Document Number:		
IEEE 802.16.1mp-00/06		
802.16.1 MAC Simulation Tools: Recommendations		
Date Submitted:		
2000-01-11		
Source:	*7 *	
Brian Petry	Voice:	858-674-8533
3Com	Fax:	
12230 World Trade Dr.	E-mail:	brian_petry@3com.com
San Diego, CA 92128		
Venue:	F 1 1 1 1	
802.16 Session #5, Richardson, TX; MAC Task Group: Evaluation and Modeling		
Base Document:		
802.16.1mc-00/06 < http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/16/mac/contrib/802161mc-00_06.pdf >		
Purpose:		
Consider the information presented for choosing MAC simulation/modeling tools		
Notice:		
This document has been prepared to assist the IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(a) or appropriation(b). The material in this document is subject to above an approximation for the further study. The		
contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein		
Release.		
The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by 802.16		
IEEE Patent Policy.		
The contributor is familiar with the IEEE Patent Policy, which is set forth in the IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws		
< <u>http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws</u> > and includes the statement: "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s),		
including patent applications, if there is technical justification in the opinion of the standards-developing committee and provided the		
IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder that it will license applicants under reasonable terms and conditions for the purpose of		
implementing the standard."		

MAC Simulation Tools: Recommendations Brian Petry, 3Com

Purpose: Lend some of my experience to spur discussion of tool choices

(See document: 802.16mc-00/06)

Outline

- Requirements
- Two Tools
- Recommendations

Requirements

- Inexpensive
- Reliable, predictable
- Easy to use/maintain
- Simulate bearer services (mandatory and recommended)
- Discrete-event based

Requirements (cont.)

- Models built for networking
- Network-oriented traffic and error models (statistical, self-similar and trace-based)
- Existing network protocol models (layers and topologies)
- Good "upper layer" models built-in

Two Tools

 DARPA/VINT (Virtual Internetwork Testbed) Network Simulator (*ns*)

• NIST HFC/ATM Simulator

ns

- DARPA-funded maintenance
- Key VINT contributors/members: UCB, LBL, Xerox/PARC, USC/ISI
- Used by numerous commercial and academic entities for many network models
- Network-, IP-orientation (no ATM)
- UNIX/Linux and Windows
- I had a good experience with MAC layer modeling
- http://www-mash.cs.berkeley.edu/ns/

NIST HFC/ATM Simulator

- From the High-Speed Network Technology (HSNT) group at NIST, MD
- Based on the NIST/ATM Simulator
- For 802.14, TCP/IP & ATM/ABR models added (note error in 802.16mc-00/6)
- Limited support for other higher layers
- UNIX-based

Current NIST/HSNT Work

(from David Su, Nada Golmie)

- Helping 802.15 develop SDL specification
- Helping 802.15 analyze coexistence effects on MAC layers (e.g., 802.11 and 802.15)
- Evaluating tool choices for 802.15 work
- Will help 802.15 MAC performance analysis
- http://w3.antd.nist.gov

Recommendations

- At least start with the NIST Simulator
- An *ns*-based simulation would attract more attention by academia and industry
- Task group should consider "porting" ATM and HFC models to *ns*
- *ns* is "cool"

• Any other ideas?

Notes

- SDL tools for editing protocol specs may be used, in a limited fashion, for simulation and verification.
- Is ATM "good" for *ns*?
- Another "big" simulator: www.sssnet.org, Rutgers University

Notes (cont.)