

<i>Project</i>	<b>IEEE 802.16j Mobile Multihop Relay Task Group</b>	
<i>Title</i>	<b>Proposal for Relay MAC PDU Format</b>	
<i>Date</i>	<b>2007-03-05</b>	
<i>Source(s)</i>	<p>Jeffrey Z. Tao, Koon Hoo Teo, Jinyun Zhang  <b>Mitsubishi Electric Research Lab</b>  201 Broadway  Cambridge, MA 02139 USA</p> <p>Toshiyuki Kuze  <b>Mitsubishi Electric Corp</b>  5-1-1 Ofuna Kamakura, Kanagawa  2478501, Japan</p> <p>Hang Zhang, G.Q. Wang, Mo-Han Fong, Wen  Tong, Peiyong Zhu, David Steer, Gamini  Senarath, Derek Yu  <b>Nortel</b></p> <p>Daqing Gu  <b>DoCoMo Beijing Lab</b></p> <p>Wei Zou, Kaibin Zhang, Gang Shen  <b>Alcatel-Lucent, Research &amp; Innovation</b>  No. 388, Ningqiao Rd., Pudong Jinqiao,  Shanghai, P. R. China</p> <p>Chie Ming Chou Wern-Ho Sheen, Fang-Ching  Ren, Jen-Shun Yang, Tzu-Ming Lin, I-Kang Fu  <b>Industrial Technology Research Institute (ITRI)</b>  <b>/ National Chiao Tung University (NCTU)</b>  195, Sec. 4, Chung Hsing Rd.  Chutung, Hsinchu, Taiwan 310, R.O.C</p>	<p>Voice: 617-621-{7557,7527}  Fax: 617-621-7550  <a href="mailto:{tao, teo, jzhang}@merl.com"> {tao, teo, jzhang}@merl.com</a></p> <p>Voice: +81-467-41-2885  Fax: +81-467-41-2486  <a href="mailto:Kuze.Toshiyuki@ah.MitsubishiElectric.co.jp"> Kuze.Toshiyuki@ah.MitsubishiElectric.co.jp</a></p> <p>Voice: 1-613-763-1315  <a href="mailto:wentong@nortel.com"> wentong@nortel.com</a></p> <p>Voice: +86-10-82861501 ex.331  <a href="mailto:gu@docomolabs-beijing.com.cn"> gu@docomolabs-beijing.com.cn</a></p> <p>Voice: +86-21-50554550  Fax: +86-21-50554554  <a href="mailto:wei.a.ni@alcatel-sbell.com.cn"> wei.a.ni@alcatel-sbell.com.cn</a></p> <p><a href="mailto:chieming@itri.org.tw"> chieming@itri.org.tw</a></p>
<i>Re:</i>	<p><i>Response to the call for technical proposal regarding IEEE Project 802.16j (i.e., IEEE 802.16j-07/007r2, "Call for Technical Comments and Contributions regarding IEEE Project P802.16j", February 19, 2007).</i></p>	
<i>Abstract</i>	<p><i>This contribution describes a general format for MAC PDU on relay links.</i></p>	
<i>Purpose</i>	<p><i>To adopt the relay MAC PDU format proposed herein into IEEE 802.16j.</i></p>	
<i>Notice</i>	<p><i>This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.</i></p>	
<i>Release</i>	<p><i>The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.</i></p>	
<i>Patent Policy and</i>	<p><i>The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures &lt;<a href="http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html">http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html</a>&gt;, including the statement "IEEE standards may include</i></p>	

---

*Procedures* the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <<mailto:chair@wirelessman.org>> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site <<http://ieee802.org/16/jpr/patents/notices>>.

---

## Proposal for Relay MAC PDU Format

1.	Motivation and Requirement .....	4
1.1	Motivation.....	4
1.2	Requirement.....	5
2.	Summary of Proposal.....	5
2.1	Relay MAC PDU format .....	5
2.2	Relay MAC PDU header format (DL).....	6
2.3	Relay MAC PDU header format (UL).....	6
3.	Proposed Text Changes.....	7
6.	MAC Common Part Sublayer.....	7
6.3.2	MAC PDU formats .....	7
6.3.2.1	MAC header format .....	8
6.3.2.1.1.1	Relay MAC PDU header format (DL).....	8
6.3.2.1.1.2	Relay MAC header format (UL).....	9
4.	References.....	11

# Proposal for Relay MAC PDU Format

Jeffrey Z. Tao, Koon Hoo Teo, Jinyun Zhang  
Mitsubishi Electric Research Lab

Toshiyuki Kuze  
Mitsubishi Electric Corp

Hang Zhang, G.Q. Wang, Mo-Han Fong, Wen Tong, Peiyong Zhu, David Steer, Gamini Senarath, Derek Yu  
Nortel

Daqing Gu  
DoCoMo Beijing Lab

Wei Zou, Kaibin Zhang, Gang Shen  
Alcatel-Lucent, Research & Innovation

Chie Ming Chou Wern-Ho Sheen, Fang-Ching Ren, Jen-Shun Yang, Tzu-Ming Lin, I-Kang Fu  
Industrial Technology Research Institute (ITRI)/ National Chiao Tung University (NCTU)

## 1. Motivation and Requirement

This contribution deals with the design of the *relay MAC PDU format*.

### 1.1 Motivation

A relay MAC PDU format must support following functions:

- Support encapsulation of one or multiple 802.16e MAC PDU of MS/RS
- Support a wide variety of data forwarding schemes, including various routing methods and QoS control

Unfortunately, it is *not* feasible to use the legacy 802.16e [1] MAC PDU header format as relay MAC PDU header, since the control functions implemented in MAC PDU header are different for RS from those of MS. More specifically,

1. Some fields in legacy 802.16e MAC header may not be needed any longer for RS operation:
  - a. Not all the bits in *TYPE* field would be needed in 802.16j.
2. No sufficient bit space is left in legacy 802.16e MAC header to support RS control function (e.g., QoS control, source routing, high capacity RS BW request, flow control, etc.)
  - a. *Source routing*: New subheaders that contain the route information would be included. The presence of such new subheaders needs to be indicated in the MAC header.
  - b. *QoS*: The QoS level required by the tunnel may need to be indicated in the MAC header.
  - c. High capacity RS *Bandwidth request*
3. The legacy 802.16e MAC header is highly restrictive and rigid, and cannot be easily extended to accommodate any design needs that may arise in the future.

Due to above reasons, we suggest to define a new *relay MAC PDU header format* framework, instead of reusing the legacy 802.16e MAC generic header format, to support RS-related functions and open up opportunity for future extension.

## 1.2 Requirement


As suggested by the preceding discussion, the relay MAC PDU format design shall be based on the following principles:

1. The relay MAC PDU format and the legacy 802.16e format can be unambiguously distinguished on relay links.
  - a. It is possible that MAC PDUs that follow legacy IEEE 802.16e format and relay MAC PDU format proposed hereafter may coexist on relay links.
2. It should be versatile enough to support a wide variety of new functions introduced in 802.16j on relay link.
  - a. Support flexible routing control
  - b. Support flexible QoS control
  - c. Support high capacity RS BW request
3. It should be flexible enough for future extension
4. It should have low overhead and high protocol efficiency.

## 2. Summary of Proposal

### 2.1 Relay MAC PDU format

Relay MAC PDU shall be of the form illustrated below in Figure 1.




**Figure 1: Proposed relay MAC PDU format.**

The *relay MAC subheader* are optional, and are introduced to convey information needed by a wide variety of signaling and management function (e.g., QoS, security, routing).

The detailed format for *relay MAC subheader* is subject to further discussion.

## 2.2 Relay MAC PDU header format (DL)

The proposed relay MAC PDU header format for DL traffic is shown below in Figure 2: Proposed relay MAC PDU header format (DL).Figure 2.



**Figure 2: Proposed relay MAC PDU header format (DL).**

In downlink, the first two bits of a legacy 802.16e MAC PDU can not be “0b10”. Thus, we can use the bit pattern “0b10” for the first two bits (i.e., Relay Mode Indicator) of the relay MAC PDU to distinguish it from legacy 802.16e MAC PDU.

In addition, one bit “HT” can be used to indicate whether the MAC PDU contains payload or not, similar to the “HT” bit in 802.16e MAC PDU header.


For those relay MAC PDUs that contain some payload, they will be forwarded by RS to the final destination. To support various routing design, the relay MAC PDU header can contain a tunnel CID or basic CID of a RS.

[If the contents of payload are shared with intermediate RSs when tunnel connection is used, \(e.g, shared management messages or MBS services along this tunnel\), one bit “OT \(“Ownership-Type”\) can be used to indicate whether or not the payload should be read by all the related RSs along the path. Contribution C802.16j-07/188 and C802.16j-07/189 provide an example of the usage scenario of this bit and further address the security issue involved therein.](#)

Besides the new features described above, the rest of the relay MAC PDU header bears significant resemblance to the generic MAC header defined in 802.16e.

## 2.3 Relay MAC PDU header format (UL)

The proposed relay MAC PDU header format for UL traffic is shown below in Figure 3.



**Figure 3: Proposed relay MAC PDU header format (UL).**

In the UL case, the only pattern of the first 4 bits of the MAC PDU header that has not been used is “0b1110”. Also, note that the first 4 bits can not assume value “0b1111”, as it is explicitly prohibited by the 802.16e standard. As a result, we will use “0b1110” to distinguish the relay MAC PDU from legacy 802.16e MAC PDU in the UL direction.

The usage of “HT” bit is identical to that in the DL case. Moreover, the rest of the relay MAC PDU header is very similar to the generic MAC header specified in 802.16e.

The usage of “OT” (“Ownership Type”) bit here is identical to that in the UL case.

### 3. Proposed Text Changes

#### 6. MAC Common Part Sublayer

##### 6.3.2 MAC PDU formats

[Insert the following paragraph at the end of this subclause]

For MAC PDUs sent on relay link, they can be of the form illustrated in Figure 18a. Each PDU can begin with a fixed length relay MAC PDU header. The relay MAC header may be followed by the Payload. If Payload is present after the relay MAC PDU header, the Payload shall consist of zero or more extended subheader, zero or more subheader, and zero or more IEEE 802.16e MAC PDUs. A relay MAC PDU may contain a CRC.




Figure 18a – Relay MAC PDU format

### 6.3.2.1 MAC header format

[Insert following subclause]

#### 6.3.2.1.1.1 Relay MAC PDU header format (DL)

For DL MAC PDU with and without payload, different MAC header format shall be used, as defined in Table 6a and further illustrated in Figure 19b and 19c, respectively.

Syntax	Size	Notes
MAC Header() {		
RMI	2 bit	Relay mode indication: 10 = this MPDU uses 802.16j relay format others = this MPDU uses legacy 802.16e format
if (RMI == 0b10) {		If <b>relay MAC PDU format</b> will be used
HT	1 bit	0 = Relay MAC PDU with payload 1 = Relay MAC PDU without payload
if (HT == 0) {		If <b>payload</b> is attached
<u>Owner-ship Type</u>	<u>1 bit</u>	<u>0 = the payload shall be read by the intermediate RS</u> <u>1 = the payload shall not be read by the intermediate RS.</u>
<u>Reserved</u>	<u>9 bits</u>	<u>Actual usage and format are subject to further discussion</u>
Length	11 bits	The length in bytes of the relay MAC PDU including the MAC header and the CRC if present
CID	16 bits	Tunnel CID or basic CID of the RS, depending on the range in which the CID value falls into.
HCS	8 bits	Header check sequence
}		
else if (HT == 1) {		If <b>no payload</b> is attached
Reserved	37 bits	Actual usage and format are subject to further discussion
HCS	8 bits	Header check sequence
}		
}		
elseif (RMI == others) {		If <b>legacy 802.16/16e MAC PDU format</b> will be used
Use legacy 802.16j format		
}		
}		

Table 6a — Relay MAC PDU header (DL)






Figure 19b – Header format of relay MAC PDU with payload (DL)




Figure 19c – Header format of relay MAC PDU without payload (DL)

[Insert following subclause]

**6.3.2.1.1.2 Relay MAC header format (UL)**

*For UL MAC PDU with and without payload, different MAC header format shall be used, as defined in Table 6b and further illustrated in Figure 19d and 19e, respectively.*

Syntax	Size	Notes
MAC Header() {		
RMI	4 bit	Relay mode indication: "0b1110" = this MPDU uses 802.16j relay format others = this MPDU uses legacy 802.16e format

if (RMI == 0b1110) {		If <b>relay MAC PDU format</b> will be used
HT	1 bit	0 = Relay MAC PDU with payload 1 = Relay MAC PDU without payload
if (HT == 0) {		
<u>Owner-ship Type</u>	<u>1 bit</u>	<u>0 = the payload shall be read by the intermediate RS</u> <u>1 = the payload shall not be read by the intermediate RS.</u>
<u>Reserved</u>	<u>7 bits</u>	<u>Actual usage and format are subject to further discussion</u>
Length	11 bits	The length in bytes of the relay MAC PDU including the MAC header and the CRC if present
CID	16 bits	Tunnel CID or basic CID of the RS, depending on the range in which the CID value falls into.
HCS	8 bits	Header check sequence
}		
else if (HT == 1) {		
Reserved	35 bits	Actual usage and format are subject to further discussion
HCS	8 bits	Header check sequence
}		
}		
else if (RMI == others) {		If <b>legacy 802.16/16e MAC PDU format</b> will be used
Use legacy 802.16j format		
}		
}		

Table 6b – Relay MAC PDU header (UL)




Figure 19d – Header format for relay MAC PDU without payload (UL)




Figure 19e – Header format for relay MAC PDU without payload (UL)

#### 4. References

- [1] “IEEE Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks – Part 16: Air Interface for Fixed Broadband Wireless Access Systems, Amendment 2: Physical and Medium Access Control Layers for Combined Fixed and Mobile Operation in Licensed Bands,” IEEE Computer Society and the IEEE Microwave Theory and Techniques Society, February 2006.