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.4.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 302

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.6

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 303

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.6

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 304

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.6

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 305

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.6

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 306

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 9	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 11.7.7.1
----------------	-----------------------	--	---	---------------	---------------	-------------------	---------------------------

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 307

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.7.8.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 308

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page ?

Line 3

Fig/Table#

Subclause

11.7.16

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 309

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.3.6.7

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 310

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.3.6.11

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 311

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.4.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 312

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.4.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 313

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.9.7

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 314

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page ?

Line 35

Fig/Table#

Subclause

11.9.10

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 315

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.9.19

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 316

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 16 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.9.27

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 317

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.9.27

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 318

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.9.35

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 319

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 13 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.9.37

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 320

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.11

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 321

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 44 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.11

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 322

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.11

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 323

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 28 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.11

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 324

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.11

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 325

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.11

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 326

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page ?

Line 57

Fig/Table#

Subclause 11.13.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 327

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.13.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 328

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 45 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.13.7

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

There may be certain memory limitations in the MS that make it necessary for the MS to not reserve the maximum burst size.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 329

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.13.17.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 330

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.13.18.3.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 331

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.1318353

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 332

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 30 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.1318353

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 333

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.13.32

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 334

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.13.34

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 335

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.13.34

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall" in both places

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 336

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.13.34

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 337

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.13.34

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 338

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.16.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 339

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.19

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The text is meant to allow flexibility in dealing with Ranging_Parameters_Validity_Time = 0.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 340

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.1.1.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 341

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.1.1.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 342

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.1.1.5

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 343

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.1.2.4

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 344

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 53 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.3.1.1.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 345

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.3.1.1.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 346

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.4.2.1.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 347

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.4.2.1.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 348

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 45 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.1

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "accounting" to "an accounting"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 349

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.2.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 350

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.3.1.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "parameters should" to "parameter shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "parameters should" to "parameter should"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 351

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 2	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 14.2.5.2.1.3
----------------	-----------------------	--	---	---------------	---------------	-------------------	-------------------------------

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 352

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.5.2.1.3

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "MS should" to "the MS shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 353

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.5.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 354

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.6.1.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 355

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.6.1.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

change page 1841, line 49 as indicated:

Bitmap. Indicates whether report <delete>should be </delete> <insert>is </insert> sent periodically, or event driven. It also indicates whether the report <delete>should include </delete> <insert>includes </insert>the details about permutation zones and subchannels.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 356

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.6.2.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 357

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.6.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 358

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.6.3.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 359

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.7.2.3.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 360

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.7.2.3.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 361

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.9

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 362

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.10.2.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 363

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 64 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.10.2.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 364

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause L.

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 365

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause L.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 366

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause L.1

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008-09-07

Comment # 367

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause L.2

This is a mandatory requirement, so the word shall is better than should in this sentence.

Suggested Remedy

Change "should" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment author, Harry Bims, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Harry agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as 'Principle' and considered as withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 368

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 5 Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 1.4.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Sentence is not intended to be normative.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 369

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 52 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.1.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 370

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 123 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.10.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 371

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 195 Line 28 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.41

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 372

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 195 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.41

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 373

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 195 Line 44 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.41

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 374

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 225 Line 45 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008-09-07

Comment # 375

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 225 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008-09-07

Comment # 376

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 227 Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 377

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 232 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 378

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 232 Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 379

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 232 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 380

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 232 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 381

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 233 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.47

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 382

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 237 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.48

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 383

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 237 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.48

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 384

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 240 Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 385

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 240 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 386

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 242 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 387

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 248 Line 59 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 388

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 248 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 389

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 249 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 390

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 249 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 391

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 249 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.49

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 392

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 256 Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.52

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 393

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 256 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.52

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 394

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 257 Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.52

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 395

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 257 Line 62 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.52

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 396

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 257 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.52

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

There is no sentence which includes 'will' in the specified page/line.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 397

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 258 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.52

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 398

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 259 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.52

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 399

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 260 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.52

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 400

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 367 Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.10.3.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 401

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 367 Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.10.3.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 402

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 367 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.10.3.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 403

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 367 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.10.3.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 404

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 427 Line 28 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.16.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 405

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 427 Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.16.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall" in both places

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 406

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 427 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.16.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 407

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 427 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.16.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall" in both places

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 408

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 447 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.1.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 409

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 449 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.1.3.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 410

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 449 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.1.3.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 411

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 449 Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.1.3.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will then" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 412

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 449 Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.1.3.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 413

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 449 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.1.3.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 414

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 449 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.1.3.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 415

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 449 Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.1.3.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 416

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 449 Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.1.3.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 417

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 450 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.1.3.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 418

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 450 Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.1.3.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 419

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 450 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.1.3.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 420

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 450 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.1.3.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

It is not intended as normative.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 421

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 455 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This proper word to use in this context is will.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 422

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 463 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.8.1.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 423

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 466 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.22128166

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 424

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 466 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.22128166

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This proper word to use in this context is will.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 425

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 472 Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.10

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 426

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 492 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.24

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This proper word to use in this context is will.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 427

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 502 Line 53 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.1.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 428

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 503 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.1.3.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This proper word to use in this context is will.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 429

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 515 Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.1.6.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This proper word to use in this context is will.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 430

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 520 Line 30 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.2.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 431

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 520 Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.2.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

It's worth noting that the resolution of comment 786 actually deletes the resolution from this comment.

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 432

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 520 Line 62 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.2.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This proper word to use in this context is will.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 433

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 520 Line 64 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.2.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This proper word to use in this context is will.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 434

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 521 Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.2.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This proper word to use in this context is will.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 435

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 522 Line 45 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.2.7

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 436

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 529 Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.2.11

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This proper word to use in this context is will.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 437

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 531 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This proper word to use in this context is will.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 438

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 531 Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.4.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This proper word to use in this context is will.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 439

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 534 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.5

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This proper word to use in this context is will.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 440

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 546 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.6.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This proper word to use in this context is will.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 441

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 560 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.5.1.3.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 442

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 561 Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.5.1.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 443

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 567 Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.5.4.4.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 444

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 576 Line 30 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.8.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 445

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 589 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.1.4.1.2.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "when the frame preamble and FCH will next be" to "when the next frame preamble and FCH shall be"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This proper word to use in this context is will.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 446

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 590 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.1.4.1.2.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 447

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 620 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.1.8

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

There is no instance of will in this sentence

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 448

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 626

Line 51

Fig/Table#

Subclause 8.1.8.2.3

can improve the grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "EVM measured will" to "measured EVM shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 449

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 640

Line 55

Fig/Table#

Subclause 8.2.3.2.3.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This proper word to use in this context is will.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 450

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 650 Line 13 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.3.6

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 451

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 660 Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.5.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 452

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 682 Line 13 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.6.7

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 453

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 682 Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.6.7

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 454

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 682 Line 15 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.6.7

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 455

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 696 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.7.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 456

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 718 Line 45 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 457

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 723 Line 62 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 458

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 727 Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.6.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 459

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 728 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.6.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 460

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 728 Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.6.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This proper word to use in this context is will.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 461

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 731 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.6.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This proper word to use in this context is will.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 462

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 733 Line 3 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.6.4.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 463

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 746 Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.6

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This proper word to use in this context is will.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 464

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 773 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.21

can improve the grammar

Suggested Remedy

Change "the this subburst will" to "this subburst shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 465

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 777 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.21

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 466

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 802 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 467

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 802 Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 468

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 803 Line 13 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 469

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 805 Line 10 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This sentence is not normative.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 470

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 807 Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 471

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 808 Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 472

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 811 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 473

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 811 Line 51 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 474

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 812 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 475

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 815 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 476

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 815 Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 477

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 817 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 478

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 818 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 479

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 820 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 480

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 821 Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 481

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 822 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 482

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 823 Line 15 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 483

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 825 Line 53 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 484

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 827 Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 485

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 827 Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 486

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 829 Line 62 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 487

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 830 Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.29

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 488

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 835 Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 489

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 842 Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.7

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 490

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 919 Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.30

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 491

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 920 Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.30

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 492

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 923 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.30

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 493

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 924 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.30

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 494

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 926 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.30

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 495

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 926 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.30

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 496

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 929 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.30

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 497

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 929 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.30

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 498

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 931 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.30

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 499

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 931 Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.30

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 500

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 933 Line 53 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.30

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Also changes in page 933, line 23 since matches the same template

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 501

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 935 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.30

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 502

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 935 Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.30

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 503

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 937 Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.30

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 504

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 938 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.30

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 505

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 939 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.30

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 506

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 940 Line 28 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.30

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 507

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 942 Line 10 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.30

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 508

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 942 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.30

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 509

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 951 Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.8

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 510

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 951 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.8

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 511

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 951 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.8

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 512

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 959 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Change introduction to Figure 254 on page 959 as indicated:]

<delete>A DL period will follow Figure 254.</delete> <insert>Figure 254 illustrates the DL transmission basic structure.</insert>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 513

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 960 Line 28 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.1.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall" in both places

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This sentence is not normative.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 514

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 959 Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.1.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall" in both places

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change "will not be" to "is not".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 515

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 960 Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.1.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall" in both places

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This sentence is not normative.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 516

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 960 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.1.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This sentence is not normative.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 517

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 960 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.1.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall" in both places

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Sentence is in parentheses and therefore informative.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 518

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 960 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.1.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Sentence is in parentheses and therefore informative.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 519

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 998 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.2.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 520

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 999 Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.2.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

"i.e., " indicates an informative sentence fragment.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 521

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 51 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.2.6

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 522

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 64 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.2.7.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 523

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 10 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.7.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 524

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.7.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 525

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.8.2.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "and shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 526

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.8.2.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 527

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.8.2.4

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "MS will" to "The MS shall" and Change "it will perform" to "it shall perform"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 528

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.9.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 529

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.9.4.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No use of the word "will" was found on the page/line indicated by the comment.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 530

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.9.5

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 531

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.9.7.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 532

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.9.7.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 533

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 10 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.9.7.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 534

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.9.7.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008/08/05

Comment # 535

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.9.7.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

1113

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Only two "will" in this paragraph, which where replaced in the previous two comments

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008
2008/08/05

Comment # 536

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.10.3.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "Therefor" to "Therefore"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 537

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.10.3.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Sentence is intended to be informative.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 538

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.10.3.2.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 539

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.10.3.2.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 540

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.10.3.2.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 541

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.1.7.3

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "offset" to "the offset"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 542

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.1.9

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "encoding" to "encoding"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 543

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.3.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 544

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.7.12.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Sentence is not intended to place normative requirement on serving BS. The serving BS requirements are in the handoff section.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 545

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 546

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.4.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 547

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.13.11

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 548

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.15.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Sentence is not intended to place normative requirements on the serving BS. These requirements for the serving BS are in the handoff section.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 549

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.15.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 550

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.15.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 551

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.15.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 552

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.1.2.3.1.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Section is informative text.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 553

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.1.2.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This is informative text.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 554

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.1.2.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This is informative text.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 555

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.1.2.2

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Can't make requirements for the result of an assumption; this is informative.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 556

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 13 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.1.2.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This is informative text.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 557

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.1.2.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "NCMS will" to "the NCMS shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

NCMS requirements are out of scope of this standard; this text is informative.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 558

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.1.2.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The word "will" was not found in this section.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 559

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 53 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.3.1.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

NCMS requirements are out of the scope of this standard; this section is informative

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 560

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.5.2.3.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Section has requirements for service primitives, not BS processing requirements. This text is informative.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 561

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 5 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.5.2.3.3

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

NCMS requirements are outside the scope of this standard. This sentence is strictly informative.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 562

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.10.3.3.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

NCMS requirements are outside the scope of this standard. This sentence is strictly informative.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 563

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 11 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.11.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

NCMS requirements are outside the scope of this standard. This sentence is strictly informative.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 564

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.11.1

According to the Style Manual;

"The use of the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "will" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This sentence is strictly informative.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 565

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 74 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.2.5

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 566

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 114 Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.9.15

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 567

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 189 Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.39

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 568

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 193 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.40

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 569

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 193 Line 45 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.40

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 570

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 193 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.40

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 571

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 281 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.4.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 572

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 281 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.4.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 573

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 318 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.8

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 574

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 332 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.9.6

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 575

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 378 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.14.4.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 576

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 380 Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.14.4.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 577

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 385 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.14.7

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 578

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 456 Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 579

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 460 Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.7

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 580

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 462 Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.8.1.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 581

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 465 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.22128165

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 582

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 481 Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.22

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 583

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 503 Line 59 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.1.3.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 584

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 507 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.1.4

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 585

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 507 Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.1.4

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 586

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 520 Line 53 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.1.4

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

The subclause number appears to be incorrect. Subclause 7.2.1.4 is on page 507.
Page 520 Line 53 is subclause 7.2.2.2.2

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 587

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 529 Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.2.11

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 588

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 556 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.5

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 589

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 556 Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.5

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 590

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 563 Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.5.1.4.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 591

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 565 Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.5.4.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 592

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 567 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.5.4.4.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 593

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 575 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.8.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 594

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 575 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.8.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 595

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 576 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.8.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 596

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 577 Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.8.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 597

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 651 Line 4 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.5.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 598

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 651 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.5.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 599

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 652 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.5.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 600

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 658 Line 59 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.5.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 601

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 660 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.5.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "this shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 602

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 666 Line 24 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.6.2.5

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 603

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 679 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2.6.6

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 604

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 712 Line 65 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 605

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 713 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 606

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 718 Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "An SS allowances must" to "SS allowances shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 607

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 720 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 608

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 728 Line 55 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.6.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 609

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 729 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.6.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 610

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 729 Line 15 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.6.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 611

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 735 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 612

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 744 Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.4

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 613

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 946 Line 44 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.6

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 614

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 946 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.6

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 615

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 946 Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.6

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 616

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 946 Line 59 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.6

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 617

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 953 Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.6

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "Must" to "Shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 618

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 991 Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.1.2.2.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 619

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.1.2.2.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 620

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.15.1.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 621

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 9.4.1.1.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 622

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 9.4.1.1.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 623

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 9.4.1.1.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 624

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 48 Fig/Table# Subclause 9.4.1.1.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 625

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 51 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.1.8.3

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "Must" to "Shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 626

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.1.8.3

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 627

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.3.6.5

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 628

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.3.6.5

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 629

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.3.6.5

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 630

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.3.6.5

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 631

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.3.6.17

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 632

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.3.6.17

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 633

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 59 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.13.30

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 634

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 44 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.13.32

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 635

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 45 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.13.32

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 636

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 5 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.1.1.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 637

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.1.1.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 638

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.1.1.5.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 639

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.1.1.5.3

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 640

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.1.1.5.3

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 641

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.1.2.1.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 642

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.1.2.2.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 643

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.3

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 644

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 62 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.4.3.1.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 645

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 13 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.4.3.1.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 646

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.4.3.1.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 647

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.4.3.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 648

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.5.2.2.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status: Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 649

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause B.2.1

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status:

Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 650

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page ?

Line 46

Fig/Table#

Subclause L.2

According to the Style Manual;
"The use of the word must is
deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements".

Suggested Remedy

Change "must" to "shall"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Harry Bims

Membership Status:

Member

Date: 7-Sep-2008

Comment # 651

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 699

Line 18

Fig/Table#

Subclause 8.2.9.2

fix typo

Suggested Remedy

Change "-40dBm" to "-40 dBm"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Anthony Soong

Membership Status: Member

Date: 8-Sep-2008

Comment # 652

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 740 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.2.2

The problem is that all of the Extended-2 DIUC codes are currently used. This prevents the natural extension to Extended-3 DUIC. Moreover, there is only one Extended-2 UIUC code left, which should be reserved for Extended-3 UIUC

Suggested Remedy

To remedy this problem, contribution IEEE C802.16maint-08/294 or its latest revision should be adopted.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

adopt IEEE C802.16maint-08/294

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

9/16: Deferred

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Michael Wang

Membership Status: Member

Date: 8-Sep-2008

Comment # 653

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 76 Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.2.6

No subheader type for ertPS resumption bitmap extended subheader is defined.

Suggested Remedy

Table 26, add a new row: "6, ertPS resumption bitmap extended subheader, 1, See 6.2.2.2.6.9". Change the Reserved types from "6-127" to "7-127".

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Michael Wang

Membership Status: Member

Date: 8-Sep-2008

Comment # 654

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 867 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.10.14

Wrong reference section number

Suggested Remedy

Change "6.3.2.2.7.9" to "6.2.2.2.6.9"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Zhifeng Tao

Membership Status: Member

Date: 9-Sep-2008

Comment # 655

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page ?

Line 17

Fig/Table#

Subclause 11.3.1

The frequency TLV in UCD message essentially is redundant for a TDD MS. In order to avoid any unnecessary confusion for frequency shift repeater operation, it is safe to clarify that the center frequency TLV in UCD message is used by FDD/H-FDD MS only.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed change contained in C80216maint-08_295 or its subsequent revision as the remedy.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Concept needs to be studied for impact on handover.

Group's Notes

Result of vote to adopt 08/295r2: 4 in favor, 6 against, 3 abstentions

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Zhifeng Tao

Membership Status: Member

Date: 9-Sep-2008

Comment # 656

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.4.1

The frequency TLV in DCD message essentially is redundant for a TDD MS. In order to avoid any unnecessary confusion for frequency shift repeater operation, it is safe to clarify that the center frequency TLV in DCD message is used by FDD/H-FDD MS only.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the proposed change contained in C80216maint-08_295 or its subsequent revision as the remedy.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Concept needs to be studied for impact on handover.

Group's Notes

9/16: deferred

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joseph Schumacher

Membership Status: Member

Date: 9-Sep-2008

Comment # 657

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 629 Line 45 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.2

The renumbering of subclauses 8.2 and 8.3 (due to the deletion of mesh mode) is causing confusion for individuals familiar with the standard.

Suggested Remedy

Adjust the outline by inserting a new section 8.2, titled "Reserved"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

- [1. Move the entire section 6.2 to 6.3]
- [2. Revive the empty 6.2 as '6.2. Reserved']
- [3. Restore 6.3.2.2.5 as "Reserved" and renumber following subsections accordingly]
- [4. Move the entire sections 8.2 and 8.3 to 8.3 and 8.4 respectively]
- [5. set the empty 8.2 to '8.2. Reserved']

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Same resolution as 673

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Verified changes in chapters 6 and 8.

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joseph Schumacher

Membership Status: Member

Date: 9-Sep-2008

Comment # 658

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 48 Line 15 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2

The renumbering of subclause 6.2 (due to the deletion of mesh mode) is causing confusion for individuals familiar with the standard.

Suggested Remedy

Adjust the outline by inserting a new section 6.2, titled "Reserved"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

- [1. Move the entire section 6.2 to 6.3]
- [2. Revive the empty 6.2 as '6.2. Reserved']
- [3. Restore 6.3.2.2.5 as "Reserved" and renumber following subsections accordingly]
- [4. Move the entire sections 8.2 and 8.3 to 8.3 and 8.4 respectively]
- [5. set the empty 8.2 to '8.2. Reserved']

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Same resolution as 673

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joseph Schumacher

Membership Status: Member

Date: 9-Sep-2008

Comment # 659

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 19 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.3.1

There is a format problem with the "Value" cell for UL PHY Mode ID (type = 208). The text "0 -- 7: Channel bandwidth in units of 125 kHz" is in an extremely small font

Suggested Remedy

Correct the font size for this text

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joseph Schumacher

Membership Status: Member

Date: 9-Sep-2008

Comment # 660

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.15.1

The text for the "Value" cells in sections 11.15.1 and 11.15.2 was swapped.

Suggested Remedy

re-swap the text for the Value of 11.15.1 and 11.15.2

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/309

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as resolution for 834

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Joseph Schumacher Membership Status: Member Date: 9-Sep-2008

Comment # 661 Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 29 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.10.3.2.1

The use of TX power reports is ambiguous

Suggested Remedy

Adopt C802.16maint-08/296

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/296 and ensure that cross-references are correct.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Joseph Schumacher

Membership Status: Member

Date: 9-Sep-2008

Comment # 662

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 103 Line 39 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.9

The usage of the PKM Identifier field during optimized handover requires clarification

Suggested Remedy

Adopt C802.16maint-08/297

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/263r3

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

9/16: DEFERRED

9/18: same resolution as 785

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Confirmed Scott's changes to Chapter 7 were completed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Richard Van Leeuwen

Membership Status: Member

Date: 10-Sep-2008

Comment # 663

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page ?

Line 25

Fig/Table#

Subclause 13.2.3

Comment 4005 was accepted, but the suggested remedy is not fully implemented.

Suggested Remedy

Remedy:

In 13.2.3, page 1424, line 25:

Replace "csSupport802Dot3EcrtPc(26)," by "reserved26(26),"

Replace "csSupportIpv4Over6EcrtPc(28)," by "reserved28(28),"

In 13.2.7, page 1764, line 42:

Replace "packet802dot3EthernetEcrtPc(11)," by "reserved11(11),"

Replace "packetIpEcrtPc(13)," by "reserved13(13),"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Richard Van Leeuwen Membership Status: Member Date: 10-Sep-2008

Comment # 664 Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 460 Line 37 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.7

LB Comment 4039 was accepted, but the suggested changes in C802.16maint-08/253 are not implemented.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt the changes in C802.16maint-08/253
Note that subclause 6.3.22.2.7 has been renumbered to 6.2.21.2.7.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Sean Cai

Membership Status: Member

Date: 10-Sep-2008

Comment # 665

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 31 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 5.2

Currently support is provided to allow the SDUs of an MBS service flow to be more finely grouped into one or more Logical Channels that may be defined within an MBS connection. However, the definition of MBS Logical Channel support is not complete in a couple of areas:

*Lack of descriptions of how classification applies to the MBS Service Flow to map SDUs to logical channels

*Lack of clarity as to how the Logical Channel IDs listed in Extended_MBS_DATA_IE, which are included in MBS MAP MAC management messages to specify MBS data allocations involving logical channels, apply to support MAC PDU processing in the presence of various MAC PDU formation options, such as packing.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt proposed text changes and additions as identified in contribution C802.16maint-08/224r5 or its later revisions.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

adopt C802.16maint-08/224r6

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The group voted against adopting C802.16maint-08/224r6 for the reason that it fails to present a solution for all convergence sublayers, i.e., GPCS support is missing.

Group's Notes

Vote in favor of calling the question: 21 in favor, none opposed, no abstentions.

Vote in favor of holding a roll-call vote: 11 in favor, 18 against, 2 abstentions. Vote will not be a roll-call vote.

Result of vote to adopt C802.16maint-08/224r6: 14 in favor, 16 against, 3 abstentions.

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Sean Cai

Membership Status: Member

Date: 10-Sep-2008

Comment # 666

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 482 Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.22.1

The phrasing of the 1st sentence of the paragraph starting at line 12 on page 482 in subclause '6.2.22.1 Establishment and maintenance of MBSs' appears to make it optional for an MBS connection to be created between a BS and an MS via the MAC DSA procedure. However, subclause '11.13.22 MBS Service TLV' states clearly that 'If MS or BS wants to initiate MBS service, DSA-REQ with MBS service TLV shall be used.' This ambiguity has resulted in some contention as to whether it is always necessary to instantiate an MBS connection via the DSA procedure. This ambiguity needs to be removed by making it clear that MBS transport connection creation via the DSA procedure is required since it is the only procedure provided by the standard for the creation of MAC connections.

Suggested Remedy

Modify the paragraph starting at line 12 on page 482 in subclause '6.2.22.1 Establishment and maintenance of MBSs' as follows:

When the SS registers at the BS for receiving multicast and broadcast services, the BS or SS **mayshall** initiate the DSA procedure with respect to multicast and broadcast connections. Such knowledge may be used to initiate bidirectional upper layers communication between the SS and the network for the purpose of configuration of multicast/broadcast service. After successful configuration, the SS shall reuse the same configuration when it moves to another BS without re-configuration.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The suggested change makes the requirement indeterminate.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Sean Cai

Membership Status: Member

Date: 10-Sep-2008

Comment # 667

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.13.22

There is a typo on line 35 on page 1311 in subclause 11.13.22.

Suggested Remedy

Modify line 35 on page 1311 in subclause 11.13.22 as follows:

setup. A value of 1 indicates that an MBS se~~s~~ervice limited to the serving BS is being requested and a value

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Modify line 35 on page 1311 in subclause 11.13.22 as follows:

setup. A value of 1 indicates that an MBS <delete>seservice<delete> <insert>service</insert> limited to the serving BS is being requested and a value

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Yunsong Yang

Membership Status: Member

Date: 10-Sep-2008

Comment # 668

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 482 Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.22.1

DSA procedure should be mandatory for MBS.

Suggested Remedy

Change may to shall in the following sentence:

When the SS registers at the BS for receiving multicast and broadcast services, the BS or SS may initiate the DSA procedure with respect to multicast and broadcast connections.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The suggested change makes the requirement indeterminate.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Phillip Barber

Membership Status: Member

Date: 10-Sep-2008

Comment # 669

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 482 Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.22.1

Editorial revision in the working group edits of this section inadvertently created ambiguous language that some members have found creates the impression that DSx message is optional for MBS service, and is inconsistent with prior usage in 802.16e-2005 as well as the current text in 11.13.22 that states 'If MS or BS wants to initiate MBS service, DSA-REQ with MBS service TLV shall be used.' The ambiguous language only intended that either the BS or SS would be the one to initiate the DSA exchange, but one of them absolutely shall do it to create MBS flows.

Suggested Remedy

Change text from:

When the SS registers at the BS for receiving multicast and broadcast services, the BS or SS may initiate the DSA procedure with respect to multicast and broadcast connections.

to:

When the SS registers at the BS for receiving multicast and broadcast services, the BS or SS shall initiate the DSA procedure with respect to multicast and broadcast connections.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

No change

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The comment author, Phil Barber, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 WG on September 18, 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Phil agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as "Principle" and that the comment be considered as withdrawn.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Phillip Barber

Membership Status: Member

Date: 10-Sep-2008

Comment # 670

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 1	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 11.1.1
----------------	-----------------------	--	---	---------------	---------------	-------------------	-------------------------

There is an artifact reference to 'Physical supported parameters compound TLV.' There is no such thing. I believe that this was meant to reference the topical, logically grouped set of SBC-REQ TLVs 'Physical parameters supported'. But 'Physical parameters supported' is NOT a compound TLV, it is just a sub-section heading that is logically grouping by category the set of TLVs that are in the sub-section. The common 'Current Tx power' TLV will occur in SBC-REQ using its type, value and format as defined in 11.1.1 and needs not other encapsulation or reference.

Also delete the duplicate reference for 'Current Tx power' TLV in 11.8.3.3, which just restates and points back to 11.1.1

Suggested Remedy

Delete the sentence

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16maint-08/298

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Same as (superceded by) 725

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Zhigang Rong

Membership Status: Member

Date: 10-Sep-2008

Comment # 671

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 740 Line 10 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.2.2

IEEE 802.16Rev2 has currently utilized all of the Extended-2 DIUC codes as indicated in Table 325. This prevents the natural extension to Extended-3 DIUC. In addition, there is only one Extended-2 UIUC code left, which should be reserved for Extended-3 UIUC.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16maint-08/294 or its latest revision.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

adopt IEEE C802.16maint-08/294

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same resolution as 652

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

same resolution as #652

Comment by:Yeongmoon Son

Membership Status:Member

Date:11-Sep-2008

Comment #672

Document under Review:P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID:802.16Rev2R0

Comment

TypeGeneral

Part of Dis☒Satisfied☐

Page840

Line36

Fig/Table#T380

Subclause8.3.5.4.5

Length field in OFDMA Power Control IE has wrong value(i.e. 0x01) since there is 2 bytes after Length field. Therefore, we need to fix the value in Length field.

Suggested Remedy

[Modify Length field in Table 380 on page 840, as follows]

Table 380—OFDMA Power Control IE

Syntax	Size(bit)	Note
...
Length	4	Length = 0x0102
...

GroupResolution

Decision of Group:Principle

[Modify Length field in Table 380 on page 840, as follows]

Table 380—OFDMA Power Control IE

Syntax	Size(bit)	Note
...
Length	4	Length = 0x<delete>01</delete><insert>02</insert>
...

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actionsa) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Yeongmoon Son

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 673

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 48 Line 15 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2

According to the resolution of Commentary DB(80216-08_032r2.cmt), Some sub-clause (e.g. were removed and taken over by the subsequent sub-clause. However, It resulted in so many wrong reference in the specification.

In my recommendation, the removed sub-clauses should be kept and not taken by subsequent sub-clauses.

Suggested Remedy

I'm focussing on sub-clauses number not contents in sub-clauses number.

- [1. Move the entire section 6.2 to 6.3]*
- [2. Revive the empty 6.2 as '6.2. Reserved']*
- [3. Revert the moved sections (i.e. from 6.3.2.2.5 to 6.3.2.3.8) to corresponding them as shown in Rev2/D5]*
- [4. Move the entire sections 8.2 and 8.3 to 8.3 and 8.4 respectively]*
- [5. set the empty 8.2 to '8.2. Reserved']*

I think if editor refers to Rev2/D5a, he can easily identify the change from Rev2/D5 to Rev2/D6a regarding sub-clauses. Editor should check reference section in the text.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

- [1. Move the entire section 6.2 to 6.3]
- [2. Revive the empty 6.2 as '6.2. Reserved']
- [3. Restore 6.3.2.2.5 as "Reserved" and renumber following subsections accordingly]
- [4. Move the entire sections 8.2 and 8.3 to 8.3 and 8.4 respectively]
- [5. set the empty 8.2 to '8.2. Reserved']

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:Yeongmoon Son

Membership Status:Member

Date:11-Sep-2008

Comment #674

Document under Review:P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID:802.16Rev2R0

Comment

TypeGeneral

Part of Dis☒ Satisfied☐

Page76

Line55

Fig/Table#

Subclause6.2.2.2.6

Actually, there is "ertPS resumption bitmap extended subheader" in the Rev2/D6a. But, no type value is not assigned to it. Therefore, we need to define an extended subheader TYPE in Table 26 (in Clause 6.2.2.2.6) for "ertPS resumption bitmap extended subheader"..

Suggested Remedy

[Modify the table 26 on page 76, as follows]

Table 26—Description of extended subheaders types (UL)

Extended subheader type	Name	Extended subheader body size (byte)	Description
...
<u>6</u>	<u>ertPS resumption bitmap extended subheader</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>6.2.2.2.6.9</u>
6 <u>7</u> ~127	Reserved	—	—

GroupResolution

Decision of Group:Principle

Table 26, add a new row: "6, ertPS resumption bitmap extended subheader, 1, See 6.2.2.2.6.9". Change the Reserved types from "6-127" to "7-127".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same resolution as 653

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actionsa) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Yeongmoon Son

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 675

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 756 Line 13 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.12

I am unsatisfied with the group decision on the comment #4083 in 80216-08_032r2.cmt.

It is defined in IEEE802.16REV2/D4 that a BS can inform MSs when to broadcast 'Emergency Service Message' by using Broadcast Control Pointer IE in the table 359. Nevertheless, there is no any other description except the above definition regarding Emergency Service at all. Therefore, we need to additionally define the operation related to Emergency Services.

Suggested Remedy

[adopt C80216maint-08_195r4.doc or later version]

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

There are no known requirements on 802.16 for emergency services; it is impossible to judge if this is an adequate solution.

Group's Notes

result of vote to adopt C802.16maint-08/195r4: in favor: 5 in favor 2 opposed.

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Comment by: Yeongmoon Son

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 676

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.3.6.2

There is a sentence in a wrong place in section 11.8.3.6.2 saying "A TLV length of 1 byte indicates that bits 8-15 are zero." This sentence should belong to TLV Type = 151, not 161.

Suggested Remedy

[Move the last sentence of the second paragraph to the end first paragraph in section 11.8.3.6.2 as follows]

11.8.3.6.2 OFDMA SS demodulator

This field indicates the different demodulator options supported by a WirelessMAN-OFDMA PHY SS for DL reception. This field is not used for other PHY specifications. A bit value of 0 indicates "not supported" while 1 indicates "supported." A TLV length of 1 byte indicates that bits 8–15 are zero.

(Table for TLV Type 151 omitted)

This field specifies the number of DL H-ARQ channels (n) the SS supports, where $n = 1..16$. The value of the TLV shall be set to $(n - 1)$. ~~A TLV length of 1 byte indicates that bits 8–15 are zero.~~

(Table for TLV Type 161 omitted)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Change section 11.8.3.6.2 as follows]

11.8.3.6.2 OFDMA SS demodulator

This field indicates the different demodulator options supported by a WirelessMAN-OFDMA PHY SS for DL reception. This field is not used for other PHY specifications. A bit value of 0 indicates "not supported" while 1 indicates "supported." <insert>The SS or BS may include bits 0-7 only and set the TLV length field to 1, in which case the receiving entity shall assume that the options represented by bits 8-15 are not supported. </insert>

(Table for TLV Type 151 omitted)

This field specifies the number of DL H-ARQ channels (n) the SS supports, where $n = 1..16$. The value of the TLV shall be set to $(n - 1)$. <delete>A TLV length of 1 byte indicates that bits 8–15 are zero.</delete>

(Table for TLV Type 161 omitted)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

[a\) done](#)

Comment by: Yeongmoon Son

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 677

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 16 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.13.24

The TLV 'Type of Data Delivery Services parameter' is used for only DL Service Flow. However, Type of this TLV still seems to be used for both UL and DL Service flows. Therefore, we need to delete the value '145' which indicates UL.

Suggested Remedy

[Modify the type field of TLV on page 1312, line 16 as follows]

Type	Length	Value	Scope
[145 /146].30

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Modify the type field of TLV on page 1312, line 16 as follows]

Type	Length	Value	Scope
[<delete>145/<delete>146].30

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Note that the sub-type for this TLV is really 29 (not 30, as illustrated in the resolution fields). It is clear that the comment is meant to apply to 11.13.24, and the change was therefore applied to that section.

Comment by: Yeongmoon Son

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 678

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 303 Line 20 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.6.1

For OFDMA PHY, MS may use either aggregate or incremental bandwidth request even as a response to the CDMA allocation IE as specified in section 6.2.6.1 of Rev2/D6 draft standard.

However, there is a statement in the same section that conflicts with the specification above by saying “Upon network entry or re-entry after idle mode, the MS shall make an aggregated bandwidth request before making an incremental bandwidth request. After HO, the MS shall make an aggregated bandwidth request before making an incremental bandwidth request.”

In other sections related to network entry, re-entry, or HO, it is stated that the context of BR shall be reset in the target BS and MS can use either aggregate or incremental BR as excerpted below.

6.2.21.2.7 Network entry/reentry

When optimization bit #12 is set, the MS may use any uniquely identifiable indication as notification of MS's successful re-entry registration, following are examples of such indications:

- MS transmits data in unsolicited UL grant by the target BS (i.e., MS has pending UL data) using newly assigned transport CID.
- MS transmits Bandwidth request header of type 0b000 or 0b001 with BR per desired BW when MS has pending UL data using newly assigned transport CID.
- MS transmits Bandwidth request header of type 0b000 or 0b001 with BR=0 when MS has no pending UL data using newly assigned basic CID.
- MS transmits HARQ ACK using the ACKCH slot assigned by the target BS. — MS transmits CQI code using the CQICH slot assigned by the target BS.

6.2.21.2.8.1.6.5 Outstanding bandwidth requests

MS context with Serving BS: Reset. MS context with Target BS: Reset (not transferred from the serving BS). MS must transmit bandwidth request of remaining (outstanding) bytes.

In this contexts, there should be no obligation to use only aggregate BR upon network, network re-entry, or HO, which conflicts with other specifications in the standard without any benefit in terms of implementation flexibility or overhead.

Suggested Remedy

[Modify the last paragraph in section 6.2.6.1 as follows]

6.2.6.1 Requests

Due to the possibility of collisions, contention-based BRs shall be aggregate requests except in the OFDMA PHY. In the OFDMA PHY, the SS may respond to the CDMA Allocation IE with either aggregate or incremental BR.

Additional BR mechanisms include the focused BRs (see 6.2.6.4) and CDMA BRs (see 6.2.6.5).

Comment by: Yeongmoon Son

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 679

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 742 Line 30 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.4

The standard in 8.3.5.3.4 specifies that "Allocations subsequent to this IE shall use the permutation and transmit diversity mode it instructs, until the next STC_DL_Zone IE or AAS_DL_IE." There is a conflict between MBS_MAP_IE and STC_DL_Zone IE since MBS_MAP_IE with Macro diversity enhanced =1 also describes the permutation information that ought to be described by STC_DL_Zone IE or AAS_DL_IE if Macro diversity enhanced = 1.

Moreover, a BS may belong to multiple MBS zones. In this case, if the BS include STC_DL_Zone IE or AAS_DL_IE in DL-MAP message to specify an MBS permutation zone, MS cannot know which MBS zone is given MBS permutation zone in a frame. Therefore, BS has no choice but to send MBS MAP IE instead of STC_DL_Zone IE and AAS_DL_IE in order to specify the MBS permutation zone.

MBS permutation zone cannot use Band AMC since there is no feedback from the MSs which are watching MBS channels.

All MSs need to know the zone boundary in order to perform channel estimation. Therefore, BS shall include permutation information in DL-MAP message for every permutation zone. In other words, all permutation zone shall have its own permutation zone information such as STC_DL_Zone IE, AAS_DL_IE, or MBS MAP IE.

Suggested Remedy

[Modify the paragraph In page 742, line 30, as follows]

8.3.5.3.4 STC DL Zone IE format

In the DL-MAP, a BS may transmit DIUC = 15 with the STC_DL_Zone_IE() to indicate that the subsequent allocations shall use a specific permutation and/or use a specific Tx diversity mode. The DL frame shall start in PUSC mode with no Tx diversity. Allocations subsequent to this IE shall use the permutation and Tx diversity mode it instructs, until the next STC DL Zone IE or AAS DL IE or MBS_MAP_IE with Macro diversity enhanced = 1. Allocation for a STC-capable SS shall be done through either DL_MAP_IE() or any one of the MIMO-related IEs (MIMO_DL_Basic_IE(), MIMO_DL_Enhanced_IE(), MIMO_DL_Chase_HARQ_subburst_IE, MIMO_DL_IR_HARQ_subburst_IE, MIMO_DL_IR_HARQ_for_CC_subburst_IE, or MIMO_DL_STC_HARQ_subburst_IE).

[Modify the sentence In page 756, line 14, as follows]

8.3.5.3.12 MBS MAP IE

In the DL-MAP, a BS may transmit DIUC = 14 with the MBS_MAP_IE() to indicate when the next data for a multicast and broadcast service flow will be transmitted. The offset value is associated with a CID value, and indicates the frame that the next data will be transmitted in by using the CID value. (See Table 336.) The MBS MAP message allocation parameters shall be included in the MBS

MBS MAP IE is used to specify the MBS permutation zone. When an MBS permutation zone exists in a frame, BS shall transmit MBS MAP IE with Macro diversity enhanced = 1. The MBS permutation zone shall not use Adjacent subcarrier permutation

Decision of Group: **Principle**

[Modify the paragraph in page 742, line 30, as follows]

8.3.5.3.4 STC DL Zone IE format

In the DL-MAP, a BS may transmit DIUC = 15 with the STC_DL_Zone_IE() to indicate that the subsequent allocations shall use a specific permutation and/or use a specific Tx diversity mode. The DL frame shall start in PUSC mode with no Tx diversity. Allocations subsequent to this IE shall use the permutation and Tx diversity mode it instructs, until the next STC DL Zone IE or AAS DL IE <insert>or MBS_MAP_IE with Macro diversity enhanced = 1</insert>. Allocation for a STC-capable SS shall be done through either DL_MAP_IE() or any one of the MIMO-related IEs (MIMO_DL_Basic_IE(), MIMO_DL_Enhanced_IE(), MIMO_DL_Chase_HARQ_subburst_IE, MIMO_DL_IR_HARQ_subburst_IE, MIMO_DL_IR_HARQ_for_CC_subburst_IE, or MIMO_DL_STC_HARQ_subburst_IE).

[Modify the sentence In page 756, line 14, as follows]

8.3.5.3.12 MBS MAP IE

In the DL-MAP, a BS may transmit DIUC = 14 with the MBS_MAP_IE() to indicate when the next data for a multicast and broadcast service flow will be transmitted. The offset value is associated with a CID value, and indicates the frame that the next data will be transmitted in by using the CID value. (See Table 336.) The MBS MAP message allocation parameters shall be included in the MBS MAP IE at regular intervals and if the MBS MAP message allocation parameters change.

<insert>MBS MAP IE is used to specify the MBS permutation zone. When an MBS permutation zone exists in a frame, BS shall transmit MBS_MAP_IE with Macro diversity enhanced = 1. The MBS permutation zone shall not use Adjacent subcarrier permutation</insert>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Vladimir Yanover

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 680

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<u>Satisfied</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>
According to contribution C802.16maint-08/298	Technical	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				11.1

Suggested Remedy

According to contribution C802.16maint-08/298

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Same as (superceded by) 725

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Vladimir Yanover

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 681

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<u>Satisfied</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>
According to contribution IEEE C802.16maint-08/171r10	Technical	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				6.2.2.3.58

Suggested Remedy

According to contribution IEEE C802.16maint-08/171r10

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

adopt C802.16maint-08/171r11

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

9/18 deferred

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Vladimir Yanover

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 682

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page Line Fig/Table# Subclause 7.4

Section 7.4 should be relevant to both PKMv1 and PKMv2 but its language matches PKMv1 only. Particularly this section- includes references to Auth Request / Auth Reply messages which are used in PKMv1 only- relates activation of a new AK to the transfer of new AK from BS to MS while in PKMv2 the AK is derived internally in BS and MS rather than exchanged. Another example is that the section does not mention CMAC (only HMAC) e.g. in 7.4.1.3 "BS usage of AK".

Suggested Remedy

Make the language of the section relevant to both PKMv1 and PKMv2. A possible solution can be derived from IEEE C802.16maint-08/225r2

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/225r4

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

9/16: deferred

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Section 7.4.1.1, in the first sentence "after an SS completes " should have been shown as deleted in C802.16maint-08/255r4. This concerning because at least this portion of the document approved by the Task Group was inaccurate. I have made the appropriate edits so that the section reads exactly like the contribution (225r4) approved by the Task Group.

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Mariana Goldhamer

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 9:10 AM

Comment # 683

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment	Type	Editorial	Part of Dis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Satisfied	<input type="checkbox"/>	Page	3	Line	Fig/Table#	Subclause
---------	------	-----------	-------------	-------------------------------------	-----------	--------------------------	------	---	------	------------	-----------

The references to PHY modes in Table 1 needed to be up-dated

Suggested Remedy

Delete 8.2; replace 8.3 and 8.4 with 8.2 and 8.3;

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

For Wireless-HUMAN, Delete "8.2 or" from table.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Mariana Goldhamer

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 9:10:53

Comment # 684

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> 739	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 8.3.5.3.2.1
----------------	-----------------------	--	---	-----------------	-------------	-------------------	------------------------------

There are only 4 Extended DIUC codes which have to be shared between 16h and 16j and 16m ammendments; at least one of them shall be used as basis for Ext 3 DIUC

Suggested Remedy

In Table 323, for extended DIUC=0E, replace Reserved with Extended-3 DIUC IE

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

adopt IEEE C802.16maint-08/294

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same resolution as 652

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

same resolution as #652

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Mariana Goldhamer

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 9:10:53

Comment # 685

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<u>Satisfied</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>
	Technical	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	838			8.3.5.4.4.1

There are only 5 Extended UIUC codes which have to be shared between 16h and 16j and 16m ammendments; at least one of them shall be used as basis for Ext 3 UIUC

Suggested Remedy

In Table 377, for extended UIUC=0F, replace Reserved with Extended-3 DIUC IE

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

adopt IEEE C802.16maint-08/294

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same resolution as 652

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

same resolution as #652

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Mariana Goldhamer

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 9:10 AM

Comment # 686

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<u>Satisfied</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>
	Technical	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				8.3.5

The 802.16 compatible systems must be aware about the channel usage by other technologies, on a Zone or frame basis; it is true that the GAP may be used in this scope, however GAP will not give enough information about the type of the technology used

Suggested Remedy

Define the DL-MAP and UL-MAP les for Zones and frames, while specifying the tehnology used, like 16m

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The TG would need a more complete resolution with explicit text.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Mariana Goldhamer

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 9:
10:55 AM

Comment # 687

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 30 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.13.3.2

The BS max. input level is too high for hot-spot or femto-cell operation

Suggested Remedy

Replace the existing text with: The BS receiver used in macro or micro cells shall be capable of decoding a maximum on-channel signal of --45 dBm; the BS receiver used in micro cells shall be able to decode a signal of -25dbm

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

"micro cell" and "macro cell" are undefined terms in the standard and are therefore not usable in stating requirements.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Mariana Goldhamer

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 9:10:53

Comment # 688

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<u>Satisfied</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>
	Technical	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	?			Annexes

There is no Annex giving examples of TDD-FDD interference mitigation using scheduling

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16maint-08/306, last revision (if revised)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The standard requires no references to TDD/FDD coexistence. It is unclear how this annex fits with the main body of the standard.

Group's Notes

9/16: deferred

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Maximilian Riegel

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 689

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<u>Satisfied</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>
	Technical	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	?			11.8

BS-controlled handover was introduced to the 802.16-Rev2 controlled by the BS_controlled_HO flag in the DCD message, but not taking interoperability with legacy devices into account, which are not able to perform BS-controlled handover. Capability negotiation is needed.

Suggested Remedy

Accept the proposed remedy in C802.16maint-08/303r0 or later revision.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

adopt C802.16maint-08/303r4

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

9/16: deferred

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Itzik Kitroser

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 690

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 877 Line 31 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.11

There are some nibble alignment problems in the standard.

Specific example is the MIMO UL Basic IE.

If you use the Collaborative_SM mode, you have within the specfic block 45 bits.

From practical perspective (even if you potentially loos some bits) it would be more desirable to have the IEs to be nibble aligned.

Suggested Remedy

Fix all nibble alignment problems

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

In MIMO uplink basic IE, insert 1 reserved bit after the "duration" field.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The offered solution does not nibble-align the IE in the case of collaborative MIMO.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Itzik Kitroser

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 691

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 706 Line 34 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3

There was a general comment in the last iteration to delete empty clauses; as a consequence, clause 8.4 became 8.3.
I think that this specific change should be reverted and change back section 8.3 to 8.4.

Suggested Remedy

Add new section 8.2 Reserved

Renumber the subsequent sections as follows:

8.2 --> 8.3

8.3 --> 8.4

8.4 --> 8.5

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

- [1. Move the entire section 6.2 to 6.3]
- [2. Revive the empty 6.2 as '6.2. Reserved']
- [3. Restore 6.3.2.2.5 as "Reserved" and renumber following subsections accordingly]
- [4. Move the entire sections 8.2 and 8.3 to 8.3 and 8.4 respectively]
- [5. set the empty 8.2 to '8.2. Reserved']

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same resolution as 673

Editor's Notes

same resolution as #673

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Lei Wang

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 692

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 85 Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.2

DL-MAP subsection title format is not consistent with the other management message subsections.

Suggested Remedy

change the section title to:
6.2.2.3.2 DL-MAP (Downlink map) message

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Lei Wang

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 693

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 259 Line 53 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.52

N_EP and N_SCH are used few times in the spec, while the majority appearances of those two parameters are in the format of N with subscript EP and subscript SCH, respectively.

Suggested Remedy

Throughout the Rev2 spec, change "N_EP" to N with subscript EP; and change "N_SCH" to N with subscript SCH.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Lei Wang

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 694

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 260 Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.52

data field name "# of MBS_DATA_Time_Diversity_IEs with the same MBS Burst Frame Offset" does not match the data field given in Table 159.

Suggested Remedy

change line 41 to 44 on page 260 to:

of Data Sub-bursts

This indicates the number of Data Sub-bursts with the same MBS Burst Frame Offset that are specified in this MBS MAP message.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Lei Wang

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 695

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 754 Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.10

The sentence in line 2 page 754 does not provide the consistent description of the location of the SUB-DL-UL-MAP as given in Section 6.2.2.3.5.

Suggested Remedy

Change line 1 to 5 on page 754 to:

The number of OFDMA slots allocated for the burst containing a HARQ MAP message. The HARQ MAP message or Sub-DL-UL-MAP message shall directly follow the DL MAP with the number of the slots allocated for the HARQ MAP message. The location of Sub-DL-UL-MAP is specified in Section 6.2.2.3.55.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change lines 1 to 5 on page 754 to:

The number of OFDMA slots allocated for the burst containing a HARQ MAP message or Sub-DL-UL-MAP message.

The HARQ MAP message <delete>or Sub-DL-UL-MAP message </delete> <insert>, if any,</insert> shall <delete>directly</delete> <insert>immediately</insert> follow the <delete>DL MAP</delete> <insert>Compressed MAP</insert> with the number of the slots allocated for the HARQ MAP message. <insert>The specification on the allocation of Sub-DL-UL-MAPs is described in Section 6.2.2.3.55.</insert>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

9/16: deferred.

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Rainer T Ullmann

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 16: 2:10:53

Comment # 696

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 2 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause Abstract

typos on page ii (had to use 2 in page column as only integers allowed as input): consoidates, mantenance

Suggested Remedy

replace with: consolidates maintenance

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Rainer T Ullmann

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 16: 2:10:53

Comment # 697

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 165 Line 51 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.6.6

Inconsistency between tables 125 and 128. DL-MAP subtype =1 refers to HARQ mode switch while title 128 uses switch HARQ mode. In the text this extended IE is also referred to as Switch HARQ Mode IE. This is also consistent with the corresponding UL IE

Suggested Remedy

Replace in table 125 HARQ mode switch with Switch HARQ Mode

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Rainer T Ullmann

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 16:00:00

Comment # 698

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 176 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.7.2

In table 131 UL-MAP Type = band should read " UL-MAP Type = 1" (refers to Table 112)

Suggested Remedy

Replace UL-MAP Type = band with UL-MAP Type = 1

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Rainer T Ullmann

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 16:00:00

Comment # 699

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 183 Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.7.7

Inconsistency between tables 136 and 137. UL MAP Subtype is 5 bit value according Table 136 but only 3bits are defined

Suggested Remedy

Replace 1..7 Reserved with 1..31 Reserved in table 137

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Rainer T Ullmann

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 16:00:00

Comment # 700

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 183 Line 35 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.7.7

This section is w.r.t. UL-MAP subtypes, the text above table 137 refers to DL

Suggested Remedy

Replace DL with UL in sentence Table 137 represents the extended types of compact DL MAP.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Rainer T Ullmann

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 16:00:00

Comment # 701

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 184 Line 16 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.7.8

UL-MAP subtype in table has no value associated with it in table 138. It should refer to Extension subtype = 0 Switch HARQ Mode as defined in table 137

Suggested Remedy

In table 138 replace Extension subtype with Extension subtype = 0

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Rainer T Ullmann

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 16:21:53

Comment # 702

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 179 Line 23 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.7.4

UL-MAP Type for UIUC subchannel is 3 (see table 111)

Suggested Remedy

Replace in table 133 UL-MAP Type = 4 with UL-MAP Type =3

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Rainer T Ullmann

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 16:00:00

Comment # 703

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 163 Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.6.4
Table 122 references Extended DIUC dependent IE() for DIUC==15 but no reference is provided, in similar places references were added (e.g. table 320). Also the reference uses name DL-MAP Extended IE().

Suggested Remedy

Add reference, i.e. See 8.3.5.3.2 and 8.3.5.3.2.1. Replace in table 122 Extended DIUC dependent IE() with DL-MAP Extended IE()

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Add reference, i.e. See 8.3.5.3.2 and 8.3.5.3.2.1 to the notes column for Extended DIUC dependent IE().

Replace in table 122 Extended DIUC dependent IE() with DL_Extended_IE()

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Rainer T Ullmann

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 16:00:00

Comment # 704

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 179 Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.38.7.4
Table 133 references Extended UIUC dependent-IE() for UIUC==15 but no reference is provided, in similar places references were added (e.g. table 320). Also the reference uses name DL-MAP Extended IE().

Suggested Remedy

Add reference, i.e. See 8.3.5.3.2 and 8.3.5.3.2.1. Replace in table 122 Extended UIUC-dependent IE() with UL-MAP Extended IE()

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Add reference, i.e. See 8.3.5.4.4 and 8.3.5.4.4.1 in the notes column for Extended UIUC-dependent IE() entry.

Replace in table 133 Extended UIUC-dependent IE() with UL_Extended_IE()

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Kenneth Stanwood

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 16:10:53

Comment # 705

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 45 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 5.4

The current specification of MBS requires very inefficient choice of MCS due to the lack of sufficient time diversity in the coding scheme. This limits an implementation to a very small number of QVGA channels for a given bandwidth, making the MBS feature impractical to deploy. Even though outer-coding is performed at the layers above the 802.16 MAC, the MAC layer is impacted. The reason is that the MS needs to properly delineate the MAC PDUs in their allocations and decode the MAC headers and sub-headers. This limits the gain that can be achieved through outer-coding, unless information in the GMH and sub-headers is made redundant and transmitted and encoded separately from the data.

Suggested Remedy

Implement the changes in C802.16maint-08/293 or its latest revision to add the possibility of an outer-coding.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

adopt C802.16maint-08/293

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Amount of gain does not justify the degree of standard changes. The group voted to disagree, i.e., to not adopt the contribution.

Group's Notes

Result of vote to adopt C802.16maint-08/293 : 1 in favor, 2 opposed, no abstentions

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Kenneth Stanwood

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 16:10:53

Comment # 706

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.5

The "Unified TLV encoding for Power Saving Class Parameters" TLV in Table 573 references Table 575 in which it is called the "United TLV encoding for Power Saving Class Parameters"

Suggested Remedy

Resolve the name. Both appear about the same number of times, but "Unified" sounds better.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace all instances of "United TLV encoding" with "Unified TLV encoding"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Michael Probasco

Membership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 707Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<u>Satisfied</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>
	Technical	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	?	6		

Sub-clause = 11.13. Currently there is no mechanism in place for MS to indicate to the BS that it is in emergency and requires priority treatment. Without such mechanism, the BS may redirect MS to another BS via RNG-RSP (frequency override) or simply not admit MS if it is overloaded.

Suggested Remedy

Accept the following changes.

Change #1:

Replace the entry "Reserve" with "Emergency Indication" for Type 10 parameter in Table 598 -- Service Flow Encodings

Change #2:

Insert the new sub-section in Section 11.13 as follows

11.13.x Emergency Indication parameter

The value of this parameter, if present, indicates the associated flow is used for emergency purposes. The emergency indication parameter shall take precedence over any conflicting service flow QoS parameter.

 | Type | Length | Value | Scope |

| [145/146].10 | 1 | Bit 0: Emergency indication | DSx-REQ |

| | Bit 1-7: Reserved | DSx-RSP |

| | | DSx-ACK |

GroupResolutionDecision of Group: DisagreeReason for Group's Decision/Resolution

There are no known requirements on 802.16 for emergency services; it is impossible to judge if this is an adequate solution.

Group's Notes

9/16: deferred

result of vote: 3 in favor, 2 against, 2 abstentions

Editor's NotesEditor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Michael Probasco

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 708

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 716

Line 11

Fig/Table#

Subclause

Sub-clause = 8.3.4.1.1. The current specification does not define a method for the MS to notify the BS when it has successfully switched to the new group. More importantly, there is no mechanism to recover in case of MS fails to switch to the new group. Furthermore, the BS has no way in knowing whether MS will continue staying in the new group despite unsuccessful attempts or return to the original group.

Suggested Remedy

Accept the proposed remedy in C802.16maint-08/287r3 or later revision.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

adopt C802.16maint-08/287r3

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

confirmed chapter 8 and 11 changes applied

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Michael Probasco

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 709

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page ?

Line

Fig/Table#

Subclause

Sub-clause = 11.8. According to the current specification, the MS needs to wait for a positive acknowledgement when it wants to initiate a new service flow via the DSA-REQ message. However, the MS may not be aware that the BS does not support this feature and continue sending this request and unnecessarily consume network resource.

Suggested Remedy

Accept the proposed remedy in C802.16maint-08/304r0 or later revision.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The group disagrees based on the observation that confirmation code for DSx messages already carries reason for service flow rejections.

Group's Notes

9/17: deferred

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Jose Costa

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 710

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 13 Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 3.2

Align the definition of AAS on lines 12-13 with Recommendation ITU-R M.1797.

Suggested Remedy

Should read: 3.2 adaptive antenna system (AAS): An array of antennas and associated signal processing that together is able to change its antenna radiation pattern dynamically to adjust to noise environment, interference and multipath.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Jose Costa

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 711

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 21 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 4

Align the definition of BER with Recommendation ITU-R V.662.

Suggested Remedy

Should read: BER: bit error ratio.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Jose Costa

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 712

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 24 Line 5 Fig/Table# Subclause 5

Align the definition of PER with Recommendation ITU-R BT.1720.

Suggested Remedy

Should read: PER: packet error ratio.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Jose Costa

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 713

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page Line Fig/Table# Subclause

P802.16Rev2 and P802.16j are moving in parallel, which may result in an incomplete/confusing standard.

Suggested Remedy

Integrate the text of P802.16j into P802.16Rev2, particularly since the results of the P802.16j/D6a Sponsor Ballot satisfy the approval condition, pending comment resolution.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Out of Scope

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

802.16j is not included in the scope stated in the 802.16 Rev2 PAR. Incorporation of 16j will also jeopardize timely completion of the Rev2 project.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Riku Pirhonen

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 714

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 715 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.1.1

The current specification does not define a method for the MS to notify the BS when it has successfully switched to the new group. More importantly, there is mechanism to recover in case of MS fails to switch to the new group. Furthermore, the BS has no way in knowing whether MS will continue staying in the new group despite unsuccessful attempts or return to the original group.

Suggested Remedy

Accept the proposed remedy in C802.16maint-08/287r3 or later revision.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

adopt C802.16maint-08/287r3

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

confirmed chapter 8 and 11 changes applied

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Riku Pirhonen

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 715

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line Fig/Table# Subclause 11.13

Currently there is no mechanism in place for MS to indicate to the BS that it is in emergency and requires priority treatment. Without such mechanism, the BS may redirect MS to another BS via RNG-RSP (frequency override) or simply not admit MS if it is overloaded.

Suggested Remedy

Change #1:

Replace the entry "Reserved" with "Emergency Indication" for Type 10 parameter in Table 598 -- Service Flow Encodings

Change #2:

Insert the new sub-section in Section 11.13 as follows

11.13.x Emergency Indication parameter

The value of this parameter, if present, indicates the associated flow is used for emergency purposes. The emergency indication parameter shall take precedence over any conflicting service flow QoS parameter.

| Type | Length | Value | Scope |

[145/146].10	1	Bit 0: Emergency indication	DSx-REQ
	Bit 1-7: Reserved	DSx-RSP	
		DSx-ACK	

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

There are no known requirements on 802.16 for emergency services; it is impossible to judge if this is an adequate solution.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Comment by:

Wee Goh

Membership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 716Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 436 Line 45 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.20.1

The usage of the Direction field in sleep mode is not clear.

Suggested Remedy

Please insert text indicated by <>.

Unavailability interval <in DL or UL> is a time interval that does not overlap with any listening window of any active power saving class <defined in the corresponding direction.>

Availability interval <in DL or UL> is a time interval that does not overlap with any unavailability interval <in the corresponding direction.>

During the unavailability interval <in DL (or UL)>, the BS shall not transmit to <(or receive from)> the MS; therefore, the MS may power down one or more physical operation components or perform other activities that do not require communication with the BS (e.g., scanning neighbor BSs, associating with neighbor BSs). If there is a connection at the MS, which is not associated with any active power saving class, the MS shall be considered available on permanent basis <in the direction associated with that connection.>

During Availability interval <in DL (or UL)> the MS is expected to receive all DL transmissions <(or transmit in the UL allocations)> same way as in the state of normal operations (no sleep). In addition, the MS shall examine the DCD and UCD change counts and the frame number of the DL-MAP PHY Synchronization field to verify synchronization with the BS. Upon detecting a changed DCD Count in DL MAP and/or UCD Count in UL MAP, unless using the Broadcast Control Pointer IE for tracking and updating DCD and/or UCD changes, the MS shall continue reception until receiving the corresponding updated message.

GroupResolutionDecision of Group: Principle

Please insert text indicated by <>.

Unavailability interval <in DL or UL> is a time interval that does not overlap with any listening window of any active power saving class <defined in the corresponding direction.>

Availability interval <in DL or UL> is a time interval that does not overlap with any unavailability interval <in the corresponding direction.>

During the unavailability interval <in DL (or UL)>, the BS shall not transmit to the MS; therefore, the MS may power down one or more physical operation components or perform other activities that do not require communication with the BS (e.g., scanning neighbor BSs, associating with neighbor BSs). If there is a connection at the MS, which is not associated with any active power saving class, the MS shall be considered available on permanent basis <in the direction associated with that connection.>

During Availability interval <in DL (or UL)> the MS is expected to receive all DL transmissions <(or transmit in the UL allocations)> same way as in the state of normal operations (no sleep). In addition, the MS shall examine the DCD and UCD change counts and the frame number of the DL-MAP PHY Synchronization field to verify synchronization with the BS. Upon detecting a changed DCD Count in DL MAP and/or UCD Count in UL MAP, unless using the Broadcast Control Pointer IE for tracking and updating DCD and/or UCD changes, the MS shall continue reception until receiving the corresponding updated message.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Wee Goh

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 717

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 835 Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4

In section 8.3.5.4, the text "these allocations override all the allocations of the periodic regions defined by UCD in the specific frame." inaccurately states that the periodic regions defined in UL-MAP overrides all the allocation defined by UCD. For example, in the case of ranging region, the UL-MAP can specify either initial ranging or periodic ranging allocation. So the UL-MAP allocation only override the specified region and not all the allocations defined by the UCD.

Suggested Remedy

Replace the sentence
"these allocations override all the allocations of the periodic regions defined by UCD in the specific frame."
with
"these allocations override the respective allocations of the periodic regions defined by UCD in the specific frame."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Replace the sentence fragment
"these allocations override all the allocations of the periodic regions defined by UCD in the specific frame."
with
"these UIUC allocations override the corresponding allocations of the periodic regions defined by UCD in the specific frame."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Wee Goh

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 718

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 53 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.13.17.1

The standard state that "ARQ shall be enabled for this connection only if both sides report this TLV to be nonzero". The standard did not state clearly if a connection can be accepted with no ARQ if only one side report this TLV to be nonzero.

Suggested Remedy

Replace the line 2 of 1295

"TheSS shall either reject the connection or accept the connection with ARQ. "
with

"The connection shall be accepted with ARQ if both sides report the TLV to be nonzero. If only one side reports the TLV to be nonzero, the connection shall either be rejected or accepted without ARQ. "

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Wee Goh

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 719

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 5 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.13.33

When HARQ channel mapping TLV is absence in the REQ but appear in RSP message or vice versa, the connection creation should not assume that all HARQ channels can be used.

Suggested Remedy

Replace line 5 on page 1315:

"The absence of this TLV in any of the REQ or RSP messages of the connection creation means all HARQ channels can be used by this connection."

with

"The absence of this TLV in both the REQ and RSP messages of the connection creation means all HARQ channels can be used by this connection."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Need for change not demonstrated.

Group's Notes

result of vote to recommend "agree": 2 in favor, 1 opposed

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Wee Goh

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 720

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 59 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.8.5

The midamble is used by the MS to decide on the DL precoding and UL precoding (if it has more than one antenna). Given the low PAPR of the midamble it is advantageous to increase the averages transmit power in a similar fashion to the preamble or DL pilots. We therefore propose to boost the midamble pilots by 3dB and locate it in the DL frame with a fixed offset relative to the preamble to avoid collision with data (which will increase interference).

Also, for the purpose of UL precoding, we propose that the midamble location will be at the beginning of the DL frame in order to enable UL precoding in the same frame.

Suggested Remedy

Define a location for the mid-amble in the frame, at a fixed offset relative to the preamble.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No concrete resolution was provided and it is inappropriate to add a TBD to the draft at this stage of development.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Comment by:

Wee Goh

Membership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 721Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0CommentType EditorialPart of DisSatisfiedPageLineFig/Table#Subclause

In LB26d, the contribution C80216maint-08_192r3 is accepted. However, change for subsection c) in section 8.4.8.3.6 MIMO precoding is not incorporated.

Suggested Remedy

Please include the remedy described in C80216maint-08_192r3 for subsection c) in section 8.4.8.3.6 MIMO precoding.

GroupResolutionDecision of Group: Principle

In table 418, modified feedback line with the following:

<insert>0b110: Index to precoding matrix in codebook and Fast DL measurement
0b111: Reserved </insert>

Feedback Type

For CQICH type = 0b000, 0b001 or 0b100:

0b000 = Fast DL measurement/Default Feedback with antenna grouping

0b001 = Fast DL measurement/Default Feedback with antenna selection

0b010 = Fast DL measurement/Default Feedback with reduced codebook

When the MS transmits the feedback of S/N using 5 LSBs of 6 bits on it assigned CQICH, the MSB is set to 0 (see 8.3.5.4.10.5). MS may transmit, on its assigned CQICH, the feed-back information specified in 8.3.5.4.10.7.

For CQICH type = 0b010 or 0b011:

0b000 = Antenna grouping (see Table 394 of 8.3.5.4.10.7)

0b001 = Antenna selection (see Table 395 of 8.3.5.4.10.7)

0b010 = Reduced codebook (see Table 396 of 8.3.5.4.10.7)

For CQICH type = 0b101:

0b000 = Fast DL measurement (see 8.3.5.4.10.1 and 8.3.5.4.10.5)

0b001 = Default Feedback with antenna grouping (see Table 387 of 8.3.5.4.10.3)

0b010 = Antenna selection and reduced codebook (see Table 388 of 8.3.5.4.10.3)

0b011 = Quantized precoding weight feedback (see Figure 244 of 8.3.5.4.10.2)

When Feedback type = 0b100 and CQICH type = 0b101, primary CQICH is assigned for index to precoding matrix in codebook while secondary CQICH is assigned for CINR.

<insert>When Feedback type = 0b100 and CQICH Type = 0b001 (18 bit CQI), MS feeds back for all 3 bands in the band bitmap in the

order of lowest numbered band to highest.

When Feedback type = 0b110 and CQICH Type = 0b001 (18 bit CQI), 2 bands are fed back (Nr_Precoders_feedback=2). The first group of 6 bits carries the lowest AMC band 6-bit PMI, the second group of 6 bits carries the second AMC band 6-bit PMI, and the third group of 6 bits carries the 2-bit CINR of the 2 AMC band. </insert>

For Feedback type = <delete>0b100</delete> <insert>0b110</insert> (index to precoding matrix in codebook), mapping into each group of 6 bits (CQICH types 0b000, 0b100, 0b110 or 0b111):

<delete>1) 4 antenna BS - 4 bit PMI mapped to MSB plus 2 bit differential CINR as LSB </delete>

<delete>2) 2 antenna BS -</delete> 3 bit PMI mapped to MSB plus 1 bit RI plus 2 bit differential CINR as L SR

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

9/17: deferred
same as 811

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Wee Goh

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 722

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.11.3

As there are numerous references to the averaging parameter in the standard, it is good practice to provide specific section number when describing the parameter.

Suggested Remedy

Add the reference indicated in <>.

The averaging parameter may be sent as a DCD Message TLV <(section 11.4.1, table 567 "Default RSSI and CINR averaging parameter")>.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Add the text indicated in <>.

The averaging parameter alphaavg <for CINR measurement> may be sent as DCD Message TLV <21 for physical CINR averaging>.
<The averaging parameter alphaavg for HO CINR measurement may be sent as DCD Message TLV 121 for HO CINR averaging>.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Wee Goh

Membership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 723Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.4.1

The text "When the mean value of the MS's measurements over the averaging interval of a trigger defined by a Trigger TLV meets the trigger condition..." is not clear on the computation of the mean value. In section 8.3.11.3, the average value of RSSI and CINR computation is defined. So, at least the mean value for these metrics should be computed in the way described in 8.3.11.3. For the metric RTD, the average value can be computed using the "trigger averaging duration" as suggested by the text.

Suggested Remedy

Replace the line

"When the mean value of the MS's measurements over the averaging interval of a trigger defined by a Trigger TLV meets the trigger condition as specified by the type, function, and value of the trigger, the MS shall invoke the trigger's specified action."

with

"When the average value of the MS's measurements over the averaging interval of a trigger defined by a Trigger TLV meets the trigger condition as specified by the type, function, and value of the trigger, the MS shall invoke the trigger's specified action. For the metrics CINR and RSSI, the average values are computed using the formulae defined in section 8.3.11.3 and for the metrics RTD, the average value is computed over the trigger averaging duration."

GroupResolutionDecision of Group: Principle

Replace the line

"When the mean value of the MS's measurements over the averaging interval of a trigger defined by a Trigger TLV meets the trigger condition as specified by the type, function, and value of the trigger, the MS shall invoke the trigger's specified action."

with

"When the average value of the MS's measurements over the averaging interval of a trigger defined by a Trigger TLV meets the trigger condition as specified by the type, function, and value of the trigger, the MS shall invoke the trigger's specified action. For the metrics CINR and RSSI, the average values are computed using the formulae defined in section 8.3.11. "

Reason for Group's Decision/ResolutionGroup's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

[a\)](#) [done](#)

Comment by:

Wee Goh

Membership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 724Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> 452	<u>Line</u> 19	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 6.2.21.2
----------------	-----------------------	--	---	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	---------------------------

In section 6.2.21.2,

"HO Cancellation--An MS may cancel HO via MOB_HO-IND message at any time prior to expiration of Resource_Retain_Time interval after transmission of MOB_MSHO-REQ (in case of MS initiated HO) or MOB_BSHO-REQ (in case of BS initiated HO)."

The section indicates that MS can cancel the handover before expiration of the Resource_Retain_Timer. However, it is does not make sense to start the resource_retain_timer on MOB_MSHO-REQ or MOB_BSHO-REQ as suggested in the text.

Furthermore, in Section 6.2.21.2.5 the standard (Rev2/D6) says:

"If the HO_IND_type field specifies serving BS release, the BS shall start the Resource retain timer from value Resource_Retain_Time provided by BS in REG-RSP, BSHO-REQ, or BSHO-RSP messages."

This seems to imply that the resource_retain-timer should start upon transmission of MOB_HO-IND.

Suggested Remedy

Change the sentence:

"HO Cancellation--An MS may cancel HO via MOB_HO-IND message at any time prior to expiration of Resource_Retain_Time interval after transmission of MOB_MSHO-REQ (in case of MS initiated HO) or MOB_BSHO-REQ (in case of BS initiated HO)."

to

"HO Cancellation--An MS may cancel HO via MOB_HO-IND message at any time prior to expiration of Resource_Retain_Time interval after transmission of MOB_HO-IND with type 0x00 (Serving BS release). Handover can be at any time (even after the resource retain timer has expired) by MS performing handover ranging with the serving base station."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Change the sentence:

"HO Cancellation--An MS may cancel HO via MOB_HO-IND message at any time prior to expiration of Resource_Retain_Time interval after transmission of MOB_MSHO-REQ (in case of MS initiated HO) or MOB_BSHO-REQ (in case of BS initiated HO)."

to

"HO Cancellation--An MS may cancel HO via MOB_HO-IND message at any time prior to expiration of Resource_Retain_Time interval after transmission of MOB_HO-IND with type 0x00 (Serving BS release). "

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Majority of group believes existing text is sufficiently clear.

Group's Notes

Result of vote to call the question: 9 in favor, 4 opposed

Result of vote to recommend "Principle": 6 in favor, 10 opposed, no abstentions. Comment is marked "disagree"

Comment by: Sean Mcbeath

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 725

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Technical

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page ?

Line 46

Fig/Table#

Subclause 11.1.1

In IEEE 802.16 Rev2/D6a, there are references to the physical supported parameters compound TLV for the Current Tx Power parameter. The physical supported parameters compound TLV does not otherwise exist in IEEE 802.16 Rev2/D6a, so this contribution proposes to remove the aforementioned references.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16maint-08/298 or its latest revision

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16maint-08/298

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Sean Mcbeath

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 726

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.3.6.13

The limitation in the maximum allocation of downlink(uplink) bursts comes from the limitation in the decoding(encoding) performance of the MS in downlink(uplink). That is, the restriction on the burst allocation per frame per MS is imposed to accommodate the performance of MS in PHY level. However, it is not clearly specified how to treat the HARQ ACK disabled burst when counting the number of bursts per frame per MS. The suggestion is to count HARQ ACK disabled bursts (allocated with ACK disable = 1) as normal (non-HARQ) bursts.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16maint-08/299 or its latest revision

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16maint-08/299

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Kathiravetpillai Sivanesan

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 727

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 756 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.12

MIMO support for MBS is missing in the standard. It needs to be included

Suggested Remedy

adopt the proposed changes in the attached MS-word file mimombs_rev2sb_sivanesan.doc
{See IEEE C802.16maint-08/312}

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Claimed gain was not demonstrated satisfactorily to a majority of the group.

Group's Notes

Result of vote to adopt 08/312: 6 in favor, 7 opposed, no abstentions

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 728Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> General	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 2	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 13.2.5
----------------	---------------------	--	---	---------------	---------------	-------------------	-------------------------

wmanIf2fBsMinRsvdTolerableRate shall be removed, since minimum reserved tolerable rate TLV has been deleted from REV2

Suggested Remedy

Change as the following:

```
WmanIf2fBsServiceFlowEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
wmanIf2fBsSfMacAddress MacAddress,
wmanIf2fBsSfCid WmanIf2TcCidType,
wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowDirection Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowState WmanIf2fSfState,
wmanIf2fBsTrafficPriority Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsMaxSustainedRate Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsMaxTrafficBurst Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsMinReservedRate Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsToleratedJitter Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsMaxLatency Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsFixedVsVariableSduInd WmanIf2TcSduType,
wmanIf2fBsSduSize Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsSfSchedulingType WmanIf2TcSchedulingType,
wmanIf2fBsArqEnable TruthValue,
wmanIf2fBsArqWindowSize Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsArqTxRetryTimeout Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsArqRxRetryTimeout Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsArqBlockLifetime Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsArqSyncLossTimeout Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsArqDeliverInOrder TruthValue,
wmanIf2fBsArqRxPurgeTimeout Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsScArqBlockSizeReq WmanIf2TcArqBlockSize,
wmanIf2fBsScArqBlockSizeRsp Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsMinRsvdTolerableRate Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsReqTxPolicy WmanIf2TcReqTxPolicy,
wmanIf2fBsCsSpecification WmanIf2TcCsType,
wmanIf2fBsTargetSaid Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsFragmentSeqNumType WmanIf2TcFsnType,
wmanIf2fBsMbsService WmanIf2TcMbsType}
```

wmanIf2fBsMinRsvdTolerableRate OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX Unsigned32
UNITS "b/s"
MAX-ACCESS read-only
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
"Minimum Tolerable Traffic Rate = R (bits/sec) with
time base T(sec) means the following. Let S denote
additional demand accumulated at the MAC SAP of the
transmitter during an arbitrary time interval of the
length T. Then the amount of data forwarded at the
receiver to CS (in bits) during this interval should
be not less than min {S, R * T}."
REFERENCE
"Subclause 11.13.9 in IEEE Std 802.16-2004"
::= { wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowEntry 24 }

<u>GroupResolution</u>	<u>Decision of Group:</u> Principle
------------------------	-------------------------------------

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.23

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10053

<u>Editor's Notes</u>	<u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed
-----------------------	--

Duplicate

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 729

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 378 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.14.4.1

Subclause "6.2.14.4.1 Global service flows" is all about global service classes, and not about service flows.
Global service classes is not limited to be used in mobile networks.

Suggested Remedy

Change as the following:

1. "6.2.14.4.1 Global service flows classes"

Mobile nNetworks require common definitions of service class names and associated
AuthorizedQoSParamSets in order to facilitate operation across a distributed topology. Global

2. Table 185--Global service flow class name information field parameters

3. The global service flow class name parameters are as follows:

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change as the following:

1. "6.2.14.4.1 Global service <delete>flows</delete> <insert>classes</insert>"

<delete>Mobile n</delete><insert>N</insert>etworks require common definitions of service class names and associated
AuthorizedQoSParamSets in order to facilitate operation across a distributed topology. Global

2. Table 185—Global service <delete>flow</delete> class name information field parameters

3. The global service <delete>flow</delete> class name parameters are as follows:

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10007

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 730

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> General	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 21	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 13.2.5
----------------	---------------------	--	---	---------------	----------------	-------------------	-------------------------

Redundant service flow state definition
Replace WmanIf2fSfState with WmanIf2TcSfState

Suggested Remedy

1. Add WmanIf2TcSfState in IMPORTS FROM WMAN-IF2-TC-MIB

2. Remove WmanIf2fSfState

WmanIf2fSfState ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Defines the state of a service flow."

SYNTAX INTEGER {authorized(1),

admitted(2),

active(3)}

3. Replace WmanIf2fSfState with WmanIf2TcSfState

WmanIf2fBsProvServiceFlowEntry ::= SEQUENCE {

wmanIf2fBsSsProvMacAddress MacAddress,

wmanIf2fBsSfld Unsigned32,

wmanIf2fBsSfDirection WmanIf2TcSfDirection,

wmanIf2fBsServiceClassIndex Integer32,

wmanIf2fBsSfState WmanIf2TcSfState,

wmanIf2fBsSfProvisionedTime TimeStamp,

wmanIf2fBsSfCsSpecification WmanIf2TcCsType,

wmanIf2fBsProvisionedSfRowStatus RowStatus}

4. Replace WmanIf2fSfState with WmanIf2TcSfState

wmanIf2fBsSfState OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2TcSfState

MAX-ACCESS read-create

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"wmanIf2fBsSfState determines the requested state of a service flow.

- authorized state: A service flow is provisioned but

not resource is reserved yet

- admitted state: service flow has resources reserved.

- active state: has resources committed by the BS (e.g., is actively sending maps containing unsolicited grants for a UGS-based service flow),"

REFERENCE

"Subclause 6.3.14.6, in IEEE Std 802.16-2004"

::= { wmanIf2fBsProvServiceFlowEntry 5 }

5.

WmanIf2fBsServiceFlowEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
wmanIf2fBsSfMacAddress MacAddress,
wmanIf2fBsSfCid WmanIf2TcCidType,
wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowDirection Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowState WmanIf2fTcSfState,
wmanIf2fBsTrafficPriority Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsMaxSustainedRate Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsMaxTrafficBurst Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsMinReservedRate Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsToleratedJitter Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsMaxLatency Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsFixedVsVariableSduInd WmanIf2TcSduType,
wmanIf2fBsSduSize Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsSfSchedulingType WmanIf2TcSchedulingType,
wmanIf2fBsArqEnable TruthValue,
wmanIf2fBsArqWindowSize Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsArqTxRetryTimeout Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsArqRxRetryTimeout Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsArqBlockLifetime Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsArqSyncLossTimeout Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsArqDeliverInOrder TruthValue,
wmanIf2fBsArqRxPurgeTimeout Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsScArqBlockSizeReq WmanIf2TcArqBlockSize,
wmanIf2fBsScArqBlockSizeRsp Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsMinRsvdTolerableRate Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsReqTxPolicy WmanIf2TcReqTxPolicy,
wmanIf2fBsCsSpecification WmanIf2TcCsType,
wmanIf2fBsTargetSaid Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsFragmentSeqNumType WmanIf2TcFsnType,
wmanIf2fBsMbsService WmanIf2TcMbsType}
wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowState OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX WmanIf2fTcSfState
MAX-ACCESS read-only
STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"wmanIf2fBsSfState This object indicates the service flow state:
Authorized (1), Admitted (2), and Active (3) service
flow state."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 6.3.14.6"
::= { wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowEntry 4 }

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.21

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10051

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

Duplicate

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 731Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.5

wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowDirection shall use the textual convention already defined, instead of Integer32

Suggested Remedy

Change as the following

1. Import WmanIf2TcSfDirection from WMAN-IF2-TC-MIB

2.

```
WmanIf2fBsServiceFlowEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
    wmanIf2fBsSfMacAddress MacAddress,
    wmanIf2fBsSfCid WmanIf2TcCidType,
    wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowDirection WmanIf2TcSfDirection Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowState WmanIf2fSfState,
    wmanIf2fBsTrafficPriority Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsMaxSustainedRate Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsMaxTrafficBurst Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsMinReservedRate Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsToleratedJitter Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsMaxLatency Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsFixedVsVariableSduInd WmanIf2TcSduType,
    wmanIf2fBsSduSize Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsSfSchedulingType WmanIf2TcSchedulingType,
    wmanIf2fBsArqEnable TruthValue,
    wmanIf2fBsArqWindowSize Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsArqTxRetryTimeout Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsArqRxRetryTimeout Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsArqBlockLifetime Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsArqSyncLossTimeout Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsArqDeliverInOrder TruthValue,
    wmanIf2fBsArqRxPurgeTimeout Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsScArqBlockSizeReq WmanIf2TcArqBlockSize,
    wmanIf2fBsScArqBlockSizeRsp Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsMinRsvdTolerableRate Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsReqTxPolicy WmanIf2TcReqTxPolicy,
    wmanIf2fBsCsSpecification WmanIf2TcCsType,
    wmanIf2fBsTargetSaid Integer32,
```

wmanIf2fBsFragmentSeqNumType WmanIf2TcFsnType,
wmanIf2fBsMbsService WmanIf2TcMbsType}
3.
wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowDirection OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX WmanIf2TcSfDirectionINTEGER {downstream(1),
upstream(2)}
MAX-ACCESS read-only
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
"An attribute indicating the service flow is downstream or
upstream."
::= { wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowEntry 3 }

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.24

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10054

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

Duplicate

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 732Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.3

Redundant WmanIf2LinkDirection, shall use WmanIf2TcSfDirection instead

Suggested Remedy

1. Add WmanIf2TcSfDirection in IMPORTS FROM WMAN-IF2-TC-MIB

2. Remove WmanIf2LinkDirection

WmanIf2LinkDirection ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The direction of a connection."

SYNTAX INTEGER {downstream(1),
upstream(2)}

3. Replace WmanIf2LinkDirection with WmanIf2TcSfDirection

WmanIf2BsRssiCinrMetricsEntry ::= SEQUENCE {

wmanIf2BsChannelDirection WmanIf2LinkDirection, WmanIf2TcSfDirection

wmanIf2BsHistogramIndex Unsigned32,

wmanIf2BsChannelNumber WmanIf2TcChannelNumber,

wmanIf2BsStartFrame INTEGER,

wmanIf2BsDuration INTEGER,

wmanIf2BsBasicReport BITS,

wmanIf2BsMeanCinrReport INTEGER,

wmanIf2BsMeanRssiReport INTEGER,

wmanIf2BsStdDeviationCinrReport INTEGER,

wmanIf2BsStdDeviationRssiReport INTEGER}

4. Replace WmanIf2LinkDirection with WmanIf2TcSfDirection

wmanIf2BsChannelDirection OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2LinkDirection WmanIf2TcSfDirection

MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"wmanIf2BsChannelDirection identifies the direction of a
a channel where the measurement takes place."

::= { wmanIf2BsRssiCinrMetricsEntry 1 }

GroupResolutionDecision of Group: Principle

1. Add <insert>WmanIf2TcSfDirection</insert> in IMPORTS FROM WMAN-IF2-TC-MIB

2. Remove WmanIf2LinkDirection

<delete>WmanIf2LinkDirection ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The direction of a connection."

SYNTAX INTEGER {downstream(1),
upstream(2)}</delete>

3. Replace WmanIf2LinkDirection with <insert>WmanIf2TcSfDirection</insert>

WmanIf2BsRssiCinrMetricsEntry ::= SEQUENCE {

wmanIf2BsChannelDirection <delete>WmanIf2LinkDirection, </delete><insert>WmanIf2TcSfDirection</insert>

wmanIf2BsHistogramIndex Unsigned32,

wmanIf2BsChannelNumber WmanIf2TcChannelNumber,

wmanIf2BsStartFrame INTEGER,

wmanIf2BsDuration INTEGER,

wmanIf2BsBasicReport BITS,

wmanIf2BsMeanCinrReport INTEGER,

wmanIf2BsMeanRssiReport INTEGER,

wmanIf2BsStdDeviationCinrReport INTEGER,

wmanIf2BsStdDeviationRssiReport INTEGER}

4. Replace WmanIf2LinkDirection with <insert>WmanIf2TcSfDirection</insert>

wmanIf2BsChannelDirection OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX <delete>WmanIf2LinkDirection</delete> <insert>WmanIf2TcSfDirection</insert>

MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"wmanIf2BsChannelDirection identifies the direction of a
a channel where the measurement takes place."

::= { wmanIf2BsRssiCinrMetricsEntry 1 }

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10025

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 733Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.5

wmanIf2fBsQoSMinRsvdTolerableRate shall be removed, since minimum reserved tolerable rate TLV has been deleted from REV2

Suggested Remedy

```

WmanIf2fBsProvServiceClassEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
wmanIf2fBsQoSProfileIndex Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsQoSServiceClassName WmanIf2fServClassName,
wmanIf2fBsQOSTrafficPriority Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsQoSMaxSustainedRate Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsQoSMaxTrafficBurst Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsQoSMinReservedRate Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsQOSToleratedJitter Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsQoSMaxLatency Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsQoSFixedVsVariableSduInd WmanIf2TcSduType,
wmanIf2fBsQOSSduSize Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsQoSScSchedulingType WmanIf2TcSchedulingType,
wmanIf2fBsQoSScArqEnable TruthValue,
wmanIf2fBsQoSScArqWindowSize Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsQoSArqTxRetryTimeout Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsQoSArqRxRetryTimeout Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsQoSScArqBlockLifetime Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsQoSScArqSyncLossTimeout Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsQoSScArqDeliverInOrder TruthValue,
wmanIf2fBsQoSScArqRxPurgeTimeout Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsQoSScArqBlockSizeReq WmanIf2TcArqBlockSize,
wmanIf2fBsQoSScArqBlockSizeRsp Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsQoSMinRsvdTolerableRate Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsQoSReqTxPolicy WmanIf2TcReqTxPolicy,
wmanIf2fBsQoSFragmentSeqNumType WmanIf2TcFsnType,
wmanIf2fBsQoSMBsService WmanIf2TcMbsType,
wmanIf2fBsQoSServiceClassRowStatus RowStatus}
wmanIf2fBsQoSMinRsvdTolerableRate OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX Unsigned32
UNITS "b/s"

```


MAX-ACCESS read-create
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
"Minimum Tolerable Traffic Rate = R (bits/sec) with time
base T(sec) means the following. Let S denote additional
demand accumulated at the MAC SAP of the transmitter during
an arbitrary time interval of the length T. Then the amount
of data forwarded at the receiver to CS (in bits) during
this interval should be not less than min {S, R * T}."
REFERENCE
"Subclause 11.13.9 in IEEE Std 802.16-2004"
 ::= { wmanIf2fBsProvServiceClassEntry 22 }

<u>GroupResolution</u>	<u>Decision of Group:</u> Principle
Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.22	

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes
same as 10052

<u>Editor's Notes</u>	<u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed
Duplicate	

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 734

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.4

Power saving class capability TLV has been changed in REV2. WmanIf2mPowerSaveType shall be changed accordingly

Suggested Remedy

Change as the following

WmanIf2mPowerSaveType ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"For MS supporting sleep mode, this parameter defines the capability of the MS supporting different power save class types in sleep mode.

A bit 0 - 'not supported'

1 - 'supported'"

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.7.1413.2"

SYNTAX BITS {psClassTypeI(0),

psClassTypeII(1),

psClassTypeIII(2),

multiplePsClass(3)}

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.14

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10044

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Duplicate

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 735

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 62 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.4

Handover Supported field TLV has been changed in REV2. WmanIf2mHandoverType shall be changed accordingly

Suggested Remedy

Change as the following

WmanIf2mHandoverType ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Indicates what type(s) of Handover the BS and the MS supports.

bit#0: if set to 1, MDHO/FBSS HO not supported, the BS shall ignore all other bits.

bit#1: if set to 1, FBSS/MDHO DL RF Combining is supported with monitoring MAPs from active BSs

bit#2: if set to 1, MDHO DL soft Combining is supported with monitoring single MAP from anchor BS

bit#3: if set to 1, MDHO DL soft combining is supported with monitoring MAPs from active BSs

bit#4: if set to 1, MDHO UL Multiple transmission is supported

bit#5: If set to 1, seamless HO is supported"

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.7.1213.5"

SYNTAX BITS {mdhcFbssHoNotSupported(0),

mdhcFbssDlMapsFromActiveBss(1),

mdhcDlMapFromAnchorBs(2),

mdhcDlMapsFromActiveBss(3),

mdhcUlMultipleTx(4),

seamlessHo(5)}

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.13

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10044

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Duplicate

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008
10:54:10 EDT

Comment # 736

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 5 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.5

Power_Saving_Class_Type

"Power saving class type as specified in 6.2.2.3". Wrong reference, 6.2.2.3 is MAC Management Messages

Suggested Remedy

Change 6.2.2.3 to 6.2.2.3.39

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10020

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 737Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> General	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 21	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 13.2.4
----------------	---------------------	--	---	---------------	----------------	-------------------	-------------------------

Report mode parameter in Table 147 MBS_SCAN-RSP message has be changed. WmanIf2mReportMode shall be changed accordingly.

Table 147--MOB_SCN-RSP message format

Report mode 2 0b00: No report

0b01: Periodic report

0b10: Event-triggered report

0b11: One-time scan report

Suggested Remedy

Table 147--MOB_SCN-RSP message format

Report mode 2 0b00: No report

0b01: Periodic report

0b10: Event-triggered report

0b11: One-time scan report

WmanIf2mReportMode ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Action code for an MS's report of CINR measurement:

0b00: The MS measures channel quality of the Available BSs without reporting.

0b01: The MS reports the result of the measurement to Serving BS periodically. The period of reporting is different from that of scanning.

0b10: The MS reports the result of the measurement to Serving BS after each measurement.

0b11 One-time scan report"

REFERENCE

"Subclause 6.3.2.3.44"

SYNTAX INTEGER {noReport(0),

periodicReport(1),

eventTriggeredReport(2),

oneTimeScannReport(4)}

GroupResolutionDecision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.11

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10041

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

Duplicate

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 738

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 64 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.4

Resource_Retain_Time has been changed in REV2. Change the range of wmanIf2mBsSsRspCapRetrainTime and wmanIf2mBsCapRetrainTime

11.15.1 Resource_Retain_Time

The Resource_Retain_Time is the duration

bits 63-16: Target_BS_ID

bits 15-0: Preamble Index/Subchannel Index

Suggested Remedy

Change as the following

1. wmanIf2mBsSsRspCapRetrainTime OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX Unsigned32 (0 .. 65535)

UNITS "100 milliseconds"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Indicates the duration for MS's connection information that

will be retained in serving BS. BS shall start

Resource_Retain_Time timer at MS notification of pending HO

attempt through MOB_HO-IND or by detecting an MS drop."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.7.13.2.15.1"

::= { wmanIf2mBsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry 2 }

2. Make similar changes to wmanIf2mBsCapRetrainTime

3. Make similar changes to wmanIf2mBsCapCfgRetrainTime

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.17

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10047

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Comment by: Joey Chou Membership Status: Member Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 739 Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.4

Add range to xxxRetransmissionTimer objects

Suggested Remedy

- 1. wmanIf2mBsCapRetransmissionTimer OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX Unsigned32 (0 .. 255)
UNITS "frames"
MAX-ACCESS read-only
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
"When an MS transmits MOB_MSHO-REQ to initiate a handover process, it shall start MS Handover Retransmission Timer and shall not transmit another MOB_MSHO-REQ until the expiration of the MS Handover Retransmission Timer."
REFERENCE
"Subclause 11.7.13.3"
::= { wmanIf2mBsBasicCapabilitiesEntry 4 }
- 2. wmanIf2mBsCapCfgRetransmissionTimerOBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX Unsigned32 (0 .. 255)
- 3. wmanIf2mBsSsRspCapRetransmissionTimerOBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX Unsigned32 (0 .. 255)

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.18

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10048

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 740

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type General

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page ?

Line 23

Fig/Table#

Subclause

13.2.4

Typo "matrix"

Suggested Remedy

1. Change WmanIf2mHoTrigMatrix to WmanIf2mHoTrigMetric

2. wmanIf2mBsCapCfgHoTrigMaetrix OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2mHoTrigMaetrix

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Indicates trigger metrics that MS or BS supports."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.7"

::= { wmanIf2mBsCapabilitiesConfigEntry 12 }

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.15

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10045

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

Duplicate

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 741Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> General	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 12	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 13.2.5
----------------	---------------------	--	---	---------------	----------------	-------------------	-------------------------

Redundant service flow state definition

Replace WmanIf2fSfState with WmanIf2TcSfState

Suggested Remedy

1. Import WmanIf2TcSfState FROM WMAN-IF2-TC-MI

2. Delete WmanIf2fSfState

WmanIf2fSfState ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Defines the state of a service flow."

SYNTAX INTEGER {authorized(1),

admitted(2),

active(3)}

3. Replace WmanIf2fSfState with WmanIf2TcSfState

WmanIf2fBsProvServiceFlowEntry ::= SEQUENCE {

wmanIf2fBsSsProvMacAddress MacAddress,

wmanIf2fBsSfld Unsigned32,

wmanIf2fBsSfDirection WmanIf2TcSfDirection,

wmanIf2fBsServiceClassIndex Integer32,

wmanIf2fBsSfState WmanIf2fSfState WmanIf2TcSfState,

wmanIf2fBsSfProvisionedTime TimeStamp,

wmanIf2fBsSfCsSpecification WmanIf2TcCsType,

wmanIf2fBsProvisionedSfRowStatus RowStatus}

wmanIf2fBsSfState OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2fSfState WmanIf2TcSfState

MAX-ACCESS read-create

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"wmanIf2fBsSfState determines the requested state of a service flow.

- authorized state: A service flow is provisioned but not resource is reserved yet

- admitted state: service flow has resources reserved.

- active state: has resources committed by the BS (e.g., is actively sending maps containing unsolicited grants for a UGS-based service flow),"

REFERENCE

"Subclause 6.3.14.6"

```
::= { wmanIf2fBsProvServiceFlowEntry 5 }  
WmanIf2fBsServiceFlowEntry ::= SEQUENCE {  
    wmanIf2fBsSfMacAddress MacAddress,  
    wmanIf2fBsSfCid WmanIf2TcCidType,  
    wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowDirection Integer32,  
    wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowState WmanIf2fSfState WmanIf2TcSfState,  
    wmanIf2fBsTrafficPriority Integer32,  
    wmanIf2fBsMaxSustainedRate Unsigned32,  
    wmanIf2fBsMaxTrafficBurst Unsigned32,  
    wmanIf2fBsMinReservedRate Unsigned32,  
    wmanIf2fBsToleratedJitter Unsigned32,  
    wmanIf2fBsMaxLatency Unsigned32,  
    wmanIf2fBsFixedVsVariableSduInd WmanIf2TcSduType,  
    wmanIf2fBsSduSize Unsigned32,  
    wmanIf2fBsSfSchedulingType WmanIf2TcSchedulingType,  
    wmanIf2fBsArqEnable TruthValue,  
    wmanIf2fBsArqWindowSize Integer32,  
    wmanIf2fBsArqTxRetryTimeout Integer32,  
    wmanIf2fBsArqRxRetryTimeout Integer32,  
    wmanIf2fBsArqBlockLifetime Integer32,  
    wmanIf2fBsArqSyncLossTimeout Integer32,  
    wmanIf2fBsArqDeliverInOrder TruthValue,  
    wmanIf2fBsArqRxPurgeTimeout Integer32,  
    wmanIf2fBsScArqBlockSizeReq WmanIf2TcArqBlockSize,  
    wmanIf2fBsScArqBlockSizeRsp Integer32,  
    wmanIf2fBsMinRsvdTolerableRate Unsigned32,  
    wmanIf2fBsReqTxPolicy WmanIf2TcReqTxPolicy,  
    wmanIf2fBsCsSpecification WmanIf2TcCsType,  
    wmanIf2fBsTargetSaid Integer32,  
    wmanIf2fBsFragmentSeqNumType WmanIf2TcFsnType,  
    wmanIf2fBsMbsService WmanIf2TcMbsType}  
wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowState OBJECT-TYPE
```

SYNTAX WmanIf2fSfState WmanIf2TcSfState

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"wmanIf2fBsSfState indicates the service flow state:
Authorized (1), Admitted (2), and Active (3) service
flow state."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 6.3.14.6"
 ::= { wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowEntry 4 }

<u>GroupResolution</u>	<u>Decision of Group:</u>	Principle
------------------------	---------------------------	-----------

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.20

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10050

<u>Editor's Notes</u>	<u>Editor's Actions</u>	b) none needed
-----------------------	-------------------------	----------------

Duplicate

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 742

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.1.3.4.7
Typo "Matrics"

Suggested Remedy

Make the following changes:

1. wmanIf2BsHandoverMaetricsTable
2. wmanIf2BsUserMaetricsTable
3. wmanIf2BsCidMaetricsTable
4. wmanIf2BsServiceFlowMaetricsTable
5. wmanIf2BsArqHarqMaetricsTable

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10027

Editor's Notes

Duplicate

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 743

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.1.3.4.10

wmanIf2BsUserMatricsTable is not consistent with the subclause title

Suggested Remedy

Replace wmanIf2BsUserMatricsTable with wmanIf2BsServiceFlowMatricsTable

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10028

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Duplicate

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 744

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.1.3.4.11

wmanIf2BsCidMatricsTable is not consistent with the subclause title

Suggested Remedy

Replace wmanIf2BsCidMatricsTable with wmanIf2BsArqHarqMatricsTable

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10029

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Duplicate

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 745

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> General	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 2	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 13.2.3
----------------	---------------------	--	---	---------------	---------------	-------------------	-------------------------

Typo "Matrics"

Suggested Remedy

Replace "Matrics" with "Metrics"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

on page 1539, line 2, Replace "Matrics" with "Metrics"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10040

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Duplicate

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Joey Chou Membership Status: Member Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 746 Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.4

Typo "Matrics"

Suggested Remedy

- Make the following changes:
- 1. WmanIf2mReportMaetric
 - 2. Change all instances of WmanIf2mReportMatric to WmanIf2mReportMetric

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.12

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10042

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

Duplicate

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 747Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> General	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 32	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 13.2.4
----------------	---------------------	--	---	---------------	----------------	-------------------	-------------------------

Power saving class capability TLV has been changed in REV2. wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapNumOfPsClasslandII shall be changed accordingly

Suggested Remedy

Make the following changes:

```
1. WmanIf2mBsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapHandoverSupported WmanIf2mHandoverType,
wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapHoProcessTimer Unsigned32,
wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapMobilityFeature WmanIf2mOfdmaMobility,
wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapSleepRecoveryTime Unsigned32,
wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapPreviousIpAddr OCTET STRING,
wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapIdleModeTimeout Unsigned32,
wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapHoConnProcessTime Unsigned32,
wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapHoTekProcessTime Unsigned32,
wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapPowerSavingType WmanIf2mPowerSaveType,
wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapNumOfPsClasslandII Integer32,
wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapNumOfPsClassIII Integer32,
wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapHoTrigMatrix WmanIf2mHoTrigMatrix,
wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapAssociationType WmanIf2mAssociationTyp}
wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapNumOfPsClasslandII OBJECT-TYPE
```

SYNTAX Integer32 (0 .. 731)

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Total nNumber of power save class instances supported from class types 1 and 2."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.7.13.2 8.5 in IEEE Std 802.16e-2005"

```
::= { wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 10 }
```

```
wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapNumOfPsClassIII OBJECT-TYPE
```

SYNTAX Integer32 (0 .. 7)

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Number of power save class instances supported from class types 3."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.5 in IEEE Std 802.16e-2005"

::= { wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 11 }

2. Make similar changes to manIf2mBsSsRspCapNumOfPsClassIandII and wmanIf2mBsSsRspCapNumOfPsClassIII

3. Make similar changes to wmanIf2mBsCapNumOfPsClassIandII and wmanIf2mBsCapNumOfPsClassIII

4. Make similar changes to wmanIf2mBsCapCfgNumOfPsClassIandII and wmanIf2mBsCapCfgNumOfPsClassIII

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.16

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10046

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 748

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Satisfied</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>
----------------	-------------	--------------------	--	--------------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------------	------------------

General

?

30

11.7.12.5

11.7.12.5 Handover Supported field

"Bit #4: MDHO UL Multiple transmission" description is not clear

Suggested Remedy

Change as the following

Bit #4: MDHO UL Multiple transmission is supported when this bit is set to 1

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change as the following

Bit #4: MDHO UL Multiple transmission <insert>is supported when this bit is set to 1</insert>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same ass 10022

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 749Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> General	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 13	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 13,2,3
----------------	---------------------	--	---	---------------	----------------	-------------------	-------------------------

WmanIf2OfdmaDemoMimo has been merged into WmanIf2OfdmCapOptions, and is not used anymore

Suggested Remedy

Delete WmanIf2OfdmaDemoMimo

WmanIf2OfdmaDemoMimo ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"This field indicates the MIMO capability of OFDMA SS demodulator."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.7.5"

SYNTAX BITS {twoAntStcMatrixA(0),
twoAntStcMatrixBVertCoding(1),
twoAntStcMatrixBHorizCoding(2),
fourAntStcMatrixA(3),
fourAntStcMatrixBVertCoding(4),
fourAntStcMatrixBHorizCoding(5),
fourAntStcMatrixCVertCoding(6),
fourAntStcMatrixCHorizCodingt(7),
threeAntStcMatrixA(8),
threeAntStcMatrixB(9),
threeAntStcMatrixCVertCoding(10),
threeAntStcMatrixCHorizCodingt(11),
calculatingPrecodingWeight(12),
adaptiveRateControl(13),
calculatingChannelMatrix(14),
antennaGrouping(15),
antennaSelection(16),
codebookBasedPrecoding(17),
longTermPrecoding(18),
mimoMidamble(19),
alocGranularityDIPuscStc(20),
concurrentAlocDIPuscStc(21)}

GroupResolutionDecision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.3

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10031

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

Duplicate

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 750

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 13,2,3

The units of Maximum amount of MAC level data per DL frame and Maximum amount of MAC level data per UL frame are 256 bytes

Suggested Remedy

Add UNITS to wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxMacLevelDIFrame and wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxMacLevelUIFrame

wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxMacLevelDIFrame OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxMacLevel

UNITS "256Bytes"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Maximum amount of MAC level data the MS is capable of processing per DL frame."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.7.8.5.1"

DEFVAL { 0 }

::= { wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 12 }

wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxMacLevelUIFrame OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxMacLevel

UNITS "256Bytes"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Maximum amount of MAC level data the MS is capable of processing per UL frame."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.7.8.5.2"

DEFVAL { 0 }

::= { wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 13 }

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.8

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10038

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Duplicate

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008
10:54:10 EDT

Comment # 751

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment	Type General	Part of Dis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Satisfied	<input type="checkbox"/>	Page ?	Line 22	Fig/Table#	Subclause 13,2,3
---------	-----------------	-------------	---	--------------------------	-----------	------------	------------	---------------------

wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOfdmLoopPwrControlSw, wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOfdmLoopPwrControlSw, wmanIf2BsCapOfdmLoopPwrControlSw, and wmanIf2BsCapCfgOfdmLoopPwrControlSw shall use WmanIf2MinNumFrmsPwrCtrl instead of Unsigned32.

Suggested Remedy

Change the Syntax of wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOfdmLoopPwrControlSw, wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOfdmLoopPwrControlSw, wmanIf2BsCapOfdmLoopPwrControlSw, and wmanIf2BsCapCfgOfdmLoopPwrControlSw from Unsigned32 to WmanIf2MinNumFrmsPwrCtrl

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10036

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Duplicate

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 752

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 51 Fig/Table# Subclause 13,2,3

WmanIf2PmMeasureBitMap is lacking a bit to control the measurement of wmanIf2BsAuthenticationMetricsTable

Suggested Remedy

Change as the following

WmanIf2PmMeasureBitMap ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"A bit of of this object is set to '1' if the corresponding performance measurement is enable. When it is set to '0', the corresponding measurement is disable."

SYNTAX BITS {rssiCinrMetrics(0),

startupMetrics(1),

throughputMetrics(2),

networkEntryMetrics(3),

packetErrorRate(4),

handoverMetrics(5),

userMetrics(6),

cidMetrics(7),

serviceFlowMetrics(8),

arqHarqMetrics(9),

authenticationMetrics(10)}

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.6

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10034

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Duplicate

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 753

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 42 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.3

Update reference to IEEE Std 802.16-2004

Suggested Remedy

1. Change as the following
wmanIf2BsOfdmaOptPermULAllocSubchBitmap OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE (13))
MAX-ACCESS read-write
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
"This is a bitmap describing the sub-channels allocated to
the segment in the UL, when using the uplink optional PUSC
permutation (see 8.4.6.2.5 in IEEE Std 802.16-2004). The
LSB of the first byte shall correspond to subchannel 0.
For any bit that is not set, the corresponding subchannel
shall not be used by the SS on that segment. When this TLV
is not present, BS may allocate any subchannels to an SS."
REFERENCE
"Subclause 8.3.6.2.5"
 ::= { wmanIf2BsOfdmaUplinkChannelEntry 10 }

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.10

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10039

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Duplicate

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 754

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type General

Part of Dis



Satisfied



Page 5

Line 4

Fig/Table#

Subclause 1.4

"clause 14" is not a pointer.

The C_SAP and M-SAP interfaces are described in clause 14.

Suggested Remedy

Make "clause 14" a pointer pointing to Clause 14.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Fix hyperlink to Clause 14

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 755

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 4 Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 1.4

Subclause 1.4 indicates that Figure 1 illustrates the reference model, but the tile of Figure 1 is about the architecture model.

1.4 Reference models

Figure 1 illustrates the reference model and scope of this standard.

Suggested Remedy

Change as the following:

Figure 1-- IEEE Std. 802.16 Protocol Reference Architecture Model

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change as the following:

Figure 1-- IEEE Std. 802.16 Protocol <insert>Reference</insert> <delete>Architecture</delete> Model

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 756

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 27 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.4

Update reference to IEEE Std 802.16-2004

Suggested Remedy

1. Change as the following
wmanIf2mBsOfdmaOptPermULAllocSubchBitmap OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE (13))
MAX-ACCESS read-write
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
"This is a bitmap describing the sub-channels allocated to
the segment in the UL, when using the uplink optional PUSC
permutation (see 8.3.6.2.5 in IEEE Std 802.16-2004). The
LSB of the first byte shall correspond to subchannel 0.
For any bit that is not set, the corresponding subchannel
shall not be used by the SS on that segment. When this TLV
is not present, BS may allocate any subchannels to an SS."
REFERENCE
"Subclause 8.3.6.2.5"
 ::= { wmanIf2mBsNeighborBsOfdmaUcdEntry 15 }

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.19

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10049

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Duplicate

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 757

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.1.3.2

"MBS contents IDs" (11.13.37) provisioning is missing in wmanIf2Cm.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution C80216maint-08_274r2.doc

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 758

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.3

Delete redundant text "The usage is defined by WmanIf2SsTransitionGap."

Suggested Remedy

wmanIf2BsSsReqCapTtgTransitionGap OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX WmanIf2SsTransitionGap
UNITS "microsecond"
MAX-ACCESS read-only
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
"This field indicates the SS's transition speed SSTTG
for TDD and H-FDD SSs. The usage is defined by
WmanIf2SsTransitionGap."
::= { wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 8 }
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapRtgTransitionGap OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX WmanIf2SsTransitionGap
UNITS "microsecond"
MAX-ACCESS read-only
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
"This field indicates the SS's transition speed SSRTG
for TDD and H-FDD SSs. The usage is defined by
WmanIf2SsTransitionGap."
::= { wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 9 }
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapTtgTransitionGap OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX WmanIf2SsTransitionGap
UNITS "microsecond"
MAX-ACCESS read-only
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
"This field indicates the negotiated transition speed
SSTTG for TDD and H-FDD SSs. The usage is defined by
WmanIf2SsTransitionGap."
::= { wmanIf2BsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry 8 }

wmanIf2BsSsRspCapRtgTransitionGap OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX WmanIf2SsTransitionGap
UNITS "microsecond"
MAX-ACCESS read-only
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
"This field indicates the negotiated transition speed
SSRTG for TDD and H-FDD SSs. The usage is defined by
WmanIf2SsTransitionGap."
::= { wmanIf2BsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry 9 }

<u>GroupResolution</u>	<u>Decision of Group:</u>	Principle
------------------------	---------------------------	-----------

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.8

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10037

Editor's Notes

<u>Editor's Actions</u>	b) none needed
-------------------------	----------------

Duplicate

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 759

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.3

Delete redundant text "The usage is defined by WmanIf2SsTransitionGap."

Suggested Remedy

wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerBpsk OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for BPSK. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned the closest extreme. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsRegisteredSsEntry 21 }

wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerQpsk OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for QPSK. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned to closest extreme. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsRegisteredSsEntry 22 }

wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower16Qam OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for 16-QAM constellations. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned the closest extreme. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsRegisteredSsEntry 23 }

wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower64Qam OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for 64-QAM constellations. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned the closest extreme. SSs that do not support QAM64 shall report the value of 0x00. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsRegisteredSsEntry 24 }

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.7

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10035

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

Duplicate

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 760Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> General	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 9	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 13.2.3
----------------	---------------------	--	---	---------------	---------------	-------------------	-------------------------

wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerBpsk, wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerQpsk, wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower16Qam, and wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower64Qam are sent over SBC-REG, so they should be in WmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry, instead of WmanIf2BsRegisteredSsEntry.

Suggested Remedy

Move wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerBpsk, wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerQpsk, wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower16Qam, and wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower64Qam from WmanIf2BsRegisteredSsEntry to WmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry.

1.

```
WmanIf2BsRegisteredSsEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
wmanIf2BsSsUserGroupId WmanIf2UserGroups,
wmanIf2BsSsMacAddress MacAddress,
wmanIf2BsSsBasicCid WmanIf2TcCidType,
wmanIf2BsSsPrimaryCid WmanIf2TcCidType,
wmanIf2BsSsSecondaryCid WmanIf2TcCidType,
wmanIf2BsSsManagementSupport Integer32,
wmanIf2BsSsIpManagementMode Integer32,
wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqEnable TruthValue,
wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqWindowSize Integer32,
wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqDnLinkTxDelay Integer32,
wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqUpLinkTxDelay Integer32,
wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqDnLinkRxDelay Integer32,
wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqUpLinkRxDelay Integer32,
wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqBlockLifetime Integer32,
wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqSyncLossTimeout Integer32,
wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqDeliverInOrder TruthValue,
wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqRxPurgeTimeout Integer32,
wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqBlockSize Integer32,
wmanIf2BsSsVendorIdEncoding OCTET STRING,
wmanIf2BsSsAasBroadcastPermission Integer32,
wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerBpsk WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType,
wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerQpsk WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType,
wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower16Qam WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType,
wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower64Qam WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType,
wmanIf2BsSsMacVersion WmanIf2TcMacVersion}
```

wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerBpsk OBJECT-TYPE
wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerQpsk OBJECT-TYPE
wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower16Qam OBJECT-TYPE
wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower64Qam OBJECT-TYPE

2.

WmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapUplinkCidSupport WmanIf2NumOfCid,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapDsxFlowControl WmanIf2MaxDsxFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMcaFlowControl WmanIf2MaxMcaFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMcpGroupCidSupport WmanIf2MaxMcpGroupCid,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapPkmFlowControl WmanIf2MaxPkmFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxNumOfSupportedSA WmanIf2MaxNumOfSaType,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxNumOfClassifier WmanIf2MaxClassifiers,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapTtgTransitionGap WmanIf2SsTransitionGap,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapRtgTransitionGap WmanIf2SsTransitionGap,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapDownlinkCidSupport WmanIf2NumOfCid,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxNumBurstToMs WmanIf2MaxNumBurstTx,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxMacLevelDIFrame WmanIf2MaxMacLevel,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxMacLevelUIFrame WmanIf2MaxMacLevel,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapPnWindowSize Integer32,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOfdmLoopPwrControlSw Unsigned32,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOfdmaSdmaPilot WmanIf2SdmaPilotCap,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOfdmaNoUIHarqChannel WmanIf2OfdmaNoHarqChan,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOfdmaNoDIHarqChannel WmanIf2OfdmaNoHarqChan,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsBasic WmanIf2BasicCapOptions,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsBasic2 WmanIf2BasicCapOptions2,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsOfdm WmanIf2OfdmCapOptions,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsOfdma WmanIf2OfdmaCapOptions,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsOfdma2 WmanIf2OfdmaCapOptions2,
wmanIf2BsSsReqMaxTxPowerBpsk WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType,
wmanIf2BsSsReqMaxTxPowerQpsk WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType,
wmanIf2BsSsReqMaxTxPower16Qam WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType,
wmanIf2BsSsReqMaxTxPower64Qam WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType}
wmanIf2BsSsReqMaxTxPowerBpsk OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for BPSK. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm"

steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned the closest extreme. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 23 }

wmanIf2BsSsReqMaxTxPowerQpsk OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for QPSK. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned to closest extreme. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 24 }

wmanIf2BsSsReqMaxTxPower16Qam OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for 16-QAM constellations. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned the closest extreme. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 25 }

wmanIf2BsSsReqMaxTxPower64Qam OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
"The maximum available power for 64-QAM constellations.
The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and
quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded
0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this
range shall be assigned the closest extreme. SSs that do
not support QAM64 shall report the value of 0x00. This
parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM
or OFDMA PHY."
REFERENCE
"Subclause 11.8.3.2"
::= { wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 26 }

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.4

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10032

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Duplicate

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 761

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.3.2

"Maximum Tx power" TLV is sent over SBC-REQ. "Maximum Tx power" shall be returned by SBC-RSP to complete capability exchange.

Table 582 and Table 583 also show "Maximum Tx power" TLV is sent over SBC-REQ/RSP management message

Suggested Remedy

Add SBC-RSP to the scope of "Maximum Tx power"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

There is no need to send this TLV in the SBC-RSP.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 762

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.3.3

"Current Tx power" TLV is sent over SBC-REQ. "Current Tx power" shall be returned by SBC-RSP to complete capability exchange. Table 580 also shows "Current Tx power" TLV is sent over SBC-REQ/RSP management message

Suggested Remedy

Add SBC-RSP to the scope of "Current Tx power"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

There is no need to send this TLV in the SBC-RSP.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 763Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> General	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 9	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 13.2.3
----------------	---------------------	--	---	---------------	---------------	-------------------	-------------------------

Add wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPowerBpsk, wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPowerQpsk, wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPower16Qam, and wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPower64Qam to WmanIf2BsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry, since "Maximum Tx power" TLV is sent over SBC-REG, as per last comment suggested.

Suggested Remedy

```

1.
WmanIf2BsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapUplinkCidSupport WmanIf2NumOfCid,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapDsxFlowControl WmanIf2MaxDsxFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMcaFlowControl WmanIf2MaxMcaFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMcpGroupCidSupport WmanIf2MaxMcpGroupCid,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapPkmFlowControl WmanIf2MaxPkmFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxNumOfSupportedSA WmanIf2MaxNumOfSaType,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxNumOfClassifier WmanIf2MaxClassifiers,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapTtgTransitionGap WmanIf2SsTransitionGap,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapRtgTransitionGap WmanIf2SsTransitionGap,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapDownlinkCidSupport WmanIf2NumOfCid,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxNumBurstToMs WmanIf2MaxNumBurstTx,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxMacLevelDIFrame WmanIf2MaxMacLevel,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxMacLevelUIFrame WmanIf2MaxMacLevel,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapPnWindowSize Integer32,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOfdmLoopPwrControlSw Unsigned32,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOfdmaSdmaPilot WmanIf2SdmaPilotCap,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOfdmaNoUIHarqChannel WmanIf2OfdmaNoHarqChan,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOfdmaNoDIHarqChannel WmanIf2OfdmaNoHarqChan,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOptionsBasic WmanIf2BasicCapOptions,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOptionsBasic2 WmanIf2BasicCapOptions2,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOptionsOfdm WmanIf2OfdmCapOptions,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOptionsOfdma WmanIf2OfdmaCapOptions,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOptionsOfdma2 WmanIf2OfdmaCapOptions2,
wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPowerBpsk WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType,
wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPowerQpsk WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType,
wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPower16Qam WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType,
wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPower64Qam WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType}

```

wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPowerBpsk OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for BPSK. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned the closest extreme. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry 23 }

wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPowerQpsk OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for QPSK. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned to closest extreme. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry 24 }

wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPower16Qam OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for 16-QAM constellations. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned the closest extreme. This parameter

is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry 25 }

wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPower64Qam OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for 64-QAM constellations. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned the closest extreme. SSs that do not support QAM64 shall report the value of 0x00. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry 26 }

<u>GroupResolution</u>	<u>Decision of Group:</u>	Principle
-------------------------------	----------------------------------	------------------

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.5

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10033

Editor's Notes

<u>Editor's Actions</u>	b) none needed
--------------------------------	----------------

Duplicate

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 764Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> General	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 12	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 13.2.3
----------------	---------------------	--	---	---------------	----------------	-------------------	-------------------------

"Current Tx powerr" TLV is missing in the wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesTable

Suggested Remedy

1.
WmanIf2CurrentTxPower ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION

"The average parameter indicates the transmitted power used for the burst that carried the message. The parameter is reported in dBm and is quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -84 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 43.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned the closest extreme. The parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM, or OFDMA PHY specifications. However, for the OFDM or OFDMA PHY, this value indicates the average transmitted power of each subcarrier for the burst which carried the message. However, for the OFDM or OFDMA PHY, this value indicates the average transmitted power of each subcarrier for the burst which carried the message."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.1.1"

SYNTAX Integer32 (0..255)

2.
WmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapUplinkCidSupport WmanIf2NumOfCid,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapDsxFlowControl WmanIf2MaxDsxFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMcaFlowControl WmanIf2MaxMcaFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMcpGroupCidSupport WmanIf2MaxMcpGroupCid,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapPkmFlowControl WmanIf2MaxPkmFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxNumOfSupportedSA WmanIf2MaxNumOfSaType,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxNumOfClassifier WmanIf2MaxClassifiers,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapTtgTransitionGap WmanIf2SsTransitionGap,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapRtgTransitionGap WmanIf2SsTransitionGap,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapDownlinkCidSupport WmanIf2NumOfCid,

wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxNumBurstToMs WmanIf2MaxNumBurstTx,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxMacLevelDIFrame WmanIf2MaxMacLevel,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxMacLevelUIFrame WmanIf2MaxMacLevel,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapPnWindowSize Integer32,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOfdmLoopPwrControlSw Unsigned32,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOfdmaSdmaPilot WmanIf2SdmaPilotCap,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOfdmaNoUIHarqChannel WmanIf2OfdmaNoHarqChan,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOfdmaNoDIHarqChannel WmanIf2OfdmaNoHarqChan,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsBasic WmanIf2BasicCapOptions,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsBasic2 WmanIf2BasicCapOptions2,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsOfdm WmanIf2OfdmCapOptions,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsOfdma WmanIf2OfdmaCapOptions,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsOfdma2 WmanIf2OfdmaCapOptions2,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapCurrentTxPower WmanIf2CurrentTxPower}
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapCurrentTxPower OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2CurrentTxPower

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"This parameter indicates the transmitted power used for the burst which carried the message."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.3"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 24 }

3.

WmanIf2BsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapUplinkCidSupport WmanIf2NumOfCid,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapDsxFlowControl WmanIf2MaxDsxFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMcaFlowControl WmanIf2MaxMcaFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMcpGroupCidSupport WmanIf2MaxMcpGroupCid,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapPkmFlowControl WmanIf2MaxPkmFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxNumOfSupportedSA WmanIf2MaxNumOfSaType,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxNumOfClassifier WmanIf2MaxClassifiers,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapTtgTransitionGap WmanIf2SsTransitionGap,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapRtgTransitionGap WmanIf2SsTransitionGap,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapDownlinkCidSupport WmanIf2NumOfCid,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxNumBurstToMs WmanIf2MaxNumBurstTx,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxMacLevelDIFrame WmanIf2MaxMacLevel,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxMacLevelUIFrame WmanIf2MaxMacLevel,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapNumOfProvisionedSf WmanIf2MaxNumProvSf,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapPnWindowSize Integer32,

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 765

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 4 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.1.2.1

Add table title to the table, so it will be shown in TOC

Suggested Remedy

The parameters shall be described briefly in the following table 642.

Add the title to the table

Table 642 M-SAP/C-SAP Operation Types

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.25

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10055

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 766

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.1.2.2

Add table title to the table, so it will be shown in TOC

Suggested Remedy

The parameters are described briefly in the following table 643
Add the title to the table
Table 643 M-SAP/C-SAP Event Types

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.26

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10056

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 767

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.1.1

Wrong Figure numbers

Suggested Remedy

14.2.1.1 Accounting procedure

Accounting primitives consist of M-ACM-IND, M-ACM-REQ and M-ACM-RSP, as shown in Figure 471 340 and Figure 472 341. Figure 471 340 represents accounting primitives initiated by a BS when it receives REG-REQ, DREG-REQ, DSA-REQ/RSP, DSC-REQ/RSP or DSD-REQ/RSP. Figure 472 341 represents accounting primitives initiated by the NCMS.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.27

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10057

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 768

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page ?

Line 45

Fig/Table#

Subclause 14.2.4.1

Wrong Figure numbers

Suggested Remedy

Subscriber Mode

transitions at an MS, BS and the NCMS are illustrated in Figures 478 347 and 479 348.

The parenthesis in figure 478 347 and 479 348 consists of condition and action for state transition, (condition, action).

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.29

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10059

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:Joey Chou

Membership Status:Member

Date:11-Sep-2008

Comment #769

Document under Review:P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID:802.16Rev2R0

CommentTypeGeneral

Part of Dis☒Satisfied☐

Page?Line36

Fig/Table#

Subclause14.2.2.3.1.1

Reorder the attribute list, so they are consistent with the attribute description

Suggested Remedy

C-SM-REQ
(
Operation_Type: Action,
Action_Type: Context_Transfer,
Destination: NCMS or BS,
MS MAC Address,
Attribute_List:
Serving BSID,
Target BSID,
MS MAC Address,
Security Information}
C-SM-RSP
(
Operation_Type: Action,
Action_Type: Context_Transfer,
Destination: NCMS or BS,
Attribute_List:
MS MAC Address,
Serving BSID,
Target BSID,
MS MAC Address,
Result Code,
Security Information}

GroupResolution

Decision of Group:Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.28

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 770

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>
	General

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page ?

Line 56

Fig/Table#

Subclause C.1.1.1.11

Missing encryption flag

Suggested Remedy

MAC_DATA.request

```
(
  Connection ID,
  length,
  data,
  discard-eligible flag,
  encryption flag
)
```

The Connection ID parameter specifies the connection over which the data is to be sent; the service class is implicit in the Connection ID parameter.

The length parameter specifies the length of the MAC SDU in bytes.

The data parameter specifies the MAC SDU as received by the local MAC entity.

The discard-eligible flag specifies whether the MAC SDU is to be preferentially discarded by the scheduler in the event of link congestion and consequent buffer overflow.

The encryption flag specifies that the data sent over this connection is to be encrypted, if ON. If OFF, then no encryption is used.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.31

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10061

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 771

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause C.1.1.1.11

Change the subclause title to be consistent with others

Suggested Remedy

- 14.2.10.1.1 C-MBS-REQ (Operation_Type = Get)
- 14.2.10.1.2 C-MBS-RSP (Operation_Type = Get)
- 14.2.10.2.1 C-MBS-REQ (Operation_Type = Set)
- 14.2.10.2.2 C-MBS-RSP (Operation_Type = Set)
- 14.2.10.3.2.1 C-MBS-REQ (Operation_Type = Create)
- 14.2.10.3.2.2 C-MBS-REQ (Operation_Type = Delete)
- 14.2.10.3.3.1 C-MBS-RSP (Operation_Type = Create)
- 14.2.10.3.3.2 C-MBS-RSP (Operation_Type = Delete)

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.30

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10060

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 772

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page ?

Line 45

Fig/Table#

Subclause 11.7

Table format is corrupted

Suggested Remedy

Fix the table format

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 10021

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Jonathan Labs

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008
12:15:00 PM

Comment # 773

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Satisfied</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u>	<u>?</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>
----------------	-------------	--------------------	--	--------------------------	-------------	----------	-------------	-------------------	------------------

Technical

[Comment submitted to address the WiMAX Liason statement IEEE L802.16-08/052r1.]

Clarify the number of HARQ ACK region allocation in one uplink subframe.

See section 1 of IEEE L802.16-08/052r1.

Suggested Remedy

The maximum number of HARQ ACK region allocated in one uplink subframe should not be more 30 than one.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The problem was resolved in 8.3.5.4.25 in P802.16Rev2/D6. No change is necessary.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Jonathan Labs

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 774

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<u>Satisfied</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>
----------------	-------------	--------------------	------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------------	------------------

[Comment submitted to address the WiMAX Liason statement IEEE L802.16-08/052r1.]

Clarify the interpretation of a HARQ ACK disabled burst and how to treat the HARQ ACK disabled burst when BS allocates downlink or uplink bursts within the limitation of the maximum burst per frame per MS.

See section 2 of IEEE L802.16-08/052r1.

Suggested Remedy

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16maint-08/299

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Same resolution as (superceded by) 726

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Phillip Barber

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008
12:00:00 PM

Comment # 775

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 740 Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.2.2

In Table 325, entry line "0C" instead of "MIMO" it has to be "MIMO DL Enhanced IE"
Filed on behalf of Peretz Feder

Suggested Remedy

Replace "MIMO" with "MIMO DL Enhanced IE"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Phillip Barber

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008
12:00:00 PM

Comment # 776

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 747 Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.8

"DIUC = 15" should be replaced by "DIUC = 14" as pointed in table 325
Filed on behalf of Peretz Feder

Suggested Remedy

replace "DIUC = 15" by "DIUC = 14"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Phillip Barber

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008
12:01:00 PM

Comment # 777

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 750 Line 47 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.9

"DIUC = 15" should be replaced by "DIUC = 14"
On behalf of Peretz Feder

Suggested Remedy

replace "DIUC = 15" by "DIUC = 14"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Phillip Barber

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008
12:01:00 PM

Comment # 778

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 840 Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.8.3.3

Below Table 380 line 46, text is present twice
Filed on behalf of Peretz Feder

Suggested Remedy

Double text should be deleted. Remove lines 51 through 53

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Phillip Barber

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 779

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.8.3.3

Matrix element has the wrong index "Si + 10"
Filed on behalf of Peretz Feder

Suggested Remedy

Replace "Si + 10" with "Si + 1"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Phillip Barber

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 780

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 48 Line 15 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2

chapter "6.2 Reserved" was fully deleted in Rev2/D6

This causes trouble because the following chapters are renamed and don't need to. It causes cross reference problems.
Filed on behalf of Peretz Feder

Suggested Remedy

Insert back 'chapter 6.2 Reserved'

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

- [1. Move the entire section 6.2 to 6.3]
- [2. Revive the empty 6.2 as '6.2. Reserved']
- [3. Restore 6.3.2.2.5 as "Reserved" and renumber following subsections accordingly]
- [4. Move the entire sections 8.2 and 8.3 to 8.3 and 8.4 respectively]
- [5. set the empty 8.2 to '8.2. Reserved']

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Same resolution as 673

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Jonathan Labs

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 20:00

Comment # 781

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<u>Satisfied</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>
----------------	-------------	--------------------	------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------------	------------------

Technical



Satisfied



Page ?

Line

Fig/Table#

Subclause

[Comment submitted to address the WiMAX Liason statement IEEE L802.16-08/052r1.]

Tx Power reports are essential for the correct operation of open loop power control. The use of Tx Power reports is described in section 8.4.10.3.2.1 in 802.16e-2005. Support of Tx Power reports is mandated by the WiMAX system profile using the BW request and Tx Power Report Header. However, the standard does not clearly specify when the MS should first start reporting them and nor does it discuss if MS is ever allowed to stop sending them.

See section 3 of IEEE L802.16-08/052r1.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt resolution described in section 3 of IEEE L802.16-08/052r1.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/296 and ensure that cross-references are correct.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

See also 661.

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Jonathan Labs

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 20:11:53

Comment # 782

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>General</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Satisfied</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u>	<u>?</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>
----------------	-------------	----------------	--------------------	--	--------------------------	-------------	----------	-------------	-------------------	------------------

[Comment submitted to address the WiMAX Liason statement IEEE L802.16-08/052r1.]
Physical supported parameter TLV is referred in the IEEE 802.16-2004. This Physical supported parameter type is however not defined. Reference to this TLV Type should be removed.
See section 4 of IEEE L802.16-08/052r1.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt resolution described in section 4 of IEEE L802.16-08/052r1.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16maint-08/298

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Same as (superceded by) 725

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Yeongmoon Son

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 783

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.2.7.1

When UL sounding is performed over multiple antennas by setting Multi-Antenna Flag = 1 in UL Sounding Command IE, it will be cyclically shifted or decimated over antennas by using index $d+i-1$ or $n+i-1$ respectively as specified in section 8.3.6.2.7.1. To make it clear, it should be specified that the antenna number is counted from 1 (or, $i>0$).

Suggested Remedy

[Modify the second paragraph below Table 486 in page 1009 as follows]

8.3.6.2.7.1 Channel sounding

For CSIT capability A, the indices d or n are associated with the first antenna of the MS. If multi-antenna flag equals 1 then the i -th antenna of the MS corresponds to index $d + i - 1$ or to $n + i - 1$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots$, respectively.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Modify the second paragraph below Table 486 in page 1009 as follows]

8.3.6.2.7.1 Channel sounding

For CSIT capability A, the indices d or n are associated with the first antenna of the MS. If multi-antenna flag equals 1 then the i -th antenna of the MS corresponds to index $d + i - 1$ or to $n + i - 1$ <insert> ($i > 0$) </insert> respectively.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

9/16: deferred

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Sang Youb Kim

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 784

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 756 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.12

MIMO support for MBS is missing in the standard. It needs to be included.

Suggested Remedy

adopt the proposed changes in the attached MS-word file mimombs_rev2sb_sangyoubkim.doc
{See IEEE C802.16maint-08/312}

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Claimed gain was not demonstrated satisfactorily to a majority of the group.

Group's Notes

Same as 747

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Hyunjeong Kang

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 785

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 535 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.5

During the optimized HO or re-entry from Idle mode, the TEKs may be updated when the connections are needs to support data encryption. The TEKs at the serving BS shall be continuously used at the target BS, if the TEKs are not updated after HO or re-entry. There are two options as how to update the TEKs.

The first one uses SA-TEK-update TLV.

The TEKs are updated by SA-TEK-Update TLV. SA-TEK-Update TLV can be used in the REG-Encodings TLV in the RNG-RSP or can be used in the SA-TEK-Response. To update TEKs using SA-TEK-Update in RNG-RSP does not need more message exchange.

The other option uses SA-Challenge Tuple in RNG-RSP. This requires two more messages to be exchanged.(e.g. PKMv2

SA-TEK-Request and SA-TEK-Response) This option increases the latency as well as the complexity. Especially, the possibility of finishing HO or re-entry successfully becomes lower. Using SA-Challenge TLV is not recommended in the draft D6 and makes the Auth state machine complicated. The part of handling SA-Challenge TLV is not fully verified, so it may have problems. And, its error handling cases are not considered much for that case. Thus, I just suggest restricting the usage of SA-Challenge Tuple and making the Auth state machine simpler.

Suggested Remedy

[adopt C80216maint-08_263r2.doc or later version]

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/263r3

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

9/16: DEFERRED

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Confirmed changes in chapters 7 and 11 are applied.

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Hyunjeong Kang

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 786

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 520 Line 58 Fig/Table# Subclause 7.2.2.2.3

In case of EAP-based authorization, AK shall be derived by the authenticator from the PMK and be delivered to the BS. And so the MS and the BS become to share the same AK. But the text in the draft D6 may lead to misunderstanding as the AK is derived by the BS. Thus I suggest the following remedy.

Suggested Remedy

[Modify the paragraph on page 520, line 58, as follows]

7.2.2.2.3 AK derivation

The ~~AK will be derived by the~~ BS and the SS will share the AK which is derived from the PMK (from EAP-based authorization procedure) and/ or the PAK (from RSA-based authorization procedure).

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by: Hyunjeong Kang

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 787

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 20 Fig/Table# 536 Subclause 8.3.10.3.1

Remedy #2 in contribution C80216maint-08/264r4 has not been well reflected to Rev2/D6 as resolved for comment #4051 of 80216-08/032r2 in July meeting.

Suggested Remedy

[Modify Table 536 as suggested in the contribution 08/264r4 as follows (Remove row 4 and 5)]

Table 536—Normalized C/N per modulation

Modulation / FEC rate	Normalized C/N	
ACK region	-3.0	
FAST FEEDBACK		
MAP ACK Channel	0	
MAP NACK Channel		
MAP ACK Channel	0.0	
MAP NACK Channel	0.0	
CDMA code	3	
QPSK 1/3	0.5	
QPSK 1/2	6	
QPSK 2/3	7.5	
QPSK 3/4	9	
16-QAM-1/2	12	
16-QAM-2/3	14.5	

	16-QAM-3/4		15	
+-----+				
	16-QAM-5/6		17.5	
+-----+				
	64-QAM-1/2		18	
+-----+				
	64-QAM-2/3		20	
+-----+				
	64-QAM-3/4		21	
+-----+				
	64-QAM-5/6		23	
+-----+				

GroupResolution Decision of Group: [Agree](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions [a\) done](#)

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Hyunjeong Kang

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 788

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.1.3

The BS can support multiple MAC versions due to system upgrades.
However, MS cannot know the multiple MAC versions BS supports, explicitly.
BS contains its single MAC version in DCD message.

Suggested Remedy

[Adopt the contribution C80216maint-08_305.doc or its later versions.]

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Concerns about breaking backwards compatibility were expressed.

Group's Notes

9/17: deferred

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Comment by: Hyunjeong KangMembership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 789Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 92 Line 10 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.5

The C80216maint-08_016r10.pdf was accepted in the previous IEEE meeting and a new definition of Bit #2 in Ranging Purpose Indication TLV is added.

But additional change is required for Ranging Purpose Indication TLV in section 6.2.2.3.5 RNG-REQ (ranging request) message.

Suggested Remedy

[Adopt the following change in section 6.2.2.3.5 RNG-REQ (ranging request) message.]
(Line 10 on page 92 in Rev2/D6a.)

Ranging Purpose Indication

The presence of this item in the message indicates the following MS action:

If Bit #0 is set to 1, in combination with a serving BSID, it indicates that the MS is currently attempting to HO or reentry; or, in combination with a Paging Controller ID, indicates that the MS is attempting network reentry from idle mode to the BS.

If Bit #1 is set to 1, it indicates that the MS is initiating the idle mode location update process.

Bit 2: Seamless HO indication (when this bit is set to 1 in combination with other included information elements indicates the MS is currently initiating ranging as part of seamless HO procedure)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Adopt the following change in section 6.2.2.3.5 RNG-REQ (ranging request) message.]
(Line 10 on page 92 in Rev2/D6a.)

Ranging Purpose Indication

The presence of this item in the message indicates the following MS action:

If Bit #0 is set to 1, in combination with a serving BSID, it indicates that the MS is currently attempting to HO or reentry; or, in combination with a Paging Controller ID, indicates that the MS is attempting network reentry from idle mode to the BS.

If Bit #1 is set to 1, it indicates that the MS is initiating the idle mode location update process.

<insert>Bit 2: Seamless HO indication. When this bit is set to 1 in combination with other included information elements, it indicates the MS is

initiating ranging as part of seamless HO procedure.</insert>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Jung Je Son

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 790

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 390 Line 1 Fig/Table# F113 Subclause 6.2.14.9.2

There are some editorial/technical errors in Dynamic Service Flow state transition diagrams.

Suggested Remedy

[adopt C80216maint-08_280r2.doc or later version]

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

adopt C80216maint-08_280r2.doc

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Jung Je Son

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 791

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 15 Fig/Table# T567 Subclause 11.4.1

A clarification on the 'MBS zone identifier list TLV' in DCD message.

Suggested Remedy

[Adopt the following change in the Value column of 'MBS zone identifier list TLV'.]

MBS zone identifier list TLV | 61 | variable |

This parameter shall include all MBS zone identifiers (i.e., n*MBS zone identifier) with which BS is associated.

An MBS zone identifier is 1 byte long.

bits #6 through #0 are the MBS Zone Identifier, bit #7 is set to 0 in each byte.

When the parameter is part of a compound DCD settings TLV (refer to subclause 11.18.1), a value of 0 means that the neighbor BS is not affiliated with any MBS zone.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Adopt the following change in the Value column of 'MBS zone identifier list TLV'.]

MBS zone identifier list TLV | 61 | variable |

This parameter shall include all MBS zone identifiers (i.e., n*MBS zone identifier) with which BS is associated.

An MBS zone identifier is 1 byte long.

bits #6 through #0 are the MBS Zone Identifier, bit #7 is set to 0 in each byte.

<insert>The MBS Zone identifier shall not be '0'. When the parameter is part of a compound DCD_settings TLV (refer to subclause 11.18.1), a value of 0 means that the neighbor BS is not affiliated with any MBS zone</insert>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

[a\)](#) [done](#)

Comment by:

Jung Je Son

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 792

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 490 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.23.8.1.5

Such as the configuration of MBS Zone & MCID in the field, the MCID update procedure depends on the operation scenario of specific service provider.

Thus we have the following text in "6.3.23.1.1 Inter-MBS Zone transition"

"If the SS has no MCID information regarding the new MBS Zone, then the SS is required to acquire MCID context through the other procedures, e.g., location-update, handover, or network-entry."

According to the current standard, the CID_update TLV for transport CIDs is an conditionally mandatory feature. And that is the same case for MCIDs.

"For mobile stations, when the information is available to create CID update TLV, the target BS shall include ..."

Moreover, MBS receiving in idle mode is an optional feature.

Thus we shall not make 'the MCID update in idle mode' mandatory in the standard.

Suggested Remedy

[Adopt the following changes]

6.2.23.8.1.5 MBS update

An MS in idle mode, with an active multi-BS MBS service flow, ~~shall~~should perform a location update process when the MS ~~with-~~multi-BS-MBS flows detects a change in MBS Zone, unless the MS already has the MCID mappings in the target MBS zone. The MS shall detect the change of MBS Zone by monitoring the MBS zone identifier list which is transmitted by the Preferred BS in the DCD message. If the MBS zone identifier list detected does not include the MBS zone identifiers for all multi-BS-MBS flows to which the MS belongs, the MS shall determine that the MBS Zone has changed.

6.3.24.8.2.1 Secure location update process

If the MS shares a valid security context with the target BS so that the MS may include a valid HMAC/ CMAC Tuple in the RNG-REQ, then the MS shall conduct initial ranging with the target BS by sending a RNG-REQ including Ranging Purpose Indication TLV with Bit #1 set to 1, Location Update Request and Paging Controller ID TLVs (11.1.9.2) and HMAC/CMAC Tuple. If location update is used when an idle MS, with an active multi-BS MBS service flow, enters a new MBS Zone ~~If the MBS Zone has changed, then~~ the MS shall

include MBS update TLV in RNG-REQ. If the target BS evaluates the HMAC/CMAC Tuple as valid and can supply a corresponding authenticating HMAC/CMAC Tuple, then the target BS shall reply with a RNG-RSP including the Location Update Response TLV and HMAC/CMAC Tuple completing the location update process. If the paging group has changed, then target BS shall include Paging Group ID TLV in the RNG-RSP. If the target BS responds with a successful Location Update Response = 0x00 (Success of Location Update), the target BS shall notify the paging controller via the backbone network of the MS new location information, the MS shall assume the Paging Group ID of the target BS, and the paging controller may send a message over the backbone network to inform the BS at which the MS entered idle mode that the MS has transitioned to a different Paging Group. ~~If the MBS Zone has changed~~ Upon receiving RNG-REQ with MBS update TLV, ~~then~~ the BS shall include CID_Update TLV in RNG-RSP and shall include at least the SFID, Multicast CID, MBS Zone Identifier Assignment parameter, and may include MBS contents IDs, for any multi-BS-MBS service flow for which the MBS Zone has changed. If the target BS evaluates the HMAC/CMAC Tuple as invalid, cannot supply a corresponding authenticating HMAC/CMAC Tuple, or otherwise elects to direct the MS to use unsecure location update, then the target BS shall instruct the MS to continue network reentry using the unsecure location update process by inclusion of Location Update Response TLV in RNG-RSP with a value of 0x01 (Failure of Location Update).

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Adopt the following changes]

6.2.23.8.1.5 MBS update

An MS in idle mode<insert>, with an active multi-BS MBS service flow,</insert> <delete>shall</delete><insert>should</insert> perform a location update process when the MS <delete>with multi-BS-MBS flows</delete> detects a change in MBS Zone<insert>, unless the MS already has the MCID mappings in the target MBS zone</insert>. The MS shall detect the change of MBS Zone by monitoring the MBS zone identifier list which is transmitted by the Preferred BS in the DCD message. If the MBS zone identifier list detected does not include the MBS zone identifiers for all multi-BS-MBS flows to which the MS belongs, the MS shall determine that the MBS Zone has changed.

6.3.24.8.2.1 Secure location update process

If the MS shares a valid security context with the target BS so that the MS may include a valid HMAC/CMAC Tuple in the RNG-REQ, then the MS shall conduct initial ranging with the target BS by sending a RNG-REQ including Ranging Purpose Indication TLV with Bit #1 set to 1, Location Update Request and Paging Controller ID TLVs (11.1.9.2) and HMAC/CMAC Tuple. <insert>If location update is used when an idle MS, with an active multi-BS MBS service flow, enters a new MBS Zone</insert><delete>If the MBS Zone has changed, then</delete> the MS shall include MBS update TLV in RNG-REQ. If the target BS evaluates the HMAC/CMAC Tuple as valid and can supply a corresponding authenticating HMAC/CMAC Tuple, then the target BS shall reply with a RNG-RSP including the Location Update Response TLV and HMAC/CMAC Tuple completing the location update process. If the paging group has changed, then target BS shall include Paging Group ID TLV in the RNG-RSP. If the target BS responds with a successful Location Update Response = 0x00 (Success of Location Update), the target BS shall notify the paging controller via the backbone network of the MS new location information, the MS shall assume the Paging Group ID of the target BS, and the paging controller may send a message over the backbone network to inform the BS at which the MS entered idle mode that the MS has transitioned to a different Paging Group.

<delete>If the MBS Zone has changed</delete><insert>Upon receiving RNG-REQ with MBS update TLV</insert>,
<delete>then</delete> the BS shall include CID_Update TLV in RNG-RSP and shall include at least the SFID, Multicast CID, MBS Zone Identifier Assignment parameter, and may include MBS contents IDs, for any multi-BS-MBS service flow for which the MBS Zone has changed. If the target BS evaluates the HMAC/CMAC Tuple as invalid, cannot supply a corresponding authenticating HMAC/CMAC Tuple, or otherwise elects to direct the MS to use unsecure location update, then the target BS shall instruct the MS to continue network reentry using the unsecure location update process by inclusion of Location Update Response TLV in RNG-RSP with a value of 0x01 (Failure of Location Update).

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Wonil Roh

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 793

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type **Technical** Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.9.4.4

The example of OFDMA UL CC encoding in section 8.3.9.4.4 (previously 8.4.9.4.4) had been replaced with a correct one by comment #538 in 802.16maint-04/094r4 and incorporated in Cor1/D5 draft standard a while ago. However, the standard was not updated well in 802.16e/2005, and as a result the wrong one that was in 802.16/2004 got accommodated in the Rev2 standard all of a sudden while editing/consolidating standard documents.

It is suggested to replace the example in section 8.3.9.4.4 in Rev2/D6 with the correct one in section 8.4.9.4.4 in Cor1/D5.

Suggested Remedy

[Replace the section 8.3.9.4.4 with the section 8.4.9.4.4 in Cor1/D5]

8.3.9.4.4 Example of OFDMA UL CC encoding

An example of one burst of OFDMA uplink using mandatory structure is provided, illustrating each process from randomization through subcarrier modulation. The scenario parameters are as follows:

- 1) OFDM symbol number start = 0
- 2) Number of time slots in UL allocation = 2
- 3) Starting Logical Slot = 16 (mapped onto physical subchannel 16 in the first time slot and physical subchannel 29 in the second time slot due to subchannel rotation)
- 4) IDcell = 5
- 5) UL Permbase = 5
- 6) Modulation = QPSK
- 7) Coding scheme = Convolutional coding
- 8) Coding rate = 1/2
- 9) Frame Number = 5

Input Data (Hex)

AC BC D2 11 4D AE 15 77 C6 DB F4 C9

Randomized Data (Hex)

55 8A C4 A5 3A 17 24 E1 63 AC 2B F9

Convolutional encoded Data (Hex)

28 33 E4 8D 39 20 26 D5 B6 DC 5E 4A F4 7A DD 29 49 4B 6C 89 15 13 48 CA

Interleaved Data (Hex)

4B 04 7D FA 42 F2 A5 D5 F6 1C 02 1A 58 51 E9 A3 09 A2 4F D5 80 86 BD 1E

Constellation Mapping (data shall be transformed to constellation values: I value/Q value. The value 0.707 represents $\sqrt{2}/2$):

+0.707/-0.707, +0.707/+0.707, -0.707/+0.707, -0.707/-0.707, +0.707/+0.707, +0.707/+0.707, +0.707/-0.707, +0.707/+0.707, +0.707/-0.707, -0.707/-0.707, -0.707/-0.707, +0.707/-0.707,

0.707)(4,1211,-0.707/-0.707)(4,1212,-0.707/-0.707)(4,1361,-0.707/-0.707)(4,1362,-0.707/
+0.707)(4,1363,+0.707/+0.707)(4,1364,-0.707/+0.707)(4,1601,-0.707/+0.707)(4,1602,+0.707/-
0.707)(4,1603,-0.707/-0.707)(4,1604,-0.707/-0.707)(5,328,+1/0)(5,329,-0.707/
+0.707)(5,330,+0.707/+0.707)(5,331,+1/0)(5,524,-1/0)(5,525,-0.707/+0.707)(5,526,+0.707/
+0.707)(5,527,+1/0) (5,784,+1/0)(5,785,+0.707/-0.707)(5,786,-0.707/+0.707)(5,787,-1/0) (5,1209,-
1/0)(5,1210,-0.707/+0.707)(5,1211,+0.707/-0.707)(5,1212,+1/0)(5,1361,+1/0)(5,1362,+0.707/
+0.707)(5,1363,-0.707/+0.707)(5,1364,-1/0)(5,1601,-1/0)(5,1602,+0.707/-0.707)(5,1603,+0.707/
+0.707)(5,1604,+1/0)

~~An example of one burst of OFDMA UL using mandatory structure is provided, illustrating each process from randomization through subcarrier modulation. The scenario parameters are as follows:~~

- ~~— OFDM symbol number start = 35~~
- ~~— Number of time slots in UL allocation = 2~~
- ~~— Starting Logical Slot = 6 (mapped onto physical subchannel 16 in the first time slot and physical subchannel 17 in the second time slot due to subchannel rotation)~~
- ~~— IDcell = 5~~
- ~~— Segment = 0~~
- ~~— Modulation = QPSK~~
- ~~— Coding scheme = Convolutional coding~~
- ~~— Coding rate = 1/2~~

~~Input Data (Hex)~~

~~AC BC D2 11 4D AE 15 77 C6 DB F4 C9~~

~~Randomized Data (Hex)~~

~~06 DF 2F 59 42 1E 34 D7 03 19 68 46~~

~~Convolutional encoded Data (Hex)~~

~~36 F5 E1 7E E8 98 6E 27 EB B9 F2 A6 57 B6 A0 51 FA BD 4E E0 E5 A9 E7 F2~~

~~Interleaved Data (Hex)~~

~~6D BB DF FD B4 94 38 C6 1B 9E D8 53 AE FC 2A DE FD 76 68 AE 94 56 16 65~~

~~Constellation Mapping (data shall be transformed to constellation values: I value/Q value. The value 0.707 represents $\sqrt{2}/2$),:~~

~~+0.707/-0.707, -0.707/+0.707, -0.707/-0.707, +0.707/-0.707, -0.707/+0.707, -0.707/-0.707, -0.707/
+0.707, -0.707/-0.707, -0.707/-0.707, +0.707/-0.707, -0.707/-0.707, -0.707/-0.707, -0.707/-0.707,
0.707/-0.707, -0.707/-0.707, +0.707/-0.707, -0.707/+0.707, -0.707/-0.707, +0.707/-0.707, +0.707/
+0.707, -0.707/+0.707, +0.707/-0.707, +0.707/-0.707, +0.707/+0.707, +0.707/+0.707, -0.707/
0.707, -0.707/+0.707, +0.707/+0.707, -0.707/-0.707, +0.707/+0.707, +0.707/-0.707, -0.707/+0.707,
+0.707/+0.707, +0.707/-0.707, -0.707/+0.707, -0.707/-0.707, -0.707/-0.707, -0.707/+0.707, +0.707/-0.707,
-0.707, -0.707/+0.707, -0.707/-0.707, +0.707/-0.707, -0.707/+0.707, +0.707/+0.707, +0.707/-0.707,
+0.707/-0.707, +0.707/+0.707, -0.707/-0.707, -0.707/+0.707, -0.707/+0.707, -0.707/-0.707, -0.707/
+0.707, -0.707/-0.707, -0.707/-0.707, -0.707/-0.707, +0.707/+0.707, +0.707/+0.707, -0.707/+0.707,
-0.707/+0.707, -0.707/+0.707, -0.707/-0.707, +0.707/-0.707, -0.707/-0.707, -0.707/+0.707, -0.707/
0.707, -0.707/-0.707, -0.707/-0.707, +0.707/-0.707, +0.707/-0.707, -0.707/-0.707, +0.707/-0.707,
-0.707/+0.707, +0.707/-0.707, -0.707/+0.707, -0.707/+0.707, +0.707/+0.707, -0.707/+0.707, -0.707/
0.707~~

~~+0.707, -0.707/-0.707, -0.707/+0.707, -0.707/-0.707, +0.707/+0.707, +0.707/-0.707, +0.707/-0.707, +0.707/+0.707,
+0.707/-0.707, +0.707/-0.707, +0.707/-0.707, +0.707/+0.707, +0.707/+0.707, +0.707/-0.707,
+0.707/-0.707, -0.707/+0.707, +0.707/-0.707, -0.707/+0.707, +0.707/-0.707, +0.707/-0.707~~

Mapping onto subcarriers and multiplying by PN [assuming the use of logical data subchannel 6, mapped onto physical subchannel 16 in the first time slot and to physical subchannel 17 at the second time slot, structure includes pilots and is in the structure of (Symbol Number, Subcarrier Index, I value / Q Value)]:

~~(35,448,+1/0), (35,449, -0.707/+0.707), (35,450, -0.707/-0.707), (35,451,+1/0), (35,512,+1/0),
(35,513, -0.707/+0.707), (35,514, -0.707/-0.707), (35,515, 1/0), (35,984,+1/0), (35,985, -0.707/-
0.707), (35,986,+0.707/-0.707), (35,987,+1/0), (35,1189, 1/0), (35,1190, -0.707/-0.707), (35,1191,-
0.707/-0.707), (35,1192,+1/0), (35,1505,+1/0), (35,1506,+0.707/-0.707), (35,1507, -0.707/+0.707),
(35,1508,+1/0), (35,1753, 1/0), (35,1754, -0.707/-0.707), (35,1755,+0.707/-0.707), (35,1756,+1/0),
(38,1815,+0.707/+0.707), (38,1816, -0.707/+0.707), (39,232, -0.707/+0.707), (39,233, -0.707/-
+0.707), (39,234,+0.707/-0.707), (39,235,+0.707/-0.707), (39,704,+0.707/+0.707), (39,705, -0.707/-
0.707), (39,706,+0.707/-0.707), (39,707, -0.707/-0.707), (39,908, -0.707/+0.707), (39,909, -0.707/-
0.707), (39,910, -0.707/-0.707), (39,911, -0.707/-0.707), (39,1225,+0.707/-0.707), (39,1226,+0.707/-
0.707), (39,1227, -0.707/-0.707), (39,1228,+0.707/-0.707), (39,1473, -0.707/+0.707),
(39,1474,+0.707/-0.707), (39,1475, -0.707/+0.707), (39,1476, -0.707/+0.707), (39,1813,+0.707/-
+0.707), (39,1814, -0.707/+0.707), (39,1815, -0.707/+0.707), (39,1816, -0.707/-0.707), (40,232,+1/-
0), (40,233,+1/0), (40,234,+0.707/-0.707), (40,235,+0.707/-0.707), (40,704,+1/0), (40,705,+1/0),
(40,706,+0.707/-0.707), (40,707,+0.707/-0.707), (40,908,+1/0), (40,909,+1/0), (40,910,+0.707/-
0.707), (40,911, -0.707/+0.707), (40,1225,+1/0), (40,1226,+1/0), (40,1227,+0.707/+0.707),
(40,1228,+0.707/-0.707), (40,1473,+1/0), (40,1474,+1/0), (40,1475,+0.707/-0.707), (40,1476,-
0.707/+0.707), (40,1813,+1/0), (40,1814,+1/0), (40,1815,+0.707/-0.707), (40,1816, -0.707/+0.707),
(36,448, -0.707/+0.707), (36,449,+0.707/-0.707), (36,450,+0.707/-0.707), (36,451,+0.707/+0.707),
(36,512,+0.707/+0.707), (36,513, -0.707/-0.707), (36,514, -0.707/+0.707), (36,515,+0.707/+0.707),
(36,984, -0.707/-0.707), (36,985,+0.707/+0.707), (36,986,+0.707/-0.707), (36,987, -0.707/+0.707),
(36,1189,+0.707/+0.707), (36,1190,+0.707/-0.707), (36,1191, -0.707/+0.707), (36,1192, -0.707/-
0.707), (36,1505, -0.707/-0.707), (36,1506, -0.707/-0.707), (36,1507, -0.707/-0.707),
(36,1508,+0.707/-0.707), (36,1753, -0.707/+0.707), (36,1754, -0.707/-0.707), (36,1755,+0.707/-
0.707), (36,1756,+0.707/+0.707), (37,448,+1/0), (37,449, -0.707/-0.707), (37,450,+0.707/-0.707),
(37,451, 1/0), (37,512,+1/0), (37,513, -0.707/+0.707), (37,514,+0.707/+0.707), (37,515,+1/0),
(37,984,+1/0), (37,985,+0.707/-0.707), (37,986,+0.707/-0.707), (37,987,+1/0), (37,1189,+1/0),
(37,1190,+0.707/+0.707), (37,1191, -0.707/-0.707), (37,1192,+1/0), (37,1505, 1/0), (37,1506, -0.707/-
+0.707), (37,1507,+0.707/-0.707), (37,1508, 1/0), (37,1753,+1/0), (37,1754, -0.707/-0.707),
(37,1755, -0.707/+0.707), (37,1756, 1/0), (38,232,+1/0), (38,233,+1/0), (38,234, -0.707/+0.707),
(38,235, -0.707/+0.707), (38,704,+1/0), (38,705,+1/0), (38,706, -0.707/+0.707), (38,707, -0.707/-
+0.707), (38,908,+1/0), (38,909,+1/0), (38,910, -0.707/-0.707), (38,911, -0.707/+0.707),
(38,1225,+1/0), (38,1226,+1/0), (38,1227, -0.707/-0.707), (38,1228, -0.707/-0.707), (38,1473,+1/0),
(38,1474,+1/0), (38,1475, -0.707/-0.707), (38,1476,+0.707/+0.707), (38,1813,+1/0), (38,1814,+1/0),~~

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

9/17: deferred

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Wonil Roh

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008
08:40:00 EDT

Comment # 794

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.3.6.13

The limitation in the maximum allocation of downlink(uplink) bursts comes from the limitation in the decoding(encoding) performance of the MS in downlink(uplink). That is, the restriction on the burst allocation per frame per MS is imposed to accommodate the performance of MS in PHY level. However, it is not clearly specified how to treat the HARQ ACK disabled burst when counting the number of burst per frame per MS. The suggestion is to count HARQ ACK disabled burst (allocated with ACK disable = 1) as normal(non-HARQ) burst.

Suggested Remedy

[Modify the paragraph in section 11.8.3.6.13 as follows]

11.8.3.6.13 Maximum number of burst per frame capability in HARQ

The Maximum Number of Burst Per Frame Capability field indicates the maximum number of UL/DL data burst allocations for the SS in a single UL/DL subframe (note that the number of UL non-HARQ burst is always limited to 1).

The HARQ ACK disabled burst allocated with (HARQ_DL_MAP_IE or Persistent HARQ_DL_MAP_IE) with "ACK disable"=1 or (HARQ_UL_MAP_IE or Persistent HARQ_UL_MAP_IE) with "ACK disable"=1 shall be treated as a non-HARQ burst when counting the number of DL/UL bursts per frame per MS.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16maint-08/299

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Same resolution as (superceded by) 726

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Phillip Barber

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 795

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 483 Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.22

MBS functionality in 802.16 has severe performance deficiencies when compared to other state of the art techniques. 60% to 100% performance improvements can be achieved with relatively minor changes.

Suggested Remedy

Accepted the remedy proposed in either C802.16maint-08/292 or C802.16maint-08/293

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Amount of gain does not justify the degree of standard changes. The group voted to disagree, i.e., to not adopt the contribution.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Comment by: Hassan YaghoobiMembership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 796Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> 255	<u>Line</u> 13	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 6.2.2.3.52
----------------	-----------------------	--	---	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	-----------------------------

Rev2D5 removed to requirement for a separate permutation zone. The purpose of this removal was to enable a flexible scheduling of MBS bursts such they are not part of dedicated permutation zone for MBS. However the PHY initialization of the PRBS generator (see 8.3.3.4.2) mandates the knowledge of the relative position within the permutation zone.

As a result the MBS daisy chain mechanism is broken as there is no longer a requirement to transmit a separate permutation zone and the MS must (also for IDLE):

- 1.First decode the DL MAP
- 2.Identify all permutation zones described in the DL MAP.
- 3.Calculate the burst relative position within the relevant permutation zone.

Only than it can perform demodulation of the MBS burst.

This effectively diminishes the benefit of daisy chain:

Reduces the probability of correct MBS burst decoding (as the correct decoding is now dependent on 2 consecutive DL MAPs in addition to the correct decoding of the MBS MAP and the PDU in the burst).

And increase power consumption when MS with active MBS connection in Sleep mode or in IDLE mode during unavailability interval.

Since Macro-diversity only mandates the transmission of DL MAP in some of the bursts this problem pertains to both Macro-diversity and non Macro-diversity MBS zones.

Suggested Remedy

Please adopt proposed remedy in attached contribution C80216maint-08_230r7.doc.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

adopt C80216maint-08_230r11.doc.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Confirmed changes applied to all referenced chapters.

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Erik Colban

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008
21:00:55

Comment # 797

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.21.4

The encoding of the GPS time is not efficient.

First, taking advantage of the fact that OFDMA frames are synchronized with GPS time, the time can be signaled using fewer bits providing better accuracy.

Second, the BS must update the value of this TLV whenever frame numbers wrap around. This can be avoided if the time were expressed modulo m, where m divides the frame number wraparound period.

Third, the modulus used in the seconds field (2048) is incorrect, as 12 bits provides exact number of seconds modulo 4096.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution C802.16maint-08/308

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

BS requirements appear to be overly restrictive. The author requested to mark this as "disagree" to allow more time for development of the concept.

Group's Notes

9/17: deferred

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Erik Colban

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008
21:00:55

Comment # 798

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 483 Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.22

Without support of outer-coding, 802.16 cannot compete with other known technologies in providing MBS service in an efficient way. Even though outer-coding is performed at the layers above the 802.16 MAC, the MAC layer is impacted. The reason is that the MS needs to properly delineate the MAC PDUs in their allocations and decode the MAC headers and sub-headers. This limits the gain that can be achieved through outer-coding, unless information in the GMH and sub-headers is made redundant and transmitted and encoded separately from the data.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution C802.16maint-08/292

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The gains did not justify the degree of standards change. The group voted to disagree, i.e., to not adopt contribution C802.16maint-08/292.

Group's Notes

result of vote to Adopt contribution C802.16maint-08/292: 1 in favor, 4 opposed, 1 abstention.

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Erik Colban

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008
21:00:55

Comment # 799

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 101 Line 25 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.8

The interaction between a DSx transaction and a HO transaction is not properly addressed in the standard.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution C802.16maint-08/172r6

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Adopt contribution C802.16maint-08/172r9

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The group voted to disagree because the proposal doesn't consider provisioned service flows.

Group's Notes

9/17: deferred

results of vote to adopt C802.16maint-08/172r9: 4 in favor, 3 opposed, 9 abstentions

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Wee Goh

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 800

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 8 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.8.5

In page 1059 of midamble section in Rev 2 D6. The $N_{used}/2$ term in the Mid_amble_carrier_set equation would lead to a non-integer number if the N_{used} value is odd. According to section 8.3.6.1.2.1 and 8.3.6.3, the N_{used} which includes DC tone is always odd number for all FFT sizes. So the term $(-N_{used}/2)$ is not an integer for above equation.

Suggested Remedy

Replace $N_{used}/2$ with $(N_{used}-1)/2$

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Phillip Barber

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 22:11

Comment # 801

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 454 Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.21.2.2

Rev2/D6 specification is vague regarding the behavior of the MS when the "HO Operation Mode" is set to 1 (Mandatory HO Request / Mandatory HO Response) in MOB_BSHO-REQ or MOB_BSHO-RSP message.

In addition the standard is using the BS Controlled HO flag to force the MS recommendation response which is redundant. BS Controlled HO is set to 0 for a "should" and set to 1 for a "shall" yet the "HO Operation Mandatory Req/Res" can achieve the same result. Besides why do we need a flag to distinguish between a should and a shall?

During MS initiated HO, the MS sends the MOB_MSHO-REQ message. When the BS concludes that the handover is needed, it sets the "HO Operation Mode" flag in its response to the MS, ie., in MOB_BSHO-RSP message.

During BS initiated HO, when the BS concludes that the handover is required, it sets the "HO Operation Mode" flag in its Handover request to the MS, ie., in MOB_BSHO-REQ message.

The MOB_BSHO-REQ (during BS initiated HO) or MOB_BSHO-RSP (during MS initiated HO) SHALL contain a candidate BS list, and as per Rev2/D6, this list SHALL contain at least one recommended BS.

Rev2/D6 specification is not clear in describing when the MS should choose a target BS from the candidate BS list, and when the MS can choose a target BS of its own choice, when it indicates a "Serving BS release" message (by setting HO-IND_Type to "0b00") to the serving BS. The specification is also not clear when the MS should respond with a MOB_HO-IND message with HO-IND_Type set to 0b10 (HO Reject) - is it when it is not able to choose any target BS at all? or is it when not being able to choose a target BS from the recommended tBS list in the MOB_BSHO-REQ or MOB_BSHO-RSP message? The following remedy attempts to clarify these aspects. Filed on behalf of Peretz Feder

Suggested Remedy

Accept the remedy proposed in C802.16maint-08/310

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The majority of the group voted against this, giving the reason that elimination of requirements for use of DCD TLV breaks backwards compatibility.

Group's Notes

9/16: deferred

Question called on 9/17. Result of vote: 12 in favor, 2 opposed, 0 abstentions.

Result of vote to approve 08/310r1 as the resolution of this comment: 2 in favor, 10 opposed, 2 abstentions.

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

John Humbert

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 802

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 269 Line 51 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.2.3.59

The use of the LBS-ADV message is needed to support Network selection and discovery. The scanning rates on devices for OFDM systems is very slow so the device needs to know its location so that it can load a limited list of scanning frequencies to use for network reentry.

Suggested Remedy

Change text on page 269, starting at line 51 to read

A BS SHALL use the LBS-ADV message to broadcast the LBS information. The message SHALL be broadcast periodically of no more than 100 frames without solicitation. This message is sent from the BS to all MSs on a broadcast CID.

Change text on page 257, starting at line 1 to read:

The LBS-ADV message SHALL include at least one of the following TLV's. If the Absolute Position (Short Format) TLV is not present in the LBS-ADV message then the BS shall use the Absolute Position (Long Format) TLV or one of the Relative Position TLV's.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The overhead requirements associated with the provision of this service are not justified. The author request that this comment be rejected in order for further harmonization.

Group's Notes

9/17: deferred

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Mo-Han Fong

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 23:10:53

Comment # 803

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 756 Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.3.12

MIMO support for MBS is missing in the standard. It needs to be included

Suggested Remedy

adopt the proposed changes in the attached MS-word file mimombs_rev2sb_Mo-Han_Fong.doc

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Claimed gain was not demonstrated satisfactorily to a majority of the group.

Group's Notes

same as 747

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Roger Marks

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 23:00:00

Comment # 804

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> 0	<u>Line</u> 0	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 0
----------------	-----------------------	--	---	---------------	---------------	-------------------	--------------------

The following comments submitted in the Pre-ballot Mandatory Editorial Coordination need to be double-checked:

SECTION I: Items/issues that shall be resolved before the ballot begins:

Copyright

If applicable, all copyright permission for excerpted text, tables, and figures shall be submitted to the IEEE prior to the start of ballot . If there are missing permission response letters, please submit them immediately to me (m.d.turner@ieee.org).   Prior to sending them to me, please ensure that the following are included in each response letter you obtain from the copyright owner:

The permission response is on company letterhead (where applicable) or the original email from the copyright owner should be forwarded to me if the individual is the copyright owner (rather than a company)

Permission has to be granted

For world rights use of the material in the standard (either modified or unmodified, as requested by you)

To modify and reprint in all future revisions and editions of the standard

For use in all media known or hereinafter known

If the above information is not included in the response letters sent to you, you will need to request revised letters from the copyright owner. Please inform me if the copyright owner does not agree to grant permission for these items.

Sample permission request and response letters are available at the following Internet location:

<<http://standards.ieee.org/guides/style/index.html>>.

The following items indicate the need for copyright permission letters:

Excerpted text in x.x.

Table X

Figure X

Reproduced document in Annex X

Suggested Remedy

Modify draft as necessary. Prepare response as necessary.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

We have reviewed the permission from Cable Television Laboratories (see *1*) and see no reason to update the existing permission statements and we are not aware of any other materials for which permission is required.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Roger Marks

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008 23:00:00

Comment # 805

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> General	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> 0	<u>Line</u> 0	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 0
----------------	---------------------	--	---	---------------	---------------	-------------------	--------------------

The following general comments submitted in the Pre-ballot Mandatory Editorial Coordination need to be reviewed:

Section II: Items/issues that shall be resolved before the final recirculation

Please review the use of trademarks in the draft, if applicable. References to commercial equipment or products in a standard shall be generic and shall not include trademarks or other proprietary designations. Where a sole source exists for essential equipment or materials, it is permissible to supply the name of the trademark owner in a footnote. The proper use guidelines for trademarks shall be determined by the trademark owner. Trademark owners must grant written permission before their trademarks may be referenced in a standard.

Trademarks or other proprietary designations that are not commercial equipment or products should be avoided in standards. If used however, all trademarks shall be credited to the trademark owner in the front matter of the standard. The following text shall introduce any mention of specific trademark information:

The following information is given for the convenience of users of this standard and does not constitute an endorsement by the IEEE of these products. Equivalent products may be used if they can be shown to lead to the same results.

If the draft contains a registration of objects (for additional information, visit the IEEE Standards Web site <<http://standards.ieee.org/regauth/index.html>>), the working group shall submit the document to the IEEE Registration Authority (IEEE-RA) for mandatory coordination (submit to a.n.landron@ieee.org for review). The text containing the registration information should be highlighted in the draft and the clause should be noted in the email. If the working group believes that the draft may potentially contain a registration of objects or if the working group would like information about setting up a registration, contact the IEEE-RA as early as possible to prevent a delay in approval by the IEEE-SA Standards Board. Search on the following words: object identifier, unique identifier, and assignment of unique numbers.

Figures in IEEE standards are printed in black ink only. Please make sure the color that exists in your graphics is not needed to understand the graphic.

Have you secured permission to use data from other sources, including text, tables, or figures? Even if they were used in a previous edition, those permissions might need to be updated.

If any figures, tables, or text were derived or obtained from sources other than the Working Group itself, please obtain and supply copyright permission from the appropriate sources.

Suggested Remedy

Modify draft as necessary. Prepare responses as necessary.

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The group did check the document for trademarks and references to commercial equipment and products. No trademarks or references to commercial equipment or products were found. The group believes the standard satisfies the terms of this comment.

Other questions (e.g., regarding registration of objects) will be verified by the editor(s) in preparation of the draft.

No change is necessary.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Comment by:

Roger Marks

Membership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008 23:00:00Comment # 806Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> 0	<u>Line</u> 0	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 0
----------------	-----------------------	--	---	---------------	---------------	-------------------	--------------------

The following specific comments submitted in the Pre-ballot Mandatory Editorial Coordination need to be reviewed:

Section II: Items/issues that shall be resolved before the final recirculation

Clause 2 needs to be titled as "Normative references."

I noticed that many of the IEEE references have years attached to them, most of them outdated. However, as the introductory paragraph of the standard explains, undated references are automatically updated to the most recent editions. Unless the references are only to be made to the older editions, please remove the years.

Please review the labeling of informative text within the standard. Currently, Table 3, 7.2.2.2.9.1.3, and a table cell of Table 459 are labeled as "informative" or "informational." Informative text shall be placed in notes (to text, tables, and figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative. Please change accordingly.

Many equations use multidots. From the IEEE Style Manual, "a multiplication sign, rather than the letter "x" or a multidot, should be used to indicate multiplication of numbers and numerical values. Please go through the equations and make the appropriate changes.

Suggested Remedy

Modify draft as necessary. Prepare responses as necessary.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

(a) Remove the years from all IEEE references listed in Subclause 2.

(b1) For Figure 3: Move subclause 1.4.4 into a new Annex N

(b2) for 7.2.2.2.9.1.3: move subclause to a new Annex O

(b3) for table 459:

(a) remove PAPR column from Table 459

(b) create a new Annex P that lists PAPR for given preamble modulation series index

(c) make appropriate changes as necessary to equations

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

incorporates resolutions for comments 8, 9 and 10.

Made section 8 related changes

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Roger Marks

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008
08:40:01 EDT

Comment # 807

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type General

Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐

Page 0

Line 12

Fig/Table#

Subclause Participants

The list of IEEE 802.16 Working Group Officers is incomplete.

Suggested Remedy

Add:
Jonathan Labs, Maintenance Task Group Chair
Joseph Schumacher, Chief Technical Editor
List additional assistant editors as determined by Maintenance Task Group.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Add:
Jonathan Labs, Maintenance Task Group Chair
Joseph Schumacher, Chief Technical Editor
Joey Chou, Editor
Itzik Kitroser, Editor
Ron Murias, Editor
Scott Probosco, Editor

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Peiying Zhu

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 808

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 24 Line 26 Fig/Table# Subclause 4

PSC is used in many places, it should be inserted in the abbreviation section

Suggested Remedy

Insert: PSC: Power Saving Class

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Peiying Zhu

Membership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 809Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 888 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.16

The current standard draft text doesn't have 4 bit PMI specified. Thus, this mapping is redundant and needs to be removed

Suggested Remedy

remove the text from line 63 page 888 to line 19 page 889

GroupResolutionDecision of Group: Principle

In table 418, modified feedback line with the following:

<insert>0b110: Index to precoding matrix in codebook and Fast DL measurement
0b111: Reserved </insert>

Feedback Type

For CQICH type = 0b000, 0b001 or 0b100:

0b000 = Fast DL measurement/Default Feedback with antenna grouping

0b001 = Fast DL measurement/Default Feedback with antenna selection

0b010 = Fast DL measurement/Default Feedback with reduced codebook

When the MS transmits the feedback of S/N using 5 LSBs of 6 bits on it assigned CQICH, the MSB is set to 0 (see 8.3.5.4.10.5). MS may transmit, on its assigned CQICH, the feed-back information specified in 8.3.5.4.10.7.

For CQICH type = 0b010 or 0b011:

0b000 = Antenna grouping (see Table 394 of 8.3.5.4.10.7)

0b001 = Antenna selection (see Table 395 of 8.3.5.4.10.7)

0b010 = Reduced codebook (see Table 396 of 8.3.5.4.10.7)

For CQICH type = 0b101:

0b000 = Fast DL measurement (see 8.3.5.4.10.1 and 8.3.5.4.10.5)

0b001 = Default Feedback with antenna grouping (see Table 387 of 8.3.5.4.10.3)

0b010 = Antenna selection and reduced codebook (see Table 388 of 8.3.5.4.10.3)

0b011 = Quantized precoding weight feedback (see Figure 244 of 8.3.5.4.10.2)

When Feedback type = 0b100 and CQICH type = 0b101, primary CQICH is assigned for index to precoding matrix in codebook while secondary CQICH is assigned for CINR.

<insert>When Feedback type = 0b100 and CQICH Type = 0b001 (18 bit CQI), MS feeds back for all 3 bands in the band bitmap in the order of lowest numbered band to highest.

When Feedback type = 0b110 and CQICH Type = 0b001 (18 bit CQI), 2 bands are fed back (Nr_Precoders_feedback=2). The first group of 6 bits carries the lowest AMC band 6-bit PMI , the second group of 6 bits carries the second AMC band 6-bit PMI, and the third group of 6 bits carries the 2-bit CINR of the 2 AMC band. </insert>

For Feedback type = <delete>0b100</delete> <insert>0b110</insert> (index to precoding matrix in codebook), mapping into each group of 6 bits (CQICH types 0b000, 0b100, 0b110 or 0b111):
<delete>1) 4 antenna BS - 4 bit PMI mapped to MSB plus 2 bit differential CINR as LSB </delete>
<delete>2) 2 antenna BS -</delete> 3 bit PMI mapped to MSB plus 1 bit RI plus 2 bit differential CINR as L SB

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 811

Editor's Notes

same as #811

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Peiying Zhu

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 810

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 888 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.16

What is the usage of STTD indicator?

Suggested Remedy

Clarify or delete

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Replace the "notes" column for the "STTD Indication Row" in Table 418 with the following:]

This field is only valid for CQICH Type = 0b000.

0 - CQICH is transmitted using normal 6 bit format

1 - CQICH is transmitted using STTD in PUSC (see Figure 274)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by: Peiying ZhuMembership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 811Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 887 Line 57 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.16

There is no definition of 18-bit CQI, need to add explanation here.

Suggested Remedy

Add explanation or reference to the section describing the 18-bit CQI

GroupResolutionDecision of Group: Principle

In table 418, modified feedback line with the following:

<insert>0b110: Index to precoding matrix in codebook and Fast DL measurement
0b111: Reserved </insert>

Feedback Type

For CQICH type = 0b000, 0b001 or 0b100:

0b000 = Fast DL measurement/Default Feedback with antenna grouping

0b001 = Fast DL measurement/Default Feedback with antenna selection

0b010 = Fast DL measurement/Default Feedback with reduced codebook

When the MS transmits the feedback of S/N using 5 LSBs of 6 bits on it assigned CQICH, the MSB is set to 0 (see 8.3.5.4.10.5). MS may transmit, on its assigned CQICH, the feed-back information specified in 8.3.5.4.10.7.

For CQICH type = 0b010 or 0b011:

0b000 = Antenna grouping (see Table 394 of 8.3.5.4.10.7)

0b001 = Antenna selection (see Table 395 of 8.3.5.4.10.7)

0b010 = Reduced codebook (see Table 396 of 8.3.5.4.10.7)

For CQICH type = 0b101:

0b000 = Fast DL measurement (see 8.3.5.4.10.1 and 8.3.5.4.10.5)

0b001 = Default Feedback with antenna grouping (see Table 387 of 8.3.5.4.10.3)

0b010 = Antenna selection and reduced codebook (see Table 388 of 8.3.5.4.10.3)

0b011 = Quantized precoding weight feedback (see Figure 244 of 8.3.5.4.10.2)

When Feedback type = 0b100 and CQICH type = 0b101, primary CQICH is assigned for index to precoding matrix in codebook while secondary CQICH is assigned for CINR.

<insert>When Feedback type = 0b100 and CQICH Type = 0b001 (18 bit CQI), MS feeds back for all 3 bands in the band bitmap in the order of lowest numbered band to highest.

When Feedback type = 0b110 and CQICH Type = 0b001 (18 bit CQI), 2 bands are fed back (Nr_Precoders_feedback=2). The first group of 6 bits carries the lowest AMC band 6-bit PMI , the second group of 6 bits carries the second AMC band 6-bit PMI, and the third group of 6 bits carries the 2-bit CINR of the 2 AMC band. </insert>

For Feedback type = <delete>0b100</delete> <insert>0b110</insert> (index to precoding matrix in codebook), mapping into each group of 6 bits (CQICH types 0b000, 0b100, 0b110 or 0b111):
<delete>1) 4 antenna BS - 4 bit PMI mapped to MSB plus 2 bit differential CINR as LSB </delete>
<delete>2) 2 antenna BS -</delete> 3 bit PMI mapped to MSB plus 1 bit RI plus 2 bit differential CINR as L SB

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

9/17: deferred

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Peiyong Zhu

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 812

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 709 Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.3.1

There is no definition of distributed subcarrier permutations. No other sections reference to distributed subcarrier permutations. It is not needed to talk about distributed subcarrier permutations in order to explain the concept of slot. Suggest to remove the reference to distributed subcarrier permutation.

Suggested Remedy

Modify the text as follows: The definition of an OFDMA slot depends on the OFDMA symbol structure, which varies for UL and DL, for FUSC and PUSC, and for the distributed subcarrier permutations and the adjacent subcarrier permutation.

For line 18-23, delete the text "using the distributed subcarrier permutation" at three locations.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

The first change:

"Modify the text as follows: The definition of an OFDMA slot depends on the OFDMA symbol structure, which varies for UL and DL, for FUSC and PUSC, and for the distributed subcarrier permutations and the adjacent subcarrier permutation."

Does not change the current text. only second change was implemented.

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Peiying Zhu

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 813

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 709 Line 63 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.3.4

Majority of the document uses lower cases for "data region", there are few exceptions, where "Data Region" are used. Suggest to change "Data Region" to data region.

Suggested Remedy

Suggest to change "Data Region" to data region throughout the document.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Found only one instance of Data Region

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Peiying Zhu

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 814

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 712 Line 65 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.1

It is a strange way of reference figure 223 top part and bottom part, there is no indication in the figure which part is top part or bottom part.

Suggested Remedy

Suggest to split the diagram into two, label them Figure 223 a and 223b, reference 223a and 223b instead of top part, bottom part.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

- a) split figure 223 into two figures, the top part being the first figure and the bottom figure being the second figure and renumber the figures.
- b) assign the first figure the title "Generic OFDMA FDD frame structure supporting H-FDD MS in two groups with residual between the downlink subframes"
- c) assign the second figure the title "Generic OFDMA FDD frame structure supporting H-FDD MS in two groups with residual at the end of the frame."
- d) adjust the references to "figure 223 (top part)" and "figure 223 (bottom part)" accordingly.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Peiying Zhu

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 815

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 712 Line 59 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.1

In this section, both SS and MS are used, is there any specific reason to do so? If not, suggest to pick one and stick to it.

Suggested Remedy

Change MS to SS in this section

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Peiying Zhu

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 816

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 713 Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.1

What is the definition of PS?

Suggested Remedy

Make it clear.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The comment Author (Peiying Zhu) was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 working group on 17 September 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Peiying agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as "Principle" and considered as withdrawn.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Peiying Zhu

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 817

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 713 Line 54 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.1

It should be clarified whether DCD and UCD for group 1 and 2 should be transmitted in the same frame? 8.3.4.1.4 and 8.3.4.1.5 seem implying that they should be transmitted in the same frame.

Suggested Remedy

Clarify

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

No change is required.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

In view of the requirements in 8.3.4.1.4 and 8.3.4.1.5, there is no need for transmitting DCD/UCD messages in the same frame.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Peiying Zhu

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 818

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 717 Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.1.2

How does a group 2 MS finds the DL2 if there is a DLresidual is not zero?

Suggested Remedy

Clarify

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[In section 8.3.4.1, change the paragraph starting on page 712, line 64 as indicated:]

The space between the two DL subframes is occupied by a gap DLGap (see Figure 223-(top part)), the size of which must be an integer number of symbols (0, 1, 2, 3). Optionally, as shown in Figure 223-(bottom part), this gap may also include the residual frame time, DLresidue (the frame duration minus the total time occupied by the frame symbols). The number of symbols in DLGap and the location of DLresidue shall be signaled in the DCD, in both DL subframes, using the "FDD DL gap" TLV (see section 11.4.1 Table 567). <insert> The BS shall not change the location and value of DLresidue during operation. </insert>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Peiying Zhu

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 819

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 717 Line 22 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.1.3

Does a full duplex MS listen to MAP1 only or both MAPs? If it listens to MAP1 only, how does he know the total number of symbols in the current frame?

Suggested Remedy

Clarify

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Add the following text to section 8.3.4.1.3.2 at the end of the paragraph on line 45.

The FDD MS monitors the MAP of group 1 and the MAP of group 2 to determine its allocation.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Peiying Zhu

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 820

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 720 Line 50 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.2

This section is about TDD frame structure, however, within the section, it describes FDD/H-FDD operation.

Suggested Remedy

Suggest to change the title to something more suitable or create a new section to describe operations applicable to both TDD and FDD. For example, a new section could be created to describe Zones or subframe which will be applicable for both TDD and FDD.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Adopt C802.16maint-08/318

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Contribution did not provide clear direction to editor regarding the specific changes proposed.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Peiying Zhu

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 821

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 13 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.8.1

This section indicates that preamble shall be transmitted on single antennas, In Section 8.3.8.7, there is a description: "The same signal (including data, pilots, preamble, midamble, etc) may be transmitted from several antennas simultaneously, with different cyclic delay applied to each signal in order to reduce the potential of nulling in the receiver's antenna." They conflict each other.

Suggested Remedy

Clarify

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Change the last paragraph of 8.3.8.1 as indicated:]

The regular subchannel and preamble transmission in the DL shall be performed from only one antenna (Antenna 0) <insert>(unless CDD is employed, see 8.3.8.7)</insert>while the Tx diversity subchannels transmission shall be performed from both antennas obeying the formulas in 8.3.8.1.2.1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Result of vote to call the question: 10 in favor, none opposed, no abstentions.

Result of vote to accept resolution: 9 in favor, 1 opposed, 2 abstentions. Comment is marked as "principle"

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Peiying Zhu

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 822

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.8.1.1

This section serves no value. It implies certain implementation. There are no descriptions of frequency coarse and fine estimation anywhere in the standard. In fact, there are two occurrences of coarse synchronization, one refers to ranging for timing, the other one refers to initial ranging. Here it seems to indicate frame synchronization. There are too many tutorial type of materials in this standard, sounds like book reading notes. They should be deleted.

Suggested Remedy

Delete this Section. Delete Coarse Synchronization on Page 1113, line 44, page 686, line 13

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Roger Marks

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 823

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type General Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 0 Line 17 Fig/Table# Subclause Participants

On Page vi, the list of Working Group Letter Ballot participants should be completed.

Suggested Remedy

The following members of the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on Broadband Wireless Access participated in the Working Group Letter Ballot in which the draft of this standard was prepared and finalized for IEEE Ballot:

{Note: The "e" in the first names of Remi Chayer and Jose Costa needs an accent mark, but the myBallot system will not accept the special character.}

Ray Abrishami
Sassan Ahmadi
JunBae Ahn
Dov Andelman
Reza Arefi
Phillip Barber
Kevin Baum
Adrian Boariu
Eckard Bogenfeld
Achim Brandt
Dale Branlund
Terri Brooks
Sean Cai
James Carlo
Jaesun Cha
Suchang Chae
Jae Hwan Chang
Sungcheol Chang
Naftali Chayat
Remi Chayer
Wei-Peng Chen
Paul Cheng
Aik Chindapol
Hua (Mary) Chion
Jaehee Cho
Jaeweon Cho
Kihyoung Cho

Myeon-Gyun Cho
Hokyu Choi
Hyoung-Jin Choi
Hyung-Nam Choi
In-Kyeong Choi
Jinsoo Choi
Joonyoung Choi
Yang-Seok Choi
Chie Ming Chou
Joey Chou
Jerry Chow
Jimmy Chui
Takafumi Chujo
Jin Young Chun
Erik Colban
David Comstock
Jose Costa
Steven Crowley
Mark Cudak
Pranav Dayal
Carlos De Segovia
Upkar Dhaliwal
Yoshiharu Doi
Roya Doostnejad
Lester Eastwood
Carl Eklund
Per Elmdahl
Michael Erlihson
Kamran Etemad
Yu-Chang Eun
Torsten Fahldieck
Peretz Feder
Shulan Feng
Mo-Han Fong
Avraham Freedman
I-Kang Fu
Dan Gal
Pieter-Paul Giesberts
Rob Glassford
Mariana Goldhamer
David Grandblaise

Ismail Guvenc
Zion Hadad
GeneBeck Hahn
Shkumbin Hamiti
Jung Ho Han
Mike Hart
Keisuke Higuchi
Minnie Ho
Chang-Lung Hsiao
Ching-Tarng Hsieh
Yu-Tao Hsieh
Hsien-Tsung Hsu
Yuan-Ying Hsu
Teck Hu
Cancan Huang
Junhong Hui
John Humbert
Yerang Hur
In Seok Hwang
Bin-Chul Ihm
Jaehyuk Jang
Klutto Milleth Jeniston Deviraj
Hyung Joon Jeon
Moo Ryong Jeong
Sunggeun Jin
Brian Johnson
Kerstin Johnsson
David Johnston
Panyuh Joo
Takeo Kanai
Hyunjeong Kang
Seung Hyun Kang
Ted Kaplan
Ofer Kelman
Brian Kiernan
Bong Ho Kim
Changkyoon Kim
Ronny (Yong-Ho) Kim
Sang Youb Kim
Sungkyung Kim
Young Kyun Kim

Young-Il Kim
Young-jae Kim
Youngho Kim
Takaaki Kishigami
Itzik Kitroser
Ali Koc
Changhoi Koo
Thanasis Korakis
Gokhan Korkmaz
Toshiyuki Kuze
Byung-Jae Kwak
Jin Sam Kwak
Dong Seung Kwon
Yeong-Hyeon Kwon
Jonathan Labs
Pierre Lamoureux
Hyun Lee
Hyunjeong Lee
Jin Lee
Ki-Dong Lee
Kyu Ha Lee
Mi Hyun Lee
Sang-Ho Lee
Seung Joon Lee
Sukwoo Lee
Wookbong Lee
Youn-Tai Lee
Yung-Ting Lee
Matty Levanda
Guangjie Li
Jiang Li
Jun Li
Richard Li
Shaohua Li
Aeri Lim
Geunhwi Lim
Hyoung Kyu Lim
Kwangjae Lim
Tzu-Ming Lin
Zhibin Lin
Stefan Lindgren

Hang Liu
Michael Livschitz
Titus Lo
Kanchei Loa
Jianmin Lu
Yanling Lu
Michael Lynch
Mohammad Madihian
David Maez
Giovanni Maggi
Shashikant Maheshwari
Ronald Mao
Roger Marks
Djamal-Eddine Meddour
Scott Migaldi
Shantidev Mohanty
Andreas Molisch
Ollivier Mont-Reynaud
Sungho Moon
Yukimasa Nagai
Ayman Naguib
Kenichi Nakamura
Michiharu Nakamura
Minseok Noh
Mitsuo Nohara
John Norin
Changyoon Oh
Min-Seok Oh
Masato Okuda
Kim Olszewski
Philip Orlik
Apostolos Papathanassiou
David Paranchych
DS Park
Jeongho Park
Vijay Patel
Paul Piggin
Robert Popoli
Darcy Poulin
Scott Probasco

Jose Puthenkulam
Xin Qi
Nanjian (Jeff) Qian
Fei Qin
Hongyun Qu
Arvind Raghavan
Shyamal Ramachandran
Ranga K Reddy
Fang-Ching Ren
Maximilian Riegel
Kwanhee Roh
Wonil Roh
Zhigang Rong
Adel Rouz
Philip Rubin
Herbert Ruck
Charles Rush
Kiseon Ryu
Aryan Saed
Yousuf Saifullah
Kenji Saito
Sumeet Sandhu
Ioannis Sarris
Joerg Schaepperle
Gary Schlanger
Joseph Schumacher
Gamini Senarath
Zheng Shang
Ariel Sharon
Wern-Ho Sheen
Peretz Shekalim
Gang Shen
Matthew Sherman
Jaejeong (Brian) Shim
D. J. Shyy
James Simkins
Kathiravetpillai Sivanesan
Sten Sjoberg
Jung Je Son
Yeongmoon Son
Ting-Chen (Tom) Song

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Roger Marks

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008
00 00 00 EST

Comment # 824

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 1 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 1

Certain high-level subclauses are proposed for deletion from the prior version of the standard. This causes confusion because well-known clauses become renumbered.

Suggested Remedy

Restore high-level subclauses, at least down to the to second level header (of the form 'm.n'). Specify the title of these subclauses as 'Reserved'.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

- [1. Move the entire section 6.2 to 6.3]
- [2. Revive the empty 6.2 as '6.2. Reserved']
- [3. Restore 6.3.2.2.5 as "Reserved" and renumber following subsections accordingly]
- [4. Move the entire sections 8.2 and 8.3 to 8.3 and 8.4 respectively]
- [5. set the empty 8.2 to '8.2. Reserved']

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Same as resolution for 673

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Roger Marks

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 825

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 41 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.5

The draft references 'WiMAX Forum Mobile System Profile, Release 1.0 (Revision 1.4.0).' That may not be the current version of the 'WiMAX Forum Mobile System Profile, Release 1.0.' Since this subclause does not exist in the current standard, there is no need to maintain the old reference; it has no impact on backward compatibility.

Suggested Remedy

Replace Revision 1.4.0 with the most recent revision available for review.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

[Change 12.5 as indicated:]

12.5 WirelessMAN-OFDMA TDD Release 1.0

This profile is specified in WiMAX Forum Mobile System Profile, Release 1.0 <delete>(Revision 1.4.0)</delete>.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Roger Marks

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 826

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.6

The subclause content is '[To be determined.]'.

Suggested Remedy

Determine the content and provide it.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

No change

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The WG will submit a liaison statement to the WiMAX forum soliciting the proper reference for this section.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Roger Marks

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 827

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 52 Fig/Table# Subclause 12.7

The subclause content is '[To be determined.]'.

Suggested Remedy

Determine the content and provide it.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

No change required

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The WG will submit a liaison statement to the WiMAX forum soliciting the proper reference for this section.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Roger Marks

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 828

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 958 Line 49 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.1

8.3.6.1 is editorially defective. It has other problems as well. Much of the text is confused as to whether it should apply to all FFT sizes or only to 2048. Also, the reference to Table 461 is incorrect. Each series in the table is $36 \times 4 = 144$ bits long; however, the series (according to Eq 72), should be 143 bits long. Since the last bit of each series is a 0, it seems clear that this bit should be truncated.

Suggested Remedy

Edit as shown in the file IEEE C802.16maint-08/311.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

9/17: deferred

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

The following change was covered by other comment:
A DL period ~~will follow~~ is illustrated in Figure 254.

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Roger Marks

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 829

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 976 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.6.1.1

The data in Table 461 are incorrect. Each series is $36 \times 4 = 144$ bits long; however, the series (according to Eq 72), should be 143 bits long. Since the last bit of each series is a 0, it seems that this bit should be truncated.

Suggested Remedy

Add a note to Table 461: 'The final bit of the 144-bit series shown in each row of the table shall be discarded, so that the series used is 143 bits long.'

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Aik Chindapol

Membership Status: MemberDate: 11-Sep-2008Comment # 830Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line Fig/Table# Subclause 11.13

Currently there is no method defined for the MS to signal to the BS regarding the emergency call set up via existing or new service flows.

The emergency indication should handle the following scenarios:

- Service flow creation: MS starts to create service flow (DSA-REQ) for the emergency services. A new TLV is included into DSA-REQ to indicate that the flow is for emergency services. This TLV triggers the BS to provide high priority to the request. The exiting from the emergency mode is done by deleting emergency service flows.
- Service flow change: MS requests to change the parameters of one of the existing service flow in order to support emergency call. This can be achieved using DSC-REQ message and the new TLV can be used to indicate an emergency call.

Suggested Remedy

Accept the following changes.

Change #1:

Replace the entry "Reserve" with "Emergency Indication" for Type 10 parameter in Table 598 -- Service Flow Encodings

Change #2:

Insert the new sub-section in Section 11.13 as follows

11.13.x Emergency Indication parameter

The value of this parameter, if present, indicates the associated flow is used for emergency purposes. The emergency indication parameter shall take precedence over any conflicting service flow QoS parameter.

| Type | Length | Value | Scope |

| [145/146].10 | 1 | Bit 0: Emergency indication | DSx-REQ |

|| | Bit 1-7: Reserved | DSx-RSP |

|| | DSx-ACK |

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

There are no known requirements on 802.16 for emergency services; it is impossible to judge if this is an adequate solution.

Group's Notes

result of vote to call the question: 7 in favor, 7 opposed to call the question, 1 abstention. Chair voted in favor of calling the question to break the tie.

Vote to agree to comment: 7 in favor, 6 opposed, 2 abstentions. Comment is not agreed to.

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05 IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Aik Chindapol Membership Status: Member Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 831 Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 715 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.1.1

The current specification does not define a method for the MS to notify the BS whether or not it has successfully switched to the new group. In case the MS fails to join the new group, the BS has no way in knowing whether MS will continue staying in the new group despite unsuccessful attempts or return to the original group.

Suggested Remedy

Accept the proposed remedy in C802.16maint-08/287r3 or later revision.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

adopt C802.16maint-08/287r3

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

9/17: deferred

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

Coordinated changes with Itzik

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Aik Chindapol

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 832

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8

The BS-controlled handover feature was recently introduced to the 802.16-Rev2 draft and can be indicated via the BS_controlled_HO flag in the DCD message. In order to avoid confusion and ensure inter-operability for legacy devices or devices that are not capable of following BS-controlled handover instructions, the capability negotiation is needed.

Suggested Remedy

Accept the proposed remedy in C802.16maint-08/303r0 or later revision.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

adopt C802.16maint-08/303r4

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

9/17: deferred (see 689)
same as 689

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Aik Chindapol

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 833

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<u>Satisfied</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>
	Technical	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	?			11.8

According to the current specification, the MS needs to wait for a positive acknowledgement from the BS when it wants to initiate a new service flow transactions (e.g., DSA-REQ). However, the MS may not be aware that the BS does not support this feature and continue sending the request and unnecessarily consume network resources.

Suggested Remedy

Accept the proposed remedy in C802.16maint-08/304r0 or later revision.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Adopt C802.16maint-08/304

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Majority of the group voted to disagree based on observation that confirmation code for DSx messages already carries reason for service flow rejections.

Group's Notes

result of vote to adopt C802.16maint-08/304: 8 in favor, 6 opposed, 1 abstention

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Aik Chindapol

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 834

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<u>Satisfied</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>
	Technical	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	?			11.5

There are errors in HO management encodings section. The encodings entries for the MOB_BSHO-REQ, MOB_BSHO-RSP and MOB_HO-IND messages need modifications to avoid confusion and interoperability problems.

Suggested Remedy

Accept the proposed remedy in C802.16maint-08/309r0 or later revision.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/309

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Aik Chindapol

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 835

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 3 Line 7 Fig/Table# Subclause 1.3.4

Typo. The Designation entry system feature 12.7 (FDD) and PHY specification reference are incorrect

Suggested Remedy

Replace the entries of Table 1, line 7, page 3 as follows

- "WirelessMAN-OFDMA TDD Release 1r2" with "WirelessMAN-OFDMA FDD Release 1r2"
- "8.4" with "8.3"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Jose Puthenkulam

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 836

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 47 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 6.1

Retitle this section "6.1 Point-to-multipoint (PMP)" to an overview section as shown in the remedy. The main purpose is here is to then flatten section 6.2 and thereby making the document more readable. See next comment.

Suggested Remedy

Change line 4, 6.1 Point-to-multipoint (PMP) to
6.1 Point-to-multipoint (PMP) protocol operation overview

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Jose Puthenkulam

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 837

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Editorial</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Satisfied</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	<u>6.2</u>
----------------	-------------	------------------	--------------------	--	--------------------------	-------------	-----------	-------------	-------------------	------------------	------------

Uplevel the subclauses under 6.2 and remove the section heading. Just the introduction of the "6.2 Data/Control plane" section does not add much value, but rather makes the sections to nest without improving readability. One level of nesting can be avoided with this change.

Suggested Remedy

Delete line 14, "6.2 Data/Control plane"

ReNUMBER subclauses 6.2.1.X.X to 6.2.26.X.X as 6.2.X.X to 6.27.X.X

Check for any cross references to previous sections 6.2.1 to 6.2.26 and update them.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The group has expressed a consensus opinion in other comments (e.g., 673) that readability is best served by maintaining the existing outline.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Jose Puthenkulam

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 838

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☒ Satisfied ☐ Page 1 Line Fig/Table# Subclause General

This comment applies to the entire document. Editorial re-organization of the document is required to improve readability. Presently the MAC section requires some good re-organization. If we do not do this now, the chances of doing it will not be possible for a long time. For documents both internal and external that refer to specific clauses, a mapping table can be provided in the appendix for enumerating the old clause numbers.

Suggested Remedy

Please form an adhoc to review the editorial re-organization that is possible so that the document can be amended cleanly. Presently the MAC section requires some good re-organization. The PHY subclauses also need some work.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The group voted against the formation of a formal ad hoc because the group feels that a reorganization of the document at this time would be detrimental to references made to the standard.

Group's Notes

Result of vote to call the question: 9 in favor, 1 against, 0 abstentions

Result of vote to forward a recommendation to agree: 3 in favor, 8 opposed, 2 abstentions.

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Jose Puthenkulam

Membership Status: Member

Date: 11-Sep-2008

Comment # 839

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Satisfied</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u>	<u>?</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>
References to profiles are missing in 12.5 and 12. 7	Technical								12.5, 12.7

Suggested Remedy

Please reference the appropriate WiMAX Forum documents.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

The WG will submit a liaison statement to the WiMAX forum soliciting the proper reference for these sections.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 826 and 827

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10001

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 4 Line 4 Fig/Table# Fig 1 Subclause 1.4

Subclause 1.4 indicates Figure 1 illustrates the reference model, but the title of Fig 1 is Figure 1— IEEE Std. 802.16 Protocol Architecture Model. They should be consistent.

1.4 Reference Models

Figure 1 illustrates the reference model and scope of this standard.

Suggested Remedy

Change as the following

Figure 1— IEEE Std. 802.16 Protocol Reference Architecture Model

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change as the following:

Figure 1-- IEEE Std. 802.16 Protocol <insert>Reference</insert> <delete>Architecture</delete> Model

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10002

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 4 Line 33 Fig/Table# Subclause 1.4

Subclause 1.4 indicates that Figure 1 illustrates the reference model, but the tile of Figure 1 is about the architecture model.

1.4 Reference models

Figure 1 illustrates the reference model and scope of this standard.

Suggested Remedy

Change as the following:

Figure 1— IEEE Std. 802.16 Protocol Reference ~~Architecture~~ Model

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change as the following:

Figure 1-- IEEE Std. 802.16 Protocol <insert>Reference</insert> <delete>Architecture</delete> Model

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

duplicate of 10001

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10003

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis

☐

Satisfied

☐

Page 5

Line 4

Fig/Table#

Subclause 1.4

"clause 14" is not a pointer.

The C_SAP and M-SAP interfaces are described in clause 14.

Suggested Remedy

Make "clause 14" a pointer pointing to Clause 14.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Fix hyperlink to Clause 14

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10004

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis

☐

Satisfied

☐

Page 5

Line 4

Fig/Table#

Subclause 1.4

Make Clause 14 a pointer to clause 14.

The C_SAP and M-SAP interfaces are described in clause 14.

Suggested Remedy

Make Clause 14 a pointer to clause 14.

The C_SAP and M-SAP interfaces are described in clause 14.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Fix hyperlink to Clause 14

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Dan Gal

Membership Status:

Date: ?

Comment # 10005

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 297 Line Fig/Table# 63 Subclause 6.3.7.5

The figure seems to imply an error in the UL-MAP relevancy pointer arrow

Suggested Remedy

Change the figure so the arrow points to the middle of the next frame, not to its end.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

No change necessary

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The comment author, Dan Gal, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 WG on September 18, 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Dan agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as "Principle" and that the comment be considered as withdrawn.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Dan Gal

Membership Status:

Date: ?

Comment # 10006

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 298 Line

Fig/Table#

Subclause 6.3.7.5.1

Leftover redundant section?

Suggested Remedy

Remove section 6.3.7.5.1 Wireless MAN-SC PHY

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

No change necessary

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The comment author, Dan Gal, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 WG on September 18, 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Dan agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as "Principle" and that the comment be considered as withdrawn.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:Date: 2008/09/11Comment # 10007Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 378 Line 1 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.14.4.1

Subclause "6.2.14.4.1 Global service flows" is all about global service classes, and not about service flows.

Global service classes is not limited to be used in mobile networks.

Suggested Remedy

Change as the following:

1. "6.2.14.4.1 Global service ~~flows~~ classes"

~~Mobile n~~ Networks require common definitions of service class names and associated AuthorizedQoSParamSets in order to facilitate operation across a distributed topology. Global

2. Table 185—Global service ~~flow~~ class name information field parameters

3. The global service ~~flow~~ class name parameters are as follows:

GroupResolutionDecision of Group: Principle

Change as the following:

1. "6.2.14.4.1 Global service <delete>flows</delete> <insert>classes</insert>"

<delete>Mobile n</delete><insert>N</insert>etworks require common definitions of service class names and associated AuthorizedQoSParamSets in order to facilitate operation across a distributed topology. Global

2. Table 185—Global service <delete>flow</delete> class name information field parameters

3. The global service <delete>flow</delete> class name parameters are as follows:

Reason for Group's Decision/ResolutionGroup's NotesEditor's NotesEditor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Sylvain Labonte

Membership Status:

Date: 2008-09-11

Comment # 10008

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 429 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 6.2.17.2

There is ambiguity concerning the relevance of the CQI reports.

Suggested Remedy

Add the following paragraph on I. 61.

"Channel Quality Information reported by a MS in Frame n pertains to measurements collected in previous frames up to and including Frame n-1, but excluding Frame n."

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Add the following paragraph on I. 61.

<insert>Channel Quality Information reported by a MS in Frame n pertains to measurements collected in previous frames up to and including Frame n-1, but excluding Frame n. The first CQICH report following the CQICH allocation IE may contain invalid CQI data if the CQICH report is sent in the frame immediatly following the frame in which the CQICH allocation IE was received.</insert>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Dan Gal

Membership Status:

Date: ?

Comment # 10009

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 678 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 8.4.4

Restructure section 8.4.4 to accommodate new subsections on FDD

Suggested Remedy

1. Move the text from under 8.4.4.1 to 8.4.4
2. Change section 8.4.4.1 heading from "Duplexing modes" to "FDD Frame Structure"
3. Change section 8.4.4.2 heading from "PMP Frame Structure" to "TDD Frame Structure"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

No change necessary

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The comment author, Dan Gal, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 WG on September 18, 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Dan agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as "Principle" and that the comment be considered as withdrawn.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Dan Gal

Membership Status:

Date: ?

Comment # 10010

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 678 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 8.4.4.1

Need to modify the text under section 8.4.4.1 to reflect support of OFDMA systems for both TDD and FDD concurrently.

Suggested Remedy

"In licensed bands, the duplexing method shall be either FDD or TDD. FDD SSs may be full duplex (F-FDD) or half-duplex (H-FDD). The BS shall support both SS types concurrently. In license-exempt bands, the duplexing method shall be TDD."

Group Resolution

Decision of Group: Principle

No change necessary

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The comment author, Dan Gal, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 WG on September 18, 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Dan agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as "Principle" and that the comment be considered as withdrawn.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Dan Gal

Membership Status:

Date: ?

Comment # 10011

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 678 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 8.4.4.1

Need new text on FDD/ H-FDD frame structure and main principle of architecture.

Suggested Remedy

In the vacated section 8.4.4.1 (as requested in comment #1 in this ballot comments submission) insert the following new text:

“Base stations of OFDMA FDD systems shall operate in full duplex mode. SSs and MSs shall be either full duplex (F-FDD) or half duplex (H-FDD). The FDD frame structure shall support both F-FDD and H-FDD modes. The frame structure is shown in Figure X (TBD). The frame structure shall support a coordinated transmission arrangement of two groups of H-FDD users that share the frame at distinct virtual sub-frames, thereby improving the uplink spectral efficiency.”

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

No change necessary

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The comment author, Dan Gal, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 WG on September 18, 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Dan agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as "Principle" and that the comment be considered as withdrawn.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Dan Gal

Membership Status:

Date: ?

Comment # 10012

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 679 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 8.4.3.4

Figures 218 and 219 are inserted in the wrong places.

Suggested Remedy

Figures 218 and 219 are inserted in the wrong places. Insert them before section 8.4.4.2

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

No change necessary

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The comment author, Dan Gal, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 WG on September 18, 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Dan agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as "Principle" and that the comment be considered as withdrawn.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Dan Gal

Membership Status:

Date: ?

Comment # 10013

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 679 Line Fig/Table# Subclause 8.4.4.2

Corrections to the text of section 8.4.4.2

Suggested Remedy

8.4.4.2 ~~PMP~~ TDD frame structure

“When implementing a TDD system, the frame structure is built from BS and SS transmissions. Each frame in the DL transmission begins with a preamble followed by a DL transmission period and an UL transmission period. In each frame, the TTG and RTG shall be inserted between the DL and UL and at the end of each frame, respectively, to allow the BS to switch from Tx to Rx and vice versa turn around. ~~In~~ For TDD and H-FDD systems, SS, transmit/receive switching allowances must be made by a SSRTG and by a SSTTG. The BS shall not transmit DL information to a station the SS later than (SSRTG + RTD) before the beginning of its the SS’s first scheduled UL allocation in any UL subframe and shall not transmit DL information to it earlier than (SSTTG – RTD) after the end of the last scheduled UL allocation, where RTD denotes round-trip propagation delay.”

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

No change necessary

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The comment author, Dan Gal, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 WG on September 18, 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Dan agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as "Principle" and that the comment be considered as withdrawn.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Dan Gal

Membership Status:

Date: ?

Comment # 10014

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 680 Line 65 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.4.4.2

The text and the title of figure 221 need to be specific as to the frequency dulexing mode

Suggested Remedy

Change line 65 as follows:

“Figure 221 depicts the OFDMA TDD frame with multiple zones.”

Also, please please correct the title of figure 221 on page 681 to: "Illustration of OFDMA TDD frame with multiple zones"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

No change necessary

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The comment author, Dan Gal, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 WG on September 18, 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Dan agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as "Principle" and that the comment be considered as withdrawn.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Dan Gal

Membership Status:

Date: ?

Comment # 10015

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 682 Line 5

Fig/Table#

Subclause 8.4.4.2

Fix the grammer error

Suggested Remedy

Change the text as follows:

“c) For each MS, the maximum number of bursts transmitted concurrently and directed to the MS is limited by the value specified in Max_Num_Bursts TLV (including all bursts without CID or with CIDs matching the MSs CIDs). Bursts transmitted concurrently are bursts that share the same OFDMA symbol. Before the MS ~~completed~~ **completes** the capability exchange, the BS shall transmit data to the MS in the first concurrent data burst per symbol.”

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

No change necessary

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The comment author, Dan Gal, was in attendance during ballot resolution conducted by the IEEE 802.16 WG on September 18, 2008 in Kobe, Japan. Dan agreed during ballot resolution that the comment should be marked as "Principle" and that the comment be considered as withdrawn.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Sylvain Labonte

Membership Status:

Date: 2008-09-11

Comment # 10016

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 716 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.4.1.1

The current text does not allow a MS to request a group switch. In case a MS in Group 2 finds itself unable to decode the MAP in Group 2, the BS cannot switch it back to Group 1 and the only solution is to hand off or re-do network entry. I would like to propose another option whereby the MS could request a switch to Group 1. It is up to the BS to switch the MS back to Group 2 if it wants to.

Suggested Remedy

p. 716 l. 61 at the end of 8.3.4.1.1 add the following text:

A MS assigned to Group 2 may request a group switch to Group 1 by initiating an uplink communication in Group 1. When a BS receives an uplink communication in Group 1 originating from a MS that is assigned to Group 2, the BS shall reset the group assignment for this MS to Group 1, and de-allocate any existing periodic CQICH allocation and any persistent allocation to that MS in Group 2.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

adopt C802.16maint-08/287r3

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

9/16: Deferred
same as 831

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Same resolution as #831

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Sylvain Labonte

Membership Status:

Date: 2008-09-11

Comment # 10017

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment **Type** Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page 848 Line 26

Fig/Table#

Subclause 8.3.5.4.10.1

This section was modified much in LB26d, and it would read better if the paragraphs were moved around as explained below.

Suggested Remedy

move text on

p. 849 l. 5 to p. 850 l. 42

to

p. 848 l. 26, just above l. 27

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Sylvain Labonte

Membership Status:

Date: 2008-09-11

Comment # 10018

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 848 Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 8.3.5.4.10.1

reference to "any unicast burst in any frame" is ambiguous and raises the question "since when?".

I propose to limit this to the bursts allocated by the current serving basestation only.

Suggested Remedy

modify text as follows:

If there has not been any unicast burst (i.e., with explicit CID or RCID in the MAP) transmitted by the current serving basestation in the STC zone to the MS in any frame, the MS shall prepare the CINR report according to the MIMO mode of the STC zone.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

modify text as follows:

If there has not been any unicast burst (i.e., with explicit CID or RCID in the MAP) transmitted in the STC zone to the MS in any frame <insert> since the last initial network entry or re-entry (after handover or idle mode)</insert>, the MS shall prepare the CINR report according to the MIMO mode of the STC zone.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

9/18 deferred.

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Sylvain Labonte

Membership Status:

Date: 2008-09-11

Comment # 10019

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page 880 Line 29 Fig/Table# 413 Subclause 8.3.5.4.12

It takes time for a MS to interpret a CQICH_Alloc_IE, perform the CINR measurement, and report via CQICH. Hence, the first frame following a CQICH_Alloc_IE may not contain valid Channel Quality Information.

Suggested Remedy

Add text in the Notes field of "Frame Offset"

The SS starts reporting at the frame of which the number has the same 3 LSB as the specified frame offset. If the current frame is specified, the SS should start reporting in eight frames.

[The report in the frame immediately following the current frame may not contain valid CQI data.](#)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Add the following paragraph on I. 61.

<insert>Channel Quality Information reported by a MS in Frame n pertains to measurements collected in previous frames up to and including Frame n-1, but excluding Frame n. The first CQICH report following the CQICH allocation IE may contain invalid CQI data if the CQICH report is sent in the frame immediatly following the frame in which the CQICH allocation IE was received.</insert>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as resolution for 10008

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10020

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 5 Fig/Table# Tabl Subclause 11.5

Power_Saving_Class_Type

"Power saving class type as specified in 6.2.2.3". Wrong reference, 6.2.2.3 is MAC Management Messages

Suggested Remedy

Change 6.2.2.3 to 6.2.2.3.39

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10021

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 45 Fig/Table# Tabl Subclause 11.7

Table format is corrupted

Suggested Remedy

Fix the table format

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10022

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 30 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.7.12.5

11.7.12.5 Handover Supported field

"Bit #4: MDHO UL Multiple transmission" description is not clear

Suggested Remedy

Change as the following

Bit #4: MDHO UL Multiple transmission is supported when this bit is set to 1

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Change as the following

Bit #4: MDHO UL Multiple transmission <insert>is supported when this bit is set to 1</insert>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10023

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 43 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.3.2

"Maximum Tx power" TLV is sent over SBC-REQ. "Maximum Tx power" shall be returned by SBC-RSP to complete capability exchange.

Table 582 and Table 583 also show "Maximum Tx power" TLV is sent over SBC-REQ/RSP management message

Suggested Remedy

Add SBC-RSP to the scope of "Maximum Tx power"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

There is no need to send this TLV in the SBC-RSP.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10024

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 11.8.3.3

"Current Tx power" TLV is sent over SBC-REQ. "Current Tx power" shall be returned by SBC-RSP to complete capability exchange.

Table 580 also shows "Current Tx power" TLV is sent over SBC-REQ/RSP management message

Suggested Remedy

Add SBC-RSP to the scope of "Current Tx power"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

There is no need to send this TLV in the SBC-RSP.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:Date: 2008/09/11Comment # 10025Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 61 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.3

Redundant WmanIf2LinkDirection, shall use WmanIf2TcSfDirection instead

Suggested Remedy

1. Add [WmanIf2TcSfDirection](#) in IMPORTS FROM WMAN-IF2-TC-MIB

2. Remove WmanIf2LinkDirection

~~WmanIf2LinkDirection ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION~~

~~——STATUS——current~~

~~——DESCRIPTION~~

~~——"The direction of a connection."~~

~~——SYNTAX——INTEGER {downstream(1),~~

~~——upstream(2)}~~

3. Replace WmanIf2LinkDirection with [WmanIf2TcSfDirection](#)

WmanIf2BsRssiCinrMetricsEntry ::= SEQUENCE {

wmanIf2BsChannelDirection ~~WmanIf2LinkDirection,~~ [WmanIf2TcSfDirection](#)

wmanIf2BsHistogramIndex Unsigned32,

wmanIf2BsChannelNumber WmanIf2TcChannelNumber,

wmanIf2BsStartFrame INTEGER,

wmanIf2BsDuration INTEGER,

wmanIf2BsBasicReport BITS,

wmanIf2BsMeanCinrReport INTEGER,

wmanIf2BsMeanRssiReport INTEGER,

wmanIf2BsStdDeviationCinrReport INTEGER,

wmanIf2BsStdDeviationRssiReport INTEGER}

4. Replace WmanIf2LinkDirection with [WmanIf2TcSfDirection](#)

wmanIf2BsChannelDirection OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX ~~WmanIf2LinkDirection~~ [WmanIf2TcSfDirection](#)

MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"wmanIf2BsChannelDirection identifies the direction of a

a channel where the measurement takes place."
::= { wmanIf2BsRssiCinrMetricsEntry 1 }

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

1. Add <insert>WmanIf2TcSfDirection</insert> in IMPORTS FROM WMAN-IF2-TC-MIB
2. Remove WmanIf2LinkDirection
<delete>WmanIf2LinkDirection ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
"The direction of a connection."
SYNTAX INTEGER {downstream(1),
upstream(2)}</delete>
3. Replace WmanIf2LinkDirection with <insert>WmanIf2TcSfDirection</insert>
WmanIf2BsRssiCinrMetricsEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
wmanIf2BsChannelDirection <delete>WmanIf2LinkDirection, </delete><insert>WmanIf2TcSfDirection</insert>
wmanIf2BsHistogramIndex Unsigned32,
wmanIf2BsChannelNumber WmanIf2TcChannelNumber,
wmanIf2BsStartFrame INTEGER,
wmanIf2BsDuration INTEGER,
wmanIf2BsBasicReport BITS,
wmanIf2BsMeanCinrReport INTEGER,
wmanIf2BsMeanRssiReport INTEGER,
wmanIf2BsStdDeviationCinrReport INTEGER,
wmanIf2BsStdDeviationRssiReport INTEGER}
4. Replace WmanIf2LinkDirection with <insert>WmanIf2TcSfDirection</insert>
wmanIf2BsChannelDirection OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX <delete>WmanIf2LinkDirection</delete> <insert>WmanIf2TcSfDirection</insert>
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
"wmanIf2BsChannelDirection identifies the direction of a
a channel where the measurement takes place."
::= { wmanIf2BsRssiCinrMetricsEntry 1 }

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10026

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 43	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 13.1.3.2
----------------	-----------------------	---	---	---------------	----------------	-------------------	---------------------------

"MBS contents IDs" (11.13.37) provisioning is missing in wmanlf2Cm.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution C80216maint-08_274r2.doc

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

same as 757

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Duplicate

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10027

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page ?

Line 60

Fig/Table#

Subclause 13.1.3.4.7

Typo "Matrics"

Suggested Remedy

Make the following changes:

1. wmanIf2BsHandoverMaetricsTable
2. wmanIf2BsUserMaetricsTable
3. wmanIf2BsCidMaetricsTable
4. wmanIf2BsServiceFlowMaetricsTable
5. wmanIf2BsArqHarqMaetricsTable

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.1

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Editor also changes xxxMatricxxx to xxxMetricxx in 13.1.3.4.7, - 13.1.3.4.11

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10028

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 14 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.1.3.4.10

wmanIf2BsUserMatricsTable is not consistent with the subclause title

Suggested Remedy

Replace wmanIf2BsUserMatricsTable with wmanIf2BsServiceFlowMatricsTable

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10029

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 18 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.1.3.4.11

wmanIf2BsCidMatricsTable is not consistent with the subclause title

Suggested Remedy

Replace wmanIf2BsCidMatricsTable with wmanIf2BsArqHarqMatricsTable

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10030

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 12 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.3

"Current Tx power" TLV is missing in the wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesTable

Suggested Remedy

1.

WmanIf2CurrentTxPower ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The average parameter indicates the transmitted power used for the burst that carried the message. The parameter is reported in dBm and is quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -84 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 43.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned the closest extreme. The parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM, or OFDMA PHY specifications. However, for the OFDM or OFDMA PHY, this value indicates the average transmitted power of each subcarrier for the burst which carried the message."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.1.1"

SYNTAX Integer32 (0..255)

2.

WmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry ::= SEQUENCE {

wmanIf2BsSsReqCapUplinkCidSupport	WmanIf2NumOfCid,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapDsxFlowControl	WmanIf2MaxDsxFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMcaFlowControl	WmanIf2MaxMcaFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMcpGroupCidSupport	WmanIf2MaxMcpGroupCid,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapPkmFlowControl	WmanIf2MaxPkmFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxNumOfSupportedSA	WmanIf2MaxNumOfSaType,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxNumOfClassifier	WmanIf2MaxClassifiers,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapTtgTransitionGap	WmanIf2SsTransitionGap,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapRtgTransitionGap	WmanIf2SsTransitionGap,

wmanIf2BsSsReqCapDownlinkCidSupport	WmanIf2NumOfCid,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxNumBurstToMs	WmanIf2MaxNumBurstTx,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxMacLevelDIFrame	WmanIf2MaxMacLevel,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxMacLevelUIFrame	WmanIf2MaxMacLevel,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapPnWindowSize	Integer32,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOfdmLoopPwrControlSw	Unsigned32,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOfdmaSdmaPilot	WmanIf2SdmaPilotCap,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOfdmaNoUIHarqChannel	WmanIf2OfdmaNoHarqChan,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOfdmaNoDIHarqChannel	WmanIf2OfdmaNoHarqChan,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsBasic	WmanIf2BasicCapOptions,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsBasic2	WmanIf2BasicCapOptions2,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsOfdm	WmanIf2OfdmCapOptions,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsOfdma	WmanIf2OfdmaCapOptions,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsOfdma2	WmanIf2OfdmaCapOptions2,
<u>wmanIf2BsSsReqCapCurrentTxPower</u>	<u>WmanIf2CurrentTxPower}</u>

wmanIf2BsSsReqCapCurrentTxPower OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2CurrentTxPower

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"This parameter indicates the transmitted power used for the burst which carried the message."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.3"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 24 }

3.

WmanIf2BsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry ::= SEQUENCE {

wmanIf2BsSsRspCapUplinkCidSupport	WmanIf2NumOfCid,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapDsxFlowControl	WmanIf2MaxDsxFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMcaFlowControl	WmanIf2MaxMcaFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMcpGroupCidSupport	WmanIf2MaxMcpGroupCid,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapPkmFlowControl	WmanIf2MaxPkmFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxNumOfSupportedSA	WmanIf2MaxNumOfSaType,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxNumOfClassifier	WmanIf2MaxClassifiers,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapTtgTransitionGap	WmanIf2SsTransitionGap,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapRtgTransitionGap	WmanIf2SsTransitionGap,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapDownlinkCidSupport	WmanIf2NumOfCid,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxNumBurstToMs	WmanIf2MaxNumBurstTx,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxMacLevelDIFrame	WmanIf2MaxMacLevel,

wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxMacLevelUIFrame	WmanIf2MaxMacLevel,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapNumOfProvisionedSf	WmanIf2MaxNumProvSf,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapPnWindowSize	Integer32,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOfdmLoopPwrControlSw	Unsigned32,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOfdmaSdmaPilot	WmanIf2SdmaPilotCap,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOfdmaNoUIHarqChannel	WmanIf2OfdmaNoHarqChan,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOfdmaNoDIHarqChannel	WmanIf2OfdmaNoHarqChan,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOptionsBasic	WmanIf2BasicCapOptions,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOptionsBasic2	WmanIf2BasicCapOptions2,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOptionsOfdm	WmanIf2OfdmCapOptions,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOptionsOfdma	WmanIf2OfdmaCapOptions,
wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOptionsOfdma2	WmanIf2OfdmaCapOptions2,
<u>wmanIf2BsSsRspCapCurrentTxPower</u>	<u>WmanIf2CurrentTxPower}</u>

wmanIf2BsSsRspCapCurrentTxPower OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2CurrentTxPower

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"This parameter indicates the transmitted power used for the burst which carried the message."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.3"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry 25 }

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:Date: 2008/09/11Comment # 10031Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 13 Fig/Table# Subclause 13,2,3

WmanIf2OfdmaDemoMimo has been merged into WmanIf2OfdmCapOptions, and is not used anymore

Suggested Remedy

Delete WmanIf2OfdmaDemoMimo

~~WmanIf2OfdmaDemoMimo ::= TEXTUAL CONVENTION~~

~~—— STATUS —— current~~

~~—— DESCRIPTION~~

~~—— "This field indicates the MIMO capability of OFDMA SS demodulator."~~

~~—— REFERENCE~~

~~—— "Subclause 11.8.3.7.5"~~

~~—— SYNTAX —— BITS {twoAntSteMatrixA(0),
twoAntSteMatrixBVertCoding(1),
twoAntSteMatrixBHorizCoding(2),
fourAntSteMatrixA(3),
fourAntSteMatrixBVertCoding(4),
fourAntSteMatrixBHorizCoding(5),
fourAntSteMatrixCVertCoding(6),
fourAntSteMatrixCHorizCoding(7),
threeAntSteMatrixA(8),
threeAntSteMatrixB(9),
threeAntSteMatrixCVertCoding(10),
threeAntSteMatrixCHorizCoding(11),
calculatingPrecodingWeight(12),
adaptiveRateControl(13),
calculatingChannelMatrix(14),
antennaGrouping(15),
antennaSelection(16),
codebookBasedPrecoding(17),
longTermPrecoding(18),
mimoMidamble(19),
allocGranularityDIPuseSte(20),~~

~~concurrentAllocDIPuseSte(21)}~~

GroupResolution Decision of Group: **Principle**

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.3

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:Date: 2008/09/11Comment # 10032Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 9 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.3

wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerBpsk, wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerQpsk, wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower16Qam, and wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower64Qam are sent over SBC-REG, so they should be in WmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry, instead of WmanIf2BsRegisteredSsEntry.

Suggested Remedy

Move wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerBpsk, wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerQpsk, wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower16Qam, and wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower64Qam from WmanIf2BsRegisteredSsEntry to WmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry.

1.

```
WmanIf2BsRegisteredSsEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
    wmanIf2BsSsUserGroupId      WmanIf2UserGroups,
    wmanIf2BsSsMacAddress       MacAddress,
    wmanIf2BsSsBasicCid         WmanIf2TcCidType,
    wmanIf2BsSsPrimaryCid       WmanIf2TcCidType,
    wmanIf2BsSsSecondaryCid     WmanIf2TcCidType,
    wmanIf2BsSsManagementSupport Integer32,
    wmanIf2BsSsIpManagementMode Integer32,
    wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqEnable TruthValue,
    wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqWindowSize Integer32,
    wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqDnLinkTxDelay Integer32,
    wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqUpLinkTxDelay Integer32,
    wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqDnLinkRxDelay Integer32,
    wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqUpLinkRxDelay Integer32,
    wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqBlockLifetime Integer32,
    wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqSyncLossTimeout Integer32,
    wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqDeliverInOrder TruthValue,
    wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqRxPurgeTimeout Integer32,
    wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqBlockSize Integer32,
    wmanIf2BsSsVendorIdEncoding OCTET STRING,
    wmanIf2BsSsAasBroadcastPermission Integer32,
    wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerBpsk      WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType,
    wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerQpsk     WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType,
    wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower16Qam    WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType,
    wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower64Qam    WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType,
```

wmanIf2BsSsMacVersion

WmanIf2TcMacVersion}

~~wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerBpsk OBJECT-TYPE~~

~~wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerQpsk OBJECT-TYPE~~

~~wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower16Qam OBJECT-TYPE~~

~~wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower64Qam OBJECT-TYPE~~

2.

WmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry ::= SEQUENCE {

wmanIf2BsSsReqCapUplinkCidSupport	WmanIf2NumOfCid,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapDsxFlowControl	WmanIf2MaxDsxFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMcaFlowControl	WmanIf2MaxMcaFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMcpGroupCidSupport	WmanIf2MaxMcpGroupCid,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapPkmFlowControl	WmanIf2MaxPkmFlowType,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxNumOfSupportedSA	WmanIf2MaxNumOfSaType,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxNumOfClassifier	WmanIf2MaxClassifiers,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapTtgTransitionGap	WmanIf2SsTransitionGap,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapRtgTransitionGap	WmanIf2SsTransitionGap,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapDownlinkCidSupport	WmanIf2NumOfCid,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxNumBurstToMs	WmanIf2MaxNumBurstTx,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxMacLevelDIFrame	WmanIf2MaxMacLevel,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxMacLevelUIFrame	WmanIf2MaxMacLevel,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapPnWindowSize	Integer32,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOfdmLoopPwrControlSw	Unsigned32,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOfdmaSdmaPilot	WmanIf2SdmaPilotCap,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOfdmaNoUIHarqChannel	WmanIf2OfdmaNoHarqChan,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOfdmaNoDIHarqChannel	WmanIf2OfdmaNoHarqChan,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsBasic	WmanIf2BasicCapOptions,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsBasic2	WmanIf2BasicCapOptions2,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsOfdm	WmanIf2OfdmCapOptions,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsOfdma	WmanIf2OfdmaCapOptions,
wmanIf2BsSsReqCapOptionsOfdma2	WmanIf2OfdmaCapOptions2,
<u>wmanIf2BsSsReqMaxTxPowerBpsk</u>	<u>WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType.</u>
<u>wmanIf2BsSsReqMaxTxPowerQpsk</u>	<u>WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType.</u>
<u>wmanIf2BsSsReqMaxTxPower16Qam</u>	<u>WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType.</u>
<u>wmanIf2BsSsReqMaxTxPower64Qam</u>	<u>WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType}</u>

wmanIf2BsSsReqMaxTxPowerBpsk OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for BPSK. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned the closest extreme. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 23 }

wmanIf2BsSsReqMaxTxPowerQpsk OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for QPSK. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned to closest extreme. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 24 }

wmanIf2BsSsReqMaxTxPower16Qam OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for 16-QAM constellations. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned the closest extreme. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 25 }

wmanIf2BsSsReqMaxTxPower64Qam OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for 64-QAM constellations.
The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and
quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded
0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this
range shall be assigned the closest extreme. SSs that do
not support QAM64 shall report the value of 0x00. This
parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM
or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 26 }

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: **Principle**

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.4

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:Date: 2008/09/11Comment # 10033Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Technical</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u>	<u>?</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	13.2.3
----------------	-------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------------------	------------------	--------------------------	-------------	----------	-------------	----------	-------------------	------------------	--------

Add wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPowerBpsk, wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPowerQpsk, wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPower16Qam, and wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPower64Qam to WmanIf2BsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry, since "Maximum Tx power" TLV is sent over SBC-REG, as per last comment suggested.

Suggested Remedy

1.

```

WmanIf2BsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapUplinkCidSupport      WmanIf2NumOfCid,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapDsxFlowControl        WmanIf2MaxDsxFlowType,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMcaFlowControl        WmanIf2MaxMcaFlowType,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMcpGroupCidSupport    WmanIf2MaxMcpGroupCid,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapPkmFlowControl        WmanIf2MaxPkmFlowType,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxNumOfSupportedSA   WmanIf2MaxNumOfSaType,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxNumOfClassifier    WmanIf2MaxClassifiers,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapTtgTransitionGap      WmanIf2SsTransitionGap,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapRtgTransitionGap      WmanIf2SsTransitionGap,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapDownlinkCidSupport    WmanIf2NumOfCid,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxNumBurstToMs       WmanIf2MaxNumBurstTx,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxMacLevelDIFrame    WmanIf2MaxMacLevel,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapMaxMacLevelUIFrame    WmanIf2MaxMacLevel,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapPnWindowSize          Integer32,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOfdmLoopPwrControlSw  Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOfdmaSdmaPilot        WmanIf2SdmaPilotCap,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOfdmaNoUIHarqChannel  WmanIf2OfdmaNoHarqChan,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOfdmaNoDIHarqChannel  WmanIf2OfdmaNoHarqChan,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOptionsBasic          WmanIf2BasicCapOptions,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOptionsBasic2        WmanIf2BasicCapOptions2,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOptionsOfdm          WmanIf2OfdmCapOptions,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOptionsOfdma         WmanIf2OfdmaCapOptions,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspCapOptionsOfdma2        WmanIf2OfdmaCapOptions2,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPowerBpsk           WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPowerQpsk           WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPower16Qam         WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType,
    wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPower64Qam         WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType}

```

wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPowerBpsk OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for BPSK. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned the closest extreme. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry 23 }

wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPowerQpsk OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for QPSK. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned to closest extreme. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry 24 }

wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPower16Qam OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for 16-QAM constellations. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and

quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned the closest extreme. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry 25 }

wmanIf2BsSsRspMaxTxPower64Qam OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for 64-QAM constellations. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned the closest extreme. SSs that do not support QAM64 shall report the value of 0x00. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry 26 }

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.5

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10034

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 51 Fig/Table# Subclause 13,2,3

WmanIf2PmMeasureBitMap is lacking a bit to control the measurement of wmanIf2BsAuthenticationMetricsTable

Suggested Remedy

Change as the following

WmanIf2PmMeasureBitMap ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"A bit of of this object is set to '1' if the corresponding performance measurement is enable. When it is set to '0', the corresponding measurement is disable."

SYNTAX BITS {rssiCinrMetrics(0),
startupMetrics(1),
throughputMetrics(2),
networkEntryMetrics(3),
packetErrorRate(4),
handoverMetrics(5),
userMetrics(6),
cidMetrics(7),
serviceFlowMetrics(8),
arqHarqMetrics(9),
authenticationMetrics(10)}

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.6

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10035

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 60 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.3

Delete redundant text "The usage is defined by WmanIf2SsTransitionGap."

Suggested Remedy

wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerBpsk OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for BPSK. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned the closest extreme. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsRegisteredSsEntry 21 }

wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPowerQpsk OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for QPSK. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned to closest extreme. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsRegisteredSsEntry 22 }

wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower16Qam OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for 16-QAM constellations.
The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned the closest extreme. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsRegisteredSsEntry 23 }

wmanIf2BsSsMaxTxPower64Qam OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxTxPowerType

UNITS "0.5 dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The maximum available power for 64-QAM constellations.
The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF). Values outside this range shall be assigned the closest extreme. SSs that do not support QAM64 shall report the value of 0x00. This parameter is only applicable to systems supporting the OFDM or OFDMA PHY."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.3.2"

::= { wmanIf2BsRegisteredSsEntry 24 }

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** [a\) done](#)

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:Date: 2008/09/11Comment # 10037Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.3

Delete redundant text "The usage is defined by WmanIf2SsTransitionGap."

Suggested Remedy

wmanIf2BsSsReqCapTtgTransitionGap OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2SsTransitionGap

UNITS "microsecond"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"This field indicates the SS's transition speed SSTTG
for TDD and H-FDD SSs. ~~The usage is defined by~~

~~WmanIf2SsTransitionGap.~~"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 8 }

wmanIf2BsSsReqCapRtgTransitionGap OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2SsTransitionGap

UNITS "microsecond"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"This field indicates the SS's transition speed SSRTG
for TDD and H-FDD SSs. ~~The usage is defined by~~

~~WmanIf2SsTransitionGap.~~"

::= { wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 9 }

wmanIf2BsSsRspCapTtgTransitionGap OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2SsTransitionGap

UNITS "microsecond"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"This field indicates the negotiated transition speed
SSTTG for TDD and H-FDD SSs. ~~The usage is defined by~~

WmanIf2SsTransitionGap-

::= { wmanIf2BsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry 8 }

wmanIf2BsSsRspCapRtgTransitionGap OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX WmanIf2SsTransitionGap
UNITS "microsecond"
MAX-ACCESS read-only
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
"This field indicates the negotiated transition speed
SSRTG for TDD and H-FDD SSs. The usage is defined by
WmanIf2SsTransitionGap."
WmanIf2SsTransitionGap-

::= { wmanIf2BsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry 9 }

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.8

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actionsa) done

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:Date: 2008/09/11Comment # 10038Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause 13,2,3

The units of Maximum amount of MAC level data per DL frame and Maximum amount of MAC level data per UL frame are 256 bytes

Suggested Remedy

Add UNITS to wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxMacLevelDIFrame and wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxMacLevelUIFrame

wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxMacLevelDIFrame OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxMacLevel

UNITS "256Bytes"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Maximum amount of MAC level data the MS is capable of processing per DL frame."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.7.8.5.1"

DEFVAL { 0 }

::= { wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 12 }

wmanIf2BsSsReqCapMaxMacLevelUIFrame OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2MaxMacLevel

UNITS "256Bytes"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Maximum amount of MAC level data the MS is capable of processing per UL frame."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.7.8.5.2"

DEFVAL { 0 }

::= { wmanIf2BsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 13 }

GroupResolutionDecision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.9

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) [done](#)

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10039

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐

Satisfied ☐

Page ?

Line 42

Fig/Table#

Subclause 13.2.3

Update reference to IEEE Std 802.16-2004

Suggested Remedy

1. Change as the following

wmanIf2BsOfdmaOptPermULAllocSubchBitmap OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE (13))

MAX-ACCESS read-write

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"This is a bitmap describing the sub-channels allocated to the segment in the UL, when using the uplink optional PUSC permutation (~~see 8.4.6.2.5 in IEEE Std 802.16-2004~~). The LSB of the first byte shall correspond to subchannel 0.

For any bit that is not set, the corresponding subchannel shall not be used by the SS on that segment. When this TLV is not present, BS may allocate any subchannels to an SS."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 8.3.6.2.5"

::= { wmanIf2BsOfdmaUplinkChannelEntry 10 }

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.10

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10040

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐

Page ?

Line 2

Fig/Table#

Subclause 13.2.3

Typo "Matrics"

Suggested Remedy

Replace "Matrics" with "Metrics"

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

on page 1539, line 2, Replace "Matrics" with "Metrics"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:Date: 2008/09/11Comment # 10041Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 21 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.4

Report mode parameter in Table 147 MBS_SCAN-RSP message has be changed. WmanIf2mReportMode shall be changed accordingly.

Table 147—MOB_SCN-RSP message format

Report mode 2 0b00: No report

0b01: Periodic report

0b10: Event-triggered report

0b11: One-time scan report

Suggested Remedy

Table 147—MOB_SCN-RSP message format

Report mode 2 0b00: No report

0b01: Periodic report

0b10: Event-triggered report

0b11: One-time scan report

WmanIf2mReportMode ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Action code for an MS's report of CINR measurement:

0b00: The MS measures channel quality of the Available BSs without reporting.

0b01: The MS reports the result of the measurement to Serving BS periodically. The period of reporting is different from that of scanning.

0b10: The MS reports the result of the measurement to Serving BS after each measurement.

0b11 One-time scan report"

REFERENCE

"Subclause 6.3.2.3.44"

SYNTAX INTEGER {noReport(0),

periodicReport(1),
eventTriggeredReport(2),
oneTimeScannReport(4)}

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.11

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10042

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis

☐

Satisfied

☐

Page ?

Line 43

Fig/Table#

Subclause

13.2.4

Typo "Matrics"

Suggested Remedy

Make the following changes:

1. WmanIf2mReportMætric
2. Change all instances of WmanIf2mReportMatric to WmanIf2mReportMetric

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.12

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:Date: 2008/09/11Comment # 10043Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 62 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.4

Handover Supported field TLV has been changed in REV2. WmanIf2mHandoverType shall be changed accordingly

Suggested Remedy

Change as the following

WmanIf2mHandoverType ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Indicates what type(s) of Handover the BS and the MS supports.

bit#0: if set to 1, MDHO/FBSS HO not supported, the BS shall ignore all other bits.

bit#1: if set to 1, FBSS/MDHO DL RF Combining is supported with monitoring MAPs from active BSs

bit#2: if set to 1, MDHO DL soft Combining is supported with monitoring single MAP from anchor BS

bit#3: if set to 1, MDHO DL soft combining is supported with monitoring MAPs from active BSs

bit#4: if set to 1, MDHO UL Multiple transmission is supported

bit#5: If set to 1, seamless HO is supported"

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.7.~~1243~~.5"

SYNTAX BITS {mdhcFbssHoNotSupported(0),
mdhcFbssDIMapsFromActiveBss(1),
mdhcDIMapFromAnchorBs(2),
mdhcDIMapsFromActiveBss(3),
mdhcUIMultipleTx(4),
seamlessHo(5)}

GroupResolutionDecision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.13

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) [done](#)

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10044

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 6 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.4

Power saving class capability TLV has been changed in REV2. WmanIf2mPowerSaveType shall be changed accordingly

Suggested Remedy

Change as the following

WmanIf2mPowerSaveType ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"For MS supporting sleep mode, this parameter defines the capability of the MS supporting different power save class types in sleep mode.

A bit 0 - 'not supported'

1 - 'supported'"

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.7.14~~13~~.2"

SYNTAX BITS {psClassTypeI(0),
 psClassTypeII(1),
 psClassTypeIII(2),
 multiplePsClass(3)}

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.14

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10045

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis

☐

Satisfied

☐

Page ?

Line 23

Fig/Table#

Subclause 13.2.4

Typo "matrix"

Suggested Remedy

1. Change WmanIf2mHoTrigMatrix to WmanIf2mHoTrigMetric

2. wmanIf2mBsCapCfgHoTrigMa~~etri~~^xc OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2mHoTrigMa~~etri~~^xc

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Indicates trigger metrics that MS or BS supports."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.8.7"

::= { wmanIf2mBsCapabilitiesConfigEntry 12 }

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.15

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

Editor makes similar changes in the following objects

wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapHoTrigMatrix

wmanIf2mBsSsRspCapHoTrigMatrix

wmanIf2mBsCapHoTrigMatrix

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:Date: 2008/09/11Comment # 10046Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 32 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.4

Power saving class capability TLV has been changed in REV2. ~~wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapNumOfPsClassIandII~~ shall be changed accordingly

Suggested Remedy

Make the following changes:

```
1. WmanIf2mBsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
    wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapHandoverSupported    WmanIf2mHandoverType,
    wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapHoProcessTimer        Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapMobilityFeature        WmanIf2mOfdmaMobility,
    wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapSleepRecoveryTime     Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapPreviousIpAddr         OCTET STRING,
    wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapIdleModeTimeout        Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapHoConnProcessTime      Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapHoTekProcessTime       Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapPowerSavingType        WmanIf2mPowerSaveType,
    wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapNumOfPsClassIandII     Integer32,
wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapNumOfPsClassIII         Integer32,
    wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapHoTrigMatrix           WmanIf2mHoTrigMatrix,
    wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapAssociationType        WmanIf2mAssociationTyp}
```

~~wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapNumOfPsClassIandII~~ OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX Integer32 (0 .. ~~731~~)

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"~~Total n~~Number of power save class instances supported from class types 1 and 2."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.7.13.2 ~~8-5 in IEEE Std 802.16e-2005~~"

::= { wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 10 }

~~wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapNumOfPsClassIII OBJECT TYPE~~
~~—— SYNTAX Integer32 (0 .. 7)~~
~~—— MAX ACCESS read-only~~
~~—— STATUS current~~
~~—— DESCRIPTION~~
~~—— "Number of power save class instances supported from class~~
~~—— types 3."~~
~~—— REFERENCE~~
~~—— "Subclause 11.8.5 in IEEE Std 802.16e-2005"~~
~~—— ::= { wmanIf2mBsSsReqCapabilitiesEntry 11 }~~

- 2. Make similar changes to manIf2mBsSsRspCapNumOfPsClassIandII and wmanIf2mBsSsRspCapNumOfPsClassIII
- 3. Make similar changes to wmanIf2mBsCapNumOfPsClassIandII and wmanIf2mBsCapNumOfPsClassIII
- 4. Make similar changes to wmanIf2mBsCapCfgNumOfPsClassIandII and wmanIf2mBsCapCfgNumOfPsClassIII

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.16

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10047

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 64	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 13.2.4
----------------	-----------------------	---	---	---------------	----------------	-------------------	-------------------------

Resource_Retain_Time has been changed in REV2. Change the range of wmanIf2mBsSsRspCapRetrainTime and wmanIf2mBsCapRetrainTime

11.15.1 Resource_Retain_Time

The Resource_Retain_Time is the duration

bits 63-16: Target_BS_ID

bits 15-0: Preamble Index/Subchannel Index

Suggested Remedy

Change as the following

1. wmanIf2mBsSsRspCapRetrainTime OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX Unsigned32 (0 .. 65535)

UNITS "100 milliseconds"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Indicates the duration for MS's connection information that will be retained in serving BS. BS shall start Resource_Retain_Time timer at MS notification of pending HO attempt through MOB_HO-IND or by detecting an MS drop."

REFERENCE

"Subclause ~~11.7.13.2.15.1~~ 11.15.1"

::= { wmanIf2mBsSsRspCapabilitiesEntry 2 }

2. Make similar changes to wmanIf2mBsCapRetrainTime

3, Make similar changes to wmanIf2mBsCapCfgRetrainTime

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.17

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) [done](#)

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10048

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis

☐

Satisfied

☐

Page ?

Line 42

Fig/Table#

Subclause 13.2.4

Add range to xxxRetransmissionTimer objects

Suggested Remedy

1. wmanIf2mBsCapRetransmissionTimer OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX Unsigned32 (0 .. 255)

UNITS "frames"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"When an MS transmits MOB_MSHO-REQ to initiate a handover process, it shall start MS Handover Retransmission Timer and shall not transmit another MOB_MSHO-REQ until the expiration of the MS Handover Retransmission Timer."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 11.7.13.3"

::= { wmanIf2mBsBasicCapabilitiesEntry 4 }

2. wmanIf2mBsCapCfgRetransmissionTimerOBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX Unsigned32 (0 .. 255)

3. wmanIf2mBsSsRspCapRetransmissionTimerOBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX Unsigned32 (0 .. 255)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.18

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10049

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment

Type Editorial

Part of Dis ☐

Satisfied ☐

Page ?

Line 27

Fig/Table#

Subclause 13.2.4

Update reference to IEEE Std 802.16-2004

Suggested Remedy

1. Change as the following

wmanIf2mBsOfdmaOptPermULAllocSubchBitmap OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE (13))

MAX-ACCESS read-write

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"This is a bitmap describing the sub-channels allocated to the segment in the UL, when using the uplink optional PUSC permutation (~~see 8.3.6.2.5 in IEEE Std 802.16-2004~~). The LSB of the first byte shall correspond to subchannel 0.

For any bit that is not set, the corresponding subchannel shall not be used by the SS on that segment. When this TLV is not present, BS may allocate any subchannels to an SS."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 8.3.6.2.5"

::= { wmanIf2mBsNeighborBsOfdmaUcdEntry 15 }

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.19

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10050

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Part of Dis</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u>	<u>?</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u>	<u>13.2.5</u>
----------------	-------------	--------------------	--------------------------	------------------	--------------------------	-------------	----------	-------------	-----------	-------------------	------------------	---------------

Redundant service flow state definition

Replace WmanIf2fSfState with WmanIf2TcSfState

Suggested Remedy

1. Import WmanIf2TcSfState FROM WMAN-IF2-TC-MI

2. Delete WmanIf2fSfState

~~WmanIf2fSfState ::= TEXTUAL CONVENTION~~

~~—— STATUS —— current~~

~~—— DESCRIPTION~~

~~—— "Defines the state of a service flow."~~

~~—— SYNTAX —— INTEGER {authorized(1),~~

~~admitted(2),~~

~~active(3)}~~

3. Replace WmanIf2fSfState with WmanIf2TcSfState

WmanIf2fBsProvServiceFlowEntry ::= SEQUENCE {

wmanIf2fBsSsProvMacAddress MacAddress,

wmanIf2fBsSfId Unsigned32,

wmanIf2fBsSfDirection WmanIf2TcSfDirection,

wmanIf2fBsServiceClassIndex Integer32,

wmanIf2fBsSfState ~~WmanIf2fSfState~~ WmanIf2TcSfState,

wmanIf2fBsSfProvisionedTime TimeStamp,

wmanIf2fBsSfCsSpecification WmanIf2TcCsType,

wmanIf2fBsProvisionedSfRowStatus RowStatus}

wmanIf2fBsSfState OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX ~~WmanIf2fSfState~~ WmanIf2TcSfState

MAX-ACCESS read-create

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"wmanIf2fBsSfState determines the requested state of a service flow.

- authorized state: A service flow is provisioned but not resource is reserved yet
- admitted state: service flow has resources reserved.
- active state: has resources committed by the BS (e.g., is actively sending maps containing unsolicited grants for a UGS-based service flow),"

REFERENCE

"Subclause 6.3.14.6"

::= { wmanIf2fBsProvServiceFlowEntry 5 }

WmanIf2fBsServiceFlowEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
 wmanIf2fBsSfMacAddress MacAddress,
 wmanIf2fBsSfCid WmanIf2TcCidType,
 wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowDirection Integer32,
 wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowState ~~WmanIf2fSfState~~ WmanIf2TcSfState,
 wmanIf2fBsTrafficPriority Integer32,
 wmanIf2fBsMaxSustainedRate Unsigned32,
 wmanIf2fBsMaxTrafficBurst Unsigned32,
 wmanIf2fBsMinReservedRate Unsigned32,
 wmanIf2fBsToleratedJitter Unsigned32,
 wmanIf2fBsMaxLatency Unsigned32,
 wmanIf2fBsFixedVsVariableSduInd WmanIf2TcSduType,
 wmanIf2fBsSduSize Unsigned32,
 wmanIf2fBsSfSchedulingType WmanIf2TcSchedulingType,
 wmanIf2fBsArqEnable TruthValue,
 wmanIf2fBsArqWindowSize Integer32,
 wmanIf2fBsArqTxRetryTimeout Integer32,
 wmanIf2fBsArqRxRetryTimeout Integer32,
 wmanIf2fBsArqBlockLifetime Integer32,
 wmanIf2fBsArqSyncLossTimeout Integer32,
 wmanIf2fBsArqDeliverInOrder TruthValue,
 wmanIf2fBsArqRxPurgeTimeout Integer32,
 wmanIf2fBsScArqBlockSizeReq WmanIf2TcArqBlockSize,
 wmanIf2fBsScArqBlockSizeRsp Integer32,
 wmanIf2fBsMinRsvdTolerableRate Unsigned32,
 wmanIf2fBsReqTxPolicy WmanIf2TcReqTxPolicy,
 wmanIf2fBsCsSpecification WmanIf2TcCsType,
 wmanIf2fBsTargetSaid Integer32,
 wmanIf2fBsFragmentSeqNumType WmanIf2TcFsnType,

wmanIf2fBsMbsService WmanIf2TcMbsType}

wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowState OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX ~~WmanIf2fSfState~~ WmanIf2TcSfState

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"wmanIf2fBsSfState indicates the service flow state:
Authorized (1), Admitted (2), and Active (3) service
flow state."

REFERENCE

"Subclause 6.3.14.6"

::= { wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowEntry 4 }

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.20

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:Date: 2008/09/11Comment # 10051Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Technical	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 21	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 13.2.5
----------------	-----------------------	---	---	---------------	----------------	-------------------	-------------------------

Redundant service flow state definition

Replace WmanIf2fSfState with WmanIf2TcSfState

Suggested Remedy

1. Add WmanIf2TcSfState in IMPORTS FROM WMAN-IF2-TC-MIB

2. Remove WmanIf2fSfState

~~WmanIf2fSfState ::= TEXTUAL CONVENTION~~~~—— STATUS —— current~~~~—— DESCRIPTION~~~~—— "Defines the state of a service flow."~~~~—— SYNTAX —— INTEGER {authorized(1),~~~~—— admitted(2),~~~~—— active(3)}~~

3. Replace WmanIf2fSfState with WmanIf2TcSfState

WmanIf2fBsProvServiceFlowEntry ::= SEQUENCE {

wmanIf2fBsSsProvMacAddress MacAddress,

wmanIf2fBsSfId Unsigned32,

wmanIf2fBsSfDirection WmanIf2TcSfDirection,

wmanIf2fBsServiceClassIndex Integer32,

wmanIf2fBsSfState WmanIf2fTcSfState,

wmanIf2fBsSfProvisionedTime TimeStamp,

wmanIf2fBsSfCsSpecification WmanIf2TcCsType,

wmanIf2fBsProvisionedSfRowStatus RowStatus}

4. Replace WmanIf2fSfState with WmanIf2TcSfState

wmanIf2fBsSfState OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2fTcSfState

MAX-ACCESS read-create

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"wmanIf2fBsSfState determines the requested state of a service flow.

~~—authorized state: A service flow is provisioned but~~

~~not resource is reserved yet~~

~~—admitted state: service flow has resources reserved.~~

~~—active state: has resources committed by the BS (e.g., is~~

~~actively sending maps containing unsolicited grants for a~~

~~UGS based service flow);"~~

REFERENCE

~~"Subclause 6.3.14.6, in IEEE Std 802.16-2004"~~

::= { wmanIf2fBsProvServiceFlowEntry 5 }

5.

WmanIf2fBsServiceFlowEntry ::= SEQUENCE {

wmanIf2fBsSfMacAddress	MacAddress,
wmanIf2fBsSfCid	WmanIf2TcCidType,
wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowDirection	Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowState	WmanIf2fTcSfState,
wmanIf2fBsTrafficPriority	Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsMaxSustainedRate	Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsMaxTrafficBurst	Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsMinReservedRate	Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsToleratedJitter	Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsMaxLatency	Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsFixedVsVariableSduInd	WmanIf2TcSduType,
wmanIf2fBsSduSize	Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsSfSchedulingType	WmanIf2TcSchedulingType,
wmanIf2fBsArqEnable	TruthValue,
wmanIf2fBsArqWindowSize	Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsArqTxRetryTimeout	Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsArqRxRetryTimeout	Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsArqBlockLifetime	Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsArqSyncLossTimeout	Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsArqDeliverInOrder	TruthValue,
wmanIf2fBsArqRxPurgeTimeout	Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsScArqBlockSizeReq	WmanIf2TcArqBlockSize,
wmanIf2fBsScArqBlockSizeRsp	Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsMinRsvidTolerableRate	Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsReqTxPolicy	WmanIf2TcReqTxPolicy,
wmanIf2fBsCsSpecification	WmanIf2TcCsType,
wmanIf2fBsTargetSaid	Integer32,

wmanIf2fBsFragmentSeqNumType WmanIf2TcFsnType,
wmanIf2fBsMbsService WmanIf2TcMbsType}

wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowState OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2fTcSfState

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

~~"wmanIf2fBsSfState This object indicates the service flow state:~~

~~Authorized (1), Admitted (2), and Active (3) service~~

~~flow state."~~

~~REFERENCE~~

~~"Subclause 6.3.14.6"~~

~~::= { wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowEntry 4 }~~

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.21

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

a) done

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:Date: 2008/09/11Comment # 10052Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 38 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.5

wmanIf2fBsQoSMinRsvdTolerableRate shall be removed, since minimum reserved tolerable rate TLV has been deleted from REV2

Suggested Remedy

```

WmanIf2fBsProvServiceClassEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
    wmanIf2fBsQoSProfileIndex      Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSServiceClassName  WmanIf2fServClassName,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSSTrafficPriority   Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSMaxSustainedRate  Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSMaxTrafficBurst   Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSMinReservedRate   Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsQOSToleratedJitter   Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSMaxLatency        Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSFixedVsVariableSduInd WmanIf2TcSduType,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSSduSize           Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSScSchedulingType  WmanIf2TcSchedulingType,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSScArqEnable       TruthValue,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSScArqWindowSize   Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSArqTxRetryTimeout Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSArqRxRetryTimeout Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSScArqBlockLifetime Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSScArqSyncLossTimeout Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSScArqDeliverInOrder TruthValue,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSScArqRxPurgeTimeout Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSScArqBlockSizeReq  WmanIf2TcArqBlockSize,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSScArqBlockSizeRsp  Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSMinRsvdTolerableRate Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSReqTxPolicy        WmanIf2TcReqTxPolicy,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSFragmentSeqNumType WmanIf2TcFsnType,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSMBsService         WmanIf2TcMbsType,
    wmanIf2fBsQoSServiceClassRowStatus RowStatus}

```

```

--- ::= { wmanIf2fBsProvServiceClassEntry 22 }

```

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.22

Group's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:Date: 2008/09/11Comment # 10053Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 2 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.5

wmanIf2fBsMinRsvdTolerableRate shall be removed, since minimum reserved tolerable rate TLV has been deleted from REV2

Suggested Remedy

Change as the following:

```
WmanIf2fBsServiceFlowEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
    wmanIf2fBsSfMacAddress      MacAddress,
    wmanIf2fBsSfCid             WmanIf2TcCidType,
    wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowDirection Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowState  WmanIf2fSfState,
    wmanIf2fBsTrafficPriority    Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsMaxSustainedRate  Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsMaxTrafficBurst   Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsMinReservedRate   Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsToleratedJitter   Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsMaxLatency        Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsFixedVsVariableSduInd WmanIf2TcSduType,
    wmanIf2fBsSduSize           Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsSfSchedulingType  WmanIf2TcSchedulingType,
    wmanIf2fBsArqEnable         TruthValue,
    wmanIf2fBsArqWindowSize     Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsArqTxRetryTimeout Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsArqRxRetryTimeout Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsArqBlockLifetime  Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsArqSyncLossTimeout Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsArqDeliverInOrder TruthValue,
    wmanIf2fBsArqRxPurgeTimeout Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsScArqBlockSizeReq WmanIf2TcArqBlockSize,
    wmanIf2fBsScArqBlockSizeRsp Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsMinRsvdTolerableRate Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsReqTxPolicy       WmanIf2TcReqTxPolicy,
    wmanIf2fBsCsSpecification   WmanIf2TcCsType,
```

wmanIf2fBsTargetSaid Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsFragmentSeqNumType WmanIf2TcFsnType,
wmanIf2fBsMbsService WmanIf2TcMbsType}

~~wmanIf2fBsMinRsvdTolerableRate OBJECT-TYPE~~

~~SYNTAX Unsigned32~~

~~UNITS "b/s"~~

~~MAX-ACCESS read-only~~

~~STATUS current~~

~~DESCRIPTION~~

~~"Minimum Tolerable Traffic Rate = R (bits/sec) with
time base T(sec) means the following. Let S denote
additional demand accumulated at the MAC SAP of the
transmitter during an arbitrary time interval of the
length T. Then the amount of data forwarded at the
receiver to CS (in bits) during this interval should
be not less than min {S, R * T}."~~

~~REFERENCE~~

~~"Subclause 11.13.9 in IEEE Std 802.16-2004"~~

~~::= { wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowEntry 24 }~~

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.23

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:Date: 2008/09/11Comment # 10054Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6aBallot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 40 Fig/Table# Subclause 13.2.5

wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowDirection shall use the textual convention already defined, instead of Integer32

Suggested Remedy

Change as the following

1. Import **WmanIf2TcSfDirection** from WMAN-IF2-TC-MIB

2.

```
WmanIf2fBsServiceFlowEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
    wmanIf2fBsSfMacAddress      MacAddress,
    wmanIf2fBsSfCid             WmanIf2TcCidType,
    wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowDirection WmanIf2TcSfDirection Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowState  WmanIf2fSfState,
    wmanIf2fBsTrafficPriority    Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsMaxSustainedRate  Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsMaxTrafficBurst   Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsMinReservedRate   Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsToleratedJitter   Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsMaxLatency        Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsFixedVsVariableSduInd WmanIf2TcSduType,
    wmanIf2fBsSduSize           Unsigned32,
    wmanIf2fBsSfSchedulingType  WmanIf2TcSchedulingType,
    wmanIf2fBsArqEnable         TruthValue,
    wmanIf2fBsArqWindowSize     Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsArqTxRetryTimeout Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsArqRxRetryTimeout Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsArqBlockLifetime  Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsArqSyncLossTimeout Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsArqDeliverInOrder TruthValue,
    wmanIf2fBsArqRxPurgeTimeout Integer32,
    wmanIf2fBsScArqBlockSizeReq WmanIf2TcArqBlockSize,
```


wmanIf2fBsScArqBlockSizeRsp	Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsMinRsvdTolerableRate	Unsigned32,
wmanIf2fBsReqTxPolicy	WmanIf2TcReqTxPolicy,
wmanIf2fBsCsSpecification	WmanIf2TcCsType,
wmanIf2fBsTargetSaid	Integer32,
wmanIf2fBsFragmentSeqNumType	WmanIf2TcFsnType,
wmanIf2fBsMbsService	WmanIf2TcMbsType}

3.

wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowDirection OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIf2TcSfDirection ~~INTEGER {downstream(1),~~
~~upstream(2)}~~

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"An attribute indicating the service flow is downstream or upstream."

::= { wmanIf2fBsServiceFlowEntry 3 }

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.24

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10055

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 4 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.1.2.1

Add table title to the table, so it will be shown in TOC

Suggested Remedy

The parameters shall be described briefly in ~~the following~~ table [642](#).

Add the title to the table
[Table 642 M-SAP/C-SAP Operation Types](#)

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.25

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by: Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10056

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u> Editorial	<u>Part of Dis</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Satisfied</u> <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Page</u> ?	<u>Line</u> 1	<u>Fig/Table#</u>	<u>Subclause</u> 14.1.2.2
----------------	-----------------------	---	---	---------------	---------------	-------------------	---------------------------

Add table title to the table, so it will be shown in TOC

Suggested Remedy

The parameters are described briefly in ~~the following~~ table [643](#)

Add the title to the table
[Table 643 M-SAP/C-SAP Event Types](#)

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.26

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10057

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.1.1

Wrong Figure numbers

Suggested Remedy

14.2.1.1 Accounting procedure

Accounting primitives consist of M-ACM-IND, M-ACM-REQ and M-ACM-RSP, as shown in Figure ~~474~~ 340 and Figure ~~472~~ 341. Figure ~~474~~ 340 represents accounting primitives initiated by a BS when it receives REG-REQ, DREG-REQ, DSA-REQ/RSP, DSC-REQ/RSP or DSD-REQ/RSP. Figure ~~472~~ 341 represents accounting primitives initiated by the NCMS.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.27

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10058

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 36 Fig/Table# Subclause 14.2.2.3.1.1

Reorder the attribute list, so they are consistent with the attribute description

Suggested Remedy

C-SM-REQ

(
Operation_Type: Action,
Action_Type: Context_Transfer,
Destination: NCMS or BS,
MS MAC Address,
Attribute_List:
Serving BSID,
Target BSID,
~~MS MAC Address,~~
Security Information}

C-SM-RSP

(
Operation_Type: Action,
Action_Type: Context_Transfer,
Destination: NCMS or BS,
Attribute_List:
MS MAC Address,
Serving BSID,
Target BSID,
MS MAC Address,
Result Code,
Security Information}

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.28

a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10060

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Editorial Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 46 Fig/Table# Subclause C.1.1.1.11

Change the subclause title to be consistent with others

Suggested Remedy

14.2.10.1.1 C-MBS-REQ (Operation Type = Get)

14.2.10.1.2 C-MBS-RSP (Operation Type = Get)

14.2.10.2.1 C-MBS-REQ (Operation Type = Set)

14.2.10.2.2 C-MBS-RSP (Operation Type = Set)

14.2.10.3.2.1 C-MBS-REQ (Operation Type = Create)

14.2.10.3.2.2 C-MBS-REQ (Operation Type = Delete)

14.2.10.3.3.1 C-MBS-RSP (Operation Type = Create)

14.2.10.3.3.2 C-MBS-RSP (Operation Type = Delete)

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.30

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

2008/08/05

IEEE 802.16-08/049r3

Comment by:

Joey Chou

Membership Status:

Date: 2008/09/11

Comment # 10061

Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D6a

Ballot ID: 802.16Rev2R0

Comment Type Technical Part of Dis ☐ Satisfied ☐ Page ? Line 56 Fig/Table# Subclause C.1.1.1.11

Missing encryption flag

Suggested Remedy

MAC_DATA.request

(
Connection ID,
length,
data,
discard-eligible flag,
encryption flag
)

The Connection ID parameter specifies the connection over which the data is to be sent; the service class is implicit in the Connection ID parameter.

The length parameter specifies the length of the MAC SDU in bytes.

The data parameter specifies the MAC SDU as received by the local MAC entity.

The discard-eligible flag specifies whether the MAC SDU is to be preferentially discarded by the scheduler in the event of link congestion and consequent buffer overflow.

The encryption flag specifies that the data sent over this connection is to be encrypted, if ON. If OFF, then no encryption is used.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-08/316, section 2.31

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done