IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commen</u>  | <u>t by:</u>          | Harry Bims         |                |            | Membership Status:      | Member     | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2<br>4:53:44 E | .008<br>EST |
|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Comment #      | b1                    |                    | Document unde  | er Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8          |            | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b              |             |
| <u>Comment</u> | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis        | Satisfied      | Page ?     | <u>Line</u> 10 <u>F</u> | ig/Table#  | Subclause 14.2.11.1                |             |
| I understand   | that this sentence    | is strictly inform | ative. In that | light, the | 2007 Style Manual       | says that: |                                    |             |

"Informative text shall be placed in notes (to text, tables, and

figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative."

#### Suggested Remedy

This informative sentence should be placed in a note, a footnote, or an informative annex as appropriate.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The identified section is not informative, it is normative.

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commer</u>    | <u>nt by:</u>         | Harry Bims         |                  |             | Membership Status:      | Member     | <u>Date:</u> 16<br>4: | 6-Dec-2008<br>53:44 EST |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------------|------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | b2                    |                    | Document unde    | r Review:   | P802.16Rev2/D8          |            | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b |                         |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis        | Satisfied        | Page ?      | <u>Line</u> 14 <u>F</u> | ig/Table#  | Subclause 14.2.1      | 1.1                     |
| I understand     | that this sentence    | is strictly inform | ative. In that I | ight, the : | 2007 Style Manual       | says that: |                       |                         |

"Informative text shall be placed in notes (to text, tables, and

figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative."

#### Suggested Remedy

This informative sentence should be placed in a note, a footnote, or an informative annex as appropriate.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The identified section is not informative, it is normative.

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comment      | t by:                 | Harry Bims  | Membership Status: Member |                 |                |            | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
|--------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|---|
| Comment # b3 |                       | <u>[</u>    | Document under            | r Review: P80   | 02.16Rev2/D8   |            | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                   |
| Comment      | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis | tisfied                   | <u>Page</u> 958 | <u>Line</u> 28 | Fig/Table# | Subclause 8.4.6.1.1                     |

This sentence was previously described as strictly informative because it was in parentheses. If it is still informative then the 2007 Style Manual says that:

"Informative text shall be placed in notes (to text, tables, and

figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative."

#### Suggested Remedy

This informative sentence should be placed in a note, a footnote, or an informative annex as appropriate.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The text stands on its own. The Figure is identified as, and given as an example which is allowed in the Style Guide.

#### Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comment      | t by:                 | Harry Bims  |                     | Membership State  | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |                       |
|--------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Comment # b4 |                       | Doc         | ument under Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8    | •                                       | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b |
| Comment      | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis | ied Page 95         | 58 <u>Line</u> 33 | Fig/Table#                              | Subclause 8.4.6.1.1   |

This sentence was previously described as strictly informative because it was in parentheses. If it is still informative then the 2007 Style Manual says that:

"Informative text shall be placed in notes (to text, tables, and

figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative."

#### Suggested Remedy

This informative sentence should be placed in a note, a footnote, or an informative annex as appropriate.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The text stands on its own. The Figure is identified as, and given as an example which is allowed in the Style Guide.

#### Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commen</u>  | <u>t by:</u>          | Harry Bims            | <u>Membership Status:</u>                | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |                            |
|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|---|----------------------------|
| Comment # b5   |                       | Document un           | der Review: P802.16Rev2/D8               |   | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b      |
| <u>Comment</u> | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis Satisfied | <u>Page</u> 958 <u>Line</u> 38 <u>Fi</u> | ig/Table#                               | <u>Subclause</u> 8.4.6.1.1 |

This sentence was previously described as strictly informative because it was in parentheses. If it is still informative then the 2007 Style Manual says that:

"Informative text shall be placed in notes (to text, tables, and

figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative."

### Suggested Remedy

This informative sentence should be placed in a note, a footnote, or an informative annex as appropriate.

 GroupResolution
 Decision of Group:
 Disagree

 Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution
 Group's Notes
 Editor's Notes
 b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commen</u>  | <u>t by:</u>          | Harry Bims         |                 |              | Membership Status: | Member     | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------|------------|---|
| Comment #      | b6                    |                    | Document unde   | er Review:   | P802.16Rev2/D8     |            | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                   |
| <u>Comment</u> | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis        | Satisfied       | Page ?       | Line 63 F          | ig/Table#  | <u>Subclause</u> 8.4.10.3.2             |
| I understand   | that this sentence    | is strictly inform | native. In that | light, the 2 | 2007 Style Manual  | says that: |   |

"Informative text shall be placed in notes (to text, tables, and

figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative."

#### Suggested Remedy

This informative sentence should be placed in a note, a footnote, or an informative annex as appropriate.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution** 

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commen</u>  | <u>t by:</u>          | Harry Bims           |                    | <u>Membership Status:</u>  | Member   | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|----------|---|--|--|--|
| Comment # b7   |                       | <u>Docu</u>          | ment under Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8             |          | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                   |  |  |  |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis Satisfie | ed Page 99         | 5 <u>Line</u> 49 <u>Fi</u> | g/Table# | Subclause 8.4.6.2.4                     |  |  |  |
| understand that this sentence is strictly informative. In that light, the 2007 Style Manual says that: |                       |                      |                    |                            |          |   |  |  |  |

"Informative text shall be placed in notes (to text, tables, and

figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative."

#### Suggested Remedy

This informative sentence should be placed in a note, a footnote, or an informative annex as appropriate.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution** 

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commer</u>    | <u>t by:</u>          | Harry Bims      |                 |                   | Membership Statu   | s: Member         | <u>Date:</u> 16-<br>4:5 | Dec-2008<br>3:44 EST |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | b8                    |                 | Document und    | <u>er Review:</u> | P802.16Rev2/D8     |                   | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b   |                      |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis     | Satisfied       | Page ?            | <u>Line</u> 64     | <u>Fig/Table#</u> | Subclause 13.1.2.3      | 3.1.3                |
| I understand     | that this section is  | informative. In | that light, the | 2007 Sty          | le Manual says tha | at:               |                         |                      |

"Informative text shall be placed in notes (to text, tables, and

figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative."

#### Suggested Remedy

This informative section should be placed in a note, a footnote, or an informative annex as appropriate.

**GroupResolution** Decision of Group: Disagree

**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution** 

The identified section is not informative, it is normative.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commen</u>    | <u>t by:</u>          | Harry Bims        |                   |            | Membership Status       | Member    | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------------|-----------|---|
| <u>Comment #</u> | b9                    |                   | Document und      | er Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8          |           | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                   |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis       | Satisfied         | Page ?     | <u>Line</u> 31 <u>F</u> | ig/Table# | <u>Subclause</u> 14.2.1.2.1             |
| I understand     | that this is informa  | tive text. In the | at light, the 200 | 07 Style N | lanual says that:       |           |   |

"Informative text shall be placed in notes (to text, tables, and

figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative."

### Suggested Remedy

This informative sentence should be placed in a note, a footnote, or an informative annex as appropriate.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The identified section is not informative, it is normative.

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commen</u>    | <u>t by:</u>          | Harry Bims        |                   |            | Membership Status | : Member   | Date:                 | 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | b10                   |                   | Document unde     | er Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8    |            | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b | )                          |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis       | Satisfied         | Page ?     | <u>Line</u> 16    | Fig/Table# | Subclause 14.2.       | 1.2.2                      |
| l understand     | that this is informa  | tive text. In the | at light, the 200 | 7 Style N  | lanual says that: |            |                       |                            |

"Informative text shall be placed in notes (to text, tables, and

figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative."

#### Suggested Remedy

This informative sentence should be placed in a note, a footnote, or an informative annex as appropriate.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The identified section is not informative, it is normative.

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commen</u>    | <u>t by:</u>          | Harry Bims      |               |            | Membership Status:      | Member    |                  | Date: 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | b11                   |                 | Document unde | er Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8          |           | Ballot ID: sb_16 | Rev2b                            |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis     | Satisfied     | Page ?     | <u>Line</u> 45 <u>F</u> | ig/Table# | <u>Subclause</u> | 14.2.1.2.2                       |
| The assume       | d behavior of the N   | ICMS is not nor | mative to the | standard.  | The 2007 Style Ma       | nual says | that:            |                                  |

"Informative text shall be placed in notes (to text, tables, and

figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative."

#### Suggested Remedy

Either remove the text or move the text to a note, footnote, or an informative annex as appropriate.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The identified section is not informative, it is normative.

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commer</u>    | <u>t by:</u>             | Harry Bims         |                   |            | Membership Status | : Member   | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|---|
| <u>Comment #</u> | b12                      |                    | Document und      | er Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8    |            | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                   |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Editorial    | Part of Dis        | Satisfied         | Page ?     | <u>Line</u> 13    | -ig/Table# | <u>Subclause</u> 14.2.1.2.3             |
| I understand     | that this is information | ative text. In the | at light, the 200 | 07 Style M | lanual says that: |            |   |

"Informative text shall be placed in notes (to text, tables, and

figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative."

### Suggested Remedy

This informative sentence should be placed in a note, a footnote, or an informative annex as appropriate.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The identified section is not informative, it is normative.

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u>   | t by:                 | Harry Bims         |                 |           | Membership Statu  | <u>s:</u> Member | Date:                | 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | b13                   |                    | Document under  | r Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8    |                  | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2 | b                          |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis        | Satisfied       | Page ?    | <u>Line</u> 32    | Fig/Table#       | Subclause 14.2       | .1.2.3                     |
| Lunderstand      | that this is informa  | tive text. In that | tlight the 2007 | 7 Stula N | lanual cave that: |                  |                      |                            |

I understand that this is informative text. In that light, the 2007 Style Manual says that:

"Informative text shall be placed in notes (to text, tables, and

figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative."

#### Suggested Remedy

This informative sentence should be placed in a note, a footnote, or an informative annex as appropriate.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The identified section is not informative, it is normative.

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u> | by:                   | Harry Bims        |                |                   | <u>Membership Statu</u> | s: Member  |                  | Date: 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Comment #      | b14                   |                   | Document under | <u>Review:</u> P8 | 02.16Rev2/D8            |            | Ballot ID: sb_16 | Rev2b                            |
| <u>Comment</u> | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis       | atisfied       | Page ?            | Line 53                 | Fig/Table# | <u>Subclause</u> | 14.2.3.1.1                       |
| The behavior   | of the NCMS is no     | t normative to th | ne standard. T | The 2007 St       | yle Manual say          | /s that:   |                  |                                  |
| "Informative t | ext shall be placed   | in notes (to text | t, tables, and |                   |                         |            |                  |                                  |

figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative."

#### Suggested Remedy

Either rewrite the text or move the text to a note, footnote, or an informative annex as appropriate.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The identified section is not informative, it is normative.

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u> | by:                   | Harry Bims                       |                | Membership Statu | <u>s:</u> Member | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|---|
| Comment #      | b15                   | Document und                     | ler Review: P8 | 02.16Rev2/D8     |                  | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                   |
| <u>Comment</u> | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis Satisfied            | Page ?         | <u>Line</u> 5    | Fig/Table#       | <u>Subclause</u> 14.2.5.2.3.3           |
| The behavior   | of the NCMS is no     | ot normative to the standard.    | The 2007 S     | tyle Manual say  | vs that:         |   |
| "Informative t | ext shall be placed   | l in notes (to text, tables, and | b              |                  |                  |   |

figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative."

#### Suggested Remedy

Either rewrite the text or move the text to a note, footnote, or an informative annex as appropriate.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The identified section is not informative, it is normative.

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commer</u>    | <u>t by:</u>          | Harry Bims         |                  |            | Membership Status | S: Member         | <u>1</u>          | Date: 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | b16                   |                    | Document und     | er Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8    |                   | Ballot ID: sb_16R | lev2b                            |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis        | Satisfied        | Page ?     | Line 2            | <u>Fig/Table#</u> | <u>Subclause</u>  | 14.2.5.2.3.3                     |
| I understand     | that this is informa  | itive text. In tha | t light, the 200 | 7 Style N  | lanual says that: |                   |                   |                                  |

"Informative text shall be placed in notes (to text, tables, and

figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative."

#### Suggested Remedy

This informative sentence should be placed in a note, a footnote, or an informative annex as appropriate.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The identified section is not informative, it is normative.

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comment          | <u>t by:</u>          | Harry Bims        |                  |            | <u>Membership Statı</u> | <u>is:</u> Member | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|
| <u>Comment #</u> | b17                   |                   | Document unde    | er Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8          |                   | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                   |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis       | Satisfied        | Page ?     | <u>Line</u> 11          | Fig/Table#        | <u>Subclause</u> 14.2.10.3.3.1          |
|                  | of the NCMS is no     |                   |                  |            | 7 Style Manual sag      | ys that:          |   |
| "Informative t   | ext shall be placed   | l in notes (to te | ext, tables, and |            |                         |                   |   |

figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative."

#### Suggested Remedy

Either rewrite the text or move the text to a note, footnote, or an informative annex as appropriate.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The identified section is not informative, it is normative.

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment by:</u>  | Harry Bims                            |                           |           | Membership Sta | tus: Member |                  | Date: 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST        |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|------------------|---|
| Comment # b18   |                                       | Document unde             | r Review: | P802.16Rev2/D  | 8           | Ballot ID: sb_16 | Rev2b                                   |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> E<br>The grammar can be                          | ditorial <u>Part of Dis</u>           | Satisfied                 | Page ?    | <u>Line</u> 53 | Fig/Table#  | <u>Subclause</u> | 14.2.11.1                               |
| <u>Suggested Remedy</u><br>Modify the sentence to<br>"The parameters of the | o read:<br>is primitive are as follow | 's:"                      |           |                |             |                  |   |
| <u>GroupResolution</u>  | Decision o                            | o <u>f Group:</u> Disagre | е         |                |             |                  |   |
| <u>Reason for Group's Decisi</u>  | on/Resolution                         |                           |           |                |             |                  |   |
| Group's Notes   |                                       |                           |           |                |             |                  |   |
| Editor's Notes  | Editor's Actions                      | b) none needed            |           |                |             |                  |   |
| 2009/09/30  |                                       |                           |           |                |             | IEEE 8           | 302.16-08/064r3                         |
| <u>Comment by:</u>  | Harry Bims                            |                           |           | Membership Sta | tus: Member |                  | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
| Comment # b19   |                                       | Document unde             | r Review: | P802.16Rev2/D  | 8           | Ballot ID: sb_16 |   |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u>  | ditorial <u>Part of Dis</u>           | Satisfied                 | Page ?    | <u>Line</u> 26 | Fig/Table#  | <u>Subclause</u> | 14.2.11.2                               |
| The grammar can be  | improved                              |                           |           |                |             |                  |   |
| <u>Suggested Remedy</u><br>Modify the sentence to<br>"The parameters of the | o read:<br>is primitive are as follow | 's:"                      |           |                |             |                  |   |
| <u>GroupResolution</u>  | Decision o                            | o <u>f Group:</u> Disagre | e         |                |             |                  |   |
| Reason for Group's Decisi   | on/Resolution                         |                           |           |                |             |                  |   |
| Group's Notes   |                                       |                           |           |                |             |                  |   |
| Editor's Notes  | Editor's Actions                      | b) none needed            |           |                |             |                  |   |

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commen</u>    | <u>t by:</u>          | Harry Bims         |                |              | <u>Membership Statu</u> | <u>s:</u> Member | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|------------------|---|
| <u>Comment #</u> | b20                   | Ì                  | Document under | r Review: P8 | 302.16Rev2/D8           |                  | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                   |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis        | tisfied        | Page ?       | <u>Line</u> 10          | Fig/Table#       | <u>Subclause</u> 14.2.11.1              |
| The behavior     | of the NCMS is no     | ot normative to th | ne standard. 7 | The 2007 S   | tyle Manual say         | /s that:         |   |
| "Informative     | text shall be placed  | in notes (to text  | tables, and    |              |                         |                  |   |

figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative."

#### Suggested Remedy

Either rewrite the text or move the text to a note, footnote, or an informative annex as appropriate.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The identified section is not informative, it is normative.

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u> | <u>: by:</u>          | Harry Bims                 |                   | Membership Status  | S: Member         | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---|
| Comment #      | b21                   | Docume                     | ent under Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8     |                   | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                   |
| <u>Comment</u> | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis Satisfied      | Page ?            | <u>Line</u> 14     | <u>Fig/Table#</u> | <u>Subclause</u> 14.2.11.1              |
| The behavior   | of the NCMS is no     | ot normative to the star   | ndard. The 2007   | ' Style Manual say | s that:           |   |
| "Informative t | ext shall be placed   | l in notes (to text, table | es, and           |                    |                   |   |

figures), in footnotes within text, and in informative annexes. Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative."

#### Suggested Remedy

Either rewrite the text or move the text to a note, footnote, or an informative annex as appropriate.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The identified section is not informative, it is normative.

Group's Notes

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u> | t by:                 | Harry Bims  |               |                | <u>Membership Status</u> | : Member   | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------|------------|---|
| Comment # b22  |                       | <u> </u>    | Document unde | r Review: P8   | 02.16Rev2/D8             |            | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                   |
| Comment        | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis | atisfied      | <u>Page</u> 83 | <u>Line</u> 18           | Fig/Table# | Subclause 6.3.2.3.1                     |

The Downlink Burst Profile is a TLV type that is included within the DCD Channel Encodings (see Sec. 11.4.1, Table 567). However, this sentence says that "All channel encodings (see 11.4.1) shall appear first before Downlink\_Burst\_Profile encodings". This is a contradiction. You can't have "all channel encodings" appear before Downlink\_Burst\_Profile encodings, if the latter is part of the channel encodings. My guess is that what was intended is for all other encodings to appear before the Downlink\_Burst\_Profile encodings.

#### Suggested Remedy

Change "All channel encodings" to "All other channel encodings"

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

All channel encodings (see 11.4.1) shall appear first before the Downlink\_Burst\_Profile encodings<insert>.</insert>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

# IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment by:</u>                  | Harry Bims                     | <u>Membership St</u>         | atus: Member        | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Comment # b23                       | Document u                     | Inder Review: P802.16Rev2/E  | D8 Ballo            | t ID: sb_16Rev2b                        |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editoria | Part of Dis Satisfied          | Page ? Line 3                | Fig/Table#          | Subclause 11.4.1                        |
| Each entry in Table 574 is a        | a channel encoding. The text s | hould use the plural form 'e | ncodings' when refe | erring to the table.                    |
| Suggested Remedy                    |                                |                              |                     |   |
| Change "DCD Channel End             | coding" to "DCD Channel Enco   | odings"                      |                     |   |
| GroupResolution                     | Decision of Group: Agr         | ee                           |                     |   |
|                                     |                                |                              |                     |   |
| Reason for Group's Decision/Reso    | <u>ilution</u>                 |                              |                     |   |
| Group's Notes                       |                                |                              |                     |   |
| Editor's Notes                      | Editor's Actions a) done       |                              |                     |   |
| 2009/09/30                          |                                |                              |                     | IEEE 802.16-08/064r3                    |
| Comment by:                         | Harry Bims                     | <u>Membership St</u>         | atus: Member        | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
| Comment # b24                       | Document u                     | Inder Review: P802.16Rev2/E  | D8 Ballo            | tID: sb_16Rev2b                         |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editoria | Part of Dis Satisfied          | Page? Line 13                | Fig/Table#          | Subclause 11.4.1                        |
| Each entry in Table 574 is a        | a channel encoding. The text s | hould use the plural form 'e | ncodings' when refe | erring to the table.                    |
| Suggested Remedy                    |                                |                              |                     |   |
| Change "DCD Channel End             | coding" to "DCD Channel Enco   | odings"                      |                     |   |
| GroupResolution                     | Decision of Group: Agr         | ee                           |                     |   |
| Passon for Group's Desision (Des    | slution                        |                              |                     |   |
| Reason for Group's Decision/Reso    | <u>nution</u>                  |                              |                     |   |
| Group's Notes                       |                                |                              |                     |   |
| Editor's Notes                      | Editor's Actions a) done       |                              |                     |   |

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u> | by:                   | Harry Bims  |               |                 | Membership Statu | s: Member  | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|------------|---|
| Comment #      | 25                    |             | Document unde | r Review: P8    | 02.16Rev2/D8     |            | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                   |
| Comment        | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of Dis | Satisfied     | <u>Page</u> 746 | <u>Line</u> 32   | Fig/Table# | <u>Subclause</u> 8.4.5.3.5              |

The text describing the channel number as "set to zero for licensed bands" is problematic.

In 8.5.1, it says that the channel number "provides an 8-bit unique numbering system for all channels, with 5 MHz spacing, from 5 GHz to 6 GHz. For unlicensed bands outside of the 5 GHz to 6 GHz band, the channel number is ambiguous.

#### Suggested Remedy

Text should be "Set to zero for bands outside the 5GHz to 6GHz band."

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

In subclauses 8.3.6.2.3 and 8.4.5.3.5, change text to

"Set to zero for bands outside the 5GHz to 6GHz band and licensed bands within the 5GHz to 6GHz band."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

# IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u>         | by:                   | Harry Bims        |                         | <u> </u>        | Membership Statu        | <u>s:</u> Member |                  | Date: 16-Dec-2008                |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Comment #              | 26                    |                   | Document unde           | r Review: P8    | )2.16Rev2/D8            |                  | Ballot ID: sb_16 | Rev2b                            |
| <u>Comment</u>         | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis       | Satisfied               | <u>Page</u> 683 | <u>Line</u> 41          | Fig/Table#       | <u>Subclause</u> | 8.3.6.7.2                        |
| Туро                   |                       |                   |                         |                 |                         |                  |                  |                                  |
| Suggested Remed        | ¥                     |                   |                         |                 |                         |                  |                  |                                  |
| Change "The l          | JCS count" to "T      | he UCD count"     |                         |                 |                         |                  |                  |                                  |
| <u>GroupResolution</u> |                       | Decision o        | <u>f Group:</u> Agree   |                 |                         |                  |                  |                                  |
|                        |                       |                   |                         |                 |                         |                  |                  |                                  |
| Reason for Group       | s Decision/Resolutio  | <u>on</u>         |                         |                 |                         |                  |                  |                                  |
| Group's Notes          |                       |                   |                         |                 |                         |                  |                  |                                  |
| Editor's Notes         | <u>E</u>              | ditor's Actions   | a) done                 |                 |                         |                  |                  |                                  |
| 2009/09/30             |                       |                   |                         |                 |                         |                  | IEEE 8           | 302.16-08/064r3                  |
| <u>Comment</u>         | by:                   | Harry Bims        |                         | <u> </u>        | <u>Membership Statu</u> | s: Member        |                  | Date: 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
| Comment #              | 27                    |                   | Document unde           | r Review: P8    | )2.16Rev2/D8            |                  | Ballot ID: sb_16 |                                  |
| <u>Comment</u>         | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis       | Satisfied               | <u>Page</u> 746 | <u>Line</u> 10          | Fig/Table#       | <u>Subclause</u> | 8.4.5.3.4                        |
| Should explain         | why the IE shou       | Ild not be used   | in SUB-DL-UL            | -MAP.           |                         |                  |                  |                                  |
| Suggested Remed        | Ϋ́                    |                   |                         |                 |                         |                  |                  |                                  |
| Please state th        | e reason for the      | sentence to cla   | arify for the read      | der the purp    | ose.                    |                  |                  |                                  |
| <u>GroupResolution</u> |                       | <u>Decision o</u> | <u>f Group:</u> Disagre | e               |                         |                  |                  |                                  |
| Reason for Group       | s Decision/Resolution | <u>on</u>         |                         |                 |                         |                  |                  |                                  |
| no specific rem        | nedy                  |                   |                         |                 |                         |                  |                  |                                  |
| Group's Notes          |                       |                   |                         |                 |                         |                  |                  |                                  |
| Editor's Notes         | E                     | ditor's Actions   | o) none needed          |                 |                         |                  |                  |                                  |

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comment by:   | Harry Bims                                       |                  | Membership Statu        | s: Member  |                  | Date: 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |  |  |  |
|---|--|------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Comment # b28   | Document   | under Review: P8 | 02.16Rev2/D8            |            | Ballot ID: sb_16 |                                  |  |  |  |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editorial<br>Should explain why the IE sh  | Part of Dis Satisfied ould not be used in SUB-DL | -                | Line 7                  | Fig/Table# | <u>Subclause</u> | 8.4.5.4.7                        |  |  |  |
| <u>Suggested Remedy</u><br>Please state the reason for th   | ne sentence to clarify for the                   | reader the purp  | oose.                   |            |                  |                                  |  |  |  |
| <u>GroupResolution</u>  | Decision of Group: Dis                           | sagree           |                         |            |                  |                                  |  |  |  |
| Reason for Group's Decision/Resolu<br>no specific remedy  | <u>ition</u>                                     |                  |                         |            |                  |                                  |  |  |  |
| Group's Notes   |  |                  |                         |            |                  |                                  |  |  |  |
| Editor's Notes  | Editor's Actions b) none needed                  | d                |                         |            |                  |                                  |  |  |  |
| 2009/09/30  |  |                  |                         |            | IEEE 8           | 302.16-08/064r3                  |  |  |  |
| <u>Comment by:</u>  | Harry Bims                                       |                  | <u>Membership Statu</u> | s: Member  |                  | Date: 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |  |  |  |
| Comment # b29   | Document   | under Review: P8 | 02.16Rev2/D8            |            | Ballot ID: sb_16 | Rev2b                            |  |  |  |
| CommentTypeEditorialClarify the sentence.   | Part of Dis Satisfied                            | <u>Page</u> 2    | <u>Line</u> 32          | Fig/Table# | <u>Subclause</u> | 1.3.3                            |  |  |  |
| <u>Suggested Remedy</u><br>Change "individual assignments to operators" to "individual assignments of frequency bands to operators" |  |                  |                         |            |                  |                                  |  |  |  |
| <u>GroupResolution</u>  | Decision of Group: Ag                            | Iree             |                         |            |                  |                                  |  |  |  |
| Reason for Group's Decision/Resolu  | <u>ition</u>                                     |                  |                         |            |                  |                                  |  |  |  |
| Group's Notes   |  |                  |                         |            |                  |                                  |  |  |  |
| Editor's Notes  | Editor's Actions a) done                         |                  |                         |            |                  |                                  |  |  |  |

## IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>by:</u>            | Harry Bims            |                | Membership Status: | Member    | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|---|
| <u>Comment #</u> | b30                   | Document u            | nder Review:   | P802.16Rev2/D8     |           | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                   |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis Satisfied | <u>Page</u> 46 | Line 31 <u>F</u>   | ig/Table# | Subclause 6.3.1.1                       |

This paragraph seems to be too long.

### Suggested Remedy

Create a new paragraph after the sentence ending with "between an SS and the BS." at line 36.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Suggested change does not add to the clarity of the document.

#### Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment by:</u>   | Harry Bims                                       | Membership Status: Member              | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
|--|--|--|---|
| Comment # b31  | Document under Rev                               | <u>iew:</u> P802.16Rev2/D8             | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                   |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical<br>According to the Style Manual<br>"The use of the word must is<br>deprecated and shall not be u |  |  | Subclause L.2                           |
| Suggested Remedy<br>Change "must" to "shall"   |  |  |   |
| <u>GroupResolution</u>   | Decision of Group: Disagree                      |  |   |
| Reason for Group's Decision/Resolut<br>No instance of 'must' at the ind<br>Group's Notes   | <u>ion</u><br>dicated page and line number. No S | Subclause L.2 in the document.         |   |
| Editor's Notes   | Editor's Actions a) done                         |  |   |
| 2009/09/30   |  |  | IEEE 802.16-08/064r3                    |
| <u>Comment by:</u>   | Harry Bims                                       | Membership Status: Member              | <u>Date:</u> 16-Dec-2008<br>4:53:44 EST |
| Comment # b32  | Document under Rev                               | iew: P802.16Rev2/D8                    | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                   |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editorial<br>fix typo   | Part of Dis Satisfied Pag                        | <u>e 699 Line</u> 18 <u>Fig/Table#</u> | Subclause 8.2.9.2                       |
| <u>Suggested Remedy</u><br>Change "-40dBm" to "-40 dBn   | ר"   |  |   |
| <u>GroupResolution</u>   | Decision of Group: Agree                         |  |   |
| <u>Reason for Group's Decision/Resolut</u>   | <u>ion</u>                                       |  |   |
|  | Editor's Actions b) none needed                  |  |   |
| Eartor 5 Hotos   | Eartor o Actions of home needed                  |  |   |

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u>   | by:                   | Roger Marks |               |           | <u>Membership Statu</u> | <u>s:</u> Member | <mark>18 Date:</mark><br>0: | 3-Dec-2008 13<br>42 EST |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | b33                   |             | Document unde | r Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8          |                  | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b       |                         |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of Dis | Satisfied     | Page ?    | <u>Line</u> 18          | Fig/Table#       | Subclause 13.1.1            |                         |

The text of 13.1.1 is grammatically incorrect and ambiguous. Also, it's neither appropriate nor useful to refer to a standard that will become obsolete.

#### Suggested Remedy

Modify as follows, deleting text from <delete> to </delete>.

The MIB module WMAN-IF-MIB (wmanIfMib) <delete>was documented in the amendment IEEE 802.16f-2005. It</delete> is <delete>not documented here as</delete> obsolete and not compatible with this <delete>revision of the </delete> standard. In other words, change the text to:

The MIB module WMAN-IF-MIB (wmanIfMib) is obsolete and not compatible with this standard.

#### GroupResolution

### Decision of Group: Principle

Modify as follows, inserting text from <insert> to </insert>, and deleting text from <delete> to </delete>:

The MIB module WMAN-IF-MIB (wmanIfMib)<insert>.<u>originally</u></insert><delete><del>was</del></delete>documented in the amendment IEEE 802.16f-2005<insert>.<.insert><delete>..lt</delete>is <delete>not documented here as</delete>obsolete and not compatible with this <delete>revision of the </delete> standard.

In other words, change the text to:

The MIB module WMAN-IF-MIB (wmanIfMib), originally documented in the amendment IEEE 802.16f-2004, is obsolete and not compatible with this standard.

### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

## IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u> | by:         |               | Roger      | Marks     |               |             | <u>Membership</u> | <u>Status:</u> M | lember       | <u>Da</u>            | ate: 18-Dec-2008<br>13:11:53 EST |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Comment #      | b34         |               |            |           | Document un   | der Review: | P802.16Rev2       | 2/D8             | Ballo        | <u>t ID:</u> sb_16Re | v2b                              |
| <u>Comment</u> | <u>Type</u> | Technical     | Part of    |           | Satisfied     | Page ?      | <u>Line</u> 43    | <u>Fig/Ta</u>    | able#        | <u>Subclause</u> 12  | 2.6                              |
| Comment 826    | 6 from      | the initial b | allot is s | still not | completely re | esolved. T  | hough marked      | "Principle       | e", no chang | e was made, a        | and the issue                    |

#### Suggested Remedy

remains.

Replace the content ("[To be determined.]") with an actual profile, or with a reference to an explicit, publicly-available external reference. In the latter case, add the reference to Clause 2.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Replace 12.6 as follows:

### 12.6 WirelessMAN-OFDMA TDD Release <delete>2</delete><insert>1.5 </insert>

WiMAX Forum Mobile System Profile Release 1 v1.8.0 WiMAX Forum Mobile System Profile Release 1.5 TDD v0.2.0

Add references to Clause 2 as follows:

WiMAX Forum(R) Mobile System Profile Release 1 v1.8.0 WiMAX Forum(R) Mobile System Profile Release 1.5 TDD v0.2.0

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Note: As of January 14, 2009, The working group understands that the referenced profile is available at the following URLs: WiMAX Forum Mobile System Profile Release 1 v1.8.0 : http://www.wimaxforum.org/webfm\_send/7 WiMAX Forum Mobile System Profile Release 1.5 TDD v0.2.0 : http://www.wimaxforum.org/webfm\_send/6

Group's Notes

1/12: defer

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

References have been corrected as follows:

12.6 WirelessMAN-OFDMA FDD Release <delete>2</delete><insert>1.5 </insert>

WiMAX Forum® Mobile System Profile Specification: Release 1.5 - Common Part WiMAX Forum® Mobile System Profile Specification: Release 1.5 - TDD Specific Part Add references to Clause 2 as follows:

WiMAX Forum® Mobile System Profile Specification: Release 1.5 - Common Part WiMAX Forum® Mobile System Profile Specification: Release 1.5 - TDD Specific Part

The relevant URLs are:

WiMAX Forum® Mobile System Profile Specification: Release 1.5 - Common Part : http://www.wimaxforum.org/webfm\_send/7 iMAX Forum® Mobile System Profile Specification: Release 1.5 - TDD Specific Part : http://www.wimaxforum.org/webfm\_send/6

## IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u> | by:         |               | Roger M     | larks     |               |             | <u>Membership S</u> | Status: Membe   | r <u>Date:</u> 18-Dec-2008<br>13:13:14 EST |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| Comment #      | b35         |               |             |           | Document un   | der Review: | P802.16Rev2         | /D8             | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                      |
| <u>Comment</u> | <u>Type</u> | Technical     | Part of I   | Dis 🛛     | Satisfied     | Page ?      | <u>Line</u> 47      | Fig/Table#      | <u>Subclause</u> 12.7                      |
| Comment 827    | 7 from      | the initial b | allot is st | ill not o | completely re | esolved. T  | hough marked '      | 'Principle", no | change was made, and the issue             |

#### Suggested Remedy

remains.

Replace the content ("[To be determined.]") with an actual profile, or with a reference to an explicit, publicly-available external reference. In the latter case, add the reference to Clause 2.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Replace 12.7 as follows:

### 12.7 WirelessMAN-OFDMA FDD Release <delete>2</delete><insert>1.5 </insert>

WiMAX Forum Mobile System Profile Release 1 v1.8.0 WiMAX Forum Mobile System Profile Release 1.5 FDD v0.2.0

Add references to Clause 2 as follows:

WiMAX Forum(R) Mobile System Profile Release 1 v1.8.0 WiMAX Forum(R) Mobile System Profile Release 1.5 FDD v0.2.0

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Note: As of January 14, 2009, The working group understands that the referenced profile is available at the following URLs: WiMAX Forum Mobile System Profile Release 1 v1.8.0 : http://www.wimaxforum.org/webfm\_send/7 WiMAX Forum Mobile System Profile Release 1.5 FDD v0.2.0 : http://www.wimaxforum.org/webfm\_send/5

#### Group's Notes

1/12: Defer

As of January 14, 2009, The working group understands that the referenced profile is available at the following URLs: WiMAX Forum Mobile System Profile v1.8.0 : http://www.wimaxforum.org/webfm\_send/7 WiMAX Forum Mobile System Profile Release 1.5 FDD v0.2.0 : http://www.wimaxforum.org/webfm\_send/5

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

References have been corrected as follows:

### 12.7 WirelessMAN-OFDMA FDD Release <delete>2</delete><insert>1.5 </insert>

WiMAX Forum® Mobile System Profile Specification: Release 1.5 - Common Part WiMAX Forum® Mobile System Profile Specification: Release 1.5 - FDD Specific Part

Add references to Clause 2 as follows:

WiMAX Forum® Mobile System Profile Specification: Release 1.5 - Common Part WiMAX Forum® Mobile System Profile Specification: Release 1.5 - FDD Specific Part

The relevant URLs are:

WiMAX Forum® Mobile System Profile Specification: Release 1.5 - Common Part : http://www.wimaxforum.org/webfm\_send/7 iMAX Forum® Mobile System Profile Specification: Release 1.5 - FDD Specific Part : http://www.wimaxforum.org/webfm\_send/5

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u> | <u>by:</u>     | Roger Marks |               |             | Membership Statu | <u>s:</u> Member | <u>Date:</u> 18-D<br>13:5 | Dec-2008<br>1:27 EST |
|----------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Comment #      | 036            |             | Document unde | r Review: P | 802.16Rev2/D8    |                  | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b     |                      |
| Comment        | Type Technical | Part of Dis | Satisfied     | Page ?      | <u>Line</u> 38   | Fig/Table#       | Subclause 12.5            |                      |

This comment is related to Comment 825 of the initial ballot.

Clause 12.5 refer to a WiMAX Forum Mobile System Profile; the normative reference is detailed in Clause 2.

Note, however, that:

(1) IEEE Std 802.16 is included in Rec. ITU-R M.1457 (the ITU's IMT-2000 standard)

(2) M.1457 refers to a specific profile that is derived from the WiMAX Forum Mobile System Profile but differs from it slightly.

Therefore, it would be more appropriate to point to the specific profile that is in use in M.1457.

Note also that, in accordance with document IEEE L802.16-08/071r1 (as approved by the IEEE 802 Executive Commitee), IEEE will be proposing that ITU-R update its reference to IEEE Std 802.16 in the next revision of M.1457 (M.1457-9), and will be proposing that ITU-R also update the documentation of the profile according to the request of the WiMAX Forum. Therefore, it would be most appropriate that IEEE Std 802.16 reference the updated profile document as prepared by the WiMAX Forum.

### Suggested Remedy

Modify Clause 12.5, and the reference in Clause 2 to the WiMAX Forum Mobile System Profile, to reflect the title and content of the document prepared by the WiMAX Forum for reference in Rec. ITU-R M.1457-9.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Replace 12.5 as follows:

## 12.5 WirelessMAN-OFDMA TDD Release 1<delete>.0</delete>

This profile is specified in WiMAX Forum(R) Mobile System Profile Release 1 - IMT-2000 Edition

Update reference as follows:

## 2 References

### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Note: As of January 14, 2009, The working group understands that the referenced profile is available at the following URL: http://www.wimaxforum.org/webfm\_send/9.

### Group's Notes

## 1/12: Deferred

As of January 14, 2009, The working group understands that the referenced profile is available at the following URL: http://www.wimaxforum.org/webfm\_send/9.

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

Scott: the (R) symbol means you should insert the registration symbol (i.e., ®)

# 2009/09/30

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>by:</u>            | Phillip       | Barber |               |                | Membership Statu | <u>s:</u> Member | <u>Date:</u> 18-Dec-2008<br>16:15: 3 EST | ነ<br>Γ |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------|---------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|--|--------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | b37                   |               |        | Document unde | er Review: P8  | 302.16Rev2/D8    |                  | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                    |        |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Technical | <u>Part o</u> | of Dis | Satisfied     | <u>Page</u> 91 | Line 8           | Fig/Table#       | Subclause 6.3.2.3.5                      |        |

I am dissatisfied with the Editorial application of the approved remedy of my comments A86&A87. The Editor missed some elements of the approved remedy.

### Suggested Remedy

On page 91, delete lines 8-15:

[begin delete]The following TLV parameter shall be included in the RNG-REQ message when the MS is attempting to perform location update due to MBS update:

MBS update Indicates the MS is currently attempting to perform location update due to a need to update service

flow management encodings for MBS flows.[end delete]

On page 99, delete lines 32-35:

The target BS recognizes an MS performing location update for MBS update by the presence of a Paging Controller ID and Ranging Purpose Indication with Bit#4 set to 1 in the RNG-REQ

message. [begin delete]The target BS recognizes an MS performing location update for MBS zone update by the presence of a paging controller ID, ranging purpose indication with Bit#1 set to 1, and an MBS update in the RNGREQ.[end delete]

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

## IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment by</u>       | -               | Phillip Barber  |                | 1              | <u>Membership Statu</u> | <u>s:</u> Member | <u>Date:</u> 18-Dec-2008<br>16:16:34 EST |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| Comment # b3            | 3               |                 | Document under | Review: P8     | 02.16Rev2/D8            |                  | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                    |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>T</u> | vpe Technical   | Part of Dis     | Satisfied      | <u>Page</u> 16 | <u>Line</u> 26          | Fig/Table#       | Subclause 3.42                           |
| I am dissatisfied       | with the resolu | ution of commer | nt A20002.     |                |                         |                  |  |

#### Suggested Remedy

On page 16, line 26, modify as: 3.42 [begin insert]OFDMA STC [end insert]layer:

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

[On page 16, line 26, modify as:]

3.42 **STC layer**: OFDMA Space Time Coding information-flow fed to the STC encoder as an input. The number of STC layers in a system with vertical encoding is one, while in horizontal encoding, it depends on the number of encoding/modulation paths. This term may be used interchangeably with the word *layer* when used in the context of OFDMA STC.

[and move to proper place to preserve alphabetical order; and renumber the list]

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Duplicate of B16

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

Implemented B16

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u>   | by:                   | Phillip Barber |                   | <u>M</u> (    | embership Status        | Member    | <u>Date:</u> 18-Dec-2008<br>16:17:35 EST |
|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-----------|--|
| <u>Comment #</u> | b39                   |                | Document under Re | eview: P802   | 2.16Rev2/D8             |           | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                    |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of Dis    | Satisfied Pa      | <u>age</u> 19 | <u>_ine</u> 20 <u>F</u> | ig/Table# | Subclause 3.77                           |

I am dissatisfied with the resolution of comment A20003.

'stream' is is entirely too generic a term to give the singular definition in Clause 3 of the use associated with STC coding in OFDMA. We just cannot create that single definition. It completely conflicts with the meaning of over 130 other instances of use of 'stream' in the document.

### Suggested Remedy

On page 19, line 20, modify as: 3.77 [begin insert]OFDMA STC [end insert]stream:

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

[On page 19, line 20, modify as:]

3.77 **STC stream**: OFDMA Space Time Coding information path encoded by the STC encoder that is passed to subcarrier mapping and sent through one antenna, or passed on to the beamformer. The number of STC streams in both vertical and horizontal encoding systems is the same as the number of output paths of the STC encoder. This term may be used interchangeably with the word *stream* when used in the context of OFDMA STC

[and move to proper place to preserve alphabetical order; and renumber the list]

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Ac

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u> | by:                   | Phillip E | Barber        |                | Membership Status | : Member   | <u>Date:</u> 18-Dec-2008<br>16:18:29 EST |
|----------------|-----------------------|-----------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|------------|--|
| Comment #      | b40                   |           | Document und  | er Review: P8  | 302.16Rev2/D8     |            | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                    |
| <u>Comment</u> | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of   | Dis Satisfied | <u>Page</u> 16 | <u>Line</u> 26    | Fig/Table# | Subclause 3.42                           |

I am dissatisfied with the resolution of comment A20002.

'layer' is entirely too generic a term to give the singular definition in Clause 3 of the use associated with STC coding in OFDMA. We just cannot create that single definition. It completely conflicts with the meaning of over 440 other instances of use of 'layer' in the document.

### Suggested Remedy

On page 16, line 26, modify as: 3.42 [begin insert]OFDMA STC [end insert]layer:

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

[On page 16, line 26, modify as:]

3.42 **STC layer**: OFDMA Space Time Coding information-flow fed to the STC encoder as an input. The number of STC layers in a system with vertical encoding is one, while in horizontal encoding, it depends on the number of encoding/modulation paths. This term may be used interchangeably with the word *layer* when used in the context of OFDMA STC.

[and move to proper place to preserve alphabetical order; and renumber the list]

a) done

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions

# IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comment by:   | Phillip Barber   | <u>Membership S</u>                         | tatus: Member       | <u>Date:</u> 18-Dec-2008<br>16:21: 8 FST |     |
|---|--|---|---------------------|--|-----|
| Comment # b41   | Document   | under Review: P802.16Rev2/                  | D8 <u>Ba</u>        | allot ID: sb_16Rev2b                     |     |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editoria<br>The new section '6.3.27 Em | al <u>Part of Dis</u> <u>Satisfied</u><br>hergency Service' has numero | Page 496 Line 51<br>ous grammatical errors. | <u>Fig/Table#</u>   | Subclause 6.3.27                         |     |
| <u>Suggested Remedy</u><br>Correct the grammar in the             | section.   |   |                     |  |     |
| <u>GroupResolution</u>  | Decision of Group: Pr  | inciple                                     |                     |  |     |
| Adopt C802.16maint-09/000   | 03r2   |   |                     |  |     |
| Reason for Group's Decision/Reso                                  | <u>plution</u>   |   |                     |  |     |
| Group's Notes   |  |   |                     |  |     |
| Editor's Notes  | Editor's Actions a) done   |   |                     |  |     |
| 2009/09/30  |  |   |                     | IEEE 802.16-08/064r                      | ·3  |
| Comment by:   | Phillip Barber   | <u>Membership S</u>                         | itatus: Member      | <u>Date:</u> 18-Dec-2008<br>16:30:35 EST | -   |
| Comment # b42   | Document   | under Review: P802.16Rev2/                  | 'D8 <u>Ba</u>       | allot ID: sb_16Rev2b                     |     |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editoria                               | Part of Dis Satisfied  | Page 21 Line 1                              | Fig/Table#          | <u>Subclause</u> 4                       |     |
| The acronym MCID occurs<br>Add MCID to the list of acro           |  | nt, yet we have no identificat              | tion of what the ac | cronym stands for, nor a definition      | on. |
| Suggested Remedy  |  |   |                     |  |     |
| Insert the following acronyn [begin insert]MCID multicas          | n entry into Clause 4:<br>It CID (see Table 557)[end ins               | sert]                                       |                     |  |     |
| <u>GroupResolution</u>  | Decision of Group: Ag  | jree  |                     |  |     |
| Reason for Group's Decision/Reso                                  | <u>olution</u>   |   |                     |  |     |
| Group's Notes   |  |   |                     |  |     |
| Editor's Notes  | Editor's Actions a) done   |   |                     |  |     |

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commen</u>  | <u>t by:</u>   | Phillip Barber                     |              |                | Membership Statu | <u>is:</u> Member |                  | Date: 18-Dec-2008<br>16:34:58 EST |
|--|--|------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u>   | b43  |                                    | Document und | er Review: P8  | 02.16Rev2/D8     |                   | Ballot ID: sb_16 | Rev2b                             |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Technical  | Part of Dis                        | Satisfied    | <u>Page</u> 65 | <u>Line</u> 32   | Fig/Table#        | <u>Subclause</u> | 6.3.2.1.2.2.1                     |
| However, in  <br>00: -3 dB;<br>01: -1dB<br>10: +1 dB<br>11: +3 dB"<br>They contrad | n the description o<br>bage 859 (Lines 16<br>lict with each other<br>alf of Peretz Feder | 6∼23), it is spe<br><sup>r</sup> . |              |                |                  |                   |                  | s +2, +1, -1, -2 dB".             |
| Suggested Rem  | edv  |                                    |              |                |                  |                   |                  |                                   |

Select one out of the following two options. Option-1: In page 65, Lines 65~66, change the step from "+2, +1, -1, -2" to "+3, +1, -1, -3" Option-2: In page 859, Lines 16~23, change the step from "+3, +1, -1, -3" to "+2, +1, -1, -2"

**GroupResolution** 

Decision of Group: Disagree

### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The 2 bit differential CINR in Table 17 is for the feedback mechanism based on Feedback header type 1101. The 2 bit differntial CQI in page 859 is for the 18 bit CQICH design. Thus, there is no confusion.

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u> | by:                   | Phillip | Barber |               |            | <u>Membership Statu</u> | <u>s:</u> Member | <u>Date:</u> 18-Dec-2008<br>16:36:41 EST |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------|--------|---------------|------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| Comment #      | b44                   |         |        | Document unde | er Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8          |                  | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                    |
| <u>Comment</u> | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of | of Dis | Satisfied     | Page ?     | <u>Line</u> 26          | Fig/Table#       | Subclause 8.4.8.3.1.2.1                  |

Two different data mappings are specified for Matrix A with AMC permutation. One is given in Figure 274, specific to resource allocation of one sub-channel. The other is given in Figure 275, specific to resource allocation of two sub-channels.

It is not clear which mapping will be used for implementation. Additionally, it leads to ambiguity when the allocated resource contains e.g. three sub-channels.

Filed on behalf of Peretz Feder.

### Suggested Remedy

Since the minimum allocation unit is one sub-channel in the frequency domain, it is recommended to remain the data mapping specified in Figure 274, and change the data mapping in Figure 275 to match the mapping in Figure 274 (or remove Figure 275 and related statement for simplicity).

| <u>GroupResolution</u> | Decision of Group: | Principle |
|------------------------|--------------------|-----------|
|                        |                    |           |

Adopt contribution C802.16maint-08/357

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

| 2009/09/30   |  |   |            | IEEE 802.16-08/064r3                            |
|--|--|---|------------|---|
| Comment by:  | Phillip Barber   | <u>Membership Status:</u>                                   | Member     | <u>Date:</u> 18-Dec-2008<br>16:37:39 EST        |
| Comment # b45  | Document under Revi  | ew: P802.16Rev2/D8  | Ballot ID: | sb_16Rev2b                                      |
| In many places such as 6.3.17,<br>Additionally, TWG decided to s<br>AMC operation using REP-RSF  | Part of Dis Satisfied Page<br>11.11, and 11.12, physical CINR of<br>upport the Release 1.5 feature as<br>based on CINR measurement on<br>pability on midamble is not address | an be measured from m<br>O-3 and IOMS-3 in FDD<br>Midamble" | nidamble.  | ubclause 11.8.3.5.8<br>ggering: Triggering MIMO |
| Suggested Remedy<br>Increase the length of TLV 160<br>Bit 8: Physical CINR measurem<br>Bit 9: Effective CINR measuren<br>Bit 10~15: Reserved | nent from the midamble   |   |            |   |
| <u>GroupResolution</u>   | Decision of Group: Disagree  |   |            |   |
| Adopt C802.16maint-09/0013r1   |  |   |            |   |
| Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution   | <u>on</u>  |   |            |   |
| Effective CINR for midamble is   | not specified in the standard.   |   |            |   |

Group's Notes

1/13 defer Result of the vote to call question: 3 in favor, 1 opposed Result of vote to adopt C802.16maint-09/0013r1: 1 in favor, 2 opposed, 2 abstentions

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comment          | <u>by:</u>  | Phillip Barber |              |             | <u>Membership Status</u> | E Member   | <u>Date:</u> 18-Dec-2008<br>16:39:27 EST |
|------------------|---|----------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------------|------------|--|
| <u>Comment #</u> | b46   |                | Document und | ler Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8           |            | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                    |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Technical   | Part of Dis    | Satisfied    | Page ?      | <u>Line</u> 38           | Fig/Table# | <u>Subclause</u> 11.8.3.5.12             |
| capability is r  | d to support the Re<br>not addressed at a<br>f of Peretz Feder. |                |              | inding in T | DD as IO-4 and IC        | )MS-4. How | vever, the multi-antenna sounding        |
| Suggested Remo   | edy   |                |              |             |                          |            |  |
|                  | 74 as follows:  |                |              |             |                          |            |  |
| [begin delete]   | Bits 11~15: Rese  | rved[end delet | e]           |             |                          |            |  |

[begin insert]Bit 11 : Multi-antenna sounding capability (MS sounds all the transmit antennas) Bit 12 : Multi-antenna sounding capability (MS alternates the transmit antennas for sounding) Bits 13~15: Reserved[end insert]

| GroupResolution                        | Decision of Group:                     | Out of Scope |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution | Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution |              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group's Notes                          |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Editor's Notes Edito                   | r's Actions b) none ne                 | odod         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Editor s Notes Edito                   | r's Actions b) none ne                 | eueu         |  |  |  |  |  |  |

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comment by:   | Phillip Barber             | Membership Status:                      | Member       | <u>Date:</u> 18-Dec-2008<br>16:40:50 EST |
|---|----------------------------|---|--------------|--|
| Comment # b47   | Document under             | er Review: P802.16Rev2/D8               | Ballot ID:   | sb_16Rev2b                               |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical  | Part of Dis Satisfied      | <u>Page</u> 263 <u>Line</u> 64 <u>F</u> | ig/Table# Si | ubclause 6.3.2.3.55                      |
| Maximum length value "735" s<br>stuffing byte bit sequence 0xff,<br>Filed on behalf of Mark Doll. |                            | •                                       |              |  |
| Suggested Remedy<br>change "735" to "991"   |                            |   |              |  |
| <u>GroupResolution</u>  | Decision of Group: Princip | le                                      |              |  |

On line 63 of page 263, change "735" to "991"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

# IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comm</u>  | <u>ent by:</u>   |  | Phillip Barber                    |                     |  | <u>Membership S</u> | Status: Member    |                  | Date: 18-Dec-2008<br>16:42:14 EST |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>#</u> b48   |  |                                   | <b>Document</b>     | under Review: P                            | 302.16Rev2          | /D8               | Ballot ID: sb_16 | Rev2b                             |
| <u>Comment</u><br>Text assum<br>Filed on be  | nes fixed  |  | Part of Dis                       |                     | _  | <u>Line</u> 7       | <u>Fig/Table#</u> | <u>Subclause</u> | 8.4.5.3.17                        |
| From:<br>"Used subo<br>Bit #0: 0-11<br>Bit #1: 12-1<br>Bit #2: 20-3<br>Bit #3: 32-3<br>Bit #3: 32-3<br>Bit #4: 40-5<br>Bit #5: 52-5<br>To: | from sect<br>channelse<br>9<br>31<br>39<br>51<br>59"<br>channelse<br>channel g | 6Used subc<br>6Used subc<br>group 0<br>group 1 | "DL frame pref<br>hannels at othe | er BS               | iging lines 7-13                           | of page 343         | 3                 |                  |                                   |
| <u>GroupResolut</u>  | <u>ion</u>   |  | Decision o                        | <u>f Group:</u> Pri | inciple                                    |                     |                   |                  |                                   |
| From:  | channels6<br>9<br>31<br>39<br>51   |  | "DL frame pref                    |                     | ıging lines 7-13                           | of table 343        | 3                 |                  |                                   |
| "Used su   | ochanne  | el groups                                      | 6                                 | Bit #C              | subchannel g<br>: Subchanne<br>: Subchanne | el group (          | C                 |                  |                                   |

Bit #2: Subchannel group 2 Bit #3: Subchannel group 3 Bit #4: Subchannel group 4 Bit #5: Subchannel group 5

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u>   | by:                   | Phillip Barber |               |                 | Membership Statu | S: Member  |                   | Date: 18-Dec-2008<br>16:43:14 ES | 3<br>5T |
|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | b49                   |                | Document unde | er Review: P8   | 02.16Rev2/D8     |            | Ballot ID: sb_16F | Rev2b                            |         |
| Comment          | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of Dis    | Satisfied     | <u>Page</u> 763 |                  | Fig/Table# | <u>Subclause</u>  | 8.4.5.3.17                       |         |

wrong size of subchannel offset field (AMC 2x3 and 1x6 have 96 and 192 subchannels per symbol and therfore need more than 6 bit)
 number of subchannels field completely missing

Filed on behalf of Mark Doll.

### Suggested Remedy

Adopt the burst description fields from table 344 of the following section by changing page 763, lines 26-30 (the 3 table entries after "if(Permutation == 0b11 and (AMC type is 2x3 or 1x6)) {")
"Subchannel offset6
Boosting3Refer to Table 318
No. OFDMA Symbols7"
to
"Subchannel offset8
Boosting3Refer to Table 318
No. OFDMA Symbols5
No. subchannels6"

### **GroupResolution**

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt the burst description fields from table 344 of the following section by changing page 763, lines 26-30 (the 3 table entries after "if(Permutation == 0b11 and (AMC type is 2x3 or 1x6)) {")

| Subchannel offset | 6 |                    |
|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| Boosting          | 3 | Refer to Table 318 |
| No. OFDMA Symbols | 7 |                    |
| to                |   |                    |
| Subchannel offset | 8 |                    |
| Boosting          | 3 | Refer to Table 318 |
| No. OFDMA Symbols | 5 |                    |
| No. subchannels   | 6 |                    |

### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

### Group's Notes

| Editor's Notes Editor's Actions   | a) done                      |                            |   |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| 2009/09/30  |                              |                            | IEEE 802.16-08/064r3                          |
| Comment by: Phillip Barbe   | r                            | Membership Status: Men     | nber <u>Date:</u> 18-Dec-2008<br>16:44:29 EST |
| Comment # b50   | Document under Review:       | 2802.16Rev2/D8             | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                         |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editorial <u>Part of Dis</u>                     | Satisfied Page 764           | Line 47 Fig/Table          | <u>Subclause</u> 8.4.5.3.18                   |
| missing "and" (there are three AMC types 3<br>Filed on behalf of Mark Doll. | x2, 2x3, 1x6, but the follow | ing fields are used only f | or the latter two)                            |
| Suggested Remedy  |                              |                            |   |
| change<br>"if(Permutation == 0b11 (AMC type is 2x3 o<br>to                  | or 1x6)) {"                  |                            |   |
| "if(Permutation == 0b11 and (AMC type is 2                                  | 2x3 or 1x6)) {"              |                            |   |
| GroupResolution Decisio   | <u>n of Group:</u> Agree     |                            |   |
| Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution                                      |                              |                            |   |
| Group's Notes   |                              |                            |   |
| Editor's Notes Editor's Actions   | a) done                      |                            |   |

# IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comment by:                                       | Phillip Barber                          |                        | Membership Status | Member     | <u>Date:</u> 18-Dec-2008<br>16:45:25 EST |
|---|---|------------------------|-------------------|------------|--|
| Comment # b51                                     | Do                                      | cument under Review: P | 802.16Rev2/D8     |            | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                    |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> E                      | ditorial Part of Dis                    | ified Page 957         | <u>Line</u> 59    | Fig/Table# | <u>Subclause</u> 8.4.6.1.2.2             |
| copy & paste error from<br>Filed on behalf of Mar | m table 446/447 to table 448<br>k Doll. | : there is no equatior | n in that table.  |            |  |

### Suggested Remedy

from lines 59-60 and from lines 63-64, remove text: "DC subcarrier shall be included when the pilot subcarrier index is calculated by the equation."

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>by:</u>            | Phillip Barber |              |                 | <u>Membership Stat</u> | tus: Member | <u>Date:</u> 18-Dec-2008<br>16:46:20 EST |
|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------|--|
| <u>Comment #</u> | b52                   |                | Document une | der Review: P8  | 302.16Rev2/D           | В           | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                    |
| Comment          | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis    | Satisfied    | <u>Page</u> 958 | <u>Line</u> 27         | Fig/Table#  | Subclause 8.4.6.1.2.2                    |

Figure 247 is broken:

- numbers the 11th arrow 12 (so there is one arrow missing between 0 and 12)

- shows subcarrier 9 as data subcarrier, but this is a pilot accoring to table 445

- shows subcarrier 1701 as pilot, but that subcarrier is no pilot according to tables 445-448

- shows subcarriers 39 as pilot, but that subcarrier is only a pilot for FFT size 128 according to table 448

- in the legend, the arrow of the pilot subcarrier is too short. It has the length used for data subcarriers instead of that of the pilot subcarriers

Filed on behalf of Mark Doll.

# Suggested Remedy

In figure 247:

ii) Increase the length of the pilot arrow in the legend in lines 36-38 to match the length of the pilot arrows in lines 26-29.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comme</u>  | <u>nt by:</u>   | Phillip Barber  |                    | Membership Sta | atus: Member      |                  | Date: 18-Dec-2008<br>16:47:18 EST |
|---|---|---|--------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u>  | b53   | Document  | t under Review: P8 | 302.16Rev2/D   | 8                 | Ballot ID: sb_16 | Rev2b                             |
| i) omitted nu<br>ii) ellipsis ma  | mber SC 7   | Part of Dis Satisfied hannel number needs to be tween a subchannel numb | e fixed:           | <u>Line</u> 43 | <u>Fig/Table#</u> | <u>Subclause</u> | 8.4.4.5                           |
| "None" lowe   | 8, replace SC 8 &<br>rcase to be consist<br>ange "None" to "n<br>2 change | 9 with SC 7 & 8, insert a linstent with the preceding fig<br>none", and |                    | one and make   | e all             |                  |                                   |
| ++<br>   SC 25 No<br>++<br>^    SC 26 S<br> ++<br>v    SC 27 S<br>++<br>to<br> ++<br>v    SC 17 S<br>++<br>   SC 18 no<br>++<br>***<br>**<br>** | SC 8<br>SC 9<br>SC 6  |   |                    |                |                   |                  |                                   |
| ++<br>   SC 25 no   | ne  |   |                    |                |                   |                  |                                   |

| +- | +          |
|----|------------|
| ۸  | SC 26 SC 7 |
| H  | ++         |
|    | SC 27 SC 8 |
| +- | +          |

### **GroupResolution**

Decision of Group: Principle

In figure 230, replace SC 8 & 9 with SC 7 & 8, insert a line with SC 18/none and make all "None" lowercase to be consistent with the preceding figure 229, so in line 43 change "None" to "none", and in lines 55-62 change +----+ | SC 17 SC 6 v +----+ \* \* \* \* \* \* +---+ SC 25 None ----+ SC 26  $\mathbf{\wedge}$ SC 8 +----+ SC 27 SC 9 V +----+ to +----+ SC 17 SC 6 V +---+ | SC 18 none ----+ SC 19 none \_\_\_\_+ SC 20 none +----+ | SC 21 none +----+ | SC 22 none

+----+ | | SC 23 none +----+

### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u> | by:                   | Roger Marks |               |              | Membership Status | <u>s:</u> Member | <u>Date:</u> 18-Dec-2008 1<br>3:28 EST | ο. |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------|--|----|
| Comment #      | b54                   |             | Document unde | er Review: P | 802.16Rev2/D8     |                  | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b                  |    |
| <u>Comment</u> | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of Dis | Satisfied     | Page ?       | <u>Line</u> 17    | Fig/Table#       | <u>Subclause</u> 11.21.5               |    |

The text says that, under a specific condition, "the MS shall assume that the BS transmit frequency accuracy is within the limits set by the profile, see subclause 12." This has some problems:

(a) Bad grammar, with two sentences joined as one.

(b) Clause 12 is a Clause, not a subclause.

(c) The meaning of this is not clear. Which is "the profile"? The specific applicable profile is not defined, in general. Also, it seems that "Frequency Accuracy" is specified for only the profiles in 12.1.2 ("WirelessMAN-SC PHY Profiles").

### Suggested Remedy

Delete the two conjoined sentences reading "For value 0xFF, the MS shall assume that the BS transmit frequency accuracy is within the limits set by the profile, see subclause 12."

**GroupResolution** Decision of Group: Principle

In section 11.21.5, change the last sentence in the "Value" column as indicated:

<delete>For value 0xFF, the MS shall assume that the BS transmit frequency accuracy is within the limits set by the profile, see subclause 12.</delete> <insert>The BS shall send the value 0xFF to indicate that it does not specify transmit frequency accuracy for location-based service.<i/nsert>

### **Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**

# Group's Notes

1/12: deferred.

**Editor's Notes** 

2009/09/30 IEEE 802.16-08/064r3 Date: 18-Dec-2008 Comment by: Roger Marks Membership Status: Member 16:12: 4 EST Ballot ID: sb\_16Rev2b Comment # b55 Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D8 Part of Dis X Satisfied Type Editorial Page iV Line 20 Subclause Introduction Fig/Table# Comment The end of the Introduction ("This revision supercedes and makes obsolete IEEE Std 802.16-2004 and all of its subsequent amendments and corrigenda") should be clarified so that it remains valid even after additional amendments are approved. This can be done by limiting the sentence to the amendments and corrigenda mentioned in the prior sentence.

### Suggested Remedy

Change the last sentence of the Introduction to "This revision supercedes and makes obsolete IEEE Std 802.16-2004 as well as IEEE 802.16e-2005, IEEE 802.16-2004/Cor1-2005, IEEE 802.16f-2005, and IEEE 802.16q-2007."

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

| 2009/09/30     |                       |             |              |                 |                  |                   | <b>IEEE 802</b> .    | 16-08/064r3 |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| <u>Comment</u> | by: Yeon              | gmoon Son   |              |                 | Membership Statu | Is: Member        | Date:                | 2008/12/19  |
| Comment #      | B30001                |             | Document und | er Review: P8   | 02.16Rev2/D8     |                   | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2 | 2b          |
| Comment        | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of Dis | Satisfied    | <u>Page</u> 132 | <u>Line</u> 63   | <u>Fig/Table#</u> | Subclause 6.3.       | 2.3.23      |

The Extended capability TLV in SBC-REQ/RSP messages specifies extended capability for ARQ and BS Controlled HO. But they are not really necessary to be negotiated during SBC and they should be moved to REG-REQ/RSP messages. Generally PKM related parameters and PHY related ones should be negotiated using SBC-REQ/RSP message.

By moving this TLV to REG-REQ/RSP, we can reduce the message size of SBC-REQ/RSP which is transmitted on the Basic CID. As you know, management messages transmitted on the Basic CID shall be neither fragmented nor packed.

Moreover, Group DSx supported TLV in REG-REQ/RSP messages has only a single bit indication. So combining the Group DSx capability into a bit indication in the disjointed 'Extended capability' TLV is helpful to reduce the message overhead of REG-REQ/RSP messages.

Suggested Remedy

[Discuss and Accept C80216maint-09/0001]

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

1/12: defer

**Editor's Notes** 

| 2009/09/30     |           |             |               |                 |                   |                     | IEEE 802.16-08/064r3        |
|----------------|-----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| <u>Comment</u> | by:       | Ken Loa     |               |                 | Membership Status | <u>s:</u> Nonmember | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/16     |
| Comment #      | B30002    |             | Document unde | er Review: P8   | 02.16Rev2/D8      | <u>Ballot I</u>     | <u>D:</u> sb_16Rev2b        |
| <u>Comment</u> | Technical | Part of Dis | Satisfied     | <u>Page</u> 360 | <u>Line</u> 17    | Fig/Table# Fig      | <u>Subclause</u> 6.3.10.3.2 |

In Figure 100 of P802.16REV2/D8, the BS will send the unsolicited RNG-RSP message in order to initiate the SS to send ranging code when the "Ranging code not received". However, when MS perform periodic ranging, the MS shall choose randomly a Ranging Slot (with random selection with equal probability from available Ranging Slots in a single frame) at the time to perform the ranging, then it chooses randomly a Periodic Ranging Code and sends it to the BS (as a CDMA code), therefore, the periodic ranging code sent from the MS is anonymous. Thus the BS can not know which MS has already sent the periodic ranging code. As a result the, Figure 100 of P802.16REV2/D8 is unable to implement. In addition, Figure 101 is a subset of Figure 100. This contribution proposes the revise the flow charts about handling periodic ranging at the BS (Figure 100 and Figure 101).

### Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution C80216maint-08\_319r8

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt contribution C802.16maint-08/319r12

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

# IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comment          | t by:                 | Sean McBeath    |                 |                 | <u>Membership Status</u> | <u>s:</u> Member |                  | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/15 |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | B30003                |                 | Document unde   | er Review: P8   | 02.16Rev2/D8             |                  | Ballot ID: sb_1  | 6Rev2b                  |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of Dis     | Satisfied       | <u>Page</u> 440 | <u>Line</u> 19           | Fig/Table#       | <u>Subclause</u> | 6.3.20.5.2              |
| The text refer   | s to timer T4 in Fig  | jure 95 which a | does not exist. |                 |                          |                  |                  |                         |

### Suggested Remedy

Change T4 to T3.

### GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

In P802.16REV2/D8, page 440, line 19, modify the sentence as:

'Upon expiration of MS Timer T4 shown in Figure [begin delete]95[end delete][begin insert]102[end insert], MS may perform CDMA-based periodic ranging according to method described in 6.3.10.3.2.'

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

| 2009/09/3        | 0                     |             |              |                 |                  |                   | IEE           | EE 802.16-08       | 3/064r3 |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------|
| Commer           | <u>it by:</u>         | Li Wang     |              |                 | Membership Statu | <u>s:</u> Nonmemb | er            | <u>Date:</u> 2008/ | 12/18   |
| <u>Comment #</u> | B30004                |             | Document und | er Review: P8   | 02.16Rev2/D8     |                   | Ballot ID: sb | _16Rev2b           |         |
| Comment          | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of Dis | Satisfied    | <u>Page</u> 482 | <u>Line</u>      | Fig/Table#        | <u>Subcla</u> | use                |         |

# Page 482, Section 6.3.23.1, first paragraph

The serving BS may signal an MS to begin idle mode by sending a DREG-CMD message with action code 0x05 in unsolicited manner and start T46 timer as well as management resource holding timer at the same time. MS shall enter idle mode after it sends DREG-REQ message with the De-registration\_Request Code parameter = 0x02 in response to the unsolicited DREG-CMD message. However, once MS has entered idle mode it could not guarantee availability to any BS for DL traffic. That may cause the problem that the MS could not respond to the BS during retransmission of DREG-CMD due to the error delivery of DREG-REQ at the first time. In this case, the BS would transmit DREG-CMD messages repeatedly till DREG command retry count is exhausted. So retransmission of DREG-CMD does not make sense in this case, and it's just a waste of air interface resource.

### Suggested Remedy

It is proposed that MS should set a MS-ENTER-IDLE-Timer for waiting a possible retransmission of DREG-CMD from BS after it sends a DREG-REQ. When MS receives DREG-CMD again before MS-ENTER-IDLE-Timer expires, it shall resend a DREG-REQ and check the ENTER-IDLE-Timer Retries. MS shall restart the MS-ENTER-IDLE-Timer and increase the ENTER-IDLE-Timer Retries by one if the ENTER-IDLE-Timer Retries has not been exhausted, otherwise MS shall enter idle mode at the expiration of MS-ENTER-IDLE-Timer. Please adopt C80216maint-08\_272r4 or later version.

**GroupResolution** 

Decision of Group: Disagree

adopt C802.16maint-08/272r6

### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

If MS-ENTER-IDLE-Timer Retries is exhausted, What should the MS do? There is the same problem too. That is just recursive solution for the problem.

Moreover, No reception of DREG-CMD is corner case since BS allocates UL burst with appropriate MCS level for UL transmission. based on CQI report

### Group's Notes

1/12: defer Result of vote to adopt C802.16maint-08/272r6: 4 in favor, 4 opposed, 1 abstention

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u>   | t by: Y              | eongmoon | Son             |                | <u>M</u>      | embership Status | Member     | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/19 |
|------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | B30005               |          | Docu            | ument under Re | view: P802    | 2.16Rev2/D8      |            | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b   |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Editoria | Part of  | of Dis Satisfie | ed Pag         | <u>ge</u> 489 | <u>Line</u> 20   | Fig/Table# | Subclause 6.3.23.9      |

There is an error regarding the bit number in HO Process Optimization TLV used for "post-HO re-entry MS DL data pending target BS" in section 6.3.23.9. Bit #9 of the HO Process Optimization TLV is assigned for "post-HO re-entry MS DL data pending at target BS" indication.

### Suggested Remedy

(underline in blue: text added, strikeout in red: text removed, (omitted): some paragraphs omitted) [Modify the paragraph in section 6.3.23.9 (page 489, line 18) as follows]

### 6.3.23.9 Network reentry from idle mode

(omitted)

During network reentry, the target BS may notify the MS, through the Bit #79 MS DL data pending element of the HO Process Optimization TLV item in RNG-RSP, of post- network reentry MS DL data pending. Upon MS successful reentry at target BS, now new serving BS, and new serving BS completing reception of any network reentry pending MS DL data retained and forwarded, MS may reestablish IP connectivity and the new serving BS may send a message over the backbone network to request the old serving BS or other network entity to stop forwarding pre-HO pending MS DL data.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

# IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| 2000/00/00            | •                       |                   |                       |                 |                         |            | IEEE             | 002.10-00/004           |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| <u>Commen</u>         | <u>t by:</u>            | Sean McBeath      |                       |                 | <u>Membership Statu</u> | us: Member |                  | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/15 |
| <u>Comment #</u>      | B30006                  |                   | Document und          | er Review: P    | 802.16Rev2/D8           | }          | Ballot ID: sb_1  | 6Rev2b                  |
| <u>Comment</u>        | <u>Type</u> Editorial   | Part of Dis       | Satisfied             | <u>Page</u> 495 | <u>Line</u> 50          | Fig/Table# | <u>Subclause</u> | 6.3.26.4.7.1            |
| Reference to          | section 8.4.5.3.28      | should be 8.4     | .5.3.29               |                 |                         |            |                  |                         |
| Suggested Rem         | <u>edy</u>              |                   |                       |                 |                         |            |                  |                         |
| Change sect           | ion 8.4.5.3.28 to 8.    | 4.5.3.29          |                       |                 |                         |            |                  |                         |
| <u>GroupResolutio</u> | <u>n</u>                | <u>Decision o</u> | <u>f Group:</u> Agree |                 |                         |            |                  |                         |
| Reason for Grou       | up's Decision/Resolutio | <u>n</u>          |                       |                 |                         |            |                  |                         |
| Group's Notes         |                         |                   |                       |                 |                         |            |                  |                         |

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

### IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commer</u>    | <u>nt by:</u>         | Sean McBeath |              |                 | Membership Statu | s: Member         | Date:                 | 2008/12/15 |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | B30007                |              | Document und | er Review: P8   | 02.16Rev2/D8     |                   | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2k | )          |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis  | Satisfied    | <u>Page</u> 712 | <u>Line</u> 42   | <u>Fig/Table#</u> | Subclause 8.4.4       | .2         |
| "ehowe" eho      | uld be "show" in tw   | o places     |              |                 |                  |                   |                       |            |

# "shows" should be "show" in two places

### Suggested Remedy

Figure 222 and Figure 223 shows the frame structure of an OFDMA FDD system that supports the concurrent operation of H-FDD and FDD SSs. The DL frame contains two subframes. DL Subframe 1 comprises a preamble symbol, a MAP region (MAP1) and data symbols (DL1). DL Subframe 2 comprises a MAP region (MAP2) and data symbols (DL2).

The space between the two DL subframes is occupied by a gap DLGap (see Figure 222), the size of which shall be an integer number of symbols (0, 1, 2, 3). Optionally, as shown in Figure 223, this gap may also include the residual frame time, DL residue (the frame duration minus the total time occupied by the frame symbols). The number of symbols in DLGap and the location of DLresidue shall be signaled in the DCD, in both DL subframes, using the "FDD DL gap" TLV (see section 11.4.1 Table 574). The BS shall not change the location and value of DL residue during operation.

The UL frame contains two subframes, UL2 and UL1 (in this order). Figure 222 and Figure 223 shows the timing relationship of the UL subframes relative to the DL subframes. The four parameters TTG1, TTG2, RTG1 and RTG2 are announced in the DCD messages (see Table 574) and they shall be sufficiently large to accommodate the H-FDD SSs transmit receive switching time plus the round trip propagation delay.

**GroupResolution** 

Decision of Group: Agree

**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution** 

**Group's Notes** 

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comment by:  | Sean McBeath   | <u>Membership</u>   | Status: Member  | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/15                             |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Comment # B30008   | Document und   | der Review: P802.16Rev  | 2/D8 Ballo  | <u>ot ID:</u> sb_16Rev2b                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editoria<br>Editorial corrections   | A Part of Dis Satisfied  | <u>Page</u> 713 <u>Line</u> 6   | Fig/Table#  | Subclause 8.4.4.2                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Suggested Remedy</u><br>The MAP regions - MAP1 and MAP2 - are independent and include FCH, DL-MAP and UL-MAP, the<br>definition <u>s</u> of which <u>are</u> provided in 8.4.4.4, 8.4.5.3 and 8.4.5.4 respectively. |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| GroupResolution  | Decision of Group: Agree   | )   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reason for Group's Decision/Reso   | blution  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group's Notes  |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Editor's Notes   | Editor's Actions a) done   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009/09/30   |  |   |   | IEEE 802.16-08/064r3                                |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comment by:  | Sean McBeath   | <u>Membership</u>   | Status: Member  | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/15                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comment by:<br>Comment # B30009  |  | <u>Membership</u><br>der Review: P802.16Rev2  |   | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/15<br><u>ot ID:</u> sb_16Rev2b |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Comment #</u> B30009<br><u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technic  | Document und   | der Review: P802.16Rev2<br>Page 714 Line  | 2/D8 Ballo<br>Fig/Table# F224                           | <u>bt ID:</u> sb_16Rev2b<br>Subclause 8.4.4.2       |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Comment #</u> B30009<br><u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technic<br>In Figure 224, it is not clear<br><u>Suggested Remedy</u>   | Document und   | der Review: <b>P802.16Rev</b><br><u>Page</u> 714 <u>Line</u><br>n and frame n+2 are no                            | 2/D8 Ballo<br>Fig/Table# F224<br>of the complete set of | <u>bt ID:</u> sb_16Rev2b<br>Subclause 8.4.4.2       |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Comment #</u> B30009<br><u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technic<br>In Figure 224, it is not clear<br><u>Suggested Remedy</u>   | Document und<br>cal <u>Part of Dis</u> Satisfied why the sets of arrows in frame   | der Review: <b>P802.16Rev</b><br><u>Page</u> 714 <u>Line</u><br>n and frame n+2 are no<br>move the arrows origina | 2/D8 Ballo<br>Fig/Table# F224<br>of the complete set of | <u>bt ID:</u> sb_16Rev2b<br>Subclause 8.4.4.2       |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Comment #</u> B30009<br><u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technic<br>In Figure 224, it is not clear<br><u>Suggested Remedy</u><br>Add the maximum relevance  | Document und<br>cal Part of Dis Satisfied why the sets of arrows in frame<br>e time arrows to frame n and ren<br>Decision of Group: Princi | der Review: <b>P802.16Rev</b><br><u>Page</u> 714 <u>Line</u><br>n and frame n+2 are no<br>move the arrows origina | 2/D8 Ballo<br>Fig/Table# F224<br>of the complete set of | <u>bt ID:</u> sb_16Rev2b<br>Subclause 8.4.4.2       |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Comment #</u> B30009<br><u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technic<br>In Figure 224, it is not clear<br><u>Suggested Remedy</u><br>Add the maximum relevance<br><u>GroupResolution</u>                                      | Document und<br>cal Part of Dis Satisfied why the sets of arrows in frame<br>e time arrows to frame n and ren<br>Decision of Group: Princi | der Review: <b>P802.16Rev</b><br><u>Page</u> 714 <u>Line</u><br>n and frame n+2 are no<br>move the arrows origina | 2/D8 Ballo<br>Fig/Table# F224<br>of the complete set of | <u>bt ID:</u> sb_16Rev2b<br>Subclause 8.4.4.2       |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Comment # B30009</u><br><u>Comment Type</u> Technic<br>In Figure 224, it is not clear<br><u>Suggested Remedy</u><br>Add the maximum relevance<br><u>GroupResolution</u><br>Adopt C802.16maint-09/000                | Document und<br>cal Part of Dis Satisfied why the sets of arrows in frame<br>e time arrows to frame n and ren<br>Decision of Group: Princi | der Review: <b>P802.16Rev</b><br><u>Page</u> 714 <u>Line</u><br>n and frame n+2 are no<br>move the arrows origina | 2/D8 Ballo<br>Fig/Table# F224<br>of the complete set of | <u>bt ID:</u> sb_16Rev2b<br>Subclause 8.4.4.2       |  |  |  |  |  |

# IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comment  | t by:                 | Sean    | McBeath |             |                 | Membership Statu | <u>s:</u> Member | Date                    | 2008/12/15 |
|--|-----------------------|---------|---------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| <u>Comment #</u>   | B30010                |         |         | Document un | der Review: P8  | 02.16Rev2/D8     | Ballo            | <u>ot ID:</u> sb_16Rev2 | 2b         |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of | Dis 🗌 S | Satisfied   | <u>Page</u> 715 | <u>Line</u>      | Fig/Table# F225  | Subclause 8.4.          | 4.2        |
| In Figure 225, it is not clear why the sets of arrows in frame n+1 and frame n+2 are not the complete set of arrows. |                       |         |         |             |                 |                  |                  |                         |            |

### Suggested Remedy

Remove the arrows originating in frame n+1 and n+2.

| <u>GroupResolution</u>                 | Decision of Group: | Principle |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Adopt C802.16maint-09/0009r1           |                    |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution |                    |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Group's Notes</u><br>1/12: defer.   |                    |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Editor's Notes Editor's                | Actions a) done    |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

|                            |                |                 |                     | Membership Statu | <u>s:</u> Member | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/15 |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Comment # B3001            | 1              | Docu            | iment under Review: | 802.16Rev2/D8    |                  | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b   |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> | Technical Part | of Dis Satisfie | ed Page 715         | <u>Line</u> 48   | Fig/Table#       | Subclause 8.4.4.2.1     |

The following paragraph contains a sentence fragment and is confusing.

### Suggested Remedy

The BS may request the MS to explicitly acknowledge a group switch instruction, in which case the BS shall assign a one-time MAP ACK channel. When either the DL HARQ Chase Subburst IE or the DL HARQ IR CTC Subburst IE, the DL HARQ IR CC Subburst IE or the sub-burst IEs of the Persistent HARQ DL MAP IE is used for signaling a group switch. For items b, c and d, the one-time CQICH channel shall be allocated via setting the LSB #0 of the Dedicated DL Control Indicator to 1 and using the Allocation Index field to indicate the location.

| <u>GroupResolution</u>            | Decision         | <u>n of Group:</u> | Agree |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------|
| Reason for Group's Decision/Resol | <u>ution</u>     |                    |       |
| Group's Notes                     |                  |                    |       |
| Editor's Notes                    | Editor's Actions | a) done            |       |

# IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment by:</u> |                       | Sean McBeath                          |                | <u> </u>        | Date: 2008/12/1 |            |                       |  |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------------|--|
| Comment # B30012   |                       | Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D8 |                |                 |                 |            | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b |  |
| <u>Comment</u>     | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of Dis                           | Satisfied      | <u>Page</u> 715 | <u>Line</u> 60  | Fig/Table# | Subclause 8.4.4.2.1   |  |
| The following      | Looptopoo obould l    | he mayod to me                        | ake the energy | tion more ele   | or              |            |                       |  |

The following sentence should be moved to make the operation more clear.

The MS should initiate an uplink communication with the BS to confirm the success of group switch operation.

### Suggested Remedy

When the H-FDD Group Switch IE is used for signaling a group switch, a one-time CQICH channel may be assigned in that IE (see 8.4.5.3.28). In case the BS includes a one-time CQICH allocation in the IE that contains the group switch instruction, the MS shall acknowledge reception of the instruction - with a MAP ACK command, as described in section 8.4.11.16 - in the assigned CQICH channel. The MS should initiate an uplink communication with the BS to confirm the success of group switch operation.

If the group switch completion time TLV is included in the UCD message and the MS cannot join the new group within the group switch completion time as broadcasted in the TLV, the MS shall go to Group 1 and initiate an uplink communication with the BS. The BS shall interpret such communication as an indication from the MS that it failed to execute the group switch instruction.

After the MS receives a group switch instruction in frame n, the MS shall switch to the new group and decode the downlink subframe in frame n+H-FDD\_Group\_Switch\_Delay+m, where m denotes the current group number (1 or 2) and H-FDD\_Group\_Switch\_Delay is specified in the UCD (H-FDD Group Switch Delay). The MS may ignore the downlink subframe of the current group in frame n+HFDD\_ Group\_Switch\_Delay+1 (the subframe immediately preceding the transition). See Figure 226. After switching groups, the MS should initiate an uplink communication with the BS to confirm the success of group switch operation.

| <b>GroupResolution</b> | Decis |
|------------------------|-------|
|                        |       |

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comment          | <u>by:</u>            | Jerry Chow     |               |                 | <u>Membership Status:</u> | Nonmember         | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/18    |
|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | B30013                |                | Document und  | er Review: P8   | 02.16Rev2/D8              | Ballot            | <u>ID:</u> sb_16Rev2b      |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of Dis    | Satisfied     | <u>Page</u> 756 | Line Fi                   | g/Table#          | Subclause 8.4.5.3.12       |
| Earlier chang    | es for MBS suppor     | t in 802.16 Re | v2 introduced | the support f   | or non-macro-div          | versity operation | within an MBS zone but did |

not introduce the necessary protocol changes in MBS\_MAP\_IE in order to properly support it.

### Suggested Remedy

Adopt proposed text changes and additions as identified in contribution C802.16maint-08/356 or its later revision.

**GroupResolution** Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt contribution C802.16maint-08/356r3.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

1/13: defer

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

Requires changes to sections 6 and 11

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comment          | <u>t by:</u>          | Li Wang     |              |                 | <u>Membership Statu</u> | <u>s:</u> Nonmemb | per             | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/18 |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | B30014                |             | Document und | er Review: P8   | 02.16Rev2/D8            |                   | Ballot ID: sb_  | 16Rev2b                 |
| Comment          | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of Dis | Satisfied    | <u>Page</u> 799 | <u>Line</u>             | Fig/Table#        | <u>Subclaus</u> | <u>Se</u>               |

Page 799, Section 8.4.5.3.29 and section 8.4.5.4.28

In the current standard IEEE 802.16 Rev2 D8, HARQ related parameters are always filled in the Persistent HARQ DL/UL MAP IE even if HARQ disable. That's a waste of bandwidth especially have many sub-bursts.

Additionally the element of SPID should be removed from Persistent HARQ DL/UL MAP IE when uses the persistent allocation because SPID is always zero at the first sub-packet transmission.

### Suggested Remedy

HARQ related parameters should be removed from Persistent HARQ DL/UL MAP IE when HARQ disable. It should according to the element of ACK disable to determine if Fills the HARQ related parameters. We propose that uses similar mode to modify the table 366-372 in the section 8.3.5.3.29 and the table 418-424 in the section 8.3.5.4.28. Please adopt C80216maint-08 307r5 or later version.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Disagree

Adopt C802.16maint-08/307r7.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

PA IE field optimization, for IR-CTC SPID shall be considered '0' as well when ACK\_disable=1, further modification/clarification needed

Group's Notes 1/13 defer Result of vote to adopt C802.16maint-08/307r7: 3 in favor, 5 against, 3 abstentions.

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

2009/09/30 IEEE 802.16-08/064r3 Date: 2008/12/18 Comment by: Li Wang Membership Status: Nonmember <u>Comment # B30015</u> Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D8 Ballot ID: sb 16Rev2b Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 802 Line Fig/Table# Subclause Comment

In current P80216Rev2\_D8, there have redundant IE format design on resource de-allocation of persistent allocation, for example, Persistent DL HARQ Chase Subburst IE format in Page 802, Persistent DL HARQ IR CTC Subburst IE format in Page 808, Persistent DL HARQ IR CC Subburst IE format in Page 811, etc.

Details of relevant suggestions can be got in contribution C80216maint-08\_320r2 or later version.

### Suggested Remedy

Details of relevant suggestions can be got in contribution C80216maint-08\_320r2 or later version.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Disagree

Adopt C80216maint-08/320r3

### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

RCID\_IE required to explicitly indicate the corresponding MS (otherwise could be prone to error), proposal just increasing complexity without any outstanding gain.

### Group's Notes

1/13 defer Result of vote to adopt C80216maint-08/320r3: 3 in favor, 4 opposed, 2 abstentions

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> b) none needed

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u>                   | <u>by:</u>  | Li Wang       |              |                 | Membership State | <u>us:</u> | Nonmember          |                     | Date: 2008/12/18 |
|----------------------------------|---|---------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Comment #                        | 330016  |               | Document und | er Review: P    | 802.16Rev2/D8    | 3          | Ballo              | <u>ot ID:</u> sb_16 | Rev2b            |
| <u>Comment</u>                   | <u>Type</u> Technical   | Part of Dis   | Satisfied    | <u>Page</u> 832 | <u>Line</u>      | <u>Fig</u> | <u>/Table#</u> 372 | <u>Subclause</u>    | 8.4.5.3.29       |
| Page 832,tabl<br>ACID is not fil | le 424 in the secti<br>e 372 in the section<br>ed in the Persiste<br>ame problem. | on 8.4.5.3.29 | TC HARQ Sul  | bburst IE in    | the table 424. I | 3ut /      | ACID shall be      | included for        | r FDD SS. Table  |

### Suggested Remedy

Remove the if statement "If (ACK disable == 0) " for ACID in the table 424 and table 372.

**GroupResolution** Decision of Group: Principle

Remove the if statement "If (ACK disable == 0) " for ACID in the table 424 and table 372 and remove the corresponding closing brace.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes 1/13 deferred

Editor's Notes

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commen</u>  | t <u>by:</u> V       | lee Peng Goh     |                   |               | Membership Stat     | us: Member | <u>Date:</u> 20       | 08/12/16 |
|----------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Comment #      | B30017               |                  | Document une      | der Review:   | P802.16Rev2/D8      | 3          | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b |          |
| <u>Comment</u> | <u>Type</u> Technica | Part of Dis      | Satisfied         | Page ?        | Line 2              | Fig/Table# | Subclause 8.4.8.5     |          |
| The current t  | ext " The index (    | of the subcarrie | er starts from th | e first one : | after the left quar | rd hand DC | subcarrier is         |          |

The current text "The index of the subcarrier starts from the first one after the left guard band. DC subcarrier is also included in the numbering but nulled prior to transmission." need further clarification.

It does not make sense to null the DC carrier after assigning it as part of the midamble sequence.

### Suggested Remedy

Modify sentence starting on page 1027, line 2, as follows:

The index of the subcarrier starts from the first one after the left guard band. DC subcarrier is <insert> <u>not included</u></insert><delete>also included in the numbering but nulled prior to transmission.</delete>

**GroupResolution** 

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-09/0002r5

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u> | by: V                | /ee Peng | Goh              |                    | Membership Status | S: Member  | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/16 |
|----------------|----------------------|----------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| Comment #      | B30018               |          | Docun            | nent under Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8    |            | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b   |
| <u>Comment</u> | <u>Type</u> Technica | Part o   | of Dis Satisfied | Page ?             | <u>Line</u> 40    | Fig/Table# | Subclause 8.4.9.4.1     |

I am not satisfied with the resolution of comment A20103L. The standard is not clear whether sub-carrier randomization is applied on the mid-amble if it is present in the STC zone. Although it is clear that the PRBS generator symbol offset is counted from the first symbol including the mid-amble, mid-amble randomization is done using a different mechanism described in section 8.4.8.5.

### Suggested Remedy

Insert a new sentence on page 1075, line 40, as follows:

The PRBS generator shall be clocked n times, n = Symbol\_Offset mod 32, before the generated output is applied to the subcarriers, where the symbol offset is counted from the first symbol in each zone as zero in the DL except DL AAS zone with Diversity\_Map support where the symbol offset is counted from the first symbol of the first DL zone as zero and from allocation start time in the UL (i.e., the first symbol in the UL subframe is indexed 0). <Insert> The PRBS generator output should not be applied to the subcarriers of the mid-amble (if present). </insert>

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Insert a new sentence on page 1075, line 40, as follows:

The PRBS generator shall be clocked n times, n = Symbol\_Offset mod 32, before the generated output is applied to the subcarriers, where the symbol offset is counted from the first symbol in each zone as zero in the DL except DL AAS zone with Diversity\_Map support where the symbol offset is counted from the first symbol of the first DL zone as zero and from allocation start time in the UL (i.e., the first symbol in the UL subframe is indexed 0). <Insert> <u>The PRBS generator output shall not be applied to the subcarriers of the mid-amble (if present).</u> </insert>

### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

Also moved Figure 293 to its correct location

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u>   | by: Wee        | e Peng Goh |                |           | Membership Status | <u>s:</u> Member  | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/16 |
|------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | B30019         |            | Document under | Review: P | 802.16Rev2/D8     |                   | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b   |
| <u>Comment</u>   | Type Technical |            | Satisfied      | Page ?    | Line 23           | <u>Fig/Table#</u> | Subclause 8.4.10.3.1    |

In section 8.4.10.3.1, page 1085, line 23:

"The current transmitted power and the maximum power parameters are reported in dBm. The parameters are quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from –64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF)."

However, in section 11.1.1, page 1166, line 49:

"This parameter indicates the transmitted power used for the burst that carried the message. The parameter is reported in dBm and is quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from –84 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 43.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF)."

and in section 11.8.3.2, page 1236 and line 32:

"The maximum available power for BPSK, QPSK, 16-QAM, and 64-QAM constellations. The maximum power parameters are reported in dBm and quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from -64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF)."

It appears that section 8.4.10.3.1 should be consistent with section 11.1.1 and section 11.8.3.2

### Suggested Remedy

Modify paragraph starting on page 1085, line 23, as follows:

The current transmitted power is the power of the burst that carries the message. The maximum available power is reported for QPSK QAM-16 and QAM-64 constellations. The current transmitted power and the maximum power parameters are reported in dBm. The parameters are quantized in 0.5 dBm steps ranging from –64 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 63.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF)<insert> for maximum available power and –84 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 43.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF)<insert> for maximum available power and –84 dBm (encoded 0x00) to 43.5 dBm (encoded 0xFF) for current transmitted power as defined in section 11.8.3.2 and section 11.1.1 respectively</insert>. Values outside this range shall be assigned the closest extreme. SSs that do not support QAM64 shall report the value of 0x00 in the maximum QAM-64 power field.

**GroupResolution** 

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

| Grou | p's | <b>Notes</b> |  |
|------|-----|--------------|--|
|      |     |              |  |

| Editor's Notes | Editor's Actions | a) done |
|----------------|------------------|---------|
|----------------|------------------|---------|

### 2009/09/30

| 2009/09/30       |                       |                            |              |                          |            | IEEE 802.16-08/064r3       |
|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------|----------------------------|
| Commen           | <u>t by:</u>          | Joey Chou                  |              | <u>Membership Status</u> | : Member   | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/18    |
| <u>Comment #</u> | B30020                | Document un                | der Review:  | P802.16Rev2/D8           |            | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b      |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of Dis Satisfied      | Page ?       | Line 22                  | Fig/Table# | <u>Subclause</u> 11.7.8.10 |
| There is no d    | escription on H-FD    | D sleep capabilities TLV a | nd how Bit # | #1 should be used        | l. –       |                            |

#### Suggested Remedy

11.7.8.10 H-FDD sleep capabilities

<insert>H-FDD sleep capabilities TLV indicates if sleep mode is supported in HFDD mode. For each bit, a value of 0 indicates "not supported" while 1 indicates "supported".<insert>

**GroupResolution** 

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

### 4r3

| 2009/09/30  |                         |                    |                    |                   | IEEE             | 802.16-08/064r    |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| <u>Comment by:</u>  | Joey Chou               |                    | Membership Statu   | <u>is:</u> Member |                  | Date: 2008/12/18  |
| Comment # B30021  | Docum                   | nent under Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8     |                   | Ballot ID: sb_16 | Rev2b             |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical<br>Additional Action Time TLV is m   | Part of Dis Satisfied   | Page ?             | <u>Line</u> 41     | <u>Fig/Table#</u> | <u>Subclause</u> | 11.15.3           |
| Suggested Remedy<br>Change as the following:  |                         |                    |                    |                   |                  |                   |
| Length<br>variable;<br>N_Recommended <insert>&gt;</insert>  | (1 <insert></insert>    |                    |                    |                   |                  |                   |
| Value<br>for (i = 0, i < N_recomment<br>Action time <delete>(1 k<br/>}<br/><insert>Action time: 0 255 (ur</insert></delete> | oyte) <delete></delete> |                    |                    |                   |                  |                   |
| GroupResolution   | Decision of Group:      | Principle          |                    |                   |                  |                   |
| Change as the following:  |                         |                    |                    |                   |                  |                   |
| Length<br>variable;<br>N_Recommended x 8 bits   |                         |                    |                    |                   |                  |                   |
| Value   |                         |                    |                    |                   |                  |                   |
| Compound action time v<br>Unit = frames.  | values for N_Recomm     | ended target ba    | se stations in the | same seque        | ence as presente | d in the message. |

| <u>ereup e rietee</u> |                       |                  |             |             |                     |                |                             |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Editor's Notes        |                       | Editor's Actions | a) done     |             |                     |                |                             |  |
| 2009/09/3             | D                     |                  |             |             |                     |                | IEEE 802.16-08/064r3        |  |
| Commer                | <u>it by:</u>         | Joey Chou        |             |             | <u>Membership S</u> | Status: Member | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/18     |  |
| Comment #             | B30022                |                  | Document un | der Review: | P802.16Rev2/        | /D8            | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b       |  |
| <u>Comment</u>        | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis      | Satisfied   | Page ?      | <u>Line</u> 34      | Fig/Table#     | <u>Subclause</u> 13.1.3.1.2 |  |
| Subclause 1           | 3.1.3.1.2 is missi    | ng the object ta | able        |             |                     |                |                             |  |
|                       |                       |                  |             |             |                     |                |                             |  |
| Suggested Rem         | iedy                  |                  |             |             |                     |                |                             |  |

Change as the following:

<delete>

13.1.3.1.2 wmanlf2BsTrapDefinitions

Group's Notes

objects. The objects used in traps are defined in the table wmanIf2BsSsNotificationObjectsTable.
<delete>
<insert>
13.1.3.1.2.1 wmanIf2BsTrapPrefix

wmanlf2BsTrapPrefix lists the traps reported by the SS.

13.1.3.1.2.2 wmanIf2BsSsNotificationObjectsTable wmanIf2BsSsNotificationObjectsTable contains SS notification objects that have been reported by the trap. <insert>

wmanlf2BsTrapDefinitions is an object group that defines all the traps reported by BS, and the supported

In Figure 322, add wmanIf2BsTrapPrefix and wmanIf2BsSsNotificationObjectsTable branchs under wmanIf2BsTrapDefinitions

| GroupResolution Decision of Group: Age |
|--|
|--|

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> a) done

#### IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commer</u>    | <u>nt by:</u>         | Joey Chou   | I            |             | <u>Membership Stat</u> | us: Member        | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/18     |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | B30023                |             | Document und | der Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8         | 3                 | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b       |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis | Satisfied    | Page ?      | <u>Line</u> 26         | <u>Fig/Table#</u> | <u>Subclause</u> 13.1.3.2.1 |
| Clarify descr    | intion in Subclause   | 131321      |              |             |                        |                   |                             |

Clarify description in Subclause 13.1.3.2.1

#### Suggested Remedy

Change as the following:

13.1.3.2.1 wmanlf2BsRegisteredSsTable

<delete>

Each entry in the wmanIf2BsRegisteredSsTable contains information regarding an SS that has been registered with this BS through REG-REQ and REG-RSP messages. <delete>

<insert>

wmanIf2BsRegisteredSsTable contains the basic capability information of SSs that have been negotiated and agreed between BS and SS via REG-REQ and REG-RSP messages. <insert>

**GroupResolution** 

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

### IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commen</u>  | <u>t by:</u>          | Joey Chou     |                 |            | Membership Statu | s: Member  |                  | Date: 2008/12/18 |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------------|
| Comment #      | B30024                |               | Document unde   | er Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8   |            | Ballot ID: sb_16 | Rev2b            |
| <u>Comment</u> | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of Dis   | Satisfied       | Page ?     | Line 5           | Fig/Table# | <u>Subclause</u> | 13.1.3.2.7       |
| wmanlf2BsS     | sActionsTable has     | been enchanse | ed to support I | PRC-LT-C   | TRL message      |            |                  |                  |

#### Suggested Remedy

Change as the following:

13.1.3.2.7 wmanlf2BsSsActionsTable

wmanlf2BsSsActionsTable contains all the actions specified for SSs in the standard. The actions are routed down to SS using unsolicited MAC messages: REG-RSP, DREG-REQ<insert>, PRC-LT-CTRL<insert> and RES-CMD. The table also contains

the parameters of the actions in cases where they are specified by the standard.

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

### IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u>   | by:                   | Joey Chou   | ou            |            | Membership Statu | s: Member         | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/18 |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | B30025                |             | Document unde | er Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8   |                   | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b   |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis | Satisfied     | Page ?     | <u>Line</u> 30   | <u>Fig/Table#</u> | Subclause 13.1.3.4.8    |

Typo of "matrices"

wmanlf2BsUserMetricsTable contains counter objects to track user matrices.

#### Suggested Remedy

Change as the following:

wmanIf2BsUserMetricsTable contains counter objects to track user <delete>matrices<delete><insert>metrics<insert>.

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

### IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comment          | t by:                 | Joey Ch   | nou          |                   | <u>Membership Statu</u> | s: Member         | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/18 |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | B30026                |           | Document und | <u>er Review:</u> | P802.16Rev2/D8          |                   | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b   |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of D | is Satisfied | Page ?            | <u>Line</u> 56          | <u>Fig/Table#</u> | Subclause 13.1.5.2      |
| Clarify descri   | ption in Subclause    | 13.1.5.2  | 2            |                   |                         |                   |                         |

#### Suggested Remedy

Change as the following:

13.1.5.2 wmanlf2fBsProvServiceClassTable

<delete>Each entry of the <delete>wmanlf2fBsProvServiceClassTable contains QoS parameter set, as defined in subclause 6.3.14 and 11.13. This table is provisioned by NMS.

**GroupResolution** 

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

### IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Commen           | <u>it by:</u>         | Joey Chou             |                    | Membership Status: | Member    | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/18 |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | B30027                | Docur                 | nent under Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8     |           | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b   |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis Satisfied | <u>I</u> Page ?    | Line 7 E           | ig/Table# | Subclause 13.1.6.4      |
| Subalayon 1      | 2.1.6.4 is missing f  | ha abject table       |                    |                    |           |                         |

Subclause 13.1.6.4 is missing the object table

#### Suggested Remedy

Change as the following:

13.1.6.4 wmanIf2SsTrapDefinitions <delete>wmanIf2SsTrapDefinitions is a group that defines all the traps reported by SS.<delete>

<insert> 13.1.6.4.1 wmanIf2SsTrapPrefix wmanIf2SsTrapPrefix lists the traps reported by the SS.

13.1.6.4.2 wmanIf2SsNotificationObjectsTable wmanIf2SsNotificationObjectsTable contains SS notification objects that have been reported by the trap. <insert>

In Figure 337, add wmanIf2SsTrapPrefix and wmanIf2SsNotificationObjectsTable branchs under wmanIf2SsTrapDefinitions

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

lr3

| 2009/09/30  |  |   |   |                          |                      |                   | I          | EEE 8         | 802.16-08/064    |
|---|--|---|---|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|------------------|
| Comment   | t by:  | Joey Chou   |   |                          | <u>Membership St</u> | atus: Member      |            |               | Date: 2008/12/18 |
| <u>Comment #</u>  | B30028   |   | Document ur   | <u>nder Review:</u>      | P802.16Rev2/E        | 08                | Ballot ID: | sb_16         | Rev2b            |
|   |  |   |   | <u>Page</u> ?<br>g TLVs: | <u>Line</u> 24       | <u>Fig/Table#</u> | <u>Sub</u> | <u>clause</u> | 13.2.3           |
| Suggested Reme  | edy  |   |   |                          |                      |                   |            |               |                  |
| STATUS<br>DESCRI<br>"This<br>(exclu<br>encousize of<br>direct<br>define<br>REFERI<br>"Bit#<br>bit 0-<br>2-7<br>bit 8-<br>14<br>bit 16<br>17<br>18<br>22<br>bit 24<br>27<br><inse<br>36<br/>bit 40</inse<br> | IPTION<br>type combines all<br>uuding Phy and me<br>dded fields. It rese<br>of corresponding T<br>tly to the encoding<br>ed reserved bits."<br>ENCE<br>subclauses:<br>1: 11.8.4.1 (Pkm<br>7: reserved<br>13: 11.8.4.2 (Aut<br>-15: reserved<br>13: 11.8.4.3 (MAC<br>5: 11.8.4.3 (MAC<br>5: 11.8.4.3 (MAC<br>23: reserved<br>-21: 11.8.4.3 (MAC<br>23: reserved<br>-23: reserved<br>-24: 11.8.5 (Exter<br>-31: reserved<br>ert>bit 32-35: 11.7<br>-39: reserved<br>0: 11.7.8.10 (H-F<br>-47: reserved | the basic SS of<br>obility specific<br>rives the spac<br>'LV and within<br>specified in T<br>oversion)<br>horization poli<br>C mode - HMA<br>C mode - HMA | capabilities<br>) with the bins<br>e equivalent for<br>this space it<br>LVs including<br>icy support)<br>AC follow up)<br>der support)<br>red coexistend<br>pabilities) | to the<br>maps<br>g all  | ty)                  |                   |            |               |                  |

49-55: reserved<insert>" SYNTAX BITS {pkmVersionSupport1(0), pkmVersionSupport2(1), reserved2(2), reserved3(3), reserved4(4), reserved5(5), reserved6(6), reserved7(7), authPolicySupportRsaInitialEntry(8), authPolicySupportEapInitialEntry(9), reserved10(10), reserved11(11), authPolicySupportRsaReentry(12), authPolicySupportEapReentry(13), reserved 14(14), reserved15(15), macModeHmac(16), reserved17(17), macModeHmac64(18), macModeHmac80(19), macModeHmac96(20), macModeCmac(21), reserved22(22), reserved23(23), extSubheader(24), headerSupportShortPduSn(25), headerSupportLongPduSn(26), reserved27(27), reserved28(28), reserved29(29), reserved30(30), reserved31(31)<insert>, pscCoexistanceMode1(32), pscCoexistanceMode2(33), sleepModePscCoexistance(34), ulBandAmcPscCoexistance(35), reserved36(36), reserved37(37), reserved38(38), reserved39(39),

|                        | <pre>sleepModeHfdd(40),<br/>reserved41(41),<br/>reserved42(42),<br/>reserved43(43),<br/>reserved44(44),<br/>reserved45(45),<br/>reserved45(45),<br/>reserved46(46),<br/>reserved47(47),<br/>groupDsxSupport(48),<br/>reserved49(49),<br/>reserved50(50),<br/>reserved51(51),<br/>reserved52(52),<br/>reserved53(53),<br/>reserved54(54),<br/>reserved55(55)<insert>}</insert></pre> |
|------------------------|---|
| <u>GroupResolution</u> | Decision of Group: Principle  |
| Wmanlf2Basic(          | CapOptions2 ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION  |
| STATUS                 | current   |
| DESCRIP                | TION  |
| "This ty               | pe combines all the basic SS capabilities   |
| (excluu                | ding Phy and mobility specific) with the binary   |
| encodd                 | ed fields. It reserves the space equivalent to the  |
| size of                | corresponding TLV and within this space it maps   |
| directly               | to the encoding specified in TLVs including all   |
| defined                | reserved bits."   |
| REFEREN                | ICE   |

"Bit# subclauses:

bit 0-1: 11.8.4.1 (Pkm version)

2-7: reserved

bit 8-13: 11.8.4.2 (Authorization policy support)

14-15: reserved

- bit 16: 11.8.4.3 (MAC mode HMAC)
  - 17: reserved
  - 18-21: 11.8.4.3 (MAC mode HMAC follow up)

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

1/13 defer

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

#### IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comment          | <u>t by:</u>          | Joey Chou   |                    |               | Membership Statu | us: Member  | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/18 |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | B30029                |             | Document und       | ler Review: P | 302.16Rev2/D8    | }           | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b   |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis | Satisfied          | Page ?        | <u>Line</u> 63   | Fig/Table#  | Subclause 13.2.3        |
| User groups      | are only applicable   | to HFDD. Fo | r clarification, o | change Wma    | anlf2UserGroup   | os to Wmanl | f2HfddUserGroups        |

#### Suggested Remedy

Wmanlf2<insert>Hfdd<insert>UserGroups ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION STATUS current DESCRIPTION "Indicates two group of users for H-FDD operation." SYNTAX INTEGER {<insert>hfddG<insert><delete>g<delete>roup1(1), <insert>hfddG<insert><delete>g<delete>roup2(2)}

#### WmanIf2BsRegisteredSsEntry ::= SEQUENCE {

| wmanlf2BsSsUserGroupId Wmanlf2 <insert>Hfdd<insert>UserGroups,</insert></insert> |
|--|
| wmanlf2BsSsMacAddress MacAddress,  |
| wmanlf2BsSsBasicCid Wmanlf2TcCidType,  |
| wmanlf2BsSsPrimaryCid Wmanlf2TcCidType,  |
| wmanlf2BsSsSecondaryCid Wmanlf2TcCidType,  |
| wmanlf2BsSsManagementSupport Integer32,  |
| wmanlf2BsSslpManagementMode Integer32,   |
| wmanlf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqEnable TruthValue,  |
| wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqWindowSize Integer32,                                       |
| wmanlf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqDnLinkTxDelay Integer32,                                    |
| wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqUpLinkTxDelay Integer32,                                    |
| wmanlf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqDnLinkRxDelay Integer32,                                    |
| wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqUpLinkRxDelay Integer32,                                    |
| wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqBlockLifetime Integer32,                                    |
| wmanlf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqSyncLossTimeout Integer32,                                  |
| wmanlf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqDeliverInOrder Wmanlf2TcArqDelvInOrder,                     |
| wmanlf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqRxPurgeTimeout Integer32,                                   |
| wmanIf2BsSs2ndMgmtArqBlockSize Integer32,  |
| wmanlf2BsSsVendorldEncoding OCTET STRING,  |
| wmanIf2BsSsAasBroadcastPermission Integer32,                                     |
| wmanlf2BsSsMacVersion Wmanlf2TcMacVersion}                                       |
|  |

wmanlf2BsSsUserGroupId OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX Wmanlf2<insert>Hfdd<insert>UserGroups
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
"This is the index to two user groups supported by H-FDD
operation. If there is only one user group for TDD/FDD,
then this index = 1. "
::= { wmanlf2BsRegisteredSsEntry 1 }

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u> | by:   | Joey Chou   |                    | N           | <u>lembership Statu</u> | <u>s:</u> Member |                  | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/18 |
|----------------|---|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Comment #      | B30030                                      |             | Document under     | Review: P80 | 2.16Rev2/D8             |                  | Ballot ID: sb_16 | Rev2b                   |
| <u>Comment</u> | <u>Type</u> Technical                       | Part of Dis | Satisfied          | Page ?      | <u>Line</u> 46          | Fig/Table#       | <u>Subclause</u> | 13.2.3                  |
| 0 0            | ection Retries, LBS<br>anIf2BsConfiguration |             | al, SII-ADV interv | al paramete | rs have been            | added to Ta      | able 553 in REV2 | . They should be        |

#### Suggested Remedy

Change as the following:

| WmanIf2BsConfigurationEntry ::= SEQI                            | JENCE {         |
|---|-----------------|
| wmanlf2BsDcdInterval  | Integer32,      |
| wmanlf2BsUcdInterval  | Integer32,      |
| wmanlf2BsUcdTransition  | Integer32,      |
| wmanlf2BsDcdTransition  | Integer32,      |
| wmanlf2BsInitialRangingInterval                                 | Integer32,      |
| wmanlf2BsInvitedRangingRetries                                  | Integer32,      |
| wmanlf2BsSsULMapProcTime  | Unsigned32,     |
| wmanlf2BsSsRangRespProcTime                                     | Unsigned32,     |
| wmanlf2BsDsxRequestRetries                                      | Integer32,      |
| wmanlf2BsDsxResponseRetries                                     | Integer32,      |
| wmanlf2BsT7Timeout  | Integer32,      |
| wmanlf2BsT8Timeout  | Integer32,      |
| wmanlf2BsT9Timeout  | Integer32,      |
| wmanlf2BsT10Timeout   | Integer32,      |
| wmanlf2BsT13Timeout   | Integer32,      |
| wmanlf2BsT15Timeout   | Integer32,      |
| wmanlf2BsT17Timeout   | Integer32,      |
| wmanlf2BsT22Timeout   | Integer32,      |
| wmanlf2BsT27IdleTimer   | Unsigned32,     |
| wmanlf2BsT27ActiveTimer   | Unsigned32,     |
| <insert></insert>   |                 |
| wmanlf2BsRangingCorrectionRetr<br>wmanlf2Bs2ndMgmtDlQoSProfileI | ndex Integer32, |
| wmanlf2Bs2ndMgmtUlQoSProfileI                                   | -               |
| wmanlf2BsAutoSfidEnabled  | Integer32,      |
| wmanlf2BsAutoSfidRangeMin                                       | Unsigned32,     |

wmanlf2BsAutoSfidRangeMax Unsigned32, wmanlf2BsAasChanFbckRegFreg Integer32, wmanlf2BsAasBeamSelectFreq Integer32, wmanlf2BsAasChanFbckRegResolution Integer32, wmanlf2BsAasBeamRegResolution Integer32, wmanlf2BsAasNumOptDiversityZones Integer32, wmanlf2BsResetSector Integer32, wmanlf2BsSaChallengeTimer Integer32, wmanlf2BsSaChallengeMaxResends Integer32, wmanlf2BsSaTekTimer Integer32, wmanlf2BsSaTekRegMaxResends Integer32, <insert> wmanlf2BsLbsAdvInterval Integer32, wmanlf2BsSiiAdvInterval Integer32,<insert> wmanlf2BsT49Timeout Integer32, wmanlf2BsT56Timeout Integer32, wmanlf2BsT57Timeout Integer32, wmanlf2BsDlRadioRsrcWindowSize Integer32, wmanlf2BsUlRadioRsrcWindowSize Integer32} <insert> wmanlf2BsRangingCorrectionRetries OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX Unsigned32 (1...255) UNITS "microsecond" MAX-ACCESS read-write STATUS current DESCRIPTION "Maximum time between unicast grants to SS when BS believes SS uplink transmission quality is not good enough." DEFVAL { 16 } ::= { wmanlf2BsConfigurationEntry 21 }<insert> <insert> wmanlf2BsLbsAdvInterval OBJECT-TYPE Integer32 (2 .. 1800) **SYNTAX** UNITS "seconds" MAX-ACCESS read-write STATUS current DESCRIPTION "Nominal time between transmission of LBS-ADV messages." DEFVAL {10}

```
::= { wmanlf2BsConfigurationEntry 37 }
```

wmanlf2BsSiiAdvInterval OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX Integer32 (1 .. 30)
UNITS "seconds"
MAX-ACCESS read-write
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
 "Nominal time between transmission of SII-ADV messages."
DEFVAL { 10 }
::= { wmanlf2BsConfigurationEntry 38 }<insert>

**GroupResolution** 

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

### IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commen</u>    | <u>t by:</u>          | Joey Chou        |              |            | <u>Membership Statu</u> | s: Member         | D                  | <u>ate:</u> 2008/12/18 |
|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | B30031                |                  | Document und | er Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8          |                   | Ballot ID: sb_16R  | ev2b                   |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of Dis      | Satisfied    | Page ?     | <u>Line</u> 30          | <u>Fig/Table#</u> | <u>Subclause</u> 1 | 3.2.3                  |
| Add objects t    | o wmanlf2BsSsAct      | tionsTable to su | upport PRC-L | T-CTRL r   | message                 |                   |                    |                        |

#### Suggested Remedy

Change as the following:

#### <insert>

Wmanlf2MimoPrecoding ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION STATUS current DESCRIPTION "Types to setup or tear-down long-term precoding with feedback via PRC-LT-CTRL message. 0 - turn off 1 - turn on" REFERENCE "Table 163" INTEGER {turnOff(0), SYNTAX turnOn(1)}<insert> wmanlf2BsSsActionsTable OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX SEQUENCE OF WmanIf2BsSsActionsEntry MAX-ACCESS not-accessible **STATUS** current DESCRIPTION "This table contains all the actions specified for SSs in the standard. The actions are routed down to SS using unsolicited MAC messages: REG-RSP, DREG-REQ<insert>, PRC-LT-CTRL<insert> and RES-CMD. The table also contains the parameters of the actions in cases where they are specified by the standard." ::= { wmanlf2BsCm 7 } WmanIf2BsSsActionsEntry ::= SEQUENCE {

wmanlf2BsSsActionsMacAddress MacAddress, wmanlf2BsSsActionsResetSs Integer32,

wmanlf2BsSsActionsAbortSs Integer32, wmanlf2BsSsActionsOverrideDnFreq Unsigned32, wmanlf2BsSsActionsOverrideChannelld Integer32, wmanlf2BsSsActionsDeReRegSs Integer32, wmanlf2BsSsActionsDeReRegSsCode Integer32,<insert> wmanlf2BsSsActionsMimoPrecoding Wmanlf2MimoPrecoding, wmanIf2BsSsActionsMimoPrecodingDelay Unsigned32,<insert> wmanlf2BsSsActionsRowStatus RowStatus} <insert> wmanlf2BsSsActionsMimoPrecoding OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX Wmanlf2MimoPrecoding MAX-ACCESS read-create STATUS current DESCRIPTION "This object indicates whether long-term precoding with feedback from a particular MS is truned on or off. It is sent in the PRC-LT-CTRL message." ::= { wmanlf2BsSsActionsEntry 8 } wmanlf2BsSsActionsMimoPrecodingDelay OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX Unsigned32 UNITS "frames" MAX-ACCESS read-create STATUS current DESCRIPTION "This object indicates the delay in number of frames beyond the minimal delay of 1 frame for when precoding information feedback from the MS to the BS can or will be applied." ::= { wmanlf2BsSsActionsEntry 9 }<insert> GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

### IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commen</u>  | <u>t by:</u>          | Joey Chou      |              |            | Membership Statu | <u>s:</u> Member |                  | Date: 2008/12/18 |
|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Comment #      | B30032                |                | Document und | er Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8   |                  | Ballot ID: sb_16 | Rev2b            |
| <u>Comment</u> | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of Dis    | Satisfied    | Page ?     | Line 53          | Fig/Table#       | <u>Subclause</u> | 13.2.3           |
| Add an objec   | t to support power    | control mode i | n wmanlf2Bs0 | CmnPhyD    | ownlinkChannelTa | able             |                  |                  |
|                |                       |                |              |            |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Suggested Rem  | edy                   |                |              |            |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Change as th   | ne following:         |                |              |            |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|                |                       |                |              |            |                  |                  |                  |                  |

1. In wmanlf2TcMib <insert> WmanIf2TcPwrCntlMode ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION STATUS current DESCRIPTION "Type of power cpntrol mode: 0 - Closed-loop power control mode 1 - Open loop power control passive mode with Offset SSperSS retention 2 - Open-loop power control passive mode with Offset SSperSS reset 3 - Open-loop power control active mode" REFERENCE "Table 161" INTEGER {closedLoopPowerControl(0), SYNTAX openLoopPassiveModeRetention(1), openLoopPassiveModeReset(2), openLoopActiveMode(3)}<insert> WmanIf2BsCmnPhyDownlinkChannelEntry ::= SEQUENCE { wmanlf2BsCmnPhyBsEIRP Integer32, wmanlf2BsCmnPhyChannelNumber WmanIf2TcChannelNumber, wmanlf2BsCmnPhyMaxEirp Integer32, wmanIf2BsCmnPhyDownlinkCenterFreq Unsigned32, wmanlf2BsCmnPhyBsId WmanIf2TcBsIdType, wmanlf2BsCmnPhyMacVersion WmanIf2TcMacVersion,

wmanlf2BsCmnPhyCyclicPrefix Wmanlf2TcOfdmaCp,

wmanlf2BsCmnPhyDlRadioResource Integer32,

wmanlf2BsCmnPhyHysteresisMarginInteger32,wmanlf2BsCmnPhyTimeToTriggerDurationInteger32,wmanlf2BsCmnPhyMihCapabilityWmanlf2TcMihCapability,wmanlf2BsCmnPhyNspChangeCountInteger32,wmanlf2BsCmnPhyCellTypeWmanlf2CellType,wmanlf2BsCmnPhyBsRestartCountInteger32,wmanlf2BsCmnPhyDlConfigChangeCountInteger32,wmanlf2BsCmnPhyDlConfigChangeCountInteger32,wmanlf2BsCmnPhyDlPowerControlModeWmanlf2TcPwrCntlMode

<<insert>

wmanlf2BsCmnPhyDIPowerControlMode OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX Wmanlf2TcPwrCntlMode
MAX-ACCESS read-only
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
"This object defines the Power control mode change parameter
that BS will send to MS in PCM\_RSP message in OFDM and OFDMA PHY modes."
::= { wmanlf2BsCmnPhyDownlinkChannelEntry 16 }<insert>

**GroupResolution** 

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment by</u>                       | <u>.</u>                     | Joey Chou   |                 |               | <u>Membership Sta</u> | tus: Member     |                  | Date: 2008/12/18 |
|---|------------------------------|---|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Comment # B3                            | 0033                         |   | Document und    | der Review: P | 802.16Rev2/D          | 8 <u>Ba</u>     | allot ID: sb_16  | Rev2b            |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>T</u>                 | ype Technical                | Part of Dis   | Satisfied       | Page ?        | Line 4                | Fig/Table#      | <u>Subclause</u> | 13.2.3           |
| Cell Type TLV h                         | as been change               | ed in D8 draft.   | So wmanlf2B     | sCmnPhyCe     | ellType shall be      | e changed accor | dingly           |                  |
| The syntax of wr                        |                              |   | •               | /manlf2TcC    | ellType that is a     | a new type adde | ed to            |                  |
| wmanlf2TcMib,                           | so it can be use             | ed by other MI  | B module.       |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
| Suggested Remedy                        |                              |   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
| Change as the fe                        | ollowing:                    |   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
| je i | 5                            |   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
| 1. In wmanlf2Tc                         | Mib                          |   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
| <insert></insert>                       |                              |   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
| WmanIf2TcCell1<br>STATUS                | current                      | AL-CONVEN   | HUN             |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
| DESCRIPT                                |                              |   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
|   | e defines BS cla             | asses to be us  | ed by the MS    | in the        |                       |                 |                  |                  |
|   | for cell selectio            |   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
| of these                                | classes are out              | t of scope of th  | ne specificatio | n.            |                       |                 |                  |                  |
|   | aps to BS class              | sess 0 to 15"   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
| REFEREN                                 |                              |   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
| "Table 5                                |                              | $O(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha)$ |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
| SYNTAX                                  | INTEGER {bs                  |   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
|   | bsClasses1(1<br>bsClasses2(2 |   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
|   | bsClasses3(3                 |   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
|   | bsClasses4(4                 |   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
|   | bsClasses5(5                 |   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
|   | bsClasses6(6                 | S),   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
|   | bsClasses7(7                 |   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
|   | bsClasses8(8                 | 11  |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
|   | bsClasses9(9                 |   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
|   | bsClasses10(<br>bsClasses11) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                       |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
|   | bsClasses12                  |   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
|   | bsClasses13                  |   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
|   | bsClasses14                  |   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
|   | bsClasses15                  | · · · ·   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |
|   |                              |   |                 |               |                       |                 |                  |                  |

2. In wmanlf2Mib

Add WmanIf2TcCellType to IMPORTS FROM WMAN-IF2-TC-MIB

WmanIf2BsCmnPhyDownlinkChannelEntry ::= SEQUENCE { wmanlf2BsCmnPhyBsEIRP Integer32, wmanlf2BsCmnPhyChannelNumber WmanIf2TcChannelNumber, wmanlf2BsCmnPhyMaxEirp Integer32, wmanlf2BsCmnPhyDownlinkCenterFreq Unsigned32, wmanlf2BsCmnPhyBsId Wmanlf2TcBsIdType, wmanlf2BsCmnPhyMacVersion Wmanlf2TcMacVersion, WmanIf2TcOfdmaCp, wmanlf2BsCmnPhyCyclicPrefix wmanlf2BsCmnPhyDIRadioResource Integer32, wmanlf2BsCmnPhyHysteresisMargin Integer32, wmanlf2BsCmnPhyTimeToTriggerDuration Integer32, wmanlf2BsCmnPhyMihCapability Wmanlf2TcMihCapability, wmanlf2BsCmnPhyNspChangeCount Integer32, wmanlf2BsCmnPhyCellType <delete>Integer32<delete><insert>WmanIf2TcCellType<insert>, wmanlf2BsCmnPhyBsRestartCount Integer32, wmanlf2BsCmnPhyDlConfigChangeCount Integer32}

#### wmanlf2BsCmnPhyCellType OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX <delete>Integer32 (0 .. 15)<delete><insert>WmanIf2TcCellType<insert> MAX-ACCESS read-write STATUS current DESCRIPTION "This object <insert>defines BS classes to be used by the MS in the network for cell selection and re-selection. <insert><delete>indicates the size of the cell. Selection of value is implementation specific. 0 - the smallest cell 15 - the largest cell.<delete>" REFERENCE "Table 574"

```
::= { wmanlf2BsCmnPhyDownlinkChannelEntry 13 }
```

**GroupResolution** 

Decision of Group: Agree

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

### IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comme            | <u>nt by:</u>                         | Joey Chou  |                                       |   | <u>Membership</u>                     | Status: Member |                  | Date: 2008/12/18 |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| <u>Comment</u> # | <u>#</u> B30034                       |  | Document unde                         | r Review: P   | 802.16Rev2                            | 2/D8           | Ballot ID: sb_16 | Rev2b            |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Technical                 |  | Satisfied                             | Page ?  | <u>Line</u> 19                        | Fig/Table#     | <u>Subclause</u> | 13.2.3           |
| wmanlf2Bs0       | OfdmaTtgHfddGrou                      | p1 object shall  | be for TTG HF                         | DD group 2  | 2.                                    |                |                  |                  |
|                  |                                       |  |                                       |   |                                       |                |                  |                  |
| Suggested Rer    | <u>nedy</u>                           |  |                                       |   |                                       |                |                  |                  |
| Changed as       | the following                         |  |                                       |   |                                       |                |                  |                  |
| W/manlf2Bs       | OfdmaDownlinkCha                      | annelEntry ··= S   |                                       |   |                                       |                |                  |                  |
|                  | f2BsOfdmaFrameD                       | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | WmanIf2Tc                             | OfdmaErar   | me                                    |                |                  |                  |
|                  | f2BsOfdmaHARQA                        |  |                                       | TcHarqAck   |                                       |                |                  |                  |
|                  | f2BsOfdmaHarqZor                      |  | Wmanlf2To                             |   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                |                  |                  |
|                  | f2BsOfdmaHMaxRe                       |  | Integer32,                            |   |                                       |                |                  |                  |
| wmanl            | f2BsOfdmaRssiCinr                     | AvgParameter   |                                       | RssiCinrA   | vg,                                   |                |                  |                  |
|                  | f2BsOfdmaDIAmcA                       | -  |                                       | STRING,   | 0                                     |                |                  |                  |
| wmanl            | f2BsOfdmaHandove                      | erSupported  | Wmanlf2Tcl                            | HoSupport   | Туре,                                 |                |                  |                  |
| wmanl            | f2BsOfdmaFddDlInt                     | terGroupGap  | Wmanlf2Tc                             | FddDlGrpC   | Sap,                                  |                |                  |                  |
|                  | f2BsOfdmaFddPart                      |  | Integer32,                            |   |                                       |                |                  |                  |
|                  | f2BsOfdmaThresho                      |  | <b>U</b> 7                            |   |                                       |                |                  |                  |
|                  | f2BsOfdmaThresho                      |  | <b>U</b> 7                            |   |                                       |                |                  |                  |
|                  | f2BsOfdmaAsrSlotL                     | •  | nteger32,                             |   |                                       |                |                  |                  |
|                  | f2BsOfdmaAsrSwite<br>f2BsOfdmaTtgTtdO | -  | Integer32,<br>Integer32,              |   |                                       |                |                  |                  |
|                  | f2BsOfdmaTtgHfdd                      |  | • • • • •                             | ert>2 <ince< td=""><td>orts Ir</td><th>nteger32,</th><td></td><td></td></ince<> | orts Ir                               | nteger32,      |                  |                  |
|                  | f2BsOfdmaRtgTtdO                      | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | Integer32,                            |   | , i c <sup>2</sup> i i                | negeroz,       |                  |                  |
|                  | f2BsOfdmaRtgHfdd                      |  | Integer32,                            |   |                                       |                |                  |                  |
|                  | f2BsOfdmaTsuc1Ac                      |  | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | STRING,   |                                       |                |                  |                  |
|                  | f2BsOfdmaTsuc2Ac                      |  |                                       |   |                                       |                |                  |                  |
| wmanl            | f2BsOfdmaCidDesc                      | riptor V   | Vmanlf2CidDes                         | scriptor}   |                                       |                |                  |                  |
| wmanlf2Re(       | DfdmaTtgHfddGrou                      | n <delete>1 <de< th=""><td>alete&gt;<insert>?</insert></td><td>cinsert&gt; (</td><td></td><th>ΈF</th><td></td><td></td></de<></delete> | alete> <insert>?</insert>             | cinsert> (  |                                       | ΈF             |                  |                  |
| SYNTA            | •                                     |  |                                       |   |                                       |                |                  |                  |
| UNITS            |                                       |  |                                       |   |                                       |                |                  |                  |
|                  | CCESS read-write                      |  |                                       |   |                                       |                |                  |                  |
| STATU            |                                       |  |                                       |   |                                       |                |                  |                  |
| DESCI            | RIPTION                               |  |                                       |   |                                       |                |                  |                  |

"Transmit / Receive Transition Gap for HFDD group 2. For TDD , '0' should be returned, when reading this object." ::= { wmanlf2BsOfdmaDownlinkChannelEntry 15 }

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Act

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u>   | by:                   | Joey Chou   |              |            | <u>Membership Statu</u> | <u>is:</u> Member | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/18  |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| <u>Comment #</u> | B30035                |             | Document und | er Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8          |                   | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b  |
| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of Dis | Satisfied    | Page ?     | Line 8                  | Fig/Table#        | Subclause 13.2.4   |
|                  |                       |             |              |            |                         |                   | and a second |

"Bit #6: Additional action time is supported when this bit is set to 1" has been added to 11.7.12.5 Handover Supported field in D8 draft. So, WmanIf2mHandoverType should be updated accordingly

#### Suggested Remedy

Wmanlf2mHandoverType ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION STATUS current DESCRIPTION "Indicates what type(s) of Handover the BS and the MS supports. bit#0: if set to 1, MDHO/FBSS HO not supported, the BS shall ignore all other bits. bit#1: if set to 1, FBSS/MDHO DL RF Combining is supported with monitoring MAPs from active BSs bit#2: if set to 1, MDHO DL soft Combining is supported with monitoring single MAP from anchor BS bit#3: if set to 1, MDHO DL soft combining is supported with monitoring MAPs from active BSs bit#4: if set to 1, MDHO UL Multiple transmission is supported bit#5: If set to 1, seamless HO is supported <insert>bit#6: Additional action time is supported<insert>" REFERENCE "Subclause 11.7.12.5" BITS {mdhcFbssHoNotSpported(0), SYNTAX mdhcFbssDIMapsFromActiveBss(1), mdhcDIMapFromAnchorBs(2), mdhcDIMapsFromActiveBss(3), mdhcUIMultipleTx(4), seamlessHo(5)<insert>, additionalActionTime(6)<insert>}

**GroupResolution** 

Decision of Group: Principle

# STATUS current DESCRIPTION

```
"Indicates what type(s) of Handover the BS and the MS
   supports.
   bit#0: if set to 1, MDHO/FBSS HO not supported, the BS
       shall ignore all other bits.
   bit#1: if set to 1, FBSS/MDHO DL RF Combining is supported
       with monitoring MAPs from active BSs
   bit#2: if set to 1, MDHO DL soft Combining is supported
       with monitoring single MAP from anchor BS
   bit#3: if set to 1, MDHO DL soft combining is supported
       with monitoring MAPs from active BSs
   bit#4: if set to 1, MDHO UL Multiple transmission is
       supported
   bit#5: If set to 1, seamless HO is supported
   <insert>bit#6: If set to 1, Additional action time is supported<insert>"
REFERENCE
  "Subclause 11.7.12.5"
SYNTAX
           BITS {mdhcFbssHoNotSpported(0),
          mdhcFbssDIMapsFromActiveBss(1),
          mdhcDIMapFromAnchorBs(2),
          mdhcDIMapsFromActiveBss(3),
          mdhcUIMultipleTx(4),
          seamlessHo(5)<insert>,
          additionalActionTime(6)<insert>}
```

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comment        | <u>t by:</u>          | Joey Chou   |              |               | Membership Statu | s: Member         | Date                 | <u>e:</u> 2008/12/18 |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Comment #      | B30036                |             | Document und | der Review: P | 802.16Rev2/D8    |                   | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev  | /2b                  |
| <u>Comment</u> | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of Dis | Satisfied    | Page ?        | Line 20          | <u>Fig/Table#</u> | <u>Subclause</u> 13. | .2.4                 |

wmanlf2mBsOfdmaFddDlInterGroupGap and wmanlf2mBsOfdmaFddPartitionChange should be in wmanlf2mBsNeighborBsOfdmaDcdTable instead of wmanlf2mBsNeighborBsOfdmaUcdTable

#### Suggested Remedy

Wmanlf2mBsNeighborBsOfdmaUcdEntry ::= SEQUENCE { wmanlf2mBsOfdmaCtBasedResvTimeout Integer32. wmanlf2mBsOfdmaUplinkCenterFreq Unsigned32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaUIRadioResource Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaHandoverRangingStart Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaHandoverRangingEnd Integer32, wmanIf2mBsOfdmaUIAmcAlloPhyBandsBitmap OCTET STRING, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaInitRngCodes Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaPeriodicRngCodes Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaBWReqCodes Integer32. wmanlf2mBsOfdmaPeriodRngBackoffStart Integer32. wmanlf2mBsOfdmaPeriodRngBackoffEnd Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaStartOfRngCodes Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaPermutationBase Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaULAllocSubchBitmap OCTET STRING, wmanIf2mBsOfdmaOptPermULAlocSubchBitmap OCTET STRING, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaBandAMCAllocThreshold Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaBandAMCReleaseThreshold Integer32, Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaBandAMCAllocTimer wmanlf2mBsOfdmaBandAMCReleaseTimer Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaBandStatRepMAXPeriod Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaBandAMCRetryTimer Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaSafetyChAllocThreshold Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaSafetyChReleaseThreshold Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaSafetyChAllocTimer Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaSafetyChReleaseTimer Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaBinStatusReportMaxPeriod Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaSafetyChRetryTimer Integer32,

wmanlf2mBsOfdmaHARQAckDelayDLBurst Wmanlf2TcHarqAckDelay, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaCqichBandAmcTransDelay Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaMaxRetransmission Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaNormalizedCnOverride OCTET STRING, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaSizeOfCgichId Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaNormalizedCnValue Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaNormalizedCnOverride2 OCTET STRING, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaBandAmcEntryAvgCinr Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaAasPreambleUpperBond Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaAasPreambleLowerBond Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaAasBeamSelectAllowed WmanIf2TcAasBeamSel, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaCgichIndicationFlag OCTET STRING. wmanlf2mBsOfdmaMsUpPowerAdjStep Unsigned32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaMsDownPowerAdjStep Unsigned32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaMinPowerOffsetAdj Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaMaxPowerOffsetAdj Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaHandoverRangingCodes Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaInitialRangingInterval Unsigned32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaTxPowerReport Wmanlf2TcTxPowerReport, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaNormalizedCnChSounding Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaInitialRngBackoffStart Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaInitialRngBackoffEnd Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaBwRequestBackoffStart Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaBwRequestBackoffEnd Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaUIPuscSubChRotation Integer32, wmanIf2mBsOfdmaRelPwrOffetUlHargBurst Integer32, wmanIf2mBsOfdmaRelPwrOffetUIMacMgmBurst Unsigned32, wmanIf2mBsOfdmaUIInitialTxTiming Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaUIPhyModeId WmanIf2TcUIPhyModeld, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaFastFeedbackRegion Wmanlf2TcFastFeedback, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaHarqAckRegion WmanIf2TcHarqAckRegion, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaRangingRegion Wmanlf2TcRangingRegion, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaSoundingRegion Wmanlf2TcSoundingRegion, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaMsTxPowerLimit Unsigned32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaHfddGroupSwitchDelay Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaFrameOffset WmanIf2TcFrameOffset, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaNumOfPowerControlBits Wmanlf2TcPwrCntlBits, <delete>wmanlf2mBsOfdmaFddDlInterGroupGap WmanIf2TcFddDlGrpGap, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaFddPartitionChange Integer32.<delete> wmanlf2mBsOfdmaUcdConfigChangeCount Integer32}

<delete> wmanlf2mBsOfdmaFddDlInterGroupGap OBJECT-TYPE Wmanlf2TcFddDlGrpGap SYNTAX MAX-ACCESS read-write STATUS current DESCRIPTION "Indicates the location and the size of inter-group gap location." ::= { wmanlf2mBsNeighborBsOfdmaUcdEntry 65 } wmanlf2mBsOfdmaFddPartitionChange OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX Integer32 (0 .. 255) "Frames" UNITS MAX-ACCESS read-write STATUS current DESCRIPTION "Indicate minimum number of frames (excluding current frame) before next possible change." ::= { wmanlf2mBsNeighborBsOfdmaUcdEntry 66 }<delete> WmanIf2mBsNeighborBsOfdmaDcdEntry ::= SEQUENCE { wmanlf2mBsOfdmaBsEIRP Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaChannelNumber WmanIf2TcChannelNumber, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaTTG Integer32. wmanlf2mBsOfdmaRTG Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaMaxEirp Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaDownlinkCenterFreq Unsigned32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaBsId OCTET STRING. Wmanlf2TcMacVersion. wmanlf2mBsOfdmaMacVersion wmanlf2mBsOfdmaDIRadioResource Integer32, WmanIf2TcHarqAckDelay, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaHARQAackDelayULBurst wmanlf2mBsOfdmaHarqZonePermutation WmanIf2TcPermutationTyp, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaHMaxRetransmission Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaRssiCinrAvgParameter WmanIf2TcRssiCinrAvg, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaDIAmcAlloPhyBandsBitmap OCTET STRING, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaHandoverSupported WmanIf2TcHoSupportType, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaThresholdAddBsDivSet Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaThresholdDelBsDivSet Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaAsrSlotLength Integer32. wmanlf2mBsOfdmaAsrSwitchingPeriod Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaHysteresisMargin Integer32,

wmanlf2mBsOfdmaTimeToTrigger Integer32, Wmanlf2TcMihCapability, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaMihCapability wmanlf2mBsOfdmaNspChangeCount Integer32. wmanlf2mBsOfdmaCellType Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaRestartCount Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaDcdConfigChangeCount Integer32<insert>, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaFddDlInterGroupGap Wmanlf2TcFddDlGrpGap, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaFddPartitionChange Integer32<insert>} <insert> wmanlf2mBsOfdmaFddDlInterGroupGap OBJECT-TYPE Wmanlf2TcFddDlGrpGap SYNTAX MAX-ACCESS read-write STATUS current DESCRIPTION "Indicates the location and the size of inter-group gap location." ::= { wmanlf2mBsNeighborBsOfdmaDcdEntry 27 } wmanlf2mBsOfdmaFddPartitionChange OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX Integer32 (0 .. 255) UNITS "Frames" MAX-ACCESS read-write STATUS current DESCRIPTION "Indicate minimum number of frames (excluding current frame) before next possible change." ::= { wmanlf2mBsNeighborBsOfdmaDcdEntry 28 }<insert>

**GroupResolution** 

Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

3

| 2009/09/30<br>Comment by:   | Joey Chou  |   | <u>Membership Status:</u>       | Member                 | IE            |             | 02.16-08/064r<br>Date: 2008/12/18 |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|
| Comment # B30037  | Document   | under Review:   | 802.16Rev2/D8                   |                        | Ballot ID: St | 0_16F       | Rev2b                             |
| CommentTypeTechnicalCell Type TLV has been change   | Part of Dis Satisfied  | -   |                                 | ig/Table#<br>nanged ac |               | <u>ause</u> | 13.2.4                            |
| <u>Suggested Remedy</u><br>Change as the following:   |  |   |                                 |                        |               |             |                                   |
| Change wmanlf2mMib as the feature   | ollowing   |   |                                 |                        |               |             |                                   |
| 1. Add WmanIf2TcCellType to I   | MPORTS FROM WMAN-  | IF2-TC-MIB  |                                 |                        |               |             |                                   |
| WmanIf2mBsNeighborBsOfdma<br>wmanIf2mBsOfdmaBsEIRI<br>wmanIf2mBsOfdmaChann<br>wmanIf2mBsOfdmaTTG<br>wmanIf2mBsOfdmaRTG<br>wmanIf2mBsOfdmaMaxEir<br>wmanIf2mBsOfdmaDownli<br>wmanIf2mBsOfdmaBsId<br>wmanIf2mBsOfdmaBsId<br>wmanIf2mBsOfdmaDIRadi<br>wmanIf2mBsOfdmaHARQ.<br>wmanIf2mBsOfdmaHARQ.<br>wmanIf2mBsOfdmaHMaxF<br>wmanIf2mBsOfdmaHMaxF<br>wmanIf2mBsOfdmaHMaxF<br>wmanIf2mBsOfdmaHMaxF<br>wmanIf2mBsOfdmaHMaxF | P Integer32,<br>elNumber Wmanlf<br>Integer32,<br>integer32,<br>nkCenterFreq Unsign<br>OCTET STRIN<br>oResource Integer3<br>AackDelayULBurst Wm<br>onePermutation Wmar<br>Retransmission Intege<br>nrAvgParameter Wmar<br>AlloPhyBandsBitmap OC | 2TcChannelN<br>2TcChannelN<br>NG,<br>cMacVersion,<br>32,<br>nanIf2TcHarqA<br>nIf2TcPermuta<br>er32,<br>nIf2TcRssiCini | AckDelay,<br>itionTyp,<br>rAvg, |                        |               |             |                                   |

ananuoversupporteu vviiiaiiii4 i ci iooupporti ype, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaThresholdAddBsDivSet Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaThresholdDelBsDivSet Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaAsrSlotLength Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaAsrSwitchingPeriod Integer32, wmanIf2mBsOfdmaHysteresisMargin Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaTimeToTrigger Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaMihCapability Wmanlf2TcMihCapability, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaNspChangeCount Integer32, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaCellType <delete>Integer32<delete><insert>WmanIf2TcCellType<insert>, wmanlf2mBsOfdmaRestartCountInteger32,wmanlf2mBsOfdmaDcdConfigChangeCountInteger32,wmanlf2mBsOfdmaFddDlInterGroupGapWmanlf2TcFddDlGrpGap,wmanlf2mBsOfdmaFddPartitionChangeInteger32}

wmanlf2mBsOfdmaCellType OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX <delete>Integer32 (0 .. 15)<delete><insert>WmanIf2TcCellType<insert> MAX-ACCESS read-write STATUS current DESCRIPTION "This object <insert>defines BS classes to be used by the MS in the network for cell selection and re-selection. <insert><delete>indicates the size of the cell. Selection of value is implementation specific. 0 - the smallest cell

15 - the largest cell.<delete>"

::= { wmanlf2mBsNeighborBsOfdmaDcdEntry 24 }

| GroupResolution                  | Decision         | Decision of Group: |  |  |
|----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Reason for Group's Decision/Reso | ution            |                    |  |  |
| Group's Notes                    |                  |                    |  |  |
| Editor's Notes                   | Editor's Actions | a) done            |  |  |
|                                  |                  |                    |  |  |

## IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>by:</u>                                 | Joey Chou  |   |   | <u>Membership S</u>   | tatus: Member  |   | Date: 2008/12/18   |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| B30038                                     |  | Document ur   | nder Review:  | P802.16Rev2/  | D8   | Ballot ID: sb_16  | Rev2b  |
| <u>Type</u> Technical<br>ire missing in wr |  |   | <u>Page</u> ?<br>aDcdTable  | <u>Line</u> 60  | <u>Fig/Table#</u>  | <u>Subclause</u>  | 13.2.4   |
| -  | nt-08_353.doc  |   |   |   |  |   |  |
|  | Decision of  | of Group: Agre  | 90  |   |  |   |  |
| 's Decision/Resoluti                       | <u>on</u>  |   |   |   |  |   |  |
|  |  |   |   |   |  |   |  |
| <u>E</u>                                   | Editor's Actions   | a) done   |   |   |  |   |  |
|  |  |   |   |   |  | IEEE  | 802.16-08/064r3  |
| by:  | Joey Chou  |   |   | <u>Membership S</u>   | tatus: Member  |   | Date: 2008/12/18   |
| B30039                                     |  | Document ur   | nder Review:  | P802.16Rev2/  | D8   | Ballot ID: sb_16  | Rev2b  |
| <u>Type</u> Technical<br>bsAdvNeighborI    |  |   | <u>Page</u> ?<br>upport LBS·  | <u>Line</u> 13<br>-ADV message  | <u>Fig/Table#</u>  | <u>Subclause</u>  | 13.2.4   |
| -  | nt-08_352.doc  |   |   |   |  |   |  |
|  | Decision of  | of Group: Agre  | e   |   |  |   |  |
|  |  | a) done   |   |   |  |   |  |
|  | B30038<br><u>Type</u> Technical<br>are missing in when<br>dy<br>ution C80216mai<br><u>by:</u><br>B30039<br><u>Type</u> Technical<br>.bsAdvNeighborE<br>dy<br>ution C80216mai | B30038 Type Technical Part of Dis are missing in wmanIf2mBsNeig dy ution C80216maint-08_353.doc Decision of 's Decision/Resolution Editor's Actions by: Joey Chou B30039 Type Technical Part of Dis a bsAdvNeighborBsTable shall b dy ution C80216maint-08_352.doc Decision of 's Decision/Resolution | B30038       Document un         Type Technical       Part of Dis       Satisfied       Image: Sa | B30038       Document under Review:         Type Technical       Part of Dis       Satisfied       Page ?         are missing in wmanlf2mBsNeighborBsOfdmaDcdTable         dy         ution C80216maint-08_353.doc         Decision of Group:       Agree         d's         d's Decision/Resolution         by:       Joey Chou         B30039       Document under Review:         Type Technical       Part of Dis       Satisfied       Page ?         bsAdvNeighborBsTable shall be added to support LBS         dy         ution C80216maint-08_352.doc         dy         tion C80216maint-08_352.doc         dy         etcision of Group:       Agree | 330038       Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/         Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page ? Line 60         are missing in wmanlf2mBsNeighborBsOfdmaDcdTable.         dv         ution C80216maint-08_353.doc         Decision of Group: Agree         V's Decision/Resolution         Editor's Actions a) done         by:       Joey Chou         Membership S         30039       Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/         Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page ? Line 13         bsAdvNeighborBsTable shall be added to support LBS-ADV message         dv         tion C80216maint-08_352.doc         Decision of Group: Agree | B30038       Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D8         Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page ? Line 60 Fig/Table#         are missing in wmanIf2mBsNeighborBsOfdmaDcdTable.         dv         trion C80216maint-08_353.doc         Decision of Group: Agree         's Decision/Resolution         by:       Joey Chou         Membership Status: Member         B30039       Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D8         Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page ? Line 13 Fig/Table#         bsAdvNeighborBsTable shall be added to support LBS-ADV message.         dv         tition C80216maint-08_352.doc         fig/Table#         bsAdvNeighborBsTable shall be added to support LBS-ADV message.         fig/Table#         /s Decision/Resolution | 330038       Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D8       Ballot ID: sb_16         Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page ?       Line 60       Fig/Table#       Subclause         are missing in wmanlf2mBsNeighborBsOfdmaDcdTable.       Subclause       Subclause       Subclause         dv       Decision of Group: Agree       Subclause       Subclause       Subclause         dv       Editor's Actions a) done       IEEE ?       Subclause       IEEE ?         bv:       Joey Chou       Membership Status: Member       Ballot ID: sb_16         330039       Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D8       Ballot ID: sb_16         Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page ?       Line 13       Fig/Table#       Subclause         bsAdvNeighborBsTable shall be added to support LBS-ADV message.       Subclause       Subclause         dv       trion C80216maint-08_352.doc       Decision of Group: Agree       Ys Decision/Resolution |

### IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u> | by:    | Joey Chou |               |              | <u>Membership Statu</u> | <u>B:</u> Member | <u>Date:</u> 2008/12/18 |
|----------------|--------|-----------|---------------|--------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Comment #      | B30040 |           | Document unde | er Review: P | 802.16Rev2/D8           |                  | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b   |
| <u>Comment</u> |        |           | Satisfied     | Page ?       | Line 63                 | Fig/Table#       | Subclause 13.2.4        |

"11.13.18.3.3.2 IP Type of Service/DSCP (differentiated services codepoint) Range and Mask field" has been removed from D8.

"11.13.18.3.3.19 IP Type of Service" has been added to D8.

Classification tables in wmanIf2mMib and wmanIf2fMib should be changed accordingly.

#### Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution C80216maint-08\_355.doc

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commen</u>    | <u>it by:</u>  | Joey Chou   |                  | M            | lembership Status | s: Member         |                  | Date:  | 2008/12/18 |
|------------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | B30041         |             | Document under R | Review: P80  | 2.16Rev2/D8       |                   | Ballot ID: sb_16 | 6Rev2l | b          |
| <u>Comment</u>   | Type Editorial | Part of Dis | atisfied Pa      | <u>age</u> ? | <u>Line</u> 37    | Fig/Table#        | <u>Subclause</u> | 13.2.  | 5          |
| Comment          |                | Part of Dis |                  |              |                   | <u>Fig/Table#</u> | _                |        |            |

Clarify description in Subclause 13.1.5.2

### Suggested Remedy

Change as the following:

wmanlf2fBsProvServiceClassTable OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX SEQUENCE OF WmanIf2fBsProvServiceClassEntry

MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"<delete>Each entry of the<delete> <insert>This<insert> table contains corresponding service flow

characteristic attributes (e.g. QoS parameter set). <delete>The

value of wmanlf2fBsQoSProfileIndex is obtained from

wmanlf2fBsServiceClassIndex in wmanlf2fBsProvisionedSfTable<delete>"

REFERENCE

"Subclause 6.3.14.4"

::= { wmanlf2fBsMib 2 }

wmanlf2fBsProvServiceClassEntry OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX Wmanlf2fBsProvServiceClassEntry
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
"This table provides one row for each service class<insert>, and is indexed by
wmanlf2fBsQoSProfileIndex that is obtained from
wmanlf2fBsServiceClassIndex in wmanlf2fBsProvisionedSfTable<insert>"
INDEX { ifIndex, wmanlf2fBsQoSProfileIndex }
::= { wmanlf2fBsProvServiceClassTable 1 }

**GroupResolution** 

Decision of Group: Agree

| <u>Group's Notes</u>  |                                |  |                   |   |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-------------------|---|
| Editor's Notes  | Editor's Actions a) done       |  |                   |   |
| 2009/09/30  |                                |  | I                 | EEE 802.16-08/064r3                                   |
| Comment by:   | Roger Marks                    | <u>Membership Status:</u>                              | Member            | <u>Date:</u> 2008-12-06                               |
| Comment # B30042  | Document u                     | nder Review: P802.16Rev2/D8                            | <u>Ballot ID:</u> | sb_16Rev2b  |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editoria<br>Forwarded comment from F |                                | Page ? Line 17 F<br>has changed, and the URL for       | -                 | <u>oclause</u> Annex A<br>Regulations is more useful. |
| Suggested Remedy  |                                |  |                   |   |
| Replace URL with http://wir                                     | eless.fcc.gov/index.htm?job=ru | ules_and_regulations                                   |                   |   |
| <u>GroupResolution</u>  | Decision of Group: Agree       | <b>€</b>   |                   |   |
| Reason for Group's Decision/Res                                 | olution                        |  |                   |   |
| Group's Notes   |                                |  |                   |   |
| Editor's Notes  | Editor's Actions a) done       |  |                   |   |
| 2009/09/30  |                                |  | I                 | EEE 802.16-08/064r3                                   |
| Comment by:   | Roger Marks                    | Membership Status:                                     | Member            | <u>Date:</u> 2008-12-06                               |
| Comment # B30043  | <u>Document u</u>              | nder Review: P802.16Rev2/D8                            | <u>Ballot ID:</u> | sb_16Rev2b  |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editoria<br>Forwarded comment from F |                                | Page ? Line 46 E<br>2.11-2007 is the correct reference |                   | oclause Annex A                                       |
| <u>Suggested Remedy</u><br>Change 1997 to 2007                  |                                |  |                   |   |
| <u>GroupResolution</u>  | Decision of Group: Agre        | 3 <del>0</del>   |                   |   |
| Reason for Group's Decision/Res                                 | olution                        |  |                   |   |
| Group's Notes   |                                |  |                   |   |
| Editor's Notes  | Editor's Actions a) done       |  |                   |   |

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comment by:                              | Roger Marks                          | <u>Membership</u>      | Status: Member          | <u>Date:</u> 2008-12-06             |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Comment # B30044                         | Document unde                        | er Review: P802.16Rev  | 2/D8 Ballo              | <u>ot ID:</u> sb_16Rev2b            |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editorial     | Part of Dis Satisfied                | Page? Line 51          | Fig/Table#              | Subclause Annex A                   |
| Forwarded comment from Pet               | er Ecclesine: IEEE Std. 802.11       | -2007 clause 17 is the | correct reference, ar   | nd is only referred to in 8.3.10.3. |
| Suggested Remedy                         |                                      |                        |                         |                                     |
| Change entry to [B29] IEEE S<br>17[B29]" | td. 802.11-2007 clause 17, and       | d change page 701 lin  | e 32, 8.3.10.3 to "IEEI | E Std 802.11-2007, clause           |
| <u>GroupResolution</u>                   | Decision of Group: Agree             |                        |                         |                                     |
| Reason for Group's Decision/Resolut      | ion                                  |                        |                         |                                     |
| Group's Notes                            |                                      |                        |                         |                                     |
| Editor's Notes                           | Editor's Actions a) done             |                        |                         |                                     |
| 2009/09/30                               |                                      |                        |                         | IEEE 802.16-08/064r3                |
| <u>Comment by:</u>                       | Roger Marks                          | <u>Membership</u>      | Status: Member          | <u>Date:</u> 2008-12-06             |
| Comment # B30045                         | Document unde                        | er Review: P802.16Rev  | 2/D8 Ballo              | <u>ot ID:</u> sb_16Rev2b            |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Editorial     | Part of Dis Satisfied                | Page? Line             | Fig/Table#              | Subclause Annex A                   |
| Forwarded comment from Pet               | er Ecclesine: Blank page             |                        |                         |                                     |
| Suggested Remedy                         |                                      |                        |                         |                                     |
| delete blank page                        |                                      |                        |                         |                                     |
| <u>GroupResolution</u>                   | Decision of Group: Agree             |                        |                         |                                     |
| Reason for Group's Decision/Resolut      | ion                                  |                        |                         |                                     |
| Group's Notes                            |                                      |                        |                         |                                     |
| Editor's Notes                           | Editor's Actions g) editor disagrees |                        |                         |                                     |

# IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Commen  | t by:   | Roger Marks |                    |             | Membership Status: | Member          |                  | <u>Date:</u> 2008-12-06 |  |  |
|---|---|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| <u>Comment #</u>  | B30046  |             | Document un        | der Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8     |                 | Ballot ID: sb_16 | Rev2b                   |  |  |
| <u>Comment</u>  | <u>Type</u> Editorial                             | Part of Dis | Satisfied          | Page ?      | Line Fi            | <u>g/Table#</u> | <u>Subclause</u> | 0                       |  |  |
| Forwarded comment from Peter Ecclesine: Bookmarks for the Annexes should include their titles |   |             |                    |             |                    |                 |                  |                         |  |  |
| Suggested Rem   | <u>edy</u>  |             |                    |             |                    |                 |                  |                         |  |  |
| Reformat pdf  | Reformat pdf to include annex titles in bookmarks |             |                    |             |                    |                 |                  |                         |  |  |
| <u>GroupResolution</u>  | 1   | Decision of | <u>Group:</u> Agre | e           |                    |                 |                  |                         |  |  |

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

<u>Editor's Notes</u> <u>Editor's Actions</u> g) editor disagrees

This isn't as simple as it sounds. This would require reformatting each annex.

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3 Date: 2008/12/18 Comment by: Li Wang Membership Status: Nonmember Comment # **B30047** Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D8 Ballot ID: sb\_16Rev2b Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page ? Line Fig/Table# Subclause Comment In the table 161 of section 6.3.2.3.54, size of start frame is 6 bit in the PMC RSP message. But in the SBC-REQ/RSP message size of related parameter is 1 byte. That may cause some problems when the value of the parameter in SBC-REQ/RSP is bigger than 64 and make inconsistency between BS and MS. Suggested Remedy Please adopt C80216maint-08 354 or later version. GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle adopt C802.16maint-08/354 **Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution** Group's Notes **Editor's Notes** Editor's Actions a) done 2009/09/30 IEEE 802.16-08/064r3 Date: 2009-01-06 Comment by: Sylvain Labonte Membership Status: Member Comment # **B30048L** Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D8 Ballot ID: sb\_16Rev2b Page 713 Subclause 8.4.4.2 **Type** Editorial Fig/Table# 222 Part of Dis Satisfied Line Comment Incomplete implementation of agreed comment A20012. Figures 222 and 223 have wrong captions. Suggested Remedy interchange captions between Figures 222 and 223. **GroupResolution** Decision of Group: Agree **Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution** 

**Group's Notes** 

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

# IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comme                      | ent by:               | Sylvain Labonte |                     |                    | Membership Status            | <u>s:</u> Member  |                  | <u>Date:</u> 20               | 09-01-06 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| <u>Comment</u>             | <u>#</u> B30049L      |                 | Document under      | <u>r Review:</u> P | 9802.16Rev2/D8               |                   | Ballot ID: sb_1  | 6Rev2b                        |          |
| <u>Comment</u>             | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis     | Satisfied           | Page ?             | <u>Line</u> 31               | <u>Fig/Table#</u> | <u>Subclause</u> | <u>e</u> 8.4.11. <sup>-</sup> | 1        |
| <b>O</b> • • • • • • • • • | 100004 100000         | 100000 100001   | and a second second | A                  | and the second second second | and and the Dis   | DO               |                               |          |

Comments A20021, A20022, A20023, A20024 were marked Agree but were not implemented in RevD8.

#### Suggested Remedy

Please fix unreadable superscripts at

p. 1092, l. 31

p. 1092, l. 45

p. 1093, l. 60

p. 1094, l. 22

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

p. 1092, l. 31 p. 1092, l. 45 p. 1093, l. 60 p. 1094, l. 22

#### Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

Hopefully this time it fixed the problem

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u>   | by:                   | Hassan | Yaghoobi   |               |            | <u>Membership Statu</u> | <u>s:</u> Member | r             |                | <u>Date:</u> 20 | 09/01/08 |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------|------------|---------------|------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | B30050L               |        |            | Document unde | er Review: | P802.16Rev2/D8          |                  | Ballot ID:    | sb_16          | Rev2b           |          |
| Comment          | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part o | of Dis 🗌 S | atisfied      | Page ?     | <u>Line</u> 42          | Fig/Table#       | 570 <u>Su</u> | <u>bclause</u> | 11.3.1          |          |

There exist different regulatory requirements in different countries e.g. related to the MS TX spectral masks. To allow global roaming and to allow global SKUs for a MS without violating the local regulatory requirements it is required that the MS knows before the first transmission in a network in which country it will be operating. Therefore the country code should be added with a new TLV to the UCD message.

### Suggested Remedy

Add a new row to the end of the table 570—UCD PHY-specific channel encodings—WirelessMAN-OFDMA (continued) with the following patrameters:

Name: Country Code Type: 218 Length: 2 Value: Country code according to List of Mobile Country or Geographical Area Codes - numerical order

ITU-T TELECOMMUNICATION STANDARDIZATION SECTOR OF ITU COMPLEMENT TO ITU-T RECOMMENDATION E.212 (11/98) Annex to ITU Operational Bulletin No. 803 – 1.1.2004 or latest revision

Bits #0-3: 1st digit (from left to right) of the country code Bits #4-7: 2nd digit of the country code Bits #8-11: 3rd digit of the country code Bits #12-15: Reserved

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

[Add the following reference to Chapter 2]

ITU-T TELECOMMUNICATION STANDARDIZATION SECTOR OF ITU COMPLEMENT TO ITU-T RECOMMENDATION E.212 (05/2004) Annex to ITU Operational Bulletin No. 897 – 1.XII.2007

[Add a new row to the end of the table 570—UCD PHY-specific channel encodings—WirelessMAN-OFDMA (continued) with the following patrameters:]

Name: Country Code Type: 218 Length: 2 Value: Country code according to List of Mobile Country or Geographical Area Codes - numerical order [ITU-T RECOMMENDATION E.212, Annex 897-1.XII.2007]

Bits #0-3: 1st digit (from left to right) of the country code Bits #4-7: 2nd digit of the country code Bits #8-11: 3rd digit of the country code Bits #12-15: Reserved

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

1/13 defer

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Comment</u>   | <u>: by:</u>          | Hassan | Yaghoobi   |               |                 | Membership Status | E Member      |               | Date: 2009/01/08      | 5 |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------|------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|---|
| <u>Comment #</u> | B30051L               |        |            | Document unde | er Review: P8   | 02.16Rev2/D8      |               | Ballot ID: sb | 16Rev2b               |   |
| Comment          | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part o | of Dis 🗌 S | atisfied      | <u>Page</u> 136 | Line 44           | Fig/Table# 96 | Subclau       | <u>ise</u> 6.3.2.3.26 |   |

Based on description in Section 6.3.23.1, a BS transmits DREG-CMD with action code 05 during BS initiated idle mode. However, this BS initiated idle mode can be one of the following two types: one case when the DREG-CMD with action 05 contains REQ-Duration TLV and the other one when the DREG-CMD with action code 05 does not contain REQ-Duration. Thus, the type of BS initiated idle mode is recognized by observing whether or not REQ-Duration is included in the DREG-CMD message.

The description of action code 05 in Table 96 does not specify anything about the inclusion of REQ-Duration in DREG-CMD. Therefore, for clarity the description of action code 05 should mention that "REQ duration" may or may not be included.

#### Suggested Remedy

Add the following sentence to the description of action code 05 in Table 96.

"In this case, DREG-CMD may include REQ-Duration TLV."

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Change the text for Action code 0x05 in Table 96 as indicated:

MS shall <delete>immediately</delete> begin <delete>deregistration</delete><insert>MS idle mode initiation</insert> <delete>from serving BS and request initiation of MS idle mode.</delete><insert>See 6.3.23.1</insert>

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

1/13 defer

Editor's Notes Editor's Act

Editor's Actions a) done

2009/09/30 IEEE 802.16-08/064r3 Date: 2009/01/08 Comment by: Hassan Yaqhoobi Membership Status: Member Comment # B30052L Document under Review: P802.16Rev2/D8 Ballot ID: sb\_16Rev2b Type Technical Part of Dis Satisfied Page 136 Line 47 Fig/Table# 96 Subclause 6.3.2.3.26 Comment

In Table 96, it is not clear if "REQ duration" is optional or mandatory when BS sends DREG-CMD message with Action Code 0x6. When reading the second sentence carefully in the description ("The MS may retransmit the DREG-REQ message after the time duration (REQ duration) provided in the message."), it seems to imply it is mandatory, as the operative word is "provided". If the "spirit" of Action Code 0x6 is to re-transmit, then it makes sense that it is mandatory. However, if it is optional then the sentence is better worded as "The MS may retransmit the DREG-REQ message after the time duration (REQ duration) if provided in the message." Other than this description, there is no other place in the standard doc that describes the use case of Action Code 0x6. It will be good if Section 6.3.23.1 be amended to discuss it's use case.

#### Suggested Remedy

Provide clarification to the description of action code 06 by modifying the sentence as follows.

"The MS may retransmit the DREG-REQ message after the time duration (REQ duration) if provided in the message."

**GroupResolution** Decision of Group: Principle Adopt C802.16maint-09/0010r1 **Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution Group's Notes** 

1/13 defer

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comment        | t by:                 | Peiying Zhu |                       | <u>Membership Status</u> | s: Member  | <u>Date:</u> 2009/01/12 |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| Comment #      | B30053L               |             | Document under Reviev | <u> P802.16Rev2/D8</u>   |            | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b   |
| <u>Comment</u> | <u>Type</u> Editorial | Part of Dis | atisfied Page         | <u>Line</u> 47           | Fig/Table# | Subclause Annex A       |

In Rev2 D8, despite the fact that the Bibliography presented in Annex A is informative, I would urge that amendment j's TG work to update the references for the sake of quality/accuracy. A specific example is the reference to the 802.11 Standard [B28] which still points at the 1997 baseline. FYI the current 802.11 baseline is really a 2008 document.

I would guess that some of the IETF & ITU documents are also in need of a refresh too.

Suggested Remedy

Undertake to refresh Annex A's document references.. Here is the refresh for [B28]..

Replace the existing text with at least the the 2007 baseline reference.. ie "[B28] IEEE Std 802.11(TM)-2007, IEEE Standard for Information Technology--Telecommunications and information exchange between systems--LANs and MANs--Specific requirements--Part 11: WLAN MAC and PHY Specifications"

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Agree

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Ac

Editor's Actions a) done

 Comment by:
 Sylvain Labonte
 Membership Status:
 Member
 Date:
 2009-01-13

 Comment #
 B30054LL
 Document under Review:
 P802.16Rev2/D8
 Ballot ID:
 sb\_16Rev2b

 Comment
 Type Technical
 Part of Dis
 Satisfied
 Page 997
 Line 55
 Fig/Table#
 Subclause
 8.4.6.2.7.1

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

As explained in the standard, a BS may set the 'periodicity' field to 0b000 in the UL Sounding Command IE to either

- Request a single (not periodic) sounding signal transmission, or
- Request termination of an existing periodic sounding signal transmission.

However, it is not clearly stated in the standard how an MS is supposed to distinguish the two cases above.

comment on behalf of Vladimir Yanover

### Suggested Remedy

C80216maint-09/0005

| <u>GroupResolution</u>                 | Decision of Group: | Principle |
|--|--------------------|-----------|
| Adopt C802.16maint-09/0005r1           |                    |           |
| Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution |                    |           |

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

| 2009/09/30   |  |                           | IEEE 802.16-08/064r3   |
|--|--|---------------------------|--|
| Comment by:  | Sylvain Labonte  | Membership Status: Member | <u>Date:</u> 2009-01-13  |
| Comment # B30055LL   | Document under Review:   | 2802.16Rev2/D8            | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b  |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Technical<br>The standard doesn't address | Part of DisSatisfiedPageS retransmission of sounding command the |                           | <u>Subclause</u> 8.4.6.2.7.1<br>ead to an inter operability problem. |
| comment on behalf of Vladimin  | r Yanover  |                           |  |
| Suggested Remedy<br>C80216maint-09/0006                              |  |                           |  |
| <u>GroupResolution</u>   | Decision of Group: Disagree                                      |                           |  |
| Adopt C802.16maint-09/0006r  | :1   |                           |  |
| Reason for Group's Decision/Resolut                                  | ion  |                           |  |
| The group believes the standa  | ard is sufficiently clear.                                       |                           |  |
| Group's Notes<br>1/13 deferred                                       |  |                           |  |
| Editor's Notes   | Editor's Actions b) none needed                                  |                           |  |

# IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| Comment by:                             | Sylvain Labonte                 | Membership Status: Membe                    | er <u>Date:</u> 2009-01-13          |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Comment # B30056LL                      | Document u                      | under Review: P802.16Rev2/D8                | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b               |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Comment</u> <u>Type</u> Tech         | nnical Part of Dis Satisfied    | Page 196 Line 24 Fig/Table#                 | 144 <u>Subclause</u> 6.3.2.3.42     |  |  |  |  |
| The standard does not p                 | rovide a satisfactory mechanism | for the indication of the reuse factor to b | e used in CINR calculations for HO. |  |  |  |  |
| comment submitted on behalf of Alvarion |                                 |   |                                     |  |  |  |  |

Suggested Remedy

C802.16maint-09/0008

GroupResolution

Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-09/0008r2, with the following modifications: text added in the "notes" column to be moved to immediately follow the table; and, use consistant notation for binary values (e.g., '0b00' instead of '00')

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

IEEE 802.16-08/064r3

| <u>Commen</u>    | <u>t by:</u>          | David   | Johnston |              |                 | Membership Statu | <u>s:</u> Member | Date:                 |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| <u>Comment #</u> | B30057LLL             |         |          | Document und | er Review: P8   | 02.16Rev2/D8     |                  | Ballot ID: sb_16Rev2b |
| Comment          | <u>Type</u> Technical | Part of | of Dis   | Satisfied    | <u>Page</u> 551 | <u>Line</u> 1    | Fig/Table#       | Subclause 7.4.1.5     |

The BS usage of TEK section includes a diagram for TEK management with text limiting its applicability to PKMv1. This diagram is actually applicable to PKMv2. Better wording is defined. Clarity is needed concerning the freshness of TEKs. Fix is necessary to avoid key leaking and replay attack vulnerabilities.

### Suggested Remedy

Adopt C802.16maint-09/0012

GroupResolution Decision of Group: Principle

Adopt C802.16maint-09/0012r2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

<u>Group's Notes</u> Result of vote to adopt C802.16maint-09/0012r2: 12 in favor, 0 opposed, 2 abstentions

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions a) done

Edits to Chapter 7 completed.