Project IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group < <u>http://ieee802.org/16</u> >				
Title	Corrections about exact ROHC Operation			
Date Submitted	2006-09-26			
Source(s)	Jaehong ChonVoice: +82-31-279-3371Samsung ElectronicsFax: +82-31-279-2724mailto: jhchon@samsung.com			
Re:	IEEE802.16e-2005			
Abstract	Corrections about exact ROHC operation			
Purpose	Adopt proposed changes			
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.			
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.			
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures < <u>http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html&gt;</u> , including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair < <u>mailto:chair@wirelessman.org&gt;</u> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site < <u>http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices&gt;</u> .			

## **Corrections about exact ROHC operation**

Jaehong Chon\*, Jung Ho Han\*, Yoonsun Lee\*, Jai-Dong Kim\*, Kang Sung Yang\*, Jin Won Seo\*, Junhyung Kim\*, Tricci So\*\*, Rosner, Gedon\*\*\*, Joseph Schumacher\*\*\*\*, Yair Bourlas\*\*\*\*\*, Erik Colban\*\*\*\*\*, Kamesh Medepalli\*\*\*\*\*\*, Huanchun Ye\*\*\*\*\*\*\*, Peretz Feder\*\*\*\*\*\*\*, Herbert Ruck\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Samsung Electronics\*, Nortel\*\*, Intel\*\*\*, Motorola\*\*\*\*, NextWave\*\*\*\*, Beceem\*\*\*\*\*, AmicusWireless\*\*\*\*\*\*, Lucent\*\*\*\*\*\*\*, Navini\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

## **Motivation**

The existing ROHC capability that is defined in IEEE 802.16e-2005 specification has significant system performance impact to support ROHC operation for real-time applications.

1. Inappropriate ROHC channel parameters negotiation for service applications

In today IEEE 802.16e-2005 specification allows the ROHC capability to be enabled at the network entry of the SS and provides only a partial context parameters negotiated at the service flow level. The current design of the IEEE 802.16e-2005 specification does not provide the appropriate protocol primitives to support the ROHC channel negotiation for a specific set of service applications within the SS. It is necessary to allow the ROHC header compression to be enabled on per ROHC channel of a given service application associated with a service flow. Therefore, the ROHC parameters shall be negotiated on the per service flow basis.

2. Lack of dynamic service flow basis negotiation of the ROHC channel capability

The current IEEE 802.16e-2005 specification restricts the ROHC operation for each application session of the SS to have a separated ROHC context associated with each service flow. Such restriction does not allow the multiplexing multiple ROHC contexts of the SS within the same service flow. Therefore, it wastes the airlink resources to support multiple application sessions of the SS that enable ROHC. In addition, the service application can be dynamically instantiated or terminated at the SS. Hence, it is important to provide a capability to support dynamically negotiated ROHC channel and context parameters on a per service flow basis for the SS.

[Change the text on page 5 of IEEE802.16e-2005]

IETF RFC 3748, "Extensible Authentication Protocol (EAP)," B. Aboba, L. Blunk, J. Vollbrecht, J. Carlson, H. Levkowetz, June 2004. (http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3748.txt)

IETF RFC 3759, "RObust Header Compression (ROHC): Terminology and Channel Mapping Examples", L-E. Jonsson, April 2004. (http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3759.txt)

IETF RFC 3775, "Mobility Support in IPv6," D. Johnson, C. Perkins, J. Arkko, June 2004 (http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3775.txt)

[Change the last paragraph in 5.2.4.2 as indicated on page 15 of IEEE802.16e-2005]

For IP-header compressed IP over IEEE 802.3/Ethernet, <del>IP header compression and VLAN</del> headers may be included in the classification. In this case, only the IEEE 802.3/IEEE 802.1Q (11.13.19.3.4.8 through 11.13.19.3.4.12) and Compressed IP header (11.13.19.3.4.16, 11.13.19.3.4.18) classification parameters are allowed.

[Change the text in 5.2.7.2 on page 16 of IEEE802.16e-2005]

5.2.7.2 Compressed-IP-Header classifiers

Compressed-IP-Header classifiers operate on the context fields of the ROHC- and ECRTP-compressed packets. The IP header compression parameters (11.13.19.3.4.16, 11.13.19.3.4.18) may be used in Compressed-IP-Header classifiers.

Term 'ROHC channel' is defined in RFC3095 and further clarified in RFC3759. The 802.16 standard does not attempt to redefine the definition of 'ROHC Channel'.

A single ROHC channel, which may have multiple ROHC contexts, shall have a one-to-one mapping to a single Service Flow (SFID). Since there is a one-one-mapping between a ROHC channel and a SF ID, there is no need to have any additional classifiers associated with that Service Flow. The method of associating a ROHC channel with a Service Flow is left to the implementation. One or more ROHC channels can be established for an SS.

[Insert new subclause 5.2.7.3 on page 16 of IEEE802.16e-2005]

5.2.7.3 ROHC parameters negotiation

For a Service Flow mapped to a ROHC Channel, the ROHC parameters associated with the ROHC Channel shall be negotiated by including the ROHC Parameter Payload TLV (11.13.38) in the DSA-REQ/RSP messages (for a new Service Flow creation) or the DSC-REQ/RSP messages (for an existing Service Flow).

[Add to the table 383 on page 735 of IEEE802.16e-2005]

47 ROHC Parameter Payload

[Delete 11.13.19.3.4.16 on page 743 of IEEE802.16e-2005]

## 2006-09-26

11.13.19.3.4.16 Large Context ID for ROHC- or ECRTP-compressed packet or ROHC feedback packet

[Change the text in 11.13.19.3.4.17 as indicated on page 743 of IEEE802.16e-2005]

11.13.19.3.4.1716 Classifier Action Rule

[Delete 11.13.19.3.4.18 on page 744 of IEEE802.16e-2005]

11.13.19.3.4.18 Short-format Context ID for ROHC- or ECRTP-compressed packet or ROHC feedback packet

[Insert new subclause 11.13.38 on page 751 of IEEE802.16e-2005]

11.13.38 ROHC Parameter Payload

*Description*: This attribute contains the payload used in the upper ROHC compression layer. The MAC layer does not interpret this attribute.

Туре	Length	Value	Scope
[145/146].47	variable	ROHC Parameter Payload	DSA-REQ, DSA-RSP DSC-REQ, DSC-RSP