

PHY CRG Summary

Harry Peng Technical Editor

Rhett Brikovskis Section Editor



Summary

- **Clause 7**

	Numbers	Comments
– Technical non-binding	2	2 punts
– Editorial	4	3 accepted/accepted-modified; punt
- **Annex C**

– Technical non-binding	1	mislabeled it's against Annex D
– <i>carried over (last time punted to WG)</i>	1	request for presentation; punt
- **Annex D**

– Technical binding	3	accept/accepted-modified (*)
– Technical nonbinding	4	accept/accepted-modified (*)
– Missing description of SPI interfaces, CRC issues		
- **One comment raised against Annex B: punt to WG**
 - Comment number #304
 - Recommend the WG to reject this one.

* Addressed by #309 to accept anxD_SONET_RS_hp4.pdf

Punts and Issues

- Punts
 - Old punts with recommendation: #3643 ..chart
 - #304: clock sync ..chart
 - #107: POS PHY framing
 - #102: RS/PHY
 - #103: RS/PHY
- Demonstrating compliancy can be a problem: e.g. integrated MAC-PHY
- Normal to provide advice on CRC and bit order transmission

Carried Over #3646

- The editors note about "delay constraints" is correct. However, the constraints for the PHY are well specified in 802.3. What is needed in RPR are constraints for the RS layer, MAC layer, MAC Control layer, and any other layers which parse incoming packets and cause an action to occur.
- Editor view:
 - The PHY delay for all standard PHY's are well defined as pointed out by the commenter reference to 802.3 PHY
 - The delay implication shall be highlighted in an annex as how it affects the RPR services and protection in term of an equation as different ring configuration produces result.
 - MAC delay, PHY delay, medium delay
- CRG recommends closing this comment by rejecting it, since contribution has not been received for 4 months.

P802.17D1.1 Comment # 304

- The clock-sync, in terms of underrun/overflow is now handles in clause #6. This clause should not address the issue of synchronized timers, as needed for diagnostics (time stamps) as well as synchronous traffic support.

POS PHY Framing (#107)

- POS Framing method:
 - We missed: Page 105, draft 1.1
 - a) Address and Control Field compression is always used;
 - b) The Protocol Field is not used;
 - c) The FCS is neither computed nor appended to the frame;
 - d) The Asynchronous Control Character Map (ACCM) is not used.

RS / PHY comments

- Comment # 102
- Comment #103