| Project | IEEE 802.20 Working Group on Mobile Broadband Wireless Access |
|-------------------|--|
| | < <u>http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/20</u> > |
| Title | Frequency-Domain-Oriented Approaches for MBWA: Overview and Field Experiments |
| Date Submitted | 2003-03-06 |
| Source(s) | Kevin Baum Email: Kevin.Baum@motorola.com Motorola Labs 1301 E. Algonquin Rd., Rm 2928 Schaumburg, IL 60196 |
| Re: | MBWA ECSG Call for Contributions |
| Abstract | This presentation provides an overview of frequency-domain-oriented approaches for mobile broadband air interfaces, and presents some related results from recent field experiments. |
| Purpose | For informational use only. |
| Notice | This document has been prepared to assist the IEEE 802.20 Working Group. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein. |
| Release | The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.20. |
| Patent Policy | The contributor is familiar with IEEE patent policy, as outlined in Section 6.3 of the IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual < <u>http://standards.ieee.org/guides/opman/sect6.html#6.3</u> > and in <i>Understanding</i> <i>Patent Issues During IEEE Standards Development</i> < <u>http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat/guide.html</u> >. |



Frequency-Domain-Oriented Approaches for MBWA: Overview and Field Experiments

IEEE 802.20 March 10-14, 2003

Motivation

- The bandwidth of wireless systems continues to increase over time
- The impact of a constant delay-spread increases with bandwidth



 Frequency domain approaches, based on efficient FFT processing, can be investigated to reduce the implementation complexity of broadband systems (Nlog₂N complexity vs. N² or N³)

Complexity of Time Domain Equalization and OFDM "Equalization"



Assumptions

- Includes only equalizer output computation (at the symbol rate) and equalizer tap computation
- Equalizer taps computed from known channel impulse response every 1/(10Fd) sec
- Fd =200 Hz Doppler
- Time domain equalizer length = 2x channel length
- Complexity model of matrix inverse: (L³)/6
- Complexity model of FFT: (N/2)log₂(N)

 The complexity advantage of frequency-domain approaches becomes compelling as the bandwidth increases

Frequency-Domain-Oriented Approaches

Two main classes

Frequency domain oriented transmission and reception

- Transmission format specifically designed to support low complexity frequency domain processing
- Focus of this presentation

Frequency domain implementation of conventional linear filtering (receive-only)

- Overlap-add, overlap-save filtering techniques
- Useful for "retrofit" applications
 - Does not change the transmit signal format
- Still has a high computational load for determining tap values
- Not discussed in this presentation

High performance with low complexity for broadband channels

Well suited for *advanced multiple antenna* methods (MIMO, space-time coding, SDMA, adaptive antennas)

Transmission

- The main frequency domain oriented transmission methods:
 - Multicarrier (regular OFDM and spread OFDM/MC-CDMA)
 - Cyclic-prefix (CP) single carrier with frequency domain equalization
 - Others also exist
 - For brevity, this presentation will focus on OFDM and CP single carrier



Tx Time Format and Receiver



Cyclic prefix makes the linear convolution with the channel equivalent to a circular convolution (within the data portion)

FFT's are very efficient for processing circular signals! Frequency domain implementation of channel estimation, equalization, combining, ...

- Design Guidelines
 - Make CP longer than channel delay spread
 - Make data portion large enough that CP overhead is small
 - Make data portion short enough that channel does not change over the block



Simulation Example 1: Frequency Domain vs. Conventional Time Domain

- 5 MHz channel bandwidth, Vehicular A and GSM TU channels
 - Ideal channel knowledge, block fading
- <u>Blue</u> Conventional single-carrier (<u>without</u> cyclic prefix) with time domain MMSE linear transversal equalizer (2x the channel length)
- <u>Black</u> Cyclic-Prefix single-carrier with block size *N* = 384, frequency domain MMSE equalization



Example 2: Link Simulation of Different Frequency-Domain Approaches

- Cyclic-prefix single carrier (CP-SC) and OFDM performance for R= ½ turbo coded QPSK, 16-QAM, 64-QAM modulation/coding schemes (MCS)
 - Assumptions:
 - 5 MHz channel bandwidth, block-faded GSM TU channel (5 μs span, 1 μs RMS delay spread)
 - Frequency Domain MMSE equalizer, ideal channel knowledge
 - In practice, the MCS would be adaptively selected based on link quality (and additional MCS levels may be included)

Red – OFDM

Blue – CP-single carrier with frequency domain equalization



Tradeoffs between OFDM and CP-SC

• CP single carrier benefits

- Low peak-to-average power ratio
 - A significant benefit for the uplink
- Obtains frequency diversity regardless of coding rate
 - Leads to a performance benefit for QPSK with R > 2/3 coding

OFDM benefits

- Orthogonality between symbols in delay-spread channels
 - No noise enhancement
 - Better performance when MCS set is carefully chosen (e.g., use R = 3/8 16-QAM for 1.5 b/symbol rather than R = ³/₄ QPSK)
- Full access to the "time-frequency grid"
 - Frequency selective transmission techniques can be considered
 - See analysis of frequency selective AMC and scheduling in Classon et al., ICC'03





Field Experiments

Mobile Broadband Field Data Collection

6 sectors, 2 antennas/sector Located on top of 6-story building Two identical & independent Rx 5 dBi omni antennas, spaced ~9.3 λ Synchronized to GPS and received signal Time & Frequency domain data 720 snapshots of 9 MBytes per hour, 6.4GB/h

Field Data Collection Drive Routes

- Several different modulation formats and MCS levels are transmitted and captured
 - **OFDM, SOFDM**
 - **CP** single-carrier
 - **CDMA**

 \bullet

- **Plus various forms** of Tx/Rx diversity and MIMO
- **Ten drive routes**
- Vehicle speed varies from 0 to 60 mph
 - Most of the data captured within 2 miles from the base
- Test area contains a mixture of single and multistory residential ightarrowand commercial buildings with some undeveloped areas

Understanding the Mobile Broadband Channel

- Variation across Time, Frequency & Space ightarrow
 - **Delay spread**
 - Low delay spread still causes significant frequency selectivity on the broadband channel
 - (dB) Larger observed delay spreads occurred when a strong line-of-sight ray was absent
 - Path Loss

Example 1

-10

-20

-30

RMS delay

spread =

0.81 μs

Example of Identified Scatterers

Experimental System Modulation Study

- OFDM and CP single-carrier with MMSE equalization
 - 1 Tx and 1 Rx antenna
 - 20 MHz bandwidth, various drive routes at various speeds
 - Comparison of different constellation sizes (QPSK, 16-QAM, 64-QAM)

Decoded BER with Rate=¹/₂ convolutional coding Red – OFDM Blue – CP single-carrier

Trends appear consistent with earlier simulation results

Summary

- Frequency-domain-oriented approaches appear promising for future mobile broadband wireless systems
 - As the channel bandwidth increases, their benefits become more compelling
 - This presentation focused mainly on the larger bandwidths (i.e., 5 to 20 MHz)
 - Further investigation for the "narrow" channel case (1.25 MHz) would be useful