



*Progressing TWDP  
Follow-on from London*

Norm Swenson & Tom Lindsay

23<sup>rd</sup> June, 2005

## *Goals for 6/23 call*

---

- This work requires a very focused group of volunteers...
- Agree on list of required decisions
- Agree on work required for completion
- Brainstorm options, questions, tasks
  - Is there any consensus?
- Assignments
  - ... to report back with findings and recommendations

# *Progressing TWDP - decisions required*

---

1. Finite EQ length
  - One length or multiple?
  - Length value(s)?
2. SNR-based link closure metric
  - Should it be based on scaled/normalized power?
    - If so, to what?
    - If so, should it be automated?
  - Can SNR or distortion/penalty be traded with power?
3. Separate equalizability metric?
  - Do we need one? Can it predict implementation penalty or a cliff?
  - What should it be?
4. Data sequence
  - Sequence for “system” test?

# *TWDP brainstorming & work required*

---

- For each decision required:
  - Brainstorm options
  - Identify questions
  - Identify specific steps (what work, who, by when, etc.) to answer questions and make choices
    - Analysis, measurements & presentations
    - ....debate, opinions...
    - Test/validate
  
- Completion
  - Determine specific limits for the standard
  - Determine impact to mask, etc.
  - Write detailed resolutions/changes to code, text, figures, etc.



*- Status and options -*

ClariPhy recommendations or preferences  
shown in **blue**

# *EQ length*

---

- Currently very long (infinite), but straw poll in London recommended use of finite length
- Options
  - Finite 14,6 (same as Ewen max)
  - Others...
- Questions
  - How well can use of finite length help predict implementation penalties for other non-ideal receiver characteristics?
  - Does performance at one length correlate with other lengths?
- Tasks...

# *SNR-based metric (link closure)*

---

- Currently
  - Fixed min power limit (OMA\_min), and
  - Max TWDP; OMA is scaled to OMA\_min
- Options
  - **Unscaled SNR (+ min power)**, or
  - Max TWDP + min power
  - Also
    - Min power fixed *or* **function of SNR** or TWDP
    - Power and TWDP based on OMA *or* OMSD
- Questions
  - Would use of SNR have to scale signal-borne noise?
  - If needed, can accurate power measurement be automated?
- Tasks...

# “Equalizability”

---

- Currently using only TWDP
- Options
  - Use finite length equalizer
    - Separate metric beyond link closure not required
  - Gamma
    - Scaled power? If so, to what?
  - Difference/rate of penalties vs. EQ length
  - Introduce threshold and/or timing offset(s)
  - Others?
- Questions
  - How well do these predict implementation penalty or a cliff?
- Tasks...



# *Data sequence for system test*

---

- Currently using AnAi subpattern
- Options
  - Alternative subpatterns from AnAi or BnBi
- Questions
  - Does AnAi subpattern produce similar performance metrics as prbs9?
- Tasks...



*Annex*

Background

# *Issues with Reference Equalizer of Infinite Length*

---

- Infinite length equalizer can be overly optimistic
  - Not practical or realizable
  - No problem with precursor channels
  - No problem with *very* long impulse response in channel
    - For example, an electrical reflection resulting in echo many bit periods after current bit
  - No problem equalizing nonlinear functions of pseudo-random sequences
    - These appear as delayed scaled versions of the original waveform
- While the infinite length equalizer can equalize these impairments, a reasonable finite length equalizer can have problems
  - This can be manifested as large implementation penalty

# *SNR, TWDP and OMA*

---

- In addition to SNR-loss, many believe TWDP (and PIE-D) measure how difficult a signal is to equalize for practical DFEs
  - But is this true?
- In aronson\_1\_0105, pre-emphasis significantly reduced TWDP scores
  - Further analysis shows that decrease is caused in part by increased transmitted signal energy relative to normalized OMA (negative TFL in Swenson\_05\_05)
    - Given the same peak-peak power, a waveform with lower penalty can result in a lower slicer SNR
  - Decrease may also reflect reduction in ISI at equalizer input
    - Reduction of gamma in Swenson\_05\_05.pdf
- High OMA signal should perform better, but TWDP does not reflect that due to OMA normalization
- Since waveform is normalized to reference OMA, accurate measurement of OMA is required
  - “Challenged” by presence of normal distortions