Nikolich, Paul

**Comment Type**: G

**Comment Status**: A

please ignore my comment regarding the PICS, it was entered in error

**Suggested Remedy**

delete my PICS comment from the comment database

**Response**

**Response Status**: C

ACCEPT.

---

Nikolich, Paul

**Comment Type**: G

**Comment Status**: A

I agree with the initial ballot comment requesting a Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma be included in the draft. Personally having gone through the PICS proforma generation process in other draft documents has shown me how valuable that process is in identifying and removing ambiguities in the base draft text--you'll find instances where a shall is missing, you'll find instances of where a shall is misused, and many other similar instances.

I believe it will be much easier (and quicker in the long run) to change the draft text to resolve these ambiguities now while you are revising the draft during the ballot process as opposed to the group's current plan to start a new project to add the PICS proforma after the base standard is approved.

**Suggested Remedy**

Add the PICS proforma into the draft

**Response**

**Response Status**: C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #2.