

Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group < <a href="http://ieee802.org/16">http://ieee802.org/16</a> >		
Title	Meeting Minutes for 802.16's Mobile Multihop Relay Study Group		
Date Submitted	2006-03-03		
Source(s)	Jung Je Son MMR SG Editor	Voice: +81-3-6678-3599 Fax: +81-3-6678-0219 mailto: <a href="mailto:jungje.son@samsung.com">jungje.son@samsung.com</a> <a href="mailto:mi-nohara@kddi.com">mi-nohara@kddi.com</a>	
	Mitsuo Nohara MMR SG Chair 10-10, Iidabashi 3-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-8460, Japan		
Re:			
Abstract	Meeting Minute of MMR SG		
Purpose			
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.		
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.		
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures < <a href="http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html">http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html</a> >, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair < <a href="mailto:chair@wirelessman.org">mailto:chair@wirelessman.org</a> > as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site < <a href="http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices">http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices</a> >.		

**Minutes: 802.16 Working Group's Mobile Multihop Relay Study Group**  
**IEEE 802.16 Session #41, New Delhi, India**

**Meeting was held from 10<sup>th</sup> January, 2006 to 12<sup>th</sup> January, 2006.**

**First Day meeting**

At 8:25, 10th January 2006, the chair of MMR study group, Mitsuo Nohara, announced the start of MMR SG meeting during IEEE 802.16 Session #41 and provided the schedule of three day's morning meetings. Chair presented the opening report, 802.16mmr-05-001r2, including explanation on the scope of MMR SG session during India meeting and the report of the last Vancouver meeting.

Chair presented on the list of 15 contributions and plan for technical presentation and preparing the PAR and 5 Criteria. At first day 8 contributions for PAR and 5 Criteria and at second day 7 contributions for technical will be presented. Each presentation is expected to use 15 min for presentation and 5 min for discussion.

Chair presented on the future activity of MMR SG group completing PAR & 5 Criteria and reaction to 802.1 comments and information update of on review of PAR and 5 Criteria during 802.16's Session #42 for EC approval.

Chair presented on the "Discussion Base for 802.16 Mobile Multi-hop Relay Study Group Draft PAR and Five Criteria" (IEEE 802.16mmr-05/025).

Contribution presentations began from 9:05.

IEEE C802.16mmr-06/006

with title "Recommendations for the PAR and Five Criteria Mobile Multihop Relay Task Group" was presented by Roger Peterson from 9:05.

IEEE C802.16mmr-06/009r1

with title "Comments on the Discussion Base on Draft PAR & Five Criteria Document" was presented by Mike Hart from 9:35.

IEEE C802.16mmr-06/010

with title "MMR PAR and Five Criteria draft" was presented by Jose Costa from 9:40.

Coffee break from 9:55 to 10:25

IEEE C802.16mmr-06/008

with title "Relay Strategy of Broadcast Messages in Mobile Multihop Relay" was presented by Masahito Asa from 10:25

IEEE C802.16mmr-06/012

with title "Consideration of MMR Basic Networking Topology Constraints" was presented by G.Q. Wang from 10:55.

IEEE C802.16mmr-06/014r1

with title “Proposed enhancements to IEEE802.16 MMR PAR and Five Criteria” was presented by Puneet Jain from 11:10.

IEEE C802.16mmr-06/002

with title “Distinctions from IEEE802.1 projects” was presented by Kenji Saito from 12:00  
The scheduled contributions were presented by 12:10.

After scheduled presentation, chair summarized the status for each item on PAR and 5 Criteria as following table for 1<sup>st</sup> day session

		Green/Yellow/Red
PAR	Scope	Red : Mobile Client RS Phased approach
	Purpose	Red : Backhaul; Mesh topology
	Reason	Green
	Other WG activity	Yellow; 802.1 ; 802.11
5Criteria	Market	Green
	Compatibility	Yellow : clear definition for compatibility
	Distinct	Green
	Tech. Feasibility	Green
	Eco. Feasibility	Green

green : move to editing

yellow : move to editing with more clarification for external effect.

red : more discussion

Motion : To empower the chair to make editing party for green items.

Raised by Nohara

Seconded by Cho

Approved with no objection

1<sup>st</sup> day session recessed at 12:25 and it was announced that 2<sup>nd</sup> day session would resume on 8 o'clock January 10, 2006.

## Second Day meeting

On 8: 20, Tuesday January 11, 2006, second day meeting of MMR SG was resumed.

Chair reconfirmed the schedule and reported the result of ad hoc meeting at Monday evening.

IEEE C802.16mmr-06/003

with title “On the Throughput Enhancement of Fixed Relay Concept in Manhattan-like Urban Environments” was presented by Jaeweon Cho from 8:30

IEEE C802.16mmr-06/006

with title “Reverse Link Performance of Relay-based Cellular Systems in Manhattan-like Scenario” was presented by I-Kang Fu from 8:57

IEEE C802.16mmr-06/005

with title “Handover Scenario and 802.16 MMR” was presented by Chie Ming Chou from 9:20

IEEE C802.16mmr-06/013

with title “Configuration Scenario for Multi-Hop Relay Network” was presented by Purva R Rajkotia from 9:30

Coffee Break from 10:10

IEEE C802.16mmr-06/007 with title “Definition of terminology used in Mobile Multihop Relay” was presented by Roger Peterson from 10:30

IEEE C802.16mmr-06/011

with title “Vocabulary of Terms Related to the Mesh/Relay Networks” was presented by Jose Costa from 10:40

Motion : To create terminology definition document by Roger Peterson

Raised by chair

Second : Mike

Approved by unanimous voice voting.

IEEE C802.16mmr-06/001

with title “Discussion base for 802.16 MMR tutorial draft” was presented by Mitsuo Nohara from 10:50

Presentation session was closed by 11:10

Discussion for PAR and 5 Criteria was resumed from 11:10

Chair reviewed the issues discussed during ad hoc meeting at Monday evening and presented information received from David Johnston by email regarding his meetings with the 802.1 Working Group at its interim session this week.

Discussion about scope.

- chair presentation on the figure drawn during ad hoc meeting.
- There was suggestion for straw poll about the following items
  - communication via 16e interface bet. RS and 16eMS/SS shall be supported.
  - phase approach.

During the discussion about purpose, mesh topology is Discussion about purpose.

- elimination of Mesh from PAR means what?
- Concerning point that it is possible to support mesh topology with no or little modification on MS.
- Communication shall through BS.
- Some scheme such like dynamic network re-configuration shall be possible to get gain by this project.

Motion to have reorganize Thursday morning and afternoon session to discuss and drafting PAR draft.

Raised by chair

Second by Sheron

Approved by unanimous voice voting.

2<sup>nd</sup> day session recessed at 12:15.

### Third Day meeting

3<sup>rd</sup> day session resumed at 8:30, 12 January 2006.

Chair presented about the discussion items remained and detailed schedule of third day session to handle them.

There was presentation of a late contribution about PAR.

IEEE C802.16mmr-06/015

with title "MMR PAR considerations" was presented by Jose Puthenkulam from 8:50.

Philip Barber presented on the issue from IEEE 802.1 related with MMR SG from 9:40.

Roger Marks presented the plan to make new PAR about bridging of 802.16 as part of the resolution on the issue from IEEE 802.1 from 9:50.

Coffee break from 10:15

Discussion on PAR and 5 Criteria resumed from 10:40.

Motion : to proceed with discussion of PAR & 5 Criteria with the items following.

- Coverage extension and throughput enhancement
- Backward compatibility
- Link topology
  - Tree
  - Mesh
- OFDMA only?
- Transparent and/or non-transparent?
- RS type
- Ownership/Control
- Frequency : licensed and/or un-licensed?
- Phased approach : To take or not, in the former case, how?

Raised by Chair

Second : Mike

Approved with no objection

Motion : to focus discussion on 3 issues (terminals, modulation, RS type)

Raised by Jose

Seconded by Mike

Approved with no objection

Terminal Issue (entity to talk to RS)

Option 1 : MMR-MS/SS only?

Option 2 : Conventional .16 MS/SS only?

Option 3 : MMR-MS/SS and .16 MS/SS?

Modulation Issue

Option 1 : OFDMA only

Option 2 : OFDM and OFDMA

RS type Issue

Infrastructure Relay: Fixed/Nomadic/Mobile?

backward compatible to legacy mobile station and to communicate with MMR enabled mobile station.

Straw poll for terminal issue.

Option1 : 0

Option2 : 32 (86% support)

Option3 : 5 (14% support)

Straw poll for modulation

Option1 (OFDMA only) : 33 (87% support)

Option2 (both OFDM and OFDMA) : 5 ( 13% support)

Straw poll for RS type

Option 1 (Fixed only) : 7 (17% support)

Option 2 (Fixed & Nomadic only) : 13 (31% support)

Option 2 (Fixed, Nomadic and Mobile) : 22 (52% support)

Straw poll on proceeding to editing PAR with above straw poll result

Yes : 36

No : 0

3<sup>rd</sup> morning session recessed at 12:05 and announced that it would resume at 1:30.

Meeting resumed at 1:30.

at Drafting on the PAR and 5 Criteria document was done and it was uploaded to Temp directory

upload server at 3:30

Coffee break from 3:30

Meeting resumed at 5:15

PAR Chair reviewed the works of MMR SG during Session #41 including presentations, works for preparation and results. Chair reviewed the draft for PAR and 5 Criteria.

earliest Motion : to empower SG chair to register plenary meeting to get tutorial session slot at his

opportunity using the current draft document and to organize a volunteer group to finalize the presentation program and material.

Raised by chair

Seconded by Jaeweon Cho

Approved unanimously by voice vote.

Volunteer for preparation of tutorial

Motion : to approve draft PAR document as IEEE802.16mmr-06/002, as the draft PAR and 5 Criteria for 802.16j

Raised by Ariel Sharon

Seconded by Jose Puthenkulam

Approved unanimously by voice vote.

recommendation Motion : to forward 802.16j draft PAR and 5 Criteria in IEEE802.16mmr-06/002 as

of the study group.

Raised by Mike Hart

Seconded by Roger Peterson

Yes : 37, No : 0, Abstain : 0.

Motion to adjourn study group

Raised by Roger Marks  
Seconded by Jung Je Son  
Approved unanimously.