### IEEE P802.20 Mobile Broadband Wireless Access

Project IEEE P802.20 Working Group for Mobile Broadband Wireless Access (MBWA)

### Title 802.20 Technical Requirements – Coexistence Background

Date July 22, 2003

Submitted

Source	Jim Tomcik Ayman Naguib Arak Sutivong	jtomcik@qualcomm.com anaguib@qualcomm.com araks@qualcomm.com
Re:	802.20 Technical Requirements and Evaluation Criteria	
Abstract Purpose	This contribution establishes some background for technical work related to the co-existence portion of the 802.20 Technical Requirements Draft. Currently the section (in outline form) exists as an "appendix" to the draft requirements document.	
	The authors request that 802.20 discuss and affirm the inclusion of coexistence as part of the 802.20 workplan.	
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist the IEEE P802.20. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.	
Release	The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution becomes the property of IEEE and may be made publicly available by P802.20.	

## **Coexistence Issues for 802.20**

Dr. James D. Tomcik

jtomcik@qualcomm.com

Dr. Ayman Naguib

anaguib@qualcomm.com

Dr. Arak Sutivong

araks@qualcomm.com

### Co-Existence and Interference Resistance (From Doc 802.20-03/55)

Let's Not Do It as an Afterthought!!
 – Remember the "Alligator" Story!!

### • Approach

- Define Baseline Scenarios
- Co-existence against the "obvious" services
- Evaluate Projected Impacts of Traditional Technologies on MBWA and Vice Versa
- Co-Channel Interference Models
- Adjacent Channel Interference Models
- Impacts of TDD in Traditionally FDD Bands
- Let's Identify Issues and Solve Them Before we Create Them

## **Coexistence – First Thoughts**

- Where does Coexistence "Fit" Within the 802.20 Project
  - Initially Thought to be Requirements Issue
  - Is it part of Evaluation Criteria or Requirements?
- Coexistence is a "HUGE" (and Important) Area
  - Many Technologies are in Licensed Bands Below 3Ghz
  - A <u>Partial</u> List of the Technologies:
    - Legacy Cellular: AMPS, TDMA, GSM, IS-95
    - 3G Cellular: CDMA-2000, WCDMA
    - Data Over Cellular: 1xEV-DV, 1xEV-DO, HSDPA, EDGE
    - Miscellaneous: LMR, GPS, Others??
  - Both TDD and FDD Technologies Have Been Planned by 802.20

### **Coexistence Standards Work**

### • IEEE 802:

- Coexistence has become a Major Part of 802's Standards Development Process
- 802 Is Developing Coexistence Scenarios for Unlicensed Technologies (802.11n and 802.15.3a)
- Telecommunications Industry Association (TIA)
  - TSB-84A(1999) "Licensed PCS to PCS Interference"
    - Develops a Framework for Coexistence Studies
    - Develops a "Simplified" and a "Detailed" Approach
    - Agreed Upon as a Basis for Studies, Planning, Resolution in the PCS Industry
- 3GPP and ETSI
  - Coexistence is regularly included
  - May Have Published Coexistence Framework
    - Need to Investigate Further
- Others (802.16.2, 802.11g Adj. Channel Interference Study)

### **Coexistence and 802.20**

### • Possible 802.20 Air Interface Styles

- OFDM (?)
- OFDMA (?)
- Single Carrier (?)
- UWB (?)
- Something Else?
- Most are Very Different From Existing Air Interfaces
- Commercial Spectrum Operators Could Deploy Mixed Technologies
  - Different Technologies for Different Services
  - Different Availability, Error Rates, etc...
- Conclusion: To Avoid Developing a Something Non-Viable, 802.20 Should Address how the Proposed Technology/ies Interacts With Other Technologies
  - Intent is a "First Estimate Effort"
  - Based on Standards-Type (Not Field, Necessarily) Information

# **TIA TSB84A**

- Multiple Technologies Addressed (8 of Them!)
- Establishes a Framework for CoExistence Studies
  - Definitions
  - General Interference Estimation Procedure
  - Interference Estimation Methodologies
    - Simplified Methodology
    - Detailed Methodology
  - Performance/Degradation Metrics
  - Generic Receivers and Transceivers and Characteristics
  - Propagation Models and Technology Specific Information

# **Procedures – Major Steps**

- 1. Determine Desired Accuracy
  - First Estimates, Field Estimates, System Planning, Resolution
- 2. Determine Performance Metric to Use
  - C/I, Receiver Desensitivity, Responses to Interference
- 3. Determine Available Input Data
  - Standards Data, Mfr's Data, Measurements
- 4. Simplified or Detailed Methodology?
   -??
- 5. Perform Computation/Simulation
- 6. Verify Results

#### July, 2003

### **Interference Estimation – Simplified Process**

- Concepts: Iterferer and Victim Systems
  - Interferer: Known number of interferers, locations
  - Victim: Known receiver characteristics, location
- Concepts: Victim Geometry and Interferer Geometry
  - Victim Geometry: Factors Related to Physical Layout of Victim Receiver
  - Interferer Geometry: geographic and physical factors representing the interfering source
- Concepts: Victim Characteristics and Interferer Characteristics
  - Victim Characteristics: factors related to victim's performance and reactions to interferers
  - Interferer Characteristics: Operating Parameters for Interference Source(s)
- Concepts: The Calculation
  - Algorithm to determine net values of signal strength of Noise, Desired Signal and Interference
- Concepts: Degradation Metric
  - Measure Chosen to Determine How Interference Affects the System
  - Several Examples Given (e.g. BER)

### **Simplified Estimation Process**



# **Performance Metrics**

- Two Methods Highlighted in TSB
- Carrier to Noise Plus Interference Ratio
  - Method Presumes that Link Performance is Directly Related to a Ratio of Desired Signal Strength to Unwanted Signal Strength
- Receiver Desensitivity Degradation
  - Presumes that Performance is Related to Increase in Receiver Noise Floor
- Others Mentioned
  - $E_{b}/N_{o}$ , BER, FER

# **Station Characteristics**

- TSB Develops Generic Receiver Structures for Both Base Station and Mobile Stations
  - Structures are Specific to the Interference Problem
  - Would have to specify common Front End Approach
- TSB Develops Generic Transmitter Characteristics for Base Stations and Mobile Stations
  - For the Modeled Transmitter Characteristics, Parameters are Provided
  - Would Need To Develop Candidate Transmitter Characteristics for New Technologies

## **Summary and Next Steps**

- Recommend that 802.20 Incorporate Coexistence as Part of the Air Interface Development Process
  - Technology Submissions Should Include Parameters to Allow Verification that the Candidate Will Integrate Well in Existing Bands
  - Goal is to Provide a First Look/Sanity Check on What's Developed
  - Simplified Process Recommended Where Possible
  - 802.20 Members Should Work Together to Develop a Common Simulation Approach
    - Provides Confidence that the 802.20 Technology Will Minimize Interference with Existing Systems
- Should 802.20 Investigate Other Approaches to Interference Estimation?
  - TSB 84A Provides an Accepted Framework that could be Leveraged
  - Detailed, Understood in the Industry
  - Needs Some Extension for Candidate Technologies and TDD