## Radiocommunication Study Groups



Document 5D/TEMP/229-E 16 June 2009 English only

## Working Party 5D (SWG Coordination)

## WP 5D VIEW ON "SELF-EVALUATION"

In Working Party Document 5D/468, the following aspect was raised and should be addressed by WP 5D.

Although the Section 4.1 of Report ITU-R M.2133 defines "initial self-evaluation/evaluation" as one of the major components required in a submission, we note that it may be worth to clarify contents of the "initial self-evaluation/evaluation", in order to eliminate any ambiguity, if required contents should be only compliance templates or compliance templates with an independent evaluation report. It is thus suggested that WP 5D should clarify "initial self-evaluation".

Consequently, in discussion of this, Working Party 5D has the following view:

WP 5D notes that a self-evaluation is an essential part of a complete submission (see Report ITU-R M.2133, Section 4.1). WP 5D recognizes that the self-evaluation must include the completion of the compliance templates 4.2.4.1, 4.2.4.2, and 4.2.4.3 from Report ITU-R M.2133. In particular, we draw attention to the fact that in order to complete the entries in template 4.2.4.3 one must use not only Report ITU-R M.2134 for the requirements, but also the evaluation guidelines in Report ITU-R M.2135 (see footnotes (1) and (2) in the template 4.2.4.3).

While in the strict sense, a self-evaluation report does not require showing the details of how Report ITU-R M.2135 and companion documents are used in the proponent's self-evaluation to derive the answers in template 4.2.4.3, and for the purpose of satisfying the self-evaluation portion of a complete submission, only requisite templates and "presence of a report" is necessary. Nevertheless it would be valuable to both WP 5D and the evaluation groups for a proponent to include in their self-evaluation report additional guidance on the background (e.g. assumptions, technical data, simulations, models, etc.) in support of the entries made in the 4.2.4.3 template (*Technical Performance Compliance Template*).

Proponents are therefore encouraged to submit as part of their self-evaluation any additional information that will promote a more in-depth understanding of the self-evaluation responses.

**Attention:** The information contained in this document is temporary in nature and does not necessarily represent material that has been agreed by the group concerned. Since the material may be subject to revision during the meeting, caution should be exercised in using the document for the development of any further contribution on the subject.