

Project	<b>IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group</b> < <a href="http://ieee802.org/16">http://ieee802.org/16</a> >	
Title	<b>UL HARQ for non-transparent Relays</b>	
Date Submitted	<b>2007-3-5</b>	
Source(s)	<p>Haihong Zheng, Yousuf Saifullah, Shashikant Maheshwari Nokia 6000 Connection Drive, Irving, TX</p> <p>Junichi Suga Fujitsu Laboratories Ltd. Kamikodanaka 4-1-1, Kawasaki, 211-8588, Japan</p> <p>Michiharu Nakamura Fujitsu Laboratories LTD 5-5, Hikarinooka Yokosuka, Japan. 239-0847</p> <p>Aik Chindapol, Jimmy Chui, Hui Zeng Siemens Corporate Research 755 College Road East, Princeton, NJ, USA</p> <p>Byung-Jae Kwak, Suchang Chae, Young-il Kim ETRI 161, Gajeong-Dong, Yuseong-Gu, Daejeon, Korea 305-350</p> <p>Kyu Ha Lee Samsung Thales San 14, Nongseo-Dong, Giheung-Gu, Yongin, Gyeonggi-Do, Korea 449-712</p> <p>Yanling Lu, Ting Li Hisilicon Technologies</p> <p>David Comstock, John Lee, Shang Zheng, Aimin Zhang Huawei Technologies No.98, Lane91, Eshan Road, Shanghai, P.R.C</p>	<p>Voice: 972 894 5000 Fax: <a href="mailto:haihong.1.zheng@nokia.com">haihong.1.zheng@nokia.com</a>, <a href="mailto:shashikant.maheshwari@nokia.com">shashikant.maheshwari@nokia.com</a>, <a href="mailto:Yousuf.saifullah@nokia.com">Yousuf.saifullah@nokia.com</a></p> <p>Voice: +81-44-754-2811 Fax: +81-44-754-2786 Email : <a href="mailto:suga.junichi@jp.fujitsu.com">suga.junichi@jp.fujitsu.com</a></p> <p>Voice: +81-46-839-5371 Fax: +81-46-839-5560 Email : <a href="mailto:michi@labs.fujitsu.com">michi@labs.fujitsu.com</a></p> <p>Voice: +1 609 734 3364 Fax: +1 609 734 6565 Email: <a href="mailto:aik.chindapol@siemens.com">aik.chindapol@siemens.com</a></p> <p>Voice: +82-42-860-6618 Fax: +82-42-861-1966 <a href="mailto:bjkwak@etri.re.kr">bjkwak@etri.re.kr</a></p> <p>Voice: +82-31-280-9917 Fax: +82-31-280-1562 Email: <a href="mailto:kyuha.lee@samsung.com">kyuha.lee@samsung.com</a></p> <p><a href="mailto:Juyanling@hisilicon.com">Juyanling@hisilicon.com</a></p> <p><a href="mailto:dcomstock@huawei.com">dcomstock@huawei.com</a> Voice: +1 858 735 9382</p>

Eugene Visotsky  
 Motorola, Inc.  
 1301 E. Algonquin Road  
 Schaumburg, IL 60196

[eugenev@motorola.com](mailto:eugenev@motorola.com)

---

Re:	This is in response to the call for proposals 80216j-07_07r2.pdf
Abstract	This contribution proposes a procedure for handling retransmission of HARQ failure attempts in a relay system.
Purpose	Add proposed spec changes in P802.16j Baseline Document (IEEE 802.16j-06/026r2)
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures <a href="http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html">http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html</a> , including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <a href="mailto:chair@wirelessman.org">mailto:chair@wirelessman.org</a> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site <a href="http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices">http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices</a> .

---

## UL HARQ with Relays

*Haihong Zheng, Yousuf Saifullah, and Shashikant Maheshwari - Nokia*

*Junichi Suga, and Michiharu Nakamura - Fujitsu*

*Aik Chindapol, and Jimmy Chui – Siemens*

*Byung-Jae Kwak, Suchang Chae, Young-il Kim - ETRI*

*Kyu Ha Lee - Samsung Thales*

*Yanling Lu, Ting Li - Hisilicon*

*David Comstock, John Lee, Shang Zheng, Aimin Zhang – Huawei*

*Eugene Visotsky - Motorola*

### Problem Description

In single hop system, HARQ is performed directly between BS and MS. However, in the relay system, there could be one or more RSs between an MR-BS and an MS. HARQ could be performed in the fashion of hop-by-hop (i.e., between every two adjacent stations - MS- $RS_2$ ,  $RS_2$ - $RS_1$  and  $RS_1$ -MR-BS as shown in Figure 1).




Figure 1: Illustration of Multi Hops in relay System

Both centralized and distributed MAP allocation mechanisms could be adopted in relay system. In centralized MAP allocation, the MR-BS allocates MAP for all the links. Any need for bandwidth request should go to the MR-BS. In distributed MAP allocation, each station allocates MAP for the adjacent link. In centralized allocation, if a HARQ packet transmission failure occurs on a non-adjacent link from MR-BS, then a mechanism is needed for indicating this failure to the MR-BS. So MR-BS can grant bandwidth for retransmission on the effected links.

### UL HARQ scheme with centralized scheduling

This contribution suggests a mechanism for retransmitting a failed UL HARQ attempt only on the failed links, instead of retransmitting again from the MS. This is achieved by indicating the last RS on the relay path to MR-BS, which has successfully received the UL HARQ packet. The MR-BS uses this indication and allocates MAP accordingly so the retransmission could start from the last RS and onward. If the MR-BS receives the HARQ packet successfully, it doesn't allocate MAP for retransmission.




Figure 2. Message Flow for 3-hop UL HARQ

MR-BS allocates UL-MAP for transmitting HARQ burst on the access link. On the relay links, it also allocates a per hop HARQ ACK channel along with the HARQ packet burst allocation. The per-hop HARQ ACK channel carries the status of reception at the last RS. If the HARQ packet is received correctly on all the links, each subsequent RS sends ACK. If the HARQ packet is received incorrectly at an RS, it will not forward the incorrectly received packet to next hop RS, instead it will send encoded NAK to the next RS in the per hop HARQ ACK channel as in table xxx. The receiving RS first looks at the per hop ACK channel. If it receives encoded NAK (other than ACK), it discards any information received in the HARQ burst, and sends encoded NAK to the next Infra Station (IS). If RS receives the encoded NAK  $C_x$  ( $x \neq 0$ ) then it will send the encoded NAK  $C_{x+1}$  to next hop RS/BS. If BS receives encoded NAK  $C_x$  then it knows that packet is failed on  $x+1$  hop from MR-BS, therefore it will schedule retransmission only on the failed links.

If RS/MR-BS receives ACK on the per hop ACK channel, it decodes the HARQ burst. Figure 2 illustrates a successful and a failure case.

The access RS sends HARQ ACK bitmap IE as in current 16e spec to the MS for acknowledging received HARQ bursts. Similarly receiving RS/MR-BS sends HARQ ACK bitmap IE to the transmitting RS for acknowledging received HARQ burst. This helps RS to clear its buffer accordingly.

This contribution describes the HARQ procedure for UL only. It is suggesting a mechanism that will work on any centralized MAP allocation scheme. It does not suggest a centralized MAP allocation scheme.

## Specification changes

+++++ Begin +++++  
 Insert new sub-clause 6.3.17.5.1.1

### 6.3.17.5.1.1 ACK / NAK Encoding for multi-hop relay for UL HARQ

MR-BS needs to identify the failed link over the multi-hop chain in case of HARQ. Therefore new sequences based on Table 301a in section 8.4.5.4.13 are defined in order to uniquely identify the failed link. Further, it should be noted that BS only needs to identify the failed link, i.e. if the HARQ attempt is failed between  $RS_j$  and its upstream RS  $RS_{j+1}$ , then BS should identify  $RS_j$ . For two hop case, only  $C_0$  to  $C_1$  are needed.

<u>Link Distance/Depth</u>	<u>ACK/NAK 1-bit symbol</u>	<u>Vector Indices per Tile Tile(0), Tile(1), Tile(2)</u>	<u>Code #</u>
<u>Any Distance</u>	<u>0 (ACK)</u>	<u>0, 0, 0</u>	<u><math>C_0</math></u>
<u>1</u>	<u>1 (NAK)</u>	<u>4, 7, 2</u>	<u><math>C_1</math></u>
<u>2</u>	<u>1 (NAK)</u>	<u>3, 5, 1</u>	<u><math>C_2</math></u>
<u>3</u>	<u>1 (NAK)</u>	<u>7, 2, 4</u>	<u><math>C_3</math></u>
<u>4</u>	<u>1 (NAK)</u>	<u>5, 1, 3</u>	<u><math>C_4</math></u>
<u>5</u>	<u>1 (NAK)</u>	<u>6, 2, 3</u>	<u><math>C_5</math></u>
<u>6</u>	<u>1 (NAK)</u>	<u>5, 1, 7</u>	<u><math>C_6</math></u>
<u>7</u>	<u>1 (NAK)</u>	<u>2, 6, 5</u>	<u><math>C_7</math></u>

Table xxx: ACK / NAK Encoding for multi-hop relay for UL HARQ

Insert new sub-clause 6.3.17.6

### 6.3.17.6 Relay support for UL HARQ in centralized scheduling

MR-BS schedules a HARQ burst on all the links between MS and MR-BS. UL transmission failure on a relay link is indicated to the MR-BS. In case of a HARQ transmission failure on a link, the MR-BS schedules the retransmission only for the failed links that didn't transmit the burst in the last attempt.

Insert new sub-clause 6.3.17.6.3

### 6.3.17.6.3 UL HARQ for non-transparent RS

When MR-BS schedules a HARQ attempt, it allocates bandwidth over all the links from the MS to the MR-BS. It also allocates bandwidth for the ACK/NAK channel on the relay links between access RS and MR-BS. Each RS on the relay path receives the uplink HARQ burst, and decodes it. If the decoding succeeds, it forwards the HARQ burst to the next IS along with an ACK. If the decoding fails, the RS only sends an encoded NAK to the next IS. In case of multiple hop, each subsequent RS in the path places encoded NAK according to table xxx. In case of two hops, encoded NAK is not needed. Encoded NAK informs MR-BS where the packet transmission was unsuccessful. If RS receives the encoded NAK  $C_x$  ( $x \neq 0$ ) than it will send the encoded NAK  $C_{x+1}$  to next hop RS/MR-BS. If MR-BS receives encoded NAK  $C_x$  than it knows that packet is failed on  $x+1$  hop from MR-BS, therefore it will schedule retransmission only on the failed links. The MR-BS sends UL-MAP accordingly, allowing retransmission from the last RS onwards, thus, retransmitting only on the links that didn't relay the HARQ burst successfully.

The receiving RS first looks at the per hop ACK channel. If it receives encoded NAK, it discards any information received in the HARQ, and sends encoded NAK to the next Infra Station (IS). If it receives ACK, it decodes the HARQ burst.

The MS behavior is unchanged with the introduction of RS.

The ACK/NAK is sent in HARQ ACK Bitmap IE. Each RS also generates per hop HARQ ACK bitmap IE for its received HARQ bursts. Each receiving RS/MR-BS keeps its mapping, and generates its HARQ ACK bitmap accordingly. The MR-BS allocates the resource to transmit HARQ ACK bitmap IE from each RS. The receiver of the bitmap clears the buffer corresponding to the ACK bits in the bitmap, and saves the buffer corresponding to the NAK bits.

+++++ End +++++