

<i>Project</i>	IEEE 802.16j Mobile Multihop Relay Task Group	
<i>Title</i>	An adaptive frame structure for OFDMA-based mobile multi-hop relay networks	
<i>Date</i>	2007-01-08	
<i>Source(s)</i>	Jeffrey Z. Tao, Koon Hoo Teo, Jinyun Zhang Mitsubishi Electric Research Lab 201 Broadway Cambridge, MA 02139 USA	Voice: 617-621-{7557,7527} Fax: 617-621-7550 {tao, teo, jzhang}@merl.com
	Toshiyuki Kuze Mitsubishi Electric Corp 5-1-1 Ofuna Kamakura, Kanagawa 2478501, Japan	Voice: +81-467-41-2885 Fax: +81-467-41-2486 Kuze.Toshiyuki@ah.MitsubishiElectric.co.jp
<i>Re:</i>	Response to the call for technical proposal regarding IEEE Project 802.16j (i.e., IEEE 802.16j-06/034, "Call for Technical Proposals regarding IEEE Project P802.16j", December 12, 2006).	
<i>Abstract</i>	An adaptable frame structure design for 802.16j is described	
<i>Purpose</i>	To adopt the frame structure proposed herein into IEEE 802.16j.	
<i>Notice</i>	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.	
<i>Release</i>	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.	
<i>Patent Policy and Procedures</i>	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures <http://ieee802.org/16/jpr/patents/policy.html> , including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <mailto:chair@wirelessman.org> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site <http://ieee802.org/16/jpr/patents/notices> .	

Adaptive Frame Structure for OFDMA-based Mobile Multi-hop Relay Networks

1. <i>Introduction</i>	3
2. <i>Summary of Proposal</i>	4
3. <i>Proposed Text Changes</i>	6
8. PHY	6
4. <i>References</i>	7

Adaptive Frame Structure for OFDMA-based Mobile Multi-hop Relay Networks

Jeffrey Z. Tao, Koon Hoo Teo, Jinyun Zhang
Mitsubishi Electric Research Lab
201 Broadway
Cambridge, MA 02139 USA

Toshiyuki Kuze
Mitsubishi Electric Corp
5-1-1 Ofuna Kamakura, Kanagawa
2478501, Japan

1. Introduction

Current OFDMA-based cellular wireless network (e.g., IEEE 802.16) invariably confines its operation to a point-to-multipoint topology, wherein two and only two types of network entity, namely base station (BS) and mobile station (MS), can exist. As illustrated in **Figure 1**, a centralized control entity (i.e., BS) has the sole authority to manage and coordinate the communications initiated by or terminated at the end users (i.e., MS) that are in the direct transmission range of the BS. Regardless of whether the communication is between two MSs that are directly associated with the BS, or is between an MS and an external network entity, all the traffic have to pass through the BS.




Figure 1: Topology for OFDMA-based point-to-multipoint (PMP) wireless networks.

Accordingly, the OFDMA frame structure in the current wireless system (e.g., IEEE 802.16-2005), which governs the basic channel access of BS and MS in both the time and frequency domain, has been designed specifically to support the PMP operation.

Due to significant loss of signal strength along the propagation path for certain spectrum, the coverage area of broadband wireless service offered on that band is often of limited geographical size. In addition, blocking and random fading frequently result in areas of poor reception or even dead spot within the coverage region. Conventionally, this problem has been addressed by deploying BSs in a denser manner. However, the high cost of BSs and potential aggravation of interference, among others, render this approach less desirable. As an alternative, a relay-based approach can be pursued, wherein low cost relay stations (RS) are introduced into the network to help extend the range, improve service, and eliminate dead spots, all in a cost-effective fashion.

However, the state-of-art frame structure, which was initially designed only for a single hop point-to-multipoint (PMP) OFDMA-based network, is inadequate to support the function of relay stations in the wireless network. To address this issue, new frame structure has to be initiated to facilitate relaying function, and ultimately materialize the potential benefit.

2. Summary of Proposal

To maintain backward compatibility and interoperability with the legacy MSs that are in the direct transmission range of BS and/or RSs, a two dimensional format similar to the frame structure in 802.16e has been designed.

The frame structure proposed here has the flexibility to address the degree of frequency reuse and providing the system with the choice of adjusting latency and bandwidth according to the users' requirements.

There are three options:

- A. Single access zone and multi relay zones within a Sub-frame
- B. Single access zone and single relay zones within a Sub-frame
- C. Single access zone and two or more relay zones within a Sub-frame

Option A, as shown in Figure 2, provides smaller delay and is useful for services that require low latency.

Option B, as shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4, provides larger bandwidth and is useful for services that require higher data rate but is more tolerant to higher latency. The higher bandwidth is because of the null switching time that are required between the relay zones as in option A or C.

Option C is the combination of Option A and B that provides the compromise of higher bandwidth efficiency and lower latency compared to options A and B, respectively.

Super frame is defined as the number of frames where the information has been delivered from the MR-BS to the mobile which belongs to the final hop relay.

Therefore Option A has a super frame equals to one frame duration. The super frame length of option B depends on the number of relay hops. The super frame length of option C depends on the number of relay hops and number of relay zones within each sub frame.

Option A incurs a one-frame delay whereas the delay in option B depends on the number of hops and the degree of interference. There are potentially two variants of Option B, depending on the degree of the scheduler intelligence and the RF interference impact on frequency reuse. Variant 1 is simple and that is the MR-BS can only transmit on frame 1 of the super frame. Variant 2 can be explained as followed. As shown in Figures 2, 3 and 4, the MR-BS can transmit on every even frame if:

1. sub-channel can be reused because there is negligible impact of its RF interference on Relay 3 reception and similarly of Relay 2's interference on Relay 1 reception. The RF interference level could be collected by the MR-BSs and the Relays and taken into account by the central or distributed scheduler or
2. the sub-channels are organized by the scheduler such that no two same sub-channels are used within a super frame or
3. the combination of items 1 and 2 to enable some sub-channels to be reused if RF interference.

The design of the scheduler is not within the scope of this discussion.

Last but not the least, it is crucial for the MS associated directly with BS or with various RSs to perceive a frame structure that strictly conforms to the legacy format, for the sake of backward compatibility and interoperability.




Figure 2: Frame structure with more than one relay zone in the DL and UL sub-frames




Figure 3: A super frame with single relay zone in each DL and UL sub-frame – one transmission in each super frame




Figure 4: A super frame with single relay zone in each DL and UL sub-frame – multiple transmissions in each super frame where interference impact is negligible

3. Proposed Text Changes

8. PHY

[Insert new subclause 8.4.4.7.2.1]

8.4.4.7.2.1 MR-BS frame structure

The frame structure is shown in Figures 2, 3 and 4 for a TDD mode of operation. Every DL sub-frame starts with the structure similar to 802.16e standards, that is, a preamble, an FCH, DL and UL maps for the access and they are backward compatible to the MS. This defines the DL access zone within the DL sub-frame. This access zone is followed by the relay TTG (R-TTG) or RTG (R-RTG) and to be followed by one or more DL relay zone. There is a R-TTG or R-RTG between each pair of DL relay zones if there are more than one DL relay zone. The relay zone may be assigned by the scheduler to transmit, receive or stay idle. If it transmits, it will also consist of a preamble, known here as the mid-amble. The structure of this mid-amble is similar to the one defined in 802.16e subclause 8.4.6.1.1. The mid-amble is followed by relay FCH (R-FCH) and relay DL MAP (R-DL MAP) and its corresponding relay UL MAP (R-UL MAP) and they are transmitted on those relay zones that are assigned to transmit.

Similarly, for the UL sub-frame, it starts with the UL access zone, similar to 802.16e standards and is backward compatible to the MS. This defines the UL access zone within the UL sub-frame. This UL access zone is followed again by a R-RTG or R-TTG and one or more UL relay zone. Similar to the DL sub-frame, there is a R-RTG or R-TTG between each pair of UL relay zones if there are more than one UL relay zones. The UL relay zone may be assigned by the scheduler to transmit, receive or stay idle.

Similar to the 802.16e standards frame structure, a time gap TTG is inserted between a DL and an UL sub-frames and a time gap RTG is inserted between two frames.

In the DL and UL access zones, all the functionalities and frame structures are similar to the 802.16e and defined in section 8.4.4.2.

The frame structure of Figures 2, 3 and 4 meant to support a multi-hop relay network. It is flexible to support for two or more hops, whether the hops are in a chain or a tree like structure. It is also flexible to address services where short latency is critical and those which are not.

The number of DL relay zones per sub-frame will be determined by the scheduler and the latency and bandwidth requirements. Similarly the allocations of the sub-channels will also be determined by the scheduler to maximize frequency reuse and minimize RF interference.

4. References

- [1] “IEEE Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks – Part 16: Air Interface for Fixed Broadband Wireless Access Systems, Amendment 2: Physical and Medium Access Control Layers for Combined Fixed and Mobile Operation in Licensed Bands,” IEEE Computer Society and the IEEE Microwave Theory and Techniques Society, February 2006.