

Project	<b>IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group</b> < <a href="http://ieee802.org/16">http://ieee802.org/16</a> >	
Title	<b>Quality-based Reporting for Uplink CQI channel</b>	
Date Submitted	<b>2004-05-18</b>	
Source(s)	Kang Il Koh, Sihoon Ryu, Dongil Moon, Dongkie Lee, Won Suk Chung, Dongwoo Kim, In.-Ho Lee <b>SK Telecom</b>	<a href="mailto:melomo@sktelecom.com">melomo@sktelecom.com</a> <a href="mailto:dkim@hanyang.ac.kr">dkim@hanyang.ac.kr</a>
Re:	This is a response to a WG Recirculation Ballot #14a on IEEE P802.16e/D2	
Abstract	Scheme for quality-based reporting operation of uplink CQI channel	
Purpose	The document is submitted for review by 802.16e Working Group members.	
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.	
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.	
Patent Policy and Procedures	<p>The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures (Version 1.0) &lt;<a href="http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html">http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html</a>&gt;, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, if there is technical justification in the opinion of the standards-developing committee and provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder that it will license applicants under reasonable terms and conditions for the purpose of implementing the standard."</p> <p>Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair &lt;<a href="mailto:r.b.marks@ieee.org">mailto:r.b.marks@ieee.org</a>&gt; as early as possible, in written or electronic form, of any patents (granted or under application) that may cover technology that is under consideration by or has been approved by IEEE 802.16. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site &lt;<a href="http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices">http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices</a>&gt;.</p>	

## Introduction

The number of CQI channels successfully demodulated/decoded at the base actually limits the number of valid channel-state reports and, as a result, determines the data rate that can be currently allocated. The fewer valid CQI reports arrive, the more capacity loss occurs.

In this contribution, a quality-based reporting on CQI channel is proposed in order to potentially increase the number of valid CQI channels when the usage of CQI channels increases. If mobiles move fast, the channel quality normally drops more frequently below the required CINR (Carrier to Interference plus Noise Ratio). In the case, lost CQI reports make the capacity decrease. With the proposed method that potentially increases CINR of CQI channels, the capacity degradation due to high mobility can be reduced. The proposed scheme is accomplished just by inserting either one MAC message (Suggestion 1 in the following) or one information field (consisting of 3 bits that is not presently used) into the existing CQICH Control IE suggested in IEEE 802.16d-04/84r1 (Suggestion 2 in the following.).

## Suggested Change to the Standard

### Suggestion 1:

**[Changes on the P802.16e/D2-2004 by the following text.]**

#### 6.3.2.3 MAC Management Message

*[Add this row into the Table 14a-MAC Management messages]*

Type	Message Name	Message description	Connection
TDB	CQT	CQI report threshold message	basic, broadcast

#### 6.3.2.3.xx Channel Quality Based Reporting Threshold (CQT) message

A BS may send a CQT message to SS's in order to notify the BS requests that SS's in the cell should report on CQI only when their CINR is greater than CQT. The MAC Management Message Type for this message is given in Table 14a. The format of the message is shown in Table xxx.

A BS shall generate CQT messages including the following parameter and the actual values mapped for this parameter is given in Table yyy:

#### CQI reporting threshold

It is used for the SS to determine whether it reports current channel measurement through CQI channel if allocated in the future. If the value is set to 000, this threshold is inactive afterwards; otherwise it is activated.

**Table xxx – Channel Quality Based Reporting Threshold (CQT) Message Format**

Syntax	Size	Notes
Channel Quality Based Reporting		

Threshold Message Format() {		
Management Message Type = TBD	8 bits	
Connection ID	16 bits	CID to which this message refers to.
CQI reporting threshold	5 bits	
}		

Table yyy – Threshold Values

CINR [dB]	Value
CQT inactivated	00000
-9.0	00001
-8.0	00010
-7.0	00011
-6.0	00100
-5.0	00101
-4.0	00110
-3.0	00111
-2.0	01000
-1.0	01001
0.0	01010
1.0	01011
2.0	01100
3.0	01101
4.0	01110
5.0	01111
6.0	10000
7.0	10001
8.0	10010
9.0	10011
10.0	10100
11.0	10101
12.0	10110
13.0	10111
14.0	11000
15.0	11001
16.0	11010
17.0	11011
18.0	11100

19.0	11101
20.0	11110
21.0	11111

**Suggestion 2:**

**[Changes on the P802.16-REVd/D5-2004 by the following text.]**

**6.3.2.3.43.5 CQICH Control IE.**

**[Replace the content of the Table 62 to the following:]**

Table 1 Format of CQICH Control IE

Syntax	Size	Notes
CQICH_Control_IE () {	–	–
CQICH indicator	1 bit	If the indicator is set to 1, the CQICH Control IE follows.
if CQICH indicator == 1 {	–	–
Allocation Index	6 bits	Index to the channel in a frame the CQI report should be transmitted by the SS.
Period (p)	2 bits	A CQI feedback is transmitted on the CQI channels indexed by the (CQI Channel Index) by the SS in every $2^p$ frames.
Frame offset	3 bits	The MSS starts reporting at the frame of which the number has the same 3 LSB as the specified frame offset. If the current frame is specified, the MSS should start reporting in 8 frames
Duration (d)	4 bits	A CQI feedback is transmitted on the CQI channels indexed by the (CQI Channel Index) by the SS for $2^{d-1}$ frames. If d is 0000, the CQICH is de-allocated. If d is 1111, the MSS should report until the BS command for the MSS to stop.
} else {	–	–
<a href="#">CQI reporting threshold</a>	3 bits	<a href="#">A threshold used for SS to report its CINR using CQI channel; If 000, this threshold is neglected.</a>
}	–	–
}	–	–

Each field of the IE represents the following parameters:

**Allocation Index**

It indicates its position from the start of the CQICH region

**Period**

It informs the SS of the period of CQI reports

**Frame offset**

It informs the SS of when to start. The SS starts reporting at the frame of which the number has the same 3 LSB as the specified frame offset. If the current frame is specified, the SS should start reporting in 8 frames

**Duration**

It indicates when the SS should stop reporting unless the CQICH allocation is refreshed beforehand. If duration  $d = 0000$ , the BS is intended to de-allocate the CQICH. If  $d = 1111$ , the CQICH is allocated indefinitely and the SS should report until the BS commands the SS to stop, which happens it receives another MAP\_IE with  $d = 0000$ .

**CQI reporting threshold**

It is used for the SS to determine whether it reports current channel measurement through CQI channel if allocated in the future. If the value is set to 000, this threshold is inactive afterwards; otherwise it is activated.

**Performance**

Simulation results of using CQI reporting threshold are shown in Figure 1-3. The performance is computed as  $\log_2(1+CINR)$  (bps/Hz) where CINR is determined by the best CINR among the reported (that is, with greedy method). In the simulation we investigate the performance of the center cell among 19 omniscells with 1-km radius. The users are assumed uniformly located on a circle far from the center by 0.7, 0.85, and 1 km for Figure 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Thus the CINR statistics for Figure 3 represents a worst case scenario. Three cases are compared in each Figure. No CQT means that proposed CQI reporting threshold is not used as a convention. CQI reporting threshold for  $-2$  dB and  $2$  dB is respectively investigated. Though different mobiles can be allocated different CQI reporting thresholds, we assume all the mobiles are using the same threshold. A user allocated CQI channel below CQI reporting threshold does not report CQI. Figure 1 shows CQI reporting threshold can be used to achieve about 10% increase in the capacity when there are more than 25 CQI channels used. The decrease in the capacity of no CQT case is due to the CQI error mainly occurred by low SINR, which usually happens when many CQI channels are allocated. We use CQICH packet error expected from the simulation result reported by Samsung and Runcom as shown in Figure 4. When SINR is lower than  $-8$  dB, 50% error is constantly assumed. If less than 15 CQI channels are allocated, we need to set CQI reporting threshold to '000' that inactivates the CQI reporting threshold. Figure 2 shows the capacity gain goes to about 15%. And in the worst interference scenario as used in Figure 3, the capacity gain goes further to about 20%.




Figure 1 - Average capacity versus the number of CQI channels with user position=0.7km away from the center




Figure 2- Average capacity versus the number of CQI channels with user position=0.85km away from the center




Figure 3- Average capacity versus the number of CQI channels with user position=1km away from the center




Figure 4- CQICH packet error rate versus SINR (expected)