2007-02-15	IEEE C802.16maint-07/014	
Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group	
	< <u>http://ieee802.org/16</u> >	
Title	Sleep Mode – Proposal to solve IOT issues	
Date	2007-02-15	
Submitted		
Source(s)	Nadav Lavi	nadav.lavi@alvarion.com
	Vladimir Yanover	vladimir.yanover@alvarion.com
	Giovanni Maggi	giovanni.maggi.ext@siemens.com
	Henri Moelard	moelard@motorola.com
	Anuj Puri	apuri@beceem.com
	Anders Lamm	anders.lamm@ericsson.com
	Jonathan Segev	jonathan.segev@comsysmobile.com
Re:	IEEE Std 802.16-2004/Cor2/D1	
Abstract	The document contains suggestions on solving IOT issues in sleep-mode	
Purpose	Adoption of proposed changes into Std. 802.16-2004/Cor2/D1	
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.	
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this	
	contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16	
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures	
	< <u>http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.</u>	
	html>, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including	
	patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with	
	respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard.	
	"Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is	
	essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood	
	that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair	
	< <u>mailto:chiar@wirelessman.org</u> > as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented	
	technology (or technology under patent ap	plication) might be incorporated into a draft standard being
	developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the	
	IEEE 802.16 web site < <u>http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/</u>	
	notices>.	

Proposal to solve sleep-mode IOT issues

Nadav Lavi, Vladimir Yanover - Alvarion Ltd. Giovanni Maggi – Siemens Henri Moelard – Motorola Anuj Puri – Beceem Anders Lamm – Ericsson Jonathan Segev – Comsys

Problem definition:

1. Sleep-mode and scanning: Currently, according to maint83r4-a, during sleep-mode an MS cannot request scanning prior to deactivating the PSC related to its basic CID. However, there are no restrictions regarding MS in scanning requesting sleep-mode.

2. Unsolicited transmission of MOB_SLP-RSP for definition and re-definition: the initial intention of the sleep-mode authors in the standard was that both BS and MS are capable of defining PSCs. However, Cor2-D1 has certain restriction (Section 6.3.2.3.45): "The BS may send the unsolicited MOB_SLP-RSP message for the purpose of activation or deactivation only.". This capability, unsolicited transmission of MOB_SLP-RSP for definition purposes, is an essential capability for the proper operation of both the MS and the BS. Although, the common approach is that only the MS is in charge of the battery life and this only the MS is entitled to initiate PSC definition, I do think that the BS has a key role in enhancing the MS's power save. Moreover, the BS, and only the BS, can define, or redefine, a PSC to support the MS's QoS requirements in the most optimal way. For example, the MS requests a certain PSC with listen window of 10 frames and sleep window of 10 frames, the BS approves the scheme. After a while the BS detects that the MS's QoS can be supported in a listen window of 5 frames, meaning 50% more power-save, and it re-defines the PSC. Currently, there is no alternative to the optimal solution of BS's intervention in definition of PSC.

Proposed solution:

1. Sleep mode shall no be activated during scanning. To activate sleep-mode, scanning shall be deactivated.

2. Return the capability to the BS (BS vendors may decide on not supporting this capability).

Suggested remedy:

In Cor2 D1, modify the following paragraph in Section 6.3.21.1:

MS in sleep mode may request BS to allocate scan duration by sending MOB_SCN-REQ in case trigger action for sending MOB_SCN-REQ message is enabled by Enabled-Action-Triggered TLV. In this case, MS shall deactivate the PSC associated to basic CID before sending MOB_SCN-REQ, and the BS shall regard the MS as deactivating the PSC associated to basic CID after reception of the MOB_SCN-REQ message.

MS in scanning shall not request the activation of PSC associated with its Basic CID, prior to deactivating the scanning procedure. BS shall not activate the PSC associated with the Basic CID with regard to a MS in scanning.

In Cor2_D1, modify first paragraph in Section 6.3.2.3.45:

The MOB_SLP-RSP message shall be sent from BS to an MS on the MS's basic CID in response to an MOB_SLP-REQ message, or in an unsolicited manner for the purposes of definition, activation and deactivation. The BS may sent the unsolicited MOB_SLP-RSP message for the purpose of activation or deactivation only. The BS may either reconfigure sleep mode parameters in MOB_SLP-RSP by using the same Power Saving Class ID, or may define a new sleep class by

2007-02-15

IEEE C802.16maint-07/014

using a new Power Saving Class ID. When defining a new sleep class: the Definition bit shall be set, and the message shall contain the definition of a new Power Saving Class together with an assigned Power_Saving_Class_ID that shall be unique per MS if unicast traffic connections are included and unique per cell if only multicast connections are included.

In Cor2_D1, modify the Sleep_Approved definition in Section 6.3.2.3.45: For a MOB_SLP-RSP message transmitted in an unsolicited manner, the BS shall set 'Sleep approved' = 1-and 'Definition' = 0 for each Power Saving Class.