

# SMART Relay Alliance proposal

Document Number: IEEE C802.16j-201r1  
Date Submitted: 2006-11-07

## Source:

Arnaud Tonnerre, Adrien Duprez  
[arnaud.tonnerre@fr.thalesgroup.com](mailto:arnaud.tonnerre@fr.thalesgroup.com)  
THALES COMMUNICATIONS  
Colombes, France

Djamal-Eddine Meddour  
[djamal.meddour@orange-ft.com](mailto:djamal.meddour@orange-ft.com)  
FRANCE TELECOM  
Lannion, France

Peng Yong Kong  
[kongpy@i2r.a-star.edu.sg](mailto:kongpy@i2r.a-star.edu.sg)  
I2R  
Singapore

D. J. Shyy  
[djshyy@mitre.org](mailto:djshyy@mitre.org)  
MITRE  
McLean, VA, USA

Saravanan Govindan, Pek Yew Tan  
[Saravanan.Govindan@sg.panasonic.com](mailto:Saravanan.Govindan@sg.panasonic.com)  
PANASONIC  
Singapore

Seth Spoenlein, Ranga Reddy  
[Seth.Spoenhein@us.army.mil](mailto:Seth.Spoenhein@us.army.mil)  
US ARMY - CERDEC  
Ft. Monmouth, NJ, USA

Byoung-Jo "J" Kim  
[macsbug@research.att.com](mailto:macsbug@research.att.com)  
AT&T  
Middletown, NJ, USA

Matthew Sherman, Keith Conner  
[matthew.sherman@baesystems.com](mailto:matthew.sherman@baesystems.com)  
BAE Systems - NES  
Wayne, NJ, USA

Venue: IEEE 802.16 Session #46 Dallas, United States  
Base Document: None  
Purpose: [To reply to the call for proposal](#)

## Notice:

This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

## Release:

The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.

## IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy:

The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures <<http://iee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html>>, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <<mailto:chair@wirelessman.org>> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site <<http://iee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices>>.



**SMARTRelay Alliance**  
Secured Multihop Air-interface for Range-extension & Throughput-enhancement

Arnaud Tonnerre, Adrien Duprez

Djamal-Eddine Meddour

Peng Yong Kong

D. J. Shyy

Saravanan Govindan, Pek Yew Tan

Seth Spoenhein, Ranga Reddy

Byoung-Jo "J" Kim

Matthew Sherman, Keith Conner

THALES COMMUNICATIONS

FRANCE TELECOM

I2R

MITRE

PANASONIC

US ARMY – CERDEC

AT&T

BAE SYSTEMS

# Content


- Objectives
- Network configuration
- Channel access
- Topology management
- Routing procedure
- Cross communication

# Objectives

- SMART Relay Alliance proposes RS specifications for 802.16j
- This group should take into account both
  - **Low-complexity Relay** stations for low cost solutions
  - **SMART Relay** stations for enhanced applications
- SMART Relay Alliance proposal is about this latter category


# Introduction – Relay Framework

- MMR-BS–centric network
  - Commercial interest – Operators want control
  - Cost–efficiency – RS logic to be inexpensive
- Relay network managed as extended MMR-BS
  - RSs are collectively managed
  - Logical extensions to MMR-BS



# RS Configuration – Functionality


- RSs are configured to operate on behalf of MMR-BS
  - RSs may have varying functionality
  - MMR-BS responsible for selectively configuring different RSs
- **Capability Negotiation**
  - RS sends functionality information to MMR-BS
  - MMR-BS determines which functions to be activated
  - RS performs only activated functions



# RS Configuration – Operation Modes (1/2)

- RSs operate in 2 modes – Downstream, Upstream
- Downstream
  - RS is an extension of the BS
    - To MS in its own cell
    - To other downstream RSs
  - RS performs “Infrastructure Functions” (IF) on behalf of MMR-BS
- Upstream
  - RS operates like MS
    - With MR-BS
    - With other upstream RSs
  - RS performs “Client Functions” (CF) – relays traffic
    - From own cell
    - From other downstream RS-cells
- RSs operate in both modes for relay network

# RS Configuration – Operation Modes (2/2)




**Downstream – IF-mode**

- RS(2) provides Infrastructure Functions (IF)
  - To MS in its own cell
  - To downstream RS(3) & its MS

**Upstream – CF-mode**


- RS(2) performs Client Functions (CF) with upstream RS(1)
- RS(2) forwards data traffic
  - From its own cell
  - From downstream RS(3)






## Channel Access (1/2)

- Channel access to MMR-BS sees 2 types of contention
  - Direct contention
    - RSs directly communicating with MMR-BS
  - Indirect contention
    - RSs that are 1 or more hops away from MMR-BS
- Bandwidth Request/Grant must address both Direct & Indirect contention for MMR-BS channel



- RS(1) makes Bandwidth Request for RS(1) and subsequent downstream RSs
- MMR-BS makes Bandwidth Grant for RS(1) and subsequent downstream RSs

# Channel Access (2/2)



# Topology management (1/3)


- **First step : Neighboring discovery**

- Periodic exchange of link state messages (NCFG in 802.16-2004)

- These messages transport the list of the 2-hops neighbors of the source

- Construction of the local topology at the relay node

- Each relay have the knowledge of its 3-hop neighborhood




**NCFG messages**

# Topology management (2/3)

## • Network Topology establishment

- Transmission of the local topology to the BS using the link state messages (NCFG in 802.16-2004)
- The MMR-BS construct a cartography of the network (global topology)
- The MMR-BS is aware of its 3-hop neighborhood




Local Topology transmission

# Topology management (3/3)

- **Tree topology construction at the BS**

- Which algorithm?
  - Selection of the shortest path to the BS based on link states
- Which metrics to weight vertices (dynamic/static)
  - At least Link states
- Tree topology is transmitted to all nodes using CSCF messages




Tree topology transmission

- **All nodes perform these three steps periodically to handle network dynamicity**

# Routing (1/3)

- Technique 1: Reactive protocol
  - Takes into account all links available
  - RS-1 send a Route request toward MMR-BS to locate for the RS to which MS-2 is attached
  - The path between MS-1 and MS-2 is established and routing policies are sent to all relays which are in the path



## Routing (2/3)

- Technique 2: Pro-active protocol
  - This protocol takes advantage of the tree topology
  - A local routing table is built in all nodes based on the Tree topology information received in the CSCF messages
  - The update of these tables depends on the CSCF transmission rate
  - It doesn't require any specific request, so **end-to-end delay is minimized**


# Routing (3/3)

- Technique 3: Hybrid protocol to take advantage of both Proactive and Reactive protocol
  - Proactive protocol to build a routing local table in all nodes
  - To set up dynamically new topology/routes based on the reactive one
- By default end-to-end delay is minimized (Proactive protocol)
- If other QoS Metrics are to consider, Reactive procedure is used
- The recommendation is to use Technique 3.




# Cross Communications scenarios (1/4)

- **Example 1 : Military communication**
  - Mobile user (e.g. soldier) communicates with another mobile user within the same squad/platoon




## CC scenarios (2/4)

- **Example 2 : Communication in an office**
  - Two MSs are located in the same building (same RS cell)
  - RF efficiency improved since data doesn't need to be transferred to the BS




# CC scenarios (3/4)

- **Example 3** : Communications among different RS cells
  - Two MSs are located in the same MMR cell but different RS cells



# CC scenarios (4/4)

- **Example 4** : Emergency/Recovery situation




# CC advantages

- Bandwidth efficiency
  - Civilian applications
  - Military applications
- End-to-end delay minimization
  - Real-time applications (voice, video conference...)
  - Public safety applications
  - Military applications


# CC procedure (1/6)

- Cross-Communication procedure is controlled by the BS
- Data transfer only passes through 1 RS




# CC procedure (2/6)

- Data transfer can go through multiple RSs in MMR cell



# CC procedure (3/6)

- CC doesn't require any modification to the MS
  - It requires connections between MS and BS
  - 2 CID are used for 1 Cross-Communication
- The topology is still a **tree** (not a mesh)







## CC procedure (4/6)

- Simple procedure containing 2 parts :
  - **Cross Communication request** during connection setup
    - Request permission to the BS
    - Perform a bandwidth adjustment
  - **Redirection procedure** when a packet is received in the involved RS
- CC authorization is based on the following conditions
  - ➔ Involved RS has CC capabilities (optional feature)
  - ➔ CCs are authorized by the infrastructure owner policy
  - ➔ BS authorizes CC for this MS, the selected QoS...

# CC procedure: Request (5/6)



# CC procedure: Redirection (6/6)



# Security with CC

- Security in 802.16-2005 is based on a client/server architecture, where the BS is the server and the MS/RS are its clients.
- Just as connections, security associations are established between the MMR-BS and the MS/RS.
- The key management protocol provides the secure distribution of keying data from the MMR-BS to the MS/RS.
- In order to support CC, the RS is required to decrypt and encrypt MS-RS-MS data plane traffic when the MMR-BS is bypassed.
- The MMR-BS should provide the CC-enabled RS with the security parameters it needs to handle encryption of the data traffic it redirects.

# Summary

- RS specifications should be divided into 2 parts
  - **Low-complexity Relay** stations for low cost solutions
  - **SMART Relay** stations for enhanced applications
- **SMART Relay** stations should handle
  - Routing protocol
  - Topology management
  - Power Saving
  - Security
- **SMART Relay** stations can manage Cross Communications
  - If allowed by the infrastructure owner and the country regulation
  - It should be an optional communication mode