

**IEEE 802.20 Minutes of September 2009 Interim****Kona, Hawaii, September 22, 2009**

Chair, Mark Klerer (present by telephone bridge)

Acting Chair appointed for the session, Jerry Upton

Vice Chair, Radhakrishna Canchi (not present)

Recording Secretary, Jerry Upton appointed for the session

Comment Resolution Editor and Draft Editor, Mark Klerer

**Tuesday, September 22, 2009**

The acting Chair called meeting to order at 8:35am.

The acting Chair presented the Chair's opening slides as posted in the WG Documents as 802.20-09/11 that covered the IEEE Patent Policy, Meeting Guidelines slides and the three ballot results. Attendees asked how large the pool of voters for each sponsor ballot was. The Chair stated the voter pool for 802.20.2 is 79 and is 86 for 802.20.3. Everyone suggested the next time the Chair reports the results he report the actual numbers besides the percentages.

The acting Chair stated there would be manual attendance and the electronic attendance.

The acting Chair noted no quorum was presented for the session. Given the lack of quorum it was explained that all proposed comment resolutions would need to be re-affirmed by a Working Group Letter for each of the three drafts in ballot.

The acting Chair reviewed the agenda. It was agreed that the WG would meet to do comment resolution Tues., Wed., and Thursday morning (if needed) so the Chair who is also the Editor could participate and take notes by telephone conference.

The attendees reviewed the comments received on the 802.20.3 Min. Performance sponsor ballot. There were no "No votes" received with comments. Most of the proposed comments resolutions had consensus. There were some comments that would require further review or rechecking to ensure an appropriate response. . It was agreed that they would be revisited on Wed. The Chair/Editor stated he would create a proposed comment resolution spreadsheet for review Wed. morning based on the discussions.

The comments received on Working Group LB5, the draft for the MIB project, were then reviewed. A contribution, C802.20-09-02, to address the comments was submitted before the meeting. The attendees including the comment submitter reviewed the contribution. Though the commenter felt the contributions addressed his points, it was agreed that the editor would create a clarification of the new proposed changes to address the comments. This clarification will be reviewed on Wed. and posted as a revision of the original contribution.

The attendees reviewed the comments received on the 802.20.2 PICS sponsor ballot. There were comments from two No voters reviewed. Some proposed comments resolutions had consensus. There were some comments that attendee proposed resolutions but without full consensus. It was agreed that they would be revisited on Wed. The Chair/Editor stated he would create a proposed comment resolution spreadsheet for review Wed. morning based on the discussions.

Recessed at 10:40am

**Wednesday, September 23, 2009**

Session resumed at 8:35am

The proposed comments resolution posted overnight on Working Group LB5, the draft for the MIB project, were then reviewed. The revised contribution, C802.20-09-02r1, was reviewed. The attendees including the comment submitter agreed the proposed comment resolutions. The commenter felt the proposed resolutions satisfied his comments. The final proposed comment resolutions will be posted by the Editor. The Chair was asked to re-affirm the proposed comment resolutions with a WG Letter Ballot. It is expected that this draft will be submitted to the 802 EC for requested approval to go to sponsor ballot at the November Plenary.

The proposed comments resolution posted overnight on the 802.20.3 Min. Performance sponsor ballot were then reviewed. After some discussion and changes to wording of the resolutions the proposed comments resolutions had consensus. The final proposed comment resolutions will be posted by the Editor. The Chair was asked to re-affirm the proposed comment resolutions with a WG Letter Ballot. Though there were no "No Votes" with comments on this sponsor ballot, the attendees suggested that the Chair do a recirculation with the changes if the re-affirmation vote was successful. All agreed it was not required by the process rules, but it was a safe approach and would avoid any possible questions by the 802 EC and Revcom.

The proposed comments resolution posted overnight on the 802.20.2 PICS sponsor ballot were then reviewed. The proposed comment resolutions posted were created by the Editor based on the Tues. discussions, though as stated before there was not consensus on all resolutions. Based on discussions and changes to some of the proposed resolutions there was consensus on all the proposed resolutions except for comment #7 and comment #1.

Victor Hou, an attendee of meeting, requested the following text be placed in the minutes as further explanation of his proposed resolutions.

*Victor Hou recommended the following wording for Resolution Detail of Comment 7 in the Sponsor Ballot Comment spreadsheet:*

*"In the past, 802.20 has adopted an approach focused on indicating compliance at a protocol level, rather than individual protocol elements. During the comment resolution at the Waikoloa meeting, one person out of five disagreed with the resolution of this comment."*

*Consensus was not achieved by those involved in comment resolution for this wording. Therefore, the wording of Resolution Detail of Comment 7 remains: "802.20 has adopted an approach focused on indicating compliance at a protocol level, rather than individual protocol elements."*

*Victor Hou recommended this alternative wording for Resolution Detail of Comment 7 in the Sponsor Ballot Comment spreadsheet:*

*"In the past, 802.20 has adopted an approach focused on indicating compliance at a protocol level, rather than individual protocol elements. During the comment resolution at the Waikoloa meeting, there was some disagreement over the resolution of this comment."*

*Consensus was not achieved by those involved in comment resolution for this wording.*

*Victor Hou recommended the following wording for Resolution Detail of Comment 1:*

*"In the past, 802.20 has adopted an approach focused on indicating compliance at a protocol level, rather than individual protocol elements. During the comment resolution at the Waikoloa meeting, the commenter disagreed with the resolution of this comment."*

*The Chair was willing to accept this wording. Consensus was not achieved by those involved in comment resolution for this wording (two for and two against).*

*Therefore, the wording of Resolution Detail of Comment 1 remains: "802.20 has adopted an approach focused on indicating compliance at a protocol level, rather than individual protocol elements."*

The final proposed comment resolutions for the 802.20.2 ballot will be posted by the Editor. The Chair was asked to re-affirm the proposed comment resolutions with a WG Letter Ballot.

The acting chair asked for any new business. No new business was raised. It was noted that the next meeting was the November Plenary in Atlanta. All 802.20 members and any interested parties are requested to provide contributions of the Bridging project.

Session adjourned by Unanimous consent at 11:45am.

**Attendees- September 2009 - Received Attendance Credit for the session**

Victor Hou, Broadcom

James Ragsdale, Telefon AB LM Ericsson

Jerry Upton, Qualcomm, Inc.

**Attendees- September 2009 - No Attendance Credit for the session**

Nancy Bravin, Individual

Mark Klerer, Qualcomm, Inc., participated by telephone