Dear P802.16j Balloting Group:

Thank you for your participation in the Sponsor Ballot Recirculation of P802.16j/D9, which ran from 5-20 February 2009. The numerical results were 160 Approve, 2 Disapprove, and 12 Abstain. This satisfies the numerical conditions for approval. These numbers do not consider subsequent indications of changes in vote.

65 comments were received. The responses are available in the myBallot system. The responses involve editorial recommendations to be made to IEEE editorial staff prior to publication. No changes have been made to the draft. P802.16j/D9 remains the current draft.

As noted during the previous recirculation, a revision of the base 802.16 standard is progressing in parallel with this amendment project. P802.16j/D9 is based on and will amend this new revision. In the current recirculation, the base document for the revision has been updated from P802.16Rev2/D9 to P802.16Rev2/D9a. This new draft D9a differs from the previous draft D9 only in subclause 1.2 (“Purpose”) on Page 1. The change was made as a result of RevCom decisions on 18 March 2009. Other than subclause 1.2, P802.16Rev2/D9 and P802.16Rev2/D9a are identical. Although P802.16Rev2/D9a is not yet approved, it is currently in what the 802.16 Working Group expects will be its final Sponsor Ballot recirculation. Provided that the P802.16Rev2/D9a recirculation concludes successfully, we expect that P802.16Rev2/D9a will be considered for approval by RevCom and could be approved by the IEEE-SA Standards Board as the latest revision of IEEE Std 802.16.

Please take this opportunity to review the material. Since this is a recirculation ballot, you need respond only if you wish to change your vote or submit additional comments within scope of the recirculation, as explained in the ballot announcement. No comment will be considered as a valid element of a Disapprove vote unless the voter enters it into the myBallot database and designates it appropriately.

If you have voted Disapprove, I urge you to review the comment resolutions and let us know which, if any, of your comments have been satisfactorily resolved. If you choose to cast an Approve or Abstain vote, that vote will indicate that all your previous Disapprove comments are resolved to your satisfaction.

Sincerely,

Roger Marks
Chair, IEEE 802.16 Working Group on Broadband Wireless Access