# IEEE 802.3ap Signaling Ad Hoc

IEEE 802.3ap Task Force 22 Oct'04



Michael Altmann IEEE 802.3ap Task Force - 21-Oct-04

### Agenda

- Signaling ad hoc & progress to date
- Remaining work items
  - **1. Signaling Quality Metrics**
  - 2. Definition of aggressors
  - **3. Define link elements**
- Outcomes for Today ③
  - Establish baseline signaling quality metrics
  - Establish baseline for treatment of aggressors

### **Signaling ad hoc - Progress to date**

- Initial ad hoc work item list: http://www.ieee802.org/3/ap/public/signal\_adhoc/workplan\_01\_0804.pdf
- Ad hoc to Define:
  - Methodology
    - Non simulator-dependent
  - Define simulation elements from component edge to component edge
  - Define signaling solution comparison metrics
- Purpose is:
  - To create a traceable decision making path through the standards development
- Progress to date:
  - Discussed simulation engine options
    - Hspice and StatEye methodologies
    - NEXT/FEXT treatment and equalizer treatment
  - Created initial list of 17 channels for simulation purposes
    - List not completely agreed upon

## Signaling ad hoc

• What can we make progress on?

## 22 Oct Conference Call Work Items

- Open work items
  - 1. Signaling Quality Metrics
    - BER
    - Voltage/timing margin
    - Power, complexity
  - 2. Definition of aggressors
    - NEXT / FEXT
    - Background (system) noise
    - Random noise
  - **3.** Define remaining link elements
    - Channel selection for simulation
    - Elements beyond the channel model
    - Package
    - AC Coupling cap and TP5 trace
- Outcomes Today ©
  - Finalize signaling quality metrics
  - Finalize the treatment of aggressors

#### **Channel Simulation Model**

#### • Current model with TPs from the channel ad hoc



## **Signaling Quality Metrics**

#### **1.** Voltage & Timing Margin

- Proposal:
  - Establish an equivalent equalized sampler eye
    - Sweep sampling instant across eye to establish amplitude vs. sampling  $\boldsymbol{\tau}$
    - Need an adaption assumption for this
    - Suggest we use a quasi-static assumption freeze equalizer adaption during eye margining
  - Establish the eye width and height center ideal sampling point



- Establish a list of input referred de-ratings:
  - Input voltage offset (δV)
  - Timing recovery offset (δτ)
- Remainder is voltage ( $V_M$ ) & timing margin ( $\tau_M$ )

## **Signaling Quality Metrics**

#### **2.** BER

 Systems vendors want low BER as key performance metric

http://www.ieee802.org/3/ap/public/jul04/mandich\_01\_0704.pdf http://www.ieee802.org/3/ap/public/may04/kundu\_01\_0504.pdf

- Proposal:
  - Minimum BER of 10<sup>-15</sup>
  - Typical BER of <10<sup>-18</sup>
- How should we calculate BER?
  - Signal amplitude
    - Minimum equalized Eye amplitude at the sampling instant (i.e. the inside edge of the eye)
  - Noise
    - 2D-CDFs of jitter (horizontal) and noise (vertical)
  - Simplify the equalization model
    - Affected by Equalization and TR adaption
    - Consider Quasi-static vs. dynamic equalization and timing recovery

### **Signaling Quality Metrics**

#### **3.** Power & Complexity

- Power is a key performance target for system vendors
- Previous presentation has discussed this need: http://www.ieee802.org/3/ap/public/jul04/altmann\_01\_0704.pdf
- Presentation and discussion indicated need for a power/complexity reporting matrix

## **Signaling Quality Metrics straw polls**

#### • Voltage/Timing margin

- Should we include the following as quality metrics for signaling proposals (single votes each):
  - Voltage & timing margin Yes/No
  - BER Yes/No
  - Power & Complexity Yes/No
- Should we establish baseline voltage and timing margin as proposed here?
  - Yes
  - No

#### • BER

- Should we establish a minimum BER target of 10<sup>-15</sup>
  - Yes
  - No
- Should we establish a typical BER target of 10<sup>-18</sup>
  - Yes
  - No
- Power/Complexity
  - Should we establish a power & complexity reporting matrix such as described in <u>altmann\_01\_0704.pdf</u>?
    - Yes
    - No

Slide 10

#### **Treatment of Aggressors**

- Aggressors we could consider
  - NEXT, FEXT
  - Environmental noise
  - Thermal and electronic noise
  - Others?
- Aggressor properties for simulation
  - Random
    - Normal distribution Characterized by σ & PSD
    - PSD limited by NBW of Rx input
    - Multiple aggressors power-sum
    - Contribute to noise level
  - Deterministic
    - Characterized by max deviation
    - Multiple aggressors can power-sum or peak-sum
    - Subtract from Eye amplitude

#### **Treatment of Aggressors - Proposal**

Aggressor	Property	Treatment	Proposed value
NEXT	Random	o and PSD from from	-
FEXT		NEXT & FEXT Mask	
NEXT	Det.	Subtract from Eye	-
FEXT			
Thermal	Random	Flat PSD at Rx input	1) 1.4nV/√Hz (100Ω)
Noise			2) Flat SNR (-45dB) per <u>http://www.ieee802.or</u> <u>g/3/ap/public/sep04/l</u> <u>iu_01_0904.pdf</u>
Environmental Noise	Det.	Subtract from Eye	-
Others			

N.B. Mutually Exclusive (select one or other)

Slide 12

#### **Aggressor Treatment straw polls**

- NEXT/FEXT treatment
  - Random and contributes to noise?
  - Deterministic and reduces equalized amplitude?
- Should we use the NEXT/FEXT mask to determine total NEXT/FEXT power?
  - Yes
  - No
- Should we limit NEXT/FEXT Bandwidth by Noise BW of Rx Input?
  - Yes
  - No
- Should the NBW be coding-selective or fixed?
  - Coding specific
  - Fixed
- Should we use a flat PSD for thermal noise distribution?
  - Yes
  - No
- What value should we use a for thermal noise?
  - 100W equivalent  $(1.4nV/\sqrt{Hz})$
  - -45dB relative to Rx signal amplitude (per <u>http://www.ieee802.org/3/ap/public/sep04/liu\_01\_0904.pdf</u>)
- Should we have a background noise contribution?
  - Yes
  - No
- What magnitude for fixed background should we use? Chicago rules, set bin values

Slide 13

#### **Proposals Needed**

- This process is contribution-driven.
  - Without contributions, there is not much to discuss
- Need to make progress on the remaining open work items
- We need specific proposals for simulate-able models for other elements in the link. Specifically:
  - **1.** Transmitter output BW and Impedance model
  - **2.** AC Coupling cap and TP5 link
  - **3.** Receiver input BW and Impedance model

#### **Meeting Schedule**

- Friday, October 22 (10:00AM PDT)
  - Signaling quality metrics
  - Treatment of aggressors
- Friday, Nov 6 (10:00AM PDT)
  - Finalize channel link elements
- Do we need another interim mtg? Opportunity to have a call on Friday, Oct 29 (10:00AM PDT)