Considerations for the MMR PAR

IEEE 802.16 Presentation Submission Template (Rev. 8.3)

Document Number:

IEEE C802.16mmr-06/015

Date Submitted:

2006-01-12

Source:

Jose Puthenkulam Sumeet Sandhu Intel Corporation

Puneet Jain Intel Corporation 2111 NE 25th Ave Hillsboro, OR 97124

Venue:

IEEE 802.16 session #41 New Delhi, India Mobile Multihop Relay (MMR) Study Group Meeting

Base Document:

None

Purpose:

This is a response to <u>http://ieee802.org/16/sg/mmr/docs/80216mmr-05_026.pdf</u> (call for comments and Contributions: IEEE 802.16's Study Group on Mobile Multi-hop Relay) to present some discussion material.

Notice:

This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

Release:

The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.

IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy:

Email: jose.p.puthenkulam@intel.com Email: sumeet.sandhu@intel.com

Voice:	+1-503-712-6214
Fax:	+1-503-264-4230
E-mail:	puneet.jain@intel.com

Agenda

- Making MMR Impactful
- Feasibility
- Revised Phases
- Rationale for Phases
- Recommendation

What will make MMR high impact? And soon...

- Coverage/Capacity enhancement for the 802.16e service
- Drive down CAPEX/OPEX costs of infrastructure
 - CAPEX => Lower Equipment Costs
 - OPEX => Wired Backhaul to Wireless Relay, Lower site acquisition costs thru Up-the-pole/Roof-top solutions
- Improved ROI
 - Relay augmented network could provide higher ARPU though higher grades of service at lower overall incremental cost
 - Need subscriber terminal costs to reduce and not increase. With terminal changes the costs are bound to increase. Manufacturing costs, validation costs... all add up.
- Faster completion (~1 year) and rapid WiMAX Forum feature enablement
- Impact to larger number of 802.16e based terminals vs MMR enhanced terminals that can benefit from the relay augmented network
- Allows 802.16e technology to take root in market place before resetting baseline.
- OFDMA has become the key PHY technology of choice, so its time to avoid carrying on the burden of continuing to enhance all PHYs.

Feasibility of Backward Compatible Relay



•Outage vs end-to-end Shannon capacity (802.11n indoor D, BS-RS-SS at 30m)

•SS selects BS or RS based on best capacity

•Backward compatible selection ignores backhaul quality, provides gains over direct BS

•Optimal selection requires end-to-end knowledge, provides further gains

IEEE C802.16mmr-06/015

Revised Roadmap to MMR Standards Development

Note :

- Timeline below are proposal for start date which illustrates the phased approach concept . Actual start dates will be determined by MMR SG based on a clear design definition of PAR 2.

PAR # 2

Client (SS/MS) based Relay



Infrastructure based Relay

2006 2007 2008 2009

Rationale for Phases (1/2)

- Faster roll-out of relay capability to 802.16e networks being rolled out
 - Operators increasing coverage have choice to demand MMR equipment, while not affecting the nascent subscriber base that it is trying to grow
 - Operators staged rollout, allows them to stagger capex/opex expenditure while still attempting to improve link performance
- As initial MMR focus is on infrastructure, critical client Si economies of scale not seriously impacted with change
 - Rapid cost reduction of existing functionality can be attempted
- Faster infrastructure cost reduction possible by scaling with lower cost and lower complexity relay stations
 - RS/Pico BS solutions very similar
- Higher grades of service can be enabled with relay augmented network in a staged manner

Rationale for Phases (2/2)

- Access side enhancements are not prematurely developed without the experience and learnings from 802.16e roll outs, but as we get smarter with some deployments over the next 2 years.
- Client relay solution complexity is significant and its viability requires a lot more feasibility analysis
 - Customer Premise Relays don't scale easily.
 - Reducing impairments for the overall network is a significant research problem.
 - What happens if every home has a customer premise relay?
 - Is it going to be in licensed band or unlicensed? How do we guarantee QoS?
 - Is the customer premise relay part of infrastructure or subscriber equipment?
 - How is security ensured? Unique solutions may be required.
 - Near term Wi-Fi based indoor connectivity enabled through Customer premise APs makes the solution less compelling.

Recommendation

- Adopt the two phased approach
- Make sure that 802.16e technology that we are enabling in the next 2-3 years in the marketplace get benefits out our work
- Select OFDMA as the basis
- Make sure Backward Compatibility is maintained with 802.16e for both BS and RS.