Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Grou	<pre>up <http: 16="" ieee802.org=""></http:></pre>	
Title	Closed-Loop Cluster-Based Transmit Power Control		
Date Submitted	2004-11-04		
	Jing Wang Sean Cai Jason Hou Mary Chion Dazi Feng	jwang@ztesandiego.com scai@ztesandiego.com jhou@ztesandiego.com mchion@ztesandiego.com dfeng@ztesandiego.com	
	ZTE San Diego Inc. 10105 Pacific Heights Blvd. San Diego, CA 92121 USA	Voice: 858-554-0387 Fax: 858-554-0894	
Re:	IEEE P802.16e/D5-2004		
Abstract	The proposed power redistribution scheme has the advantag and low computational complexity. In addition, this scheme STC/MIMO Zones.	es of low feedback BW requirement can also be applied to the non-	
Purpose	To enhance STC/MIMO performance		
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this doc content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add herein.	a basis for discussion and is not binding on cument is subject to change in form and , amend or withdraw material contained	
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.		
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures (Version 1.0) < <u>http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html</u> >, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, if there is technical justification in the opinion of the standards- developing committee and provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder that it will license applicants under reasonable terms and conditions for the purpose of implementing the standard."		
	Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increas will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <mailto:r.b.ma (granted="" 16="" 802.16="" 802.16.="" <htps:="" any="" application)="" approved="" been="" by="" chair="" consideration="" electronic="" form,="" has="" ieee="" ieee802.org="" ipr="" notices="" of="" or="" patents="" site="" the="" under="" web="" will="" written=""></mailto:r.b.ma>	be relevant to the standard is essential to e the likelihood that the draft publication rks@ieee.org > as early as possible, in that may cover technology that is under disclose this notification via the IEEE	

# **Closed-Loop Cluster-Based Transmit Power Control**

Jing Wang, Sean Cai, Jason Hou, Mary Chion, Dazi Feng

## ZTE San Diego Inc. USA

## 1. Introduction

STC has shown significant performance improvement in wireless channel environment. To further improve the performance, transmit antenna power can be redistributed across subcarriers such that power of low SNR subcarriers can be boosted and consequently more BER performance gain can be achieved. While boosting the power of low SNR subcarriers, the power of high SNR subcarriers is reduced accordingly so that the total power remains the same.

The proposed power redistribution scheme has the advantages of low feedback BW requirement and low computational complexity. In addition, this scheme can also be applied to the non-STC/MIMO Zones.

## 2. Background

Due to multiple scattering, channel experiences frequency selective fading. Figure 1 shows a typical snapshot of the channel SNR distribution across a section of subcarriers, containing several clusters. As seen from the figure, the received SNR for cluster k+1 from Tx antenna 1 is much weaker than the others due to multipath fading. If in a similar snapshot taken from other Tx antenna shows a similar deep fade, then STC/MIMO performance will be reduced. Although statistically this is a small probability event (assuming independent Rayleigh fading among multiple transmit antennas), the performance loss cannot be ignored, especially when the number of antennas in a MIMO system is not large.



Fig. 1 Channel SNR distribution for Tx Ant 1

We propose to increase the transmit power for those clusters with deep fades while reduce others (slightly), resulting a better (more uniformly) power distribution over the subcarriers. One can show the probability of same level of deep



fading is reduced and therefore, a better performance is achieved. The power adjusted subcarrier SNR distribution is shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 2 Power adjusted channel SNR distribution for Tx Ant 1

The information that cluster k+1 is in deep fade could be obtained from CQI measurement. For example, it can be determined by comparing the measured average SNR over a cluster to a predetermined threshold. To reduce the overhead of such channel reporting, only the clusters with averaged SNR below or above the thresholds are notified to BS for power boosting.

Similarly, for multiple antennas, the composite averaged SNR (over multiple antennas) is measured, and one CQI channel is required for each transmit antenna. Fig. 3 shows the case for two transmit antennas.



Once the CQI measurement is performed, the result is fed back to BS via a CQI channel, encompassing two parameters, (the physical cluster number with inadequate or excess SNR, relative nominal SNR level (measured in dB)). Each CQI measurement requires 7 bits ( $2^7=128$ ) to address the 120 physical clusters and 3 bits to describe the power level difference as showed in Table 298b.

## 3. Simulation Results

Simulation results are presented in the following figures



**Fig.4** Performance comparison of  $2 \times 1$  open-loop STC against closed-loop STC; Channel fading model using ITU pedestrian model A at 3km/h; QPSK at Rate 3/4; Feedback delay at 10 ms (2 frame);  $\rho = 0.2$ 



**Fig. 5** Performance comparison of  $2 \times 1$  open-loop STC against closed-loop STC; Channel fading model using ITU pedestrian model A at 3km/h; QPSK at Rate 3/4; Feedback delay at 5 ms (1 frame);  $\rho = 0.2$ 



Fig. 6 Performance comparison of  $2 \times 1$  open-loop STC against closed-loop STC; Channel fading model using SUI 5 model at 3km/h; QPSK at Rate 3/4; Feedback delay at 5 ms (1 frame);  $\rho = 0.2$ 

The simulation results show that the proposed scheme

- 1) Suitable for, but not limited to, cluster based PUSC application, with a gain of 1.5 to 2 dB on top of STC gain;
- 2) Performs well in highly frequency selected fading channels, e.g. SUI 5;
- 3) Low feedback bandwidth requirement.
- 4) Works well with small number of transmit antennas and also applicable to single transmit antenna system.

## 4. Specific Text Changes

[Add section 8.4.8.3.6.1 as follows]

8.4.8.3.6.1 Closed-loop cluster based transmit power control

Based on the information provided by feedback method described in *8.4.5.4.10.10*, transmit antenna power shall be redistributed across subcarriers in different clusters. Power of low SNR subcarriers shall be boosted and consequently more BER performance gain will be achieved. While boosting the power of low SNR subcarriers, the power of high SNR subcarriers shall be reduced accordingly so that the total power remains the same.

[Add section 8.4.5.4.10.10 as follows]

8.4.5.4.10.10 MIMO channel condition feedback

One CQICH channel containing two slots is used to feedback single cluster channel condition or channel pre-equalization parameters. A cluster is defined in section 8.4.6.1.2.1 for PUSC mode. There are total 12 bits included in two slots of the cluster based MIMO pre-equalization feedback CQICH channel, which are split into several segments as showed in Figure 232.



#### Figure 232-Structure of two slots of the cluster based MIMO pre-equalization feedback CQICH channel

#### 8.4.5.4.10.10.1 Case 1: Channel fading information feedback

The Upper 2 bits defined in Table 298a are used to identify the antenna whose power needs to be changed.

## Table 298a—antenna index

Value	Corresponding Antenna
<u>00</u>	Antenna 1
<u>01</u>	Antenna 2
<u>10</u>	Antenna 3
<u>11</u>	Antenna 4

Following 7 bits defined in Table 298b are used to identify the clusters as specified in table 298b. Cluster index is the cluster number defined in section 8.4.6.1.2.1. before renumbering.

### Table 298b—Cluster index

Value	Corresponding Cluster
<u>0000000</u>	<u>Cluster 0</u>
<u>0000001</u>	Cluster 1
<u>0000010</u>	<u>Cluster 2</u>
÷	±
<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>
<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>
<u>1110110</u>	Cluster 118
<u>1110111</u>	Cluster 119
<u>1111000</u>	
<u>1111001</u>	
<u>1111010</u>	
<u>1111011</u>	Reserved for Channel pre-equalization
<u>1111100</u>	parameters feedback
<u>1111101</u>	
<u>1111110</u>	
<u>1111111</u>	

The following 2 bits defined in Table 298c are used to describe the relative power level, which indicate the power fading condition of the feedback cluster.

Value	Description
<u>00</u>	-9 dB <= Channel Power Fading level < -6 dB
<u>01</u>	-6 dB <= Channel Power Fading level < -3 dB
<u>11</u>	-3 dB =< Channel Power Fading level < 0 dB
11	3  dB = < Channel Power Fading level < 6  dB

## Table 298c—Encoding of relative power level

The last 1 bit defined in Table 298d are used to specify if a higher rate burst profile is needed to adopt the better SNR after the power boost.

#### Table 298d—burst profile change

Value	<b>Corresponding Antenna</b>
<u>0</u>	Burst Profile keep unchanged
1	Burst Profile increase 1 level

#### 8.4.5.4.10.10.2 Case 2: Channel pre-equalization parameters feedback

The Upper 2 bits defined in Table 299a are used to identify the antenna whose pre-equalization parameters need to be changed.

#### Table 299a—antenna index

Value	Corresponding Antenna
<u>00</u>	Antenna 1
<u>01</u>	Antenna 2
<u>10</u>	Antenna 3
<u>11</u>	Antenna 4

Following 7 bits defined in Table 299b are reserved from Cluster index to describe Precoding Decay Timer value, which the time duration of a boost shall exist.

## Table 299b—Pre-equalization Decay Frame Timer

Value	Channel precoding parameter
<u>1111000</u>	Time Constant of 2 frames
<u>1111001</u>	Time Constant of 4 frames
<u>1111010</u>	Time Constant of 6 frames
<u>1111011</u>	Time Constant of 8 frames
<u>1111100</u>	Time Constant of 10 frames
<u>1111101</u>	Infinity
<u>1111110</u>	Power Fading Level=0
<u>1111111</u>	Burst Profile Decrease 1

## The following 3 bits defined in Table 299c are used to specify the Fading Bandwidth Information.

Value	<b>Description</b>
<u>000</u>	Fade Coherence Bandwidth is 1 cluster
<u>001</u>	Fade Coherence Bandwidth is 3 cluster
<u>010</u>	Fade Coherence Bandwidth is 5 cluster
<u>011</u>	Fade Coherence Bandwidth is 7 cluster
<u>100</u>	Fade Coherence Bandwidth is 9 cluster
<u>101</u>	Fade Coherence Bandwidth is 11 cluster
<u>110</u>	Fade Coherence Bandwidth is 13 cluster
<u>111</u>	Fade Coherence Bandwidth is 15 cluster

## Table 299c—Fading Bandwidth Information

### [Modify Table 298a as follows]

Syntax	Size	Notes
	(bits)	
CQICH_Enhanced_Alloc_IE() {		
Extended DIUC	4	0x09
Length	4	Length in bytes of following fields
CQICH_ID	variable	Index to uniquely identify the CQICH resource assigned to the MSS
Period (=p)	2	A CQI feedback is transmitted on the CQICH every 2 <sup>p</sup> frames
Frame offset	3	The MSS starts reporting at the frame of which the number has the same 3 LSB
		as the specified frame offset. If the current frame is specified, the MSS should
		start reporting in 8 frames
Duration (=d)	3	A CQI feedback is transmitted on the CQI channels indexed by the CQICH_ID
		for 10 x $2^d$ frames. If d== 0, the CQICH is deallocated. If d == 111, the MSS
		should report until the BS command for the MSS to stop.
NT actual BS antennas	3	001 = Reserved
		010 = 2 actual antennas
		011 = 3 actual antennas
		100 = 4 actual antennas
		101 = 5 actual antennas
		110 = 6 actual antennas
		111 = 7 actual antennas
		000 = 8  actual antennas
Feedback_type	4	0000 = Open loop precoding. Pilots in burst to be precoded with $W$ . SS to rely
		only on pilots in burst for channel estimation.
		0001 = Complex weight of specific element of W
		0010 = Fast DL measurement
		0011 = Layer specific channel strengths
		0100 = MIMO mode and permutation zone feedback
		0101 = Feedback of subset of antennas to use
		$\frac{0110 = \text{Cluster based MIMO precoding feedback}}{01101 = 1111 \text{ many solution}}$
COICH North	4	$011\frac{1}{2} \sim 1111 \text{ reserved}$
CQICH_Num	4	Number of CQICHS assigned to this CQICH_ID is (CQICH_Num+I)
		when Feedback type =0110, CQICH_Num =1. (First and second CQICH refer
for (i=0;i<=COICH Num;i++) (		to slot 0 and 1, respectively)
$\frac{101 (1-0,1)-(21011_1)(1111,1^+)}{4}$	6	Index to the fast feedback shannel region marked by LULIC=0
Anocation index	0	
if (Feedback_type != 10) {		
in (i coublier_type : it) (		

### Table 298a—CQICH Enhanced allocation IE format

MIMO_permutation_feedback cycle	2  00 = No MIMO and permutation mode feedback	
		01 = the MIMO and permutation mode indication shall be transmitted on the
		CQICH indexed by the CQICH_ID every 4 frames. The first indication is sent
		on the 8th CQICH frame.
		10 = the MIMO mode and permutation mode indication shall be transmitted on
		the CQICH indexed by the CQICH_ID every 8 frames. The first indication is
		sent on the 8th CQICH frame.
		11 = the MIMO mode and permutation mode indication shall be transmitted on
		the CQICH indexed by the CQICH_ID every 16 frames. The first indication is
		sent on the 16th CQICH frame.
}		
Padding	variable	The padding bits are used to ensure the IE size is integer number of bytes.
}		

## 5. Appendix

## 5.1 Waterfilling (Optimal precoding allowing bit-loading)

In order to maximize

$$C = \sum_{i} C_{i} \propto \sum_{i} \log_{2} \left( 1 + \frac{P_{i} |H_{i}|^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \right)$$
(1)

under the constraint of

$$\sum_{i} P_i = P_0 . (2)$$

By using Lagrange's method, we found the well known results:

$$P_{i} = \frac{P_{0}}{N} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{|H_{j}|^{2}} - \frac{\sigma^{2}}{|H_{i}|^{2}}$$
(3)

and

$$C_{\max} \propto \sum_{i} \log_2 \left( \frac{|H_i|^2}{\sigma^2} \right) + N \log_2 \left( \frac{P_0}{N} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} \frac{\sigma^2}{|H_i|^2} \right)$$
(4)

To see this is a maximum, let assume there is another

## 5.2 Channel Inversion (Optimal precoding disallowing bit-loading)

In order to max (1) under the constraints (2) and

$$C_i = const$$
, or  $P_i |H_i|^2 = const$  (5)

By using Lagrange's method, we found

$$P_{i} = \frac{P_{0}}{\sum_{j} \frac{1}{|H_{j}|^{2}}} \bullet \frac{1}{|H_{i}|^{2}}$$
(6)

and

2004-11-04

$$C_{i} \propto \log_{2} \left( 1 + \frac{P_{0}}{\sum_{j} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{|H_{i}|^{2}}} \right)$$
(7)

or

$$C_{\max} \propto N \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{P_0}{\sum_j \frac{\sigma^2}{|H_i|^2}} \right)$$
(8)

So far we have shown that  $P_i$  found this way achieves an *extreme* channel capacity. Now we show this extreme channel capacity is also a maximum channel capacity. We show this by contradiction.

Let's assume that we have found another set  $P_i$  (rather than (6)), called  $Q_i$ , that achieves a better  $C_i$ than (7), called  $D_i (D_i > C_i)$ . Note  $Q_i$  has to satisfy the same normalization constraint  $\sum_i Q_i = \sum_i P_i = P_0$ . Since  $Q_i |H_i|^2 = const$ , condition  $D_i > C_i$  is equivalent to  $Q_i |H_i|^2 > P_i |H_i|^2$  for  $|H_i|^2 > 0$ , since log is a monotonic increasing function. Thus we have  $Q_i > P_i$ . But this is impossible since it would imply  $\sum_i Q_i > \sum_i P_i$ , which violates the power normalization condition. Therefore,  $P_i$  in (6) is not only an extreme, but also a maximum under (2) and (5). OF D

but also a maximum under (2) and (5). Q.E.D.

## 5.3 Comparison of the two

Define 
$$\gamma_i = \frac{|H_i|^2}{\sigma^2}$$
, we can rewrite (4) and (8) as

 $C_1 = \sum_{i} \log_2 \left( \gamma_i \frac{P_0}{N} + \frac{\gamma_i}{N} \sum_{j} \frac{1}{\gamma_j} \right)$ 

and

$$C_2 = \sum_{i} \log_2 \left( \frac{\frac{P_0}{N}}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} \frac{1}{\gamma_j}} + 1 \right).$$

After some derivation and by using of the inequality  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_i}\right) \ge \sqrt{\frac{1}{\gamma_1} \cdots \frac{1}{\gamma_N}}$ , one can show  $C_1 \ge C_2$ , with

equality if and only if  $\gamma_i = \frac{|H_i|^2}{\sigma^2} = \text{const, namely, flat fading.}$ 

# 6. References

[1] IEEE P802.16-REVd/D5-2004 Draft IEEE Standards for local and metropolitan area networks part 16: Air interface for fixed broadband wireless access systems