

Minutes of IEEE 802.16e Session #37 (Draft)

Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group < http://ieee802.org/16 >	
Title	IEEE 802.16 TGe Session #36 Meeting Minutes (Draft)	
Date Submitted	2005-05-04	
Source(s)	Brian Kiernan InterDigital Communications Corp. 781 Third Ave King of Prussia, PA 19406 USA	Voice:+ 1 (610) 878-5637 Fax: +1 (610) 878-7843 [brian.kiernan@interdigital.com]
Re:	IEEE 802.16 TGe Session #37 Minutes (Sorrento, Italy)	
Abstract	Meeting minutes	
Purpose	To record meeting discussions.	
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.	
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.	
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures < http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html >, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair < mailto:chair@wirelessman.org > as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site < http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices >.	

SORRENTO, ITALY
02-04 May, 2005

Monday, May 02:

Meeting called to order at 2:15 PM by Brian Kiernan, TGe Chair.

Introductions were made and administrative information provided. The Chair indicated his intent to move the Task Group to complete resolution of the comments received from Sponsor Ballot Recirculation, authorize creation of a new Draft, and initiate an additional recirculation. He then presented the TGe Opening Report (802.16e-05/008).

The Chair presented a draft agenda (802.16e-05/009) and discussed the intent to hold a joint session with the Maintenance Task Group to resolve conflicts between the Corrigenda D2 document and D7. He also indicated his intent to establish any needed drafting groups who would be tasked to resolve all comments associated with specific subject areas and return to the Task Group with resolutions to those comments for Task Group approval. Any comments that could not be resolved by the drafting groups would be dealt with in the full Task Group meeting. After some discussion, it was agreed that only a Security drafting group would be required. The draft agenda was then accepted. The minutes from Session #36 (802.16e-05/007) were reviewed and accepted.

The group then initiated comment resolution. Resolutions are recorded in the comment database (IEEE 802.16-05/023r4). A number of contributors expressed a desire for further harmonization and the contributors agreed to work together during the meeting to achieve further consensus. The Chair encouraged this activity, expressly indicating that once harmonization was achieved, he would expect that some contributions and comments would be withdrawn or superseded. Comments of this nature were deferred until later in the session.

Recessed @ 8:10 PM

Tuesday, May 3:

Opened the session @ 8:12 AM.

This was a joint session with the Maintenance Task Group for the purpose of resolving conflicts between the two group's documents. The discussion was based upon an Excel spreadsheet which had been developed prior to the meeting by an ad-hoc created at the prior WG plenary for the purpose of reviewing both documents for conflicts and inconsistencies. As items were discussed and resolved, new comments were generated for both the TGe and Maintenance group databases and incorporated therein. The final TGe database includes these comments and their resolution.

The TGe chair appointed Jeff Mandin to chair of the Security drafting group and tasked him to resolve, as far as possible, all security related comments. The TGe editor provided a file (80216-05_23 editorial.zip) containing the submitted editorial comments that he felt were truly editorial. This file contained 69 of the 128 submitted editorial comments. Everyone was instructed to review the list of comments to determine if they had any objections to any of the comments being considered as purely editorial. It was pointed out that the editor would be making a motion the following day to accept these editorial comments. The remaining editorial comments would be addressed during the normal comment resolution process.

The joint comment resolution continued and was completed. Resolutions are recorded in the respective group's comment database. The two groups agreed to meet again on Thursday after the morning break.

Recessed @ 8:05 PM

Wednesday, May 4:

Session opened @ 8:20 AM

The security ballot resolution group asked for additional time to continue comment resolutions. The chair indicated that he could not give up additional agenda time but the group was welcome to meet again on Thursday during the joint session with Maintenance and bring their resolutions to the Task Group on Thursday morning.

The Task Group then continued to resolve other comments. Results are recorded in the comment database.

Motion 1: Ron Murias (editor), Second, Brian Kiernan: To accept the editorial comments contained in file "80216-05_23.zip."

Passed: Unanimous

Recessed for social @ 7:45 PM

Thursday, May 5:

Session opened @ 8:15 AM

Comment resolution continued. Resolutions are recorded in the comment database. The joint session with Maintenance was held after the morning break. Some additional comments were generated during the joint session, resolved and incorporated into the respective group's comment database. The Security drafting group provided the results of their comment resolutions in a commentary file (security comments.zip). Of the 123 security related comments, 97 had been resolved. After some discussion on the contribution contents, the following motion was made:

Motion 2: Brian Kiernan, Second, Jeff Mandin:

"To accept the proposed resolutions in "security comments.zip" as the resolution of the 97 resolved security comments therein, excluding those comments with no stated proposed resolutions."

Passed: Unanimous

The stated resolutions were then incorporated directly into the editor's comment database. Comment resolution continued, including those comments not resolved by the Security drafting group. All comments received on time were resolved as well as several late comments. However, due to lack of time, not all late comments were resolved. Resolutions are recorded in the comment database.

The Chair then initiated a discussion of the project's development schedule, indicating that it was possible to conduct two recircs prior to the July meeting. This would necessitate an "interim" comment resolution session during mid-June and issuance of a new draft for the second recirc. He indicated that additional discussion would occur at the WG Closing Plenary and requested people consider the options.

The Chair then proposed the following motions:

Motion 3: "To accept the comment database 802.16-05/023r4 as the record of the ballot recirculation comment resolution." Seconded: Panyuh Joo

Passed: unanimously

Motion 4: "To authorize the editor to revise P802.16e/D7 in accordance with the comment resolutions in 802.16-05/023r4 and reissue the document as P02.16e/D8." Seconded: Jim Mollenhauer

Passed: unanimously

Motion 5: To present the following motion to the Working Group;

"To accept IEEE 802.16-05/023r4 as the Sponsor Ballot Recirculation comment resolutions, develop Draft P802.16e/D8 based on those resolutions, and initiate recirculation." Seconded: John Humbert

Passed: unanimously

Having no other business, the meeting adjourned at 6:45 PM.