

Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group < http://ieee802.org/16 >	
Title	Resolutions to Comments Received by the 802.16 Network Management Study Group, Before 5pm, Tuesday, 13 th July 2004.	
Date Submitted	2004-7-14	
Source(s)	David Johnston Intel Corporation 2111 NE 25 th Ave. Hillsboro 97124	Voice: +1 (503) 264-3855 [mailto:dj.johnston@intel.com]
Re:	IEEE 802.16 Network Management Study Group	
Abstract	Resolutions to Comments Received by the 802.16 Network Management Study Group, Before 5pm, Tuesday, 13 th July 2004	
Purpose	To fulfill the requirement to provide responses to comments on the 802.16f and 802.16g proposed PARs to the commenters, before Wednesday, 14 th July, 2004	
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.	
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.	
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures < http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html >, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair < mailto:chair@wirelessman.org > as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site < http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices >.	

**Resolutions to Comments Received by the 802.16 Network Management Study Group,
Before 5pm, Tuesday, 13th July 2004.**

David Johnston,
Chair 802.16 Network Management Study Group
July 14th, 2004

The following document describes the responses to comments received by the 802.16 Network Management Study Group, before 5.00pm, July 13th, 2004. The chair has been authorized by the 802.16 Network Management Study Group to draft this document and forward it to the commenting parties and the EC before 5.00pm, July 14th 2004.

Comment #1

Received from : Roger Marks

Concerning : 802.16f PAR

Comment:

- Item 11: Expected Date of Submission for Initial Sponsor Ballot: 2005-07-20 I don't see why it should take a year to get this to SB. Why not 2004-11-30? Or 2005-01-30?

Response

- Accept

Remedy

- Change Item 11 in the NetMan SG MIB PAR (802.16f) to 01-30-2005

Comment #2

Received from : Roger Marks

Concerning : 802.16f PAR

Comment:

- For Item 12, it's fine to add six months¹. Four would be OK too.

Response

- Accept, 6 months appears to be a more feasible number

Remedy

- Change Item data in the Netman SG MIB PAR (802.16f) to 29-07-2005

¹ The NetMan SG interpreted this as meaning 4 or 6 months after the date quoted in Comment #1

Comment #3

Received from : Roger Marks

Concerning : 802.16f PAR

Comment:

- Item 16: I picked up some addl info from IEEE L802.16-04/20: SimProjD <= 2005-01-01 SimTitle <= HiperMAN Network Management

Response

- Accept

Remedy

- Change the project date in item 16 of the NetMan MIB PAR (802.16f) to 01-01-2005 and change the project title to 'HiperMAN Network Management'

Comment #4

Received from : 802.20

Concerning : 802.16g PAR

Comment:

- This PAR is premature and should be reviewed again after the scope of 802.16e is clarified.

Response

- Reject

Remedy

- The 16g PAR is compatible with both the current 16e PAR and the proposed 16e PAR.
- 16e PAR changes address issues of PHY modalities and frequencies. 16g is orthogonal to this.
- Therefore we believe the proposed 16e PAR does not render the proposed 16g PAR to be premature and we are orthogonal to the current 16e process².
- The substantial part of the standards defined in 16g will be applicable to the IEEE std 802.16-2004

² To clarify, the process being referred to here is the PAR change process that is currently in effect, as opposed to the entire 802.16e process

Comment #5

Received from : 802.20

Concerning : 802.16g PAR

Comment:

- This proposed IEEE project should be limited to management of the PHY and MAC.

Response

- Accept

Remedy

- We understand that it is the case that this proposed IEEE 802.16 project is limited to management of the PHY and MAC.
- This limitation is embodied in the following scope text: “This document provides enhancements to the MAC and PHY management entities of IEEE Standard 802.16-2004, as amended by P802.16e”
- We believe that this comment is adequately accommodated by the current text

Comment #6

Received from : Roger Marks

Concerning : 802.16g PAR

Comment:

- In general: do we need to open this PAR now, or can we wait? I suppose the answer is that we need a place to put the stuff that doesn't fit in 16e

Response

- Reject

Remedy

- We anticipate bringing the first substantial contributions to 802.16g in November, based on discussions and direction agreed in Aug/Sept
- Therefore a meeting in Aug/Sept to discuss what .16g is going to do is needed as described in the PAR
- The standard will be limited in the scope and purpose in its PAR and will not be determined by what doesn't fit in 16e.
- A straw poll was conducted, 20 for keeping dates. 0 for delay