

Improved Differential Codebooks for IEEE 802.16m Amendment Working Document

IEEE 802.16 Presentation Submission Template (Rev. 9)

Document Number: S80216m-09_1530r1

Date Submitted: 2009-07-06

Source:

Bruno Clerckx, Junil Choi, Gil Kim, David Mazzarese, Heewon Kang, Hokyu Choi
Samsung Electronics

bruno.clerckx@samsung.com
d.mazzarese@samsung.com

Venue: IEEE 802.16m Session#62, San Francisco, USA

Re: Category: AWD comments / Area: Chapter 15.3.7 (DL-MIMO)
“Comments on AWD 15.3.7 DL-MIMO”

Base Contribution: S80216m-09_1530r1

Purpose:

Discussion and approval

Notice:

This document does not represent the agreed views of the IEEE 802.16 Working Group or any of its subgroups. It represents only the views of the participants listed in the “Source(s)” field above. It is offered as a basis for discussion. It is not binding on the contributor(s), who reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

Release:

The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE’s name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE’s sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.

Patent Policy:

The contributor is familiar with the IEEE-SA Patent Policy and Procedures:

<<http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6>> and <<http://standards.ieee.org/guides/opman/sect6.html#6.3>>.

Further information is located at <<http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat/pat-material.html>> and <<http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat>>.

Background

- In Cairo meeting, a differential feedback method (based on rotation scheme 1) has been adopted

$$\mathbf{V}(t) = \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{V}(t-1)} \mathbf{D}(t)$$

Based on previous precoder $\mathbf{V}(t-1)$

Codebook

- This contribution proposes an improvement of the current AWD differential feedback mode

Motivation of AWD differential codebook

- AWD differential codebook has been optimized (for all ranks) exclusively for spatially uncorrelated channels
- Doesn't provide any gain in spatially correlated channels
- Rank 1 design very important in spatially correlated channels
- Robust 4Tx and 8Tx rank 1 design proposed: a unique differential codebook that
 - performs as well as AWD differential codebook in uncorrelated channels
 - but significantly outperforms AWD differential codebook in spatially correlated channels
- The new design is based on the current differential feedback method adopted in AWD $\mathbf{V}(t) = \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{v}(t-1)} \mathbf{D}(t)$ but defines a new codebook $\mathbf{D}(t)$ and new matrix \mathbf{Q} for rank 1

4 Tx


Differential 4Tx codebooks

	rank	label	Codebook size	Design philosophy	reference
Rotation schemes 1	Rank 1	'AWD'	4 bit	Designed for spatially uncorrelated channels (20° polar cap size)	AWD
	Rank 1	'Polar_cap_5'	4 bit	Designed for spatially correlated channels (5° polar cap size)	C80216m-09_0927r5.ppt (Qinghua Li et al.)
	Rank 1	'New'	4 bit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Designed for spatially uncorrelated and correlated channels • Re-uses the same procedure as 'AWD' differential mode procedure $\mathbf{V}(t) = \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{V}(t-1)} \mathbf{D}(t)$ (i.e. right quantization) but defines a new codebook $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{t})$ and new matrix \mathbf{Q} for rank 1 	C80216m-09_1530.doc (Bruno Clerckx et al.)

Note: The complexity of all 2 codebooks are the same since they are using the same procedure $\mathbf{V}(t) = \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{V}(t-1)} \mathbf{D}(t)$


4x2 MU MIMO: uncorrelated (4λ , 15° AS), 3km/h

Absolute Goodput




4x2 MU MIMO: semi-correlated (4 λ , 3° AS), 3km/h

Absolute Goodput



4x2 MU MIMO: correlated (0.5λ , 3° AS), 3km/h

Absolute Goodput



4x2 MU-MIMO Performance comparisons

Performance gain over AWD 4bit base codebook

- **Spatially uncorrelated scenarios ($4\lambda, 15^\circ$)**

SNR	0dB	5dB	10dB	15dB	20dB
Gain of 'AWD' differential codebook over AWD (4bit) base codebook	18.37%	17.18%	17.89%	19.57%	23.58%
Gain of 'Polar_cap_5' differential codebook over AWD (4bit) base codebook	10.76%	9.18%	10.70%	12.04%	14.30%
Gain of 'New' differential codebook over AWD (4bit) base codebook	17.12%	15.48%	17.24%	19.23%	22.34%
Gain of transformed codebook over AWD (4bit) base codebook	Transformed codebook has about the same performance as base codebook in spatially uncorrelated channels				

- **Spatially semi-correlated scenarios ($4\lambda, 3^\circ$)**

SNR	0dB	5dB	10dB	15dB	20dB
Gain of 'AWD' differential codebook over AWD (4bit) base mode	7.03%	4.24%	3.62%	4.68%	3.30%
Gain of 'Polar_cap_5' differential codebook over AWD (4bit) base codebook	6.47%	6.90%	9.44%	11.66%	11.79%
Gain of 'New' differential codebook over AWD (4bit) base codebook	7.67%	8.01%	9.14%	10.90%	11.16%
Gain of transformed codebook over AWD (4bit) base codebook	3.58%	7.36%	7.98%	9.74%	8.05%

- **Spatially correlated scenarios ($0.5\lambda, 3^\circ$)**

SNR	0dB	5dB	10dB	15dB	20dB
Gain of 'AWD' differential codebook over AWD (4bit) standard mode	3.26%	2.62%	3.05%	2.53%	1.27%
Gain of 'Polar_cap_5' differential codebook over AWD (4bit) standard mode	4.71%	6.24%	9.43%	10.78%	6.69%
Gain of 'New' differential codebook over AWD (4bit) standard mode	6.98%	9.32%	16.92%	17.72%	10.73%
Gain of transformed codebook over AWD (4bit) base codebook	10.30%	11.85%	21.08%	21.00%	13.41%

4x2 MU-MIMO Performance comparisons

Performance gain over AWD differential codebook

Uncorrelated ($4\lambda, 15^\circ$)	SNR	0dB	5dB	10dB	15dB	20dB
	Gain of 'New' over AWD differential codebook	1.15%	-1.27%	-0.88%	-0.66%	-0.34%
	Gain of 'Polar_cap_5' over AWD differential codebook	-6.21%	-6.74%	-6.63%	-6.32%	-7.15%
Semi-Correlated ($4\lambda, 3^\circ$)	SNR	0dB	5dB	10dB	15dB	20dB
	Gain of 'New' over AWD differential codebook	0.55%	2.56%	3.78%	4.97%	7.52%
	Gain of 'Polar_cap_5' over AWD differential codebook	1.40%	1.02%	3.9%	4.68%	7.02%
Correlated ($0.5\lambda, 3^\circ$)	SNR	0dB	5dB	10dB	15dB	20dB
	Gain of 'New' over AWD differential codebook	3.69%	6.26%	12.88%	13.52%	12.36%
	Gain of 'Polar_cap_5' over AWD differential codebook	1.82%	2.07%	6.86%	8.25%	7.63%

4x2 MU-MIMO Performance comparisons

Performance gain of 'NEW' differential codebook over transformed codebook


SNR	0dB	5dB	10dB	15dB	20dB
Spatially uncorrelated ($4\lambda, 15^\circ$)	~ 18.37%	~ 17.18%	~ 17.89%	~ 19.57%	~ 23.58%
Spatially Semi-correlated ($4\lambda, 3^\circ$)	1.57%	1.08%	0.48%	1.11%	3.33%
Spatially correlated ($0.5\lambda, 3^\circ$)	-3.33%	-1.10%	-4.52%	-3.98%	-1.77%

4Tx MU-MIMO performance

	Spatially Uncorrelated	Spatially Semi-correlated	Spatially Correlated
'AWD' differential codebook	The best performance among all modes	Slight refinement	No refinement
'Polar_cap_5' differential codebook	No refinement	Slight refinement	Good refinement but too slow
'New' differential codebook	The best performance among all modes (Same performance as AWD diff. codebook)	The best performance among all modes	The best performance among differential codebooks
Transformed codebook	No gain (Same performance as AWD base codebook)	Small gain	The best performance

Impact on rank 3 SU-MIMO performance

- Rank 3 differential codebook is based on rank 1 codebook \mathbf{D} and matrix \mathbf{Q} generation method
- Since rank 1 is changed, rank 3 performance is changed




8 Tx

Differential 8Tx codebooks

	rank	label	Codebook size	Design philosophy	reference
Rotation schemes 1	Rank 1	'Previous'	4 bit	Designed for spatially uncorrelated channels	S80216m-09_0790r2.pdf (Bruno Clerckx et al.)
	Rank 1	'New'	4 bit	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Designed for spatially uncorrelated and correlated channels• Re-uses the same procedure as 'AWD' differential mode procedure (i.e. right quantization).	C80216m-09_1530.doc (Bruno Clerckx et al.)


8x2 MU MIMO: uncorrelated (4λ , 15° AS), 3km/h

Relative Goodput gain over base mode




8x2 MU MIMO: semi-correlated (4λ , 3° AS), 3km/h

Relative Goodput gain over base mode



8x2 MU MIMO: correlated (0.5λ , 3° AS), 3km/h

Relative Goodput gain over base mode



8x2 MU-MIMO Performance comparisons

Performance gain over AWD 4bit base codebook

	SNR	0dB	5dB	10dB	15dB	20dB
Uncorrelated ($4\lambda, 15^\circ$)	Gain of 'Previous' differential codebook over AWD (4bit) standard mode	14.06%	13.87%	13.82%	15.24%	15.10%
	Gain of 'New' differential codebook over AWD (4bit) standard mode	18.67%	19.27%	21.17%	22.30%	21.27%

Semi-correlated ($4\lambda, 3^\circ$)	Gain of 'Previous' differential codebook over AWD (4bit) standard mode	4.85%	4.24%	3.72%	3.33%	1.84%
	Gain of 'New' differential codebook over AWD (4bit) standard mode	9.88%	9.81%	13.76%	10.13%	7.96%

Correlated ($0.5\lambda, 3^\circ$)	Gain of 'Previous' differential codebook over AWD (4bit) standard mode	1.35%	1.1%	0.53%	0.26%	-0.23%
	Gain of 'New' differential codebook over AWD (4bit) standard mode	11.92%	11.14%	14.15%	10.67%	3.72%

8x2 MU-MIMO Performance comparisons

Performance gain over 'Previous' differential codebook

Uncorrelated ($4\lambda, 15^\circ$)	SNR	0dB	5dB	10dB	15dB	20dB
	Gain of 'New' over 'Previous' differential codebook	4.04%	4.75%	6.46%	6.13%	5.36%

Semi-Correlated ($4\lambda, 3^\circ$)	SNR	0dB	5dB	10dB	15dB	20dB
	Gain of 'New' over 'Previous' differential codebook	4.80%	5.35%	9.69%	6.58%	6.00%

Correlated ($0.5\lambda, 3^\circ$)	SNR	0dB	5dB	10dB	15dB	20dB
	Gain of 'New' over 'Previous' differential codebook	10.97%	11.08%	17.75%	8.45%	1.97%

Conclusions

- Current AWD differential codebook is optimized for spatially uncorrelated channels and is not robust in spatially correlated channels
- A single differential codebook jointly designed for both spatially uncorrelated and correlated channels is proposed
- The proposed rank 1 codebook design for 4Tx and 8Tx
 - In spatially uncorrelated channels,
 - Significantly outperforms the standard and adaptive mode
 - Achieves the same performance as AWD differential codebook
 - In spatially correlated channels,
 - Significantly outperforms AWD differential codebook
 - Significantly outperforms other differential codebook specifically designed for spatially correlated channels
 - Come very close to the performance of the adaptive mode
 - Enables quicker refinement compared other candidate differential codebooks
- We propose to adopt this 'NEW' rank 1 design as the rank 1 differential feedback mode for codebook based feedback
 - The best performance and robustness in spatially correlated and uncorrelated channels
 - Same complexity as current AWD differential codebook
 - less sensitive to error propagation thanks to its quicker refinement
 - Significant throughput enhancement already achievable after a single differential feedback

Simulation Assumptions

- Channel model: Pedestrian B channel model, 3km/h, linear array
 - Uncorrelated: $AS=15$, $d/\lambda=4$
 - Semi-correlated: $AS=3$, $d/\lambda=4$
 - Correlated: $AS=3$, $d/\lambda=0.5$
- 10 MHz
- HARQ (Chase Combining, non-adaptive) with 3 retransmissions
 - Delay first transmission: 8 subframes
 - Delay between re-transmissions: 1 frame (8 subframes)
- CQI, PMI feedback period: every frame (5 ms)
- Link Adaptation (PHY abstraction): QPSK 1/2 with repetition 1/2/4/6, QPSK 3/4, 16QAM 1/2, 16QAM 3/4, 64QAM 1/2, 64QAM 2/3, 64QAM 3/4, 64QAM 5/6
- Ideal channel estimation
- MMSE receiver, MMSE CQI and PMI selection
- No CQI transmission errors
- ZFBF with rank adaptation
- LLRU (4 PRUs)
- Base codebook: 4bit subset AWD C80216m-09_0513r2.doc
- Ideal antenna calibration
- No constraint on PAPR
- adaptive mode: correlation matrix feedback every 80ms and unquantized
- Differential codebook throughput calculated over 30 ms (i.e. reset period=30ms)

Text proposal

- Refer to C80216m-09_1530