

Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group < http://ieee802.org/16 >	
Title	IEEE 802.16.1 FINAL TASK GROUP REVIEW: Call for Comments	
Date Submitted	2000-08-10	
Source(s)	Brian Petry (Editor) 3Com 12230 World Trade Dr. San Diego, CA 92128	Voice: 858-674-8533 mailto:brian_petry@3com.com
	802.16.1 Leadership and Editorial Team	
Re:	802.16.1 Task Group working version of the air interface standard: "Air Interface for Fixed Broadband Wireless Access Systems," (Document IEEE 802.16.1-00/01r1, which may be found at < http://ieee802.org/16/tg1/docs/802161-00_01r1.pdf >).	
Abstract	Contains instructions for submitting comments electronically; comment deadline is 2000-09-01.	
Purpose	Collect final comments to bring the document into a form suitable for Working Group Letter Ballot.	
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.	
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate text contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.	
Patent Policy and Procedures	<p>The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures (Version 1.0) <http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html>, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, if there is technical justification in the opinion of the standards-developing committee and provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder that it will license applicants under reasonable terms and conditions for the purpose of implementing the standard."</p> <p>Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <mailto:r.b.marks@ieee.org> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, of any patents (granted or under application) that may cover technology that is under consideration by or has been approved by IEEE 802.16. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site <http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices>.</p>	

IEEE 802.16.1 FINAL TASK GROUP REVIEW: Call for Comments

802.16.1 Task Group Editorial Team

The 802.16.1 Task Group has produced a working version of its air interface standard, entitled “Air Interface for Fixed Broadband Wireless Access Systems,” (Document 802.16.1-00/01r1, which may be found at http://iee802.org/16/tg1/docs/802161-00_01r1.pdf).

In accordance with a Task Group decision at 802.16 Session #8, this process initiates a Final Task Group Review in preparation for initiation of an 802.16 Working Group Letter Ballot following Session #9. The Task Group invites comment on this document. Detailed instructions for submitting comments electronically follow. Commentors are encouraged to be thorough and concise in their comments so the next version will be ready for Working Group Letter Ballot.

The Session #8 motion specified that priority consideration be given to resolving all open technical issues “to be determined” that remain marked as “TBD” in the document. Therefore, commentors are also encouraged to provide detailed input on these sections of the document. At Session #9, resolution of comments on TBD sections will be the first order of business.

You may have participated in similar comment/resolution procedures that took place for 802.16.1 and 802.16.3 Functional Requirements. We will use a very similar process for this Task Group review. All comments will be incorporated into a database and the 802.16.1 editors will produce two additional documents prior to Session #9: a database “dump” that is sorted by Page Number/Line Number (with comments on TBD sections listed first), and a new version of the document, with each comment edited-in and noted by change bars. Then, at Session #9, the 802.16.1 Task Group will proceed by considering each and every comment with discussion and vote. The Task Group may divide itself into parallel sessions to resolve MAC- and PHY-specific comments simultaneously.

To help resolve comments quickly at Session #9, commentors are required to categorize each comment into one of three categories:

- E: The comment is “editorial” in nature. Acceptance of the requested change should not require debate.
- T: The comment is “technical” in nature and may require debate on the proposed change.
- TBD: The comment is technical in nature and covers one of the sections marked with a “TBD.” These comments will be resolved before all others.

The editors and chairs reserve the right to change “E” categorizations to “T.”

The draft is open for comment regarding only specific changes: insertion, deletion or change of any part of the document. Below are detailed instructions for submitting comments. The instructions **MUST** be followed or comments will be respectfully rejected by the editors and will not be entered into the comment database. For a rejected comment to be considered, the commentor receiving a rejection **MUST** resubmit the comment(s) using the instructions found below:

- Each comment on the document **MUST** use the comment submittal form found at <http://iee802.org/16/tg1/docs/commentform.txt>. The form is ASCII text-based (DOS text with CR/LF at the end of each line), and accommodates one “edit request.” It is filled-out with a short example.
- Commentors **MUST** replace the example text with their own information.
- Commentors **MUST NOT** delete or change the delimiters which are surrounded by square brackets ([]), as we need these to automatically import the submitted comment forms into a database.
- Commentors **SHOULD** wrap/fold each line at 80 columns or less. The only exception is for a table or other format that cannot be rendered in 80 columns).
- Commentors **MUST** fill out all of the fields, which are:
 - Last Name
 - First Name

- Membership Status (Member, Observer, Neither)
 - Starting page number
 - Starting line number
 - “T,” “E” or “TBD”: Technical (content-related), Editorial (typos, grammar, etc.), or TBD-related
 - A detailed description of the proposed edit
 - A reason for the edit
- The commentor **MUST** send the comments directly to the email reflector (<mailto:stds-802-16@ieee.org>). This allows other participants to see, and prepare for, all of the comments and perhaps avoid overlapping comments.
 - The prefix “TG1 COMMENT:” **MUST** appear at the start of the message’s subject line. This allows participants to easily recognize the COMMENT in their in-box and non-participants to conveniently filter out the COMMENT.
 - Each email message **MUST NOT** contain attachments of any kind. Note that the IEEE reflector will automatically reject messages with any sort of attachment.
 - Each email message **MUST** contain at least one comment form in the body of the message.
 - An email message **MAY** contain more than one filled-out comment form in the body of a message. Commentors are encouraged to use this option by building up all comments in one text file so they can be reviewed, submitted and processed in one batch. It should be convenient for commentors to copy-and-paste comment forms (with their name already filled in) into one file while generating their comments.
 - If multiple comment forms are sent in one message, each comment form **MUST** contain all of the fields filled out as required (see above), including the commentor’s name.
 - Comments regarding graphics, figures, drawings, equations and tables **MUST** be fully described in text form. If such graphic-oriented comments cannot be rendered or described in text form, the commentor **MAY** contact the editors for “special” consideration. We will accommodate these requests by associating a graphical figure with the database comment.
 - Commentors **SHOULD** send each comment message as a “reply” to the task group review announcement message so that people who browse the reflector archive on our web page can more conveniently skip over the submitted comments. Note that you may have to override the subject line with the “TG1 COMMENT:” prefix (see above).

The editors will gather all of the proposed changes (comments) and integrate them into the document and publish it as a new draft. Overlapping and conflicting comments will be clearly recognizable in the new draft.

Submissions will be considered non-confidential and will be posted for public access on the 802.16 Web Site.

For consideration for incorporating changes in the next working draft of the interoperability standard, valid comments that follow these instructions **MUST** be received no later than close of business, September 1, 2000.

Thank you,

From the 802.16.1 Editors:

Jim Mollenauer
Brian Petry

and the 802.16.1 Editorial Team:

Carl Eklund
Jeff Foerster
Phil Guillemette
Jay Klein
John Liebetreu
Roger Marks

Glen Sater
George Stamatelos
Ken Stanwood
Nico van Waes
Juan Carlos Zuniga