

# Issues with MU-MIMO CQI Definition (Section 15.3.9.3.1.3 / Comment A1222)

## IEEE 802.16 Presentation Submission Template (Rev. 9)

Document Number:

C802.16m-09/2536

Date Submitted:

2009-11-13

Source:

Fred Vook, Eugene Visotsky, Mark Cudak, Bill Hillery, Anup Talukdar  
Motorola

\*<http://standards.ieee.org/faqs/affiliationFAQ.html>

Venue: Session #64 - Atlanta Meeting

Re: P802.16m/D2 comments for LB30a

Area: Section 15.3.9.3.1.3 – Uplink Control Channel

Purpose:

This contribution proposes a modification to the CQI definition for DL MU-MIMO feedback modes.

Notice:

*This document does not represent the agreed views of the IEEE 802.16 Working Group or any of its subgroups.* It represents only the views of the participants listed in the “Source(s)” field above. It is offered as a basis for discussion. It is not binding on the contributor(s), who reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

Release:

The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE’s name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE’s sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.

Patent Policy:

The contributor is familiar with the IEEE-SA Patent Policy and Procedures:

<<http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6>> and <<http://standards.ieee.org/guides/opman/sect6.html#6.3>>.

Further information is located at <<http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat/pat-material.html>> and <<http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat>>.

# Issues with MU-MIMO CQI Definition

Eugene Visotsky  
Fred Vook  
Motorola

# CQI Reporting for MU-MIMO

- The following text in IEEE P802.16m/D2 defines CQI calculation at the AMS for MU-MIMO feedback modes:

“For MU-MIMO feedback modes with codebook-based feedback, the CQI is calculated at the AMS assuming that the interfering users are scheduled by the serving ABS using rank-1 precoders orthogonal to each other and orthogonal to the rank-1 precoder represented by the reported PMI.”

- The disadvantages of this CQI definition for MU-MIMO are as follows:
  - The definition only covers codebook-based feedback, and it does not extend to sounding or correlation MTX feedback methods, where PMI is not reported by the AMS
  - The definition is not fully defined as it is not clear what orthogonal precoders are to be used for the CQI calculation.
  - MU-MIMO TX weights (rank-1 precoders) in general are not orthogonal and are unknown to the AMS at the time of its MU-MIMO CQI calculation.
  - The number of users paired in an MU-MIMO allocation are also unknown to the AMS at the time of its MU-MIMO CQI calculation.
  - This definition complicates AMS compliance testing, since the proposed CQI calculation is quite complex and not fully defined.
- In summary, AMS does not possess sufficient information on the MU-MIMO scheduling process to compute an accurate MU-MIMO CQI estimate as currently specified.

# Proposal

- We propose to allow the ABS to have the option of taking over the task of MU-MIMO CQI calculation.
- The AMS reports a rank-1 SU-MIMO CQI assuming no pairing of other users in its MU-MIMO allocation.
- Based on the exact knowledge of TX weights and users paired, the ABS transforms the reported SU-MIMO CQI into the required MU-MIMO CQI.
- The exact transformation method is implementation specific, but several well-known methods exist in the literature.

# Proposed Text Changes (1 of 3)

*[Modify the text on page 502, lines 39-42 as follows: ]*

For MU-MIMO CQI feedback, two CQI measurement modes at the AMS are supported. The CQI measurement mode is indicated to the AMS in the Feedback Allocation A-MAP IE or Feedback Polling A-MAP IE. Under the first MU-MIMO measurement mode, the CQI is calculated at the AMS assuming that the interfering users are scheduled by the serving ABS using rank-1 precoders orthogonal to each other and orthogonal to the rank-1 precoder represented by the reported PMI. Under the second MU-MIMO measurement mode, the CQI is calculated at the AMS assuming rank-1 transmission from the ABS and assuming no MU-MIMO user pairing. For codebook feedback, the CQI is calculated assuming rank-1 transmission based on the PMI index signaled from the AMS to the ABS.”

# Proposed Text Changes (2 of 3)

*[Modify Table 818 on page 394 as follows:]*

If (MFM == 0,1,2,5){		
Measurement Method Indication	[1]	0b0: Use the midamble for CQI measurements 0b1: Use pilots in OL region with MaxMt streams for CQI measurement
}		
<u>If (MFM == 6,7){</u>		
<u>MU-MIMO CQI Measurement Mode</u>	<u>[1]</u>	<u>0b0: Use MaxMt orthogonal rank-1 precoders for MU-MIMO CQI</u> <u>0b1: Use rank-1 SU-MIMO CQI as MU-MIMO CQI</u>
<u>}</u>		
Padding	<i>Variable</i>	Padding to reach byte boundary

# Proposed Text Changes (3 of 3)

*[Insert the following text following line 30 on page 395 as follows:]*

- **Measurement Method Indication:** This field indicates the use of midamble or pilots for CQI measurement.
- **MU-MIMO CQI Measurement Method:** This field indicates the method used to calculate MU-MIMO CQI at the AMS.

*[Modify Table 821 on page 400 as follows:]*

If (MFM == 3,4,6,7){		
CM	[TBD]	[TBD]
CS	1	0b0: report PMI from the base codebook 0b1: report PMI from the codebook subset
<u>MU-MIMO CQI Measurement Mode</u>	[1]	<u>0b0: Use MaxMt orthogonal rank-1 precoders for MU-MIMO CQI</u> <u>0b1: Use rank-1 SU-MIMO CQI as MU-MIMO CQI</u>
<u>}</u>		
if (MFM == 0,1,2,5){		