

| | | |
|----------------|---|---|
| Project | IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group < http://ieee802.org/16 > | |
| Title | Text and Table for Draft 802.16m Evaluation Methodology: Link Budget Template | |
| Date Submitted | 2007-11-13 | |
| Source(s) | Yih Guang Jan, Yang-Han Lee, Hsien-Wei Tseng, Ming-Hsueh Chuang, Tamkang University (TKU), Taiwan | yihjan@yahoo.com yhlee@ee.tku.edu.tw |
| | Chih-Wei Su, Chun-Yen Hsu, Institute for Information Industry (III), Taiwan | cwsu@nmi.iii.org.tw |
| | Thierry Lestable, Samsung Electronics Research Institute (SERI), UK | thierry.lestable@samsung.com |
| | Jaeweon Cho, Samsung Electronics | jaeweon.cho@samsung.com |
| | Apostolos Papathanassiou, Intel Corporation | apostolos.papathanassiou@intel.com |
| | Fan Wang, Motorola Inc. | fanw@motorola.com |
| | Bill Shvodian, NII | Bill.Shvodian@nii.com |
| | Paul Cheng, MediaTek Inc. | paul.cheng@mediatek.com |
| | Richard Li, ITRI | richard929@itri.org.tw |
| Re: | IEEE 802.16m-07/039r1– Call for Comments on Draft 802.16m Evaluation Methodology Document | |
| Abstract | This document contains proposed text for the draft evaluation methodology for IEEE 802.16m technical proposals. | |
| Purpose | For discussion and approval by TGm | |
| Notice | <i>This document does not represent the agreed views of the IEEE 802.16 Working Group or any of its subgroups. It represents only the views of the participants listed in the “Source(s)” field above. It is offered as a basis for discussion. It is not binding on the contributor(s), who reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.</i> | |
| Release | The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE’s name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE’s sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE | |

Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.

**Patent
Policy**

The contributor is familiar with the IEEE-SA Patent Policy and Procedures:

<<http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6>> and

<<http://standards.ieee.org/guides/opman/sect6.html#6.3>>.

Further information is located at <<http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat/pat-material.html>> and <<http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat>>.

Text and Table for Draft 802.16m Evaluation Methodology:

Link Budget Template

Text Proposal

-----Start of the text-----

[Add the following references after the line#13 of the page 15 in C802.16m-07/037]

- [1]. ITU-R recommendation M.1225, 'Guidelines for evaluation of radio transmission technologies for IMT-2000' (1997)
- [2]. IEEE 802.16 Evaluation Methodology Document, IEEE C802.16m-07/080r3, August 28, 2007, IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group.
- [3]. Mobile WiMAX – Part 1: A Technical Overview and Performance Evaluation, WiMAX Forum , February 21, 2006
- [4]. ITU-R 'Additional technical details supporting IP-OFDMA as an IMT-2000 terrestrial radio interface', Revision 1 to Document 8F/1079-E, Radiocommunication Study Groups, January 10,2007.
- [5]. http://www.wimaxforum.org/technology/WiMAX_IMT_2000/
- [6]. 8F/1079r1: Section 2.3.4 on Link Budget
- [7]. 8F/1347: Clarifications Regarding OFDMA TDD WMAN Link Budget

-----End of the text-----

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

-----*Start of the text*-----

[Add text and Table: after line 32 of the page #116 in C802.16m-07/037]

13.1.1.1.1. Link Budget Template

The link budget template, as shown in **Table 1**, is adopted from ITU-R recommendation M 1225 [1] with additions and modifications of some entries in the table to reflect different system operation and characteristics that may be exploited or considered in 802.16m but are not accounted for in the M.1225 document [2-7]. It needs to evaluate link budget for each type of control and data channels.

| Table 1 Link Budget Template | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Item | Downlink | Uplink |
| System Configuration | | |
| Carrier frequency/Total channel bandwidth | GHz/MHz | GHz/MHz |
| BS/MS heights | m | m |
| Test environment | Indoor, outdoor vehicular, etc. | Indoor, outdoor vehicular, etc. |
| Channel type | Control channel/ Traffic channel | Control channel/ Traffic channel |
| Area coverage | % | % |
| Test service | Data (rate)/ VoIP (rate) | Data (rate)/ VoIP (rate) |
| Chosen modulation and coding scheme (explicitly state the use of repetition coding) | - | - |
| Total channel bandwidth | MHz | MHz |
| Multipath channel class (characterization of both temporal and spatial properties, e.g., ITU VehA with fixed spatial correlation) | - | - |
| Mobile speed | km/h | km/h |
| Transmitter | | |
| (a) Number of transmit antennas | - | - |
| (b) Maximum transmitter power per antenna | dBm | dBm |
| (c) Transmit Backoff | dB | dB |
| (d) Transmit power per antenna = (b) - (c) | dBm | dBm |
| (d1) Total transmit power per sector = function (a) & (d) | dBm | dBm |
| (e) Transmitter antenna gain | dBi | dBi |
| (e1) Transmitter array gain (depends on transmitter array configurations and technologies such as adaptive beam forming, CDD (Cyclic delay diversity), etc.) | dB | dB |
| (e2) Control channel power boosting gain | dB | dB |
| (e3) Data carrier power loss due to pilot/control boosting | dB | dB |
| (f) Cable, connector, combiner, body losses (enumerate sources) | dB | dB |
| (g) Transmitter control EIRP = (d1) + (e) + (e1) + (e2) - (f) Data EIRP = (d1) + (e) + (e1) - (e3) - (f) | dBm | dBm |
| Receiver | | |
| (h) Number of receive antennas | - | - |
| (i) Receiver antenna gain | dBi | dBi |
| (j) Cable, connector, body losses | dB | dB |
| (k) Receiver noise figure | dB | dB |
| (l) Thermal noise density | -174 dBm/Hz | -174 dBm/Hz |
| (m) Receiver interference density | dBm/Hz | dBm/Hz |

| | | | |
|--|--|-----------|-----------|
| (n) | Total noise plus interference density $= 10 \log (10^{(l)/10} + 10^{(m)/10})$ | dBm/Hz | dBm/Hz |
| (o) | Occupied channel bandwidth (for meeting the requirements of the test service) | Hz | Hz |
| (p) | Effective noise power = (n) + (k) + 10log((o)) | dBm | dBm |
| (q) | Required SNR (AWGN 1-branch sensitivity) | dB | dB |
| (r) | Receiver implementation margin | dB | dB |
| (r1) | Fast fading margin (include scheduler gain) | dB | dB |
| (r2) | HARQ gain | dB | dB |
| (r3) | Handover gain | dB | dB |
| (r4) | BS/MS diversity gain | dB | dB |
| (s) | Receiver sensitivity = (p) + (q) + (j) + (r) + (r1) + (r2) + (r3) + (r4) | dBm | dBm |
| (t) | Hardware link budget = (g) + (i) - (s) | dB | dB |
| Calculation of Available Pathloss | | | |
| (u) | Lognormal shadow fading std deviation | dB | dB |
| (v) | Shadow fading margin (function of the area coverage and (u)) | dB | dB |
| (w) | Penetration margin | dB | dB |
| (w1) | Other gains | dB | dB |
| (x) | Available path loss = (t) - (v) - (w) + (w1) | dB | dB |
| Range/coverage Efficiency Calculation | | | |
| (y) | Maximum range (according to the selected carrier frequency, BS/MS antenna heights, and test environment – see System Configuration section of the link budget) | m | m |
| (z) | Coverage Efficiency ($\pi (v)^2$) | sq m/site | sq m/site |

1
2
3

-----End of the text-----