CI 00 SC 0	P L # <u>611</u>	CI 00 SC 0 P L # <u>897</u>
Dambrosia, John	Force 10 Networks Inc	Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X	Comment Type ER Comment Status X
not indicate where indicate where the	sertion loss, return loss, crosstalk limits are inconsistent. Some plots do the pass regions are, but others do and use various terminologies to acceptable region is - "Acceptable Region", "Recommended Region",	Check and update the subclause numbering style for new subclauses inserted by 802.3ba, as appropriate, if appliable to this amendment. Especially the new subclauses inserted by 802.3ba: Clauses 45, 73, 74 etc.,
"Pass Region", "C	ompliant Region"	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy		Update the numbering style for inserted subclauses if applicable to 802.3ba
	Il graphs regarding whether a pass region will be indicated. If the pass cated, then use consistent terminology to indicate that region.	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response	Response Status O	
		CI 00 SC 0 P L # <u>392</u>
C/00 SC 0	P L # 900	Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Ganga, Ilango	Intel Corporation	Comment Type E Comment Status X
Comment Type ER		The draft is inconsistent on whether to use "AC coupling or AC coupled" or "AC-coupling or AC-coupled".
3 through 80-5.	parding the use of notes embedded in the tables, for example Tables 80-	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy		The response to comment 470 against D 2.0 agreed to use "AC coupling or AC coupled"
,	n notes in Tables (if applicable) as per IEEE style requirements.	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response	Response Status O	
		C/ 00 SC 0 P L # 823
C/00 SC 0	P L # 899	Goergen, Joel Force 10 Networks Inc
Ganga, Ilango	Intel Corporation	Comment Type GR Comment Status X
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X	Module channel model is not production manufacturable.
Check for style reg	parding the use of notes NOTE1 and NOTE2 embedded in the layer or example Figures 80-1 through 80-5 and 82-1, 83-1 etc.,	SuggestedRemedy Still simulating the models and cannot provide input at thus time.
SuggestedRemedy	-	Proposed Response Response Status O
,	embedded in the figures (if applicable) as per IEEE style requirements.	
Proposed Response	Response Status O	

CI 00 SC 0

	Р	1	# 000	C/ 00 SC 0	D4	/ 22	# 704
C/ 00 SC 0 Anslow, Peter	P Nortel Network	L	# 393	C/ 00 SC 0 Ghiasi, Ali	P1 Broadcom	L 22	# 791
standard (on lines 37 published amendmen correct in both places. SuggestedRemedy Page 159 for Clause 8	Comment Status X are PICS Proformas there are tw and 45). This should be "IEEE ts such as IEEE Std 802.3av-2 81, 195 for Clause 82, 218 for (nnex 83B, 440 for Annex 86A	Std 802.3ba-2 009. Clauses	20xx". See recently 84, 86, 87, 88 have this	barbieri_02_0308. from users, OEMs that we need to ex The sheer size of size of the QSFP i build a line card w	Comment Status X stive was added late to the 802.3 Single mode 40GbE objective w , and component suppliers. As a tend nPPI so it can support 40G the retimed interface forces the 4 module which is the choice for 40 ith high density and forgo single a BW possible with 40Gbase-SR	as added with bro group however w base-LR4. 0Gbase-LR4 into 0Gbase-SR4 PME mode support or l	ad market support re failed to see early on modules 4-10x the D. The choices are to
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy		4:	
	<i>P</i> YAS Broadbar	<i>L</i> nd Ventu	# 348	Extend the nPPI X CL86 and 87 and Proposed Response	4 to support 40Gbase-LR4, for d king_01_0110 <i>Response Status</i> 0	etail implementat	ion see comments on
They "must be satisfie	Comment Status X garding my two earlier commen ed'but they are logged as "not n't figure out how to change the	required to be		Cl 00 SC 0 Dambrosia, John Comment Type E	P23 Force 10 Net Comment Status X	L 47 tworks Inc	# <u>6</u> 10
SuggestedRemedy				listing of projects t	hat ran in parallel with IEEE P80 02.3az is also modifying clauses		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy Add reference to I	EEE P802.3az in editor's note.		
C/ 00 SC 0 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 0 ZTE Corp.	L 0	# 143	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type ER The draft has many bl	Comment Status X lank pages. Please remove the	m					
SuggestedRemedy							
Per comment							

CI 00 SC 0

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

cosh, Brad AMCC comment Type TR Comment Type T Comment Ty								
omment Type TR Comment Status X PB02_3ba has chosen to use a nomenolature that doesn't follow previous uses. While the fart standard has chosen to use C and K to indicate media types - similar to previous uses in 802.3 - they have chosen to use S. L and E to indicate media types - similar to previous uses in 802.3 - they have chosen to use S. L and E to indicate media types - similar to previous uses in 802.3 - they have chosen to use S. L and E to indicate media types - similar to previous uses in 802.3 - they have chosen to use S. L and E to indicate media types - similar to previous uses in 802.3 - they have chosen to use S. L and E to indicate media types - similar to previous uses in 802.3 - they have chosen to use S. L and E to indicate media types - similar to previous uses in 802.3 - they have chosen to use S. L and E to indicate media types - similar to previous uses in 802.3 - they have chosen to use S. L and E to indicate media types - similar to previous uses in 802.3 - they have chosen to use S. L and E to indicate media types - similar to previous uses in 802.3 - they have chosen to use S. L and E to indicate media types - similar to previous uses in 802.3 - they have chosen to use S. L and E to indicate media types - similar to previous uses in 802.3 - they have chosen to use S. L and E to indicate media types - similar to previous uses in 802.3 - they have chosen to use S. L and E to indicate media types - similar to previous uses in 802.3 - they have chosen to use S. L and E to indicate media types - similar to previous uses in 802.3 - they have chosen to use S. L and E to indicate media types - similar to previous uses in 802.3 - they have chosen to use S. L and E to indicate media types - similar to previous uses in 802.3 - they have chosen to use S. L and E to indicate media types - similar to previous uses in 802.3 - they have chosen to use S. L and E to indicate media types - similar to previous andifference in			L	# 391		-	-	# 394
roposed Response Response Status O If 01 SC 1.3 P25 L26 # 10 Hodpson, Michael Pentair Electronic Pac # 255 hompson, Michael Pentair Electronic Pac # 255 formment Type E Comment Status X There is a newer version of this standard available. All ITU-I references are dated per their publication. G.694.1 should be dated 2003 (see http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-G.694.1/en)G.694.2 should be dated 2003 (see http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-G.694.2/en) SuggestedRemedy IEC 61280-1-4:2009 reposed Response Response Status 0 SC 1.3 P25 L45 10 SC 1.3 P25 L45 # 395 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Type E Comment Status X If the IEC document is going to be published in time for 802.3ba to reference it, then it must be going through the IEC balloting process already. Insert reference for new IEC 61280-1-4:2009 Fiber of the comment Status X If their EC document is going to be published in time for 802.3ba to reference it, then it must be going through the IEC balloting process already. Insert reference for new IEC 61280-1-4:2009 reference for CL68 use until maintenance tidies up. Remove editor's note at line 2	Comment Type TR P802.3ba has chosen draft standard has chosen in 802.3 - they have ch was done in 802.3z an present limitations for f SuggestedRemedy Change all references Change all references	Comment Status X to use a nomenclature that do usen to us C and K to indicate in hosen to use S, L and E to indi ad 802.3ae. This creates confu future enhancements to the 40 for S to mean short wavelength for L to mean long wavelength	media types - s cate reach inst sion with the no OG and 100G fa th (850nm). n (1310nm).	imilar to previous uses ead of wavelengths as omenclature and may imily.	Comment Type T Since Ed 2.0 of IEC 6 http://webstore.iec.ch Editor's note. SuggestedRemedy Change reference to	Comment Status X 61280-1-4 is now published (Se //webstore/webstore.nsf/artnum "IEC 61280-1-4:2009" and rem	e /043535) updat	
If 01 SC 1.3 P25 L18 # 255 hompson, Michael Pentair Electronic Pac All ITU-T references are dated per their publication. G.694.1 should be dated 2002 (see http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-G.694.1/en)G.694.2 should be dated 2003 (see http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-G.694.2/en) vagestedRemedy IEC 61280-1-4:2009 Value SuggestedRemedy IEC 61280-1-4:2009 Response Status O O Vol SC 1.3 P25 L20 # 283 Nomment Type E Comment Status X O wew, Piers J G Independant Nortel Networks momment Type E Comment Status X If this IEC document is going to be published in time for 802.3ba to reference it, then it must be going through the IEC balloting process already. UggestedRemedy Isert reference, leave the 2003 reference for CI.68 use until maintenance tidies up. Remove editor's note at line 23 Comment Type T	Change all references Proposed Response	•	imized long way	velength (1310nm).		-	L 26	# 10
uggestedRemedy IEC 61280-1-4:2009 Add the date to G.694.1 and G.694.2 references per comment roposed Response Response Status O d 01 SC 1.3 P25 L 20 # 283 awe, Piers J G Independant Independant C/ 01 SC 1.3 P25 L 45 # 395 awe, Piers J G Independant Independant C/ 01 SC 1.3 P25 L 45 # 395 Insert reference for new IEC 61280-1-4:2009 Fibre optic communication subsystem test procedures - Part 1-4: General communication subsystems - Light source encircled flux measurement method. If this IEC document is going to be published in time for 802.3ba to reference it, then it must be going through the IEC balloting process already. uggestedRemedy at line 20. Date the reference, leave the 2003 reference for Cl.68 use until maintenance tidies up. Remove editor's note at line 23 Response Response Response Response Status O	Thompson, Michael	Pentair Electro Comment Status X		# 255	All ITU-T references : http://www.itu.int/rec/ http://www.itu.int/rec/	are dated per their publication. T-REC-G.694.1/en)G.694.2 sho		
All 01 SC 1.3 P25 L20 # 283 awe, Piers J G Independant Independant Nortel Networks comment Type E Comment Status X Sc 1.3 P25 L45 # 395 Insert reference for new IEC 61280-1-4:2009 Fibre optic communication subsystem test procedures - Part 1-4: General communication subsystems - Light source encircled flux measurement method. If this IEC document is going to be published in time for 802.3ba to reference it, then it must be going through the IEC balloting process already. uggestedRemedy at line 20. Date the reference, leave the 2003 reference for Cl.68 use until maintenance tidies up. Remove editor's note at line 23 Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy					·	comment	
W 01 SC 1.3 P25 L20 # [283] awe, Piers J G Independant Independant comment Type E Comment Status X Insert reference for new IEC 61280-1-4:2009 Fibre optic communication subsystem test procedures - Part 1-4: General communication subsystems - Light source encircled flux measurement method. If this IEC document is going to be published in time for 802.3ba to reference it, then it must be going through the IEC balloting process already. uggestedRemedy at line 20. Date the reference, leave the 2003 reference for Cl.68 use until maintenance tidies up. Remove editor's note at line 23 Comment maintenance	Proposed Response	Response Status O						# 395
procedures - Part 1-4: General communication subsystems - Light source encircled flux measurement method. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> at line 20. Date the reference, leave the 2003 reference for CI.68 use until maintenance tidies up. Remove editor's note at line 23	Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E	Independant Comment Status X			Comment Type T If this IEC document	Comment Status X is going to be published in time	for 802.3ba to	reference it, then it
at line 20. Date the reference, leave the 2003 reference for CI.68 use until maintenance tidies up. Remove editor's note at line 23	procedures - Part 1-4: measurement method.	General communication subsy			Either change Editor		cument number	and expected
roposed Response Response Status O	at line 20. Date the refe		nce for Cl.68 us	e until maintenance	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
	Proposed Response	Response Status 0						

C/ 01 SC 1.3

Draft 3.0 Commen	ts I	EEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet co	omments	Sponsor ballot
C/ 01 SC 1.3 Maguire, Valerie	P 25 L The Siemon Compan		C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1 Trowbridge, Stephen	.2 P31 ALCATEL-LUCE	L 9 # 258
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ence to TIA Standard specifying OM3 C.3:2008, Optical Fiber Cabling Comp		Future interfaces, e.g	Comment Status X all comprised of multiple physical under investigation by the 40Gb/ t be multiple physical lanes but wi	/s Ethernet Single-mode Fibre PMD
Proposed Response	Response Status O		Change "40 Gb/s mu	o "100 Gb/s multi-PCS lane 64B/6	i-PCS lane 64B/66B" and "100 Gb/s 66B". Same change in sub-clause
C/ 01 SC 1.5 Anslow, Peter	P27 L: Nortel Networks	30 # <u>396</u>	Proposed Response	Response Status O	
in subclause 3.2 are s name. Also, in the bas the expansions non-ca (e.g. XAUI, XGMII) it a	Comment Status X tandard (Annex B) of the 2009 IEEE s hown with the first letters not capitalis se standard subclause 1.5 most of the apitalised. Using the abbreviations in t appears that DIC, LSB and MSB shou bbreviations defined by the ITU-T and	ed except where it is a proper abbreviations are shown with he base standard as a guide Id be shown non-capitalised.	P802.3av Draft 3.4. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change to "as modifi	Nortel Networks Comment Status X w an approved amendment, the d ed by IEEE Std 802.3av-2009" (Is	
Change to "deficit idle Proposed Response	count", "least significant bit" and "mo Response Status O	st significant bit"	Make this change he Proposed Response	re and throughout clause 45 (12 in Response Status O	nstances)
against D 3.0] LSB and MSB don't de SuggestedRemedy	P27 L: Intel Corporation Comment Status X ent 52 against D 2.3 was agreed to be enote proper names. This was nearly cant Bit" to "least significant bit", chan	right in an earlier draft.			
Proposed Response	Response Status O				

C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.5

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.11 Barrass, Hugh	P 34 L1	# 766	C/ 30 SC 30.6.1.1.5	P 35 The Siemon C	L 44	# 5
	Cisco Systems, Inc. <i>t Status</i> X beject that supports BIP errors.		Maguire, Valerie Comment Type G Co. 1000BASE-T is suitable for o	mment Status X		es of the correct
SuggestedRemedy Insert a new subclause 30.5.1.1.11a APPROPRIATE SYNTAX: - A SEQU counter has a maximum increment r implementations and 5 000 counts p BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS: - For 40 The counters will not increment for o denote the PCS lane number where this array contains a count of BIP err for each BIP error detected during al corresponding lane If a Clause 45	a after 30.5.1.1.11: aBIPErrorCo UENCE of generalized non-reso rate of 10 000 counts per secor per second for 100 Gb/s implen 0/100GBASE-R PHYs, an array other PHY types. The indices of N is the number of PCS lanes rrors for that PCS lane Increm alignment marker removal in the MDIO Interface to the PCS is j	ettable counters. Each ad for 40 Gb/s nentations y of BIP error counters. f this array (0 to N - 1) in use. Each element of nent the counter by one e PCS for the present, then this	category. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "UTP" to "twisted-pai <i>Proposed Response Res</i> <i>CI</i> 30 SC 30.6.1.1.5 Maguire, Valerie <i>Comment Type</i> G <i>Co</i>	r" ponse Status O P 35 The Siemon C mment Status X	L 45 ompany	# 6
attribute will map to the BIP error co attribute to Table 30-1e (before aldle Proposed Response Response	eErrorCount).	.3.38).; - also add the	1000BASE-TFD is suitable fo category. SuggestedRemedy Change "UTP" to "twisted-pai		ted-pair media t	ypes of the correct
C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.15 Hajduczenia, Marek	P34 L39 ZTE Corp.	# 11		ponse Status O		
Comment Type T Comment 'PCS lanes' - this concept has not be Provide reference to where such cor do not read standards from the back	ncept is defined / used for the f					
SuggestedRemedy Per comment						
Proposed Response Response	Status O					
C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 Anslow, Peter	P32 L31 Nortel Networks	# 398				
Comment Type E Comment The "10G PCS Control 2" register ha	t Status X as been re-named to the "PCS	Control 2" register				
SuggestedRemedy Change "10G PCS Control 2" to "PC end of the sentence, so do not add "	CS Control 2". Also the reference	ce is duplicated at the				

C/ 30 SC 30.6.1.1.5

C/ 31B SC 31B.4.3 Ganga, Ilango	P 366 Intel Corporation	L 10	# 890	<i>Cl</i> 45 Turner, Ed	SC 45 ward J	P 85 Gnodal Limite	L 50	# 217
Comment Type TR This comment is relate	Comment Status X ed to changes needed to 31B.4 p insertion of new speeds after	1.3 Major Capal		Comment		Comment Status X		
currently states *MIIc 1000Mb/s and MIId ha	at operating speeds above 100 as been added for 10Gb/s othe	Mb/s, however r than 10GBAS	actually MIIc is for E-T and MIIe for	Suggested Add lir		table as per other tables split o	over pages	
Cor1 in 31B.4.6 hower options to table in 31E	T. The last two options have b ver these options have not bee 3.4.3. The fix is needed to be co s and 100Gb/s by 802.3ba	n added to 31B	3.4.3. Add the missing	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy	s and 1000b/s by 002.5ba			<i>Cl</i> 45 Hajduczen	SC 45.2	P 37 ZTE Corp.	L10	# 12
Change 31B.4.3 last r			• • • •					
31B.4.3 Insert the folio {Item} *MIId {Feature} than 10GBASE-T {Sul {Item} *MIIe {Feature}	eeds (strikethrough: above 100 owing two rows to the end of ta At operating speeds of 10 Gb/ bclause} 31B.3.7 {Status} Optio At operating speeds of 10 Gb/ se} 31B.3.7 {Status} Optional	ble: s with PHY type onal	es other	that bu Simila	s a 'Separated It a casual read	Comment Status X PMA' ? I am sure that 802.3ba ler not participating in 802.3ba able 45-2, where reference to ' d?	proceedings is	at a loss in here.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			<i>Suggestec</i> Provid		where these concepts are de	efined	
C/ 45 SC 45	P 54	L 39	# 216	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
urner, Edward J	Gnodal Limited	נ		C/ 45	SC 45.2.1	P 38	L37	# 390
comment Type E	Comment Status X at the bottom of the table.			Law, David	ł	3Com		
				Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Add line to bottom of t	able as per other tables split o	ver pages				the '10G-EPON PMA/PMD a	bility register', se	ee IEEE Std 802.3av-
Proposed Response	Response Status O			During becarr chang	my check of the apparent that is a list of the second second second second second second second second second s	1.11 (page 20). le changes made by this draft t this register name was not co 802.3av-2009 (see IEEE Std 8 ncomfortable about making thi	orrectly reflected 302.3av-2009 pa	in this table in the ge 17). If the IEEE
V 45 SC 45 Jurner, Edward J	P 82 Gnodal Limited	L 9	# 252	mainte	enance request	0	is change i in na	ppy to submit it as a
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Suggested	,			D - h 'l'the an air to al
<i>J</i>	able title incorrectly says it is for	r lanes 0 and 1	, but it is only actually	Proposed		P ability register' to read '10G- Response Status 0	-EPUN PMA/PM	adility register.
SuggestedRemedy	5-114a-BIP error counter, lane (0 register bit de	finitions.					
Replace with Table 45	,	0						

COMMENT STAT	TUS: D/dispatched	A/accepted R	/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open	W/written	C/closed	U/unsatisfied 2	Z/withdrawn	
SORT ORDER:	Clause, Subclause	e, page, line							

Cl	45
SC	45.2.1

Draft 3.0 (Comments
-------------	----------

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1	P38	L 43	# 399	C/ 45	SC 45	5.2.1	P 39	L18	# 703
Anslow, Peter	Nortel Network	s		Barrass, H	Hugh		Cisco System	ns, Inc.	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment	t Type	т	Comment Status X		
	1.151 have been re-named to " till appears in Tables 72-2 and 7		the previous name of		3 Change ss as prop		r BASE-R FEC uncorrected HB_01	blocks counter, l	anes 0 through 19
SuggestedRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy				
5	KR PMD" to "BASE-R PMD" in "	Table 72-2 (2 pl	aces) and Table 72-3	Chan	ge register	r addres	ses to 1.700 to 1.739, add a	a row for Reserve	ed 1.740 to 1.1099
(4 places)				Proposed	l Response	Э	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status O								
				C/ 45	SC 45	5.2.1	P 39	L19	# 704
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1	P 39	L15	# 701	Barrass, I	Hugh		Cisco System	ns, Inc.	
Barrass, Hugh	Cisco System	s, inc.		Comment	t Type	т	Comment Status X		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Rese	rved regist	ers nee	d to change according to HE	3_01	
	counters are packed in much mo available. This may lead to pain			Suaaeste	dRemedy				
	se more lanes. This comment w			00	,	s range	to 1.176 to 1.299 (move to t	he appropriate p	osition)
comments dealing w	ith the particular registers, so it	includes the tex	t string HB_01 .		- I Response	U	Response Status O		,
SuggestedRemedy				, ropeeea	ricoponec				
	es of per-PCS-lane registers so								
	addresses for future expansion. t they start on 100 bounaries an			C/ 45	SC 45	5.2.1	P 39	L 21	# 705
future expansion.				Barrass, I	Hugh		Cisco System	ns, Inc.	
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Comment	t Type	т	Comment Status X		
				HB_0 in HB		register	BASE-R LP coefficient upd	ate, lane 0 (copy	 address as propose
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1	P 39	L16	# 702	Suggeste	dRemedy				
Barrass, Hugh	Cisco System	s, Inc.		Chan	ge register	r addres	s to 1.1100		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Proposed	l Response	9	Response Status 0		
HB_02 Change regis address as proposed	ster BASE-R FEC corrected bloc d in HB_01	ks counter, lane	es 0 through 19						
SuggestedRemedy									
Change register add	resses to 1.300 to 1.339, add a	row for Reserve	ed 1.340 to 1.699						
Proposed Response	Response Status O								
•									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1**

Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb	/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments Sponsor ballo
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P 39 L 22 # 706 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems, Inc. Cisco Systems, Inc. P 39	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P 39 L 25 # 708 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems, Inc. Cisco Systems, Inc. Total Statement Total Statement <td< th=""></td<>
Comment Type T Comment Status X HB_05 Change register BASE-R LP coefficient update, lane 1 through 9 address as proposed in HB_01	Comment Type T Comment Status X HB_07 Change register BASE-R LP status report, lane 1 through 9 address as proposed in HB_01
SuggestedRemedy Change register addresses to 1.1101 to 1.1109, add a row for Reserved 1.1110 to 1.1199	SuggestedRemedy Change register addresses to 1.1201 to 1.1209, add a row for Reserved 1.1210 to 1.1299
Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
CI 45 SC 45.2.1 P 39 L 22 # 400 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Nortel Networks # 400 100	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P 39 L 26 # 709 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems, Inc. Cisco Systems, Inc. P 39 P
Comment Type T Comment Status X This says "1.267 through 275" but it should be "1.267 through 1.275" SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type T Comment Status X HB_08 Change register BASE-R LD coefficient update, lane 0 (copy) address as proposed in HB_01
Change "1.267 through 275" to "1.267 through 1.275" Make equivalent change elsewhere in Table 45-3 (3 more instances) In Table 45-83 change "3.83 through 89" to "3.83 through 3.89"	SuggestedRemedy Change register address to 1.1300 Proposed Response Response Status O
In title of 45.2.3.38 change "Registers 3.91 through 109" to "Registers 3.91 through 3.109" <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status O	
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P39 L24 # 707	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P 39 L 27 # 710 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems, Inc. Cisco Systems, Inc. P 39
CI 45 SC 45.2.1 P 39 L 24 # 707 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems, Inc. Cisco Systems, Inc. P 39 P 39	Comment Type T Comment Status X
Comment Type T Comment Status X	HB_09 Change register BASE-R LD coefficient update, lane 1 through 9 address as proposed in HB_01
HB_06 Change register BASE-R LP status report, lane 0 (copy) address as proposed in HB_01	SuggestedRemedy Change register addresses to 1.1301 to 1.1309, add a row for Reserved 1.1310 to 1.1399
SuggestedRemedy Change register address to 1.1200	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1**

Draft 3.0 Commen	ts	IEEE Pa	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	omments			Sponsor ballo
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh	P 39 Cisco System	L 29 ns, Inc.	# 711	<i>Cl</i> 45 Barrass, H	SC 45.2.1 lugh		P 39 Cisco Systen	L 33 ns, Inc.	# 714
HB_01 SuggestedRemedy Change register addre	Comment Status X er BASE-R LD status report, la ess to 1.1400	ane 0 (copy) add	dress as proposed in	Suggested	2 Change regist IRemedy je register addro	er Test patterr	t Status X a ability address Status O	s as proposed in	HB_01
Proposed Response	Response Status O			•					
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh	P 39 Cisco System	L 30	# 712	<i>CI</i> 45 Barrass, H	SC 45.2.1 lugh		P 39 Cisco Syster	L 34 ns, Inc.	# 715
Comment Type T HB_11 Change regist	Comment Status X er BASE-R LD status report, la		address as proposed in	Comment HB_13 Suggested	3 Change regist		t Status X ve testing contro	ol address as pro	posed in HB_01
HB_01				Chang	je register addr	ess to 1.1501			
SuggestedRemedy Change register addre	esses to 1.1401 to 1.1409, add Response Status O	d a row for Rese	rved 1.1410 to 1.1499	Proposed	Response		Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Change register addre Proposed Response		L 32	rved 1.1410 to 1.1499 # [713	Proposed Cl 45 Barrass, H Comment	Response SC 45.2.1 lugh Type TR	Response	P 39 Cisco Systen t Status X		# <mark>738</mark>
SuggestedRemedy Change register addre Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 Farrass, Hugh Comment Type T Reserved registers ne SuggestedRemedy Delete reserved row 1	Response Status O P39 Cisco System Comment Status X eed to change according to HE	L 32 ns, Inc.		Cl 45 Barrass, H Comment The na Suggested Chang	Response SC 45.2.1 lugh Type TR ames of registe IRemedy ge names in tab n testing contro	Comment rs 1.308 & 1.30	P39 Cisco Systen <i>t Status</i> X 09 are reversed	ns, Inc.	# <u>738</u> I and 1.309 is PRBS
SuggestedRemedy Change register addre Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T Reserved registers ne SuggestedRemedy Delete reserved row 1	Response Status O P39 Cisco System Comment Status X eed to change according to HE	L 32 ns, Inc.		Cl 45 Barrass, H Comment The na Suggested Chang pattern	Response SC 45.2.1 lugh Type TR ames of registe Remedy ge names in tab n testing contro Response SC 45.2.1	Comment rs 1.308 & 1.30	P 39 Cisco Systen <i>t Status</i> X 09 are reversed 18 is Square wa	ns, Inc. I ve testing control	
SuggestedRemedy Change register addre Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T Reserved registers ne SuggestedRemedy Delete reserved row 1	Response Status O P39 Cisco System Comment Status X eed to change according to HE	L 32 ns, Inc.		Cl 45 Barrass, H Comment The na Suggested Chang patterr Proposed Cl 45 Barrass, H Comment	Response SC 45.2.1 lugh Type TR ames of registe IRemedy ge names in tab n testing contro Response SC 45.2.1 lugh Type T	Response Commen rs 1.308 & 1.30 le so that 1.30 Response Commen	P39 Cisco System t Status X 09 are reversed 8 is Square wa Status O P39 Cisco System t Status X	ns, Inc. t ve testing control <i>L</i> 35 ns, Inc.	l and 1.309 is PRBS
SuggestedRemedy Change register addre Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T Reserved registers ne SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O P39 Cisco System Comment Status X eed to change according to HE	L 32 ns, Inc.		Cl 45 Barrass, H Comment The na Suggestec Chang patterr Proposed Cl 45 Barrass, H Comment HB_12 Suggestec	Response SC 45.2.1 lugh Type TR ames of registe Remedy ge names in tab n testing contro Response SC 45.2.1 lugh Type T 4 Change regist	Comment rs 1.308 & 1.30 le so that 1.30 <i>Response</i> Comment er PRBS patte	P39 Cisco System t Status X 09 are reversed 8 is Square wa Status O P39 Cisco System t Status X	ns, Inc. t ve testing control <i>L</i> 35 ns, Inc.	l and 1.309 is PRBS # <u>716</u>

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 Page 9 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:50 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1	P 39	L 37	# 717	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.12	a P48	L 3	# 389
Barrass, Hugh	Cisco System	ns, Inc.		Law, David		3Com		
proposed in HB_01 SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X er PRBS Tx error counters, la sses to 1.1600 to 1.1609, add Response Status 0	0		2008, re Subclau 802.3av this inst 45.2.1.1 45.2.1.1	ing instruction s numbered to 4 se 45.2.1.12 in -2009, is titled ' ruction would re 1 10G-EPON P 2 PMA/PMD pa	Comment Status X states 'Insert 45.2.1.12a (b 5.2.1.13 by P802.3av/D3.4) IEEE Std 802.3-2008, rent 10P/2B PMA/PMD control sult in the subclause order MA/PMD ability register (R ickage identifier (Registers) for 40G/100G e: umbered to be 45 register (Register as follows: Register 1.12) 1.14 and 1.15)	xtended abilities'. 5.2.1.13 in IEEE Std r 1.30)'. Hence following
CI 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T HB_16 Change registe proposed in HB_01	P 39 Cisco System <i>Comment Status</i> X er PRBS Rx error counters, la		# 7 <u>18</u> e 9 address as	45.2.1.1 I don't b 1.13 afte subclau 45.2.1.1	3 10P/2B PMA/ elieve that this is er register 1.11 se, and its subc 1a. Also I believ ses of this new	2MA/PMD extended ability (PMD control register (Reg is correct as it would be no but before 1.14 and 1.15. If lauses should be placed a ve the editing instruction sh subclause and references	ister 1.30) rmal to have the Based on this sug fter 45.2.1.11 and hould be extended	subclause for Register ggest that this new d number under d to cover the
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedR	Remedy			
Change register addre	sses to 1.1700 to 1.1709			00		ubclauses be numbered as		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			45.2.1.1 45.2.1.1	1a.1 PMA remo 1a.2 100GBAS	MA/PMD extended ability ote loopback ability (1.13.1 E-ER4 ability (1.13.11) E-LR4 ability (1.13.10)		r 1.13)
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh	P 39 Cisco System	L 40 ns, Inc.	# 719	45.2.1.1 45.2.1.1	1a.4 100GBAS 1a.5 100GBAS	E-SR10 ability (1.13.9) E-CR10 ability (1.13.8) -LR4 ability (1.13.3)		
Comment Type T Reserved registers new	Comment Status X ed to change according to HE	3_01		45.2.1.1 45.2.1.1	1a.7 40GBASE 1a.8 40GBASE	-SR4 ability (1.13.2) -CR4 ability (1.13.1)		
SuggestedRemedy Change address range	e to 1.1710 to 1.32767			Sugges 45.2.1.1	t that the editing 1a.1 through 45	-KR4 ability (1.13.0) i instruction should read 'In 5.2.1.11a.9 after existing su		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			was ren Proposed R	-	E Std 802.3av).' Response Status O		

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.12a**

Comment TypeERComment Status XCAIt has been agreed with staff that where a subclause is inserted prior to the existing first subclause it is labelled [existing subclause - one level].[a through z]. Where a subclause is inserted after an existing subclause - assuming it is not the last - the new subclause is labelled [subclause number][a through z].SiFor example to insert two subclauses before 43.2.1 the subclauses would be numbered 43.2.a and 43.2.b. Two subclauses between 43.2.1 and 43.2.2 would be numbered 43.2.1a and 43.2.1b. Two subclauses added after the last subclause 43.2.2 would be numbered 43.2.3 and 43.2.4.PiAt the moment I note that IEEE P802.3ba isn't self consistent with itself in respect to inserts before first existing subclause - and I see IEEE P802.3az using a different approach. Here are three examples of inserts before the existing first paragraph where each time a different numbering approach has been used.Si[1] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1 a then .1b 45.2.1.4.1b 40G capable (1.4.9)Ca45.2.1.9.2D PMD receive signal detect register (Register 1.10) 45.2.1.9.1a PMD receive signal detect of 9 (1.10.10) 45.2.1.9.2a PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9) [3] IEEE P802.3az/D2.2 using .a and .b 79.3.a EEE TLVSuggestedRemedy	100GB/ and 400 SuggestedF add cor Proposed R C/ 45 Szczepanek Comment T	Type TF tements re ASE-SR10 GBASE-KI Remedy trespondin Response SC 45.2	elated to 0, 100G R4 PMA	o implemen BASE-CR1 A / PMD no	10, 40GBASE-LI	BASE-ER4, 100G	BASE-LR4, R4, 40GBASECR4,
It has been agreed with staff that where a subclause is inserted prior to the existing first subclause it is labelled [existing subclause - one level].[a through z]. Where a subclause is inserted after an existing subclause - assuming it is not the last - the new subclause it is labelled [subclause number][a through z]. For example to insert two subclauses before 43.2.1 the subclauses would be numbered 43.2.a and 43.2.b. Two subclauses between 43.2.1 and 43.2.2 would be numbered 43.2.a and 43.2.b. Two subclauses between 43.2.1 and 43.2.2 would be numbered 43.2.3 and 43.2.4. At the moment I note that IEEE P802.3ba isn't self consistent with itself in respect to inserts before first existing subclause - and I see IEEE P802.3az using a different approach. Here are three examples of inserts before the existing first paragraph where each time a different numbering approach has been used. [1] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1 a then .1b 45.2.1.4. PMA/PMD speed ability (Register 1.4) 45.2.1.4.1 a 100G capable (1.4.9) 45.2.1.4.1 b 40G capable (1.4.7) [2] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1 a then .2a 45.2.1.9 PMD receive signal detect 9 (1.10.10) 45.2.1.9.2a PMD receive signal detect 9 (1.10.10) 45.2.1.9.2a PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9) [3] IEEE P802.3az/D2.2 using .a and .b 79.3 IEEE 802.3 Organizationally Specific TLVs 79.3.a EEE TLV SuggestedRemedy	PIC sta 100GB/ and 400 SuggestedF add cor Proposed R C/ 45 Szczepanek Comment T	tements re ASE-SR10 GBASE-KI Remedy respondin Response SC 45.2	elated to 0, 100G R4 PMA	o implemen BASE-CR1 A / PMD no	ntation of 100GE 10, 40GBASE-Lf ot included		
subclause it is labelled [existing subclause - one level].[a through z]. Where a subclause is inserted after an existing subclause - assuming it is not the last - the new subclause it is labelled [subclause number][a through z]. For example to insert two subclauses before 43.2.1 the subclauses would be numbered 43.2.a and 43.2.b. Two subclauses between 43.2.1 and 43.2.2 would be numbered 43.2.3 and 43.2.4. At the moment I note that IEEE P802.3ba isn't self consistent with itself in respect to inserts before first existing subclause - and I see IEEE P802.3az using a different approach. Here are three examples of inserts before the existing first paragraph where each time a different numbering approach has been used. [1] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1a then .1b 45.2.1.4 PMA/PMD speed ability (Register 1.4) 45.2.1.4.1a 100G capable (1.4.9) 45.2.1.4.1b 40G capable (1.4.7) [2] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1a then .2a 45.2.1.9 PMD receive signal detect register (Register 1.10) 45.2.1.9.1a PMD receive signal detect 9 (1.10.10) 45.2.1.9.2a PMD receive signal detect 9 (1.10.10) 45.2.1.9.2a PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9) [3] IEEE P802.3az/D2.2 using .a and .b 79.3 IEEE 802.3 Organizationally Specific TLVs 79.3.a EEE TLV SuggestedRemedy	100GB/ and 400 SuggestedF add cor Proposed R C/ 45 Szczepanek Comment T	ASE-SR10 GBASE-KI Remedy respondin Response SC 45.2	0, 100G R4 PMA	BASE-CR1	10, 40GBASE-Lf tincluded		
For example to insert two subclauses before 43.2.1 the subclauses would be numbered43.2.a and 43.2.b. Two subclauses between 43.2.1 and 43.2.2 would be numbered 43.2.1aand 43.2.1b. Two subclauses added after the last subclause 43.2.2 would be numbered43.2.3 and 43.2.4.At the moment I note that IEEE P802.3ba isn't self consistent with itself in respect toinserts before first existing subclause - and I see IEEE P802.3az using a differentapproach. Here are three examples of inserts before the existing first paragraph whereeach time a different numbering approach has been used.[1] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1a then .1b45.2.1.4.1a 100G capable (1.4.9)45.2.1.4.1b 40G capable (1.4.9)45.2.1.4.1 to/1G capable (1.4.7)[2] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1a then .2a45.2.1.9 PMD receive signal detect register (Register 1.10)45.2.1.9.1a PMD receive signal detect 9 (1.10.10)45.2.1.9.2a PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9)[3] IEEE P802.3az/D2.2 using .a and .b79.3.a EEE TLVSuggestedRemedy	add cor Proposed R Cl 45 Szczepanek Comment T	respondin Response SC 45.2			Status O		
 43.2.a and 43.2.b. Two subclauses between 43.2.1 and 43.2.2 would be numbered 43.2.1a and 43.2.1b. Two subclauses added after the last subclause 43.2.2 would be numbered 43.2.3 and 43.2.4. At the moment I note that IEEE P802.3ba isn't self consistent with itself in respect to inserts before first existing subclause - and I see IEEE P802.3az using a different approach. Here are three examples of inserts before the existing first paragraph where each time a different numbering approach has been used. [1] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1a then .1b 45.2.1.4 PMA/PMD speed ability (Register 1.4) 45.2.1.4.1 a 100G capable (1.4.9) 45.2.1.4.1 b 40G capable (1.4.9) 45.2.1.4.1 b 40G capable (1.4.7) [2] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1a then .2a 45.2.1.9 PMD receive signal detect register (Register 1.10) 45.2.1.9.1a PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9) [3] IEEE P802.3az/D2.2 using .a and .b 79.3 IEEE 802.3 Organizationally Specific TLVs 79.3.a EEE TLV 	Proposed R CI 45 Szczepanek Comment T	Response SC 45.2			Status O		
 43.2.3 and 43.2.4. At the moment I note that IEEE P802.3ba isn't self consistent with itself in respect to inserts before first existing subclause - and I see IEEE P802.3az using a different approach. Here are three examples of inserts before the existing first paragraph where each time a different numbering approach has been used. [1] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1a then .1b 45.2.1.4 PMA/PMD speed ability (Register 1.4) 45.2.1.4.1b 40G capable (1.4.9) 45.2.1.4.1b 40G capable (1.4.7) [2] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1a then .2a 45.2.1.9 PMD receive signal detect register (Register 1.10) 45.2.1.9.1a PMD receive signal detect 9 (1.10.10) 45.2.1.9.2a PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9) [3] IEEE P802.3az/D2.2 using .a and .b 79.3 IEEE 802.3 Organizationally Specific TLVs 79.3.a EEE TLV 	Cl 45 Szczepanek Comment T	SC 45.2		Response	Status O		
At the moment I note that IEEE P802.3ba isn't self consistent with itself in respect to inserts before first existing subclause - and I see IEEE P802.3az using a different approach. Here are three examples of inserts before the existing first paragraph where each time a different numbering approach has been used. [1] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1a then .1b 45.2.1.4 PMA/PMD speed ability (Register 1.4) 45.2.1.4.1 a 100G capable (1.4.9) 45.2.1.4.1 a 100G capable (1.4.9) 45.2.1.4.1 b 40G capable (1.4.7) [2] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1a then .2a 45.2.1.9 PMD receive signal detect register (Register 1.10) 45.2.1.9.1a PMD receive signal detect 9 (1.10.10) 45.2.1.9.2a PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9) [3] IEEE P802.3az/D2.2 using .a and .b 79.3 IEEE 802.3 Organizationally Specific TLVs 79.3.a EEE TLV <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	Szczepanek Comment T						
 inserts before first existing subclause - and I see IEEE P802.3az using a different approach. Here are three examples of inserts before the existing first paragraph where each time a different numbering approach has been used. [1] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1a then .1b 45.2.1.4 PMA/PMD speed ability (Register 1.4) 45.2.1.4.1 100G capable (1.4.9) 45.2.1.4.1 10/1G capable (1.4.7) [2] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1a then .2a 45.2.1.9 PMD receive signal detect register (Register 1.10) 45.2.1.9.1a PMD receive signal detect 9 (1.10.10) 45.2.1.9.2a PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9) [3] IEEE P802.3az/D2.2 using .a and .b 79.3 IEEE 802.3 Organizationally Specific TLVs 79.3.a EEE TLV 	Szczepanek Comment T						
45.2.1.4 PMA/PMD speed ability (Register 1.4) C 45.2.1.4 PMA/PMD speed ability (Register 1.4) 45.2.1.4.1 100G capable (1.4.9) 45.2.1.4.1 10/1G capable (1.4.8) 45.2.1.4.1 10/1G capable (1.4.7) [2] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1a then .2a 45.2.1.9 PMD receive signal detect register (Register 1.10) 45.2.1.9 PMD receive signal detect 9 (1.10.10) 45.2.1.9.2a PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9) [3] IEEE P802.3az/D2.2 using .a and .b 79.3 IEEE 802.3 Organizationally Specific TLVs 79.3.a EEE TLV SuggestedRemedy		k, Andre	2.1.7.4		P 44 HSZ Consulti	L 17 ng Ltd	# 91
45.2.1.4.1a 100G capable (1.4.9) 45.2.1.4.1a 100G capable (1.4.8) 45.2.1.4.1b 40G capable (1.4.7) [2] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1a then .2a 45.2.1.9 PMD receive signal detect register (Register 1.10) 45.2.1.9.1a PMD receive signal detect 9 (1.10.10) 45.2.1.9.2a PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9) [3] IEEE P802.3az/D2.2 using .a and .b 79.3 IEEE 802.3 Organizationally Specific TLVs 79.3.a EEE TLV SuggestedRemedy		vpe T		Commen	nt Status X		
45.2.1.4.1b 40G capable (1.4.8) 45.2.1.4.1 10/1G capable (1.4.7) [2] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1a then .2a 45.2.1.9 PMD receive signal detect register (Register 1.10) 45.2.1.9.1a PMD receive signal detect 9 (1.10.10) 45.2.1.9.2a PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9) [3] IEEE P802.3az/D2.2 using .a and .b 79.3 IEEE 802.3 Organizationally Specific TLVs 79.3.a EEE TLV SuggestedRemedy	Allnoud					eceive fault bits h	has been updated, the
 [2] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1a then .2a 45.2.1.9 PMD receive signal detect register (Register 1.10) 45.2.1.9.1a PMD receive signal detect 9 (1.10.10) 45.2.1.9.2a PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9) [3] IEEE P802.3az/D2.2 using .a and .b 79.3 IEEE 802.3 Organizationally Specific TLVs 79.3.a EEE TLV SuggestedRemedy	text for	the global					cover 40/100Gbps
 45.2.1.9 PMD receive signal detect register (Register 1.10) 45.2.1.9.1a PMD receive signal detect 9 (1.10.10) 45.2.1.9.2a PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9) [3] IEEE P802.3az/D2.2 using .a and .b 79.3 IEEE 802.3 Organizationally Specific TLVs 79.3.a EEE TLV 	operatio	on. 2.1 current	thy cove				
45.2.1.9.1a PMD receive signal detect 9 (1.10.10) 45.2.1.9.2a PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9) [3] IEEE P802.3az/D2.2 using .a and .b 79.3 IEEE 802.3 Organizationally Specific TLVs 79.3.a EEE TLV SuggestedRemedy					. When read as	a one, bit 1.1.7 i	ndicates that either (or
[3] IEEE P802.3az/D2.2 using .a and .b 79.3 IEEE 802.3 Organizationally Specific TLVs 79.3.a EEE TLV SuggestedRemedy	both) th	e PMA or	the PM	1D has dete	ected a fault con	dition on either th	ne transmit or receive
79.3 IEEE 802.3 Organizationally Specific TLVs 79.3.a EEE TLV SuggestedRemedy							nor the PMD has one when either of the
SuggestedRemedy							10PASS-TS or 2BASE-
						n detected and m	
Discount the entries of with staff in respect to inserts before substitution f_{inst}			iveyed i	n 45.2.1.16	5, 45.2.1.39, 45.	2.1.40, and 45.2.	.1.55.
Flease use the approach agreed with stall in respect to inserts before existing first	SuggestedF	,					
paragraph. Change '45.2.1.4.1a 100G capable (1.4.9)' to read '45.2.1.4.a 100G capable (1.4.9)'.					5.2.1.2.1 say :	2 0 0 0 hit 1 1 7 i	ndicates that either (or
Change '45.2.1.4.1b 40G capable (1.4.8)' to read '45.2.1.4.b 40G capable (1.4.8)'.							ne transmit or receive
Change '45.2.1.8.1a PMD transmit disable 9 (1.9.10)' to read '45.2.1.8.a PMD transmit	paths. V	When read	d as a z	ero, bit 1.1	.7 indicates that	neither the PMA	nor the PMD has
disable 9 (1.9.10)'. Change '45.2.1.8.2a PMD transmit disable 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.9.5, 1.9.6, 1.9.7, 1.9.8, 1.9.9)' to							set to a one when to a one. For 10PASS-
read '45.2.1.8.b PMD transmit disable 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.9.5, 1.9.6, 1.9.7, 1.9.8, 1.9.9).							detected and more
Change '45.2.1.9.1a PMD receive signal detect 9 (1.10.10)' to read '45.2.1.9.a PMD receive						1.39, 45.2.1.40, a	
signal detect 9 (1.10.10)'. Chnage '45.2.1.9.2a PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, Pl	Proposed R	Response		Response	e Status O		
1.10.9)' to read '45.2.1.9.b PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.7, 1.10.7,							
1.10.8, 1.10.9)'.							
Change '45.2.3.15.1a Scrambled idle test-pattern enable (3.42.7)' to read '45.2.3.15.a Scrambled idle test-pattern enable (3.42.7)'.							
Proposed Response Response Status O							

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.7.4**

Draft 3.0 Comments	3	IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb	s Ethernet cor	nments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 Anslow, Peter	P44 Nortel Networks	L 29	# 401	C/ 45 Hajducze	SC 45.2.1.8 nia, Marek	Р 45 ZTE Corp.	L 37	# 9
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X IDs is given" should be "the 40G D" here and also for "40GBASE- inges in 45.2.1.7.5 <i>Response Status</i> O		'MD is given"	IMHC data' the tr <i>Suggeste</i> Strike	Ote says " and D "Disabling the tr is sufficient to thi ansmitting lanes i edRemedy	Comment Status X may disrupt the network". W ansmitter on one or more lar s end i.e. informing a reader s dsabled), then the link goe t the network" from the Note Response Status O	nes stops the ent that if a stupid t s down.	tire link from carrying
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.77 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E	P 50 Nortel Networks Comment Status X	L 6	# 402	Cl 45	SC 45.2.1.8 .2	,	L 28	# [13
"." missing after "the PN SuggestedRemedy	IDs described in Clause 72, 84 of 84 described in Clause 72, 84 or 84 or 84 <i>Response Status</i> O			Commen (1) A section Suggeste	dd "," before "resp on 45.2.1.9.2a, pa edRemedy	ZTE Corp. Comment Status X bectively"(2) Add "bit" before ige 47, line 28	"1.9.10"Similar (comment against
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.79 Barrass, Hugh	P 52 Cisco Systems, Ir	L 49 nc.	# 725		comment I Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type T Change register addres	Comment Status X s according to HB_04			<i>Cl</i> 45 Barrass,	SC 45.2.1.80 Hugh	P 53 Cisco System	L 17 ns, Inc.	# 727
SuggestedRemedy Change register addres Proposed Response	-			wron Suggeste	ige register addre	Comment Status X ss according to HB_06. Note	e that the registe	r address is currently
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.79 Dambrosia, John Comment Type TR Shall statement does no	P 52 Force 10 Network Comment Status X ot include corresponding pic stat		# <u>615</u>		l Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy add corresponding pic s	statement							
Proposed Response	Response Status O							

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.80** Page 12 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:50 PM

Draft 3.0 Comments	3	IEEE P8	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s Ethe	Sponsor ballot			
<i>Cl</i> 45 <i>SC</i> 45.2.1.80 Dambrosia, John	P 53 Force 10 Netw	L 17 vorks Inc	# 612	<i>Cl</i> 45 SC Barrass, Hugh	45.2.1.81	P 53 Cisco System	L 37 s, Inc.	# 729
address 1.276) and stal address 1.267 to assist increment-address acco SuggestedRemedy Believe that table is cor Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.80 Dambrosia, John Comment Type TR	Comment Status X causing conflict between registement in 45.2.1.80 (A copy of PHY access for devices usin ess for a multi-lane PCS.) rect. Change register addres <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 53 Force 10 Netw <i>Comment Status</i> X ot include corresponding pic statement <i>Response Status</i> O	of this register m ng postread- s in 45.2.1.80 to <i>L</i> 18 vorks Inc	ay be implemented at	wrong. SuggestedReme Change regis Proposed Respo Cl 45 SC Dambrosia, John Comment Type	ster address a dy ster address t nse / 45.2.1.81 TR ent does not i dy inding pic sta	Comment Status X according to HB_08. Note to 1.1300 Response Status O P53 Force 10 Netw Comment Status X include corresponding pic	that the register	address is currently # 6 <u>17</u>
· · ·				Cl 45 SC Dambrosia, John	45.2.1.82	P 54 Force 10 Netw	L4	# 614
address 1.286) and stat address 1.268 to assist for a multi-lane PCS. If as the original register.) SuggestedRemedy	P53 Force 10 Netw Comment Status X causing conflict between registement in 45.2.1.81 (A copy of PHY access for devices usin implemented, all accesses to	ster address in 7 of this register m ng postread-incro the copy shall	ay be implemented at ement-address access have identical behavior	address 1.29 address 1.26 increment-ac shall have identical beh SuggestedReme	is a typo cau 6) and stater 9 to assist Pl dress access avior as the c dy able is correc	Comment Status X using conflict between regi ment in 45.2.1.82 (A copy of HY access for devices usin s for a multi-lane PCS. If in priginal register.) ct. Change register addres Response Status O	of this register m ng postread- nplemented, all	ay be implemented at accesses to the copy
Proposed Response	Response Status 0				1			

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.82** Page 13 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:50 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.82 Barrass, Hugh	P 54 Cisco Syster	L 4 ns, Inc.	# 731	C/ 45 SC - Law, David	45.2.1.82a	Р 54 3Com	L12	# 767
Comment Type TR Change register addres wrong. SuggestedRemedy Change register addres Proposed Response	Comment Status X ss according to HB_10. Note ss to 1.1400 Response Status O	e that the registe	er address is currently	status registe subclauses. A placed after th the register no 45.2.1.81 100 45.2.1.82a BA	struction for subor r 2 & 3:' which do ccording to the I he numbered so umbers it appears BASE-KR LD st SE-R PMD statu	esn't make it totally EEE Standards Styl 45.2.1.82a would ap	clear where to pla e Guide a letter su pear after 45.2.1.8 oclauses should a Register 1.155) er 1.156)	82a and 45.2.1.82b for ace the new ubclause such as this is 82. However looking at ppear before 45.2.1.82.
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.82 Dambrosia, John Comment Type TR Shall statement does r SuggestedRemedy add corresponding pic	Force 10 Net Comment Status X ot include corresponding pic		# <mark>618</mark>	45.2.1.83 100 I also note tha correct. 45.2.1.82b BA 45.2.1.82b.5 I 45.2.1.82b.6 I 45.2.1.82b.6 I	0BASE-KX statu at the subclauses NSE-R PMD statu Receiver status 8 Frame lock 8, 9 (Start-up protocol	ol register (Register s register (Register of 45.2.1.82b start : s 3 register (Register , 9 (1.157.0, 1.157.4 1.157.1, 1.157.5) status 8, 9 (1.157.2, 9 (1.157.3, 1.157.7)	1.161) at .5 as follows wł er 1.157) ^{↓)} , 1.157.6)	nich I don't think is
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			45.2.1.81b aff Suggest that 45.2.1.81a B/ 45.2.1.81a.1 45.2.1.81a.2 45.2.1.81a.3 45.2.1.81a.4 45.2.1.81b B/ 45.2.1.81b.1 45.2.1.81b.2 45.2.1.81b.3	ditorial instructio er subclause 45. the subclauses b ISE-R PMD statu Receiver status 4 Frame lock 4, 5, 1 Start-up protocol Fraining failure 4, SE-R PMD statu Receiver status 8 Frame lock 8, 9 (Start-up protocol	ns be changed to re 2.1.81:' e labelled as follows is 2 register (Registe , 5, 6, 7 (1.156.0, 1. 6, 7 (1.156.1, 1.156. status 4, 5, 6, 7 (1.1 5, 6, 7 (1.156.3, 1.1 5, 6, 7 (1.156.3, 1.1 5, 9 (1.157.0, 1.157.4 1.157.1, 1.157.5) status 8, 9 (1.157.2, 9 (1.157.3, 1.157.7	:: er 1.156) 156.4, 1.156.8, 1. 5, 1.156.9, 1.156. 56.2, 1.156.6, 1.1 156.7, 1.156.11, 1 er 1.157) 4) , 1.157.6)	156.12) 13) 56.10, 1.156.14)

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.82**a

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P802.	3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	and 100Gb/s	Ethernet com	nments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.85 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 57 ZTE Corp.	L 3	# 14	<i>Cl</i> 45 Barrass, Hu	SC 45.2.1.89 gh	P 59 Cisco Syste	L 23 ms, Inc.	# 723
Some comments (1) refer FEC should refer to the sa terms which are not need really is anywhere. Perha SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X wo ways to refer to FEC i.e. FE rence name should be identica ame, correct? If so, use only or ed(2) What is BASE-R FEC? T ps you could add a definition to	l i.e. FEC sublay ne reference to a There is no defini	er and BASE-R woid introducing tion of what it	SuggestedF	, register addres <i>emedy</i> register addres	Comment Status X ses according to HB_02 ses to 1.300 to 1.339 Response Status O		
Per comment. Proposed Response	Response Status O			<i>Cl</i> 45 Trowbridge,	SC 45.2.1.89 Stephen	P 59 ALCATEL-L	L 27 UCENT	# 261
the title of 45.2.1.85.2 is " base standard to the draft <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change the title of 45.2.1	P57 Nortel Networks Comment Status X 45-62 (and elsewhere) is "BAS BASE-R error indication ability 	". This is an erro		SuggestedF Change PHYs" t 40-41 (s	, EC is on a PCS <i>emedy</i> "multi-lane BA\$ o multi-PCS lan ame page), sub 8 (p60), sub-calu	Comment Status X lane basis, this text applie SE-R PHYs" to "multi-PCS le PHYs" on the following li p-clause 45.2.1.91 lines 53- use 45.2.1.93 lines 16-17 (p Response Status O	lane BASE-R PH ne. Also sub-clau -54(same page), s	Ys" and "multi-lane ise 45.2.1.90 on lines sub-clause 45.2.1.92
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.87 Trowbridge, Stephen Comment Type E "multi-lane PCS" is OK, b always be multiple physic SuggestedRemedy	P 58 ALCATEL-LUCEN <i>Comment Status</i> X ut "multi-lane PHY" is problem al lanes.	atic since future	·	SuggestedF	/pe T register addres /emedy register addres	P59 Cisco Syste Comment Status X ses according to HB_03 ses to 1.700 to 1.739 Response Status O	L 36 ms, Inc.	# 724
Same issue with 41.2.1.8	PHY" to "multi-lane PCS", or o 8, page 59, line 16. <i>Response Status</i> 0	change to "multi-l	PCS lane PHY".					

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.90** Page 15 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:50 PM

Draft 3.0 Commer	nts	IEEE P	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/	Sponsor ballo			
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.9 Anslow, Peter	91 P 59 Nortel Networks	L 47	# 404	<i>Cl</i> 45 Barrass, H	SC 45.2.1.93 lugh	P60 Cisco Systems	L 14 s, Inc.	# 730
accordance with the they can be miscons SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X on "Insert 45.2.1.91-94 for multi-la style manual. See 14.2 e) "Dashe trued for subtraction signs." .2.1.91 through 45.2.1.94 for mul Response Status O	es should neve	er be used because	Suggester Chan	ge register addres dRemedy	Comment Status X sses according to HB_09 sses to 1.1301 to 1.1309 Response Status O		
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1. Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T Change register addr SuggestedRemedy	91 <i>P</i> 59 Cisco Systems, <i>Comment Status</i> X resses according to HB_05	/ 51 Inc.	# 726	Suggeste Chan	<i>Type</i> T ge register addres dRemedy	P60 Cisco Systems Comment Status X sses according to HB_11 sses to 1.1401 to 1.1409 Response Status O	L 23 ;, Inc.	# <u>732</u>
Proposed Response	resses to 1.1101 to 1.1109 Response Status O			C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.95	P61	L10	# 405
SuggestedRemedy	P60 Cisco Systems, Comment Status X resses according to HB_07 resses to 1.1201 to 1.1209 Response Status O	<i>L</i> 5 Inc.	# <u>728</u>	definit Suggester Chang	<i>Type</i> E the title of Table tions" <i>dRemedy</i>	Nortel Network <i>Comment Status</i> X 45-65a consistent with the oth le 45-65a to "Test pattern abili <i>Response Status</i> O	ers in clause 4	, ,

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.95 P 61 L 25 # 92 Szczepanek, Andre HSZ Consulting Ltd 100	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.96 P 62 L 47 # 407 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X
The definition of the "PRBS9 ability" bit requires that PRBS9 generation capability be provided in both transmit and receive directions even though the PRBS9 pattern is strictly an optical test pattern. (See line 48) In order for an optical gearbox PMA to support PRBS9 generation to the optics it would be	This says "Lanes for which a square wave pattern is not enabled pass through data as normal." But in testing, we want to be able to have scrambled idles or PRBS31 on the oth lanes. Similar comment submitted against 83.5.10 SuggestedRemedy
required to also provide PRBS9 on the CAUI SuggestedRemedy Change the name of 1.307.5 to "Tx PRBS9 ability" and change the description field to 1 = Transmit direction PRBS9 pattern generation supported 0 = Transmit direction PRBS9 pattern generation not supported Change the paragraph starting on line 47 to	Change "Lanes for which a square wave pattern is not enabled pass through data as normal." to "Lanes for which a square wave pattern is not enabled act as determined by other registers." Proposed Response Response Status O
When read as a one, register 1.307, bit 6 indicates that the device supports PRBS31 generation or checking, and register 1.307. In this case, it shall support that test for all of the generator and checker types that are indicated by the assertion of bits 3:0. When read as a one, register 1.307, bit 5 indicates that the device supports PRBS9	Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.96 P 62 L 6 # 94 Szczepanek, Andre HSZ Consulting Ltd HSZ Consulting Lt
generation in the transmit direction.	Comment Type T Comment Status X
roposed Response Response Status O	The name of this register and its bits is ambiguous as to the direction of the "square wav testing" that is being controlled. This sub-clause could be interpreted as indicating a requirement to support square wave testing in both the receive and transmit directions.
	testing" that is being controlled. This sub-clause could be interpreted as indicating a
2/ 45 SC 45.2.1.95 P 61 L 3 # 733 arrass, Hugh Cisco Systems, Inc. Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status X Change register address according to HB_12 Cisco Systems, Inc. Cisco Systems, Inc.	testing" that is being controlled. This sub-clause could be interpreted as indicating a requirement to support square wave testing in both the receive and transmit directions.
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.95 P 61 L 3 # 733 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems, Inc. Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status X Change register address according to HB_12 Comment Status X	 testing" that is being controlled. This sub-clause could be interpreted as indicating a requirement to support square wave testing in both the receive and transmit directions. SuggestedRemedy Indicate explicitly that square wave testing is a transmit direction pattern abilility only. Change name of register to "Tx Square wave testing control" here, the accompanying paragraph, and in Table 45-3. In the Description column of Table 45-65b change all instances of "square wave" to
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.95 P 61 L 3 # 733 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems, Inc. Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status X Change register address according to HB_12 SuggestedRemedy Change register address to 1.1500 (multiple instances)	testing" that is being controlled. This sub-clause could be interpreted as indicating a requirement to support square wave testing in both the receive and transmit directions. SuggestedRemedy Indicate explicitly that square wave testing is a transmit direction pattern abilility only. Change name of register to "Tx Square wave testing control" here, the accompanying paragraph, and in Table 45-3. In the Description column of Table 45-65b change all instances of "square wave" to "transmit direction square wave"
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.95 P 61 L 3 # 733 arrass, Hugh Cisco Systems, Inc. Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status X Change register address according to HB_12 SuggestedRemedy Change register address to 1.1500 (multiple instances)	testing" that is being controlled. This sub-clause could be interpreted as indicating a requirement to support square wave testing in both the receive and transmit directions. SuggestedRemedy Indicate explicitly that square wave testing is a transmit direction pattern abilility only. Change name of register to "Tx Square wave testing control" here, the accompanying paragraph, and in Table 45-3. In the Description column of Table 45-65b change all instances of "square wave" to "transmit direction square wave" Proposed Response Response Status O CI 45 SC 45.2.1.96 P62 L6 # 734
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.95 P 61 L 3 # 733 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems, Inc. Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status X Change register address according to HB_12 SuggestedRemedy Change register address to 1.1500 (multiple instances)	testing" that is being controlled. This sub-clause could be interpreted as indicating a requirement to support square wave testing in both the receive and transmit directions. SuggestedRemedy Indicate explicitly that square wave testing is a transmit direction pattern abilility only. Change name of register to "Tx Square wave testing control" here, the accompanying paragraph, and in Table 45-3. In the Description column of Table 45-65b change all instances of "square wave" Proposed Response Response Status Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.96 P 62 L 6 # 734 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status X

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.96**

Draft 3.0 Commo	ents		IEEE P8	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/	Sponsor ballo			
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 Anslow, Peter		P62 Nortel Networks	L 8 s	# 406	<i>Cl</i> 45 Anslow, P	SC 45.2.1.97 Peter	P 63 Nortel Ne	L 44 etworks	# 409
	Comment St 565b is "Square wave testing cont	vave testing cor	ntrol and status	" but the register name	Comment What Suggester	effect do bits 3 to	Comment Status X 0 have if bits 6 and 7 a	re both zero?	
Also on line 8 chan		ve testing contr		gister bit definitions". egister is used" to "The	Add te bits 3	•	raph to state that "If ne Response Status O	ither of the bits 6 a	and 7 are asserted then
Proposed Response	Response St	atus O							
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1	1 97	P63	L10	# 408	<i>CI</i> 45 Barrass, ⊦	SC 45.2.1.98 Hugh	Р 63 Cisco Sy	L 49 stems, Inc.	# 736
Anslow, Peter	-	Nortel Networks	-	# 1 00	Comment	51	Comment Status X		
Comment Type E	<i>Comment Si</i> 565c is "PRBS pat	ttern testing co	ntrol and status	s" but the register name	Suggeste	dRemedy	ses according to HB_1		(c)
elsewhere is "PRB	S pattern testing cor	ntrol"							
elsewhere is "PRBS SuggestedRemedy	S pattern testing cor		esting control re	gister bit definitions".		Response	Response Status O		
elsewhere is "PRBS SuggestedRemedy Change the title of	S pattern testing cor	RBS pattern te	esting control re	gister bit definitions".		SC 45.2.1.99	Response Status O	<i>L</i> 20 stems, Inc.	# [<u>7</u> 37
elsewhere is "PRBS SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.1	S pattern testing con Table 4565c to "P <i>Response St</i> 1.97	RBS pattern te	L3	gister bit definitions". # 735	Proposed Cl 45 Barrass, H Comment	SC 45.2.1.99 Hugh	Response Status O	<i>L</i> 20 rstems, Inc.	-
elsewhere is "PRBS SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Proposed Response CI 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T	S pattern testing con Table 4565c to "P <i>Response St</i> 1.97	PRBS pattern te tatus O P63 Cisco Systems tatus X	L3		Proposed Cl 45 Barrass, H Comment Chang Suggester	SC 45.2.1.99 SC 45.2.1.99 Hugh <i>Type</i> T ge register address dRemedy	Response Status O P64 Cisco Sy Comment Status X	L 20 rstems, Inc. 6	# 737
elsewhere is "PRBS SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T Change register ad SuggestedRemedy	S pattern testing con Table 4565c to "P <i>Response St</i> 1.97 <i>Comment St</i> ddress according to b	PRBS pattern te tatus O P63 Cisco Systems tatus X HB_14	<i>L</i> 3 , Inc.		Proposed Cl 45 Barrass, F Comment Chang Suggester Chang	SC 45.2.1.99 SC 45.2.1.99 Hugh <i>Type</i> T ge register address dRemedy	Response Status O P64 Cisco Sy Comment Status X ses according to HB_10	L 20 rstems, Inc. 6	# 737
elsewhere is "PRBS SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T Change register ad SuggestedRemedy Change register ad	S pattern testing con Table 4565c to "P <i>Response St</i> 1.97 <i>Comment St</i> ddress according to b	PRBS pattern te tatus O P63 Cisco Systems tatus X HB_14 ultiple instances	<i>L</i> 3 , Inc.	# 735	Proposed Cl 45 Barrass, F Comment Chang Suggester Chang	SC 45.2.1.99 SC 45.2.1.99 Hugh Type T ge register address dRemedy ge register address Response SC 45.2.3	Response Status O P64 Cisco Sy Comment Status X ses according to HB_10 ses to 1.1700 to 1.1709 Response Status O P65	L 20 rstems, Inc. 6	# 737
elsewhere is "PRBS SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T Change register ad SuggestedRemedy Change register ad	S pattern testing con Table 4565c to "P <i>Response St</i> 1.97 <i>Comment St</i> ddress according to b ddress to 1.1502 (mu	PRBS pattern te tatus O P63 Cisco Systems tatus X HB_14 ultiple instances	<i>L</i> 3 , Inc.	# 735	Proposed Cl 45 Barrass, F Comment Chang Suggester Chang Proposed Cl 45 Barrass, F Comment	SC 45.2.1.99 Hugh Type T ge register address dRemedy ge register address Kesponse SC 45.2.3 Hugh	Response Status O P64 Cisco Sy Comment Status X ses according to HB_10 ses to 1.1700 to 1.1709 Response Status O P65	L 20 rstems, Inc. 6 9 (multiple instance L 44 rstems, Inc.	# <u>737</u> ss)
elsewhere is "PRBS SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T Change register ad SuggestedRemedy	S pattern testing con Table 4565c to "P <i>Response St</i> 1.97 <i>Comment St</i> ddress according to b ddress to 1.1502 (mu	PRBS pattern te tatus O P63 Cisco Systems tatus X HB_14 ultiple instances	<i>L</i> 3 , Inc.	# 735	Proposed Cl 45 Barrass, H Comment Chan Suggester Chan Proposed Cl 45 Barrass, H Comment Reset Suggester	SC 45.2.1.99 Hugh Type T ge register address dRemedy ge register address Response SC 45.2.3 Hugh Type T rved registers need	Response Status O P64 Cisco Sy Comment Status X ses according to HB_10 10 ses to 1.1700 to 1.1700 1.1700 Response Status O P65 Cisco Sy Comment Status X d to change according to X	L 20 rstems, Inc. 6 9 (multiple instance L 44 rstems, Inc.	# <u>737</u> ss)

CI **45** SC **45.2.3** Page 18 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:50 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3 Barrass, Hugh	P 65 Cisco System	L 45 ns, Inc.	# 720	C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.11 Anslow, Peter	P68 Nortel Netwo	L 34 rks	# 412
Comment Type T HB_17 Change registe HB 01	Comment Status X er BIP error counters, lanes 0	through 19 add	ress as proposed in	Comment Type T The register name in the	Comment Status X title of 45.2.3.11 does not	match that used	elsewhere.
 SuggestedRemedy	esses to 3.200 to 3.219			SuggestedRemedy In the title change "BASE 10GBASE-T PCS status			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
<i>Cl</i> 45 <i>SC</i> 45.2.3 Barrass, Hugh	Р 65 Cisco System	L 46 ns, Inc.	# 749	<i>Cl</i> 45 <i>SC</i> 45.2.3.11.5 Trowbridge, Stephen	P 69 Alcatel-Lu	L 42 ICENT	# 267
order to make this sim each PCS lane that co aligned. SuggestedRemedy A row with registers: F 3.419. Also add a rese	Comment Status X eful to include a set of PCS m pple to define and extend in th ontains the PMA service interf PCS lane mapping registers, la erved row between 3.220 and 32767. This amends the resolu	e future, there s ace lane numbe anes 0 through 1 3.399; the last r	should be a register for er after the lane is 19; addresses 3.400 to reserved row needs to	are just service interface SuggestedRemedy Check that the description are PCSLs rather than se	Comment Status X needs to change to align v during the block lock and a lanes and which PCSL ma n of lane_ <x>_lock and lar ervice interface lanes. Add 32 change to indicate whic</x>	alignment marke ay be received or ne_ <x>_aligned new lane_mapp</x>	r lock processes, these ver them are unknown. do not imply that these ing <x> status variable</x>
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3 Barrass, Hugh	Р 65 Cisco System	L 46 ns, Inc.	# 722	C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.12.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 71 ZTE Corp.	L1	# 16
Comment Type T Reserved registers ne	Comment Status X eed to change according to HB	8_01		Comment Type E Space missing in "BER(3	Comment Status X .33.13:8)"		
SuggestedRemedy Change address range	e to 3.220 to 3.32768			SuggestedRemedy Add space between BER	and the opening brace		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		

C/ **45** SC **45.2.3.12.3**

Draft 3.0 Comments	6	IEEE P	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet cor	nments			Sponsor ballot
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.15 Anslow, Peter	P 71 Nortel Networks	L 24	# 413	<i>CI</i> 45 Hajduczen	SC 45.2.3.16 ia, Marek		P 72 TE Corp.	L1	# 18
	Comment Status X on as defined for BASE-R PRBS ns" is missing a full stop after E ASE-R" on line 24 Response Status O		pseudo random and	read a Disabl R PRE patterr the tra	le 45-95, items 3 s follows:1 = Ena e 10GBASE-R P 3S31 test-pattern n mode on the re	able 10GBASE-F PRBS9 test-patte n mode on the re ceive path1 = Er isable 10GBASE	d 3.42.4 sho R PRBS9 tes rn mode on ceive path0 nable 10GB E-R PRBS31	st-pattern mode the transmit pat = Disable 10GB ASE-R PRBS31 test-pattern mo	Description corrected to on the transmit path0 = h1 = Enable 10GBASE- ASE-R PRBS31 test- test-pattern mode on de on the transmit pathif the register.
<i>Cl</i> 45 <i>SC</i> 45.2.3.15 Hajduczenia, Marek	<i>P</i>71 ZTE Corp.	L 27	# 17	Suggested Per cc Proposed	omment	Response Sta			
	Comment Status X tion as defined" - as defined wh se. (2) " and 82.2.10" should be								
Proposed Response	Response Status O								
<i>Cl</i> 45 <i>SC</i> 45.2.3.15. Anslow, Peter	1a P71 Nortel Networks	L 29	# 414						
	Comment Status X s "Insert 45.2.3.15.1a before 45 ed idles do not feature in the ba		naming:" but this is not						
00 ,	ruction to "Insert 45.2.3.15.1a b	efore 45.2.3.	15.1:"						

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.16 Page 20 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:50 PM

C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.16a	P 72	L 42	# 824
Law, David		3Com		

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

I believe that the IEEE Standards style guide states that a subclause that is inserted between existing subclauses should be labelled as [lower numbered subclause][a-z] for example to insert two subclauses between 43.2.1 and 43.2.2 the new subclauses would be numbered 43.2.1a and 43.2.1b and not 43.2.2a and 43.2.2b.

New subclauses 45.2.3.16a and 45.2.3.16b are proceeded with the editing instructions 'Insert after 45.2.3.16 for high order counters' which meets the IEEE Standards style guide. New subclauses 45.2.3.17a however are preceded with the editing instructions 'Insert before 45.2.3.17 for PCS alignment status:' which seems contrary to the IEEE Standards style guide.

This results in:

45.2.3.16 BASE-R PCS test-pattern error counter register (Register 3.43)

45.2.3.16a BER high order counter (Register 3.44)

45.2.3.16b Errored blocks high order counter (Register 3.45)

45.2.3.17a Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status 1 register (Register 3.50)

45.2.3.17b Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status 2 register (Register 3.51)

45.2.3.17c Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status 3 register (Register 3.52)

45.2.3.17d Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status 4 register (Register 3.53)

45.2.3.17 10P/2B capability register (3.60)

45.2.3.18 10P/2B PCS control register (Register 3.61)

I believe to meet the IEEE Standards style guide this should actually be:

45.2.3.16 BASE-R PCS test-pattern error counter register (Register 3.43)

45.2.3.16a BER high order counter (Register 3.44)

45.2.3.16b Errored blocks high order counter (Register 3.45)

45.2.3.16c Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status 1 register (Register 3.50)

45.2.3.16d Multi-Iane BASE-R PCS alignment status 2 register (Register 3.51)

45.2.3.16e Multi-Iane BASE-R PCS alignment status 3 register (Register 3.52)

45.2.3.16f Multi-Iane BASE-R PCS alignment status 4 register (Register 3.53) 45.2.3.17 10P/2B capability register (3.60)

45.2.3.18 10P/2B PCS control register (Register 3.61)

SuggestedRemedy

Change '45.2.3.17a Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status 1 register (Register 3.50)' to read '45.2.3.16c Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status 1 register (Register 3.50)'. Change subclauses '45.2.3.17a.1' through '45.2.3.17a.9' to read '45.2.3.16c.1' through '45.2.3.16c.9'

Change '45.2.3.17b Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status 2 register (Register 3.51)' to read '45.2.3.16d Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status 2 register (Register 3.51)'. Change subclauses '45.2.3.17b.1' through '45.2.3.17b.12' to read '45.2.3.16d.1' through '45.2.3.16d.12'.

Change '45.2.3.17c Multi-Iane BASE-R PCS alignment status 3 register (Register 3.52)' to read '45.2.3.16e Multi-Iane BASE-R PCS alignment status 3 register (Register 3.52)'. Change subclauses '45.2.3.17c.1' through '45.2.3.17c.8' to read '45.2.3.16e.1' through '45.2.3.16e.8'

Change '45.2.3.17d Multi-Iane BASE-R PCS alignment status 4 register (Register 3.53)' to read '45.2.3.16f Multi-Iane BASE-R PCS alignment status 4 register (Register 3.53)'.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Change subclause '45.2.3.17d.1' through '45.2.3.17d.12' to read '45.2.3.16f.1' through '45.2.3.16f.12'

Change the editing instructions that precede subclause 45.2.3.16a that reads 'Insert after 45.2.3.16 for high order counters' to read 'Insert subclauses 45.2.3.16a, 45.2.3.16b, 45.2.3.16c and 45.2.3.16d, with their subclauses, after subclause 45.2.3.16:'. after 45.2.3.16 for high order counters'.

Delete the editing instruction that currently precedes subclause 45.2.3.17a reads 'Insert before 45.2.3.17 for PCS alignment status:'.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.16a P72 L53 # 415 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type Comment Status X т This is the upper 16 bits of a 22 bit counter so it should be "Bits 21:6 of BER counter" (see response to comment 217 against D 2.2) SuggestedRemedy Change "Bits 19:6 of BER counter" to "Bits 21:6 of BER counter" Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.16a P73 L5 # 107 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste Comment Type **T** Comment Status X Is the BER counter 22 or 20 bits? 82.2.18.2.4 says ber count is 20 bits. Also if it is 22 bits then the description on line 53 on page 72 should be "Bits 21:6 of BER counter". SugaestedRemedv Reconcile with Clause 82 and assuming it is 22 bits change: Bits 19:6 of BER counter to Bits 21:6 of BER counter Proposed Response Response Status 0

> C/ **45** SC **45.2.3.16a**

Page 21 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:50 PM

Draft 3.0 Comments	6	IEEE P8	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s	Ethernet con	nments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.37 Anslow, Peter	P82 Nortel Networks	L1	# 416	<i>Cl</i> 45 Law, David	SC 45.2.3.37	Р 82 3Com	L 8	# 825
Comment Type E The highest subclause absent.	Comment Status X added by IEEE Std 802.3av-200	09 is 45.2.3.3	5 so 45.2.3.36 will be		ble title 'BIP error	Comment Status X counter, lanes 0 and 1 re the lane 0 register bit def		s' appears to be in error
SuggestedRemedy Change the editing inst subclauses accordingly Proposed Response	ruction to "Insert after 45.2.3.35 Response Status O	(inserted by	" and re-number	Suggested Sugges Proposed F	st the table title s	hould read 'BIP error cour Response Status O	nter, lanes 0 regist	er bit definitions'.
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.37	P82	L 3	# 739	<i>Cl</i> 45 Anslow, Pe	SC 45.2.3.37	P82 Nortel Netv	L8 vorks	# 417
Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T Change register addres	Cisco Systems, I Comment Status X ss according to HB_17	nc.			••	Comment Status X 4a is "BIP error counter, I	anes 0 and 1 regis	ter bit definitions" but
0 0	ss to 3.200 (multiple instances)				e the title of Tabl	e 45114a from "BIP erro r counter, lane 0 register t		and 1 register bit
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.37 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E	P 82 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X	L 5	# 19	C/ 45 Hajduczeni	SC 45.2.3.38 a, Marek	Р 82 ZTE Corp.	L 21	# 20
Table 45-111a cust the location. SuggestedRemedy	text into two parts. Please place	e the table an	chor in the correct	(Regist	e for section 45.2 ters 3.91 through	Comment Status X 2.3.38 should read " Reg 109)". Avoid any problem 'lane 2 is shown in registe	is with clarity if pos	sible. (2) In line 25,
Per comment. Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggested Per co	mment			
				Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		

CI **45** SC **45.2.3.38**

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.38 Barrass, Hugh	B P82 Cisco System	L 21 s, Inc.	# 740	C/ 45 SC 45.2 . Hajduczenia, Marek	3.4.4	P 67 ZTE Corp.	L10	# 15
Comment Type T Change register addre	Comment Status X sses according to HB_17			Comment Type TR Incorrect register r			3" in line 10 and	l 11.
SuggestedRemedy Change register addre	sses to 3.201 to 1.219 (multip	le instances)		SuggestedRemedy Please correct acc	ordingly.			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response	Status O		
Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.39 Barrass, Hugh	P82 Cisco System	L 27 s, Inc.	# 750	<i>Cl</i> 45 <i>SC</i> 45.2. Barrass, Hugh	3.40	P 82 Cisco Systems	L 28 s, Inc.	# 751
Comment Type T In accordance with cor mapping registers.	<i>Comment Status</i> X mment HB_18, subclauses ar	e required to defi	ne the PCS lane	Comment Type T In accordance with mapping registers.	Comment comment HB_18		required to de	fine the PCS lane
,				SuggestedRemedy				
assignment of bits in the the multi-lane PCS des PCS lane 0, the corres register. The contents aligned bit (3.52.0) is s 3.400.5:0; name PCS	39 - PCS lane mapping regist he PCS lane mapping register scribed in Clause 82 detects a sponding PMA service interfact of the PCS lane mapping regist to one and is invalid otherwise mapping, lane 0; description F	, lane 0 is showr ind locks the alig e lane number is ster, lane 0 is va <i>i</i> se the table h	n in Table 114b. When nment smarker for s recorded in this lid when the Lane 0 has one entry: bits	Add subclause 45. through 3.419) - Tl	ne definition of PC scribed for lane 0	S lane mapping in 45.2.3.39. The 402; etc.	registers, lanes	
assignment of bits in th the multi-lane PCS des PCS lane 0, the corres register. The contents aligned bit (3.52.0) is s	he PCS lane mapping register scribed in Clause 82 detects a sponding PMA service interfac of the PCS lane mapping reg set to one and is invalid otherv mapping, lane 0; description f	, lane 0 is showr ind locks the alig e lane number is ster, lane 0 is va <i>i</i> se the table h	n in Table 114b. When nment smarker for s recorded in this lid when the Lane 0 has one entry: bits	Add subclause 45. through 3.419) - Tl identical to that de register 3.401; land	ne definition of PC scribed for lane 0 e 2 is in register 3. <i>Response</i> 3	S lane mapping in 45.2.3.39. The 402; etc.	registers, lanes PCS lane map <i>L</i> 38	

C/ **45** SC **45.2.3.6.1**

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P802	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ai	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet	comments	Sponsor ballot
Cl 45 SC 45.2.7 Hajduczenia, Marek	P83 ZTE Corp.	L 3	# 21	Cl 45 SC 45.5.3 Anslow, Peter	3.2 P86 Nortel Networks	L13 # 420
	Comment Status X the AN, so there was no renum ct. Correct the editorial note	bering happeing	in register 7.48	Comment Type E In item *FEC-R, "In R FEC"	Comment Status X nplementation of 10GBASE-R FEC	should be "Implementation of BASE-
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				SuggestedRemedy Change "Implemen	tation of 10GBASE-R FEC" to "Imp	plementation of BASE-R FEC"
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O	
C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.12 Anslow, Peter	P83 Nortel Networks	L 42	# 418	C/ 45 SC 45.5.3 Anslow, Peter	3.2 P86 Nortel Networks	L 28 # 421
Comment Type E The description of bit 7.4	Comment Status X 48.2 has changed, but is not sh	own with underli	ine	Comment Type T The PICS has entri	Comment Status X es for MMD 8 through 10. What ab	bout MMD 11?
SuggestedRemedy Show "or CX4" and "/CX	4" in underline font			SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry fo	or MMD 11	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O	
C/ 45 SC 45.5.3.2 Anslow, Peter	P85 Nortel Networks	L15	# 419	C/ 45 SC 45.5. Anslow, Peter	3.3 P87 Nortel Networks	L16 # 423
	<i>Comment Status</i> X is shown blue even though that ace should be 45.2.1.1.4a	subclause is in	the draft. Also		Comment Status X PMD type is selected using bits 5:0) not 4:0
SuggestedRemedy Show the reference in */	ALB to 45.2.1.1.4 black and ma			SuggestedRemedy Change "PMA/PME bits 5:0"	0 type is selected using bits 4:0" to	"PMA/PMD type is selected using
*LLB 45.2.1.1.4a black a Proposed Response	and make it a link. (Would this b <i>Response Status</i> 0	e better as "*RI	_B"?)	Proposed Response	Response Status O	

Draft 3.0 Comment	S	IEEE P80	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet	comments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 45 SC 45.5.3.3 Anslow, Peter	P87 Nortel Networks	L 22	# 424	<i>Cl</i> 45 <i>SC</i> 45.5.3 Anslow, Peter	3.7 P90 Nortel Networks	L 31	# 427
same format as other r	Comment Status X s to bits 1 10" should be "ignor ows and also to conform to the s because they can be misconstrue " to "to bits 10:1"	tyle manual. S	ee 14.2 e) "Dashes	order counter, 3.44 SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ounter holds at all ones at overflow' (see 45.2.3.16a) is not implemente o "CR:M". Make the same change to	d. Also applie	s to RM43
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
<i>Cl</i> 45 <i>SC</i> 45.5.3.3 Anslow, Peter	P 87 Nortel Networks	L 3	# 422	<i>Cl</i> 45 <i>SC</i> 45.5.3 Anslow, Peter	3.7 P90 Nortel Networks	L 44	# 428
SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause from "when bit 0 is set "PMA transmit data is	Comment Status X 19a through MM19d should be 44 to 45.2.1.1.4a for MM19a throug to a one" to "when bit 1 is set to returned on receive path when in asmit path when in remote loopba Response Status O	h MM19d. Also a one" and cha remote loopba	o change MM19a Inge MM19b from	40/100G (45.2.3.16 SuggestedRemedy Change *XCR on P Remove "10CR:M" Call out both CR: a	Comment Status X S XCR:O but implementing the BER a) age 89, line 20 to be "Implementation of XCR: where currently we have X of make the Status CR:O XCR:M Response Status O	on of 40/100G	
SuggestedRemedy In RM36, RM37 and R	P90 Nortel Networks Comment Status X E-R PCS and 10GBASE-T PCS M38 correct the name of the regi Is" 1 or 2 registers. (3 places) Response Status 0	-		CI 45 SC 45.5.3 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T RM50b says "Regis to Table 45-96a SuggestedRemedy Remove RM50b		L 46 .15 is part of tl	# 429

C/ 45 SC 45.5.3.7 Page 25 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:50 PM

Draft 3.0 Commen	ts	IEEE P8	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	id 100Gb/	's Ethernet	commen	ts		Sponsor ballot
C/ 45 SC 45.5.3.7 Anslow, Peter	P90 Nortel Networks	L 9	# 425	<i>Cl</i> 45 Anslow, P	SC 45.5. Peter	3.7	P 91 Nortel Networ	L 47 ˈks	# 431
	Comment Status X RM35 is "Writes to 10GBASE-R r has been re-named to "BASE-F			count	51	ters reset o	nment Status X n read to 3.80 through 09	n 3.89 or PCS re	set" but the BIP error
SuggestedRemedy Include a row for RM3	5 with the correct register name.			Chan case	ge "read to 3. "L" is difficult	to distingui	3.89 or" to "read to 3. sh from the number "1		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			``	out this lette Response	,	oonse Status O		
Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.7 Trowbridge, Stephen	P 91 ALCATEL-LUCE	<i>L</i> 26 INT	# 262	<i>CI</i> 45 Marris, Ar	SC Table	e 45-3	P 39 Cadence Des	L 16 ign Syste	# 108
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X S lanes and not physical lanes he BASE-R device" to "Non multi he page	-PCS lane BA	SE-R device". Same	are lik room	302.3ba PCS	has been de more virtu			n 100G. Higher speeds map does not allow any
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Pleas	se renumber t		leaving a reserved sp low room for future ex		set of registers for
C/ 45 SC 45.5.3.7 Anslow, Peter	P 91 Nortel Networks	L 3	# 430	Proposed	l Response	Resp	oonse Status O		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X concern the Errored blocks high rather than 45.2.3.16a	order counter	r, so the subclause	Cl 45 Szczepan Comment	SC Table nek, Andre <i>t Type</i> E		P 39 HSZ Consultir nment Status X	L 35 ng Ltd	# 93
SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause	e for RM50f through RM50j to 45	.2.3.16b			Register name clause referen		ers 1.308 and 1.309 in rect.	this table are sv	vapped.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			chang			are wave testing cont 3S pattern testing con		

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 45 SC Table 45-3

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 69 SC 69.1.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	2 <i>P</i> 95 ZTE Corp.	L 24	# 22	C/ 73 SC 73.11 Anslow, Peter	P106 Nortel Network	L 2 s	# 437
Comment Type E Bullet item iii - shou	Comment Status X Ild read "a single-lane 10 Gb/s PH	Y"		Comment Type E The title of this clause	Comment Status X e has changed but this is not sho	own.	
SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response	Response Status O			show "and copper ca	tion before the subclause title, s ble assembly" in underline font.	Also, the claus	e title appears in two
.,				other places on this p Proposed Response	bage in the base standard, so the Response Status 0	ese should be s	snown also.
C/ 69 SC 69.2.5	5 P 97	L 49	# 23				
Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T	ZTE Corp. Comment Status X			C/ 73 SC 73.3 Anslow, Peter	P 99 Nortel Network	L 53	# 433
context to emphasiz	was removed, though I suggest to ze the fact that minimum effort is n ons into the new system.			Comment Type E "10GBASE-KR" was with underline font.	Comment Status X on the list of PHYs in the base of	document so th	is should not be show
Per comment				SuggestedRemedy Show "10GBASE-KR	" in normal fant		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 73 SC 73 Anslow, Peter	P99 Nortel Networks	L 1	# 432	C/ 73 SC 73.5.1 Anslow, Peter	P 100 Nortel Network	L 32	# 434
<i>Comment Type</i> E The clause title is d	Comment Status X	ut this is not sh	own.	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy					6.7 is not a link so it should be sl disable whereas the others are		'
	uction before the clause title, show assembly" in underline font.	"Ethernet" in s	strikethrough and show	SuggestedRemedy		·	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Show "71.6.7" as dar Proposed Response	k blue and change the reference Response Status O	e from 84.7.6 to	9 84.7.7

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 73 SC 73.5.1 Page 27 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:50 PM

Draft 3.0 Comme	ents	IEEE P8	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s E	thernet co	mments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 73 SC 73.6.4 Anslow, Peter	P101 Nortel Network	L 23	# 436	C/ 74 Anslow, Peter	SC 74.11	P124 Nortel Networks	L 2	# 443
Comment Type E	Comment Status X		and a fallows the sould	Comment Typ		Comment Status X		
	on "Insert extra paragraph and ch wo editing instructions - one for e		ence as follows:" would		needs to be	aces on the first page of the PICS changed.	5 that the cla	ause title appears and
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRe	medy			
	uction to "Insert extra paragraph struction "Change last paragraph		ast paragraph" and			he clause title in all three places hould be an editing instruction be		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status O		
<i>Cl</i> 73 <i>SC</i> 73.6.4 Anslow, Peter	P101 Nortel Network	L 7 (S	# 435	C/ 74 Anslow, Peter	SC 74.11.1	P124 Nortel Networks	L 20	# 444
5	Comment Status X ion says Table 73-4 but the table	heading is 73-	2	Comment Typ The refer dark blue	ences in the	Comment Status X subclause and value/comment co	olumns shou	ld either be links or in
SuggestedRemedy Change the title of the	he table to be 73-4			SuggestedRe	medy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Change t dark blue		s for 74.8.2, 74.8.3, 74.8.3.1 in to	links and m	ake 74.8.4, 51, 74.7.4.1
				Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status 0		
C/ 74 SC 74.1	P 107	L15	# 24					
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			-	SC 74.11.5	P 124	L 37	# 896
Comment Type T	Comment Status X des additional margin to account	for" but it is not	t clear what "margin" is	Ganga, Ilango		Intel Corporation		
	ould do service to humanity and c			Comment Typ		Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy						gear box function needs to be ur rent option is for physical instanti		
Per comment				SuggestedRe		, , ,		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0				-	for 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s options	i	
				Proposed Re		Response Status O		

C/ 74 SC 74.11.5

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P8	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s Etherne	et comments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 74 SC 74.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P107 ZTE Corp.	L 34	# 25	<i>Cl</i> 74 <i>SC</i> 74. Hajduczenia, Marek	5 P11 ZTE Co		# 28
	Comment Status X f) is really the post-FEC BER To support a post-FEC BER Response Status O			is affected. Why whole existign se	R Comment Status at changes to section 74.5 a there is no differential version ection instead of adding only int description impedes reada	re made in P802.3ba a on available? Why do y 74.5.2, which is new a	ou need to replace the
C/ 74 SC 74.4	P108	L 46	# 26	Proposed Response	Response Status	0	
change: strike out "whicl clauses are not live e.g.	ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X 4 "," after "For 40GBASE-R and is "(3) General editorial co in this text block, neither 80 Scrub the draft and make in <i>Response Status</i> O	mment: some of 0.3 nor 83.2 are li	the links to 802.3ba vem even though they		ZTE Co Comment Status he service primitives are defi s than much and more than	orp. X ned slightly differently little? Avoid such mea	
Cl 74 SC 74.4.3 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T	P 110 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X	L 44	# 27	CI 74 SC 74. Anslow, Peter Comment Type E	Nortel	Networks	# 438

C/ 74 SC 74.5.1

Draft 3.0 Co	mments
--------------	--------

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 74 SC 74.5.1.1.2		L 50	# 439	CI 74 SC 74.		L 20	# 31
Anslow, Peter	Nortel Network	s		Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp		
document are not show SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X for 74.5 is "Replace" and there rn. how "rate" in normal font.	efore changes v	vith respect to the base	can be sent to P PMA:IS_SIGNAL the textual descr	R Comment Status X 74-2a and 74-2b, I fail to see H MA. It is sent to PCS only (arro indication towards the FEC su iption in section 74.5.2 is OK. E ich signal sent to PMA, since F	w points up, not do Iblayer. Clarify whe Based on the descr	wn). PMA can send ther Figures are OK or iption, it makes little
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy			
				Per comment			
C/ 74 SC 74.5.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P113 ZTE Corp.	L14	# 30	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			CI 74 SC 74.	6 P113	L 49	# 892
	wards should be divided into			Ganga, Ilango	Intel Corp	oration	
	Name-Semantics of the servic cription is confusing and unne			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy		·			otion of delay constraints for 40 auses (for e.g. see 82.5). Also		
Follow the existing stan	idard descriptions and not inve	ent a new style.					
Proposed Response	Response Status 0	2		SuggestedRemedy			
Cl 74 SC 74.5.2 Ganga, Ilango Comment Type E	Response Status 0 P113 Intel Corporatio Comment Status X ge "one per lane" to one per P ces		# <u>891</u>	Change sentenci FEC (sum of trar 24576 BT (or 48 maximum delay at one end of the ns). Also add the system delay con in 80.4 and its re 40 and 100G tex	e to read as follows: "The maxi asmit and receive delays at one pause quanta or 614.4 ns)". Ci contributed by the 100GBASE- link) shall be no more than 12 following sentence to end of the nstraints and the definitions for ferences. Make similar change t. Also the first paragraph of 74	e end of the link) sh nange sentence to R FEC (sum of tran 2880 BT (or 240 pa nis subclause: A de bit-times and paus to 10Gb/s as well	all be no more than read as follows: "The asmit and receive delay ause quanta or 1228.8 escription of overall e_quanta can be found to be consistent with th
Cl 74 SC 74.5.2 Ganga, Ilango Comment Type E For better clarity Chang description in other place	P113 Intel Corporatio Comment Status X ge "one per lane" to one per P	on	# <u>891</u>	Change sentenci FEC (sum of trar 24576 BT (or 48 maximum delay at one end of the ns). Also add the system delay con in 80.4 and its re	nsmit and receive delays at one pause quanta or 614.4 ns)". Cl contributed by the 100GBASE- link) shall be no more than 12 following sentence to end of the instraints and the definitions for ferences. Make similar change	e end of the link) sh nange sentence to R FEC (sum of tran 2880 BT (or 240 pa nis subclause: A de bit-times and paus to 10Gb/s as well	all be no more than read as follows: "The nsmit and receive delay ause quanta or 1228.8 escription of overall e_quanta can be found to be consistent with th
Ganga, Ilango <i>Comment Type</i> E For better clarity Chang	P113 Intel Corporatio Comment Status X ge "one per lane" to one per P ces	on	# <u>891</u>	Change sentenci FEC (sum of trar 24576 BT (or 48 maximum delay at one end of the ns). Also add the system delay con in 80.4 and its re 40 and 100G tex	asmit and receive delays at one pause quanta or 614.4 ns)". Cl contributed by the 100GBASE- link) shall be no more than 12 following sentence to end of the nstraints and the definitions for ferences. Make similar change t. Also the first paragraph of 74	e end of the link) sh nange sentence to R FEC (sum of tran 2880 BT (or 240 pa nis subclause: A de bit-times and paus to 10Gb/s as well	all be no more than read as follows: "The asmit and receive delay ause quanta or 1228.8 escription of overall e_quanta can be found to be consistent with th
Cl 74 SC 74.5.2 Ganga, Ilango Comment Type E For better clarity Chang description in other plac SuggestedRemedy Change "one per lane"	P113 Intel Corporatio Comment Status X ge "one per lane" to one per P ces	on	# <u>891</u>	Change sentenci FEC (sum of trar 24576 BT (or 48 maximum delay at one end of the ns). Also add the system delay con in 80.4 and its re 40 and 100G tex	asmit and receive delays at one pause quanta or 614.4 ns)". Cl contributed by the 100GBASE- link) shall be no more than 12 following sentence to end of th straints and the definitions for ferences. Make similar change t. Also the first paragraph of 74 <i>Response Status</i> O	e end of the link) sh nange sentence to R FEC (sum of tran 2880 BT (or 240 pa nis subclause: A de bit-times and paus to 10Gb/s as well	all be no more than read as follows: "The nsmit and receive delay ause quanta or 1228.8 escription of overall e_quanta can be found to be consistent with th
Cl 74 SC 74.5.2 Ganga, Ilango Comment Type E For better clarity Chang description in other plac SuggestedRemedy Change "one per lane"	P113 Intel Corporatio Comment Status X ge "one per lane" to one per P ces to one per PCS lane"	on	# <u>891</u>	Change sentence FEC (sum of trar 24576 BT (or 48 maximum delay at one end of the ns). Also add the system delay cor in 80.4 and its re 40 and 100G tex Proposed Response	asmit and receive delays at one pause quanta or 614.4 ns)". Cl contributed by the 100GBASE- link) shall be no more than 12 following sentence to end of the straints and the definitions for ferences. Make similar change t. Also the first paragraph of 74 <i>Response Status</i> O	e end of the link) sh hange sentence to R FEC (sum of tran 2880 BT (or 240 pa his subclause: A de bit-times and paus to 10Gb/s as well .6 could be deleted	all be no more than read as follows: "The nsmit and receive delay ause quanta or 1228.8 escription of overall e_quanta can be found to be consistent with the d.
Cl 74 SC 74.5.2 Ganga, Ilango Comment Type E For better clarity Chang description in other plac SuggestedRemedy Change "one per lane"	P113 Intel Corporatio Comment Status X ge "one per lane" to one per P ces to one per PCS lane"	on	# <u>891</u>	Cl 74 SC 74.	asmit and receive delays at one pause quanta or 614.4 ns)". Cl contributed by the 100GBASE- link) shall be no more than 12 following sentence to end of the straints and the definitions for ferences. Make similar change t. Also the first paragraph of 74 <i>Response Status</i> O 7.3 P114 ZTE Corp	e end of the link) sh hange sentence to R FEC (sum of tran 2880 BT (or 240 pa his subclause: A de bit-times and paus to 10Gb/s as well .6 could be deleted	all be no more than read as follows: "The nsmit and receive delay ause quanta or 1228.8 escription of overall e_quanta can be found to be consistent with the d.
Cl 74 SC 74.5.2 Ganga, Ilango Comment Type E For better clarity Chang description in other plac SuggestedRemedy Change "one per lane"	P113 Intel Corporatio Comment Status X ge "one per lane" to one per P ces to one per PCS lane"	on	# <u>891</u>	Change sentenci FEC (sum of trar 24576 BT (or 48 maximum delay at one end of the ns). Also add the system delay con in 80.4 and its re 40 and 100G tex Proposed Response Cl 74 SC 74. Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T	asmit and receive delays at one pause quanta or 614.4 ns)". Cl contributed by the 100GBASE- link) shall be no more than 12 following sentence to end of th straints and the definitions for ferences. Make similar change t. Also the first paragraph of 74 <i>Response Status</i> O 7.3 <i>P</i> 114 <i>ZTE Corp</i> <i>Comment Status</i> X to clause in 802.3-2008 descrit	e end of the link) sh hange sentence to R FEC (sum of tran 2880 BT (or 240 pa his subclause: A de bit-times and paus to 10Gb/s as well .6 could be deleted <i>L</i> 21	all be no more than read as follows: "The nsmit and receive delay ause quanta or 1228.8 e_quanta can be found to be consistent with th d. # 32
Cl 74 SC 74.5.2 Ganga, Ilango Comment Type E For better clarity Chang description in other plac SuggestedRemedy Change "one per lane"	P113 Intel Corporatio Comment Status X ge "one per lane" to one per P ces to one per PCS lane"	on	# <u>891</u>	Change sentenci FEC (sum of trar 24576 BT (or 48 maximum delay at one end of the ns). Also add the system delay col in 80.4 and its re 40 and 100G tex Proposed Response Cl 74 SC 74. Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T Ads a reference	asmit and receive delays at one pause quanta or 614.4 ns)". Cl contributed by the 100GBASE- link) shall be no more than 12 following sentence to end of th straints and the definitions for ferences. Make similar change t. Also the first paragraph of 74 <i>Response Status</i> O 7.3 <i>P</i> 114 <i>ZTE Corp</i> <i>Comment Status</i> X to clause in 802.3-2008 descrit	e end of the link) sh hange sentence to R FEC (sum of tran 2880 BT (or 240 pa his subclause: A de bit-times and paus to 10Gb/s as well .6 could be deleted <i>L</i> 21	all be no more than read as follows: "The nsmit and receive delay ause quanta or 1228.8 e_quanta can be found to be consistent with th d. # 32
Cl 74 SC 74.5.2 Ganga, llango Comment Type E For better clarity Chang description in other plac SuggestedRemedy	P113 Intel Corporatio Comment Status X ge "one per lane" to one per P ces to one per PCS lane"	on	# <u>891</u>	Change sentenci FEC (sum of trar 24576 BT (or 48 maximum delay at one end of the ns). Also add the system delay cor in 80.4 and its re 40 and 100G tex <i>Proposed Response</i> Cl 74 SC 74. Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T Ads a reference writing this from	asmit and receive delays at one pause quanta or 614.4 ns)". Cl contributed by the 100GBASE- link) shall be no more than 12 following sentence to end of th straints and the definitions for ferences. Make similar change t. Also the first paragraph of 74 <i>Response Status</i> O 7.3 <i>P</i> 114 <i>ZTE Corp</i> <i>Comment Status</i> X to clause in 802.3-2008 descrit	e end of the link) sh hange sentence to R FEC (sum of tran 2880 BT (or 240 pa his subclause: A de bit-times and paus to 10Gb/s as well .6 could be deleted <i>L</i> 21	all be no more than read as follows: "The nsmit and receive dela ause quanta or 1228.8 e_quanta can be foun to be consistent with the d. # 32

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/genera	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general	01 74
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	C/ 74
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	·	SC 74.7.3

Page 30 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:50 PM

Draft 3.0 C	Comments
-------------	----------

C/ 74 SC 74.7.3 P114 L 29 # 440 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Vortel Networks	C/ 74 SC 74.7.4.5 P 118 L 1 # 33 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. ZTE Corp. 33
Comment Type E Comment Status X The editing instruction is "Delete the last redundant paragraph of 74.7.3:". Does this mean that there are other redundant paragraphs that should not be deleted? SuggestedRemedy Change editing instruction to "Delete the last paragraph of 74.7.3 as it is redundant:" Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type T Comment Status X Change text "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R mark all thirty-two 64B/66B blocks' sync bits to 11 to indicate error to the PCS." to read "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R set sync bits in all thirty-two 64B/66B blocks to 11 to indicate error to the PCS." SuggestedRemedy Such a description is clearer IMHO. Proposed Response Response Status 0
I 74 SC 74.7.4.1.2 P115 L13 # 893 anga, Ilango Intel Corporation	Cl 74 SC 74.7.4.5.1 P119 L6 # 894
Comment Type T Comment Status X The Reverse gear box function is applicable to both PCS to FEC interface and the PMA to FEC interface when FEC is implemented in a PHY chip, so update the description accordingly. SuggestedRemedy	Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation Comment Type ER Comment Status X Change "10GBASE-KR PHY" to "10GBASE-R PHY" to be consitent with definition in base text
Change sentence to read as follows: "and the 1-bit wide lane of the 40GBASE-R or 100GBASE-R PCS to FEC interface (or PMA to FEC interface)".	SuggestedRemedy Change "10GBASE-KR PHY" to "10GBASE-R PHY"
Also change the next sentence as follows: "It receives the 1-bit stream from the FEC service interface (or PMA service interface) and" In addition insert the following to the end of sentence in line 18: (or PMA:IS_UNITDATA_i.request primitive). Alternative to the above	Proposed Response Response Status O
suggested remedy suitable description could be added to the last paragraph of 74.7.4.1.2 as follows: Insert a sentence to last paragraph: The Reverse gear box function is also applicable to PMA service interface when FEC sublayer is implemented with physical	CI 74 SC 74.7.4.5.1 P 119 L 6 # 34 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. ZTE Corp. Comment Type T Comment Status X
instantiation of PMA service interface for connecting to PCS sublayer (see Annex 83A). Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type T Comment Status X Change text added in lines 6 and 7 to read as follows" for the 10BASE-KR PHY. For the 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R PHYs, sync bits in all thirty-two 64B/66B decoded 64B/66 blocks take a value of {SH.0,SH.1} = 11."
	SuggestedRemedy

Per comment. Text is unclear otherwise.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 74 SC 74.7.4.5.1

Draft 3.0 Commen	IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments						Sponsor ballot
<i>Cl</i> 74 <i>SC</i> 74.8 Barrass, Hugh	P 121 Cisco Systems,	L 25 Inc.	# 741	C/ 74 SC 74.8 Barrass, Hugh	P 121 Cisco System	L 28 s, Inc.	# 742
Comment Type T Change register addre	Comment Status X esses according to HB_02			Comment Type T Change register ac	Comment Status X ddresses according to HB_03		
SuggestedRemedy Change register addre	esses to 1.300 to 1.339. Also in 7	4.8.4.1, p.122		SuggestedRemedy Change register ac	ddresses to 1.700 to 1.739. Also in	n 74.8.4.2, p.123	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
<i>Cl</i> 74 <i>SC</i> 74.8 Anslow, Peter	P121 Nortel Networks	L 26	# 442	<i>Cl</i> 74 <i>SC</i> 74.8 Anslow, Peter	P 121 Nortel Networ	L 6 ks	# 441
applies to FEC_uncorn	ted_blocks_counter_i is a variabl rected_blocks_counter_i . Also in in both variables. Also applies to <i>Response Status</i> O	74.8.4.1 and	74.8.4.2	SuggestedRemedy	the table to be 74-2 but the table <i>Response Status</i> O	e heading is 74-1	
FEC_uncorrected_blo including 74.8.4.1 & 74	P121 Intel Corporation Comment Status X variables FEC_corrected_blocks cks_counter_i. Make this change 4.8.4.2 and if applicable to corres 8 for 40Gb/s and i=0 to 19 for 100	_counter_i and to all instance sponding section	es of this variable ons in Clause 45. Also	PHYs with multiple SuggestedRemedy	ALCATEL-LUG Comment Status X R PHYs may not be serial for a lor PCS lanes, even if they eventual PHYs" to "multi-PCS lane PHYs	ng time, the applica Ily do not have mul	
SuggestedRemedy As per comment				r roposed nesponse	Response Status 0		

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

C/ 74 SC 74.8.4.1

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

<i>CI</i> 74 Hajduczenia	SC 74.8.4.1 a, Marek	P 122 ZTE Corp.	L 48	# 35	C/ 80 SC 80.1.1 Gustlin, Mark
1.215).'	1.173) and 45.2.1 I do not believe th	Comment Status X .89 (1.176 to 1.215). or "(1. hey are available simultane ge 123, section 74.8.4.2			Comment Type E "Physical Layer entitie Should refer to Table 8 SuggestedRemedy
Suggested					Change to 80-1
Per cor					Proposed Response
Proposed R	Response	Response Status O			
					C/ 80 SC 80.1.2
C/ 80	SC 80	P125	L1	# 358	Karocki, Piotr
Kolesar, Pa Comment T		CommScope S	Solutions		Comment Type E
the Pro demons center to at lea and flat center,	ments of the intend ject: "The project is strated to need bar ." Data center bac ast 200 meters per man_01_0108. Ho specifically -CR4/-	e interconnection of equipm ded applications." Further, a s necessary to provide a so ndwidth beyond the existing kbone reach requirements independent contributions owever, the maximum reach CR10 and -SR4/-SR10, is	as stated in sec olution for applic g capabilities. T have been repe kolesar_01_09 n of the PMDs a presently stated	tion 5.5, the Need for cations that have been hese include data eatedly shown to extend 106, swanson_01_1106, aimed at the data d as 125 meters, 75	SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Cl 80 SC 80.1.3
		Vhile the commenter ackno imization for lowest cost, wi			Hajduczenia, Marek
far from mode fi can ado	n optimal. This is d ber based PMDs o dress this reach, a	ue to the huge increase in I compared to the cost of extensions jew s shown in contributions jew	relative cost for ended reach -S well_01_0508 a	the defined single- R4/-SR10 PMDs that and kolesar_01_0908.	Comment Type TR Do you really use CSM Gb/s baseband networl
requirer Potentia	ments of the applic al requirement for	est effective solution that co cation space, this project do balanced cost, as the single ioned as data center solution	bes not satisfy t e-mode fiber ba	the Broad Market ased PMDs erect a	SuggestedRemedy Clarify whether CSMA/ references altogether.
		I. Therefore PMDs that cost R and satisfy the Broad Ma			Proposed Response
Suggested	Remedy				
		tribution kolesar_05_0509 er-capable PMDs from the			

test for selecting 200-meter-capable PMDs from the production runs of -SR4/-SR10 PMDs, as detailed in contribution kolesar_04_0509 with appropriate editorial adjustments induced by clause 86 evolution since draft 2.0, the draft upon which these contributions were submitted.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

P125 L9 # 85 Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Status X ies such as those specified in Table 80-2" 80-1 instead of 80-2. Response Status 0 P125 L 30 # 1 **TBD** Polska Comment Status X pints 5) and 6) is incorrect (if same fiber, SMF, then either 40 km or 10 points from 1 (new list, not continuation from bullet g) Response Status 0 P125 # 36 L 26 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X MA/CD MAC or full duplex MAC? Compare 44. Introduction to 10 ork, which mentions 802.3 MAC and not CSMA/CD MAC.

Clarify whether CSMA/CD MAC is used in 40G/100G Ethernet and if not, remove such references altogether.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 Page 33 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:50 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

7 80 SC 80.1.4	₽ 127	1.00					
		L 28	# 37	C/ 80 SC 80.1.5	P128	L 5	# 39
lajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
<i>comment Type</i> T Section 1.4 defines	Comment Status X what a PCS lane is. What is a W	/DM lane?			Comment Status X a) says that "Annex 83B is optic		
uggestedRemedy				Annex 83A/B suppor	R PMD types.", yet KR and CR rt. Why is that so?	types are also	marked as Optional to
	nition, reference to where it migh hich is already defined.	t be defined or r	emove / replace with	SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response Response Status O			per comment				
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
X 80 SC 80.1.5	5 P128	L 2	# 38				
ajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			C/ 80 SC 80.2.1	P 128	L 38	# 40
omment Type T	Comment Status X			Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
	it is a recommendation or a man		nt? Must statement will	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
	d at some time with shall or some	tning else.			 title should read "Reconciliati ce (MII)"(2) Line 40 should read 		
uggestedRemedy					3) Line 41/42 should read "The		
Decide whether it is "meets")	s a requirement (then put shall) o	r not (then repla	ce "must meet" with		can logically connect layers wi		
meets)				implementaion vet i	t is intended for physical impler	nontation it click	
wanaaad Daananaa							
Proposed Response	Response Status O			such an interface is i should read "The Re read "While XLGMII	needed. I think this sentence sl conciliation Sublayer (RS) prov and CGMII are optional interfac	nould be remove vides a mapping ces, they are use	ed altogether. (4) line 4 ı"(5) Line 48 should
Proposed Response Cl 80 SC 80.1.5		L 33	# 284	such an interface is should read "The Re read "While XLGMII are numeruous refer	needed. I think this sentence sl conciliation Sublayer (RS) prov	nould be remove vides a mapping ces, they are use	"(5) Line 48 should
· · ·		L33	# 284	such an interface is i should read "The Re read "While XLGMII are numeruous refer SuggestedRemedy	needed. I think this sentence sl conciliation Sublayer (RS) prov and CGMII are optional interfac	nould be remove vides a mapping ces, they are use	ed altogether. (4) line 4 ı"(5) Line 48 should
7 80 SC 80.1.5 Jawe, Piers J G	5 P128 Independant	L 33	# 284	such an interface is should read "The Re read "While XLGMII are numeruous refer	needed. I think this sentence sl conciliation Sublayer (RS) prov and CGMII are optional interfac	nould be remove vides a mapping ces, they are use	ed altogether. (4) line 4 ı"(5) Line 48 should
7 80 SC 80.1.5 awe, Piers J G comment Type E A NOTE is not part of the standard. Co	5 P128 Independant Comment Status X of the standard. Table 80-2 need mpare Table 44-1, Table 56-2 an	ls a key to expla	in O and M that is part	such an interface is i should read "The Re read "While XLGMII are numeruous refer SuggestedRemedy	needed. I think this sentence sl conciliation Sublayer (RS) prov and CGMII are optional interfac	nould be remove vides a mapping ces, they are use	ed altogether. (4) line 4 ı"(5) Line 48 should
6/ 80 SC 80.1.5 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E A NOTE is not part of the standard. Co maintenance, note	5 P128 Independant Comment Status X of the standard. Table 80-2 need	ls a key to expla	in O and M that is part	such an interface is i should read "The Re read "While XLGMII are numeruous refer SuggestedRemedy Per comment	needed. I think this sentence sl conciliation Sublayer (RS) prov and CGMII are optional interfac ences in this clause which are	nould be remove vides a mapping ces, they are use	ed altogether. (4) line 4 ı"(5) Line 48 should
2/ 80 SC 80.1.5 bawe, Piers J G comment Type E A NOTE is not part of the standard. Commaintenance, note SuggestedRemedy	5 P128 Independant Comment Status X of the standard. Table 80-2 need mpare Table 44-1, Table 56-2 an	ls a key to expla d Table 69-1. A	in O and M that is part	such an interface is i should read "The Re read "While XLGMII are numeruous refer SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response	needed. I think this sentence sl conciliation Sublayer (RS) prov and CGMII are optional interfac rences in this clause which are <i>Response Status</i> 0	nould be remove rides a mapping ces, they are use not live.	ed altogether. (4) line 4 "(5) Line 48 should ed extensively(6) there
80 SC 80.1.5 Nawe, Piers J G Comment Type E A NOTE is not part of the standard. Co maintenance, note SuggestedRemedy Remove informative	5 P128 Independant <i>Comment Status</i> X of the standard. Table 80-2 need mpare Table 44-1, Table 56-2 an should be tied to table.	ls a key to expla d Table 69-1. A	in O and M that is part	such an interface is is should read "The Re read "While XLGMII are numeruous refer SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response	needed. I think this sentence sl conciliation Sublayer (RS) prov and CGMII are optional interfac rences in this clause which are <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 129 <i>Z</i> TE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X	nould be remove rides a mapping ces, they are use not live.	ed altogether. (4) line 4 "(5) Line 48 should ed extensively(6) there
2/ 80 SC 80.1.5 bawe, Piers J G comment Type E A NOTE is not part of the standard. Commaintenance, note SuggestedRemedy	5 P128 Independant <i>Comment Status</i> X of the standard. Table 80-2 need mpare Table 44-1, Table 56-2 an should be tied to table. e NOTE, add table note as for tal	ls a key to expla d Table 69-1. A	in O and M that is part	such an interface is is should read "The Re read "While XLGMII are numeruous refer SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Cl 80 SC 80.2.2 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T What is a 'stripe' of o SuggestedRemedy	needed. I think this sentence sl conciliation Sublayer (RS) prov and CGMII are optional interfac rences in this clause which are <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 129 <i>Z</i> TE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X	hould be remove rides a mapping ces, they are use not live.	ed altogether. (4) line 4 "(5) Line 48 should ed extensively(6) there # 41
2/80 SC 80.1.5 bawe, Piers J G comment Type E A NOTE is not part of the standard. Comaintenance, note SuggestedRemedy Remove informative	5 P128 Independant <i>Comment Status</i> X of the standard. Table 80-2 need mpare Table 44-1, Table 56-2 an should be tied to table. e NOTE, add table note as for tal	ls a key to expla d Table 69-1. A	in O and M that is part	such an interface is is should read "The Re read "While XLGMII are numeruous refer SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Cl 80 SC 80.2.2 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T What is a 'stripe' of o SuggestedRemedy	needed. I think this sentence sl conciliation Sublayer (RS) prov and CGMII are optional interfac rences in this clause which are <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 129 <i>Z</i> TE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X data?	hould be remove rides a mapping ces, they are use not live.	ed altogether. (4) line 4 "(5) Line 48 should ed extensively(6) there # 41

C/ 80 SC 80.2.2

Draft 3.0 Commer	nts	IEEE P	802.3ba D3.0 40G	b/s and 100Gb	/s Ethernet co	mments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 80 SC 80.2.2 Trowbridge, Stephen	P129 ALCATEL-LUG	L6 CENT	# 265	C/ 80 Hajducze	SC 80.2.4 enia, Marek	P 129 ZTE Corp.	L 22	# 42
Comment Type E It would help to clarify interface of PMD lane	Comment Status X (that it is PCS lanes that are do as)	escribed here (vs. generic service		ing comma after	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy	,				edRemedy comment			
	ata to multiple lanes" to "stripe t	he data to mul	tiple PCS lanes"					
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Propose	d Response	Response Status O		
<i>Cl</i> 80 <i>SC</i> 80.2.3 F Nikolich, Paul	Forward Error C P128 YAS Broadbar	L 9	# 346	C/ 80 Chalupsk	SC 80.2.6 sy, David	P129 Intel Corporation	L 43	# 803
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Commer	51	Comment Status X		
The Forward Error Co	prrection sublayer is an optiona e PHYs. This may cause intero			Suggeste	ence structure dif edRemedy		/-	
	ayer for 40GBASE-R and 100G e mandatory or removed to elin			see 40 G 85)."	Clause 84) and, b/s and 100 Gb/s	uto-Negotiation is used by 40 Gb s copper PHYs (40GBASE-CR4 a Negotiation is used by the 40 Gb	and 100GBA	SE-CR10, see Clause
Proposed Response	Response Status O				Clause 84) and th b/s and 100 Gb/s	e s copper PHYs (40GBASE-CR4 a	and 100GBA	SE-CR10, see Clause
C/ 80 SC 80.2.4 Gustlin, Mark	P 129 Cisco System:	L 20 s, Inc.	# 86	Propose	d Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			C/ 80	SC 80.3.1	P130	L 21	# 43
	e 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE			Hajducze	enia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
	data streams between the PCS pping and multiplexing of trans			Commer	t Type T	Comment Status X		
the PMA and PMD via	a the PMD service interface" terminology: first is says data s			insei layei	t a sentence befor N represents an	er N and N-1 really is. Are these ore line 21 with the following state upper layer while layer N-1 repre-	ement."In the esents a lowe	following description,
SuggestedRemedy						a set of specific service primitive	s."	
as above				•••	edRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Pero	comment			
				Propose	d Response	Response Status 0		

C/ 80 SC 80.3.1

Draft 3.0 Comment	3	IEEE P80)2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	and 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	omments		Sponsor ball
C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 Gustlin, Mark	P 131 Cisco Systems,	L 26 Inc.	# 87	<i>Cl</i> 80 Gustlin, Ma	SC 80.4 rk	P 135 Cisco Systems	L 23 , Inc.	# 89
might make sense to la	Comment Status X a definition for XLAUI, but no m abel the interface between the 2 nition of XLAUI. Same commer Response Status 0	pmas as an op	tional XLAUI. Either		strange to ha border betwee Remedy /e	Comment Status X ve a blank row for separating 40 en the two instead. Response Status O	G from 100G,	delete the row and add
	·			C/ 80	SC 80.4	P135	L 5	# 446
C/ 80 SC 80.3.2	P132	L 47	# 44	Anslow, Pe		Nortel Network		<i>n</i> 110
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Comment 7		Comment Status X		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X				51	st D 2.1 increased the delay for t	he MAC Contr	ol/MAC/RS for 40G
the number of PCS lan	a strict number of lanes in PCS es is defined as "n" ? I think kn	owing the existi		from 20		quanta. However the Maximum		
	the value of "n" in the note in lir	ne 47.		Suggested	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Change	e the Maximur	n in bit times for 40G MAC, RS,	and MAC Con	trol to 16384
	MBER OF PARALLEL STREAN EL STREAMS OF DATA UNITS			Proposed F	Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0		,					
				C/ 80	SC 80.4	P135	L 5	# 276
			"	Muller, Shin		Sun Microsyste		
C/ 80 SC 80.4 Anslow, Peter	P134 Nortel Networks	L 51	# 445	Comment 7	vpe TR	Comment Status X		
Comment Type E Since P802.3bb was a	Comment Status X		te be removed?	The del RS and the valu	ay constraint, MAC Control les in pause_	expressed in bit times, for the 4 , is incorrect and does not corre quanta and absolute time in ns. e value used elsewhere in the di	spond to It is	
SuggestedRemedy	·			Suggested			un.	
	possible.			00	"10240" with	"16384".		
Remove Editor's note i Proposed Response	Response Status O			1.0.000				

CI 80 SC 80.4

C/ 80 SC 80.4 P135 L5 # 88 C/ 8	80						
Gustlin, Mark Cisco Systems, Inc. Hajo		SC 80 ia, Marek		Z	Р 136 ГЕ Согр.	L 42	# 52
The maximum bit time entry for 40G mac should be 16384, not 10240. SuggestedRemedy as above Proposed Response	40GBA skew p Figure XLAUI	omment i ASE-R ar points 2it 80-440 /CAUI int	nd 100GB would be GBASE-F terfaceFig	ASE-R skew po nice to provide R and 100GBAS	d Figure 80 bints 1Figure a more pre SE-R skew p BASE-R and	e 80-540GBA cise descriptio points for imple	ad: Figure 80-4 SE-R and 100GBASE-R n of the scenarios i.e. ementation without & skew points for
Sug	gested	lRemedy					
C/ 80 SC 80.5 P136 L10 # 47	Per co	mment					
Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. Proj	posed l	Respons	е	Response Sta	tus O		
Per comment Con Proposed Response Response Status O	duczeni nment Lines & defined XLAUI, input o clarity.	50 - 52 nd d in the fo /CAUI int of the PM	T eed a rew ollowing lo terface, at D;(3) SP3	Comment Sta rite as follows:" ocations (see Fi t the input of the	In the trans gure 80-4 a e PMA; (2) \$	nd Figure 80-5 SP2 on the PM	# <u>49</u> he skew points are b): (1) SP1 on the D service interface at th t should be bulleted for
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Per co	mment					
to ensure that a given PCS lane always traverses the same physical lane while the link remains in operation what does that mean in reality? PCS lanes are very much physical so the text is confusing at least, if not unclear.	posed l	Respons	е	Response Sta	tus O		
SuggestedRemedy CI & Per explain what is meant in here and remodel the text for clarity. Hajo		SC 8(ia, Marek			Р 136 ГЕ Согр.	L 6	# 45
	<i>mment</i> Editoria skew"		E see 82.2.1	Comment Sta 2) but (see 82.2		the same line	not "The Skew" but "Th
	0	<i>IRemedy</i> mment					

C/ 80 SC 80.5

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 80 SC 80.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 136 ZTE Corp.	L 7	# 46	C/ 80 SC 80.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	P138 ZTE Corp.	L1	# 53
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
The text reads "the la be reassembled by th reference to them?(2)	anes must be kept within limits a he PCS."(1) What "limits" are re c) Change "information on the la assembled by the PCS" to "rea	eferred to? Can nes" to "information	you provide a link / ation transmitted on the	This comment is ac Skew for 40GBASE (UI)" with the follow	aginst Table 80-4 and 80-5(1) Ins -R PCS lane (UI)" and "Maximu ing text "These values are only a ns), based on conversion betwe	m Skew for 100	GBASE-R PCS lane of the Maximum Skew
Per comment				SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			footnote a and b fro 40GBASE-R PCS I	table 80-4 and 80-5. (2) remove im table 80-5. (3) insert a new fo ane (UI)" in Table 80-4 and 80-5 96.969697 ps at PCS lane signa	onote to column with the followi	n Maximum Skew for ng text. "For 40GBASE-
C/ 80 SC 80.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 137 ZTE Corp.	L1	# 50	new foonote to colu	mn "Maximum Skew for 100GB bllowing text. "For 100GBASE-R	ASE-R PCS lan	e (UI)" in Table 80-4
the following location:	Comment Status X write as follows:"In the receive of s (see Figure 80-4 and Figure 8	80-5): (1) SP4 a	t the MDI at the input of	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
	the PMD service interface at the at the output of the PMA."List			C/ 80 SC 80.6	P139	L1	# 54
				Haiduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
•••				Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
Per comment	Response Status O			Comment Type T Not entirely sure w	ZTE Corp. Comment Status X ny this section is needed at all, g state diagrams are referenced as	iven that there a s well. Remove	are no state diagrams in it altogether.
Per comment Proposed Response				Comment Type T Not entirely sure wi this clause and no SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ny this section is needed at all, g	iven that there a s well. Remove	are no state diagrams in it altogether.
Per comment Proposed Response	Response Status 0 P 137 ZTE Corp.	L5	# [51	Comment Type T Not entirely sure wh this clause and no	Comment Status X ny this section is needed at all, g	iven that there a s well. Remove	are no state diagrams in it altogether.
Per comment Proposed Response C/ 80 SC 80.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E	P 137 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X	L5	# 51	Comment Type T Not entirely sure withis clause and no SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response	Comment Status X ny this section is needed at all, g state diagrams are referenced as Response Status O	s well. Remove	it altogether.
Proposed Response CI 80 SC 80.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Change two occurenc SuggestedRemedy	Р 137 ZTE Corp.	L 5	# <u>51</u>	Comment Type T Not entirely sure wh this clause and no SuggestedRemedy Per comment	Comment Status X ny this section is needed at all, g state diagrams are referenced as	iven that there a s well. Remove	are no state diagrams in it altogether. # <u>55</u>
Per comment Proposed Response C/ 80 SC 80.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Change two occurent SuggestedRemedy Per comment	P 137 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X	L 5	# <u>51</u>	Comment Type T Not entirely sure withis clause and no SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Cl 81 SC 81 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T (1) "81. Reconciliat 100Gb/s operation" Independent Interfa	Comment Status X ny this section is needed at all, g state diagrams are referenced as Response Status 0 P141	L1	# <u>55</u> face for 40Gb/s and ayer (RS) and Media
Per comment Proposed Response C/ 80 SC 80.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Change two occurent SuggestedRemedy Per comment	P137 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X ces of "shown" to "given"	L 5	# <u>51</u>	Comment Type T Not entirely sure withis clause and no SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Cl 81 SC 81 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T (1) "81. Reconciliat 100Gb/s operation" Independent Interfa "1.5 Abbreviations"	Comment Status X ny this section is needed at all, g state diagrams are referenced at Response Status 0 P141 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X ion Sublayer (RS) and Media Ind should be changed to "81. Rec ide (MII) for 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s	L1	# <u>55</u> face for 40Gb/s and ayer (RS) and Media
Per comment Proposed Response Cl 80 SC 80.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Change two occurenc SuggestedRemedy	P137 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X ces of "shown" to "given"	L 5	# <u>51</u>	Comment Type T Not entirely sure withis clause and no SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Cl 81 SC 81 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T (1) "81. Reconciliat 100Gb/s operation" Independent Interfa "1.5 Abbreviations" SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ny this section is needed at all, g state diagrams are referenced at Response Status 0 P141 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X ion Sublayer (RS) and Media Ind should be changed to "81. Rec ide (MII) for 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s	L1 L2 dependent Interfonciliation Subla s operation"(2) A ce"	# <u>55</u> ace for 40Gb/s and ayer (RS) and Media

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/g COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/w	C/ 81	Page 38 of 158
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	SC 81	12/24/2009 11:06:51 PM

Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. Comment Type TR Comment Status X Nowehere in this clause is in the number of transfers per second mentioned. In clause 46, there is ' 46, 13 Rate of operation', which at least defines what data rate the MII operates at. Here, in Clause 51, such section defining data rate of MII operation in clause 81. The Physical Coding Subjeyr (PCS) is percent Status X Suggested/Remedy Please add a corresponding section defining data rate of MII operation in clause 81. The Physical Coding Subjeyr (PCS) is percent Status X Proposed Response Response Status O O C181 SC 81 P160 L51 # [218 Comment Type E Comment Tatus X The comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X The line at the bottom of table The comment Status X The comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Suggested/Remedy Thicken the line at bottom of table The comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Suggested/Remedy Thicken the line at bottom of table The comment Status X Several comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X C181 SC 81.1 P141 L49 [264 P14 [264	Draft 3.0 Comme	nts	IEEE P8	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	and 100Gb/	's Ethernet co	omments		Sponsor ballot
Nowed-are in this clause is the number of transfers per second mentioned. In clause 46, there is 41.3 Rate of operation, which at least defines what data rate the MII operates at. Here, in Clause 81, such section does not exist. Why? The Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) is specified to the XLGMI/CGMII, - what does it meaning ??? Please clarify SuggestedRemody Please add a corresponding section defining data rate of MII operation in clause 81. The Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) is specified to the XLGMI/CGMII, - what does it meaning ??? Please clarify Please add a corresponding section defining data rate of MII operation in clause 81. The Ymposed Response Status 0 Cl 81 SC 81 P160 L51 # 218 Cl ment Type E Comment Status X The line at the bottom of table Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Several comments against paragraph 1 in 81.1(1) "face between CSMA/CD media access controllers" - dow still use CSMA/CD MAC in P2 Pl (in line 10, or 10, in line 7, in line 7, in meaning ?? Please clarify SuggestedRemedy Several comment Status X Several comment Status X The line at the bottom of table Proposed Response Response Status 0 Prowbridge, Stephen ALCATELLUCENT MaC was used(2) insert (MII) after * and the Media Independent Interface in this clause,* SuggestedRemedy Preposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 81	C/ 81 SC 81 Hajduczenia, Marek		L1	# 62				L 50	# 57
Please add a corresponding section defining data rate of MII operation in clause 81. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 81 SC 81 P160 L51 # [218] Cl 81 SC 81.1 P141 L7 # [56] Comment Type E Comment Status X The line at the bottom of the table is thinner than usual. Several comments against paragraph 1 in 81.1(1) "face between CSMA/CD Media access controllers" - do we still use CSMA/CD Media Independent Interface" in Inep 7.1(3) in Thicken the line at bottom of table Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type I Comment Type I Comment Type I Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Thicken the line at bottom of table Proposed Response Response Status O Several comments against paragraph 1 in 81.1(1) "face between CSMA/CD media access controllers" - do we still use CSMA/CD Media Independent Interface in Inpe 7.1(3) in This clause," change to "of the MII to (4) in this clause," SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 81 SC 81.1 P141 L49 # [264] Proposed Response Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 81 SC 81.1 P142 L6 # [6] <td< td=""><td>Nowehere in this clar there is 46.1.3 Rate</td><td>use is the number of transfers po of operation", which at least def</td><td>ines what data</td><td></td><td>The I mear</td><td>Physical Coding 1? Do you mean</td><td>Sublayer (PCS) is specified to to say that PCS is adapted to 2</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Nowehere in this clar there is 46.1.3 Rate	use is the number of transfers po of operation", which at least def	ines what data		The I mear	Physical Coding 1? Do you mean	Sublayer (PCS) is specified to to say that PCS is adapted to 2		
Cl 81 SC 81 P160 L51 # [18] Cl 81 SC 81.1 P141 L7 # [56] Comment Type E Comment Status X The line at the bottom of the table is thinner than usual. SuggestedRemedy Thicken the line at bottom of table Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 81 SC 81.1 P141 L7 # [56] Comment Type E Comment Status X Several comments against paragraph 1 in 81.1(1) "face between CSMA/CD media access controllers" - dow still use CSMA/CD MAC in P2P links? 1 always though that full duples controllers" - dow still use CSMA/CD MAC in P2P links? 1 always though that full duples controllers" - dow still use CSMA/CD MAC in P2P links? 1 always though that full duples controllers" - dow still use CSMA/CD MAC in P2P links? 1 always though that full duples controllers" - dow still use CSMA/CD MAC in P2P links? 1 always though that full duples controllers" - dow still use CSMA/CD MAC in P2P links? 1 always though that full duples active controllers" - dow still use CSMA/CD MAC in P2P links? 1 always though that full duples controllers" - dow still use CSMA/CD MAC in P2P links? 1 always though that full duples controllers" - dow still use CSMA/CD MAC in P2P links? 1 always the MII in full 7(3) in line 9, and Media Independent Interface to ' change to ' or the MII in this clause, ' Cl 81 SC 81.1 P142 L6 # [6] Ianes. or PMD Lanes. Using this term in the context of the RS makes it sound as though the erain the cortex d	SuggestedRemedy Please add a correst	conding section defining data rat	e of MII operati	on in clause 81.	00				
Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. Comment Type E Comment Status X Several comments against paragraph 1 in 81.1(1) "face between CSMA/CD media access controllers" - do we still use CSMA/CD MAC in P2P links? I always thought hat liduples controllers" - do we still use CSMA/CD MAC in P2P links? I always thought hat liduples controllers" - do we still use CSMA/CD MAC in P2P links? I always thought hat liduples controllers" - do we still use CSMA/CD MAC in P2P links? I always thought hat liduples controllers "- do we still use CSMA/CD MAC in P2P links? I always thought hat liduples controllers" - do we still use CSMA/CD MAC in P2P links? Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 81 SC 81.1 P141 L49 # 264 Provbridge, Stephen ALCATEL-LUCENT Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 81 SC 81.1 P142 L6 # 58 Undersponder The words interface in the scriate protocols of the MAC to the multi-lane serial encodings of the PHYs' to "The RS adapts the bit serial protocols of the MAC to the parallel format of the PCS service interface" Comment Type To wordes independent 64-bit wide transmit and receive data paths." to "It provides independent 64-bit wide transmit and receive data paths." to "It provides independent 64-bit wide transmit and receive data paths." to "It provides independent 64-bit wide transmit and receive data paths." to "It provides independent 64-bit wide transmit and receive data paths." to "It provides independent 64-bit wide transmit and receive dat	Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	l Response	Response Status 0		
The line at the bottom of the table is thinner than usual. SuggestedRemedy Thicken the line at bottom of table Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 81 SC 81.1 P141 L49 # 264 Trowbridge, Stephen ALCATEL-LUCENT Comment Type E Comment Status X The words "multi-lane" generally refer to multiple PCS lanes, generic service interface lanes, or PMD lanes. Using this term in the context of the RS makes it sound as though the SuggestedRemedy Change "The RS adapts the bit serial protocols of the MAC to the multi-lane serial encodings of the PHYs' to "The RS adapts the bit serial protocols of the MAC to the parallel format of the PCS service interface" Proposed Response Response Status O	<i>Cl</i> 81 <i>SC</i> 81 Turner, Edward J		-	# 218				L 7	# 56
SuggestedRemedy Thicken the line at bottom of table Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 81 SC 81.1 P141 L49 264 Trowbridge, Stephen ALCATEL-LUCENT Comment Type E Comment Status X The words "multi-lane" generally refer to multiple PCS lanes, generic service interface lanes, or PMD lanes. Using this term in the context of the RS makes it sound as though the RS extends further down the stack than it does. SuggestedRemedy Ch ange "The RS adapts the bit serial protocols of the MAC to the multi-lane serial encodings of the PHYs" to "The RS adapts the bit serial protocols of the MAC to the parallel format of the PCS service interface" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 81 SC 81.1 P142 L6 # [58] Under Type The words "multi-lane" generally refer to multiple PCS lanes, generic service interface lanes, or PMD lanes. Using this term in the context of the RS makes it sound as though the RS makes it sound as though the parallel format of the PCS service interface" Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy It is the parallel format of the PCS service interface" It is the parallel format of the PCS service interface" To be the parallel format of	Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Commen	t Type T	Comment Status X		
Cl 81 SC 81.1 P141 L49 # 264 Trowbridge, Stephen ALCATEL-LUCENT Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Status X <td>SuggestedRemedy</td> <td>ottom of table</td> <td>iai.</td> <td></td> <td>contr MAC line 9 Media Suggeste</td> <td>ollers" - do we s was used(2) ins , "and Media Inc a Independent Ir edRemedy</td> <td>till use CSMA/CD MAC in P2P ert (MII) after " and the Media I dependent Interface to" change</td> <td>links? I always ndependent Inte to "and MII to"(</td> <td>thought that full duplex erface" in line 7(3) in (4) in line 10, "of the</td>	SuggestedRemedy	ottom of table	iai.		contr MAC line 9 Media Suggeste	ollers" - do we s was used(2) ins , "and Media Inc a Independent Ir edRemedy	till use CSMA/CD MAC in P2P ert (MII) after " and the Media I dependent Interface to" change	links? I always ndependent Inte to "and MII to"(thought that full duplex erface" in line 7(3) in (4) in line 10, "of the
The words "multi-lane" generally refer to multiple PCS lanes, generic service interface lanes, or PMD lanes. Using this term in the context of the RS makes it sound as though the RS extends further down the stack than it does. CI 81 SC 81.1 P142 L6 # 58 SuggestedRemedy Change "The RS adapts the bit serial protocols of the MAC to the multi-lane serial encodings of the PHYs" to "The RS adapts the bit serial protocols of the MAC to the parallel format of the PCS service interface" CI 81 SC 81.1 P142 L6 # 58 Proposed Response Response Status O T Comment Type T Comment Status X Performed Response Response Status O The MAC to the multi-lane serial protocols of the MAC to the parallel format of the PCS service interface" Per comment Per comment	C/ 81 SC 81.1 Trowbridge, Stephen		-	# 264	•		Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Change "The RS adapts the bit serial protocols of the MAC to the multi-lane serial encodings of the PHYs" to "The RS adapts the bit serial protocols of the MAC to the parallel format of the PCS service interface" (1) Change "It provides independent 64-bit wide transmit and receive data paths." to "It provides for full duplex operation only." to "It support full duplex operation only." Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy	The words "multi-lan lanes, or PMD lanes	e" generally refer to multiple PC. Using this term in the context o			Hajducze	nia, Marek	ZTE Corp.	L6	# 58
Proposed Response Response Status O Suggested Remedy Per comment	SuggestedRemedy Change "The RS ada encodings of the PH	apts the bit serial protocols of the Ys" to "The RS adapts the bit se			(1) C provi	hange "It provide des independent	es independent 64-bit-wide tran t 64-bit wide transmit and receiv	ve data paths."(
Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response				00	2			
					Proposed	l Response	Response Status 0		

C/ 81 SC 81.1

C/ 81 SC 81.1.1	P 142	L14	# 59		SC 81.1.4	P 142	L 48	# 447
łajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Anslow, Peter	r	Nortel Netv	vorks	
omment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Typ	pe T	Comment Status X		
	e an interface allowing independ			The Maxi	mum (ns) val	ues in Table 80-3 should n	natch the values in	Table 81-1
	as they all specify a generic inte c and PHY."(2) "The RS maps t			SuggestedRe	medy			
XLGMI/CGMII to the maps the signal set of	PLS service primitives provide of the XLGMII/CGMII to the PLS	ed at the MAC." s S service primitiv	hould read "The RS es of the MAC."(3)	Since the 246" to "2		are fairly simple, change	tilde 410" to "409.0	6" and change "tilde
signals." should read control, and clock sig	ta transfer is independent and a l "Each direction of data transfe gnals."(4) " link faults to the DTE ik faults to the DTE on the remo	er is independent E on the remote e	and carries data, end of the connecting	Proposed Rea	sponse	Response Status O		
			X	C/ 81	SC 81.1.4	P 142	L 49	# 810
ggestedRemedy Per comment				Bennett, Mich	ael	Lawrence I	Berkeley Na	
				Comment Typ	pe T	Comment Status X		
oposed Response	Response Status O					an in the Maximum (ns) co . If that is the case, how d		
						y in the column using app		
81 SC 81 1 2	D1/2	/ 31	# 60			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	P 142 ZTE Corp.	L 31	# 60			naximum cumulative delay		
ijduczenia, Marek		L31	# 60	paragrapl	h states the n	naximum cumulative delay		
ajduczenia, Marek omment Type T	ZTE Corp.	-		paragrapl table. <i>SuggestedRe</i> If the curr	h states the n medy rent use of tild	des means approximately,	shall meet the valu then remove the til	ues specified in the
ajduczenia, Marek o <i>mment Type</i> T identical media acces	ZTE Corp. Comment Status X	all PHY types '	'all PHY types" seems	paragrapl table. <i>SuggestedRe</i> If the curr	h states the n emedy ent use of tild . if the value i		shall meet the valu then remove the til	ues specified in the
ajduczenia, Marek omment Type T identical media acces very generic. Change PHY types."	ZTE Corp. Comment Status X ss controller may be used with	all PHY types '	'all PHY types" seems	paragrapl table. <i>SuggestedRe</i> If the curr value, i.e.	h states the n emedy ent use of tild . if the value i	des means approximately, s +/- 10 ns then add 10 ns	shall meet the valu then remove the til	ues specified in the
ajduczenia, Marek omment Type T identical media acces very generic. Change PHY types."	ZTE Corp. Comment Status X ss controller may be used with	all PHY types '	'all PHY types" seems	paragrapl table. SuggestedRe If the curr value, i.e. Proposed Res	h states the n medy rent use of tild if the value i sponse	des means approximately, s +/- 10 ns then add 10 ns <i>Response Status</i> 0	shall meet the valu then remove the til and it will be a ma	ues specified in the Ide and use a maximu iximum.
jduczenia, Marek mment Type T identical media acces very generic. Change PHY types." ggestedRemedy Per comment	ZTE Corp. Comment Status X ss controller may be used with	all PHY types '	'all PHY types" seems	paragraph table. SuggestedRe If the curr value, i.e. Proposed Res C/ 81	h states the n medy rent use of tild if the value is sponse SC 81.1.4	des means approximately, s +/- 10 ns then add 10 ns <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 142	shall meet the valu then remove the til and it will be a ma	ues specified in the
jduczenia, Marek mment Type T identical media acces very generic. Change PHY types." ggestedRemedy Per comment	ZTE Corp. Comment Status X ss controller may be used with e to "identical media access con	all PHY types '	'all PHY types" seems	paragraph table. SuggestedRe If the curr value, i.e. Proposed Res C/ 81 Muller, Shimo	h states the n medy rent use of tilk if the value i sponse SC 81.1.4	des means approximately, s +/- 10 ns then add 10 ns <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 142 Sun Micros	shall meet the valu then remove the til and it will be a ma	ues specified in the Ide and use a maximu iximum.
iduczenia, Marek mment Type T identical media acces very generic. Change PHY types." aggestedRemedy Per comment oposed Response	ZTE Corp. Comment Status X ss controller may be used with to "identical media access con Response Status 0	all PHY types ' ntroller may be u	'all PHY types" seems sed with supported	paragraph table. SuggestedRe If the curr value, i.e. Proposed Res CI 81 Muller, Shimo Comment Typ	h states the n medy rent use of tild if the value is sponse SC 81.1.4 on De T	des means approximately, s +/- 10 ns then add 10 ns <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 142 Sun Micros <i>Comment Status</i> X	shall meet the valu then remove the til and it will be a ma <i>L</i> 49 systems	ues specified in the Ide and use a maximu iximum.
jduczenia, Marek mment Type T identical media acces very generic. Change PHY types." ggestedRemedy Per comment oposed Response 81 SC 81.1.3	ZTE Corp. Comment Status X ss controller may be used with e to "identical media access con Response Status O P142	all PHY types '	'all PHY types" seems	paragraph table. SuggestedRe If the curr value, i.e. Proposed Res C/ 81 Muller, Shimo Comment Typ The use of	h states the n medy rent use of tild if the value is sponse SC 81.1.4 on De T of an approxim	des means approximately, s +/- 10 ns then add 10 ns <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 142 Sun Micros <i>Comment Status</i> X nate value in a table that is	shall meet the valu then remove the til and it will be a ma <i>L</i> 49 systems	ues specified in the Ide and use a maximu iximum.
jduczenia, Marek mment Type T identical media acces very generic. Change PHY types." ggestedRemedy Per comment oposed Response 81 SC 81.1.3 jduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X ss controller may be used with te to "identical media access con <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 142 ZTE Corp.	all PHY types ' ntroller may be u	'all PHY types" seems sed with supported	paragraph table. SuggestedRe If the curr value, i.e. Proposed Res CI 81 Muller, Shimo Comment Typ The use of by a shall inconsiste	h states the n medy rent use of tilk if the value is sponse SC 81.1.4 on SC 81.1.4 on of an approxial statement si ent with most	des means approximately, s +/- 10 ns then add 10 ns <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 142 Sun Micros <i>Comment Status</i> X nate value in a table that is evems to be inappropriate. I of the other clauses that c	shall meet the valu then remove the til and it will be a ma <i>L</i> 49 systems s covered t is also hose to	ues specified in the Ide and use a maximu iximum.
jduczenia, Marek mment Type T identical media acces very generic. Change PHY types." ggestedRemedy Per comment oposed Response 81 SC 81.1.3 jduczenia, Marek mment Type T	ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X ss controller may be used with to "identical media access con <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 142 ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X	all PHY types ' ntroller may be u	all PHY types" seems sed with supported	paragraph table. SuggestedRe If the curr value, i.e. Proposed Res C/ 81 Muller, Shimo Comment Typ The use of by a shall inconsiste use the e	h states the n medy rent use of tild if the value is sponse SC 81.1.4 on SC 81.1.4 on De T of an approxim I statement se ent with most xact absolute	des means approximately, s +/- 10 ns then add 10 ns <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 142 Sun Micros <i>Comment Status</i> X nate value in a table that is evems to be inappropriate. I of the other clauses that c time values for the delay	shall meet the valu then remove the til and it will be a ma <i>L</i> 49 systems s covered t is also hose to constraints	ues specified in the Ide and use a maximu iximum.
iduczenia, Marek mment Type T identical media acces very generic. Change PHY types." ggestedRemedy Per comment posed Response 81 SC 81.1.3 iduczenia, Marek mment Type T The XLGMII has bee	ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X ss controller may be used with to "identical media access con <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 142 ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X n specified to support 40Gb/s a	all PHY types ¹ ntroller may be u <i>L</i> 35 and the CGMII is	all PHY types" seems sed with supported # 61	paragraph table. SuggestedRe If the curr value, i.e. Proposed Res C/ 81 Muller, Shimo Comment Typ The use of by a shall inconsiste use the e expressed	h states the n medy rent use of tild sponse SC 81.1.4 on SC an approxim I statement so ent with most xact absolute d in ns. Since	des means approximately, s +/- 10 ns then add 10 ns <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 142 Sun Micros <i>Comment Status</i> X nate value in a table that is evems to be inappropriate. I of the other clauses that c	shall meet the valu then remove the til and it will be a ma <i>L</i> 49 systems covered t is also hose to constraints is there	ues specified in the Ide and use a maximu iximum.
iduczenia, Marek mment Type T identical media acces very generic. Change PHY types." ggestedRemedy Per comment oposed Response 81 SC 81.1.3 iduczenia, Marek mment Type T The XLGMII has bee	ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X ss controller may be used with te to "identical media access con <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P142</i> ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X on specified to support 40Gb/s a "The XLGMII is specified to sup	all PHY types ¹ ntroller may be u <i>L</i> 35 and the CGMII is	all PHY types" seems sed with supported # 61	paragraph table. SuggestedRe If the curr value, i.e. Proposed Res C/ 81 Muller, Shimo Comment Typ The use of by a shall inconsiste use the e expressed	h states the n medy rent use of tild if the value is sponse SC 81.1.4 on SC 81.1.4 on Statement se ent with most xact absolute d in ns. Since on why the pr	des means approximately, s +/- 10 ns then add 10 ns <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 142 Sun Micros <i>Comment Status</i> X nate value in a table that is eems to be inappropriate. I of the other clauses that c time values for the delay e this value is well defined,	shall meet the valu then remove the til and it will be a ma <i>L</i> 49 systems covered t is also hose to constraints is there	ues specified in the Ide and use a maximu iximum.
jduczenia, Marek mment Type T identical media acces very generic. Change PHY types." ggestedRemedy Per comment posed Response 81 SC 81.1.3 jduczenia, Marek mment Type T The XLGMII has bee 100Gb/s. change to " specified to support 1	ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X ss controller may be used with te to "identical media access con <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P142</i> ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X on specified to support 40Gb/s a "The XLGMII is specified to sup	all PHY types ¹ ntroller may be u <i>L</i> 35 and the CGMII is	all PHY types" seems sed with supported # 61	paragraph table. SuggestedRe If the curr value, i.e. Proposed Res Cl 81 Muller, Shimo Comment Typ The use of by a shall inconsiste use the e expressed any reaso SuggestedRe	h states the n medy rent use of tild if the value is sponse SC 81.1.4 on SC 81.1.4 on T of an approxim I statement su ent with most xact absolute d in ns. Since on why the pr emedy	des means approximately, s +/- 10 ns then add 10 ns <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 142 Sun Micros <i>Comment Status</i> X nate value in a table that is eems to be inappropriate. I of the other clauses that c time values for the delay e this value is well defined,	shall meet the value then remove the til and it will be a ma <i>L</i> 49 systems a covered t is also hose to constraints is there used?	ues specified in the Ide and use a maximu iximum.
ajduczenia, Marek <i>omment Type</i> T identical media acces very generic. Change PHY types." <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Per comment <i>roposed Response</i> 81 SC 81.1.3 ajduczenia, Marek <i>omment Type</i> T The XLGMII has bee 100Gb/s. change to "	ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X ss controller may be used with te to "identical media access con <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P142</i> ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X on specified to support 40Gb/s a "The XLGMII is specified to sup	all PHY types ¹ ntroller may be u <i>L</i> 35 and the CGMII is	all PHY types" seems sed with supported # 61	paragraph table. SuggestedRe If the curr value, i.e. Proposed Res Cl 81 Muller, Shimo Comment Typ The use of by a shall inconsiste use the e expressed any reaso SuggestedRe	h states the n medy rent use of tild sponse SC 81.1.4 on SC 81.1.4 on of an approxin I statement si ent with most xact absolute d in ns. Since on why the pr medy	des means approximately, s +/- 10 ns then add 10 ns <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 142 Sun Micros <i>Comment Status</i> X mate value in a table that is seems to be inappropriate. I of the other clauses that c time values for the delay of this value is well defined, ecise value should not be the	shall meet the value then remove the til and it will be a ma <i>L</i> 49 systems a covered t is also hose to constraints is there used?	ues specified in the Ide and use a maximu iximum.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 81 SC 81.1.4 Page 40 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:51 PM

Draft 3.0 (Comments
-------------	----------

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/81 SC 81.1.5	P 143	L 3	# 63	C/ 81	SC 81.1.7	P144	L 6	# 66
ajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Hajduczenia	, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
omment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status X		
independence with th media independence seven-layer reference balances the need for	ctions at the XLGMII/CGMII bal- ne need for a simple interface. The by cleanly separating the Data e model.Change to "The allocat or media independence with inter dia independence by separating reference model."	The XLGMII and Link and Physic tion of functions erface simplicity.	CGMII maximize cal Layers of the OSI at the XLGMII/CGMII The XLGMII and	the RS t CSMA/C reduced SuggestedR	to the XLGMII/Co CD MAC, since the I function set any Remedy	rimitives supporting CSM GMII.it is the reason why where hese functions are not how way. just an observation regar	we should not even bled to anything s	n mention support for the MAC operates o
uggestedRemedy Per comment				Proposed R	esponse	Response Status O		
roposed Response	Response Status O			<i>Cl</i> 81 Hajduczenia	SC 81.1.7.1.2 , Marek	P143 ZTE Corp.	L 27	# 67
k 81 SC 81.1.6 lajduczenia, Marek	P 143 ZTE Corp.	L11	# 64	Comment Ty It repres	.,	Comment Status X ta bit. > "The value - one of	or zero - represent	ts a single data bit."
comment Type T	Comment Status X the RS inputs and outputs chan	ge to "a schema	atic view of the RS input	SuggestedR Per com	2			
and output signals"		•		Proposed R	esponse	Response Status 0		
and output signals" <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Per comment	Response Status 0			C/ 81	SC 81.1.7.1.4	Р143	L 45	# 68
and output signals" uggestedRemedy Per comment roposed Response		L 29	# 65	C/ 81 Hajduczenia Comment Ty	SC 81.1.7.1.4 , Marek <i>ype</i> T	P143 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X	-	
and output signals" uggestedRemedy Per comment roposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 81 Hajduczenia Comment Ty	SC 81.1.7.1.4 , Marek <i>ype</i> T RS for each 64 bi	P 143 ZTE Corp.	-	
and output signals" uggestedRemedy Per comment roposed Response / 81 SC 81.1.6 ajduczenia, Marek	Response Status 0			C/ 81 Hajduczenia Comment Tj by the F	SC 81.1.7.1.4 , Marek <i>ype</i> T 2S for each 64 bi blayer"	P143 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X	-	
and output signals" uggestedRemedy Per comment roposed Response / 81 SC 81.1.6 ajduczenia, Marek omment Type T The 64 TXD and eigh	Response Status O P 143 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X nt TXC signals shall > "The sixty	L 29	# <u>65</u>	C/ 81 Hajduczenia Comment Ty by the R MAC su	SC 81.1.7.1.4 , Marek ype T S for each 64 bi blayer" <i>Remedy</i>	P143 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X	-	
and output signals" <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Per comment <i>Proposed Response</i> 81 SC 81.1.6 lajduczenia, Marek <i>Comment Type</i> T The 64 TXD and eigh ""as shall the 64 RXD receive" > "and RX_C	Response Status O P 143 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X	<i>L</i> 29 y-four TXD and 6 RXD and"Line 3 'indicated by ass	# <u>65</u> eight TXC signals shall 31: "and RX_CLK for sertion of TXC and	C/ 81 Hajduczenia Comment Ty by the R MAC su SuggestedR	SC 81.1.7.1.4 , Marek ype T RS for each 64 bi blayer" Remedy mment	P143 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X	-	
and output signals" SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response 2/ 81 SC 81.1.6 lajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T The 64 TXD and eigh ""as shall the 64 RXD receive" > "and RX_C RXC, respectively" >	Response Status O P143 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X nt TXC signals shall > "The sixty O and" > "as shall the sixty-four CLK for receive paths"Line 36: '	<i>L</i> 29 y-four TXD and 6 RXD and"Line 3 'indicated by ass	# <u>65</u> eight TXC signals shall 31: "and RX_CLK for sertion of TXC and	C/ 81 Hajduczenia Comment Tj by the R MAC su SuggestedR Per com	SC 81.1.7.1.4 , Marek ype T RS for each 64 bi blayer" Remedy mment	P143 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X t-times of the MAC sublay	-	
and output signals" <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Per comment <i>Proposed Response</i> 81 SC 81.1.6 ajduczenia, Marek <i>Comment Type</i> T The 64 TXD and eigh ""as shall the 64 RXD receive" > "and RX_C RXC, respectively" > respectively"	Response Status O P143 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X nt TXC signals shall > "The sixty O and" > "as shall the sixty-four CLK for receive paths"Line 36: '	<i>L</i> 29 y-four TXD and 6 RXD and"Line 3 'indicated by ass	# <u>65</u> eight TXC signals shall 31: "and RX_CLK for sertion of TXC and	C/ 81 Hajduczenia Comment Tj by the R MAC su SuggestedR Per com	SC 81.1.7.1.4 , Marek ype T RS for each 64 bi blayer" Remedy mment	P143 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X t-times of the MAC sublay	-	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 81 SC 81.1.7.1.4

Draft 3.0 Commen	ts	IEEE P8	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	and 100Gb/s	Ethernet cor	nments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 81 SC 81.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P146 ZTE Corp.	L 29	# 69	C/ 81 Hajduczeni	SC 81.2.5 ia, Marek	P 148 ZTE Corp.	L 30	# 72
	Comment Status X sequence of bytes, since it is a ad "A data stream is a sequence	,	efine a data stream.		e "DATA_NOT_	Comment Status X VALID. (See 81.1.7.5.2 and 3 see 81.1.7.5.2 and 30.3.2.1.5		1
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				Suggested Per co	<i>Remedy</i> mment			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 81 SC 81.2.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 147 ZTE Corp.	L 49	# 70	<i>Cl</i> 81 Hajduczeni	SC 81.3.1.3 ia, Marek	P 150 ZTE Corp.	L1	# 73
0	Comment Status X to read "bit value of <sfd> at th (SFD) specified in 4.2.6 and e</sfd>			Suggested	ure 81-5, line 14,	Comment Status X the "I" symbol should be cent	tered in the assoc	iated block
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 81 SC 81.2.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P148 ZTE Corp.	L 10	# 71	<i>Cl</i> 81 Hajduczeni	SC 81.3.3.3 ia, Marek	P 156 ZTE Corp.	L 27	# 74
Comment Type T	Comment Status X d: "The XLGMII/CGMII <pream< td=""><td>ble> and <sfd></sfd></td><td>carry the following</td><td>Suggested</td><td>g comma after "</td><td>Comment Status X Upon recognition of a fault cor</td><td>ndition "</td><td></td></pream<>	ble> and <sfd></sfd>	carry the following	Suggested	g comma after "	Comment Status X Upon recognition of a fault cor	ndition "	
Per comment				Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O							

C/ 81 SC 81.3.3.3 Page 42 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:51 PM

Draft 3.0 Comme	nts	IEEE P	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	ind 100Gb/s	s Ethernet cor	nments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 81 SC 81.3.4. Hajduczenia, Marek	2 P157 ZTE Corp.	L 47	# 75	C/ 81 Hajduczen	SC 81.4.2.2 ia, Marek	P 159 ZTE Corp.	L 45	# 76
here to make the se	Comment Status X referenced and it is a single figu ction self-standing. Otherwise, a be in a completely different part	a reader needs to		Suggested	Std 802.3-2007 - IRemedy mment	Comment Status X such standard does not exist Response Status O	. Should read "I	EEE Std 802.3-2008"
Proposed Response	Response Status O				00.04.4.0.0			
	2 <i>P</i> 158	L11	# 278	<i>CI</i> 81 Hajduczen	SC 81.4.2.3 ia, Marek	P 160 ZTE Corp.	L1	# 77
Muller, Shimon	Sun Microsyst		# 270	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
	Comment Status X tire Link Faul Signaling section h 66 (with the relevant modification			the giv the res	en PICS refers t	hould be separated for XLGM o 40G or 100G system. After II also need proper reference sible.	all, they are diff	erent. Once it is done,
Saving trees is a goo too important to be s	od thing. However, state diagrar scattered around and be referen of the standard, 35 clauses apar	ced to			mment.			
would greatly help "r relevant specification	naking it easy for the reader to s n" (from our 5-criteria) if all the ms were in one place.			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 81	SC 81.4.3	P160	L12	# 449
	Signaling state diagram from Fig	jure 46-9		Anslow, Pe		Nortel Network	<s< td=""><td></td></s<>	
to the end of this sul Also, change all refe Figure 48-9.	oclause. Frences from Figure 46-9 to this	new figure,			le of 81.4.3 is "P	Comment Status X ICS proforma Tables for Reco erface" which is incorrect.	onciliation Subla	ayer and 10 Gigabit
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggested	•			
				Chang	e title to "PICS p	proforma Tables for Reconciliand 100 Gb/s operation	ation Sublayer a	nd Media Independent
C/ 81 SC 81.4 Anslow, Peter	P159 Nortel Networ	L 2 ks	# 448	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type E The title of subclaus	Comment Status X e 81.4 should contain the clause	e 81 title.						
SuggestedRemedy								
•••	Independent Interface (XLGMII/	(CGMII)" to "and	Media Independent					
Change "and Media Interface for 40 Gb/s	and 100 Gb/s operation"							

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 81 SC 81.4.3 Page 43 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:51 PM

Draft 3.0 Comme	ents	IEEE P8	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	omments			Sponsor ballo
C/ 81 SC 81.4.3. Anslow, Peter	1 P160 Nortel Networks	L 24	# 450	C/ 82 Dudek, Micł	SC 82 nael	-	169 gic Corpo	L 50 pration	# 827
Comment Type T Subclause 81.1.4 sa "round-trip delay" is SuggestedRemedy Change "round-trip o Proposed Response		delays at one e	end of the link" so	SuggestedF	32-3 is a long v Remedy order and mo	Comment Statu way from here and is we it closer Response Statu	out of orc	der.	
interchangeably. Ple	P165 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X ontrol character" "control octet" is ease use just one term consistent data portion, the word "character" Response Status O	y in the clause	e. Decide whether when	this figu showing "inst:IS_ 40GBA indicatic It would shown, function	ype T mment is about re, since only g "inst:IS_UNI" _UNITDATA_1 SE-R)".(3) The on of where the beneficial but encoder is " but there is n	ZTE Comment Statu at Figure 82-4. (1) It descramber is show TDATA_3.indication 19.indication (for 100 ere is a text field say e decoder function is to add 64B/66B enc	would be to n, but dec or inst:IS_ GBASE-R ng "Input to Similar co oder into to There is a	oder is not show UNITDATA_19 (inst:IS_UNITE to decoder func omment about F his figure, since a text field sayin	DATA_3.indication (for tion" but there is no igure 82-3, page 173(1)
C/ 82 SC 82 Dudek, Michael Comment Type T	P 169 QLogic Corpora Comment Status X	L 45 ation	# 826	SuggestedF Per con Proposed R	nment	Response Statu	5 O		
	not have a high transition density er than random data.	. It relies on th	e scrambler to provide	CI 82 Hajduczenia Comment T	·		171 Corp.	L 1	# 184

Proposed Response

CI 82 SC 82

Response Status 0

Page 44 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:51 PM

Draft 3.0 Comments	6	IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	omments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 82 SC 82 Turner, Edward J	P 174 Gnodal Limited	L 25	# 219	<i>Cl</i> 82 Turner, Edv	SC 82 vard J	P 179 Gnodal Limited	L15	# 222
Comment Type E Table 82-1. All lines are	Comment Status X e the same thickness.			Comment 7 Table 8		Comment Status X are the same thickness.		
SuggestedRemedy Use thicker lines for the clauses.	e table border and around the title	e cells, as pe	r tables in the other	Suggested Use thi clauses	cker lines for t	he table border and around the titl	e cells, as pe	er tables in the other
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed F	Response	Response Status O		
	P 178 Gnodal Limited	L 35	# 221	<i>Cl</i> 82 Turner, Edv	SC 82 vard J	P 180 Gnodal Limited	L 42	# 223
Comment Type E Table 82-3. All lines are	Comment Status X e the same thickness.			Comment 7 Table 8		Comment Status X are the same thickness.		
SuggestedRemedy Use thicker lines for the clauses.	e table border and around the title	e cells, as pe	r tables in the other	Suggested Use thi clauses	cker lines for t	he table border and around the titl	e cells, as pe	er tables in the other
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed F	Response	Response Status O		
CI 82 SC 82 Turner, Edward J	P 178 Gnodal Limited	L 6	# 220	<i>Cl</i> 82 Turner, Edv	SC 82 vard J	P 187 Gnodal Limited	L10	# 224
Comment Type E Table 82-2. All lines are	Comment Status X e the same thickness.			Comment 7 Table 8		Comment Status X are the same thickness.		
SuggestedRemedy Use thicker lines for the clauses.	e table border and around the title	e cells, as pe	r tables in the other	Suggested Use thi clauses	cker lines for t	he table border and around the titl	e cells, as pe	er tables in the other
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed F	Response	Response Status 0		

CI 82 SC 82 Page 45 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:51 PM

Draft 3.0 Comments	IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	and 100Gb/s Ethernet co	omments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 82 P187 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Lim	<i>L</i> 29 ited	# 225	C/ 82 SC 82 Turner, Edward J	P 196 Gnodal Limited	L 4	# 226
Comment TypeEComment StatusXTable 82-7. All lines are the same thickness.			Comment Type E Table line thickness of	Comment Status X	her clauses.	
SuggestedRemedy Use thicker lines for the table border and around t clauses.	he title cells, as pe	r tables in the other	SuggestedRemedy Use thicker lines for t clauses.	he table border and around the ti	tle cells, as per	tables in the other
Proposed Response Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 82 P 195 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Lim	L 43 ited	# 245	C/ 82 SC 82 Turner, Edward J	P 198 Gnodal Limited	L 35	# 229
Comment Type E Comment Status X PICS table does not have space above Date of st	atement		Comment Type E Table line thickness of	Comment Status X	other clauses.	
SuggestedRemedy Other PICS Protocol summary tables seem to have this revision, some have a space and some dont. summary tables consistent, though the base edition in the formatting.	You may want to m	nake all PICS		he table border and around the ti ables in this subsection. <i>Response Status</i> O	tle cells, as per	tables in the other
Proposed Response Response Status O			CI 82 SC 82	P198	L 4	# 228
Cl 82 SC 82 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Lim Comment Type E Comment Status X Table line thickness of PICS tables is not same a		# 227	Turner, Edward J Comment Type E	Gnodal Limited Comment Status X of PICS tables is not same as in o		
SuggestedRemedy Use thicker lines for the table border and around the		r tables in the other	clauses. Apply to bot	he table border and around the ti h tables in the subsection.	tle cells, as per	tables in the other
clauses. Apply to all tables in this subsection. Proposed Response Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		

C/ 82 SC 82

Draft 3	3.0	Comments
---------	-----	----------

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

	SC 822.18.3	-	L 26	# 786	C/ 82 SC 82		P165	L18	# 80
Shiasi, Ali		Broadcom			Hajduczenia, Marek	•	ZTE Corp.		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type	T Co	omment Status X		
aligned	as such. Note a	t corrupted if followed by a run a runt packet (including S and	T) that is 9 octe	ets or greater is not a			gn data from multiple la across multiple lanes."	nes. change to	read "allows the
		minimum of 15 C's between rise RTYPE = T, the next 8 of $\frac{1}{2}$			SuggestedRemedy				
		15 to transition from RX_D to			Per comment				
good pa	acket would be o	corrupted.			Proposed Response	e Re	sponse Status O		
SuggestedF	Remedy								
This wo Figure 8	ould prevent this 82-15 could be เ	b define a block format to Figu block from being labeled as a updated in the transition from	an invalid or erro	or block.	C/ 82 SC 82 Hajduczenia, Marek		P 165 ZTE Corp.	L 26	# 81
	E_NEXT = (S + asi_02_0110	C + R)			•		omment Status X		
Proposed R		Response Status O			In addition to 64 data to multiple	IB/66B encod lanes. this se	ling is a methodology to entence reads plain old ces, which will be much	strange. Can yo	
C/ 82 Hajduczenia	SC 82.1.1 a, Marek	P 165 ZTE Corp.	L15	# 78	SuggestedRemedy Per comment				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		•			Proposed Response		Ctatura O		
Comment I	vne T	Comment Status X			FIODOSEC RESPONSE	, Re	sponse Status U		
(1) "Bot "Both 40	th 40GBASE-R and 0GBASE-R and	Comment Status X and 100GBASE-R are based 100GBASE-R use a 64B/66B to read "The 64B/66B code si	3 code. "(2) "Th	e 64B/66B code	· ·		sponse Status O	/ 3	# 82
(1) "Bot "Both 40 supports	th 40GBASE-R a 0GBASE-R and ts data" change	and 100GBASE-R are based	3 code. "(2) "Th	e 64B/66B code	Cl 82 SC 82 Hajduczenia, Marek	2.1.3	P166 ZTE Corp.	L3	# 82
(1) "Bot "Both 40 support SuggestedF	th 40GBASE-R a 0GBASE-R and ts data" change Remedy	and 100GBASE-R are based I 100GBASE-R use a 64B/66E	3 code. "(2) "Th	e 64B/66B code	<i>Cl</i> 82 SC 82 Hajduczenia, Marek	2.1.3	Р 166 ZTE Corp.	L3	# 82
(1) "Bot "Both 40 support SuggestedF Per con	th 40GBASE-R a 0GBASE-R and is data" change Remedy nment	and 100GBASE-R are based I 100GBASE-R use a 64B/66E	3 code. "(2) "Th	e 64B/66B code	Cl 82 SC 82 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type Figure 821 de	2.1.3 T Co picts the rela	P166	GBASE-R PCS	and 100GBASE-R
(1) "Both "Both 44 supports SuggestedF Per con Proposed R	th 40GBASE-R a 0GBASE-R and is data" change Remedy nment	and 100GBASE-R are based 100GBASE-R use a 64B/66E to read "The 64B/66B code si	3 code. "(2) "Th	e 64B/66B code	C/ 82 SC 82 Hajduczenia, Marek <i>Comment Type</i> Figure 821 de PCS and their a	2.1.3 T Co picts the rela	P 166 ZTE Corp. comment Status X tionship between the 40	GBASE-R PCS	and 100GBASE-R
(1) "Both "Both 44 supports SuggestedF Per con Proposed R	th 40GBASE-R and 0GBASE-R and is data" change Remedy nment Response SC 82.1.1	and 100GBASE-R are based 100GBASE-R use a 64B/66E to read "The 64B/66B code si <i>Response Status</i> O	3 code. "(2) "Th upports transmi	e 64B/66B code ssion of data"	Cl 82 SC 82 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type Figure 821 de PCS and their a them please.	2.1.3 T Co picts the rela	P 166 ZTE Corp. comment Status X tionship between the 40	GBASE-R PCS	and 100GBASE-R
(1) "Both 4("Both 4(support: <i>SuggestedF</i> Per con Proposed R C/ 82 lajduczenia Comment T	SC 82.1.1 a, Marek	and 100GBASE-R are based 100GBASE-R use a 64B/66E to read "The 64B/66B code st <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 165 ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X	3 code. "(2) "Thu upports transmi <i>L</i> 16	e 64B/66B code ssion of data" # 79	Cl 82 SC 82 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type Figure 821 de PCS and their a them please. SuggestedRemedy	2.1.3 T Co picts the rela associated su	P 166 ZTE Corp. comment Status X tionship between the 40	GBASE-R PCS	and 100GBASE-R
(1) "Both 4("Both 4(support: SuggestedF Per con Proposed R C/ 82 Hajduczenia Comment T What is	SC 82.1.1 a, Marek	and 100GBASE-R are based 100GBASE-R use a 64B/66E to read "The 64B/66B code st <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 165 ZTE Corp.	3 code. "(2) "Thu upports transmi <i>L</i> 16	e 64B/66B code ssion of data" # 79	Cl 82 SC 82 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type Figure 821 de PCS and their a them please. SuggestedRemedy Per comment	2.1.3 T Co picts the rela associated su	P166 ZTE Corp. comment Status X tionship between the 40 blayers this is not what	GBASE-R PCS	and 100GBASE-R
(1) "Both 44 "Both 44 support: SuggestedF Per con Proposed R C/ 82 Hajduczenia Comment T What is Example	A HOGBASE-R and B HOGBASE-R and B HOGBASE-R and B HOGBASE-R and B HOGBASE-R and B HOGBASE-R HOGBASE-R and B HOGBASE-R AND B HO	and 100GBASE-R are based 100GBASE-R use a 64B/66E to read "The 64B/66B code st <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 165 ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X	3 code. "(2) "Thu upports transmi <i>L</i> 16	e 64B/66B code ssion of data" # 79	Cl 82 SC 82 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type Figure 821 de PCS and their a them please. SuggestedRemedy Per comment	2.1.3 T Co picts the rela associated su	P166 ZTE Corp. comment Status X tionship between the 40 blayers this is not what	GBASE-R PCS	and 100GBASE-R
"Both 40 support: SuggestedF Per con Proposed R CI 82 Hajduczenia Comment T What is	th 40GBASE-R and oGBASE-R and is data" change Remedy nment Response SC 82.1.1 a, Marek Type TR a 'data striping' ? lain, or define Remedy	and 100GBASE-R are based 100GBASE-R use a 64B/66E to read "The 64B/66B code st <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 165 ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X	3 code. "(2) "Thu upports transmi <i>L</i> 16	e 64B/66B code ssion of data" # 79	Cl 82 SC 82 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type Figure 821 de PCS and their a them please. SuggestedRemedy Per comment	2.1.3 T Co picts the rela associated su	P166 ZTE Corp. comment Status X tionship between the 40 blayers this is not what	GBASE-R PCS	and 100GBASE-R

C/ 82 SC 82.1.3

Draft 3.0 Comment	Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments					Sponsor ballo		
C/ 82 SC 82.1.4 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 167 ZTE Corp.	L16	# 83	<i>Cl</i> 82 Hajduczeni	SC 82.2.1 a, Marek	<i>P</i> 168 ZTE Corp.	L1	# 84
transmission capacity. per PCS lane to 100G	Comment Status X change from 10.3125 Gtransfer Likewise, it is not clear how you transmission capacity. Some te are aggregated to provide the o Response Status O	u change from ext needs to be	5.15625 Gtransfers/s added, which clarifies	"inst:IS	re 822, "inst:IS _UNITDATA_i. otions - they are <i>Remedy</i> mment	Comment Status X S_UNITDATA_i.request: is repeat indication ". Remove the second not needed Response Status O		ese interface
C/ 82 SC 82.1.4.1 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E	P167 Nortel Networks Comment Status X r FEC service interface is define		# 451		Туре Е	P180 Nortel Networks Comment Status X BASE-R PCS test-pattern contro	L 12	# [<u>458</u> er 3.42.3)". But
SuggestedRemedy Change "defined in 83. Proposed Response		u 11 03.2 Dui		Suggested	Remedy e "(register 3.42	2.3)" to "(bit 3.42.3)". Make the ec Response Status 0	quivalent change	on Page 181 line 44
C/ 82 SC 82.2.1 Anslow, Peter	P167 Nortel Networks	L 48	# 452	<i>CI 82</i> Hajduczeni	SC 82.2.10 a, Marek	Р 180 ZTE Corp.	L15	# 214
and RXC <n> SuggestedRemedy</n>	Comment Status X nd "RXCn" is different from that C <n> and "RXCn" to RXC<n></n></n>	used elsewhe	re which uses TXC <n></n>	Comment 7 Provide Suggested Per co Proposed F	e a reference to <i>Remedy</i> mment	Comment Status X the described functionality.		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			FIODOSECT	reshouse	Response Status U		

Page 48 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:51 PM

CI 82	SC 82.2.10	P180	L 3	# 213
Hajduczeni	a, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
shall h scram	3: "The PCS shave the ability to bled idle pattern i	Comment Status X all generate and detect a s generate and detect a scra s selected," > "When a scr anes." > "and deskew indiv	mbled idle test pa ambled idle patter	ittern."(2) line 6: "When
Suggested Per co	<i>Remedy</i> mment			
Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
CI 82	SC 82.2.11	P180	L 20	# 621
Dambrosia	, John	Force 10 Ne	tworks Inc	
bit stre primitiv each ir	responding PIC s ams from the pri /e in order from hst:IS_UNITDATA_0.ir		ne bits from the ind	
	IS_UNITDATA_	9.Indication.		
to inst:		19.Indication.		
to inst: Suggested				

C/ 82	SC 82.2.11	P180	L 22	# 266
Trowbridge	e, Stephen	ALCATEL-LU	CENT	

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**

In the Rx direction, while the incoming lanes of the generic service interface correspond to PCS lanes, they have not been identified as a particular PCS lane at the point of the lane lock or alignment marker lock processes. The lane numbering with respect to the status variables that go with these processes will, in general, be different than the lane numbering for PCSLs (e.g., by the time you count BIP-8 errors, you know which PCSL is which). The two sets of lane numbers could be confusing, and it would be better not to refer to incoming lanes of the generic service interface which have not yet been identified as a particular PCSL as PCSLs.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the name of the "PCS lane lock" process to simply the "Lane lock" process, and the name of the "PCS alignment marker lock" process to simply the "Alignment marker lock" process (many places in the text plus the actual state diagrams Fig 82-10, 82-11, variables, and MDIO status registers). Before lanes can be identified as PCSLs, they are service interface lanes. Note that Figure 82-2 appears to be OK as it simply says "LANE BLOCK SYNC" and "ALIGNMENT LOCK" without referring to them as PCSLs. The MDIO register names for alignment seem OK since they are not called PCSLs until they are locked and aligned. The individual lane lock variables are just called "Lane lock". A note should be inserted to alert readers that the Rx service interface lane numbering and PCSL lane numbering may be different. A mapping variable between service interface lanes and the PCSLs received on them could be introduced.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 82	SC 82.2.12	P180	L 27	# 215
Hajducze	enia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

(1) "PCS lane deskew" > "PCS lane deskew process"(2) in line 29: "Once the receiver has PCS lane lock on each PCS lane (4 or 20 lanes), then the process of deskewing the" > "Once the receiver achieves PCS lane lock on all PCS lanes (4 or 20 lanes, for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R, respectively), the process of deskewing "(3) in line 31: " After alignment marker lock" > " After the alignment marker lock"(4) in line 32: "is achieved, then any lane to lane skew can be removed as shown in the PCS deskew state diagram in Figure 82--12." > "is achieved, then any the intra-lane skew between any two PCS lanes can be removed as shown in Figure 82--12."

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 82 SC 82.2.12 Page 49 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:51 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 82 SC 82.2.14 Barrass, Hugh	P180 Cisco Systems,	L 13 Inc.	# 748	C/ 82 SC 82.2.14 P 181 L7 # 90 Gustlin, Mark Cisco Systems, Inc. Cisco Syst
currently wrong. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X sses according to HB_17. Note sses (currently 3.90-3.99) to 3.2 Response Status O	that the regis	-	Comment Type E Comment Status X Change: due to bit error for example to: due to a bit error for example SuggestedRemedy as above
SuggestedRemedy	P181 Nortel Networks Comment Status X counter registers 3.90 through 0 through 3.99)" to "(registers 3 Response Status 0 P181	3.109	# <u>459</u> .109)" # <u>105</u>	Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 82 SC 82.2.17 P181 L 33 # 285 Dawe, Piers J G Independant # 285 Dawe, Piers J G Independant # 285 Following up on D2.2 comment 69, "There are two error counting mechanisms that can be used on 64B/66B signals: errored blocks and BIP errors We should be unambiguous which is meant by BER for the purposes of compliance. As the errored block counter is not very good in service at marginal and good BERs, we expect in-service monitoring to use BIP (that's why it was introduced). It is HIGHLY desirable that the same definition of BER apply in compliance testing with the scrambled idle signal as in service." Also it seems that the 82.2.17 test-pattern checker will typically count 2 for an isolated error
Marris, Arthur Comment Type E Should the 3 in BIP3 be SuggestedRemedy Make the 3 in BIP3 a s Proposed Response	·	n Syste		 while the 82.2.14 BIP checker will count 1. For isolated errors, the BIP checker will correspond to frame loss statistics. Note that any change to the PCS operation would be a simplification, and option 1 below makes no change. SuggestedRemedy Option 1: no change to silicon: Add text to 82.2.17 line 33 "However, the BIP error count according to 82.2.14 is the preferred measure for BER." At 82.2.14 line 14, add "The BIP error count determines the BER for compliance purposes.". Option 2: To bring the definition of BER in scrambled idle test pattern mode in line with the
Cl 82 SC 82.2.14 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E BIP3 should have a sul SuggestedRemedy Change the 3 in BIP3 t		L 14	# 460	expected de-facto definition of errors in service, it would be desirable to change: "When operating in scrambled idle test pattern, the test-pattern error counter counts blocks with a mismatch. Any mismatch indicates an error and shall increment the test-pattern error counter." to "When operating in scrambled idle test pattern, the test-pattern error counter counts BIP errors according to 82.2.14.". There may be consequential changes to wording in Clause 45.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl	82
SC	82.2.17

Page 50 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:51 PM

C/ 82 SC 82.2.17 Anslow, Peter	P181 Nortel Network	L 38 s	# 461	<i>CI 82</i> Anslow, Pe		82.2.18.2.1	P182 Nortel Netv	L18 vorks	# 462
descrambler", but But acc are the sync bits checked cover the same bits we sl	Comment Status X idle test-pattern checker ob cording to Figure 82-4, the s if or errors or not? To make hould explicitly include the s obvious. See associated pr	ync bits bypa this checker a ync bits. Also	ss the descrambler. So, and the BIP checker the relationship between	betwee Suggested	er insta en "orde Remed	ered" and ": <i>ly</i>	Comment Status X ocal Fault ordered set" ir set" ered set" to "Local Fault		ave an underscore
	dle test-pattern checker obso			Proposed I	Respon	ISE	Response Status O		
detected." to "the scramb output from the descramb	utput of the descrambler is led idle test-pattern checker bler. When the sync header	observes the and the output	sync header and the to f the descrambler is	<i>Cl</i> 82 Anslow, Pe		82.2.18.2.2	P182 Nortel Netv	L 30 vorks	# 463
error multiplication character estimated by dividing the 82.2.14: "The incoming b	the all idle pattern, a match is detected." add at the end of this paragraph, "Because of the error multiplication characteristics of the descrambler, the incoming bit error ratio can be estimated by dividing the 66-bit block error ratio by a factor of 124." Also, add at the end of 82.2.14: "The incoming bit error ratio can be estimated by dividing the BIP block error ratio by a factor of 1 081 344."					so should	Comment Status X o "where x=0:3 for 40GB be in italic font. Also app " <x>" is Arial 8 pt (Shou</x>	olies to other insta	
	Response Status O				x" in ita	lic font. 8 ii	nstances on this page, 4 s in Figure 82-11, use co		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P182 ZTE Corp.	L 6	# 203	Proposed	Respon	ise	Response Status O		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

This comment is against the whole subclause 82.2.18.2(1) Each variabel seems to have a different style of definition, which impairs reading and complicates analysis - please make them consistent.(2) To simplify analysis of state diagrams, it would be nice to include variable type information and its size as well. (3) What is "Boolean indication" ? Do you mean "Boolean flag" ?(4) definitio of am_status is less than readable - please consider using an equation if needed(5) in am_valid - who is this "we" ??(6) general comment: when number of bits is used as an adjective, it shoul dbe hyphenated e.g. 66-bit variable. Please scrube the draft for such occurrences(7) "66b" should be replaced with "66-bit"

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Proposed Response R

Response Status **O**

	"x" in italic font.		1 0		82-7, 8 instances i ' <x>" in "am_lock<></x>
Proposed	Response	Respons	e Status O		
CI 82	SC 82.2.18.	2.2	P182	L 45	# 359
Frazier, H	oward M		Broadcom		
Comment	51		nt Status X	h markar ta ava	ect on which PCS la

Delete the sentence. The information is already conveyed by the text of 82.2.1, page 169 line 10.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.2

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.2 P183 L12 # 286 Dawe, Piers J G Independant	C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.4 P185 L 25 # 464 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Comment Type TR Comment Status X To future-proof the PCS, repeat the error propagation analysis for worst CRn, 25G lanes and 40G lanes, not just example (not worst) KR error propagation statistics. Remember	Comment Type T Comment Status X This is now a 22 bit counter (see response to comment 217 against D 2.2). Note that there is another comment to correct Table 45-96a
that unlike KR, CRn is for multi-vendor use, not just for closed systems, and "adequate" MTTFPA must be VERY good indeed. A packet falsely accepted is a much more serious issue than a dropped packet.	SuggestedRemedy Change "A 20-bit counter that counts" to "A 22-bit counter that counts" and change "and
SuggestedRemedy Find the MTTFPA at the hi_ber limit using conservative estimates for error propagation, for CRn, 25G lanes, and 40G lanes. If necessary, change the hi_ber limit by changing the ber cnt limit.	3.44.13:0" to "and 3.44.15:0" Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.4 P 185 L 25 # 106 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste
2/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.3 P184 L 23 # 204 ajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.	Comment TypeTComment StatusXThis says ber_count is 20 bits but Clause 45 in 45.2.3.16a on page 75 line 5 says the counter is 22 bits.
Comment Type T Comment Status X two sync bits bypass the scrambler > should read "two bits of the sync header bypass the scrambler" - it is not clear what these sync bits are., Sync header however is quite well defined.	SuggestedRemedy Please chack whether this counter is 20 or 22 bits and reconcile with Clause 45. If it is 22 bits also need to change 3.44.13:0 to 3.44.15:0 Also regardless of counter size add 3.44.?:0 to BER entry in Table 82-7.
SuggestedRemedy per comment	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.4 P 185 L 31 # 465 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Anslow, Peter Anslow, Peter<
C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.3 P 184 L 40 # 205 Jajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.	Comment Type T Comment Status X This is now a 22 bit counter (see 45.2.3.16b). Note that there is another comment to correct Table 82-7
Comment Type T Comment Status X A valid control character is one containing a control code specified in Table 821.change to "Valid control characters are specified in Table 821."	SuggestedRemedy Change "8-bit counter." to "A 22-bit counter." and change "MDIO register bits 3.33.7:0." to "MDIO register bits 3.33.7:0 and 3.45.13:0"
SuggestedRemedy Table 82-1 defines clearly what they are composed of. No need to redefine. Per comment.	Proposed Response Response Status O

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.4

		02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	id 100Gb/	s Ethernet com	iments		Sponsor ballo
Р 20 ZTE Corp.	L 185	# 168	C/ 82 Hajduczer	SC 82.2.18.3 nia, Marek	<i>P</i> ZTE Corp.	L186	# 177
ub the draft accordingly. S	imilarly, "64b" sł	nould be "64-bit".	Note each the m 822	that the BIP3 and other or the tables arkers when matc for 100GBASE-R	change to "Note that the BIP: hing markers to each other o	3 and BIP7 field r to possible val	s are excluded from
				•			
Р 22 ZTE Corp.	L185	# 170	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
r is in normal mode, errore is in normal mode, this 8- hen the receiver is in test- punts" > "When the receive	d_block_count c bit counter coun battern mode, th	counts once for each ts once for each e	Comment PCS 100G match for 40 Suggester Per c	<i>Type</i> T lane the markers r BASE-R or Table one of the possib GBASE-R and ma <i>dRemedy</i> comment	823 for 40GBASE-Rchange ble values specified in Table 8	to read "PCS la 322 for 100GB	ane, the markers must ASE-R or Table 823
k window - how is this valu	L185 ie set? Perhaps	# 171	Comment In acc regist Suggeste Add ti for a l locket	<i>Type</i> T cordance with comers for debug purp <i>dRemedy</i> the following paragene, it shall record d PCS lane in the	Comment Status X ment HB_18, it would be use boses. raph: When the PCS alignment the PMA service interface la	ful to include a sent marker lock in number that	process achieves lock corresponds to the
	ZTE Corp. Comment Status X rub the draft accordingly. S Response Status O P22 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X zero if a" > "This counter i r is in normal mode, errore r is in normal mode, errore r is in normal mode, this 8- then the receiver is in test-p ounts" > "When the receiver Response Status O P34 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X	ZTE Corp. Comment Status X rub the draft accordingly. Similarly, "64b" shows Response Status O P22 L185 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X zero if a" > "This counter is always reset were is in normal mode, errored_block_count or is in normal mode, this 8-bit counter count when the receiver is in test-pattern mode, the ounts" > "When the receiver is in test-pattern mode, the ounts" > "Test-pattern mode, the ounts" > "When the receiver is in test-pattern mode, the ounts" > "When the receiver is in test-pattern mode, the ounts" > "When the receiver is in test-pattern mode, the ounts" > "When the receiver is in test-pattern mode, the ounts outs" > "When the receiver is in test-patt	$ZTE Corp.$ Comment Status X tub the draft accordingly. Similarly, "64b" should be "64-bit". Response Status 0 $P2$ $L185$ $P2$ $L185$ T_{2} Corp. Comment Status X zero if a" > "This counter is always reset when a"(2) "8-bit r is in normal mode, errored_block_count counts once for each the neceiver is in test-pattern mode, the outer's bit counter counts once for each the neceiver is in test-pattern mode, the should be	ZTE Corp. Hajduczer Comment Status X Comment rub the draft accordingly. Similarly, "64b" should be "64-bit". Note each rub the draft accordingly. Similarly, "64b" should be "64-bit". Note each Response Status O Suggeste P22 L 185 # 170 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X C/ 82 Zero if a" > "This counter is always reset when a"(2) "8-bit Hajduczer r is in normal mode, errored_block_count counts once for each PCS I hen the receiver is in test-pattern mode, the 0 ounts" > "When the receiver is in test-pattern mode, this 16-bit PCS I Response Status O C/ 82 Response Status X C/ 82 Comment Status X C/ 82 Response Status O C/ 82 Barrass, H T1 Cl 82 Barrass, F Comment Status X Comment Ck window - how is this value set? Perhaps a reference would In acc regist Suggeste Add tt for a 1 locker Add tt	ZTE Corp. Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Status X Tub the draft accordingly. Similarly, "64b" should be "64-bit". Note that the BIP3 and each other or the tables the markers when mate ach other or the tables and each other or the tables the markers when mate 822 for 100GBASE-R Response Status O SuggestedRemedy P22 L185 # 170 ZTE Corp. This counter is always reset when a"(2) "8-bit r is in normal mode, etnis 8-bit counter counts once for each net nerceiver is in test-pattern mode, the ounts" > "When the receiver is in test-pattern mode, the ounts" > "When the receiver is in test-pattern mode, this 16-bit C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3 Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Per comment P234 L185 # 171 C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3 Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response P34 L185 # 171 C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3 Response Status X Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Status X Ck window - how is this value set? Perhaps a reference would Cl 82 SC 82.2.18.3 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T In accordance with com registers for debug purp suggestedRemedy Response Status O Add the following parag for a lane, it shall record locked PCS lane in the<	ZTE Corp. Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. Comment Status X Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from each other and are not fields excluded processible values specified in Table E for 40GBASE-R or Tables Ex-3 for 40GBASE-R or Table Ex-3 for 40GBASE-R or Ta	ZTE Corp. Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Status X Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from the markers we matching markers to each other or the tableschange to "Note that the BIP3 and BIP7 fields are excluded from the markers we matching markers to each other or to possible values are or 100GBASE-R. or Table 82-3 for 40GBASE-R." Response Status O SuggestedRemedy P22 L185 # 170 ZTE Corp. Comment Tstatus X Comment Status X Comment Status X zero if a's "This counter is always reset when a"(2) "8-bit ris in normal mode, this 5-bit counter counts once for each hen the receiver is in test-pattern mode, this 16-bit Case SC 82.2.18.3 P10 L186 P34 L185 # 171 Comment Type T Comment Status X Response Status O P34 L185 # 171 Comment Status X Comment Status X Pice comment Response Status X Comment Status X Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Type T Response Status O T Comment Type T Comment Status X Response Status O T Comment Type T Comment Ha 16, Status X In accordance with comme

C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3

Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb	's and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments	Sponsor ballo
C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3 P186 L 8 # 287 Dawe, Piers J G Independant	C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3 P 34 L 186 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. ZTE Corp. L 186 L 186	# 173
Comment Type T Comment Status X Here, each PCS lane carries a stream of bits (like the PMA), it's not yet "data" before the PCS manipulates it. SuggestedRemedy Change "received data stream for a given PCS lane" to "received bit stream for a given PCS lane". Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type T Comment Status X as specified in these state diagrams. > "as specified in the respective st SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Response Status O	ate diagrams."
Cl 82 SC 82.2.18.3 P190 L13 # 279 Muller, Shimon Sun Microsystems Sun Microsystems Comment Type ER Comment Status X The am_invld_cnt variable assignment is state AM_RESET_CNT seems to be garbled. SuggestedRemedy Replace "am" and "nvld_cnt <= 0" with "am_invld_cnt <= 0".	Cl 82 SC 82.2.18.3 P 54 L 185 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. Comment Type T Comment Status X It is not 'sync field' but 'sync header', which has been in use in previous Scrub the draft, since this new term is used in several other locations. SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O	# 169
Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 82 SC 82.2.18.3 P 22 L 186 # 172 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. T Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X 1.25ms is used and in some other locations, the same value is referred to as "1250us" - use one base unit consistently. SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 82 SC 82.2.2 P 169 L 35 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. Comment Type T Comment Status X Change "provided by the rules in" to "defined in" SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Response Status O	# [<u>178</u>

C/ **82** SC **82.2.2**

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet c	comments	S	ponsor ballot
C/ 82 SC 82.2.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 169 ZTE Corp.	L 39	# 179	C/ 82 SC 82.2.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 269 ZTE Corp.	L 52 #	181
	·				Comment Status X should be rewritten. It is clear ho possible to define clearly what the Response Status O		
	P169 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X bit positions to XLGMII/CGM hip of block bit positions rela			TxB<131> and simil This bit numbering v another, but is inapp for Figure 82-4. SuggestedRemedy	2 P173 Nortel Networks Comment Status X its of inst:IS_UNITDATA_1.reques arly for inst:IS_UNITDATA_3.reques vould be appropriate for a serial in propriate where the lanes are sent s to be from TxB<0> to TxB<65> in Response Status 0	s st are shown as TxB<66 uest, inst:IS_UNITDAT/ nterface where one bloc t in parallel at the same	A_19.request. k is sent after
mapped. Note that the sy scramblerchange to "8 d control characters are m 64B/66B encoder and by	P170 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X 3.3 for information on how bly ync header is generated by t ata octets. 82.2.3.3 contains apped (into what??). Note th ypass the scrambler"Also a oc cters are mapped " - it is not	he encoder and information on l at sync headers uestion: it says	bypasses the how blocks containing are generated by the that the "blocks	Cl 82 SC 82.2.3. Anslow, Peter Comment Type E Figure 82-3 appears SuggestedRemedy Correct the order of Proposed Response	Nortel Networks <i>Comment Status</i> X s on Page 173 after both Figures 8	s	455

Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 82 SC 82.2.3.2 Page 55 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:51 PM

Draft 3.0 Comment	S	IEEE P8	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s	Sponsor ballo			
C/ 82 SC 82.2.3.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 172 ZTE Corp.	L3	# 186	C/ 82 Hajduczer	SC 82.2.3.4 nia, Marek	P 172 ZTE Corp.	L 41	# 188
Comment Type T In Figure 82-5, what do the particular MII type i	Comment Status X bes the "Input data" mean? is t nterface ??	his the "xGMII o	data" as received from		ame set of contro	Comment Status X I characters are supported control characters can be		
SuggestedRemedy Please consider chang	ing the name "Input Data" to "	Data from CGN	1II/XLGMII"	Suggeste Per co	<i>dRemedy</i> omment.			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.3.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 172 ZTE Corp.	L 3 1	# 187	C/ 82 Hajduczer	SC 82.2.3.4 nia, Marek	P 172 ZTE Corp.	L 46	# 189
	Comment Status X nould be modified to a shall sta oding ever takes place in a cor			Suggester Pleas	7-bit C code w dRemedy	Comment Status X nat is a C code and where i ence to where such codes a Response Status O		
Proposed Response C/ 82 SC 82.2.3.3 Anslow, Peter	Response Status O P172 Nortel Network	L 33	# 453	,	SC 82.2.3.4 nia, Marek	P 172 ZTE Corp.	L 54	# 190
Comment Type T The "PCS" is a sublaye	Comment Status X er and hence cannot be "mapp	ed".			ain the Hamming	Comment Status X distance: 0x00, 0x2D, 0x33 ance: 0x00, 0x2D, 0x33 and		e to "maintain the
0 11 0	of 40GBASE-R PCS into OPL		11 0	Suggester per co	dRemedy omment			
PCS from being mappe	ks into OPU3 specified". Also ed" to "may prevent 40GBASE been added to the Bibliograph	-R PCS blocks	from being mapped".	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		

Proposed Response Response Status 0

CI 82 SC 82.2.3.4 Page 56 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:51 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 82 SC 82.2.3.4 Gustlin, Mark	P 173 Cisco System	L 1 ns, Inc.	# 3	C/ 82 Hajduczenia,	SC 82.2.3.7 Marek	P 174 ZTE Corp.	L 48	# 193
Comment Type E Figure 82-3 is out of ord	Comment Status X				ment is applic	Comment Status X cable to Clause 82.2.3.7/8/9/1		
uggestedRemedy Put the figure in order. Proposed Response	Response Status O			interface the"shoul page 175 sets shall	names)? (2) I d read "In bot 'and shall de be deleted."(with Clause 49 apart from the In 82.2.3.10, line 26, page 175 th the 64B/66B encoder and c lete only one of the two."shou (4) in 82.2.3.9, line 21, page 1 Signal ordered_sets shall not	5"For both the e lecoder, the"(3) ld read "and one 75"Signal order	ncoder and decoder, in 82.2.3.9, line 20, e of the two ordered ed_sets are not deleted
2 SC 82.2.3.5 lajduczenia, Marek	P 174 ZTE Corp.	L9	# 191	SuggestedRe per comm	medy	. _		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status 0		
control character contai	contains an invalid value (on ns a value not in Table 821 e (one not included in Figure cluded in Table 821."	1.change to read	d "b) The block type field	<i>Cl</i> 82 Hajduczenia,	SC 82.2.4 Marek	P 175 ZTE Corp.	L 33	# 200
uggestedRemedy Per comment					MII/CGMII da	Comment Status X ata transfer is encoded into ea ansfer is encoded into one 66-		e to read "One
roposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRe per comm				
X 82 SC 82.2.3.6 lajduczenia, Marek	P 174 ZTE Corp.	L 27	# 192	Proposed Res		Response Status 0		
used in the same claus either Idle, idle or idle c	Comment Status X s definition of control codes. e? If so, please make it cons haracter is used. Is this the s i? Be consistent at least acro Response Status O	sistent. (2) in su same ? If so, wh	bsequent sections, ny multiply names for	Hajduczenia, Comment Typ There are to handlin to accom make sur	be TR sufficient idle g clock comp modate the ir e that there is marker. How medy	P175 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X es to delete in order to make to pensation. Idles or sequence of isertion of the 66b alignment to s enough idle between subsect v is that achieved? There is no	ordered sets are markers.This me quent frames to	e removed, if necessary eans that MAC must
				Proposed Res		Response Status O		

C/ 82 SC 82.2.4

Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments							
C/ 82 SC 82.2.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 175 ZTE Corp.	L 50	# 202	C/ 82 SC 82.2.7 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 176 ZTE Corp.	L	# 198
	Comment Status X in Clause 49, see 49.2.6 for th used in 10GBASE-R, see 49.		ne scramblerchange to		Comment Status X arkers are removed before dec "and the alignment markers are vive PCS."		
per comment				SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Per comment			
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.6 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 176 ZTE Corp.	L 24	# 194	C/ 82 SC 82.2.7 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 176 ZTE Corp.	L 3 1	# 196
Comment Type T	Comment Status X						
and 20 for 40GBASE-I	not clear howe much is "n" in R and 100GBASE-R respectiv nt. (2) also change caption of	ely? Add a com	ment to the figure with	interrupt any data tra	Comment Status X pt any transfer that is already on sfer that is already in progress		d "Such blocks
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy Per comment			
Per comment Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
					D		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.6	P 176 Nortel Network	L 5	# 456	C/ 82 SC 82.2.7 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 176 ZTE Corp.	L 33	# 197
Anslow, Peter Comment Type E	Comment Status X	(S		Comment Type T periodically deleting I	Comment Status X PG from the XLGMII/CGMII da	ita stream this	is the only location
	nnex 83A for XLAUI/CAUI			where IPG delection welcome	function is mentioned at all. So	me more details	would be more than
SuggestedRemedy Change "(see Annex 8	3A)" to "(see Annex 83A and a	Annex 83B)"		SuggestedRemedy Per comment			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		

C/ **82** SC **82.2.7** Page 58 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:51 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 82 SC 82.2.7 Trowbridge, Stephen	P176 ALCATEL-LUC	L 48 ENT	# 259	C/ 82 SC Hajduczenia, Ma	C 82.2.7 arek	P 176 ZTE Corp.	L 54	# 199
0	Comment Status X lane n" should be "PCS lane n-	1"		Comment Type data before performed.		Comment Status X g is performed. change to re	ad "data lanes l	before descrambling is
SuggestedRemedy per comment Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedReme per commer Proposed Respo	e <i>dy</i> nt	Response Status O		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.7 Anslow, Peter	P 176 Nortel Network	L 48 s	# 457	C/ 82 SC Hajduczenia, Ma	82.2.7	P 177 ZTE Corp.	L 32	# 206
numbered from 0 to r SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X e markers are numbered from 0 in contrast to Figure 82-8 wher e the highest numbered PCS lar	e they are 0 to	n-1	0 1	is presented edy	Comment Status X 82-9 to read "Alignment m in the figure.	arker structure"	- this seems to better
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Respo	onse	Response Status 0		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.7 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 176 ZTE Corp.	L 5 1	# 195	C/ 82 SC Hajduczenia, Ma	C 82.2.7 arek	P 177 ZTE Corp.	L 42	# 207
correct location and f	Comment Status X s the text block into two, please x settings for orpahns on this pa arket insertion function"			is used in Fi "After the al	igure 82-9. Si ignment mark narkers are in edy	Comment Status X ample shown in ine 42 was milar comment about exam kers are added, the data is s serted, data is sent to PMA	ple on page 179 sent to the PMA), line 36(2) In line 44,
				Proposed Respo		Response Status O		

C/ 82 SC 82.2.7

Draft 3.0 Comments	3	IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments							
C/ 82 SC 82.2.7 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 178 ZTE Corp.	L 3	# 208	<i>Cl</i> 82 SC Hajduczenia, Ma	82.2.8 rek	P 179 ZTE Corp.	L 2	# 210	
Comment Type T In table 82-2, note (a) is after the word "Encodin	Comment Status X s added only to column 2 and g".	should be also	added to column 4,		ld is a bit inter	Comment Status X leaved parity calculation.c ed parity calculation."	change to read '	The BIP3 field contains	
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				SuggestedReme Per commen	-				
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Respo	nse F	Response Status O			
<i>Cl</i> 82 SC 82.2.8 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 178 ZTE Corp.	L 50	# 209	Cl 82 SC Hajduczenia, Ma	82.2.8 rek	P 179 ZTE Corp.	L 44	# 212	
to update error counters field is added to each P accurate and fast detern is only used to update e	e bit error ratio of a given PCS s, no state machines use this CS Lane alignment marker o mination of the bit error ratio o error counters. No state mach elds are quite spaced apart, th ms of efficiency.	information."sh n positions 3 ar on a given PCS ines use this in	ould read as"A BIP nd 7. This allows Lane. This information formation." (2)	SuggestedReme Per commen Proposed Respo	<i>dy</i> t	pace, and does not bring Response Status O			
Per comment Proposed Response	Response Status O								
<i>Cl</i> 82 SC 82.2.8 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 179 ZTE Corp.	L12	# [<u>211</u>]						
Comment Type T Table 82-4 probably rep "assigned 66b word bits	Comment Status X presents "BIP3 bit assignments" are ? There is no clear desu provide a clear example for th	cription how BI							
SuggestedRemedy Per comment									
Proposed Response	Response Status 0								

C/ 82 SC 82.2.8

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 82 SC 82.3.1 P187 L1	3 # 466	C/ 82 SC 82.4	P188	L3	# 468
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks		Anslow, Peter	Nortel Networ		
Comment Type T Comment Status X		Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
The names of the MDIO registers and variables in Tables 82 those in clause 45.	-6 and 82-7 do not match	register is set to a log	shall be placed in Loopback m ic one.", which is different from	n the style used i	n subclause 82.2.17
SuggestedRemedy		•	(even though Table 82.6 provi	ides this informat	tion).
In Table 82-6: Change "Control register 1" to "PCS control 1 register" (2 pla In Table 82-7: Change the name of register 3.32 to "BASE-R and 10GBASE	,		e 45 MDIO is implemented, th a the Loopback bit from the PC		
places) Change "10/40/100GBASE-R and 10GBASE-T receive link s 10GBASE-T receive link status"	status" to "BASE-R and	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Change "10/40/100GBASE-R and 10GBASE-T PCS high BE T PCS high BER"	ER" to "BASE-R and 10GBASE-	C/ 82 SC 82.4	P 3	L188	# 174
Change "Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status register 1	and 2" to "Multi-lane BASE-R	Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
PCS alignment status 1 and 2 registers" Change "Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status register 3	3 and 4" to "Multi-lane BASE-R	Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
Change "Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status register 1 alignment status 1 register" Change "10/40/100GBASE-R and 10GBASE-T PCS status 2 10GBASE-T PCS status 2 register" (2 places) Change "BIP error counters" to "BIP error counter, lane x" (M Change "BIP error counter" to "BIP error counter, lane x register"	2 register" to "BASE-R and /IDIO status variable column)		it should be preceded with 'the I "loopback mode" is used with uses.		
		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
column) Change "3.90 through 3.99" to "3.90 through 3.109"		Floposed Response	Response Status 0		
Change "3.90 through 3.99" to "3.90 through 3.109"					
Change "3.90 through 3.99" to "3.90 through 3.109"		Cl 82 SC 82.6	P1	L 189	# [167
Change [®] "3.90 through 3.99" to "3.90 through 3.109" roposed Response Response Status O	5 # 467			L 189	# 167
Change "3.90 through 3.99" to "3.90 through 3.109" Proposed Response Response Status O	5 # <mark>467</mark>	C/ 82 SC 82.6	Р1	L 189	# 167
Change "3.90 through 3.99" to "3.90 through 3.109"Proposed ResponseResponse StatusO27 82SC 82.3.1P187L4nslow, PeterNortel NetworksComment TypeTComment StatusX		Cl 82 SC 82.6 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type TR	P1 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X ble test_sh seem to be never s		-
Change "3.90 through 3.99" to "3.90 through 3.109" Proposed Response Response Status O St 82 SC 82.3.1 P187 L4 Inslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X The ber_count uses bits 13:8 of register 3.33, but also bits 0	:15 of register 3.44	Cl 82 SC 82.6 Hajduczenia, Marek <i>Comment Type</i> TR In Figure 82-10, varial	P1 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X ble test_sh seem to be never s		-
Change "3.90 through 3.99" to "3.90 through 3.109" Proposed Response Response Status O 82 SC 82.3.1 P 187 L 4 Inslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X The ber_count uses bits 13:8 of register 3.33, but also bits 0. The errored_block_count uses bits 7:0 of register 3.33, but also bits 0. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy	:15 of register 3.44 also bits 0:13 of register 3.45	Cl 82 SC 82.6 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type TR In Figure 82-10, varia consistently in the sta SuggestedRemedy	P1 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X ble test_sh seem to be never s	set to true, even	though it is used
Change "3.90 through 3.99" to "3.90 through 3.109" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 82 SC 82.3.1 P187 L 4 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X The ber_count uses bits 13:8 of register 3.33, but also bits 0	:15 of register 3.44 also bits 0:13 of register 3.45	Cl 82 SC 82.6 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type TR In Figure 82-10, varial consistently in the sta SuggestedRemedy Either mark considitor	P1 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X ble test_sh seem to be never s te diagram	set to true, even	though it is used

Cl	82
SC	82.6

Draft 3.0 Comment	ts IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments							Sponsor ballot
C/ 82 SC 82.6 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 21 ZTE Corp.	L188	# 175	<i>Cl</i> 82 Anslow, Pe	SC 82.7.3	P 196 Nortel Networks	L11	# 471
	Comment Status X egotiation process defined in C atement altogether if it is mand		ndatory why not	the gro	rmat of "O.1" is oup of options la	Comment Status X explained in 21.6.2: "O. <n> optionable by the same numeral <n> y labelled with "O.1" so it doesn't</n></n>	is required". E	
Per comment.				Suggested				
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Either	change anothe	r PICS entry to "O.1" or make thi	s one "O"	
				Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 82 SC 82.7 Anslow, Peter	P195 Nortel Networks	L 1	# 469	<i>Cl</i> 82 Hajduczeni	SC 82.7.3	Р 6 ZTE Corp.	L 196	# 165
	Comment Status X if the Tables in the PICS section round the outer edge and betw es per the usual style.			Comment (1) Iten XGE), into se	<i>Type</i> T n XGE, what is 82.7.6.1 (items parate entries f	Comment Status X the "XLGMII/CGMII compatibility SM7, SM9, SM10, SM11), 82.7. or 40G and 100G interfaces, for Otherwise, it is not clear which ve	6.3 (item TIM)	1) should be separated er to be able to mark
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggested Per co				
C/ 82 SC 82.7 Anslow, Peter	P195 Nortel Networks	L 2	# 470	Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type E The title of subclause 8	Comment Status X 32.7 should contain the clause	82 title.		C/ 82 Dambrosia	SC 82.7.4.1 , John	P 196 Force 10 Netwo	L 33 rks Inc	# 620
	ing Sublayer (PCS) type 40GB			Comment T Items (51	Comment Status X Ild refer to 82.2.3.3, not 82.2.3		
"Physical Coding Suble the same change on lir	ayer (PCS) for 64B/66B, type 4 ne 37.	UGBASE-R and	d 100GBASE-R" make	Suggested	Remedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			modify	subclause # to	82.2.3.3		
				Proposed I	Response	Response Status 0		

C/ 82 SC 82.7.4.1 Page 62 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:51 PM

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P8	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ai	nd 100Gb/	s Ethernet co	omments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 82 SC 82.7.4.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P1 ZTE Corp.	L 197	# 166	<i>CI</i> 83 Hajduczei	SC 83 nia, Marek	P 1 ZTE Corp.	L 201	# 164
- · · · /	Comment Status X gure should be Figure(2) Tal 4	ble formating is	s incorrect (line width) -		51	Comment Status X nould read "83. Physical Medium / NGBASE-R"	Attachment (PM/	A) sublayer, type
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				Suggeste Per c	<i>dRemedy</i> omment			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
<i>Cl</i> 82 SC 82.7.6.1 Anslow, Peter	P 199 Nortel Networks	L 7	# 472	<i>Cl</i> 83 Turner, E	SC 83 dward J	P 216 Gnodal Limited	L 49	# 230
PICS entries SM1 and SM and 100GBASE-R must b SuggestedRemedy Change the PICS by addit to match the PMA format) for example). Then make	Comment Status X A2 are both shown as "M" whoe implemented. Also applies ng 40GBASE-R and 100GB/) in the "Major capabilities/op PICS entries that are 40GB/ -R start with "PCS100:". e.g.	Sto SM4, SM5, ASE-R as optic tions" table (se ASE-R specific	, SM8, SM9. ons (*PCS40, *PCS100 ee 88.12.3 *LR4, *ER4 : start with "PCS40:"	Suggeste Add li	83-4. No line a dRemedy	Comment Status X t the bottom of the table. table as per other tables split over Response Status O	er pages	
SM1, SM2, SM4, SM5, SM	M8, SM9.			C/ 83	SC 83	P 219	L 3	# 231
Proposed Response	Response Status 0	L12	# 104	Turner, Eo <i>Comment</i> Table	Туре Е	Gnodal Limited Comment Status X of PICS table is not the same as i	n other clauses.	
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Design		# 104	Suggeste				
<i>,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Comment Status X					e table border and around the title es in the other clauses. Apply to F		
In AM_RESET_CNT state SuggestedRemedy am_invld_cnt <= 0	e am_invld_cnt is not written	correctly		Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							

CI 83 SC 83

Draft 3.0 Commen	ts	IEEE P8	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	and 100Gb/s Ethernet	comments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 83 SC 83 Dudek, Michael	P 380 QLogic Corpor	L 25 ation	# 848	C/ 83 SC 83 Turner, Edward J	P 392 Gnodal Limiter	<i>L</i> 4 d	# 242
Comment Type TR This is actually in 83A means!!)	Comment Status X . "x is max rise/fall time in ps" i	s not explicit. (I	don't know what it	Comment Type E Table line thickness	Comment Status X and style of PICS table is not sa	ame as in othe	clauses.
SuggestedRemedy	aning change to "x is the rise o	r fall time in ps	whichever is larger"		r the table border and around the other clauses. Also apply to othe		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 83 SC 83 Dudek, Michael	P 383 QLogic Corpor	L 36 ation	# 849	CI 83 SC 83 Dudek, Michael	P 397 QLogic Corpo	L10 ration	# 851
characteristics of the tolerate.	Comment Status X c common mode voltage and in receiver they are properties of t parameters AC common mod <i>Response Status</i> O	he signal that t	he receiver must	CR4/10 and nppi. T the 83B loss budge connector loss of 2 than we should con <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change the max co	Comment Status X . The connector loss is unnecess he loss budget for 83A is 12.38 of t should be this much smaller. Th 38 dB however that would be a h sider using.	IB and there is his budget alon forrible connec as assumed wor	n't a good reason why e would allow a tor and probably worse st case in 85A.4). If this
C/ 83 SC 83 Dudek, Michael	P 387 QLogic Corpor	L23	# 850	MCB and a better of	gesting a change to figure 83B-7 uality connector should be used		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X	allon		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
This is actually 83A . I SuggestedRemedy Change "an Xlaui" to ' Proposed Response	Ū			Cl 83 SC 83 Dudek, Michael Comment Type T This is actually 83B HCB PCB SuggestedRemedy Delete "Up to" for th	P 398 QLogic Corpor <i>Comment Status</i> X The HCB now has a reference to the HCB PCB.		# 852

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	ind 100Gb/	s Ethernet co	mments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 83 SC 83 Dudek, Michael	P 399 QLogic Corporat	L 36 on	# 853	<i>Cl</i> 83 Turner, Ed	SC 83 dward J	P 407 Gnodal Limited	L 4	# 243
This is actually in 83B. The MCB PCB SuggestedRemedy Delete "Up to" for the MCB	Comment Status X MCB now has a reference PCB. Pesponse Status O	oss. It shouldr	n't say "Up to" for the	Suggester Use ti as pe	line thickness a <i>dRemedy</i> hicker lines for th	Comment Status X nd style of PICS table is not sar he table border and around the t her clauses. Also apply to other Response Status O	itle cells and th	in lines between cells,
C/ 83 SC 83 Dudek, Michael	P 402 QLogic Corporat	L 9 on	# 854	C/ 83 Hajduczer	SC 83.1.1 nia, Marek	P 10 ZTE Corp.	L 201	# 156
)	Comment Status X s max rise/fall time in ps" is i	not explicit. (I d	don't know what it			Comment Status X - remove - this is unnecessary D family names.	since the trans	mission rate can be
SuggestedRemedy With one potential meaning	g change to "x is the rise or f	all time in ps v	whichever is larger"	Suggeste Per c	<i>dRemedy</i> omment			
Proposed Response R	Pesponse Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 83 SC 83 Dudek, Michael	P 404 QLogic Corporat	L 13 on	# 855	C/ 83 Hajduczer	SC 83.1.1 nia, Marek	P 14 ZTE Corp.	L 201	# 157
	Comment Status X figure doesn't show the corr	ect eye mask	and doesn't give the		21	Comment Status X the PMA defined here.change t lause".	to read"Physica	al Layers using the
SuggestedRemedy Replace "defined in figure 8	33A-9" with "illustrated in fig	Ire 834-8 with	the values for X1_X2	Suggeste Per ci	<i>dRemedy</i> omment			
Y1 and Y2 given in Table 8					Response	Response Status 0		

C/ 83 SC 83.1.1 Page 65 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:51 PM

Draft 3.0 Commen	ts	IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/	/s Ethernet co	mments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 83 SC 83.1.1 Anslow, Peter	P 201 Nortel Networks	L 20	# 473	C/ 83 Hajducze	SC 83.1.3 mia, Marek	Р 34 ZTE Corp.	L 202	# 162
100GBÁSE-SR10 PM XLPPI and CPPI are of SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X al instantiation of the PMD servic Ds, known as XLPPI and CPPI, a optional.	are defined in	Annex 86A." But,	refere This illustr such	text in the section ences to some no is confusing, unle rative numbers, e numbers, please	Comment Status X a, as well as in this Clause and umbers, p and q. In other place ess one set of illustrative number a.g. 'm' and 'n'. See Figure 83-3 e put the in italics, to make sure background text. Otherwise it	s, numbers 'z', 'r ers is used. Try t as an example. that they actual	n' and 'n' are used. to use a single set of Also, when using ly can be
Proposed Response	Response Status O			00	edRemedy comment.			
C/ 83 SC 83.1.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 22 ZTE Corp.	L 201	# 158	Proposed	d Response	Response Status O		
	Comment Status X as for other PMDs are defined abs other PMDs are defined in an abs Response Status O			Commen What Suggeste	t kind of function	P 46 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X is "tolerate Skew Variation" ? T	L 201 This is a requiem	# 1 <u>60</u> ent for PMA.
C/ 83 SC 83.1.2	P29	L 201	# [159		comment d Response	Response Status 0		
Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T	ZTE Corp. Comment Status X			<i>CI</i> 83 Hajducze	SC 83.1.4 enia, Marek	Р 35 ZTE Corp.	L 203	# 163
	ick of this subclause is also used e invented in this clase? Use som use 87 or 86.			PMA ident	have PCLs, we s lanes. The term	Comment Status X should also have PMLs, and als 'lane' is used extensivelt in the clauses are used. In that case, a uses.	se clauses as we	ell, without clear
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggeste	edRemedy comment.			
				Proposed	d Response	Response Status O		

C/ **83** SC **83.1.4** Page 66 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:51 PM

Draft 3.0 Comment	S	IEEE P8	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/	s Ethernet co	mments		Spor	nsor ballot
C/ 83 SC 83.1.4 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 50 ZTE Corp.	L 201	# 161	C/ 83 Barrass, I	SC 83.5.10 Hugh	P2 Cisco	13 L Systems, Inc.	11 # 74	3
within the IEEE 802.3	Comment Status X tt" ? Usually this clasue is called architecture" or something alike. something - otherwise there is r Response Status O	What contex		Suggeste Chan p.216	ge register addre d <i>Remedy</i> ge register addre	Comment Status sses according to HE sses (currently 1.307 Response Status	3_12) to 1.1500 - 7 ir	nstances. Also in Tabl	le 83-3,
C/ 83 SC 83.5.1	P 207 Nortel Networks	L 45	# 474	<i>Cl</i> 83 Anslow, F	SC 83.5.10 Peter	P 2 Norte Comment Status	I Networks	22 # 48	2
(XLAUI/CAUI), the PM. Annex 83B as appropr other than XLAUI/CAU SuggestedRemedy Change "is physically i instantiated as XLAUI/	Comment Status X ice between the PMA client and A shall meet the electrical and ti ate." Which implies that if it is p I, it would still have to comply with the stantiated (XLAUI/CAUI), the P CAUI, the PMA shall". Also on lin the PMA shall" to "physically in Response Status O	ming specifica nysically insta th Annex 83A MA shall" to " ne 47 change	ations in Annex 83A or antiated as something a or 83B. 'is physically "physically instantiated	"PRB instar "TX_I <i>Suggeste</i> Since varial 46 th	S_TX_check_en aces total) do not PRBS_gen_enab <i>dRemedy</i> the variables us bles in Table 83-2	match the variable n le" etc. ed elsewhere in the c	eck_enable" use ames in Table 8 lause are "PRB d in the text. Also variable names.	ed on pages 213 and	ne 4
C/ 83 SC 83.5.10 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T This says "accessible t	P213 Nortel Networks Comment Status X hrough the PRBS pattern testing t pattern ability register.	L 10	# 481						
SuggestedRemedy Change "accessible th through the Test patter	rough the PRBS pattern testing								

CI 83 SC 83.5.10 Page 67 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:52 PM

289

C/ 83	SC 83.5.10	P 213	L 24	# 288	C/ 83	SC	83.5.10	P 213	L 41	# 2
Dawe, Pie	ers J G	Independant			Dawe, Pie	ers J G		Independant		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status X		
very c to tes	lifficult to implement	e random is not a good ide a true random number ger omness is not the point, an	nerator and very	/ difficult or impossible	counti factor compa	ing Ethe ies hav atible) p	ernet-enco e the PRB pattern in o	I testing options that are pre- oded signal for several reaso S31-aware BERTs already. complete hosts to assure sig	ons, e.g. provide Need to run the gnal integrity in s	s controlled SAME (fact situ. Desirab
Suggeste	dRemedy							nt and host, not just take mo variety of scenarios, should		
Chang	J -					CS lane			generate per prij	yoloar larie a
		talk, it is highly recommen e generated from independ			Suggestee	dReme	dy			
		en the PRBS31 sequence of					1 0	nning line 40 and top of page	, U	

to

"To avoid correlated crosstalk, it is highly recommended that the chance that the offset between the PRBS31 sequence on any lane and any other lane is less than 20 000 UI is zero, or no greater than would be the case if the PRBS31 patterns generated on each lane were generated from independent, random seeds."

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 83	SC 83.5.10	P 21	3 L 29	# 745
Barrass, I	Hugh	Cisco	Systems, Inc.	
Comment Chan		Comment Status sses according to HB_		
00	0 0	sses (currently 1.309)	to 1.1502 - 12 instance	es. Also in Table 83-2,
Proposed	l Response	Response Status	0	

esting or BIP ed overstress. actoryable to count ort multi-lane and check

anes" to "PCS lane" or PCS lanes". Change "Ln9_PRBS_TX_test_err_counter count" to "Ln19 PRBS TX test err counter count" and "Ln9 PRBS RX test err counter count" to "Ln19_PRBS_RX_test_err_counter count". Delete "Note that bit multiplexing of per-lane PRBS31 may produce a signal which is not meaningful for downstream sublayers."

Provide 20 PRBS31 error counters in each direction, one per PCS lane.

Add informative NOTE explaining that a 10G, 20G or 40G PRBS31 contains PCS lanes with PRBS31s with much more than 20,000 UI offset.

Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 83	SC 83.5.10	P 213	L 49	# 746
Barrass, H	Hugh	Cisco System	is, Inc.	
	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
Chan	ge register addre	sses according to HB_15		
• •				

SuggestedRemedy

Change register addresses (currently 1.310 -319) to 1.1600-1609. Also in Table 83-4, p.216

Proposed Response Response Status 0

CI 83 SC 83.5.10

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

<i>CI</i> 83 Anslow, Pete	SC 83.5.10 er	P 214 Nortel Network	L 36 s	# 484	<i>CI</i> 83 Anslow, P	SC 83.5.10 eter	P 214 Nortel Netw	L 42 orks	# 486
Comment Ty Register 1.307.12	, 1.307 is the "T	Comment Status X est pattern ability" register. Al	so, the "Squar	e wave test ability" bit is	from t	ays "Lanes for w	Comment Status X hich square wave is not ena g operations described in 8	3.5.2." But in test	ting, we want to be able
"is acces through t	"is accessible t ssible through t the Square way	hrough the square wave testin he Test pattern ability register ve test ability bit 1.307.12"			test pa be PR	attern is disabled BS31 or PRBS9 bed in 83.5.2" Si	s or PRBS31 on the other la l for all lanes", the behaviou) and may not be "normal o milar comment submitted a	r is determined b peration performi	y other registers (may
Proposed Re 	SC 83.5.10	Response Status 0 P 214 Nortel Network	L 38 s	# 485	Chang norma patteri disable	the last two se I data resulting f as as determined ad for all lanes, t	entences to "Lanes for which rom the bit multiplexing ope d by other registers. When t he PMA will perform norma transmit test patterns as de	rations described ransmit square w operation perfor	d in 83.5.2 or test vave test pattern is ming bit multiplexing as
	s "are accessit	Comment Status X ble through square wave testir esting control and status" reg			Proposed	•	Response Status O		
of Table SuggestedRe	4565b emedy				C/ 83 Anslow, Po		P 214 Nortel Netw	L 6 orks	# 483
Change f Proposed Re		ble through the square wave t Response Status O	esting control a	and status register"	Ln9_F	RX test pattern e RBS_RX_test_e	Comment Status X rror counters Ln0_PRBS_R error_counter in count, per la RX_test_error_counter"		
C/ 83 Barrass, Hug	SC 83.5.10 gh	P 214 Cisco Systems	L 39 , Inc.	# 744	Suggested Delete	-			
Comment Ty Change i	,	Comment Status X ses according to HB_13			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
•	-	ses (currently 1.308) to 1.150	1 - 2 instances	. Also in Table 83-2,	<i>CI 83</i> Barrass, H	SC 83.5.10 lugh	P 214 Cisco Syste	L 8 ms, Inc.	# 747
p.215 Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status O			Comment Chang		Comment Status X sses according to HB_16		
					Suggested Chang	•	sses (currently 1.320 -219)	to 1.1700-1709. /	Also in Table 83-4, p.21
					Proposed				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 83 SC 83.5.10 Page 69 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:52 PM

Draft 3.0 Commer	nts	IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s Eth	ernet comm	nents		Sponsor ballo
C/ 83 SC 83.5.10 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 29 ZTE Corp.	L 2 14	# 155	C/ 83 SC Anslow, Peter	83.5.2	P 209 Nortel Networks	L 26	# 476
is provided within the	Comment Status X ntended to be checked by extern PMA.change to "Note that PRE d no PRBS9 checking function	3S9 is intended t	to be checked by an		-6, the second ages have bit	Comment Status X d output lane from the left co s 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5	ontains bits 4.1	, 4.2, and 4.3, but the
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				00	-6, in the seco	and output lane from the left	change 4.1, 4	.2, and 4.3, to 4.3, 4.4
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Proposed Respo	onse	Response Status O		
C/ 83 SC 83.5.2	P 208	L17	# 475		83.5.2	P 209 Deutsche Telek	L 34	# 96
Anslow, Peter	Nortel Network	s		Braun, Ralf-Pete	er	Deutsche Teleki		
Comment Type E Space missing in "ou SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X tput lanes.If bit"	s		<i>Comment Type</i> There is a n The value of	T umbering misi	Comment Status X match. cond lane of the 4 Lane PMA		not correspond with
Comment Type E Space missing in "ou SuggestedRemedy Change "output lanes	Comment Status X	S		Comment Type There is a n The value of the related 1 SuggestedReme	T umbering mise 4.2 in the sec 0 Lane PMA	Comment Status X match. cond lane of the 4 Lane PMA Input value.		not correspond with
Comment Type E Space missing in "ou SuggestedRemedy Change "output lanes	Comment Status X tput lanes.If bit" s.If bit" to "output lanes. If bit"	S		Comment Type There is a n The value of the related 1 SuggestedReme	T umbering misi 4.2 in the sec 0 Lane PMA edy value from 4.2	Comment Status X match. cond lane of the 4 Lane PMA Input value.		not correspond with
Space missing in "ou SuggestedRemedy Change "output lanes Proposed Response Cl 83 SC 83.5.2 Braun, Ralf-Peter	Comment Status X tput lanes.If bit" s.If bit" to "output lanes. If bit" Response Status O P209 Deutsche Tele	L 25	# <u>95</u>	Comment Type There is a n The value of the related 1 SuggestedReme Change the Proposed Respo	T umbering misi 4.2 in the sed 0 Lane PMA edy value from 4.2	Comment Status X match. cond lane of the 4 Lane PMA Input value. 2 to 4.4. Response Status O P209	A Output does	not correspond with
Comment Type E Space missing in "ou SuggestedRemedy Change "output lanes Proposed Response Cl 83 SC 83.5.2 Braun, Ralf-Peter Comment Type T There is a numbering	Comment Status X tput lanes. If bit" s. If bit" to "output lanes. If bit" Response Status O P209 Deutsche Tele Comment Status X y mismatch. e second lane of the 4 Lane PM	<i>L</i> 25 kom AG		Comment Type There is a n The value of the related 1 SuggestedReme Change the Proposed Respo Cl 83 SC Braun, Ralf-Pete Comment Type There is a n	T umbering misi 4.2 in the sec 0 Lane PMA edy value from 4.2 onse 83.5.2 er T umbering misi	Comment Status X match. cond lane of the 4 Lane PMA Input value. 2 to 4.4. Response Status O P209 Deutsche Teleka Comment Status X match.	A Output does	# <u>97</u>
Comment Type E Space missing in "ou SuggestedRemedy Change "output lanes Proposed Response Cl 83 SC 83.5.2 Braun, Ralf-Peter Comment Type T There is a numbering The value of 4.3 in th the related 10 Lane F SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X tput lanes.If bit" s.If bit" to "output lanes. If bit" Response Status O P209 Deutsche Tele Comment Status X y mismatch. e second lane of the 4 Lane PM PMA Input value.	<i>L</i> 25 kom AG		Comment Type There is a n The value of the related 1 SuggestedReme Change the Proposed Respo Cl 83 SC Braun, Ralf-Pete Comment Type There is a n The value of the related 1	T umbering misi 4.2 in the sec 0 Lane PMA edy value from 4.2 onse 83.5.2 er T umbering misi 4.1 in the sec 0 Lane PMA	Comment Status X match. cond lane of the 4 Lane PMA Input value. 2 to 4.4. Response Status O P209 Deutsche Telek Comment Status X match. cond lane of the 4 Lane PMA	A Output does	# <u>97</u>
Comment Type E Space missing in "ou SuggestedRemedy Change "output lanes Proposed Response Cl 83 SC 83.5.2 Braun, Ralf-Peter Comment Type T There is a numbering The value of 4.3 in th	Comment Status X tput lanes.If bit" s.If bit" to "output lanes. If bit" Response Status O P209 Deutsche Tele Comment Status X y mismatch. e second lane of the 4 Lane PM PMA Input value.	<i>L</i> 25 kom AG		Comment Type There is a n The value of the related 1 SuggestedReme Change the Proposed Respond C/ 83 SC Braun, Ralf-Pete Comment Type There is a n The value of the related 1 SuggestedReme	T umbering misi 4.2 in the sec 0 Lane PMA edy value from 4.2 onse 83.5.2 er T umbering misi 4.1 in the sec 0 Lane PMA	Comment Status X match. cond lane of the 4 Lane PMA Input value. 2 to 4.4. Response Status O P209 Deutsche Teleko Comment Status X match. cond lane of the 4 Lane PMA Input value.	A Output does	# 97

C/ 83 SC 83.5.2

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Braun, Ralf-Peter Deutsche Telekom AG	C/ 83 SC 83.5.4 P 211 L 21 # 811 Bennett, Michael Lawrence Berkeley Na
Comment Type E Comment Status X There is a typo: "Onput" SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type T Comment Status X Assuming the tildes in the Maximum (ns) means approximately, it seems impossible to "meet the values specified in Table 83-1".
Change to "Output".	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status O	remove the tildes and use maximum values in the Maximum (ns) column
Response status C	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 83 SC 83.5.3.3 P210 L31 # 624	
Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc	C/ 83 SC 83.5.4 P211 L21 # 280
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Muller, Shimon Sun Microsystems
requirement is contingent on no more than 29 ns of Skew, and no more than 200 ps of Skew ariation between lanes at SP1 (i.e., the PMA between SP1 and SP2 if both are at physically instantiated interfaces shall add no more than 14ns of Skew or 200 ps of Skew Variation in the transmit direction)." has no corresponding PIC. SuggestedRemedy Add appropriate pic Proposed Response Response Status 0	For the 40GBASE-R PMA I am wondering what rounding scheme was used to get from 102.4ns to ~104ns? Furthermore: The use of an approximate value in a table that is covered by a shall statement seems to be inappropriate. It is also inconsistent with most of the other clauses that chose to use the exact absolute time values for the delay constraints expressed in ns. Since this value is well defined, is there any reason why the precise value should not be used?
	SuggestedRemedy
C/ 83 SC 83.5.4 P211 L21 # 477	Replace "~104" with "102.4" and "~92" with "92.16".
C/ 83 SC 83.5.4 P 211 L 21 # 477 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Image: Constraint of the second secon	Replace "~104" with "102.4" and "~92" with "92.16". Proposed Response Response Status 0
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	Proposed Response Response Status O
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X The Maximum (ns) values in Table 80-3 should match the values in Table 83-1	Proposed Response Response Status O CI 83 SC 83.5.6 P212 L2 # 478
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X The Maximum (ns) values in Table 80-3 should match the values in Table 83-1 SuggestedRemedy Since the exact values are fairly simple, change" tilde 104" to "102.4" and change "tilde 92"	Proposed ResponseResponse StatusOCl 83SC 83.5.6P 212L 2# 478Anslow, PeterNortel Networks
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X The Maximum (ns) values in Table 80-3 should match the values in Table 83-1 SuggestedRemedy Since the exact values are fairly simple, change" tilde 104" to "102.4" and change "tilde 92" to 92.16	Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 83 SC 83.5.6 P 212 L 2 # 478 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X The Maximum (ns) values in Table 80-3 should match the values in Table 83-1 SuggestedRemedy Since the exact values are fairly simple, change" tilde 104" to "102.4" and change "tilde 92" to 92.16	Proposed ResponseResponse StatusOCl 83SC 83.5.6P 212L 2# 478Anslow, PeterNortel Networks
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X The Maximum (ns) values in Table 80-3 should match the values in Table 83-1 SuggestedRemedy Since the exact values are fairly simple, change" tilde 104" to "102.4" and change "tilde 92" to 92.16	Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 83 SC 83.5.6 P 212 L 2 # 478 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks # 478 Comment Type T Comment Status X This says "Annex 86A specifies the Parallel Physical Interface (XLPPI and CPPI), the physical instantiation of the PMD service interface for 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X The Maximum (ns) values in Table 80-3 should match the values in Table 83-1 SuggestedRemedy Since the exact values are fairly simple, change" tilde 104" to "102.4" and change "tilde 92" to 92.16	Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 83 SC 83.5.6 P212 L2 # 478 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks 478 Comment Type T Comment Status X This says "Annex 86A specifies the Parallel Physical Interface (XLPPI and CPPI), the physical instantiation of the PMD service interface for 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs" but XLPPI and CPPI are optional.

CI 83 SC 83.5.6 Page 71 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:52 PM

Draft 3.0 Comments	IEEE P802	.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet o	Sponsor ballot		
C/ 83 SC 83.5.7 P 212 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	L11	# 479	C/ 83 SC 83.6 Anslow, Peter	P 215 Nortel Networks	L14	# 488
Comment Type E Comment Status X "(where the interface to is physically instantiated)" does	n't make sense		Comment Type T The column for "PN names.	Comment Status X IA/PMD register name" in Table 83	-2 does not contai	n the register
SuggestedRemedy	-1) "		SuggestedRemedy			
Change to "(where the interface is physically instantiate Proposed Response Response Status O	a)		Replace with "PMA/	/PMD control 1" for register 1.0, "PI esting control" for 1.308.	RBS pattern testing	g control" for 1.309
			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 83 SC 83.5.7 P212	L11	# 290				
Dawe, Piers J G Independant			CI 83 SC 83.6	P 215	L 21	# 489
Comment Type E Comment Status X			Anslow, Peter	Nortel Networks		
Draft says "Other inputs to the SIL may include the state the lanes from the service interface below the PMA (whi instantiated)" This interface is almost certain to be insta whether it is or not, the status of clock and data recover	ere the interface ntiated, even if ir	to is physically nside an IC, and		Comment Status X olumn for "MDIO status variable" T and these are primarily control var		don't match the
account.			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy Delete "(where the interface to is physically instantiated)"			places), change RX to Rx (2 place (or MDIO control variable)	s) and change the	column heading
Proposed Response Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 83 SC 83.5.8 P212	L 28	# 480	C/ 83 SC 83.6	P215	L 5	# 487
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks			Anslow, Peter	Nortel Networks		
Comment Type T Comment Status X This says "is accessible through register 1.8.0". But 1.8	.0 is a bit, not a r	egister.	Comment Type E Tables 83-2 and 83	Comment Status X -3 are explained here but Table 83-	-4 is not	
SuggestedRemedy Change to "is accessible through bit 1.8.0". Also change	e "(register 1.0.0	see 45 2 1 1 4) "	SuggestedRemedy Add "Mapping of MI	DIO counter to PMA counters is she	own in Table 834	
to "(bit 1.0.0, see 45.2.1.1.4)." on line 31. Make equivale also page 213 line 10.			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response Response Status O						

C/ 83 SC 83.6

Draft 3.0 Comments	3	IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	and 100Gb/s Ethernet c	Spon	Sponsor ballot	
C/ 83 SC 83.6 Anslow, Peter	P216 Nortel Networks	L 16	# 490	C/ 83 SC 83.7 Anslow, Peter	P 218 Nortel Networks	L2 # 492	2
Comment Type E Table 83-3. In the colun names in clause 45.	Comment Status X nn for "MDIO status variable" ⁻	ΓX etc. and RX	etc. don't match the	Comment Type E The title of subclaus	Comment Status X e 83.7 should contain the clause 8	33 title.	
SuggestedRemedy Change TX to Tx (2 plac	ces) and change RX to Rx (2 p	places).		100GBASE-R" Also,	0GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R" to at line 6 change "PMA Interface s Physical Medium Attachment (PMA	sublayer, 40GBASE-R and	,
Proposed Response	Response Status O			100GBASE-R" Proposed Response	Response Status O	,	,
C/ 83 SC 83.6 Anslow, Peter	P 216 Nortel Networks	L 32	# 491	C/ 83 SC 83.7.3	P219	L 36 # 495	
names in clause 45 and	Comment Status X nn for "MDIO status variable" t d these are counters rather tha /PMD register name" the name	n status variabl	es.	Anslow, Peter Comment Type T	Nortel Networks Comment Status X nts are in 83.5.3 not 83.5.2		,
SuggestedRemedy	rror counter, lane x" and chang			SuggestedRemedy Change subclause to			
Change the register nar pattern testing error cou	mes to "PRBS Tx pattern testir unter, lane x"	ng error counter	, lane x" or "PRBS Rx	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 83 SC 83.7.3 Dambrosia, John	P 219 Force 10 Netwo	L36 # 623	3
C/ 83 SC 83.6 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 26 ZTE Corp.	L 214	# 154		Comment Status X .2, items SKEW, USP1SP, DSP13		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			corresponding SHAL SuggestedRemedy	L statements in referenced subcla	ause.	
Table 83-4 is cut on pagestedRemedy	ye 2 10			These PIC all seem	related to SKEW, and therefore th ate subclauses in 83.5.3.x.	ne subclause reference sho	uld be
Per comment Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		

C/ 83 SC 83.7.3

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 83 SC 83.7.3 Anslow, Peter	P 219 Nortel Network	L 5 s	# 494	<i>Cl</i> 83 Anslow, Pe	SC 83.7.3 eter	P 220 Nortel Network	L 24 s	# 496
	Comment Status X subclause column should be lin PSTREAM, LANES_DOWNSTI Response Status 0			instan Hence as sor <i>Suggestec</i>	im "PPI" the Statiated PMD server this is inappropriate the the state of the server of the server of the state of the state of the server of the state of the state of the server of the	Comment Status X tus column contains "SP2SP5: ice interface" not "PMD service riate since the PMD service int an nPPI.	interface insta erface could b	antiated as nPPI". e physically instantiated
C/ 83 SC 83.7.3 Dambrosia, John	, P 219 Force 10 Netw	L5 orks Inc	# 622	or crea Proposed	ate *PPI to be "F	PMD service interface instantiat Response Status O	ed as nPPI"	
	Comment Status X and 83.1.4 - Items PMA40, PI AM do not have corresponding statement Response Status 0			symbo Suggested	<i>Type</i> E aces in the Value of <i>IRemedy</i>	P 221 Nortel Network: Comment Status X e/Comment column use "<=" ra	ther than the I	# 497
CI 83 SC 83.7.3	P219	L 5	# 493	Proposed		Response Status O	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
this PICS to apply. Us field/function, but at le required".	Nortel Network Comment Status X MA100 are shown as optional, b the the format of "O.1" as explain east one of the group of options	out one of the ned in 21.6.2:	"O. <n> optional</n>	CI 83 Dambrosia Comment PIC st Suggested	<i>Type</i> TR atements for JT	P 221 Force 10 Netwo <i>Comment Status</i> X P1 and JTP2 have no correspo		# 626
SuggestedRemedy Show them both as O Proposed Response	:1 Response Status O			add ap Proposed	• •	L statements to 83.5.10 Response Status O		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 83 SC 83.7.5

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Hajduczenia, Marek	P 7 ZTE Corp.	L 393	# 113	CI 83A Dawe, Piers	SC 83A.1 5 J G	P 375 Independant	L 52	# 313
Comment Type T	Comment Status X should read "10-12" and not "1			Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status X	ell or port of the	
SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response	Response Status O	E-12		if we ca Accordi output. Therefo input, a	n avoid it. ng to 83.3, a F nAUI is intend ore nAUI must nd a (module)	rt of the receiver a "transmitte PMA has TX and RX directions ed to connect PMAs, e.g. one connect a (host) TX (transmitt RX (receiver) output to a (hos lost output, module input, mod	, each of which in the host and er) output to a (t) receiver input	has an input and an one in a module. module) transmitter . 83B used to use, and
X 83A SC 83A.1 lajduczenia, Marek	Р 14 ZTE Corp.	L 376	# 142	'ACCEF (down t	he stack, PMA	nment 470: PLE. Need to avoid using "rece to MDI) or "transmit" or "trans		
"Ethernet blocks" ??? SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X onality with other 40 Gb/s or 10			Change of Table host ele This is 83A-2 s	e 86-6 change ectrical output s compatible wit shows two "Tra	the terms host, module, input "PPI electrical transmit signal specifications at TP1a" ' h 83 and the rest of 802.3ba e nsmitter"s and two "Receiver"	output specifica	ations at TP1a" to "nPP now 83B. But Figure
Either clarify what that roposed Response	t is or replace with something the Response Status O	nat has been def	fined already.	Note th Also co	, mpare Clause	ly. es not arise in clauses 84 or 85 47 (XAUI) which uses "driver" es follow 86A for connector-re	and "receiver"	
				"transm "transm 83A. In Conside appropr	e "Transmitter" it eye mask" a it signal" to "'s Table 83A-2, er changing "X iate. Change '	to "driver", "Transmit Complia nd "Transmitter Eye Mask" to signal" or "output signal", "tran delete "Receiver" before "eye LAUI/CAUI receiver" to "XLAL Figure 83A-2Definition of tra ion of test points".	"driver eye mas smit jitter" to "dr mask", five time II/CAUI compon	sk" or just "eye mask", iver jitter" throughout es including table note. hent receiver" where
				Proposed R	lesponse	Response Status O		
				Proposed R C/ 83A Dawe, Piers	SC 83A.1	Response Status O P 375 Independant	L 52	# [314
				C/ 83A Dawe, Piers	SC 83A.1 3 J G	P 375 Independant	L 52	# 314
				CI 83A Dawe, Piers Comment T I didn't	SC 83A.1 3 J G 5 ype T	P375		
				C/ 83A Dawe, Piers Comment 7 I didn't 83. 83E Suggestedf	SC 83A.1 s J G <i>Type</i> T notice any "fur s electrical.	P 375 Independant <i>Comment Status</i> X actional requirements" in Anne		

C/ 83A SC 83A.1 Page 75 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:52 PM

C/ 83A SC 83A.1	S	IEEE P80	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	nments		Sponsor ball
Anslow, Peter	P376 Nortel Networks	L 2	# 573	<i>Cl</i> 83A Dawe, Pier	SC 83A.2.1 rs J G	P 377 Independa	L 48 ant	# 315
	Comment Status X CAUI allows interconnect distan cluding one connector, see 83A. ice to be 100 ohms.				oo small in Figur se the charts in	Comment Status X es (6.5 or 7 pt, should not 33A have been shrunk.	be smaller than 8	pt). This may be
SuggestedRemedy				00	2	s. Check all clauses for for	nt too small	
Change the reference	to "83A.4"			Proposed	0	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Toposeu I	Coponse			
				C/ 83A	SC 83A.2.1	P 377	L 50	# 574
C/ 83A SC 83A.2.1	P15	L 277	# 109	Anslow, Pe	eter	Nortel Net	works	
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Comment	Type E	Comment Status X		
Comment Type T Figure 83A-2 has the c	Comment Status X caption "Definition of transmit and	d receive test p	points", yet the figure			-3 "Insertion loss between better with the order rever		
	oints. Is the "test point" and "cor	nplaince point"	one and the same? If	Suggested	Remedy			
so, why use two differe					-	ss between Transmitter a	Ind Transmit Comp	liance Point"
SuggestedRemedy Per comment, clarify w not.	whether "test point" and "complai	nce point" is or	ne and the same or	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 83A	SC 83A.2.2	P 378	L 2	# 575
				Anslow, Pe	eter	Nortel Net	works	
CI 83A SC 83A.2.1	P 377	L 23	# 368	Comment	Type E	Comment Status X		
Ganga, Ilango	Intel Corporation					Receiver and the Receive ection of signal flow)	Compliance Point	" would be better with
Comment Type T	Comment Status X nt 5 against D 2.3 was agreed to	ha raquhmitta	d by the Editor	Suggested	Remedy	- /		
against D 3.0]	The 5 against D 2.5 was agreed to	beresubmitte	a by the Editor	00	2	e Receive Compliance Po	pint and the Receiv	ver"
	or insertion loss in 83A & 83B is Hz to 11.1 GHz and for 86A it's fr	om 0.01 GHz 1	to 11.1 GHz. Unless	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
The frequency range for for 85 it's from 0.05 GH there are good technic should be consistent. S prudent to set the inset	al reasons for the differences in Since scrambled data has signifi- rtion loss frequency range limit to ss of low frequency content.	cant low freque	ency content, it seems					
The frequency range for for 85 it's from 0.05 GH there are good technic should be consistent. S prudent to set the inset against unexpected los	Since scrambled data has signification loss frequency range limit to	cant low freque	ency content, it seems					
The frequency range for for 85 it's from 0.05 GF there are good technic: should be consistent. S prudent to set the insel against unexpected los SuggestedRemedy For equations 85-14, 8	Since scrambled data has signification loss frequency range limit to	cant low freque o the lowest pr -2, 83B-3, 83B	ency content, it seems actical point to guard -4, 86A -4, 86A-5,					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 83A SC 83A.2.2 Page 76 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:52 PM

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P80	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s	s Ethei	rnet con	nments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3 Anslow, Peter	P379 Nortel Networks	L12	# 576	<i>Cl</i> 83A Ganga, Ila		83A.3.3	P 379 Intel Corporation	L 46	# 369
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status X		
This is the only instance	of the spelling "signalling" in the	ie draft (79 insta	ances of "signaling")				t 6 against D 2.3 was agreed to	be resubmitte	d by the Editor
SuggestedRemedy Change to "signaling"				against D 3.0] In table 83A-1, note a, "Rise/Fall time measurement methodology defi redundant with the entry, "83A.3.3.2", in the Subclause Reference col deleted.					
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggested		h.,			
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3	P379	L18	# 577		e 83A-1	-	ote "a Rise/Fall time measurem	ent methodolo	gy defined in
Anslow, Peter	Nortel Networks			Proposed	Respon	se	Response Status O		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X								
The item "Signaling rate words it is referenced to	per lane (range)" has a subcla itself. This is not helpful	use reference o	of 83A.3.3. In other	C/ 83A		83A.3.3	P 47	L 378	# 110
SuggestedRemedy				Hajduczen			ZTE Corp.		
Replace "83A.3.3" with "	-" (em dash). Do the same in T	able 83A-2.		Comment		E	Comment Status X		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggested	•	ly	between components"		
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3 Dawe, Piers J G	P 379 Independant	L 23	# 316	Proposed			Response Status O		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X								
Too many gratuitous cap them all in one cycle.	itals. This is an ER comment l	because we are	unlikely to catch	Cl 83A Anslow, Pe		83A.3.3.1	P 379 Nortel Networks	L 29	# 578
SuggestedRemedy Scrub the draft, all clause	es and annexes.			Comment "1MHz	,,	E d be "1 M	Comment Status X Hz"		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggested Chang		<i>ly</i> lz" to "1 N	lHz"		
				Proposed	Respon	se	Response Status 0		

C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.1 Page 77 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:52 PM

Draft 3.0 Commer	nts	IEEE P	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	and 100Gb/s	Ethernet	comments			Sponsor ballo
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3 Anslow, Peter	3.1 P 379 Nortel Networks	L 49	# 579	<i>Cl</i> 83A Dawe, Pier	SC 83A. s J G	3.3.1	P 380 Independant	L15	# 318
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment	Type TR	Commen	t Status X		
directed proposed rea	D 2.3 was agreed to be re-submitt sponse was "accept" which would ich is not needed now that subclar	delete note a	a. A similar situation	reduct dynam Telecc	on is a form ic deempha m Glossary	n of dynamic pree asis used during p 2007", deempha	mphasis employe layback". Or acco sis is "In FM trans	ed during record ording to the AN smission, the p	, as in "Dolby noise ling, plus a form of ISI standard "ATIS rocess of restoring
SuggestedRemedy						what's happening		ensues of the s	ignal." So de-emphasis
	able 83A-1, notes a and c from Ta other lines), note b from Table 83E			"preen	phasis			d of frequencie	s, the magnitude of
Proposed Response	Response Status O			some freque	usually high ncies, in orc	ner) frequencies v der to improve the	vith respect to the overall signal-to-	magnitude of on noise ratio by n	other (usually lower) ninimizing the adverse of recording media, in
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3	B.1 P 380	L14	# 370				te: Preemphasis	has application	s, for example, in audio
Ganga, Ilango	Intel Corporation			recording and FM transmission.". An implementation might achieve emphasis by a subtractive method, and the implementation might achieve emphasis by a subtractive method.					
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			might	call his meth	nod what he want	s. However, that's	s implementatio	n. Viewed from the
	ent 57 against D 2.3 was agreed	to be resubm	itted by the Editor	outside its opp		asis is a relative l	poosting of the hig	gher frequencie	s and de-emphasis is
against D 3.0] Draft says "See Figu define "de-emphasis'	re 83A-5 for definition of de-em ": Equation 83A-3 does, as stated ces in figures, especially if normal	phasis" yet F two sentence	igure 83A-5 does not es earlier. Also, should	Suggested	<i>Remedy</i> n't need to a	argue about de- v	ersus pre-: just cł	nange "de-emp	hasis" to "emphasis"
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed	Response	Response	Status O		
of differential peak-to sides of the differenti	or an illustration of absolute driver p-peak amplitude. SLi <p> and SLi- al signal pair for lane i (i = 0, 1, 2, e in square brackets from Figure 8</p>	<n> are the p 3 for XLAUI.</n>	oositive and negative						
Proposed Response	Response Status 0								

CI 83A SC 83A.3.3.1

/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.1 P 380 L 21 # 319 awe, Piers J G Independant	C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.1 P 380 L 46 # 317 Dawe, Piers J G Independant				
omment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type E Comment Status X				
"Vtx-demph" should be replaced with "VMA" in 83A and 83B. "Vtx-demph" is a bad metric for four reasons: If using a sampling scope, a measurement at a point in time is slower than a measurement	Should not put whole sentences in figures, especially if normative - even if Figure 47- Should use regular text.				
over a time window.	SuggestedRemedy				
A measurement at a point in time is degraded by signal and instrument noise (hence needs	Move the sentence in square brackets from Figure 83A-5 to line 15.				
averaging, which makes the measurement even slower). A measurement at a point in time is degraded by waveform roughness caused by e.g. reflections (averaging over repeated measurements doesn't fix this).	Proposed Response Response Status O				
This metric does the same job as the already well-established VMA, so it adds clutter for no benefit.	C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.1 P380 L5 # 580				
Also, draft says "Amplitude measurements are taken at the center of the respective UI"	Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks				
yet Figure 83A-5 implies that "Maximum absolute output", "Minimum absolute output" and "Differential peak-to-peak amplitude" are taken from the extremes of the waveform	Comment Type E Comment Status X				
irrespective of the UI.	The text "Single-ended output voltage range shall be between the range specified in T				
And, the number of waveforms to average is not a proper item of specification: measurement accuracy is something for the implementer to trade off against guard-bands	83A1 with respect to ground." is not very clear.				
and other cost considerations.	SuggestedRemedy				
IggestedRemedy	Change to "The single-ended output voltage shall be within the range specified in Tab 83A1 with respect to ground."				
At line 10, replace "Amplitude measurements are taken using an average of at least 16 waveforms and taken at the center of the respective UI using a square wave test pattern as defined in 83.5.10." with either:	Proposed Response Response Status O				
"Differential peak-to-peak amplitude is defined by an average over the central 20% of the	C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.4 P382 L3 # 371				
first UI of each half of the square wave test pattern defined in 83.5.10. VMA is defined in	Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation				
86A.5.3.5." if the UI matters, or:	Comment Type E Comment Status X				
"VMA is defined in 86A.5.3.5." if the UI doesn't matter for differential peak-to-peak	[Editor's note: Comment 7 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0] In the first sentence, the phrase, "For frequencies from 10 MHz to 11.1 GHz,", is redunda with the content of eq. 83A-6 and should be deleted.				
amplitude, as in Figure 83A-5. Replace "Vtx-demph" with "VMA" throughout (6 occurrences in all). If we want to give guidance on averaging, add "NOTEIt is recommended that at least 16	In the first sentence, the phrase, "For frequencies from 10 MHz to 11.1 GHz,", is redu with the content of eq. 83A-6 and should be deleted.				
amplitude, as in Figure 83A-5. Replace "Vtx-demph" with "VMA" throughout (6 occurrences in all). If we want to give guidance on averaging, add "NOTEIt is recommended that at least 16 waveforms be averaged for an emphasis measurement."	In the first sentence, the phrase, "For frequencies from 10 MHz to 11.1 GHz,", is redu with the content of eq. 83A-6 and should be deleted. SuggestedRemedy				
amplitude, as in Figure 83A-5. Replace "Vtx-demph" with "VMA" throughout (6 occurrences in all). If we want to give guidance on averaging, add "NOTEIt is recommended that at least 16	with the content of eq. 83A-6 and should be deleted.				

CI 83A SC 83A.3.3.4

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet c	comments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.4 Anslow, Peter	P382 Nortel Networks	L 5	# 581	C/ 83A SC 83A.3. Dawe, Piers J G	4.2 P 384 Independant	L11	# 320
Comment Type E "include" should be "inclu	Comment Status X			Comment Type T Draft says "the far-e	Comment Status X nd receiver eye mask" yet no o	ther mention of fa	ar-end eye.
SuggestedRemedy Change "include" to "incluine 30	udes". Make the same change	e on Page 384	line 40 and Page 385	SuggestedRemedy Change to "the eye r			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.5	P382	L 48	# 582		4.3 P 384 Intel Corpora	L 37 tion	# 372
Anslow, Peter	Nortel Networks			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
Comment Type E There is only one templa SuggestedRemedy				[Editor's note: Comn against D 3.0]	nent 9 against D 2.3 was agree quencies from 10 MHz to 11.1 d be deleted.		
Change "templates" to "te	emplate"			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Change from, "For fi "Differential input ret	requencies from 10 MHz to 11.7 turn loss"	1 GHz, differentia	al input return loss" to
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.4 Anslow, Peter	P383 Nortel Networks	L 35	# 583	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
	Comment Status X an "Input AC Common Mode	Voltage" or ar	n "Input Rise and Fall	C/ 83A SC 83A.3. Ghiasi, Ali	4.4 P 385 Broadcom	L 24	# 799
	teristics of an applied signal.			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Change to "Input AC Cor tolerance".	nmon Mode Voltage tolerance	e" and "Input R	ise and Fall Time	Log scale hide the c SuggestedRemedy	ritical high freq attributes		
	Response Status O			Change to linear sca Proposed Response	ale Response Status O		

CI 83A SC 83A.3.4.4 Page 80 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:52 PM

C/ 83A	SC 83A.3.4.4		P 385	L 27	# 321	C/ 83A
Dawe, Pi	ers J G		Independant			Petrilla,
~		~				

Comment Type **T** Comment Status X

Circular references, pointless equation and graph.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "For frequencies from 10 MHz to 11.1 GHz, differential to common mode input return loss shall meet the requirements defined in Table 83A-2. Differential to common mode input return loss is given in Equation (83A-8) and is illustrated in Figure 83A-11." to "From 10 MHz to 11.1 GHz, the differential to common mode input return loss shall comply with the limit shown in Table 83A-2." In Table 83A-2, change "Differential input return loss" to "Differential input return loss (min) and change "see Equation (83A-8)" to "15". Delete Equation 83A-8. Either delete "Differential to common mode input return loss is given in Equation (83A-8) and is illustrated in Figure 83A-11." and the figure, or change to "The limit for differential to common mode input return loss is illustrated in Figure 83A-10." and show the -SCD11 line on figure 83A-10.

Proposed Response	Response Status	Ο
-------------------	-----------------	---

C/ 83A SC 83A.3.4.4 Petrilla, John	P 385 Avago Technolo	L 39	# 879
Comment Type E	Comment Status X graph, "f is the frequency in Gl	0	lant with the first line of
SuggestedRemedy Delete the last line of the	e paragraph, "f is the frequenc	y in GHz".	
Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.4.5 Dawe, Piers J G	P 386 Independant	L 26	# 322
Comment Type E AC-coupling (whether A	Comment Status X C-coupled has a hyphen or no	t, this isn't a c	compound adjective)
SuggestedRemedy			

Change to AC coupling, three times here, once in 83A.3.1, about 7 times in 85

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 83A	SC 83A.3.4.5	P 386	L 28	# 880
Petrilla, Johr	ı	Avago Techno	ologies	

Comment Type **T** Comment Status X

The declaration that 'AC-coupling is part of the receiver' can lead to AC-coupling means included on both ends of the XLAUI/CAUI link when an 83A receiver is connected to an 83B module since 83B.2.1 requires AC-coupling in modules for both Tx and Rx paths. ACcoupling on both ends of the link seems to have little utility and may likely degrade signal performance. The solution to this problem is better addressed in 83A than 83B since the host designer knows which 83A interfaces are not connected to 83B modules

SuggestedRemedy

Change "AC-coupling is considered to be part of the receiver for the purposes of this specification unless explicitly stated otherwise." to "AC-coupling is considered part of the receiver for the purposes of this specification except when interfacing with modules defined in 83B or explicitly stated otherwise."

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 83A	SC 83A.3.4.6	P 386	L 38	# 323
Dawe, Piers	JG	Independant		

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status X

The low frequency jitter tolerance is the same for a receive side input as for a transmit side input, so there is no margin for the small amount of extra LF jitter added by CDRs in the link (e.g. in a module). We also have to check that the nAUI LF itter specs are compatible with the PMDs, both 10G-lane and 25G-lane. Here is one proposed remedy; there may be alternatives.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the corner frequency for a nAUI interface on the transmit side (towards the line) from 4 MHz to 2 MHz. Also in 83B.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 83A	SC 83A.4	P 388	L 31	# 800
Ghiasi, Ali		Broadcom		
Comment Log so	51	Comment Status X cal high freq attributes		
Suggested Chang	<i>Remedy</i> e to linear scale			
Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		

~ ~ ~

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	C/ 83A	Page 81 of 158
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	SC 83A.4	12/24/2009 11:06:52 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 83A SC 83A.5 Dawe, Piers J G	P 389 Independant	L 4	# 324	Cl 83A SC 83A.5.1 Misek, Brian	P 389 Avago Techno	L 15 logies	# 765
Comment Type E 0 Volts -3dB	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR Not clear that "off" state c	Comment Status X an have de-emphasis.		
SuggestedRemedy 0 V (I think: as on line	14) -3 space dB			SuggestedRemedy Change "is the optimal se	etting" to "is defined any set	ting that gives	optimal performance"
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
 C/ 83A SC 83A.5.1 Ganga, Ilango	P 389 Intel Corporatio	L 12	# 374	C/ 83A SC 83A.5.1 Ganga, Ilango	P 389 Intel Corporati	L16 on	# 373
Should not either patter also 83a.5.2 line 32 ar SuggestedRemedy Change from, "The da "Pattern 3, Pattern 5, s	data pattern for jitter measuren ern 3, pattern 5 (see table 86-1 nd 83b.2.3 page 404 line 7. Ita pattern for jitter measuremen see Table 86-11, or valid XLAU eat/apply in 83a.5.2 line 32 and <i>Response Status</i> O	1) or valid traffi nts shall be tes II/CAUI signal	c be acceptable? See at pattern PRBS31." to shall be used for jitter	testing to ensure any char the term 'channel' where t context, the four lanes of 2 page 404 line 6. SuggestedRemedy Change from, "All XLAUI/ testing to ensure any char XLAUI/CAUI lanes shall b testing to ensure any lane	I channels shall be active d nnel-channel crosstalk is in the term 'lane' is more appr XLAUI form one channel. S CAUI channels shall be act nnel-channel crosstalk is in be active during transmit jitt e-lane crosstalk is included	cluded in the jit opriate. For exa see also 83a.5.2 tive during trans cluded in the jit er	tter evaluation." uses ample, in 802.3ba 2 line 31 and 83b.2.3 smit jitter tter evaluation." to "All
C/ 83A SC 83A.5.1 Dawe, Piers J G	P 389 Independant	L13	# 325	in 83a.5.2 line 31 and 83b Proposed Response	b.2.3 page 404 line 6. Response Status 0		
Comment Type T "The data pattern": if i	Comment Status X t's a test pattern it's not data. (E	Ethernet frame	s are data, idle is not.)				
SuggestedRemedy							
Delete "data".							

C/ 83A SC 83A.5.1

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

12/24/2009 11:06:52 PM

C/ 83A SC 83A.5	.1	P 389	L16	# 881	C/ 83A	SC 83A.5.2	P38	39	L 24	# 2
Petrilla, John	A	vago Technolo	ogies		Gustlin, Ma	ark	Cisco	Systems,	Inc.	
Comment Type ER	Comment Sta	ntus X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	Х		
transmit jitter testin evaluation." uses th SuggestedRemedy Change "All XLAUI any channel-chann	ng transmit jitter testi	nel-channel c ere the word 'la be active dur ed in the jitter	rosstalk is inclu ane' would see ing transmit jitt evaluation." to	uded in the jitter m a better choice.	include capabi transm channe jitter to test wi	es significant PC ilities. An actual nitter can have u el and there will plerance setup as Il fail in an actua	compliant channel ca p to 7dB of de-empha be no residual equaliz s specified is not stres	e receiver n have ve sis. This v cable jitter ssful enou	to take advan ry little loss. Ar will result in over at the receiver gh and a recei	tage of its equalization actual compliant er equalization of the r input. Therefore the ver that passes the
Proposed Response		4. m. O			Suggested	lRemedy				
	Response Sta	us O			jitter is	achieved."	s filter stress is added			
C/ 83A SC 83A.5 Dawe, Piers J G		P 389 dependant	L 36	# 327	achiev	ed."			·	
		•			Proposed	Response	Response Status	0		
Comment Type T	Comment Sta allow scrambled idle		PBS31							
		5 d5 Well d5 F	ND331,		CI 83A	SC 83A.5.2	P38	39	L 24	# 326
SuggestedRemedy	from Eiguro 924 15	and Eigura 92	P 10 Undata		Dawe, Pier	rs J G	Indepe	endant		
	from Figure 83A-15	-		-103 03A.7.0 EWIT.	Comment	Type ER	Comment Status	х		
Proposed Response	Response Sta	tus U			isn't pe		related to intercept po			nistic Jitter, it definitely do with peaks. And if
						change "peak-to	p-peak deterministic jit ree times in 83B.5.5,			ministic Jitter" (with eaningful jitter metric.
					Proposed	Response	Response Status	0		
					<i>Cl</i> 83A Petrilla, Jo	SC 83A.5.2	P38 Avago	39 Technolo	L 29 ogies	# 882
					<i>Comment</i> There		Comment Status ny inferences that test		nd block diagra	ams are compulsory.
					Suggested Chang 83A1	e "Figure 83A1	5 depicts the XLAUI/ UI/CAUI Jitter Tolerar	CAUI Jitte	er Tolerance tes etup."	st setup." to "Figure
					Proposed		Response Status		-	
				T/technical E/editorial G/g				C/ 83A		Page 83 of 158
COMMENT STATUS: I SORT ORDER: Clau			ed RESPON	SE STATUS: O/open W/w	ritten C/close	ed U/unsatisfied	d Z/withdrawn	SC 83A	.5.2	12/24/2009 11:06

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 83A SC 83A.5.2 Ganga, Ilango	P 389 Intel Corporatio	L 30 on	# 375	C/ 83A SC 83A.7 Anslow, Peter	.3 P 392 Nortel Networ	L 5 ks	# 584
Comment Type E [Editor's note: Comme against D 3.0] Please spell out +. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ent 3 against D 2.3 was agreed	to be resubmit	ted by the Editor	not mention skew. There should be rea	Comment Status X s no requirements for Skew or Sł quirements for Skew and Skew v P6 if this is the highest.		
,	e filter stress + limiter and rand I random jitter" Response Status O	dom jitter" to	" jitter of the filter	tolerated". Add a sk requirements.	ynamic generation within limits, r ew requirements subclause that		
to twist the PCB! SuggestedRemedy Replace with "Freque	<i>P</i> 389 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> X ace stress means is this electric ncy dependent attenuator *" mple of Frequency dependent a		# 795	fact that "Leverages	Response Status O .3 P9 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X inconsistent Feature and Value/(s 64B/66B coding" got to do with items RATE and IO if they are m	the data rate? (2) Why there is "N/A" ir
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy Per comment			
C/ 83A SC 83A.7.2. Hajduczenia, Marek	2 P40 ZTE Corp.	L 391	# 111	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Annex83A" - scrub the There is nothing like "	Comment Status X 302.3ba-20xx Annex83A" should e draft to make this designation IEEE Std 802.3-2007" - this mu t to make this designation cons	consistent acru ust be changed	oss various clauses(2) to "IEEE Std 802.3-	CI 83A SC 83A.7 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T Item TC6 "Maximur "Differential output SuggestedRemedy	Nortel Networ Comment Status X n Termination Mismatch" referen		# <u>585</u> 33A.3.3.3 which is
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Change to "83A.3.3 Proposed Response	" Response Status O		

```
C/ 83A
SC 83A.7.4
```

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 83A SC 83A.7.4 Dambrosia, John	P392 L4 Force 10 Networks Inc	# 679	C/ 83A SC 83A.7.4 P 392 L 46 # 676 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc
	Comment Status X statements for any PICS in 83A.7.3		Comment Type ER Comment Status X Features for TC9 is "Common Modeb Output S-Parameters" which is not correct. Th referenced equation is for Common Mode Output Return Loss
uggestedRemedy add shall statements for	or NOL, RATE, IO, INT		SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response	Response Status O		change feature to "Common Mode Output Return Loss" Proposed Response Response Status O
83A SC 83A.7.4	P392 L43 Nortel Networks	# 586	C/ 83A SC 83A.7.5 P 393 L 10 # 677 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc
uggestedRemedy In items TC8, TC9, RC	Comment Status X RC4 contain "S-parameters" rather than retu C3 change "S-parameters" to "return loss" in F	RC4 change "Differential	Comment Type ER Comment Status X Feature for RC3 is not correct - Differential Input S-Parameters. The referenced equa for Differential Input Return Loss SuggestedRemedy
Common Mode Input (return loss"	Conversion S-parameters" to "Differential to c	common mode input	Change feature to "Differential Input Return Loss"
Proposed Response	Response Status O		Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 83A SC 83A.7.4 Dambrosia, John	P392 L43 Force 10 Networks Inc	# 675	C/ 83A SC 83A.7.5 P 393 L 13 # 678 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Networks Inc
	Comment Status X ifferential Output S-Parameters" which is not ntial Output Return Loss	correct. The referenced	Comment Type ER Comment Status X Feature for RC4 is not correct - Differential Common Mode Input Conversion S-Para
uggestedRemedy	ferential Output Return Loss		SuggestedRemedy change feature to "Differential to common mode input return loss"
Proposed Response	Response Status 0		Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 83A SC 83A.7.5 Anslow, Peter	P 393 Nortel Networks	L 8	# 587	C/ 83B SC 83B.1 Dawe, Piers J G	P 396 Independant	L 43	# 328
Comment Type E This is the only instant SuggestedRemedy Change to 10 superso Proposed Response	Comment Status X ce of "1E-12" in the draft ript -12 Response Status O			if we can avoid it. Re This proposed reme related items.	Comment Status X art of the receiver a "transmitter" eason per another comment. dy, for 83B, follows 86A for conn s in 83B don't relate to the XLAL out.	ector-related ite	ems and 47 for IC-
				SuggestedRemedy			
C/ 83B SC 83B.1 Anslow, Peter	P 395 Nortel Networks	L16	# 588	and 83B-7.	nge "Transmitter" to "Driver", twi Transmit de-emphasis" to "Modu		Ū
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X verage XLAUI / CAUI" is not easy		nd.	jitter" to "module out In Table 83B-3, dele four more times in th	put jitter". te "Transmitter" before "eye mas le PICS 83B.4.3. te "Receiver" before "eye mask"	sk", five times in	ncluding table note, and
Proposed Response	Response Status O		"	Change "83B.2.3 Re In Figure 83B-10, ch receiver" to "XLAUI	cceiver Tolerance" to "83B.2.3 He ange "XLAUI / CAUI ' CAUI host input". nother comment, change 83B.4.		
C/ 83B SC 83B.1 Anslow, Peter	P 396 Nortel Networks	L 42	# 589	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
connector. This means and 83B-7) when only and bottom lines. Sec this figure should be d much easier and to ma	Comment Status X Id be helpful to put arrow heads of s that for the Figures that are der one side or the other is visible, th ondly, the top line is a different th rawn in native Framemaker in or ake Figures 83B-5 and 83B-7 (wh le in Figure 83B-5 the small arrow etc.	ived from this here will still ickness from der to make hich are deriv	s Figure (Figures 83B-5 be arrows on both top a the bottom one. Also, future modification red from it) more	SuggestedRemedy	P 396 ALCATEL-LUC Comment Status X -3 Chip-Module loss budget " do ure 83B-3 Chip-Module loss budget	es not indicate	
SuggestedRemedy Add two arrow beads	make the lines the same thickne	ss. drawn in	Framemaker and	Proposed Response	Response Status O	yot at 0. 10020	

Add two arrow heads, make the lines the same thickness, drawn in Framemaker and propagate these changes to Figures 83B-5 and 83B-7.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 83B SC 83B.1

Draft 3.0 Commer	ts	IEEE P8	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ai	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet c	omments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 83B SC 83B.1 Dawe, Piers J G	P 397 Independant	L 7	# 329	C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Anslow, Peter	P 397 Nortel Networks	L 20	# 590
	Comment Status X support 0.87 dB connector loss ter connector than 86A or 85 do				Comment Status X module XLAUI / CAUI interface s s depicted in Figure 83B5 and Fi ance points.		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
	e and in Figure 83B-3. Conside	r reducing the	host insertion loss by	Label the complianc	e points.		
0.4 dB to keep the los Proposed Response	s budget the same. <i>Response Status</i> O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Cl 83B SC 83B.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 49 ZTE Corp.	L 396	# 114	C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Latchman, Ryan	P 397	L 24	# 99
<i>Comment Type</i> T Figure 83B-3 should I This term is also used	Comment Status X nave a caption that reads "Chip- d throughout the clause, even th	ough before it			Comment Status X ving sentence should be 5.15625 clude the loss associated with the		
SuggestedRemedy	Jse one designation consistent	y, please.		"Change sentense to	o:""Figure 83B-5 clude the loss associated with the	HCB and MCI	3 at 5.15625 GHz."""
Per comment				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
C/ 83B SC 83B.2	P 18 ZTE Corp.	L 397	# 115	C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Dawe, Piers J G	P 397 Independant	L 26	# 330
	Comment Status X at Figure83B-5 and Figure 83B-	7 include defin	ition of compliance		Comment Status X B insertion loss": what's a "HCB t s to improve clarity and consisten		omething to test the
points. I do not see a	ny on these figures.			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy Clarify where the said on the figures.	compliance points are located	on these figure	s, adding them clearly	Change "The referen	nce HCB test fixture PCB insertior HCB, excluding the module conne or MCB.		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		

C/ 83B SC 83B.2

Draft 3.0 Comment

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Frowbridge, Stephen	P 397 ALCATEL-LU	L 27 CENT	# 273	C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Dawe, Piers J G	P 397 Independant	L 32	# 332
Comment Type ER The sentence "The e	Comment Status X effects of differences between th	ne insertion loss		Comment Type TR The reference HCB te	Comment Status X est fixture PCB insertion loss sl		
normative.	sertion should be accounted for	in the measurer	ments." is not		I.26 dB (like the 86A HCB) and This is a TR in case there is		
	ect of the difference between the on loss are to be accounted in th			SuggestedRemedy	of equation 86A-4. E.g. with 1.	.8 dB loss at 5.1	5625 GHz. this would
Proposed Response	Response Status O			be: 0.0143 + 0.4291 *			,
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Dawe, Piers J G	P 397 Independant	L 32	# 331	C/ 83B SC 83B.2	P 398	L 29	# 271
awe, Pleis J G	independant				ALCATEL-LU		
The compliance boa	Comment Status X and losses should be specified do	own to 10 MHz a	as in 86A.	Trowbridge, Stephen Comment Type ER The sentence "HCB F	Comment Status X COMMENT Status X	-	extracted from the
The compliance boa SuggestedRemedy For equations 83B-3		mit of the freque		Comment Type ER The sentence "HCB F equality equation 83B value. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X	ICB loss value e	
The compliance boa SuggestedRemedy For equations 83B-3 0.01 GHz. Consider Proposed Response	and 83B-4, change the lower lin similar changes for all specs in <i>Response Status</i> O	mit of the freque 83A and 83B.	ency range from 0.25 to	Comment Type ER The sentence "HCB F equality equation 83B value. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X PCB up to 2.1dB" reflects the H -3. Therefore, the HCB loss va	ICB loss value e	
The compliance boa SuggestedRemedy For equations 83B-3 0.01 GHz. Consider Proposed Response	and 83B-4, change the lower lin similar changes for all specs in	mit of the freque 83A and 83B. <i>L</i> 32		Comment Type ER The sentence "HCB F equality equation 83B value. SuggestedRemedy Change title to: "HCB Proposed Response	Comment Status X PCB up to 2.1dB" reflects the H -3. Therefore, the HCB loss va PCB targeted to 2.1dB" Response Status O	ICB loss value e alue should be ie	dentified as a target
The compliance boa SuggestedRemedy For equations 83B-3 0.01 GHz. Consider Proposed Response Cl 83B SC 83B.2 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T	and 83B-4, change the lower lin similar changes for all specs in <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 397 Nortel Networ <i>Comment Status</i> X	mit of the freque 83A and 83B. <i>L</i> 32	ency range from 0.25 to # <u>591</u>	Comment Type ER The sentence "HCB F equality equation 83B value. SuggestedRemedy Change title to: "HCB Proposed Response C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Trowbridge, Stephen	Comment Status X PCB up to 2.1dB" reflects the H -3. Therefore, the HCB loss va PCB targeted to 2.1dB" Response Status O P398 ALCATEL-LU	ICB loss value e alue should be in	
The compliance boa SuggestedRemedy For equations 83B-3 0.01 GHz. Consider Proposed Response C 83B SC 83B.2 nslow, Peter Comment Type T Equation 83B-2 is fo	and 83B-4, change the lower lin similar changes for all specs in <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 397 Nortel Networ	mit of the freque 83A and 83B. <i>L</i> 32 ks PCB insertion lo	ency range from 0.25 to # <u>591</u> oss. This should be a	Comment Type ER The sentence "HCB F equality equation 83B value. SuggestedRemedy Change title to: "HCB Proposed Response Cl 83B SC 83B.2 Trowbridge, Stephen Comment Type ER	Comment Status X PCB up to 2.1dB" reflects the H- -3. Therefore, the HCB loss va PCB targeted to 2.1dB" Response Status O P398 ALCATEL-LU Comment Status X	HCB loss value e alue should be in <i>L</i> 41 CENT	dentified as a target # 269
The compliance boa uggestedRemedy For equations 83B-3 0.01 GHz. Consider roposed Response 83B SC 83B.2 nslow, Peter comment Type T Equation 83B-2 is fo smooth curve as per uggestedRemedy	and 83B-4, change the lower lin similar changes for all specs in <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 397 Nortel Networ <i>Comment Status</i> X or the reference HCB test fixture r Equation 83B-3 for the MCB ar	mit of the freque 83A and 83B. <i>L</i> 32 ks PCB insertion Ic nd have 2.1 dB k	ency range from 0.25 to # <u>591</u> oss. This should be a loss at 5.15625 GHz	Comment Type ER The sentence "HCB F equality equation 83B value. SuggestedRemedy Change title to: "HCB Proposed Response Cl 83B SC 83B.2 Trowbridge, Stephen Comment Type ER	Comment Status X PCB up to 2.1dB" reflects the H -3. Therefore, the HCB loss va PCB targeted to 2.1dB" Response Status O P398 ALCATEL-LU	HCB loss value e alue should be in <i>L</i> 41 CENT	dentified as a target # 269
The compliance boa SuggestedRemedy For equations 83B-3 0.01 GHz. Consider Proposed Response Cl 83B SC 83B.2 Inslow, Peter Comment Type T Equation 83B-2 is fo smooth curve as per SuggestedRemedy Use a scaled version	and 83B-4, change the lower lin similar changes for all specs in <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 397 Nortel Networ <i>Comment Status</i> X or the reference HCB test fixture	mit of the freque 83A and 83B. <i>L</i> 32 ks PCB insertion k nd have 2.1 dB k	ency range from 0.25 to # <u>591</u> oss. This should be a loss at 5.15625 GHz	Comment Type ER The sentence "HCB F equality equation 83B value. SuggestedRemedy Change title to: "HCB Proposed Response Cl 83B SC 83B.2 Trowbridge, Stephen Comment Type ER The title "Figure 83B- reference frequency. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X PCB up to 2.1dB" reflects the H- -3. Therefore, the HCB loss van PCB targeted to 2.1dB" Response Status O P398 ALCATEL-LUC Comment Status X 5 Chip-module compliance poi	ICB loss value e alue should be in <i>L</i> 41 CENT ints with HCB" c	dentified as a target # 2 <u>69</u> loes not indicate the
The compliance boa SuggestedRemedy For equations 83B-3 0.01 GHz. Consider Proposed Response Cl 83B SC 83B.2 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T Equation 83B-2 is fo smooth curve as per SuggestedRemedy Use a scaled versior	and 83B-4, change the lower lin similar changes for all specs in <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 397 Nortel Networ <i>Comment Status</i> X or the reference HCB test fixture r Equation 83B-3 for the MCB ar	mit of the freque 83A and 83B. <i>L</i> 32 ks PCB insertion k nd have 2.1 dB k	ency range from 0.25 to # <u>591</u> oss. This should be a loss at 5.15625 GHz	Comment Type ER The sentence "HCB F equality equation 83B value. SuggestedRemedy Change title to: "HCB Proposed Response Cl 83B SC 83B.2 Trowbridge, Stephen Comment Type ER The title "Figure 83B- reference frequency. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X PCB up to 2.1dB" reflects the H- -3. Therefore, the HCB loss va PCB targeted to 2.1dB" Response Status O P398 ALCATEL-LU Comment Status X	ICB loss value e alue should be in <i>L</i> 41 CENT ints with HCB" c	dentified as a target # 2 <u>69</u> loes not indicate the

C/ 83B SC 83B.2

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P802	.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	ind 100Gb/s Et	hernet co	mments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Trowbridge, Stephen	P 398 ALCATEL-LUCE	L 49 INT	# 274	C/ 83B	SC 83B.2	P 399 Nortel Networks	L 36	# 592
The sentence "The effects and the reference insertion normative. SuggestedRemedy Change to: "The effect of t the reference insertion los	Comment Status X s of differences between the in should be accounted for in the difference between the in s are to be accounted in the	the measurement sertion loss of an	s." is not	SuggestedRe Change to	33B-7 the H0 <i>medy</i> 9 "MCB PCB he same thiu	Comment Status X CB is labelled "Up to 1dB", but th = 1 dB" where the "=" is an app of for Figure 83B-5 for the appro Response Status O	roximately equ	uals as used in Table
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 83B	SC 83B.2	P 399 ALCATEL-LUCE	L 47	# 270
The MCB loss for nAUI B implementation e.g. QSFF CRn). It would be an adva SuggestedRemedy	Independant Comment Status X is 0.92 dB while the MCB for P socket may be capable of e ntage if the same MCB could II B MCB reference loss towa	either nAUI B or nI d be used with all	PPI (and possibly QSFP modules	reference SuggestedRe	Figure 83B-7 frequency. <i>medy</i> le to: "Figure	Comment Status X Chip-module compliance points 83B-7 Chip-module compliance Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 83B	SC 83B.2.1	P 400 Nortel Networks	L14	# 593
The sentence "MCB PCB	P 399 ALCATEL-LUCE Comment Status X up to 2.1dB" reflects the MC herefore, the MCB loss value	B loss value extra		case m <i>SuggestedRe</i> Change to	3B-2 "Minim <i>medy</i> o module	Comment Status X um Module differential input retu	ırn loss", Mod	ule should have a lower
SuggestedRemedy Change title to: "MCB PCI	3 targeted to 2.1dB"			Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status O		

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

CI 83B SC 83B.2.1

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 83B SC 83B.2.1 P 401 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom	L 24	# 798	C/ 83B SC 83B.2.3 P 403 L 50 # 376 Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation
Comment Type T Comment Status X Log scale hide the critical high freq attributes			Comment Type E Comment Status X [Editor's note: Comment 16 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0]
SuggestedRemedy Change to linear scale			Random jitter is not usually specifed as peak-to-peak but either as RMS or for a given BER
Proposed Response Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy Change, " and 0.15 UI peak-to-peak random jitter" to "and 0.15 UI random jitter for BER = 1E-12".
C/ 83B SC 83B.2.1 P402 Petrilla, John Avago Techno	L 1 logies	# 883	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type E Comment Status X Please try to pull note c into page 401.			C/ 83BSC 83B.2.3P 404L 11# 885Petrilla, JohnAvago Technologies
SuggestedRemedy Please try to pull note c into page 401.			Comment TypeERComment StatusXThere should not be any inferences that test setups and block diagrams are compulsory.
Proposed Response Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy Change from "Figure 83B10 depicts the XLAUI / CAUI jitter tolerance test setup." to "Figure 83B10 depicts a XLAUI / CAUI jitter tolerance test setup."
C/ 83B SC 83B.2.2 P 403 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom	L 24	# 797	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type T Comment Status X Log scale hide the critical high freq attributes SuggestedRemedy			C/ 83B SC 83B.2.3 P 404 L 20 # 796 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom Broadcom Environment Type T Comment Status X Environment Status X Environmen
Change to linear scale Proposed Response Response O			Comment Type T Comment Status X No clear what PCB trace stress means is this electrical or mechanical stress or do I need to twist the PCB!
C/ 83B SC 83B.2.2 P 403 None Diagonal Alexandration Indexeduation	L 49	# 334	SuggestedRemedy Replace with "Frequency dependent attenuator *" * PCB traces are example of Frequency dependent attenuator
Dawe, Piers J G Independant Comment Type T Comment Status X If this table really is for host electrical output, it's point	nting at the wror	ng mask diagram.	Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy Change "Figure 83A-9" to "Figure 83A-8", and add a	-		
Proposed Response Response Status O			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 83B SC 83B.2.3 Page 90 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:52 PM

Draft 3.0 Comment	ts I	EEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ai	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet c	comments		Sponsor ballot
Cl 83B SC 83B.2.3 Petrilla, John	P 404 L Avago Technologies	3 # <u>884</u>	C/ 83B SC 83B.4. Latchman, Ryan	3 P407	L 37	# 102
least 0.25 UI peak-to-p similar statements in c source definition has le	Comment Status X Il be conducted with a stressed input beak deterministic jitter" is open-ende clause 52, very problematic. Experien ed to more careful definitions, e.g. Sf where values are used, or Table 86A	d for stress and, as found with a ce with clause 52 stressed F-8431 where target values are	statement SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X th TX and RX paths shall be loc ling for both Tx and Rx Response Status O	cated in the module	." needs a PICs
least 0.25 UI peak-to-p	conducted with a stressed input sigr beak deterministic jitter" to "shall b comprised of 0.25 UI peak-to-peak de	e conducted with a stressed	C/ 83B SC 83B.4. Anslow, Peter	.3 P 407 Nortel Netwo	L 5 orks	# 594
Proposed Response Cl 83B SC 83B.4.	Response Status O	# 683	Annex 83B?	Comment Status X dule single ended output voltage	e range. Where is t	this requirement in
Dambrosia, John	Force 10 Networks Ir	nc	SuggestedRemedy			
<i>Comment Type</i> TR Missing Major capabili	Comment Status X ties / options subclause		Either add the requi	rement or remove the PICS ent Response Status 0	try	
	/ options PICS subclause		C/ 83B SC 83B.4 . Dambrosia, John	.3 P407 Force 10 Net	L 5	# 680
Proposed Response	Response Status O		,			
C/ 83B SC 83B.4.3 Latchman, Ryan Comment Type G "De-emphasis shall be	P407 L Comment Status X e off during jitter testing" should have	36 # 101	singularly in the PIC corresponding SHA module output signa	Comment Status X ent points to Tables 83B-2 and S, and in some cases things th LL statement (MC1); entries in al, minimum module differential B-3; and different names - modu nput return loss).	hat don't have a tab table with no corres output return loss,	le entry have a sponding PICS - various De-emphasis
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy			
	sis off during jitter testing		modify PIC to reflec	t SHALL statement - A module et the characteristics outlined in		
Proposed Response	Response Status O		Proposed Response	Response Status O		

```
C/ 83B
SC 83B.4.3
```

Page 91 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:52 PM

Draft 3.0 Comm	ents	IEEE P	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s	Ethernet cor	nments		Sponsor ballo	
C/ 83B SC 83B.4 atchman, Ryan	l.3 P407	L 6	# 100	Cl 83B Anslow, Pe	SC 83B.4.4	P 408 Nortel Netwo	L 18 rks	# 595	
Comment Type E Single ended outpu interface	Comment Status X It voltage range is no longer in	83B.2.1 since it is	an AC coupled	<i>Comment</i> Item H		Comment Status X r AC coupling" "Present". WI	here is this requir	rement in Annex 83B?	
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	•	nent or remove the PICS ent	n /		
Remove MC1				Proposed		Response Status 0	ıy		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Toposed	Response	Response Status U			
C/ 83B SC 83B.4	l.3 P408	L19	# 103	<i>Cl</i> 83B Dambrosia	SC 83B.4.4 a, John	P 408 Force 10 Net	L 4 works Inc	# 682	
atchman, Ryan Comment Type E Remove HC12 sind	Comment Status X				C12 has no corre	Comment Status X esponding SHALL statement			
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested add Sl	<i>IRemedy</i> HALL statement				
Remove HC12 Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Proposed	Response	Response Status 0			
CI 83B SC 83B.4	-	L 40	# 681	C/ 83C Hajduczen	SC 83C ia, Marek	P1 ZTE Corp.	L 409	# 116	
Dambrosia, John	Force 10 Ne	etworks Inc		Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X			
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X ent points to Tables 83B-4 and	83B-5 but then t	hings are called out	0		c contain caption with the wo	rd "Example" whi	ch seems redundant.	
	CS, and there are conflicts- mis			Suggested	0				
SuggestedRemedy				••	mment				
	ct SHALL statement - A host w et the characteristics outlined ir		CAUI to interface with	Proposed	Response	Response Status 0			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			<i>Cl</i> 83C Hajduczen	SC 83C ia, Marek	P1 ZTE Corp.	L 409	# [117	
				Comment Figure		Comment Status X are sparsely distributed. Tryi	fitting two figures	s per page.	
				Suggested Per co	IRemedy mment				
				Proposed	D	Response Status O			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 83C SC 83C Page 92 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:52 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 84 SC 84 Turner, Edward J	P 226 Gnodal Limited	L 47	# 232	C/ 84 SC 84.1 Dambrosia, John	P 223 Force 10 Netw	L 7 vorks Inc	# 625
Comment Type E Table 84-3. No line a	Comment Status X at the bottom of the table.			The text states the following			
SuggestedRemedy Add line to bottom of	f table as per other tables split ove	er pages		form a complete PHY, the P 841)" but the PIC in 84.11. but not a sublayer. however,	.3 inclues the XLGMII int	terface which is a	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy add appropriate pic for XLAI	UI		
C/ 84 SC 84.1 Anslow, Peter	P 223 Nortel Networks	L 20	# 498	Proposed Response Re	esponse Status O		
	Comment Status X der of clauses is confusing as XLA	AUI is shown I	between XLGMII and	Cl 84 SC 84.1 Anslow, Peter	P 224 Nortel Network	L 42 ks	# 500
	o clause 85 Table 85-1			Comment Type T C	No		
SuggestedRemedy Show the clauses in	the order that they appear in the s	stack in Figure	e 84-1. Do the	This says "IS_UNITDATA_i. (2 places)	Comment Status X	be "PMD:IS_UN	ITDATA_i.indication"
SuggestedRemedy Show the clauses in equivalent for Table 8	the order that they appear in the s	stack in Figure	e 84-1. Do the	This says "IS_UNITDATA_i.	indication" but it should		
SuggestedRemedy Show the clauses in equivalent for Table a Proposed Response Cl 84 SC 84.1	the order that they appear in the s 85-1	L26	# 499	This says "IS_UNITDATA_i. (2 places) SuggestedRemedy Change "IS_UNITDATA_i.in the same change in clause 4	indication" but it should		
SuggestedRemedy Show the clauses in equivalent for Table & Proposed Response Cl 84 SC 84.1 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E	the order that they appear in the s 85-1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 223	L 26	# 499	This says "IS_UNITDATA_i. (2 places) SuggestedRemedy Change "IS_UNITDATA_i.in the same change in clause 4	indication" but it should ndication" to "PMD:IS_UN 45, Page 237, line 9	NITDATA_i.indic	
SuggestedRemedy Show the clauses in equivalent for Table & Proposed Response Cl 84 SC 84.1 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E Clause 73 is no longe SuggestedRemedy Since the full title ma	the order that they appear in the s 85-1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 223 Nortel Networks <i>Comment Status</i> X ger called "Auto-Negotiation for Bac ay be too long, change "Auto-Negotiation for Bac	L 26 ckplane Ether otiation for Ba	# 499 net" ckplane Ethernet" to	This says "IS_UNITDATA_i. (2 places) SuggestedRemedy Change "IS_UNITDATA_i.in the same change in clause 4 Proposed Response Re Cl 84 SC 84.11.4.1 Dambrosia, John	indication" but it should ndication" to "PMD:IS_UN 45, Page 237, line 9 esponse Status 0 P233 Force 10 Netw Comment Status X	NITDATA_i.indic	ation" (2 places). Mak
SuggestedRemedy Show the clauses in equivalent for Table & Proposed Response Cl 84 SC 84.1 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E Clause 73 is no longe SuggestedRemedy Since the full title ma	the order that they appear in the s 85-1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 223 Nortel Networks <i>Comment Status</i> X ger called "Auto-Negotiation for Bac	L 26 ckplane Ether otiation for Ba	# 499 net" ckplane Ethernet" to	This says "IS_UNITDATA_i. (2 places) SuggestedRemedy Change "IS_UNITDATA_i.in the same change in clause 4 Proposed Response Re Cl 84 SC 84.11.4.1 Dambrosia, John Comment Type TR C	indication" but it should ndication" to "PMD:IS_UN 45, Page 237, line 9 esponse Status O P233 Force 10 Netw Comment Status X SHALL" statement for FS	NITDATA_i.indic	ation" (2 places). Mak

C/ **84** SC **84.11.4.1**

Draft 3.0 Comments	IEEE P	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s	s Ethernet cor	nments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 84 SC 84.11.4.1 P2 Anslow, Peter Norte	33 L 21 I Networks	# 510	C/ 84 Hajduczer	SC 84.11.4.1 nia, Marek	Р 34 ZTE Corp.	L 233	# 149
Comment Type T Comment Status FS7 Value/Comment says "Set to FAIL". W		FAIL"	Comment There	51	Comment Status X y "is used" all the time in Table	84.11.4.1, 84	.11.4.3, 84.11.4.4.
SuggestedRemedy Change "Set to FAIL" to "Set to FAIL on res	set"		Suggester Per co	dRemedy omment.			
Proposed Response Response Status	0		Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 84 SC 84.11.4.1 P 2 Anslow, Peter Norte	33 L 21 I Networks	# 509	<i>Cl</i> 84 Anslow, P	SC 84.11.4.2	P 233 Nortel Networks	L 49	# 512
Comment Type E Comment Status 45.2.1.9.5 is an external reference so it sho					Comment Status X transmit_fault as specified in 4	5.2.1.7.5." Thi	is should be
SuggestedRemedy Make it dark blue Proposed Response Response Status	0			-	ansmit_fault" to "Sets PMD_reculte under the set of the	eive_fault". A	lso 45.2.1.7.5 and
			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 84 SC 84.11.4.1 P2 Anslow, Peter Norte	33 L 29 I Networks	# 511	C/ 84	SC 84.2	P 224	L 42	# 292
Comment Type T Comment Status	x		Dawe, Pie		Independant		# <u>232</u>
This says "Requirements of 84.7.6, 84.7.7 a		ble 72-6 contains many	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
requirements, only one of which must be m SuggestedRemedy	θι.				ervice interface should be like th		
Change "Requirements of 84.7.6, 84.7.7 an 84.7.7"	d Table 72-6" to "Requi	rements of 84.7.6,	IS_UN IS_UN	NITDATA_i.indica NITDATA_i.indica	aft says "When SIGNAL_DETE ation parameters are undefined, ation as a logic zero." The 10GE	but conseque BASE-KR PM	ent actions interpret D utilizes the PMD
Proposed Response Response Status	0		FAIL, for co which	PMD_UNITDAT/ nsequent actions	ed in 52.1.1. 52.1.1.3.1 says sir A.indication(rx_bit) is undefined ; this is deliberate, as the "cons s. There is no requirement for s ro".)	.". Note that the that the sequent action	here is no specification ns" includes a CDR,
			Suggestee	dRemedy			
					t actions interpret	05 0 Thurs	
				NITDATA_i.indica otical PMDs.	ation as a logic zero" here and i	n 85.2. There	is another comment for

CI 84 SC 84.2 Page 94 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:52 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing space in FALL SuggestedRemedy Insett space Proposed Response Response Response Status O Camment Type T Comment Status X Anslow, Peter Notel Networks Camment Type T Comment Status X In Tables 8-2 and 84-3 the MDIO variable names do not all match the names used in Clause 45. Likewise, not all of the register names match with the names used in Clause 45. Likewise, not all of the register names match with the names used in Clause 45. Likewise, not all of the register names match with the names used in Clause 45. Likewise, not all of the register names due to the General Status X SuggestedRemedy In the MDIO variable columns, change Transmit disable x' to "PMD transmit disable rigiter". change "Control 1 register" to "PMD receive signal detect to gister". Match equivalent changes to Tables 5-2 and 85-3 SuggestedRemedy In the MDIO variable equivalent changes to Table X to "PMD transmit disable rigiter". change "Control 1 register" to "PMD receive signal detect register". Anange "Control 1 register" in Clause 45.2 and 64.3 the equivalent changes to Tables 5-2 and 85.3 SuggestedRemedy In the MDIO variable equivalent changes to Tables 85-2 and 85.3 SuggestedRemedy In the PMAPMD register name columns, change "Control 1 register", change "Siggesterelegater to "PMD/PMD status x	ament Type F Comment Status X	
Insert space Response Response Status 0 Cl 84 SC 84.6 P226 L6 # 501 Cl 84 SC 84.6 P226 L6 # 501 Chandow, Peter Notel Networks 0 Comment Type T Comment Status X 0 Clause 42.1.Lewise, not all of the register names in acht with the names used in Clause 42.3 the MDIO variable names do not all match the names used in Clause 42.4 and 84-3 the MDIO variable names do not all match the names in Clause 45. Comment Type T Comment Status X Ganga, llango Intel Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X Model Corporation Suggested/Remedy The MDIO variable names in claushe x' to "PMD transmit disable ry" to "Ghange Transmit disable ry" to "Ghange Transmit disable ry" to "Ghange Transmit disable register", change "Transmit disable register", change "Status x register", change to "PMD receive signal detect register", change to Transmit disable re	Missing space in =FAIL	The bit defined in 45.2.1.7.5 is called "Receive fault". Also, 45.2.1.7.5 should be a link.
Proposed Response Response Status O Charles Sc Barles Sc Barl		SuggestedRemedy
Cit 84SC 84.6 $P226$ L6 $f 501$ Anslow, PeterNortel Networks $Paposed Response$ Response Status OComment Type TComment Status XIn Tables 84-3 and 84-3 the MDIO variable names do not all match the names in Clause 45. Same issue in Tables 85-2 and 85-3Intel CorporationSuggestedRemedyIn the PMDI Crainable for the Control 1 register, change "Transmit disable x" to "PMD transmit disable x" to "PMD transmit disable seginal detect to "Global PMD receive signal detect", change "Global PMD Receive signal detect to "Difference of the PMD reakers and the sequence of the PMD receive signal detect to "Global PMD receive signal detect to "Global PMD receive signal detect to "Global PMD receive signal detect to "Difference" "Status x register" to "PMD receive signal detect to "Global PMD receive signal detect to "PMD receive signal detect to "Difference" change "Receive signal detect to "PMD receive signal detect to "Difference" change "Receive signal detect to "Signal detect to "Global PMD receive signal detect to "Global PMD receive signal detect to "Difference" change "Receive signal detect to "PMD receive signal detect to "PMD receive signal detect to "Signal detect to "Global PMD receive signal detect to "Signal detec		
Cl 84 SC 84.6 P226 L6 # 501 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X In Tables 84-2 and 84-3 the MDIO variable names do not all match the names used in Clause 45. Likewise, not all of the register names match with the names in Clause 45. Same issue in Tables 84-2 and 84-3 the MDIO variable columns, change "Transmit disable x" to "PMD transmit disable register", change "Global PMD Receive signal detect" to "Clobal PMD Receive signal detect" to "Clobal PMD receive signal detect" to "PMD register name columns, change "Control 1 register", change "Global PMD Receive signal detect to" Cl 84 SC 84.7.2 P226 L38 # 901 PMD signal detext '' Clobal PMD Receive signal detext<''	Josed Response Status O	
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X In Tables 84-2 and 84-3 the MDIO variable names do not all match the names used in Clause 45. Same issue in Tables 85-2 and 85-3 Cl 84 SC 84.7.2 P226 L 38 # [901] SuggestedRemedy Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy In the MDIO variable columns, change "Transmit disable x" to "PMD transmit disable x", change "Receive signal detect x" to "PMD receive signal detect x" to "PMD trease isgnal detect x", change "Transmit disable register" to "PMD trease isgnal detect x", change "Transmit disable register" to "PMD trease isgnal detect register", change "Transmit disable register" to "PMD trease isgnal detect register", change "Transmit disable register" to "PMD trease isgnal detect register", change "Transmit disable register" to "PMD trease isgnal detect register", change "Transmit disable register" to "PMD trease isgnal detect register", change "Transmit disable register" to "PMD trease isgnal detect register", change "Receive signal detect x" to "PMD trease isgnal detect register", change "Transmit disable register" to "PMD trease isgnal detect register", change "Transmit disable register" to "PMD trease isgnal detect regis	34 SC 84 6 P226 / 6 # 501	Proposed Response Status O
Comment Type T Comment Status X In Tables 84-2 and 84-3 the MDIO variable names do not all match the names used in Clause 45. Same issue in Tables 85-2 and 85-3 Ganga, llango Intel Corporation SuggestedRemedy In the MDIO variable columns, change "Transmit disable x" to "PMD transmit disable x" to "PMD transmit disable x" to "PMD receive signal detect" to "Global PMD receive signal detect" to "Global PMD receive signal detect x" The control function variables used in table 84-3 need to be defined in the corresponding variables defined for single lane. So description to be added to 84.7.12 to state that the corresponding variables defined for single lane. So description to be added to 84.7.12 to state that the corresponding variables is enumerated to "runction in Clause 72. However Clause 72 is applicable to single lane. So description to be added to 84.7.12 to state that the corresponding variables defined for single lane is enumerated. Through rx_trained_alters. For example rx_trained_variable is enumerated to "runction in Clause 72. However Clause 72 is applicable to single lane. So description to be added to 84.7.12 to state that the corresponding variables defined for single lane is enumerated. Through rx_trained_variable is enumerated to "runction example rx_tra		
In Tables 84-2 and 84-3 the MDIO variable names do not all match the names used in Clause 45. Likewise, not all of the register names match with the names in Clause 45. SuggestedRemedy In the MDIO variable columns, change "Transmit disable x" to "PMD transmit disable x", change "Global PMD Receive signal detect x" to "PMD receive signal detect x" PMD signal detect x" to "PMD receive signal detect x" In the PMA/PMD register name columns, change "Control 1 register" to PMA/PMD control In register" to "PMD transmit disable exister", change "Receive signal detect register", change "Status x register", change "Receive signal detect register", change "Receive signal detect register". Make equivalent changes to Tables 85-2 and 85-3 Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 84 SC 84.7.10 P229 L9 # <u>506</u> Cl 84 SC 84.7.10 P227 L38 # [<u>281</u> Muller, Shimon Sun Microsystems Comment Type E Comment Status X The bit defined in 45.2.1.7.4 is called "Transmit fault". Also, 45.2.1.7.4 should be a link. Same issue in 85.7.10 SuggestedRemedy Change "mapped to the PMD_transmit fault bit" to "mapped to the Transmit fault bit". Also, make 45.2.1.7.4 a link. Make the same changes in 85.7.10 Page 242, line 50	nment Type T Comment Status X	
Class 45. Likewise, not all of the register names includes 45. Same issue in Tables 85-2 and 85-3 SuggestedRemedy In the MDIO variable columns, change "Transmit disable x" to "PMD treasmit disable tax", change "Global PMD receive signal detect to "Global PMD receive signal detect x" to "PMD receive signal detect x" to "PMD receive signal detect x" "PMD signal detect x" to "PMD receive signal detect x" In the PMA/PMD register name columns, change "Control 1 register" to PMA/PMD control 1 register" to "PMA/PMD status x register", change "Receive signal detect register", change "Status x register" to "PMA/PMD status x register", change "Receive signal detect register", change "Receive signal detect register" to "PMA/PMD status x register". Make equivalent changes to Tables 85-2 and 85-3 <i>Croposed Response Response Status Croposed Response Response Status Cromment Type Comment Status</i> X The bit defined in 45.2.1.7.4 is called "Transmit fault". Also, 45.2.1.7.4 should be a link. Same issue in 85.7.10 <i>Page</i> 242, line 50 <i>Change</i> "mapped to the PMD_transmit fault bit" to "mapped to the Transmit fault bit". Also, make 45.2.1.7.4 a link. Make the same changes in 85.7.10 Page 242, line 50 <i>Page</i> 242, line 50		
Cl 84 SC 84.7.10 P 229 L 9 # 506 nslow, Peter Nortel Networks 506 Cl 84 SC 84.7.4 P 227 L 38 # 281 Muller, Shimon Sun Microsystems Comment Type E Comment Status X Sun Microsystems The bit defined in 45.2.1.7.4 is called "Transmit fault". Also, 45.2.1.7.4 should be a link. Sun Microsystems Comment Type uggestedRemedy Change "mapped to the PMD_transmit_fault bit" to "mapped to the Transmit fault bit". Also, make 45.2.1.7.4 a link. Make the same changes in 85.7.10 Page 242, line 50 Ci 84 SC 84.7.4 P 227 L 38 # 281	"PMD signal detect x" to "PMD receive signal detect x" In the PMA/PMD register name columns, change "Control 1 register" to PMA/PMD contro 1 register", change "Transmit disable register" to "PMD transmit disable register", change "Status x register" to "PMA/PMD status x register", change "Receive signal detect register"	 rx_trained_0 through rx_trained_3. Variable names with proper enumeration to be defined in Clause 80 so this can be mapped to registers in Clause 45. SuggestedRemedy Provide description of variables in appropriate subclaue(s) in Clause 84.
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Muller, Shimon Sun Microsystems Comment Type E Comment Status X The bit defined in 45.2.1.7.4 is called "Transmit fault". Also, 45.2.1.7.4 should be a link. Same issue in 85.7.10 Sun Microsystems SuggestedRemedy Change "mapped to the PMD_transmit_fault bit" to "mapped to the Transmit fault bit". Also, make 45.2.1.7.4 a link. Make the same changes in 85.7.10 Page 242, line 50 Muller, Shimon Sun Microsystems Proposed Response Response Status Q	bosed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
The bit defined in 45.2.1.7.4 is called "Transmit fault". Also, 45.2.1.7.4 should be a link. Same issue in 85.7.10 SuggestedRemedy Change "mapped to the PMD_transmit_fault bit" to "mapped to the Transmit fault bit". Also, make 45.2.1.7.4 a link. Make the same changes in 85.7.10 Page 242, line 50 Suggested Remedy Insert "successful" between "Upon" and "completion". Proposed Response Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Change "mapped to the PMD_transmit_fault bit" to "mapped to the Transmit fault bit". Also, make 45.2.1.7.4 a link. Make the same changes in 85.7.10 Page 242, line 50 SuggestedRemedy Insert "successful" between "Upon" and "completion". Proposed Response Response Status O	The bit defined in 45.2.1.7.4 is called "Transmit fault". Also, 45.2.1.7.4 should be a link.	51
Change "mapped to the PMD_transmit_fault bit" to "mapped to the Transmit fault bit". Also, make 45.2.1.7.4 a link. Make the same changes in 85.7.10 Page 242, line 50 Proposed Response Response Status O		
	Change "mapped to the PMD_transmit_fault bit" to "mapped to the Transmit fault bit". All	
	posed Response Response Status O	

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 84 SC 84.7.4 Dambrosia, John	P 227 Force 10 Netw	L 41 vorks Inc	# 628	<i>Cl</i> 84 Anslow, Pe	SC 84.7.6 ter		P 228 Nortel Network	L 8 <s< th=""><th># 503</th></s<>	# 503
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment	vpe T	Comment S	Status X		
There is no correspon interface is implement set to the value of SIGNAL_	ding PIC for the second SHAL ed, then Global_PMD_signal_ DETECT as described in 45.2	detect (1.10.0)	shall be continuously	This sa specific voltage	ys "and does n ed in Table 72-6 (max.)" and "D	S.". Since Table	72-6 contains -to-peak output	both "Differenti t voltage (max.)	eak output voltage al peak-to-peak outpu with TX disabled" it i 23.
(1.10.4) shall be			-	specifie	e "and does not ed in Table 72-6 voltage with TX	5." to "and does	not exceed the fied in Table 72	e maximum diff	ak output voltage erential peak-to-peak same change on line
SuggestedRemedy									
add appropriate PIC to Proposed Response	o 84.11.4.1 Response Status O			<i>Cl</i> 84 Anslow, Pe	SC 84.7.7 ter		P 228 Nortel Network	L17 <s< td=""><td># 504</td></s<>	# 504
Banga, Ilango	P 227 Intel Corporati	L 50 on	# 898	Suggested	es should be in Remedy				
Ganga, Ilango Comment Type E change n to italics in v variable. Similarly cha uses n and the other v		on Also check oth _transmit_disal	ner instances of this ble_i. Why one variable	Variabl <i>Suggested</i> In "The	es should be in Re <i>medy</i> PMD_transmit so on lines 21,	italic font _disable_i funct	tion (where i re	presents" show	the two "i"'s in italic
Ganga, Ilango Comment Type E change n to italics in v variable. Similarly cha uses n and the other v	Intel Corporati Comment Status X variable PMD_signal_detect_n. nge i to italics in variable PMD	on Also check oth _transmit_disal	ner instances of this ble_i. Why one variable	Variabl <i>Suggested</i> In "The font. Al	es should be in Re <i>medy</i> PMD_transmit so on lines 21,	italic font _disable_i funct 24 and 26	tion (where i re	presents" show	the two "i"'s in italic # <u>505</u>
Ganga, Ilango Comment Type E change n to italics in v variable. Similarly cha uses n and the other v SuggestedRemedy As per comment	Intel Corporati Comment Status X variable PMD_signal_detect_n. nge i to italics in variable PMD	on Also check oth _transmit_disal	ner instances of this ble_i. Why one variable	Variabl Suggested In "The font. Al Proposed F	es should be in Remedy PMD_transmit so on lines 21, Response SC 84.7.8 ter	italic font _disable_i funct 24 and 26 <i>Response</i> S	tion (where i re Status O P 228 Nortel Network	L 38	
Comment Type E Comment Type E change n to italics in w variable. Similarly cha uses n and the other w SuggestedRemedy As per comment Proposed Response C/ 84 SC 84.7.5	Intel Corporati Comment Status X variable PMD_signal_detect_n. nge i to italics in variable PMD variable uses i. Change both of Response Status O	on Also check oth _transmit_disat f these to be i to <i>L</i> 50	ner instances of this ble_i. Why one variable	Variabl Suggested In "The font. Al Proposed F CI 84 Anslow, Pe Comment 7 This sa "PMA I	es should be in Remedy PMD_transmit so on lines 21, Response SC 84.7.8 ter Sype T ys "Control of ti pocal loopback"	italic font _disable_i funct 24 and 26 <i>Response S</i> 	tion (where i re Status O P 228 Nortel Network Status X notion is specifi	<i>L</i> 38 <s ed in 45.2.1.1.4</s 	
Comment Type E Comment Type E change n to italics in w variable. Similarly cha uses n and the other w SuggestedRemedy As per comment Proposed Response Cl 84 SC 84.7.5 unslow, Peter	Intel Corporati Comment Status X variable PMD_signal_detect_n. nge i to italics in variable PMD variable uses i. Change both of Response Status O	on Also check oth _transmit_disat f these to be i to <i>L</i> 50	her instances of this ble_i. Why one variable b be consistent.	Variabl Suggested In "The font. Al Proposed F CI 84 Anslow, Pe Comment 7 This sa "PMA I Suggested	es should be in Remedy PMD_transmit so on lines 21, Response SC 84.7.8 ter Sype T ys "Control of the coal loopback" Remedy	italic font _disable_i funct 24 and 26 <i>Response S</i> <i>Comment S</i> he loopback fun not PMD loopba	tion (where i re Status O P 228 Nortel Network Status X Inction is specifi ack. Same issu	<i>L</i> 38 ks ed in 45.2.1.1.4 ie in 85.7.8	# 505
Ganga, Ilango Comment Type E change n to italics in w variable. Similarly cha uses n and the other w SuggestedRemedy As per comment Proposed Response Cl 84 SC 84.7.5 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E Throughout the draft w	Intel Corporati <i>Comment Status</i> X variable PMD_signal_detect_n. nge i to italics in variable PMD variable uses i. Change both of <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 227 Nortel Networl <i>Comment Status</i> X ve have used n to denote the r	on Also check oth transmit_disal these to be i to <i>L</i> 50 ks	ther instances of this ble_i. Why one variable b be consistent. # <u>502</u>	Variabl Suggested In "The font. Al Proposed F Cl 84 Anslow, Pe Comment T This sa "PMA I Suggested Either o control	es should be in Remedy PMD_transmit so on lines 21, Response SC 84.7.8 ter Type T ys "Control of to bcal loopback" Remedy explain that the function. Also,	italic font _disable_i funct 24 and 26 <i>Response S</i> <i>Comment S</i> he loopback fun not PMD loopba loopback function	tion (where i re Status O P228 Nortel Network Status X Inction is specifi ack. Same issue on is in the co- uld be a link. Ap	<i>L</i> 38 (s ed in 45.2.1.1.4 ie in 85.7.8 located PMA o	# <u>505</u> .". But 45.2.1.1.4 is
Ganga, Ilango Comment Type E change n to italics in v variable. Similarly cha uses n and the other v SuggestedRemedy As per comment Proposed Response CI 84 SC 84.7.5 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E Throughout the draft v See 84.7.7 for exampl SuggestedRemedy Change "each PMD_s PMD_signal_detect_i	Intel Corporati <i>Comment Status</i> X variable PMD_signal_detect_n. nge i to italics in variable PMD variable uses i. Change both of <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 227 Nortel Network <i>Comment Status</i> X	on Also check oth _transmit_disal f these to be i to <i>L</i> 50 <s number of lanes ng subclause of represents" to I show both "i"s</s 	ther instances of this ble_i. Why one variable b be consistent. # <u>502</u> # and i for a variable. clause 85 "each	Variabl Suggested In "The font. Al Proposed F CI 84 Anslow, Pe Comment 7 This sa "PMA I Suggested Either of	es should be in Remedy PMD_transmit so on lines 21, Response SC 84.7.8 ter Type T ys "Control of to bcal loopback" Remedy explain that the function. Also,	italic font _disable_i funct 24 and 26 <i>Response S</i> <i>Comment S</i> he loopback fun not PMD loopba	tion (where i re Status O P228 Nortel Network Status X Inction is specifi ack. Same issue on is in the co- uld be a link. Ap	<i>L</i> 38 (s ed in 45.2.1.1.4 ie in 85.7.8 located PMA o	# <u>505</u> ". But 45.2.1.1.4 is r provide a separate

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 84 SC 84.7.8

Draft 3.0 Comment	ts	IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	id 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	mments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 84 SC 84.7.8 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 46 ZTE Corp.	L 228	# 152	<i>Cl</i> 84 Anslow, Pe	SC 84.8.2	P 229 Nortel Networks	L 42	# 508
	Comment Status X ing a network port into loopbac y is a network disrupted in such ?			as 100	ays "Receiver e BASE-KR, as (Comment Status X lectrical characteristics at TP4 for detailed in 72.7.1.1 through 72.7.2 characteristics start at 72.7.2.1		
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Change to read "Placin operation and carried t	ng a network port into loopback traffic."	a mode can be d	lisruptive to a network	Chang 72.7.2.		n 72.7.1.1 through 72.7.2.5." to "a	s detailed in	72.7.2.1 through
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
Cl 84 SC 84.7.9 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 49 ZTE Corp.	L 228	# 153	<i>Cl</i> 85 Turner, Ed	SC 85 ward J	P 237 Gnodal Limited	L 30	# 246
PMD_transmit_fault, a MDIO is implemented, PMD_receive_fault, PI	Comment Status X ented, PMD_fault is the logical and any other implementation s , PMD_fault corresponds to the MD_transmit_fault, and any oth to 85.7.9 PMD_fault function, p	pecific fault.cha logical OR ope ner implementati	nge to read "If the ration on on specific	Suggested	ace between the Remedy space between	Comment Status X e and 100GBASE-CR10 the and 100GBASE-CR10 Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				•	•			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			<i>CI</i> 85 Turner, Ed	SC 85 ward J	P 238 Gnodal Limited	L 54	# 233
<i>Cl</i> 84 <i>SC</i> 84.8.1.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 37 ZTE Corp.	L 229	# 151		85-3. No line at	Comment Status X the bottom of the table.		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Suggested		able as per other tables split over	nades	
	as 10GBASE-KR shall be used d "The test fixture defined for 1			Proposed I		Response Status O	pagoo	
SuggestedRemedy Per comment								

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 85 SC 85 Page 97 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:52 PM

Draft 3.0 Comments	S	IEEE P80	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/	s Ethernet co	omments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 85 SC 85 Dudek, Michael	P 240 QLogic Corporation	L 9 on	# 828	C/ 85 Moore, Cl	SC 85 narles	P 244 Avago Techno	L 46 logies	# 813
Comment Type TR TP3 is not at the input e	Comment Status X end of the mated connector. It is	at a specified	oss from this point.	<i>Comment</i> Deter		Comment Status X	considered part	t of it is meaningless
SuggestedRemedy Replace the input end c in 85.8.3.5	of the mated connector TP3 with	TP3 using the	test fixture specified			y Cycle Distortion is considered	part of the det	erministic jitter
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Cl 85 SC 85 Dudek, Michael	P 241 QLogic Corporation	L 35	# 829	<i>Cl</i> 85 Turner, Ee	SC 85 dward J	P 245 Gnodal Limited	L18	# 248
	Comment Status X ed Global PMD From line 35 on t ction 84.7.5 which is the lane by l	,	5			Comment Status X ssembly's is a sans-serif type, w	hereas the styl	le elsewhere is to use a
	n lane by lane signal detect from inded text into a table format. <i>Response Status</i> 0	84.7.4 to 87.7	.5. Also consider		,	Also on page 246 at line 38, ar Response Status O	nd page 339 at	line 30.
C/ 85 SC 85	P244	L 26	# 812	<i>Cl</i> 85 Moore, Cl	SC 85 harles	P 245 Avago Techno	L 35 logies	# 815
Moore, Charles Comment Type TR min amplitude(linear fit)	Avago Technolog Comment Status X) spec of 0.24V conflicts with Line		ec on line 23-24		51	Comment Status X t pattern" is not specified. The s work	spec could be c	calling for alternating 1s
SuggestedRemedy delete min amplitude (li	<i>,</i> .				ge 6) to:	f the transmitter under test sen	ds a square wa	ve test pattern,
Proposed Response	Response Status O			consi	sting of 5 conse	cutive ones followed by five con d idle or PRBS-31"		
				Proposed	Response	Response Status O		

CI 85 SC 85 Page 98 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:52 PM

Draft 3.	0 Comments	
----------	------------	--

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 85 SC 85 Moore, Charles	P 246 Avago Techno	L 50	# 817	C/ 85 S Moore, Charle	SC 85	Р 247 Аvago Tec	L 5 hnologies	# 819
Comment Type T	Comment Status X the intent of the following proce	-	e the procedure easier	Comment Typ	e TR eferenced e	Comment Status X	-	. I think that its clarity
performance at TP2. to: "Instead the effective	channel characteristic between equalized to measure the trans	n the equalizer for the equalizer for the equalizer for the equalizer for the base of the	unction and TP2 is	SuggestedRer Change: "Compute to: "Compute 85.8.3.3.5 Make the Also in ste "linear fit p to: "linear fit p and in not "linear fit p to:	nedy the linear fi "same chang ps 10 and 1 ulse, p," ulse respor es b and c t	o Table 85-4, change:		oulse response p(k) pe
Comment Type TR The peak value of the	Comment Status X e linear fit pulse is out of alignm	ent with table 8	5-1	Proposed Res	•	Response Status O		
to: "DC amplitude, the su step 3, shall be great	te linear fit pulse from step 3, p um of linear fit pulse response, er than 0.34V and no greater th step 3 shall be greater than 0.6 <i>Response Status</i> O	p(k), from step an 0.6V. The p	3 divided by M from eak of the linear fit	Dudek, Michae Comment Typ The existin SuggestedRen Replace "t	e ER ng wording i <i>nedy</i> o be differe	P248 QLogic Co <i>Comment Status</i> X s very difficult to follow. nce in the value measured		# 830
Cl 85 SC 85 Turner, Edward J Comment Type E Table 85-5. Thin line SuggestedRemedy Use a thicker line und Proposed Response	P 247 Gnodal Limite Comment Status X under title cells. der the title cells, as per tables Response Status Q		# 234	value mea Proposed Res	sured prior ponse	to" Response Status O		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 85 SC 85 Page 99 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:53 PM

Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s	and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments	Sponsor ballo
C/ 85 P 248 L 18 # 249 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited	Cl 85 SC 85 P 251 L 51 Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation	# 833
Comment Type E Comment Status X The quote marks are a sans-serif type, whereas the style elsewhere is to use a serif type with a tail.	Comment Type T Comment Status X The insertion loss is now reference not maximum. SuggestedRemedy	
SuggestedRemedy Use serif quote marks. Also at lines 22 and 25 on the same page.	Change ILtfmax to ILtfref in equation 85-16. Also make the same chang 252, and change maximum to reference in the description on this line.	e on line 4 page
Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O	
C/ 85 P 251 L 15 # 831 Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation	C/85SC85P 251L 9Moore, CharlesAvago Technologies	# 820
Comment Type T Comment Status X Figure 85-5 is difficult to follow.	Comment Type TR Comment Status X The text of 85.8.3.5 Test Fixture and Figure 85-5 Transmitter test fixture	, are very unclear.
SuggestedRemedy Add a box labelled DUT to the left of the diagram with an output with the mating connector to the TP2 or TP3 test fixture. Put a box around everything to the right of the TP2 or TP3 vertical line. Label this box Test Equipment. Move the label for the line TP2 or TP3 higher so that it is the highest line in the diagram. Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy Have 85.8.3.5 State: "The test fixture shown in Figure 85-5 or its functional equivalent is requ Transmitter tests and for receiver return loss measurement. It shall cons connecting either to a 40-GBASE-CR4 or 100GBASE-CR10 MDI conner and all necessary signals connected to RF connectors and all other sign 100 Ohms differential. When mated with a cable assembly test fixture it specifications of 85.10.9." I Will provide a suggested drawing.	sist of a plug ctor as appropriate als terminated with
C/ 85 SC 85 P 251 L 33 # 832 Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation 832 <td>Proposed Response Response Status O</td> <td></td>	Proposed Response Response Status O	
Comment TypeTRComment StatusXI don't think it is feasible to get 15dB return loss up to 5GHz from the test fixture including the connector and I don't think refering back to clause 72 helps.	Cl 85 SC 85 P252 L33 Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation	# 834
SuggestedRemedy Change "test fixture shall" to "test fixture excluding the connector shall. Replace the last sentence with "The test fixture when mated with the cable assembly test fixture described in 0.5 40.0 mmod the sentence reprintments described in 0.5 40.0 cm	Comment Type TR Comment Status X The SCD11 line is all wrong. (SCD11 shouldn't be +10, and differential t return loss should be min not max.	o common mode
in 85.10.8 meet the impedance requirements described in 85.10.9.2" Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy Change this row to "Differential to Common mode return loss" "10dB mi	n from "
response status C		n irom

```
CI 85
SC 85
```

Page 100 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:53 PM

		2802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	and 100Gb/s Ethernet co	mments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 85 SC 85 Moore, Charles	P 253 L1 Avago Technologies	# 821	C/ 85 SC 85 Dudek, Michael	P 254 QLogic Corpo	L 36 ration	# 835
	Comment Status X erance test is not actually performed at TF f the Test channel is in effect done at TP4		Comment Type E poor English SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy			replace "each the" wit	h "each of the"		
In 85.8.4.2, change: "Receiver interference to to:			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
"Receiver interference tol Proposed Response	Response Status O		C/ 85 SC 85 Dudek, Michael	P 254 QLogic Corpo	L 39 ration	# 836
Cl 85 SC 85 Dudek, Michael	P253 L13 QLogic Corporation	# 869	Comment Type E poor English	Comment Status X		
	Comment Status X		SuggestedRemedy			
Comment Type T	e rather than the intermediate cable used	in test 1 is likely to be	replace "at pattern" w	ith "at the pattern"		
more stressful.			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy						
Replace the Test 1 value calibrated far end crossta	es for a1, a2, and a4 with 1.2, 0.021,0.02 a alk for test 1 to 10mV (value comes from 8 minimum cable attenuation of 3dB at Nvc	35-33) Also (similar to	C/ 85 SC 85 Dudek, Michael	P 254 QLogic Corpo	L 39 ration	# 837
Replace the Test 1 value calibrated far end crossta		35-33) Also (similar to		-		# 837
Replace the Test 1 value calibrated far end crossta another comment) add aProposed ResponseCl 85SC 85	alk for test 1 to 10mV (value comes from 8 minimum cable attenuation of 3dB at Nyc Response Status O P25385 L4	35-33) Also (similar to	Dudek, Michael <i>Comment Type</i> E	QLogic Corpo Comment Status X		# 837
Replace the Test 1 value calibrated far end crossta another comment) add a Proposed Response Cl 85 SC 85 Moore, Charles Comment Type TR	alk for test 1 to 10mV (value comes from 8 minimum cable attenuation of 3dB at Nyc Response Status O	35-33) Also (similar to guist to table 85-9.	Dudek, Michael Comment Type E poor English SuggestedRemedy	QLogic Corpo Comment Status X		# <u>837</u>
Replace the Test 1 value calibrated far end crossta another comment) add a Proposed Response Cl 85 SC 85 Moore, Charles Comment Type TR 85.8.4.2 does not make in	alk for test 1 to 10mV (value comes from 8 minimum cable attenuation of 3dB at Nyc Response Status O P25385 L4 Avago Technologies Comment Status X	35-33) Also (similar to guist to table 85-9.	Dudek, Michael <i>Comment Type</i> E poor English <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> replace "and host" wit	QLogic Corpo <i>Comment Status</i> X h "and with the host"		# <u>837</u> # <u>838</u>
Replace the Test 1 value calibrated far end crossta another comment) add a Proposed Response Cl 85 SC 85 Moore, Charles Comment Type TR 85.8.4.2 does not make i SuggestedRemedy Change The paragraph ir	alk for test 1 to 10mV (value comes from 8 minimum cable attenuation of 3dB at Nyc Response Status O P25385 L4 Avago Technologies Comment Status X it clear that both tests must pass n 85.8.4.2 To:	85-33) Also (similar to quist to table 85-9. # <u>822</u>	Dudek, Michael Comment Type E poor English SuggestedRemedy replace "and host" wit Proposed Response	QLogic Corpo Comment Status X h "and with the host" Response Status O	L 11	
Replace the Test 1 value calibrated far end crossta another comment) add a Proposed Response Cl 85 SC 85 Moore, Charles Comment Type TR 85.8.4.2 does not make in SuggestedRemedy Change The paragraph ir "The receiver shall path b	alk for test 1 to 10mV (value comes from 8 minimum cable attenuation of 3dB at Nyc <i>Response Status</i> O P25385 L4 Avago Technologies <i>Comment Status</i> X it clear that both tests must pass	85-33) Also (similar to quist to table 85-9. # <u>822</u>	Dudek, Michael Comment Type E poor English SuggestedRemedy replace "and host" wit Proposed Response C/ 85 SC 85 Dudek, Michael Comment Type TR	QLogic Corpo Comment Status X h "and with the host" Response Status O P 255 QLogic Corpo Comment Status X	L 11 L 11	
Replace the Test 1 value calibrated far end crossta another comment) add a Proposed Response Cl 85 SC 85 Moore, Charles Comment Type TR 85.8.4.2 does not make in SuggestedRemedy Change The paragraph ir "The receiver shall path b interference tolerance pa	alk for test 1 to 10mV (value comes from 8 minimum cable attenuation of 3dB at Nyc Response Status O P25385 L4 Avago Technologies Comment Status X it clear that both tests must pass n 85.8.4.2 To: both Test 1 (short channel) and Test 2 (log	85-33) Also (similar to quist to table 85-9. # <u>822</u>	Dudek, Michael <i>Comment Type</i> E poor English <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> replace "and host" wit <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 85 SC 85 Dudek, Michael <i>Comment Type</i> TR No mention is made of	QLogic Corpo Comment Status X h "and with the host" Response Status O P255 QLogic Corpo	L 11 L 11	
Replace the Test 1 value calibrated far end crossta another comment) add a Proposed Response Cl 85 SC 85 Moore, Charles Comment Type TR 85.8.4.2 does not make in SuggestedRemedy Change The paragraph ir "The receiver shall path b interference tolerance pa	alk for test 1 to 10mV (value comes from 8 minimum cable attenuation of 3dB at Nyc Response Status O P25385 L4 Avago Technologies Comment Status X it clear that both tests must pass n 85.8.4.2 To: both Test 1 (short channel) and Test 2 (lon arameters listed in Table 85-7."	85-33) Also (similar to quist to table 85-9. # <u>822</u>	Dudek, Michael <i>Comment Type</i> E poor English <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> replace "and host" with <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 85 SC 85 Dudek, Michael <i>Comment Type</i> TR No mention is made of <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	QLogic Corpo Comment Status X h "and with the host" Response Status O P 255 QLogic Corpo Comment Status X	L11 ration wels should be at.	

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 C/

 SORT ORDER:
 Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SO
 SO

CI 85 SC 85 Page 101 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:53 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 85 SC 85 Furner, Edward J C	P255 L9 Gnodal Limited	# 251	C/ 85 SC 85 Dudek, Michael	P 262 QLogic Corpora	L 32 Ition	# 839
Comment Type E Comment St The referenced section 86.8.8.2 does r			Comment Type ER It is strange to call the	Comment Status X e reference loss by a name includ	ding max	
SuggestedRemedy Replace with 86.8.2.			SuggestedRemedy Change the name ILc	atfmax to ILcatfref here and on li	ine 39	
Proposed Response Response Sta	atus O		Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 85 SC 85 Turner, Edward J C	P 256 L 7 Gnodal Limited	# 235	<i>Cl</i> 85 SC 85 Turner, Edward J	P 265 Gnodal Limited	L 37	# 238
Comment Type E Comment St Table 85-8. Thin line under title cells.	tatus X		Comment Type E Table 85-11. Thin line	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Use a thicker line under the title cells, a	as per tables in other clause	es	SuggestedRemedy Use a thicker line und	ler the title cells, as per tables in	other clauses	
Proposed Response Response Sta	atus O		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 85 SC 85 Turner, Edward J C	P257 L16 Gnodal Limited	# 236	<i>Cl</i> 85 SC 85 Turner, Edward J	P 266 Gnodal Limited	L 28	# 253
Comment Type E Comment St Table 85-9. Thin line under title cells.	tatus X		Comment Type E style-2 has a lower ca	Comment Status X ase s whereas elsewhere it has a	in uppercase s.	
SuggestedRemedy Use a thicker line under the title cells, a	as per tables in other clause	es	SuggestedRemedy Capitalise the s.			
Proposed Response Response Sta	atus O		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 85 SC 85 Turner, Edward J C	P 261 L 20 Gnodal Limited	# 237	<i>Cl</i> 85 SC 85 Turner, Edward J	P 269 Gnodal Limited	L 37	# 254
Comment Type E Comment St Table 85-10. Thin line under title cells.	tatus X		Comment Type E There are two referen	Comment Status X ces to IEC XXXXX-X-XX		
SuggestedRemedy Use a thicker line under the title cells, a	as per tables in other clause	es	SuggestedRemedy Replace with a valid r	eference.		
Proposed Response Response Sta			Proposed Response	Response Status O		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 85 SC 85 Page 102 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:53 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 85 SC 85 P 272 L 7 # 247 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited 247	C/ 85 SC 85 P 416 L 35 # 857 Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation 857
Comment Type E Comment Status X No space between Clause and 85 SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type ER Comment Status X This is actually 85A It would be less confusing if the sentence at line 53 were added at the end of the paragraph at line 35. SuggestedRemedy
Add a space between Clause and 85Proposed ResponseResponse StatusO	Move the sentence. Proposed Response Response Status O
Cl 85 SC 85 P 278 L 5 # 239 Furner, Edward J Gnodal Limited Gnodal Limited Comment Type E Comment Status X Thin line under title cells. SuggestedRemedy Use a thicker line under the title cells, as per PICS tables in other clauses	C/ 85 P416 L44 # 858 Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X This is actually 85A ILpcb is not the maximum SuggestedRemedy Glete "maximum". Add a row that defines ILpcbmax
Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
Cl 85 SC 85 P 415 L 40 # 856 Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation # 856 Comment Type T Comment Status X This is actually 85A. Clarification of the Jitter parameter test method would be helpful here SuggestedRemedy Add footnote c to the "max output jitter" row. Footnote c to say "Jitter is measured with emphasis off". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O	CI 85 SC 85 P417 L13 # 860 Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X This is actually 85A ILpcb is not the minimum SuggestedRemedy Change ILpcb to ILpcbmin Proposed Response Response Status O
	Cl 85 SC 85 P 417 L 32 # 861 Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation 861 Comment Type T Comment Status X 7 This is actually 85A ILca is not the maximum SuggestedRemedy 4 Gelete "maximum". Also delete the row on line 48 as this quantity is already defined here.
	Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 85 SC 85 Page 103 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:53 PM

Draft 3.0 Comments			IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comment					
C/ 85 SC 85	P 417	L 38	# 862	C/ 85	SC 85			
Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation			Dudek, Mi	ichael				
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X	Comment	Type TR	Comment				
This is actually 85A Th		This is actually 85A. You can't hav						
loss come from? Why minimum channel loss	is the maximum host lost bein	g used in an eq	uation defining the	Suggestee	dRemedy			
	:			Repla	ce "shall be" wi	ith "is recomme		
SuggestedRemedy Add a normative minimum cable loss requirement to table 85-9 and change the title to "Cable assembly insertion loss characteristics. Add one row. Minimimum insertion loss at 5.156 3.0dB. Then use this minimimum insertion loss and the minimum host loss					Response	Response		
(instead of max) in the				C/ 85	SC 85.1			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Hajduczer	nia, Marek			

C/ 85 SC 85 P417 L5 # 859 Dudek, Michael **QLogic Corporation** Comment Type ER Comment Status X This is actually 85A It would be less confusing if the sentence at line 15 were added at the end of the paragraph at line 5 SuggestedRemedy Move the sentence. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SC 85 C/ 85 P418 L31 # 863 Dudek, Michael **QLogic Corporation** Comment Status X Comment Type т This is actually 85A The wording is strange. "Determined using equation" sounds like a mathematical certitude. SuggestedRemedy Replace "is determined using equation". With "is recommended to meet equation".

Proposed Response Response Status O

P419 L1 # 864 **QLogic Corporation** ent Status X ve a shall statement in an informative clause. nended to be" se Status 0 P 29 L235 # 148 ZTE Corp. Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T Comment Status X In Table 85-1, "not applicable" should be written as "N/A" since that is what is used in PICS throughout the 802.3 standards. SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Proposed Response Response Status **O** C/ 85 SC 85.10.10.3 P259 L42 # 378 Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X [Editor's note: Comment 65 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0] Repeating D2.2 comment 65: Draft savs "Multiple Disturber Near-End Crosstalk (MDNEXT) loss is specified as the power sum of the individual NEXT losses." and "MDNEXT loss is determined by summing the

power of the four or ten individual pair-to-pair differential NEXT loss values". These statements are not correct: MDNEXT is the power sum of the individual NEXTs, but as equation 85-26 shows, "MDNEXT loss" is the inverse of the power sum of the individual inverses of "NEXT losses".

The power sum of the individual NEXT losses would be dominated by the weakest NEXT, which is not what we want.

SuggestedRemedy

My preferred solution is change "NEXT loss" to "NEXT" and "MDNEXT loss" to "MDNEXT", and flip the signs. This brings the signs in line with CEI, SFP+, CXP.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 85 SC 85.10.10.3 Page 104 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:53 PM

Sponsor ballot

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Comment Type E Comment Status X [Editor's note: Comment 64 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0] Inconsistent notation: here we have MDNEXT subscript loss while previously in 85 we had Insertion_loss, IL, Return_loss. 85A uses IL a lot. SuggestedRemedy My preferred solution is to use simply "MDNEXT" to and flip the sign, and replace Insertion_loss and IL with SDD21 (and flip the sign), in line with CEI, SFP+ and CXP. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 85 SC 85.10.2 P257 L13 # 689 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X The caption for Table 85-9 states these are "example" maximum cable assembly insertion loss requirements. This does not appear to be an example, they are the actual requirements as stated in the preceding paragraph. SuggestedRemedy Delete the word "Example" from the caption. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 85 SC 85.10.2 P257 L7 # 638 Delete the word "Example" from the caption. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 85 SC 85.10.2 P257 L7 # 638 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Gas <t< th=""><th>Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment 65 against D 2.3 was agreed to be re-submitted by the Editor against D 3.0. The directed proposed response only makes changes against Page 259 line 44, but other changes are needed to fix this issue. Note: another comment proposes changes to the "where" sections of equations 85-26 and 85-27. SuggestedRemedy In addition to the change needed on Page 259 line 44, on line 42 change "(MDNEXT) loss is specified as the power sum of the individual NEXT losses" to "(MDNEXT) loss is specified using the individual NEXT losses". On Page 260 line 11, change "MDFEXT loss is specified as the power sum of the individual FEXT loss is specified using the individual NEXT losses. MDFEXT loss is specified using the individual FEXT loss is specified using the individual FEXT loss is specified using the power of the three or nine" to "MDFEXT loss is specified using the individual FEXT loss is comming the power of the three or nine" to "MDFEXT loss is against to prove the individual FEXT loss is against provide using the individual FEXT loss is comming the power of the three or nine" to "MDFEXT loss is against due to prove the individual FEXT loss is against prove the individual FEXT loss is against prove the three or nine" in again against prove the individual FEXT loss is against prove prove the individual FEXT loss is against</th></t<>	Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment 65 against D 2.3 was agreed to be re-submitted by the Editor against D 3.0. The directed proposed response only makes changes against Page 259 line 44, but other changes are needed to fix this issue. Note: another comment proposes changes to the "where" sections of equations 85-26 and 85-27. SuggestedRemedy In addition to the change needed on Page 259 line 44, on line 42 change "(MDNEXT) loss is specified as the power sum of the individual NEXT losses" to "(MDNEXT) loss is specified using the individual NEXT losses". On Page 260 line 11, change "MDFEXT loss is specified as the power sum of the individual FEXT loss is specified using the individual NEXT losses. MDFEXT loss is specified using the individual FEXT loss is specified using the individual FEXT loss is specified using the power of the three or nine" to "MDFEXT loss is specified using the individual FEXT loss is comming the power of the three or nine" to "MDFEXT loss is against to prove the individual FEXT loss is against provide using the individual FEXT loss is comming the power of the three or nine" to "MDFEXT loss is against due to prove the individual FEXT loss is against prove the individual FEXT loss is against prove the three or nine" in again against prove the individual FEXT loss is against prove prove the individual FEXT loss is against
My preferred solution is to use simply "MDNEXT" to and flip the sign, and replace Insertion_loss and IL with SDD21 (and flip the sign), in line with CEI, SFP+ and CXP. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 85 SC 85.10.2 P 257 L 13 # 689 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X The caption for Table 85-9 states these are "example" maximum cable assembly insertion loss requirements. This does not appear to be an example, they are the actual requirements as stated in the preceding paragraph. SuggestedRemedy Delete the word "Example" from the caption. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 85 SC 85.10.2 P 257 L 7 # 638 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Gament Status X The SHALL statement states - The maximum allowed values of the polynomial coefficients X	In addition to the change needed on Page 259 line 44, on line 42 change "(MDNEXT) loss is specified as the power sum of the individual NEXT losses" to "(MDNEXT) loss is specified using the individual NEXT losses". On Page 260 line 11, change "MDFEXT loss is specified as the power sum of the individual FEXT losses. MDFEXT loss is determined by summing the power of the three or nine" to "MDFEXT loss is specified using the individual FEXT loss is determined from the three or nine" on Page 419
Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X The caption for Table 85-9 states these are "example" maximum cable assembly insertion loss requirements. This does not appear to be an example, they are the actual requirements as stated in the preceding paragraph. SuggestedRemedy Delete the word "Example" from the caption. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 85 SC 85.10.2 P 257 L 7 # 638 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Comment Type TR Comment Status X The SHALL statement states - The maximum allowed values of the polynomial coefficients Status of the polynomial coefficients	
Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Comment Type TR Comment Status X The SHALL statement states - The maximum allowed values of the polynomial coefficients	line 9 change "is specified as the power sum of the individual NEXT" to "is specified using the individual NEXT", on line 14 change "specified as the power sum of the individual FEXT" to "specified using the individual FEXT" <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status O CI 85 SC 85.10.5 P 259 L 48 # 538 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X Equations 85-26 and 85-27 should show the units as dB
a1, a2, and a4 of the fitted cable assembly insertion loss of each pair of the 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 shall meet the specifications summarized in Table 859 unless otherwise noted. The PIC value refers to Eq 85-19. SuggestedRemedy Modify SHALL statement to include equation Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy Add the units "dB" to equations 85-26 and 85-27. Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 85 SC 85.10.5 Anslow, Peter	P 260 Nortel Networks	L 4	# 539	<i>Cl</i> 85 Ganga, Ilar	SC 85.10.7	P 260 Intel Corporat	L 46	# 379
in dB,". What is the m some manipulation of applies to equation 85 SuggestedRemedy Change "NLi(f) is the	power of the NEXT loss at freque ss at frequency f of pair combina	n't NLi(f) sin I be explicit i ency f of pair	ply the NEXT loss? If n the equation. Also combination i, in dB," to	against What d and fall Is what the styl Other e	s note: Comme D 3.0] loes "Fast Four time Tft" mear follows "Note t e guide allows editorial issues. the equation at ng).	Comment Status X nt 66 against D 2.3 was agree er transform (FFT) [is] invers ? hat" a NOTE, i.e. informative t, it's ambiguous and should line 48 and the units in Table	ely proportional and not part of be avoided.	to the 20% to 80% rise the standard? Although
my description needs SuggestedRemedy Insert text: Integrated voltage that would be derived via the near-e	crosstalk noise <sigma_x> is an generated by all disturber transn nd and far-end ICNs by calculatio talk losses, assuming a second-</sigma_x>	estimate of hitters with m	the RMS crosstalk noise aximum slew rate. It is nultiple disturber near-	Change "Define frequer Change "where Note th inverse constar referen to "where fnt is in ff, the r and the fnt= 23 fft= 236 where	e the weight at e cy fn are given the equation pr at the 3 dB tran ly proportional nt of proportion ce receiver bar GHz and is giv eference receive other equation 6.5 / Tnt (85-new 5.5 / Tft (85-new		nt and Wft). 85-10. Fast Fourier tra Ill times Tnt and .2365). In addit Iz." z, le 85-10.	ansform (FFT) are I Tft respectively. The tion, fr is the 3 dB
SuggestedRemedy Change the sentence	P260 LSI Corporation Comment Status X st Fourier transform (FFT)" doe to read "Note that -3 dB transmit to the 20 to 80% rise and fall tim Response Status O	filter bandw	idths fnt and fft are	10." Proposed F	Response	Response Status 0		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 85 SC 85.10.7

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ai	nd 100Gb/s	Ethernet cor	nments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 85 SC 85.10.7 Healey, Adam	P260 LSI Corporation	L 46	# 691	Cl 85 Dambrosia	SC 85.10.7 I, John	P 261 Force 10 Netwo	L 30 orks Inc	# 639
Comment Type T Comment Status X I would be useful to declare that sinc(x) is sin(pi*x)/(pi*x) since there is some ambiguity as to whether this is the normalized sinc function or not. SuggestedRemedy Add a statement to this paragraph that defined sinc(x). SuggestedRemedy				values illustra <i>Suggested</i>	L statement is "T determined by I ted in Figure 85- IRemedy	Comment Status X The total integrated crosstalk RM Equation (8533) 11." No PIC and the CA5 PIC		•
Proposed Response	Response Status O			modify Proposed	CA5 to include Response	equation 85-33 Response Status O		
line 32, fnt is implied to picoseconds which may SuggestedRemedy	P260 LSI Corporation Comment Status X .2365 assumes that fnt is expre- be units of MHz and Table 85-1 lead to confusion. n factor is for fnt in units of Hz a Response Status O	0 states the u	inits of Tnt are	Suggested	nent organization Remedy the section after	P 262 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> X n, it would a better fit to move 88 85.8.3.5 <i>Response Status</i> O	L 25	# 7 <u>69</u>
Cl 85 SC 85.10.7 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type TR Is the factor of 2 correct SuggestedRemedy Check, correct if necess		L 53	# 299	"ILcatf Suggested	<i>Type</i> T on 85-34 defines max" <i>IRemedy</i>	P 263 Nortel Networks Comment Status X s a reference loss, not a maxim	um so the vari	
Proposed Response	Response Status O				variable name in	stead of "IL_CATF" Response Status O		

C/ 85 SC 85.10.8 Page 107 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:53 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

mated est fixture is missing SCC and SCD specifications SuggestedRemedy CL 85 has now incorporated HCB and MCB from CL 86 but did not include SCC and SCD requirements. Please copy form 86A.5.1.1.2 Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 85 SC 85.10.9.1 P263 L47 # 541 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status X In equation 85-36 the brackets in "(dB)" should not be in italic font. SuggestedRemedy Change "(dB)" to all normal font. Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type This says "is coupled to the cable assembly, as per 85.8, by the MDI." but 85. Electrical specifications for 40GBASE-CR14 and 100GBASE-CR10" not a definic cable assembly. SuggestedRemedy Change "the cable assembly, as per 85.8," to "the cable assembly, as	# 763
Cl 85 SC 85.10.9.1 P 263 L41 # 768 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type TR Comment Status X Misek, Brian Avago Technologies SuggestedRemedy CL 85 has now incorporated HCB and MCB from CL 86 but did not include SCC and SCD requirements. Please copy form 86A.5.1.1.2 Proposed Response Response Status X Proposed Response Response Status O O Since this is a specification on the mated test fixtures, Should there be 2 table QSFP and one for CXP. This would keep the QSFP mated boards as clean as SuggestedRemedy Cl 85 SC 85.10.9.1 P 263 L47 # 541 Analow, Peter Nortel Networks O SuggestedRemedy Camment Type E Comment Status X O In equation 85-36 the brackets in "(dB)" should not be in italic font. SuggestedRemedy Nortel Networks Conge "(dB)" to all normal font. Proposed Response Response Status X This says "is coupled to the cable assembly, as per 85.8, by the MDI." but 85.8 Proposed Response Response Status O O SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "(dB)" to all normal font. Proposed Response Response Status X	re. Are thes at in the
Cl 85 SC 85.10.9.1 P 263 L41 # (A8 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X Misei, Ali Avago Technologies SuggestedRemedy CL 85 has now incorporated HCB and MCB from CL 86 but did not include SCC and SCD requirements. Please copy form 86A.5.1.1.2 Misek, Brian Avago Technologies Proposed Response Response Status O O Since this is a specification on the mated test fixtures, Should there be 2 table QSFP and one for CXP. This would keep the QSFP mated boards as clean as SuggestedRemedy Cl 85 SC 85.10.9.1 P 263 L47 # 541 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Status X Since this is a specifications for 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10" not a definicable assembly. Cl 85 SC 85.11 P 266 L 22 # Narsew, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X This says "is coupled to the cable assembly, as per 85.8, by the MDI." but 85. Electrical specifications for 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10" not a definicable assembly. SuggestedRemedy Change "the cable assembly, as per 85.8," to "the cab	
mated test fixture is missing SCC and SCD specifications SuggestedRemedy CL 85 has now incorporated HCB and MCB from CL 86 but did not include SCC and SCD requirements. Please copy form 86A.5.1.1.2 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 85 SC 85.10.9.1 P 263 L47 Mask, Brian Avago Technologies SuggestedRemedy Add separate values for QSFP put same values as place holder. Cl 85 SC 85.10.9.1 P 263 L47 Mask, Brian Avago Technologies Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Type Comment Status X In equation 85-36 the brackets in "(dB)" should not be in italic font. SuggestedRemedy Change "(dB)" to all normal font. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Change "(dB)" to all normal font. Proposed Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Type </td <td></td>	
CL 85 has now incorporated HCB and MCB from CL 86 but did not include SCC and SCD requirements. Please copy form 86A.5.1.1.2 Since this is a specification on the mated test fixtures, Should there be 2 table QSFP and one for CXP. This would keep the QSFP mated boards as clean as Suggested Remedy Cl 85 SC 85.10.9.1 P 263 L 47 # 541 Cl 85 SC 85.10.9.1 P 263 L 47 # 541 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks O Comment Type E Comment Status X In equation 85-36 the brackets in "(dB)" should not be in italic font. Suggested Remedy Cl 85 SC 85.11 P 266 L 22 # Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks O Cl 85 SC 85.11 P 266 L 22 # Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 85 SC 85.11 P 266 L 22 # Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 85 SC 85.11 P 266 L 22 # Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X Proposed Respons	# 764
Cl 85 SC 85.10.9.1 P 263 L 47 # 541 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status X In equation 85-36 the brackets in "(dB)" should not be in italic font. C/ 85 SC 85.11 P 266 L 22 # SuggestedRemedy Change "(dB)" to all normal font. Comment Type T Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O This says "is coupled to the cable assembly, as per 85.8, by the MDI." but 85 Electrical specifications for 40GBASE-CR10" not a definicable assembly. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy This says "is coupled to the cable assembly, as per 85.8, by the MDI." but 85 Electrical specifications for 40GBASE-CR10" not a definicable assembly. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "the cable assembly, as per 85.8," to "the cable a	
Cl 85 SC 85.10.9.1 P 263 L 47 # 541 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status X In equation 85-36 the brackets in "(dB)" should not be in italic font. SuggestedRemedy Change "(dB)" to all normal font. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Change "(dB)" to all normal font. This says "is coupled to the cable assembly, as per 85.8, by the MDI." but 85.4 Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change "the cable assembly, as per 85.8," to "the cable assembly, as	
In equation 85-36 the brackets in "(dB)" should not be in italic font. SuggestedRemedy Change "(dB)" to all normal font. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X This says "is coupled to the cable assembly, as per 85.8, by the MDI." but 85.4 Electrical specifications for 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10" not a define cable assembly. SuggestedRemedy Change "the cable assembly, as per 85.8," to "the cable assembly, a	
Change "(dB)" to all normal font. This says "is coupled to the cable assembly, as per 85.8, by the MDI." but 85.1 Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change "the cable assembly, as per 85.8," to "the	# 542
Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Electrical specifications for 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10" not a define cable assembly. SuggestedRemedy Change "the cable assembly, as per 85.8," to "the cable assembly, as per 85.8,"	
Change "the cable assembly, as per 85.8," to "the cable assembly, as per 85.	
	85.10,".
Proposed Response Response Status O	

C/ 85 SC 85.11

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 85 SC 85.11.1 Chalupsky, David	P 266 Intel Corporation	L 28	# 801	<i>Cl</i> 85 Chalupsky, E	SC 85.11.1.2 avid		P 268 Intel Corporation	L 29 on	# 806
Comment Type E Co typo: "style-2"	Comment Status X			Comment Ty Incorrect		<i>Comment S</i> 85-20 is the M	S <i>tatus</i> X MDI receptacle,	not the cable p	blug
SuggestedRemedy replace "style-2" with "Style-:	-2"			SuggestedRe replace I	•	le with "Examp	ble Style-2 MDI	board receptad	le"
Proposed Response Re	esponse Status O			Proposed Re	sponse	Response S	tatus O		
C/ 85 SC 85.11.1.1 Ghiasi, Ali	P 267 Broadcom	L 32	# 772	<i>Cl</i> 85 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 85.11.1.2.		P 269 Broadcom	L 32	# 773
Comment Type TR Co MLD can reorder lanes but fi the MDI connector. Connecti signal integrity based on QS Unlike CL85, CL86 allows co	ting lane 1 to lane one of th SFP and CXP connector pir	e the MDI could out.	d compromise the	the MDI signal int	reorder lanes connector. Con egrity based or	nnecting lane 1 n QSFP and C	2 shows specif to lane one of XP connector p	the the MDI co oin out.	ed to the each pin of uld compromise the for ease of flexiblity and
SI				SI					
SI	le-1 40GBASE-CR4 MDI co to "Example Style-1 40GB/ ole 85-12. Other wiring assig	onnector contac ASE-CR4 MDI c gnment is acce	ct assignment shall be connector contact	SuggestedRo Current s as define assignm	statement "The ed in Table 85-1 ent is shown in	12. ["] to "Examp Table 85-12. (le Style-1 40G	BASE-CR4 MD signment is acc	act assignment shall b I connector contact ceptable as long as Tx ."
SI SuggestedRemedy Current statement "The Style as defined in Table 85-12." t assignment is shown in Tabl lane and Rx lane pairs are n	le-1 40GBASE-CR4 MDI co to "Example Style-1 40GB/ ole 85-12. Other wiring assig	onnector contac ASE-CR4 MDI c gnment is acce	ct assignment shall be connector contact	SuggestedRo Current s as define assignm	statement "The ed in Table 85-1 ent is shown in Rx lane pairs a	12. ["] to "Examp Table 85-12. (ole Style-1 40GB Other wiring as and the polarity	BASE-CR4 MD signment is acc	I connector contact ceptable as long as Tx
SI SuggestedRemedy Current statement "The Style as defined in Table 85-12." tr assignment is shown in Tabl lane and Rx lane pairs are n	le-1 40GBASE-CR4 MDI co to "Example Style-1 40GBA ble 85-12. Other wiring assign to broken and the polarity	ASE-CR4 MDI o gnment is acceptis maintained."	ct assignment shall be connector contact	SuggestedRe Current s as define assignm lane and	statement "The ed in Table 85-1 ent is shown in Rx lane pairs a	12. [#] to "Examp Table 85-12. (are not broken <i>Response S</i>	ole Style-1 40GB Other wiring as and the polarity	BASE-CR4 MD signment is acc	I connector contact ceptable as long as Tx
SI SuggestedRemedy Current statement "The Style as defined in Table 85-12." tr assignment is shown in Table lane and Rx lane pairs are no Proposed Response Re Cl 85 SC 85.11.1.2 Dambrosia, John Comment Type ER Co Fig 85-19 and 85-20 are labe SuggestedRemedy correct figure titles	le-1 40GBASE-CR4 MDI co to "Example Style-1 40GBA ble 85-12. Other wiring assign to broken and the polarity esponse Status O P 268 Force 10 Networ Comment Status X	ASE-CR4 MDI o gnment is acceptis maintained."	et assignment shall be connector contact ptable as long as Tx	SuggestedR Current s as define assignm lane and Proposed Re Cl 85 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Ty MLD car the MDI signal in Unlike C SI SuggestedRe Current s as define assignm	tatement "The d in Table 85-1 ent is shown in Rx lane pairs a sponse SC 85.11.1.3 pe TR reorder lanes connector. Con egrity based or L85, CL86 allow emedy statement "The d in Table 85-1 ent is shown in	12. [#] to "Examp Table 85-12. (are not broken <i>Response S</i> <i>Comment S</i> but figure 85-1 inecting lane 1 n QSFP and C ws connecting Style-1 40GB/ 12." to "Examp Table 85-12. (Performance of the style-1 40GE Other wiring as and the polarity and the polarity status O P271 Broadcom Status X 2 shows specifit to lane one of EXP connector p any host lane the ASE-CR4 MDI ple Style-1 40GE	BASE-CR4 MD signment is acc y is maintained L32 ic SL# connect the the MDI co bin out. o module lane connector cont BASE-CR4 MD signment is acc	I connector contact ceptable as long as Tx " # 774 ed to the each pin of uld compromise the for ease of flexiblity ar act assignment shall b I connector contact ceptable as long as Tx

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	C/ 85	Page 109 of 158
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	SC 85.11.1.3	12/24/2009 11:06:53 PM

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P80	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s	s Ethe	rnet con	nments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 85 SC 85.11.2 Anslow, Peter	P 269 Nortel Networks	L 37	# 544	<i>Cl</i> 85 Anslow, P		85.11.3	P 269 Nortel Networks	L 42	# 543
If an IEC document for thi	Comment Status X s connector is going to be pub be going through the IEC ballot				ıld be m	T ore logica 85.11.2	Comment Status X	E-CR10 N	IDI AC-Coupling" to be a
note to clause 1.5 giving t alternative reference. (2 p	,			Suggester Since Proposed	85.11.3	3 is 100GE	BASE-CR10 specific, make it sub Response Status 0	clause of a	85.11.2.1
Proposed Response	Response Status O	L37	# 693	C/ 85 Chalupsky		85.13	P 272 Intel Corporation	L 3	# 805
Healey, Adam	LSI Corporation	131	# 693	<i>Comment</i> Claus		E CS missin	Comment Status X g the copyright release		
The IEC numbers for the SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X 100GBASE-CR10 connectors ace or add an editor's note that sted to be added.	-	ader when the		ootnote ed footr	to 85.13 s note text a	ection title. See Clause 86 PICS nd formatting <i>Response Status</i> 0	(86.11.4) 1	or an example of
Proposed Response	Response Status O								
	P37	L 269	# 144	<i>Cl</i> 85 Chalupsky		85.13.1	P 272 Intel Corporation	L 7	# 804
lajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Comment		E	Comment Status X		
	Comment Status X				"Clause				
This comment serves as a XXXXX-X-XX"	a reminder to insert proper IEC	c reference nur	nber instead of "IEC	Suggestee Repla		,	h "Clause 85"		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				Proposed	Respor	nse	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O								

C/ 85 SC 85.13.1

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 85 SC 85.13.4 P 273 L 14 # 629 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Networks Inc <th>C/ 85 SC 85.13.4 P 273 L 30 # 630 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Force 1</th>	C/ 85 SC 85.13.4 P 273 L 30 # 630 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Force 1
Comment Type TR Comment Status X PIC for XLAUI but not for CAUI	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Given the multiple skew and skew variation constraints, the values comment should direct
SuggestedRemedy add appropriate pic for CAUI	the reader to 85.5 SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status O	modify value/comment for DSC by adding "constraints specified in 85.5" at end of sentenceProposed ResponseResponse StatusO
C/ 85 SC 85.13.4 P 273 L 16 # 807 Chalupsky, David Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation	C/ 85 SC 85.13.4 P 273 L 9 # 545 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Comment Type T Comment Status X Major capabilities / options table incorrectly implies that BOTH CR4 AND CR10 are required. Support of either PMD is optional; the relevant PCS & PMA's are mandator dependent upon PMD type.	Comment Type T Comment Status X
SuggestedRemedy	Nonie.
Add two rows to table (after XLAUI row) to indicate support for CR4 & CR10 PMDs. First row: Item = "*CR4"; Feature = "40GBASE-CR4 PMD"; Value/comment: "Can op as 40GBASE-CR4 PMD"; status= "0.1" Second row: Item = "*CR10"; Feature = "100GBASE-CR10 PMD"; Value/comment: ' operate as 100GBASE-CR10 PMD"; status= "0.1" Change Status of the next four rows from "M" to "CR4:M" and "CR10:M" as appropria i.e., 40GBASE-R PCS & PMA are "CR4:M"; 100GBASE-R PCS & PMA are "CR10:N"	interface" to "XLGMII" and "CGMI interface" to "CGMII" since the last I is interface and "Can "CGMI interface" looks wrong) Proposed Response Response Status O ate.
Add two rows to table (after XLAUI row) to indicate support for CR4 & CR10 PMDs. First row: Item = "*CR4"; Feature = "40GBASE-CR4 PMD"; Value/comment: "Can op as 40GBASE-CR4 PMD"; status= "0.1" Second row: Item = "*CR10"; Feature = "100GBASE-CR10 PMD"; Value/comment: ' operate as 100GBASE-CR10 PMD"; status= "0.1" Change Status of the next four rows from "M" to "CR4:M" and "CR10:M" as appropria i.e., 40GBASE-R PCS & PMA are "CR4:M"; 100GBASE-R PCS & PMA are "CR10:M"	perate Remove the "XLGMI" and "CGMII" PICS items. (If not then at least change "XLGMII interface" to "XLGMII" and "CGMI interface" to "CGMII" since the last I is interface and "CGMI interface" looks wrong) "Can "CGMI interface" looks wrong) Proposed Response Response Status ate. O
Add two rows to table (after XLAUI row) to indicate support for CR4 & CR10 PMDs. First row: Item = "*CR4"; Feature = "40GBASE-CR4 PMD"; Value/comment: "Can op as 40GBASE-CR4 PMD"; status= "0.1" Second row: Item = "*CR10"; Feature = "100GBASE-CR10 PMD"; Value/comment: "operate as 100GBASE-CR10 PMD"; status= "0.1" Change Status of the next four rows from "M" to "CR4:M" and "CR10:M" as appropriatie, 40GBASE-R PCS & PMA are "CR4:M"; 100GBASE-R PCS & PMA are "CR10:M" Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 85 SC 85.13.4 P273 L16 # 546	perate Remove the "XLGMI" and "CGMII" PICS items. (If not then at least change "XLGMII interface" to "XLGMII" and "CGMI interface" to "CGMII" since the last I is interface and "CGMI interface" looks wrong) "Can "CGMI interface" looks wrong) Proposed Response Response Status " C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.1 P274 L21 # 547
Add two rows to table (after XLAUI row) to indicate support for CR4 & CR10 PMDs. First row: Item = "*CR4"; Feature = "40GBASE-CR4 PMD"; Value/comment: "Can op as 40GBASE-CR4 PMD"; status= "0.1" Second row: Item = "*CR10"; Feature = "100GBASE-CR10 PMD"; Value/comment: "operate as 100GBASE-CR10 PMD"; status= "0.1" Change Status of the next four rows from "M" to "CR4:M" and "CR10:M" as appropriatie.e., 40GBASE-R PCS & PMA are "CR4:M"; 100GBASE-R PCS & PMA are "CR10:M" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 85 SC 85.13.4 P273 L16 # 546 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	perate Remove the "XLGMI" and "CGMII" PICS items. (If not then at least change "XLGMII interface" to "XLGMII" and "CGMI interface" to "CGMII" since the last I is interface and "CGMI interface" looks wrong) "Can "CGMI interface" looks wrong) ate. Proposed Response Response Status O //" CI 85 SC 85.13.4.1 P274 L21 # 547 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status X PF6 says "For positive differential voltage corresponds to rx_bit = one" SuggestedRemedy Cof Change "For positive differential voltage" to "A positive differential voltage"
Add two rows to table (after XLAUI row) to indicate support for CR4 & CR10 PMDs. First row: Item = "*CR4"; Feature = "40GBASE-CR4 PMD"; Value/comment: "Can op as 40GBASE-CR4 PMD"; status= "0.1" Second row: Item = "*CR10"; Feature = "100GBASE-CR10 PMD"; Value/comment: ' operate as 100GBASE-CR10 PMD"; status= "0.1" Change Status of the next four rows from "M" to "CR4:M" and "CR10:M" as appropria i.e., 40GBASE-R PCS & PMA are "CR4:M"; 100GBASE-R PCS & PMA are "CR10:M Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 85 SC 85.13.4 P273 L16 # 546 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X The 2 "PCS" PICS entries indicate that "Support of 40GBASE-R PCS" and "Support	perate Remove the "XLGMI" and "CGMII" PICS items. (If not then at least change "XLGMII interface" to "XLGMII" and "CGMI interface" to "CGMII" since the last I is interface and "CGMI interface" looks wrong) "Can "CGMI interface" looks wrong) Proposed Response Response Status Attematication Response Status Attematication C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.1 Peroposed Response Response Status O Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status PF6 says "For positive differential voltage corresponds to rx_bit = one" SuggestedRemedy Change "For positive differential voltage" to "A positive differential voltage" Proposed Response Response Status

C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.1

Draft 3.0 Comments	IE

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.1 P 274 L 24 # 548 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Nortel Networks 548	C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.1 P 274 L 46 # 550 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Nortel Networks 500
Comment Type T Comment Status X In PF7 "via PMD_SIGNAL.indication (SIGNAL_DETECT)" should be "via PMD:IS_SIGNAL.indication (SIGNAL_DETECT)"	Comment Type E Comment Status X In PF17 the reference "72.6.10" should be dark blue SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Change "via PMD_SIGNAL.indication" to "via PMD:IS_SIGNAL.indication"	Make "72.6.10" dark blue
Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.1 P 274 L 37 # 549 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks 549	C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.2 P275 L17 # 551 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status X
Comment Type E Comment Status X In PF13 "Allows each lane transmitters to" should be "Allows each lane transmitter to"	In MF4 and MF5, "45.2.1.7.4" and "45.2.1.7.5" should be links.
SuggestedRemedy Change "transmitters" to "transmitter"	Make "45.2.1.7.4" and "45.2.1.7.5" links.
Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.1 P274 L37 # 633	C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.3 P 276 L 10 # 552 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type E Comment Status X In DS2 "Equation (85-1)" and "Equation (85-2)" should be links.
85.7.6 is for Global PMD transmit disable function, not lane by lane transmit disable as indicated in PF13.	SuggestedRemedy Make "Equation (85-1)" and "Equation (85-2)" links.
SuggestedRemedy change subclause to 85.7.7	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	
	C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.3 P 276 L 12 # 553 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
	Comment Type T Comment Status X In DS3 the reference to "85.8.3.7" should be "85.8.3.6"
	SuggestedRemedy In DS3 change "85.8.3.7" to "85.8.3.6"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.3 Page 112 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:53 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.5 Dambrosia, John	P 277 Force 10 Networ	L 19 ks Inc	# 640	C/ 85 Chalupsky	SC 85.13.4.5	P 277 Intel Corpo	L34	# 809
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X or CA6, and it is not clear how E		into the requirement	for cal	requires cable as bles do not have	Comment Status X sembly to have all three co Status or Support fields. T ly type to be used as cond	nis can be remedi	ed by creating an Item
Add SHALL statement Proposed Response	and clarify relationship to EQ 85 Response Status O	5-16		First a	nree rows to option added row: Item =	ons table (85.13.4) to indica = "*CA401"; Feature = "400 e assembly supports 40GE	BASE-CR4 Style	-1 cable assembly";
crosstalk noise" SuggestedRemedy	P 277 Nortel Networks Comment Status X ure crosstalk loss" should be "M ture crosstalk loss" to "Mated te Response Status O		,	Secor Value, Third - Value, Chang Chang Chang Chang Chang Optior	ad added row: Ite /comment: "Cabl added row: Item /comment: "Cabl ge cable assemb 5 field. ge the Status field ge the Status field ge the Status field ge the Status field for S	m = "*CA402"; Feature = " e assembly supports 40GE = "*CA100"; Feature = "10G e assembly supports 100G ly PICS table (85.13.4.5) to d for Items CA12 and CA13 d for Items CA14 and CA13 d for Items CA16 and CA13 or CA13, CA15, and CA17 BL*CA401:M" is redundant L predicate and only use C	40GBASE-CR4 Style-2 ASE-CR4 Style-2 DGBASE-CR10 ca BASE-CR4"; statu use appropriate to "CBL*CA401: to "CBL*CA402: to "CBL*CA402: to "CBL*CA402: to match CA12 S since CA401 only	yle-2 cable assembly"; "; status= "CBL:O.3" uble assembly"; us= "CBL:O.3" predicate items in M" M" M" M" upport field. applies to CBL, thus
C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.5 Anslow, Peter	P 277 Nortel Networks	L 30	# 555	Proposed		Response Status O		
	Comment Status X to "85.10.9" should be "85.10.10)"		<i>Cl</i> 85 Anslow, P	SC 85.13.4.5	P 277 Nortel Netw	L 34 Porks	# 556
SuggestedRemedy In CA10 change "85.10.9" to "85.10.10" Proposed Response Response Status O					CA12 through C	Comment Status X A17 and MDC1 through MI nentation must support all of		
				CR10	e "*CR4C1", "*CF connectors and	R4C2" and "*CR10C" PICS make them optional. (see * 12 through CA17 and MDC	PMA40 and *PMA	100 in 83.7.3 or Cl 88

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.5

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc	C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.5 P 277 L 47 # 645 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc
Comment Type ER Comment Status X subclause reference should be to 85.11.1.1	Comment Type TR Comment Status X no corresponding SHALL statements to subclauses referenced for CA18
SuggestedRemedy correct subclause reference	SuggestedRemedy add shall statements or clarify subclause references
Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.5 P 277 L 41 # 642 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc	C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.6 P 278 L 11 # 558 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks SC SC
Comment Type ER Comment Status X subclause reference should be to 85.11.2.1 SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type T Comment Status X Item MDC3 says "100GBASE-CR10 plug (SFF-8642 plug)" but the MDI is defined to be a receptacle.
correct subclause reference	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status O	Change to "100GBASE-CR10 receptacle (SFF-8642 receptacle)"
	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.5 P 277 L 42 # 557 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks 557	C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.6 P278 L6 # 808
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Chalupsky, David Intel Corporation
Item CA16 has a Value/Comment of "40GBASE-CR4 Style-2 plug (SFF-8642 plug)" but it is for a CR10 connector.	Comment Type T Comment Status X Two problems with MDI PICs. 1) implies that all three connector types are required, s/b
	dependent upon PMD/MDI type. 2) use of CBL predicate is incorrect as this is for MDI, no cable. This can be remedied by creating an Item for each MDI type to be used as
SuggestedRemedy	capie This can be remedied by creating an item for each MUU type to be used as
SuggestedRemedy Change to "100GBASE-CR10 plug (SFF-8642 plug)"	conditions in 85.13.4.6.
SuggestedRemedy Change to "100GBASE-CR10 plug (SFF-8642 plug)" Proposed Response Response Status O	
Change to "100GBASE-CR10 plug (SFF-8642 plug)"	conditions in 85.13.4.6. SuggestedRemedy Add two rows to options table (85.13.4) to indicate if CR4 PMD is using Style 1 or 2 MDI.
Change to "100GBASE-CR10 plug (SFF-8642 plug)" Proposed Response Response Status O	conditions in 85.13.4.6. SuggestedRemedy Add two rows to options table (85.13.4) to indicate if CR4 PMD is using Style 1 or 2 MDI. First added row: Item = "*MDIST1"; Feature = "Style-1 MDI Connector"; Value/comment: "40GBASE-CR4 device uses Style-1 MDI"; status= "0.2"
Change to "100GBASE-CR10 plug (SFF-8642 plug)" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 85 SC 85.13.4.5 P 277 L 44 # 644	conditions in 85.13.4.6. SuggestedRemedy Add two rows to options table (85.13.4) to indicate if CR4 PMD is using Style 1 or 2 MDI. First added row: Item = "*MDIST1"; Feature = "Style-1 MDI Connector"; Value/comment: "40GBASE-CR4 device uses Style-1 MDI"; status= "0.2" Second added row: Item = "*MDIST2"; Feature = "Style-2 MDI Connector";
Change to "100GBASE-CR10 plug (SFF-8642 plug)" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 85 SC 85.13.4.5 P 277 L 44 # 644 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc	conditions in 85.13.4.6. SuggestedRemedy Add two rows to options table (85.13.4) to indicate if CR4 PMD is using Style 1 or 2 MDI. First added row: Item = "*MDIST1"; Feature = "Style-1 MDI Connector"; Value/comment: "40GBASE-CR4 device uses Style-1 MDI"; status= "0.2" Second added row: Item = "*MDIST2"; Feature = "Style-2 MDI Connector"; Value/comment: "40GBASE-CR4 device uses Style-2 MDI"; status= "0.2" Change MDI connector PICS table (85.13.4.6) Status columns to use dependencies. Replace Item MDC1 status with "CR4*MDIST1:M"
Change to "100GBASE-CR10 plug (SFF-8642 plug)" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 85 SC 85.13.4.5 P 277 L 44 # 644 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Comment Type ER Comment Status X	 conditions in 85.13.4.6. SuggestedRemedy Add two rows to options table (85.13.4) to indicate if CR4 PMD is using Style 1 or 2 MDI. First added row: Item = "*MDIST1"; Feature = "Style-1 MDI Connector"; Value/comment: "40GBASE-CR4 device uses Style-1 MDI"; status= "0.2" Second added row: Item = "*MDIST2"; Feature = "Style-2 MDI Connector"; Value/comment: "Value/comment: "40GBASE-CR4 device uses Style-2 MDI"; status= "0.2" Change MDI connector PICS table (85.13.4.6) Status columns to use dependencies.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	ind Z/withdrown C/ 85	Page 114 of 158
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfi	ieu Z/withurawh	0
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	SC 85.13.4.6	12/24/2009 11:06:53 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

Cl 85 SC 85.2 Anslow, Peter	P 236 Nortel Networks	L 44	# 513	C/ 85 Dawe, Piers	SC 85.7.1 3 J G	P 240 Independa	L 19 Int	# 293
Comment Type E Missing "." SuggestedRemedy Change "defined in 80 Proposed Response	Comment Status X .3" to "defined in 80.3." Response Status O			required or digita specific	ays "The cabl d". Elsewhere al e.g. "4.1 Fu	Comment Status X e assembly test fixture of Fig a in 802.3, "functional" is use inctional model of the MAC , we need electrical equivale ed a PICS?	ed to represent som method" and "85.13	ething more high level, 3.4.1 PMD Functional
r roposeu nesponse				SuggestedF	-			
C/ 85 SC 85.4 Chalupsky, David	P237 Intel Corporation	L 30	# 802	required to "The	d"." to "The ca cable assem	assembly test fixture of Figu able assembly test fixture of bly test fixture of Figure 85- 85.8.3.5, 85.10.8.	Figure 85-12 or its	equivalent, is used", or
Comment Type E typo: "the100GBASE-0	Comment Status X			Proposed R		Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy add a space after "the Proposed Response	" Response Status O			C/ 85 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 85.7.1	P 240 Broadcom	L33	# [784
				Comment T TP2 loc		Comment Status X tified on Fig 85-2 is not corre	ect	
C/ 85 SC 85.6 Dambrosia, John	P 238 Force 10 Network	L 5 is Inc	# <u>631</u>			fixture dotted below the cur	rent diagram and its	s output designated as
Comment Type TR There is a PIC stateme 85.6.	Comment Status X ent for Item MD in 85.13.4, but no	correspon	ding SHALL statement in	TP2 Proposed R	Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy add appropriate SHAL	L statement to 85.6.			C/ 85	SC 85.7.1	P240	L 33	# 785
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment T</i> TP3 loc		Broadcom Comment Status X tified on Fig 85-2 is not corre		
					the output of	the cable measured as mea st fixture and designate TP3		e test fixture. Add doted
				Proposed R		Response Status 0	0	

C/ **85** SC **85.7.1**

	nts	IEEE P8	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	nd 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	mments		Sponsor ball
C/ 85 SC 85.7.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 46 ZTE Corp.	L 240	# 150	<i>Cl</i> 85 Dambrosia	SC 85.7.5 John	P 241 Force 10	L 46 Networks Inc	# 635
Comment Type T In caption of Figure 8 illustrated?	Comment Status X 85-2, what is the 'half link'? Do y	/ou mean that or	nly one link direction is		does not includ	Comment Status X le a SHALL statement fo		1.2
SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response	Response Status O			value, v the ran	sentence as fo where n represe ge 0:3 for 40GI d as described	llows - When the MDIO i ents the lane number in BASE-CR4 and 0:9 for 1 in		-
C/ 85 SC 85.7.2 Dambrosia, John	P 241 Force 10 Netv	L 3 works Inc	# 632	Proposed F	Response	Response Status O	•	
bit streams requeste to PMD:IS_UNITDA voltage of SL min 100GBASE-CR10 PI the PMD service inte PMD:IS_UNITDATA	Comment Status X raph "The 40GBASE-CR4 PMD ed by the PMD service interface TA_3.request into four separate nus SL <n> (differential voltage) MD Transmit function shall com erface messages PMD:IS_UNIT _9.request. A positive output vo shall correspond to tx_bit = one ut not the PF2 PIC</n>	messages D:IS_ electrical stream shall correspond vert the ten bit st DATA_0.request bltage of SL r	_UNITDATA_0.request ns. A positive output d to tx_bit = one. The treams requested by t to minus SL <n></n>	CI 85 Hajduczeni Comment 7 Strike " Suggested Per con Proposed F	<i>Type</i> T above" from th Remedy nment	P 45 ZTE Cor Comment Status X e end of line 45 - it is irre Response Status O	slevant.	# 146
SuggestedRemedy	Il statement to 85.7.2 in relation	to PF2		C/ 85	SC 85.7.6	P 51		
							/ 241	# 145
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Hajduczeni Comment T		ZTE Cor Comment Status X		# 145
Proposed Response Cl 85 SC 85.7.4 Muller, Shimon Comment Type E SIGNAL_DETECT is SuggestedRemedy		s successful.	# [<u>282</u>]	Hajduczeni Comment T There a not refl (Optior (Optior caption to read transm function	Type T are several sub ect that (1) Cha al)"(2) Change al)"(3) Change 85.7.10 to rea "PMD receive it fault function n (Optional)"(8) n (Optional)"(9) n (Optional)"(10 Remedy	Comment Status X	p. escribe Optional features ad "Global PMD tran PMD lane-by-lane tran PMD_fault function (nction (Optional)"(5) 0 (6) Change caption 8 aption 84.7.11 to read to read "Global PMD	ures, yet the captions do smit disable function ansmit disable function Optional)"(4) Change Change caption 85.7.11 34.7.10 to read "PMD d "PMD receive fault transmit disable

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	CI 85
	SC 85.7.6
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	30 03.7.0

Page 116 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:53 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 85 SC 85.7.8 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 23 ZTE Corp.	L 242	# 147	<i>Cl</i> 85 Dawe, Pier	SC 85.8.3 s J G	P 244 Independant	L 10	# 294
	Comment Status X s selected, transmission char n"Similar comment applies to			PMD h	as a table row as a similar rov	Comment Status X "Unit interval nominal 85.8.3.8 w. However many digits you ad surring decimal.		
Per comment				Suggested	Remedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0	L 37	# 634	96.969 as it's t 100GB	697 ps. [#] in 85.4 he same for Ta ASE-CR10 PM	and in Table 85-6. Delete "The 8.3.8. If you think that not all yo x and Rx, add a sentence at 85 IDs use NRZ signaling at nomi I is approximately 96.97 ps."	ur readers know .8, "The 40GBA	v what a unit interval is SE-CR4 and
Dambrosia, John	Force 10 Netw	vorks Inc		Proposed I		Response Status O		
as SHALL statement re SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X HALL statement defining PME egarding mapping to register b S to 85.13.4.1 and SHALL st	bit 1.1.7?		C/ 85 Anslow, Pe Comment 7	Гуре Е	P 244 Nortel Network Comment Status X		# <u>515</u>
Proposed Response	Response Status O			is "min	", the inclusion	ransmiter DC amplitude is "> 0. of ">" is confusing. Likewise, " e rest of the table for no good r	>0.63*Transmitt	
C/ 85 SC 85.7.9 Anslow, Peter	P 242 Nortel Network	L 39 <s< td=""><td># 514</td><td></td><td>e "> 0.34 min, (</td><td>0.6 max" to " "0.34 min, 0.6 ma Iso, change "Linear fit pulse" to</td><td></td><td></td></s<>	# 514		e "> 0.34 min, (0.6 max" to " "0.34 min, 0.6 ma Iso, change "Linear fit pulse" to		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X					C amplitude" to "0.63*Transmit		
This says "is mapped to	o register bit 1.1.7 as listed in	". 1.1.7 is bit 7 c	of register 1.1.	Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Change "is mapped to	register bit 1.1.7 as listed in"	to "is mapped to	bit 1.1.7 as listed in"					

CI 85 SC 85.8.3

Draft 3.0 Commer	nts	IEEE P	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/	s Ethernet co	nments		Sponsor ballot
<i>Cl</i> 85 <i>SC</i> 85.8.3 Healey, Adam	P244 LSI Corporation	L 26	# 687	<i>Cl</i> 85 Anslow, P	SC 85.8.3	P 244 Nortel Networks	L 27	# 517
fit)" (circa line 27) are peak amplitude of the	Comment Status X ing to the "linear fit pulse" (circa lin redundant and inconsistent. The e linear fit pulse be no less than 0.0 uted as stated in note b).	appropriate	requirement is that the	Suggeste	loesn't say wheth dRemedy	Comment Status X her the "normalized error(linear fi rror(linear fit), "e"" to "max norma		
13) remove the line "	amplitudes(linear fit)" from Tab The peak value of the linear p, shall be greater than 240 mV."	le 85-4. In 8	5.8.3.3 (page 247, line	Proposed	Response SC 85.8.3	Response Status O	L 32	# 518
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Anslow, P <i>Comment</i>		Nortel Networks		
Cl 85 SC 85.8.3 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E "o" and "e" are variab	P 244 Nortel Networks Comment Status X les, so should be in italic font	L 26	# 516	85-2 a Suggeste Chan	and 85-3 than giv <i>dRemedy</i> ge "2" to "See Ec	mit output noise (max.)" limits it e values of 2 and 1 mV juation (852)" and "1" to "See E		
SuggestedRemedy Show "p" and "e" in it Proposed Response				Proposed CI 85	Response SC 85.8.3	Response Status O	L 36	# 775
C/ 85 SC 85.8.3	, Р 244	L 26	# 755	Ghiasi, Al <i>Comment</i> No te		Broadcom Comment Status X ided for DDJ		
	Avago Technolog Comment Status X oved. Lines 22-24 replaced this	jies		meas	jitter is measured ured with PRBS9	l with PRBS31 (pattern 3) at BEI based on method given in 85.8 dt256) - min(dt1, dt2,,dt256).		
SuggestedRemedy Remove Proposed Response	Response Status O			sectio Total		need to be updated or the other of DDJ = TJ - DDJ Response Status O	option is to c	reate a standalone

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 85 SC 85.8.3 Page 118 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:53 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 85 SC 85.8.3 Anslow, Peter	P244 L43 Nortel Networks	3 # [519	<i>CI</i> 85 Misek, Briar	SC 85.8.3.2	A	P 245 /ago Technolo	L 27 gies	# 756
Comment Type E Comment Si M is a variable, so should be in italic SuggestedRemedy Change "M" to italic font Proposed Response Response St				sigma v SuggestedF	:N is too genera vith subscript fx Remedy ICN to symbol	Comment Sta al, this is far-end in the referenced I sigma with fx sul Response Sta	ntegrated cros I section equat		s given the symbol
,	P 244 L 47 Nortel Networks	7 # [520	<i>CI</i> 85 Misek, Briar	SC 85.8.3.2	A	P 245 /ago Technolo	<i>L</i> 35 gies	# 757
Comment Type E Comment St "83A.5.1" and "83.5.10" should be links SuggestedRemedy	3					Comment Sta		ding that the N	Near end transmitters
Make "83A.5.1" and "83.5.10" links and Proposed Response Response Sta				SuggestedF Change		all co-propagating	channels"		
 C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.1	P245 L3	# [521	Proposed R	esponse	Response Sta	tus O		
Anslow, Peter M Comment Type T Comment St	Nortel Networks tatus X			<i>CI</i> 85 Anslow, Pet	SC 85.8.3.2 er	N	P245 ortel Networks	L 35	# 522
Use naming as per dambrosia_01_090 SuggestedRemedy	9.pdf			Comment T "PRBS-	<i>ype</i> E 31" should be '	Comment Sta "PRBS31"	tus X		
Change "The differential return loss, in Also, on Page 252, line 39 change "Th input return loss, in dB,".				SuggestedF Change	Remedy "PRBS-31" to	"PRBS31"			
Proposed Response Response Sta	atus O			Proposed R	esponse	Response Sta	tus O		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.2 Page 119 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:53 PM

Draft 3.0 Commen	ts	IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet comments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.3 Anslow, Peter	P246 Nortel Networks	L 33 # <u>523</u>	C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.3 Anslow, Peter	P247 L39 Nortel Networks	# 525
use "n" and d1 uses "l number of lanes elsev SuggestedRemedy Change the font of "n"	" as a variable? k would be a bet	age 248, line 7. Unless there is a	In "sampled pulse pi" the "i" should SuggestedRemedy In "sampled pulse pi" make the "i" a	·	
Proposed Response	Response Status O		<i>Cl</i> 85 SC 85.8.3.3.1 Anslow, Peter	P248 L1 Nortel Networks	# 526
Cl 85 SC 85.8.3.3 Misek, Brian Comment Type TR Lines 13-16 have been SuggestedRemedy Remove Proposed Response	P 247 Avago Technolog Comment Status X a superceded by Table 85-4 lines Response Status 0	L 13 # 758 gies 22-24 and page 245 lines 44 and 45	The two ratios: " $(c(0)+c(1)-c(-1))/(c(0)+c(1)+c(-1))$ " " $(c(0)+c(1)-c(-1))/(c(0)+c(1)+c(-1))$ " appear to be identical, so how do the SuggestedRemedy Presumably the ratios should have		-10% at the same time?
Cl 85 SC 85.8.3.3 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E "83.5.10" should be a SuggestedRemedy	P 247 Nortel Networks Comment Status X link. Also on line 34	L 3 # <u>524</u>	Cl 85 SC 85.8.3.3.1 Healey, Adam Comment Type T Commen Incorrect equation corresponding to and not c(-1)). SuggestedRemedy	P248 L1 LSI Corporation <i>It Status</i> X the ratio 2.57 +/- 10% (in the nu	# 688
,	and black. Also on line 34		Change to "and the ratio (c(0)-c(1)+c(-1))/(c(0)+c(1)+c(-1)) is 2.57	+/- 10%."
Proposed Response	Response Status O		Proposed Response Response	Status O	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.3.1 Page 120 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:53 PM

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE Pa	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s	s Ethernet cor	nments			Sponsor ball
C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.3.1 Misek, Brian	P 248 Avago Technolog	L 1 gies	# 759	<i>Cl</i> 85 Anslow, P	SC 85.8.3.3.		248 el Networks	L 46	# 529
	Comment Status X I the same thing? (c(0)+c(1)- +c(-1)) is 2.57	-c(-1))/(c(0)+o	c(1)+c(-1)) is 1.29 and		-by-N" the "-by-" s	Comment Statu should not be italic a		variable.	
SuggestedRemedy One of these has a typo				Suggestee In "M- and 4	-by-N" make the "	-by-" appear in norr	mal font. Do	o the same on F	Page 249, lines 6, 15
Proposed Response R	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status	6 O		
C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.3.3 Anslow, Peter	P248 Nortel Networks	L 22	# 527	<i>Cl</i> 85 Petrilla, Jo	SC 85.8.3.4		250 go Technolo	L 20 ogies	# 870
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment	туре Т	Comment Statu	s X		
In "c(1)" make the "c" italic Proposed Response R	Response Status O			86A-1 insert undes	 Since scramb ion loss frequenc sired loss of low fr 	85A-5) and in 86A is led data has low fre y requirements to th requency content.	equency cor	ntent it seems p	
C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.3.5	P 248	L 45	# 528	Suggeste	dRemedy				
Anslow, Peter	Nortel Networks								.05 GHz to 0.01 GHz in 83A from 0.25 GHz
Comment Type E In "y(k)" the "k" should be i	Comment Status X talic.			to 0.0	1 GHz (Eqs 83A- 2, 83B-3, 83B-4), ;	1, 83A-2, 83A-9), in	83B from 0	0.25 GHz to 0.0	1 GHz (Eqs 83B-1, , 85A-2, 85A-3, 85A-4
SuggestedRemedy In "y(k)" make the "k" italic	. Do the same on Page 249	lines 21 and	30		l Response	Response Status	6 O		
Proposed Response R	Response Status O			<i>Cl</i> 85 Misek, Bri	SC 85.8.3.4 ian	-	250 go Technolo	L 22 ogies	# 760
				ninimum loss cha tic host IC's It is p	Comment Statu nnel is missing. Thi present in 86A and a	s loss make		can be met with in 85 that share the	
				Suggeste	•				
						by copying equation ation to Figure 85-4		nd adding the u	pper limit line that is

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.4 Page 121 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:53 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Ghiasi, Ali	P 250 Broadcom	L 36	# 776	Cl 85 SC 85.8 Dambrosia, John	-	P 251 Force 10 Netwo	L 32 orks Inc	# 636
	Comment Status X lefinition require min loss why do	oes CL85 does	not require min	Comment Type TF There is PIC DS4	Comment St with no corresponding		ement	
channel loss? SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy add PIC				
Please add definition Proposed Response	of CL86A6 min channel loss to Response Status O	this section		Proposed Response	Response Sta	atus O		
C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.5	-	L19	# 771	<i>Cl</i> 85 <i>SC</i> 85.8 Ganga, Ilango		P251 ntel Corporatio	L 48 n	# 380
Ghiasi, Ali	Broadcom			Comment Type T	Comment St	atus X		
Comment Type TR Currently TP2/TP3 te	Comment Status X st fixtrue hangs in air			[Editor's note: Cor against D 3.0]	mment 63 against D 2	2.3 was agreed		-
SuggestedRemedy Please add host to th with rf port	e left of the TP2/TP3 test fixture	e. Replace the I	OC blocks and scope	not just recomme	nded. Compare text a ng to move to Sponse	t 86A.5.1.1.		leeds to be required
Proposed Response	Deserves Status			SuggestedRemedy				
Proposed Response	Response Status O			the reference inse	cts of differences bet rtion loss should be a between the insertion	accounted for i	n the measurer	
	D.054	L 20	# 384	insertion loss are	accounted for in the r			
Cl 85 SC 85.8.3.5 Ganga, Ilango	<i>P</i> 251 Intel Corporatio	on		Similarly in 85.10.				
Ganga, Ilango	-	n		Similariy in 85.10. Proposed Response	Response Sta	atus O		
Ganga, Ilango <i>Comment Type</i> T [Editor's note: Comm	Intel Corporation		tted by the Editor	-		atus O		
Ganga, Ilango <i>Comment Type</i> T [Editor's note: Commo against D 3.0]	Intel Corporation	d to be resubmi		-	Response Sta	atus O P 251	L 51	# 530
Ganga, Ilango Comment Type T [Editor's note: Comme against D 3.0] Fig 85-5 state transm	Intel Corporatio Comment Status X ent 29 against D 2.3 was agreed	d to be resubmi d line show TP2		Proposed Response	Response Sta			# <u>5</u> 30
Ganga, Ilango Comment Type T [Editor's note: Commo against D 3.0] Fig 85-5 state transm is a reciver test point	Intel Corporation Comment Status X ent 29 against D 2.3 was agreed itter test fixture on the left dotted	d to be resubmi d line show TP2		Proposed Response	Response Sta	P 251 Nortel Networks		# <u>530</u>
Ganga, Ilango Comment Type T [Editor's note: Comma against D 3.0] Fig 85-5 state transm is a reciver test point SuggestedRemedy	Intel Corporation Comment Status X ent 29 against D 2.3 was agreed itter test fixture on the left dotted	d to be resubmi d line show TP2 er test fixtrue!	2/Tp3 test fixture. TP3	Proposed Response Cl 85 SC 85.8 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T 85.8.3.7 starts "Th	Response Sta .3.7	P 251 Jortel Networks <i>atus</i> X re printed circu	s uit board inserti	
Ganga, Ilango <i>Comment Type</i> T [Editor's note: Comma against D 3.0] Fig 85-5 state transm is a reciver test point <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Please repalce the fig	Intel Corporation Comment Status X ent 29 against D 2.3 was agreed itter test fixture on the left dotted how could it be called transmitted	d to be resubmi d line show TP2 er test fixtrue!	2/Tp3 test fixture. TP3	Proposed Response Cl 85 SC 85.8 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T 85.8.3.7 starts "Th	Response Sta .3.7 <i>Comment St</i> ne reference test fixtu	P 251 Jortel Networks <i>atus</i> X re printed circu	s uit board inserti	
Ganga, Ilango <i>Comment Type</i> T [Editor's note: Comme against D 3.0] Fig 85-5 state transm is a reciver test point <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Please repalce the fig test point on it	Intel Corporation Comment Status X ent 29 against D 2.3 was agreed itter test fixture on the left dotted how could it be called transmitted gure showing MCB-HCB mated	d to be resubmi d line show TP2 er test fixtrue!	2/Tp3 test fixture. TP3	Proposed Response Cl 85 SC 85.8 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T 85.8.3.7 starts "Th Equation (8516) SuggestedRemedy In equation 85-16	Response Sta .3.7 Comment St ne reference test fixtu ", so this is a reference , change the variable fixture insertion loss a	P 251 Jortel Networks atus X re printed circu æ loss, not a n "ILtfmax(f)" to	s uit board inserti naximum loss. "ILtf(f)" (2 place	ion loss is given in es) and also change "is

Cl	85
SC	85.8.3.7

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 85 SC 85.8.4 Anslow, Peter	P 252 Nortel Networks	L 22	# 531	C/ 85 SC 85.8.4. Misek, Brian	2 P 253 Avago Techno	L10 plogies	# 761
Comment Type T The Bit error ratio doe	Comment Status X sn't say min or max.				Comment Status X fusing. They are associated wit t as high and low loss.	h long and sho	rt cable channel in other
SuggestedRemedy Change "Bit error ratio Proposed Response	" to "Bit error ratio (maximum)". Response Status 0			SuggestedRemedy	w Loss and Tes 2 to High Loss		
roposed Kesponse	Response Status U			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 85 SC 85.8.4 Anslow, Peter	P 252 Nortel Networks	L 32	# 533	C/ 85 SC 85.8.4. Dawe, Piers J G	2 P 253 Independant	L12	# 296
Comment Type T This has a value of "10 be out of spec.	Comment Status X OdB max from 50 MHz to 10000	MHz" so a va	lue of say 20 dB would	Comment Type E Root-GHz	Comment Status X		
0	om 50 MHz to 10000 MHz" to "10		50 MHz to 10 GHz".	SuggestedRemedy Please use proper so	quare root sign.		
Also, use a non-break Proposed Response	ing space (Ctrl Space) between 5 Response Status 0	U and MHZ		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 85 SC 85.8.4 Anslow, Peter	P 252 Nortel Networks	L 32	# 532	<i>Cl</i> 85 SC 85.8.4. Misek, Brian	Avago Techno	L 21 blogies	# 762
Comment Type T Use naming as per da	Comment Status X mbrosia_01_0909.pdf			Ũ	Comment Status X his is not MDNEXT but "sigma	subscript nx"	
SuggestedRemedy	common mode conversion SCD	11" to "Differ	ential to common	SuggestedRemedy Remove "-" and char	nge MDNEXT to "sigma subscri	pt nx"	
0	". Make the same change in Tabl			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.2

Draft	3.0	Comments
-------	-----	----------

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Comment Status X that the test "shall" be done, be the test (which are not subclau ented using" to "shall be implee Response Status O P253	uses of 85.8.4.2).		agains Flg 85 they ar Suggested	's note: Comme t D 3.0] -6 defines LUT a re	Comment Status X nt 32 against D 2.3 was ag and PGC but you have to r		
ented using" to "shall be implei Response Status O	,		Fig 85- they ar Suggested	6 defines LUT a	and PGC but you have to r	ead the next sectio	n before you know what
Response Status O				Remedy			
•				-			
P 253				•	tup definition in the same s	ection	
P 253			Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
Independant	L 3	# 295	C/ 85	SC 85.8.4.3	P 253	L 38	# 777
			Ghiasi, Ali		Broadcom		
e tolerance tests shall be imple t to implement tests, only requi ence more, e.g. "To be complia	rements to achie nt the receiver in	eve performance. Iterference	Flg 85	-6 defines LUT a	Comment Status X and PGC but you have to r	ead the next sectio	n before you know what
			Please	provide test se	tup definition in the same s	section as well as d	lefinition of LUT and
rameters summarized in Table e tolerance of each lane shall c	85-7." to either: comply with the p	arameters of Table		•	Response Status 0	/ 38	# 778
C .			Ghiasi, Ali	00.0.4.0	Broadcom	200	# [176
n in Table 85-7." and delete the	PICS.				Comment Status X		
Response Status O						est fixture to cable	assembly test fixture
P253	/ 28	# 297	00		showing test channel we	re it is used for cali	bration with cable right
Independant							bration with cable right
Comment Status X	ice test at TP3		Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
85.8.4.3.1 to 85.8.4.2.2, 85.8.4		3, 85.8.4.3.3 to					
Response Status O							
	nt to implement tests, only requi ence more, e.g. "To be complia e requirements of 85.8.4.3 to 85 should be 85.8.4.2.1 . Also, plea terference tolerance tests shall arameters summarized in Table te tolerance of each lane shall c ing to the methods of 85.8.4.3 t olerance tests is defined by the f n in Table 85-7." and delete the <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 253 Independant <i>Comment Status</i> X of Receiver interference toleran 85.8.4.3.1 to 85.8.4.2.2, 85.8.4 85.8.4.2.5.	terference tolerance tests shall be implemented": That's in to implement tests, only requirements to achie ence more, e.g. "To be compliant the receiver in e requirements of 85.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3.4 with the should be 85.8.4.2.1 . Also, please use proper s terference tolerance tests shall be implemented arameters summarized in Table 85-7." to either: te tolerance of each lane shall comply with the p ing to the methods of 85.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3.4." to olerance tests is defined by the methods of 85.8. n in Table 85-7." and delete the PICS. <i>Response Status</i> O P253 <i>L</i> 28 Independant <i>Comment Status</i> X of Receiver interference tolerance test at TP3 85.8.4.3.1 to 85.8.4.2.2, 85.8.4.3.2 to 85.8.4.2.3 85.8.4.2.5.	the tolerance tests shall be implemented": That's wrong: there in to implement tests, only requirements to achieve performance. ence more, e.g. "To be compliant the receiver interference e requirements of 85.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3.4 with the parameters given should be 85.8.4.2.1 . Also, please use proper square root sign in terference tolerance tests shall be implemented using the receiver arameters summarized in Table 85-7." to either: the tolerance of each lane shall comply with the parameters of Table ing to the methods of 85.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3.4." to either: the tolerance tests is defined by the methods of 85.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3.4 n in Table 85-7." and delete the PICS. <i>Response Status</i> O P253 <i>L</i> 28 # 297 Independant <i>Comment Status</i> X of Receiver interference tolerance test at TP3 85.8.4.3.1 to 85.8.4.2.2, 85.8.4.3.2 to 85.8.4.2.3, 85.8.4.3.3 to 85.8.4.2.5.	Comment Status Xce tolerance tests shall be implemented": That's wrong: there to implement tests, only requirements to achieve performance. ence more, e.g. "To be compliant the receiver interference e requirements of 85.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3.4 with the parameters given should be 85.8.4.2.1 . Also, please use proper square root sign in terference tolerance tests shall be implemented using the receiver arameters summarized in Table 85-7." to either: te tolerance of each lane shall comply with the parameters of Table ing to the methods of 85.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3.4." to either: Delerance tests is defined by the methods of 85.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3.4 n in Table 85-7." and delete the PICS.C/ 85 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Test of and no Suggested Please end terP253L 28# 297P253L 28# 297PassL 28# 297PassProposed I Proposed IProposed I Suggested and noSuggested Please Please end terP253L 28# 297PassL 28# 297PassL 28Proposed I Proposed IProposed I Suggested Please end terProposed I Please Proposed IPassL 28# 297PassL 28Proposed I Proposed IProposed I Suggested Please Proposed IProposed I Proposed IPassL 28# 297PassS.8.4.3.1 to 85.8.4.2.2, 85.8.4.3.2 to 85.8.4.2.3, 85.8.4.3.3 to 85.8.4.2.5.	Comment Status X ce tolerance tests shall be implemented": That's wrong: there that to implement tests, only requirements to achieve performance. ence more, e.g. "To be compliant the receiver interference e requirements of 85.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3.4 with the parameters given should be 85.8.4.2.1 . Also, please use proper square root sign in terference tolerance tests shall be implemented using the receiver arameters summarized in Table 85-7." to either: te tolerance of each lane shall comply with the parameters of Table ing to the methods of 85.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3.4." to either: response Status O P253 L28 # 297 Independant Comment Status X of Receiver interference tolerance test at TP3 85.8.4.3.1 to 85.8.4.2.2, 85.8.4.3.2 to 85.8.4.2.3, 85.8.4.3.3 to 85.8.4.2.5.	Comment Status X see tolerance tests shall be implemented": That's wrong: there erce more, e.g. "To be compliant the receiver interference e requirements of 85.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3.4 with the parameters given should be 85.8.4.2.1 . Also, please use proper square root sign in terference tolerance tests shall be implemented using the receiver arameters summarized in Table 85-7." to either: be tolerance tests is defined by the methods of 85.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3.4." to either: before the methods of 85.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3.4." to either: before tests is defined by the methods of 85.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3.4. n in Table 85-7." and delete the PICS. Response Status O P253 L28 Independant Comment Status X of Receiver interference tolerance test at TP3 85.8.4.3.1 to 85.8.4.2.2, 85.8.4.3.2 to 85.8.4.2.3, 85.8.4.3.3 to 85.8.4.2.5.	Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X requirements of 55.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3.4 with the parameters given should be 85.8.4.2.1. Also, please use proper square root sign in should be 85.8.4.2.1. Also, please use proper square root sign in should be 85.8.4.2.1. Also, please use proper square root sign in should be 85.8.4.2.1. Also, please use proper square root sign in should be 85.8.4.2.1. Also, please use proper square root sign in should be 85.8.4.3.1 to 85.8.4.3.1 to 85.8.4.3.4." to either: Comment Type TR Comment Status X reference tolerance tests shall be implemented using the receiver arameters summarized in Table 85-7." to either: Proposed Response Response Status O reference tolerance tests is defined by the methods of 85.8.4.3.4." to either: Please add 2nd digram showing test channel were it is used for calle and not to the middle of MDI Suggested/Remedy Please add 2nd digram showing test channel were it is used for calle end terminated to cable assembly test fixture P253 L28 # 297 Independant Comment Status X of Receiver interference tolerance test at TP3 85.8.4.3.1 to 85.8.4.2.2, 85.8.4.3.2 to 85.8.4.2.3, 85.8.4.3.3 to 85.8.4.2.5.

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **85** SC **85.8.4.3**

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3 P 253 L 39 # 387 Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation	C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3.2 P 254 L 254 # 694 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation
Comment Type T Comment Status X [Editor's note: Comment 34 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0] Fig 85-6 does not show what showuld be done with cable RX side on the left, open, short, terminate! SuggestedRemedy Please show it is terminated to 50 ohms Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type T Comment Status X This paragraph states that "the cable assembly test fixture lanes not under test are terminated in 100 Ohms differentially." In fact, it seems the other lanes are connected to aggressor transmitters either associated with pattern generators (FEXT) or the device under test (NEXT). This intended to be a requirement on the terminating impedance presented by those transmitters. If so, the established return loss specifications should be used in their place. SuggestedRemedy Remove this sentence. Supplement the requirements with the return loss requirement for the pattern generator (including far-end aggressors) as appropriate.
C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3 P 253 L 39 # 386 Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type T Comment Status X [Editor's note: Comment 33 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0] Why is twinaxial cable requried and why n=4, 10,? SuggestedRemedy Replace twinaxial cable with "CR4 or CR10 cable assembley" Proposed Response Response Status	CI 85 SC 85.8.4.3.2 P 254 L 27 # 535 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Fast Source Source Comment Type T Comment Status X X This says "The MDNEXT is measured from points HTx to point LUT in Figure 85-7. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Clarify which point marked "LUT" is meant. Proposed Response Response Status O
Cl 85 SC 85.8.4.3.2 P 254 L 13 # 695 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation # Comment Type T Comment Status X In Figure 85-7, the label "HTx" does not make it clear to the reader that this arrow correspond to the 4 (or 10) connectors to the near-end aggressors transmitters that are part of the device under test. SuggestedRemedy Update the figure and paragraph starting at line 27 to indicate HTx is the set of lanes that will be connected to 4 or 10 near-end aggressors corresponding to the transmitters of the device under test.	Cl 85 SC 85.8.4.3.2 P 254 L 27 # 388 Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X [Editor's note: Comment 35 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0] How is someone suppose to know what this statement means"The MDNEXT is measured from points HTx to point LUT in figure 85-7"! SuggestedRemedy This section require more clear write up and more deatil picture
Proposed Response Response Status O	This section require more clear write up and more deatil pictureProposed ResponseResponse StatusO

C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3.2

Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/	s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments	Sponsor ballo
C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3.2 P 254 L 39 # 697 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation	C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3.3 P 254 L 45 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom	# 783
Comment Type E Comment Status X Terminated in what impedance? Also "host transmitter" should be plural.	Comment Type TR Comment Status X The rise and fall time test patter not provided and definition	
SuggestedRemedy Change last sentence to read ", and host transmitters (HTx) and PGC terminated in 100 Ohms."	SuggestedRemedy Rise and fall times are measured with pattern of 8 ones and 8 zeros from Proposed Response Response Status O	om 20-80%.
Proposed Response Response Status O	Response Gladas G	
C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3.3 P 254 L 43 # 696 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation	C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3.4 P 255 L 9 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	# 536
Comment Type T Comment Status X It should be made clear that the pattern generator (and aggressor) requirements apply at the test reference, or Pattern Generator Connection (PGC), as shown in Figure 85-6.	Comment Type E Comment Status X The reference 86.8.8.2 does not exist. SuggestedRemedy	
SuggestedRemedy Add a statement at the beginning of 85.8.4.3.3 that states the requirements of this subclause are verified at the PGC.	Change "86.8.8.2" to "86.8.2" and make it a link. Proposed Response Response Status O	
Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3.4 P 255 L 9 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc	# 637
C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3.3 P 254 L 44 # 698 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Shall statement does not include corresponding pic statement.	
Comment Type T Comment Status X Rise and fall times are not defined in this clause. A reference should be provided.	SuggestedRemedy add PIC	
SuggestedRemedy Change sentence to read: "The rise and fall times of the pattern generator, as defined in 72.7.1.7, are 47 ps."	Proposed Response Response Status O	
Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 85 SC 85.84.3 P 253 L 38 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom	# 779
	Comment Type TR Comment Status X The cable assembly should be CR4/CR10 and not n pairs of Twinaxial	cable n=4,10, etc
	SuggestedRemedy Replace with CR4/CR10 cable assembly	
	Proposed Response Response Status O	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 85 SC 85.84.3 Page 126 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:53 PM

Draft 3.0 Commer	nts	IEEE P	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	mments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 85 SC 85.84.3 Ghiasi, Ali	B P253 Broadcom	L 38	# 782	<i>Cl</i> 85A Healey, Ac	SC 85A.2 Jam	P415 LSI Corporation	L15	# 699
Comment Type TR Fig 85-6 will improve all lanes active SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X if RX Under test show one lane	e under test as v	well as TX on the right	transm	ent, the transmit	Comment Status X ter characteristics at TP0 are ide stics and as a result most of this a simpler to just reference Claus	table duplicat	es a similar table in
Please implement the	e suggestion			Suggested	lRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			100GĔ define	ASE-CR10 are d in 72.7.1.1 thr	smitter electrical characteristics the same as 10GBASE-KR trar ough 72.7.1.11. In addition, the d 30 mV RMS." Delete Table 85	nsmitter chara common-mod	cteristics at TP1, as
C/ 85 SC 85.84.3 Ghiasi, Ali	B P253 Broadcom	L 38	# 781	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type TR Fig 85-6 is missing lo	Comment Status X bad on the left side			C/ 85A	SC 85A.2	P415	L 28	# <u>5</u> 96
SuggestedRemedy				Anslow, Pe	eter	Nortel Networks	6	
Please add load to th	ne left of the figure terminating a	all lanes		Comment		Comment Status X		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			to 72.6	6.5 which is the	ifferential peak-to-peak output vo "PMD transmit disable function" the same reference as Table 8	. This doesn't	with TX disabled" refers seem very helpful. It
C/ 85 SC 85.84.3 Ghiasi, Ali	3.2 P 254 Broadcom	L13	# 780	Suggested Chang	<i>IRemedy</i> je "72.6.5" to "8	5.8.3.3"		
Comment Type TR The cable assembly	Comment Status X should be CR4/CR10 and not n	pairs of Twina	kial cable n=4,10, etc	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Replace with CR4/CF	R10 cable assembly			C/ 85A Healey, Ac	SC 85A.3 Iam	P416 LSI Corporation	L1	# 700
Proposed Response	Response Status O			,	ent, the receiver	Comment Status X characteristics at TP5 are ident a result most of this table duplid		
C/ 85 SC 85.84.3 Dambrosia, John	5.2 P 254 Force 10 Netw	L 23 vorks Inc	# 646	would freque	be simpler to ju	st reference Clause 72 and note CD11 is inconsistent with the fre	the exceptior	ns. Also note that the
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Suggested				
4 SHALL statements SuggestedRemedy add PICs	in 85.8.4.3.2 and 85.8.4.3.3 wi	th no correspon	ding PICS	Chang 100GE In add	e to read "Rece BASE-CR10 are ition Differential	iver electrical characteristics at the same as 10GBASE-KR, as to common mode conversion S Hz." Delete Table 85A-2.	defined in 72	7.2.2 through 72.7.2.5.
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Proposed		Response Status O		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general requi	ed T/technical E/editorial G/general	01 054	Dama 407 of 450
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESP	ONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	C/ 85A	Page 127 of 158
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		SC 85A.3	12/24/2009 11:06:53 PM

Draft 3.0 Comments	3	IEEE P80	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet co	omments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 85A SC 85A.3 Anslow, Peter	P 416 Nortel Networks	L 22	# 597	C/ 85A SC 85A.4 Anslow, Peter	P 416 Nortel Networks	L 33	# 598
Comment Type E Comment Status X "-10 max from 0.01 to 11.1 GHz" should be "-10 max comply with the style manual. SuggestedRemedy Change "from 0.01 to 11.1 GHz" to "from 0.01 GHz to Proposed Response Response Status O				Comment Type E There is a close brac SuggestedRemedy Change "Equation (8 Proposed Response			
C/ 85A SC 85A.4 Dawe, Piers J G	P 416 Independant	L 30	# 336	Cl 85A SC 85A.4 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E	P 416 Independant Comment Status X	L 33	# 337
was 0.87 dB. If a single with its reflections? Also or, as in the rest of this SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X d connector loss of 1.74 dB". I t mated connection had that mu o, text is not clear whether this paragraph, the sum of Tx side med connector loss of 1.74 dB	uch loss, wouldn' is the loss of one and Rx side loss	t there be a problem e mated connection, ses.	Missing closing brack SuggestedRemedy the MDI host recepta Proposed Response			
MDI connectors" or (pre	eferred) "an assumed loss of ?			C/ 85A SC 85A.4	P416	L 37	# 599
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Anslow, Peter Comment Type E	Nortel Networks Comment Status X		
C/ 85A SC 85A.4 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E	P 416 Independant Comment Status X	L 30	# 335	In Equation 85A-1 "(C <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "(0.30)" to "(0	.30)" should not have a trailing zer).3)"	ro.	
Proposed wordsmithing SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
	3.3.4 insertion loss TP0 to TP2 to TP2 or TP3 to TP5 given in		nd" to "With the				
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

C/ 85A SC 85A.4 Page 128 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:53 PM

Draft 3.0 Comments	8	IEEE P8	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s	and 100Gb/s Ethernet c	Sponsor ballo			
C/ 85A SC 85A.4 Anslow, Peter	P 416 Nortel Networks	L 44	# 600	C/ 85A SC 85A.5 Anslow, Peter	P417 Nortel Networks	L 40	# 602	
transmitter and receive SuggestedRemedy Change to "ILPCB(f) is	Comment Status X Equation 85A-1, "ILPCB(f) is the r PCB" should not be the maximu the insertion loss for the transmit minimum" in the where section of <i>Response Status</i> O	um. That is I tter and rece	LPCBmax(f) viver PCB" Make the	Comment Type E Equation 85A-4 start SuggestedRemedy Change "(ILCh(f)" to Proposed Response	•	with a spurious "(" _Ch(f)"		
C/ 85A SC 85A.4 Anslow, Peter	P 416 Nortel Networks	L 46	# 601	Cl 85A SC 85A.7 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T	P 418 Nortel Networks Comment Status X	L 40	# 603	
SuggestedRemedy Change "b1" to italic	Comment Status X Equation 85A-1, "b1" should be i	in italic font.		SuggestedRemedy	Ild have units of "(dB)" Ch(f)" should be in the where section <i>Response Status</i> O	on.		
Proposed Response CI 85A SC 85A.4	Response Status 0 P418	L 25	# 275	C/ 86 SC 86 Maki, Jeffery	P 279 Juniper Networks	L 1 s, Inc.	# 889	
Trowbridge, Stephen <i>Comment Type</i> ER The title "Figure 85A-1- reference frequency.	ALCATEL-LUCEN Comment Status X Illustration channel insertion loss		loes not indicate the	•	Comment Status X 40GBASE-SR4 should be updated ch that a common host implementa	0	, i î	
SuggestedRemedy Change title to: "Figure Proposed Response	85A-1- Illustration channel insert Response Status O	tion loss bud	lget at 5.15625 GHz"	Proposed Response	Response Status O			

C/ 86 SC 86 Page 129 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:53 PM

Draft 3.0 Comment	S	IEEE P8	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/	Sponsor ball			
C/ 86 SC 86 Dudek, Michael	P 287 QLogic Corpora	L 34 ation	# 840	<i>Cl</i> 86 Dudek, M	SC 86 lichael	P 425 QLogic Corpora	L 35 tion	# 867
	Comment Status X to be left from an earlier time w to OMA and OMA - TDP min is				is actually 86A.	Comment Status X The section on the set-up of the t and amplitudes of the calibration		
SuggestedRemedy				Suggeste	edRemedy			
Change the footnote to	say "TDP<0.7dB					of this table. Crosstalk calibration	•	ude TP1 700mV.
Proposed Response	Response Status 0					signal transition times(20-80) TP	1 34ps.	
				Proposed	l Response	Response Status O		
7 86 SC 86 udek, Michael	P 425 QLogic Corpora	L 19 ation	# 865	<i>Cl</i> 86 Turner, E	SC 86	P 437 Gnodal Limited	L 25	# 244
	Comment Status X he parameter name doesn't ma oming signal BER of 1e-12.	atch the spec. 7	The receiver does not	Commen	t Type E	Comment Status X ertical line between cells.		
<i>uggestedRemedy</i> Change the parameter	name to Bit Error Rate each la	ane.		00	edRemedy a thin vertical lin	e between cells, as per tables in	other clauses	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	l Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 86 SC 86 Dudek, Michael	P 425 QLogic Corpora	L 25 ation	# 866	<i>Cl</i> 86 Dudek, M	SC 86 lichael	P 438 QLogic Corpora	L 34 tion	# 868
Comment Type T This is actually 86A. Th longer "tolerance" SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X he jitter values are now in a sig	nal description	section. They are no	for th	is actually 86A.	Comment Status X In context where this is following nnector and HCB it would clarify t		
Delete "tolerance" 3 pl	aces.			Suggeste	edRemedy			
roposed Response	Response Status O			do as	s in comment.			
				Proposed	l Response	Response Status O		

CI 86 SC 86 Page 130 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:54 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 86 SC 86.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 12 ZTE Corp.	L 279	# 141	<i>CI</i> 86 Maquire, V	SC 86.1	P 279 The Siemon	L23 Company	# 7
Comment Type T	Comment Status X issing from copper clauses 84 ar	nd 85		Comment	Type G	Comment Status X Standard specifying OM3 per		
SuggestedRemedy) table 86-1 to clauses 84 and 85			Suggested	Remedy	(OM3) specified in IEC 60793		.2.1" to "Type A1a.2
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			(OM3) Proposed F	•	C 60793-2-10 and ANSI/TIA-8 Response Status 0	568.C.3. See 86.1	0.2.1"
C/ 86 SC 86.1	P 279 Corning Inc.	L 20	# 356	C/ 86	SC 86.1	P28	L 279	# 139
2 PMDs, a 0.5 to ~7 centers (both with C	Comment Status X ne 0.5 to 100m operating range is 5m for computer interconnects a M3). The 802.3ae length is 300r	and a ~75m to 15 m and supports 1	0m range for data 50-250m lengths in	there a	<i>Type</i> T two PMDs are re different de	ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X e very similar strike this one of finitions of PMDs.	out. They are diffe	erent after all, since
	02.3ba uses MM fiber to take up MD and the specific applicatio			Suggested Per co	,			
	o PMDs as similar as possible b btical fiber in the data center and			Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
applications.				C/ 86	SC 86.1	P 30	L 279	# 140
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Hajduczeni Comment		ZTE Corp. Comment Status X		
C/ 86 SC 86.1 Colesar, Paul	P 279 CommScope S	L 20 Solutions	# 349	40GBA lanes.	SESR4 uses	s four identical lanes, while 10 where there are four or ten ite illed out clearly.		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Suggested	Remedy			
	e can be increased without chang	je to the transcei	ver specifications by	Per co	mment			
The operating range	w-loss connection technology E			Proposed I	Response	Response Status 0		
utilizing prevelant lo dB, the upper end o	f the ranges can increase to 120 comment produces ripple effects							
utilizing prevelant lo dB, the upper end o that accepting this c	f the ranges can increase to 120 comment produces ripple effects quent comments.							
utilizing prevelant lo dB, the upper end o that accepting this o addressed in subse SuggestedRemedy Change "0.5 to 100 for OM3	f the ranges can increase to 120 comment produces ripple effects quent comments. or 125 for OM4"							

C/ 86 SC 86.1

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 86 SC 86.1 P7 L 280 # 138 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. 138	C/ 86 SC 86.10.1 P 297 L 29 # 562 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Comment Type T Comment Status X Strike " (terminology and conventions, references, definitions and abbreviations) " and "(bibliography, referenced as [B1], [B2], etc.)" - references are sufficient for a reader with access to 802.3 base standard.	Comment Type T Comment Status X Since Ed 2.0 of IEC 61280-4-1 is now published (See
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
per comment Proposed Response Response Status O	Change the text to refer to the new Annexes and remove the Editor's note. However, subclause 68.8 contains "with IEC 61280-4-1/Method 2." This will mean that we need to add a dated reference for IEC 61280-4-1 2009 here and in 1.3.
	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 86 SC 86.10.1 P 297 L 23 # 357 Kolesar, Paul CommScope Solutions CommSco	
Comment Type T Comment Status X	C/ 86 SC 86.10.1 P3 L 297 # 128 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.
in the editor's note, the referenced test should be harmonized with this new edition. However, the directions in the editor's note do not capture the changes completely nor in the most concise way. This is remedied in the proposed change. SuggestedRemedy Change "Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with IEC 61280-4-1/Method 2 or IEC 61280-4-1/Method 3." to "Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with the methods for cables are made in accordance with the methods for cabling configuration A of IEC 61280-4-1."	Comment Type ER Comment Status X Table 86-13 is located inside of the text block, cutting sentences in the middle. Please place the anchor in the proper location and set the orphan sentences accordingly. Simil problems with Figure 86-4, page 294/48; Figure 86-2, page 298/51; Table 86-2, page 279/32 SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Response Status O
However, the directions in the editor's note do not capture the changes completely nor in the most concise way. This is remedied in the proposed change. SuggestedRemedy Change "Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with IEC 61280-4-1/Method 2 or IEC 61280-4-1/Method 3." to "Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with the methods for cables are made in accordance with the methods for cabling configuration A of IEC 61280-4-1."	 Table 86-13 is located inside of the text block, cutting sentences in the middle. Please place the anchor in the proper location and set the orphan sentences accordingly. Simil problems with Figure 86-4, page 294/48; Figure 86-2, page 298/51; Table 86-2, page 279/32 SuggestedRemedy Per comment
However, the directions in the editor's note do not capture the changes completely nor in the most concise way. This is remedied in the proposed change. SuggestedRemedy Change "Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with IEC 61280-4-1/Method 2 or IEC 61280-4-1/Method 3." to "Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with the methods for cabling configuration A of IEC 61280-4-1." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 86 SC 86.10.1 P297 L27 # 561 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	Table 86-13 is located inside of the text block, cutting sentences in the middle. Please place the anchor in the proper location and set the orphan sentences accordingly. Simil problems with Figure 86-4, page 294/48; Figure 86-2, page 298/51; Table 86-2, page 279/32 SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Response Status C/ 86 SC 86.10.1 P45 L 296 # 129
However, the directions in the editor's note do not capture the changes completely nor in the most concise way. This is remedied in the proposed change. SuggestedRemedy Change "Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with IEC 61280-4-1/Method 2 or IEC 61280-4-1/Method 3." to "Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with the methods for cabling configuration A of IEC 61280-4-1." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 86 SC 86.10.1 P 297 L 27 # 561 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status X In "As defined in clause 86.10.3," "86.10.1" should be a link and "clause" is not required.	Table 86-13 is located inside of the text block, cutting sentences in the middle. Please place the anchor in the proper location and set the orphan sentences accordingly. Simil problems with Figure 86-4, page 294/48; Figure 86-2, page 298/51; Table 86-2, page 279/32 SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Response Status C/ 86 SC 86.10.1 P45 L 296 # 129 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. Comment Type T Comment Status X Per Figure 86-5, what are the numbers (4 or 10) which are used on the figure? Do they denote lanes, fibres, cable bundles etc.? SuggestedRemedy
However, the directions in the editor's note do not capture the changes completely nor in the most concise way. This is remedied in the proposed change. SuggestedRemedy Change "Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with IEC 61280-4-1/Method 2 or IEC 61280-4-1/Method 3." to "Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with the methods for cabling configuration A of IEC 61280-4-1." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 86 SC 86.10.1 P297 L27 # 561 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status X	Table 86-13 is located inside of the text block, cutting sentences in the middle. Please place the anchor in the proper location and set the orphan sentences accordingly. Simil problems with Figure 86-4, page 294/48; Figure 86-2, page 298/51; Table 86-2, page 279/32 SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Response Status C/ 86 SC 86.10.1 P45 L 296 # 129 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. Comment Type T Comment Status X Per Figure 86-5, what are the numbers (4 or 10) which are used on the figure? Do they denote lanes, fibres, cable bundles etc.? SuggestedRemedy

```
C/ 86
SC 86.10.1
```

C/ 86 SC 86.10. Kolesar, Paul	2.1	P 297 CommScope	L8 Solutions	# 352	<i>Cl</i> 86 Cobb, Terry		10.2.2.1	I	P 297 CommScope	L 50 Solutions	# 257	
Comment Type TR *** Comment submit attached ***		nt Status X e 41773000024-c	d3_0_comment_ ⁻	Table86-13.xls	150m. T	g low los: This requ	s conne ires no c	changes to a	tance for OM3 anything else in		ed to 125m and OM and essentially com ures.	
dB connection loss of	cases. Providin	ig both cases car	rries the legacy 1	both the 1.5 dB and 1.0 .5 dB loss case while need distance capability	<i>SuggestedF</i> Change The ope	Remedy 86.10.2. erating lir	2.1 Con k distan	nection inse ices in the ta	ertion loss to re ables is based	ad: on an allocation		` h
SuggestedRemedy Change Table 86-13	as proposed i	n the attached fil	le "d3_0_comme	nt_Table86-13.xls".	with an 0.75 dB	insertion	loss of (0.75 dB. Hov	wever, the loss	of a single conr	nection shall not ex	ceed
Proposed Response	Response	e Status O			Table 8 operatir	6-14 are	met. By ce for Ol	reducing the	e connection a extended to 120	nd splice loss fro	he requirements of om 1.5 dB to 1.0 dE operating distance	3 the
C/ 86 SC 86.10. Hajduczenia, Marek	2.1	P 38 ZTE Corp.	L 297	# 132	Proposed R			Response				
Comment Type T The fiber contained change to read "The cabling " SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response	within the 40G fiber used for			1 0	connect	ul <i>type</i> T the text to tion and s Table 86	splice los	<i>Comment</i> nize the addit ss. This com	tion of the prop	oosed 1.0 dB ins monizes the text	# 353 ertion loss case for with the descriptio wimum operating	
					SuggestedF Change "The ma loss. Fo 0.75 dB to "The ma connect	Remedy e: aximum I or exampl s." aximum o tion and s	e, this a operating splice los	Illocation sup g distances a ss. For exan	oports two coni are based on a	nections, each w Ilocations of 1.0 ocations support	al connection and s vith an insertion los dB or 1.5 dB total two connections, e	s of
					Proposed R			Response		- ,		

C/ 86 SC 86.10.2.2.1

be wit to list <i>Suggested</i> Remo	<i>Type</i> T *TP1 and * ⁻ h an electric "Annex 86A dRemedy	Comme TP4 are for when cal interface othe	r than that defined in omments for these it nd *TP4	Annex 86A, s	# 563 re exposed. This may so it is not appropriate
Items be wit to list <i>Suggested</i> Remo	*TP1 and * ⁻ h an electric "Annex 86A dRemedy we "Annex 8	TP4 are for when cal interface othe " in the Value /C 36A" from *TP1 a	compliance points T r than that defined in omments for these it nd *TP4	Annex 86A, s	
			e Status O		
Comment PIC S corres functio	a, John <i>Type</i> TF F2 is in rega sponding SH ons that may	Comme ards to integration ALL statement -	nt Status X n with management f "A PMD is optionally	functions, but	o the management
add S	HALL stater		e Status O		
Comment values Suggestee	a, John <i>Type</i> E s for D, SF3 dRemedy	Comme - SF5 are blank		L14 ks Inc	# 648
	Dambrosia Comment PIC S corres functio Suggested add S Proposed C/ 86 Dambrosia Comment values Suggested List va	Dambrosia, John Comment Type TF PIC SF2 is in rega corresponding SF functions that may SuggestedRemedy add SHALL states Proposed Response CI 86 SC 86.1 Dambrosia, John Comment Type E values for D, SF3 SuggestedRemedy List values for D,	Dambrosia, John Comment Type TR Comme PIC SF2 is in regards to integration corresponding SHALL statement - functions that may be accessible th SuggestedRemedy add SHALL statement. Proposed Response Response C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.1 Dambrosia, John Comment Type E Comme values for D, SF3 - SF5 are blank SuggestedRemedy List values for D, SF3 - SF5	Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networ Comment Type TR Comment Status X PIC SF2 is in regards to integration with management is corresponding SHALL statement - "A PMD is optionally functions that may be accessible through the management of the management is add SHALL statement. PMD is optionally functions that may be accessible through the management of the mana	Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Comment Type TR Comment Status X PIC SF2 is in regards to integration with management functions, but corresponding SHALL statement - "A PMD is optionally connected to functions that may be accessible through the management interface SuggestedRemedy add SHALL statement. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.1 P303 L14 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Comment Type E Comment Status X values for D, SF3 - SF5 set blank SuggestedRemedy List values for D, SF3 - SF5

C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.1 Page 134 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:54 PM

2/ 86 SC 86.11 Dambrosia, John	.4.2 P 304 Force 10 Ne	L 15 tworks Inc	# 650	C/ 86 Dambrosia	SC 86.11.4.4 , John	P 305 Force 10 Net	L 15 works Inc	# 654
Comment Type TR What is the corresp that corresponds to	ponding SHALL statement for th	is PIC? There is o	one SHALL statement	Comment For SC 86-12		Comment Status X d is for the test methology,	but not the limits	that are given in Table
SuggestedRemedy add SHALL statem	ent			Suggested add re		eing in Table 86-12 in Valu	e comment for S	OM6
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
2/ 86 SC 86.11 Pambrosia, John	.4.2 P304 Force 10 Ne	L 6 tworks Inc	# 649	<i>CI</i> 86 Dambrosia	SC 86.11.4.4 , John	P 305 Force 10 Net	L18 works Inc	# 655
Comment Type TR No corresponding S SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X SHALL statements to subclause	es referenced for S	SM1	Table	DM8 the value cite 86-8	Comment Status X d is for the test methodolog	gy, but not the lim	its that are given in
add SHALL statem Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggested add re Proposed i	ference to limits b	eing in Table 86-8 in Value <i>Response Status</i> O	comment for SC	M8
7 86 SC 86.11 Pambrosia, John	Force 10 Ne	L11 tworks Inc	# 652	<i>Cl</i> 86 Dambrosia	SC 86.11.4.4	<i>P</i> 305 Force 10 Net	L 20 works Inc	# 656
	Comment Status X SHALL statement for SOM4			Comment No cor		Comment Status X L statement for SOM9 PIC		
add SHALL statem				Suggested add St	Remedy HALL statement			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
2/ 86 SC 86.11 Pambrosia, John	.4.4 P 305 Force 10 Ne	L13 tworks Inc	# 653					
Comment Type TR No corresponding S	Comment Status X SHALL statement for SOM5							
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i> add SHALL statem	ent							
Proposed Response	Response Status O							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.4 Page 135 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:54 PM

Draft 3.0 Commen	ts	IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s	s Ethernet cor	mments		Sponsor ballo			
<i>Cl</i> 86 SC 86.11.4. Dambrosia, John	4 P 305 Force 10 Netwo	L9 orks Inc	# 651	<i>CI</i> 86 Dambrosia	SC 86.11.4.6 a, John	5 P 306 Force 10 Netw	L 6 vorks Inc	# 658			
met, which is per limit SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X cited is for the test methodology, s given in Table 86-6 s being in Table 86-6 in Value c Response Status O			Suggested add S	rresponding SHA	Comment Status X ALL statement for SOC1 PIC Response Status O					
C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.		L 32	# 657	<i>Cl</i> 86 Anslow, Po	SC 86.4 eter	P 282 Nortel Network	L 35 (S	# 559			
Dambrosia, John Comment Type TR No corresponding SH SuggestedRemedy	Force 10 Netwo Comment Status X ALL statement for SES3 PIC			Clause applie	les 86-3 and 86- e 45. Likewise, n s to Tables 87-2,	Comment Status X 4 the MDIO variable names do tot all of the register names ma , 87-3, 88-2 and 88-3.					
add SHALL statemen Proposed Response	add SHALL statement					SuggestedRemedy In the MDIO variable columns, change "Global transmit disable" to "Global PMD transmit disable", change "Transmit disable x" to "PMD transmit disable x", change "Local fault" to "Fault", change "PMD signal detect x" to "PMD receive signal detect x" – In the PMA/PMD register name columns, change "Control 1 register" to PMA/PMD control					
C/ 86 SC 86.11.4. Dambrosia, John Comment Type ER	6 P306 Force 10 Netwo Comment Status X	L18 orks Inc	# 659	1 regis "Statu	ster", change "Tr s x register" to "F 1D receive signal	ansmit disable register" to "PN PMA/PMD status x register", c I detect register". Make equiva	/ID transmit disa hange "Receive	able register", change e signal detect register"			
Reference to subclaus SuggestedRemedy	se is incorrect, as it should be to	0 86.10.3.2.		Proposed	Response	Response Status O					
change subclause ref Proposed Response	erence to 86.10.3.2. Response Status O			C/ 86 Hajduczen		P31 ZTE Corp.	L 282	# 133			
Cl 86 SC 86.11.4. Anslow, Peter Comment Type T For item SO6 the refe	6 P 306 Nortel Network: Comment Status X rence should be "86.10.3.2" rati		# <u>564</u> 3.1"	Table reasor Suggested	s "Transmit disak 86-3? Similar qu n, please state it	Comment Status X ole 9" separated from "Transm lestion about PMD signal dete in the form of a Note under th	ct in Table 86-4				
SuggestedRemedy Change "86.10.3.1" to					Response	Response Status O					
Proposed Response	Response Status O										

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 86 SC 86.4 Page 136 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:54 PM

Draft 3.0 Comment	S	IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	and 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	mments		Sponsor ballot
Cl 86 SC 86.4 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 44 ZTE Corp.	L 282	# 134	<i>Cl</i> 86 Hajduczeni	SC 86.5.7 a, Marek	Р 26 ZTE Corp.	L 285	# 137
not apply.change to rea	Comment Status X e highest-numbered six of the ad "For 40GBASE-SR4, the hi f ten lane-by-lane transmit dis	ghest six lane-by	/-lane transmit disable	the terr zero, re	aft says "variab ms the "variable espectively. Use	Comment Status X le is set to one" or "variable is s a is set" and "vartiable is reset", e consistently in the draft. There	which means t	hat it is set to one or
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				Inconsi Suggested Per co		e terms		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed I		Response Status O		
Cl 86 SC 86.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	P18 ZTE Corp.	L 283	# 135	<i>Cl</i> 86 Petrilla, Jol	SC 86.7.2	P 287 Avago Technolo	L 20	# 871
apply change to read "I signals from the pool o SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X e highest-numbered six of the For 40GBASE-SR4, the highe f ten lane-by-lane signal detec	st six lane-by-lar	ne signal detect	than th the req	e 86-6, the exist e currently prop uirements, the	Comment Status X sing TDP value was based on di bosed TJ(BER=1E-12) = 0.70 U ref receiver in the TDP test sho or an operating link.	fferent TP4 out I. To reduce ind	consistencies among
Per comment Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggested In table		he value for TDP from 3.7 to 3.6	б.	
C/ 86 SC 86.5.1	P 283	L 4	# 360	Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
Frazier, Howard M Comment Type TR	Broadcom Comment Status X			<i>CI</i> 86 Petrilla, Jol	SC 86.7.2	P 287 Avago Technolo	L 28 ogies	# 872
could be interpretted to SuggestedRemedy	o include a 4 input AND gate p mean that Ln-1 is not include ate, or place an ellipsis betwee <i>Response Status</i> O	ed in the SIGNAL	_DETECT function.	current Suggested	e 86-6, the exis ly expected wo <i>Remedy</i>	Comment Status X sting Y2 coordinate yields a mas rst case Tx output contours. the Y2 coordinate from 0.33 to 0		ell matched with
				Proposed I	•	Response Status O		

C/ 86 SC 86.7.2

CI 86	SC 86.7.2	P 287	L 7	# 355	C/ 86	SC 86.7	.3	P 288	L 29	# 873
Abbott, Jo	ohn	Corning Inc.			Petrilla, Jo	hn		Avago Techr	ologies	
width. VCSE RMS exam www. If the extra made Suggeste augm spect	ine 33(footnote) C Footnote a. "RM ELs have a line sp value in link calcu ple finisar.com/down RMS value is suf margin somehow dRemedy ent historical link	Comment Status X Clause 86 Table 86-6 p.287 (tra S spectral width is the standar bectrum which is not well descr ulations gives a different estima- toad_nC3xpBOptical%20Mode ficiently pessimistic the target noted. If the RMS value is too	d deviation of f ibed by an RM ate of pulse sp s%20In%20VC length should t optimistic othe	the spectrum". 850nm IS value; the use of an reading. See for CSELs.pdf be increased or the er changes need to be	called with a throug peak appre J2 an as we dual-I UI, R based 0.330 Suggeste	I solve the set of the	values of J2 and 4.7. This appears similarly long-ru and inducing VE ignal is not incluc the existing J2 a for the SRS test nore readily imple sian combination 0.229 UI and TJ	due to the lengt n-lenght, richly-s CP. In these cas ded in J2 but is ir and J9 values we should be chang emented. The ex where peak-to-p (@1E-12) = 0.4§ al - Gaussion cor and TJ(@1E-12)	hly DDJ distributi tructured test pat ses a significant p ncluded in J9. Thi re proposed for the ed to reflect actu- isting J2 and J9 v beak DJ equals d 98 UI. The propose mbination where p ~ 0.502 UI.	nultaneously meet as on tails that occurs terns after passing oortion of the peak-to- is was not fully he SRS condition. Th al operating condition values are based on a ual-Dirac DJ of 0.274 sed new values are peak-to-peak DJ ~
CI 86	SC 86.7.3	P1	L 288	# 136	Proposed	Response	Respons	e Status O		
Hajduczei	nia, Marek	ZTE Corp.								
Comment	Type T	Comment Status X								

Some of the references to 40GBASE-SR4 / 100GBASE-SR4 contain 'and' between types and some 'or'. Why is 'or' used in case of definition of parameters which are common for both types? Even title in Table 86-8 suggests the use of 'and'.

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 86 SC 86.7.3

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 86 SC 86.7.3 Ganga, Ilango	P 288 Intel Corporat	L 33 ion	# 381	C/ 86 Abbott, Jol	SC 86 . nn	7.4	P 289 Corning Inc.	L 3	# 354
against D 3.0] "Receiver jitter tolerance in 86.8.4.8 "as in 68.6.11, b) The parameters of the 68.6.11 says " the powe to be equal to the stresse better than 10-12 shall be So, we are to adjust the p the -5.4 limit in Table 86-1 anything fail by setting the Note this is unlike stresse	ower in OMA to any value 3. So the spec is arbitrary a 9 OMA low enough. 1d sensitivity which is a pro	ane" (shown as ces: adjusted, using given in Table 60 we like as long a and uncertain: a perty of the rece	"Max" in D2.3) is used the optical attenuator, 3-5, and a BER of as it doesn't exceed tester can make	only an http://iu ISI req in Tab ensure illustra <i>Suggested</i> add ar	e 86-9 p. 2 n illustrativ eee802.or uirements le 86-9. Th es all link of tive link m <i>Remedy</i> n illustrativ ements.	289 (see al e power b g/3/ae/pub and these he illustrati calculations odel can b e consens	Comment Status X Iso Tables 86-6, 86-7, 86- udget but an illustrative lir blic/index.html. The link ne e depend on more parame ve link model gives a set of s have a common consen- be in an annex to clause 8 us link model which meets Response Status O	ak model simila eds to satisfy l eters than what of common ba sus root. The r 6 or in the sam	ar to 802.3ae models on both power penalty and is explicitly mentioned seline assumptions and reference to the ne section at Table 86-9.
Note this is unlike stressed sensitivity which is a property of the receiver under test not of the test rig. It's more like an eye mask, which is also fixed. If we were not trying to move to Sponsor ballot this would be a TR. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change the row "Receiver jitter tolerance signal level in OMA, each lane Max -5.4 dBm" to "Receiver jitter tolerance, each lane, per conditions below" (deleting "Max -5.4 dBm" and below "Conditions of receiver jitter tolerance test:", insert a new row Signal level in OMA5.4 dBm" Keep the footnote, but change "This is a test of the optical receiver's ability" to "Jitter tolerance defines the optical receiver's ability" Another remedy would be to change "Receiver jitter tolerance signal level in OMA" to		Suggested	<i>Type</i> E title contai <i>Remedy</i> e "40GBA	ns error fo SE-SR10"	P289 CommScope S Comment Status X r 100G. to "100GBASE-SR10". Response Status O	L 7 Solutions	# <u>351</u>		
set at the maximum for re	n OMA" and modify 86.8.4 ceiver jitter tolerance signa <i>Response Status</i> O			***	<i>Type</i> 1 mment su	· (omitted wit	P 289 CommScope S Comment Status X th the file 41772900024-d d to illustrate the power bu	3_0_comment_	_

distances of 120 m on OM3 and 150 m on OM4.

SuggestedRemedy

See attached replacement table.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 86 SC 86.8.1 Frazier, Howard M	P 290 Broadcom	L1	# 361	<i>Cl</i> 86 Petrilla, Jol	SC 86.8.3.3 hn	P 292 Avago Techn	L16 ologies	# 874
Comment Type ER In Figure 86-3, there a to interpret, and seem SuggestedRemedy Delete the right angled		ws that clutter th	ne diagram, are difficult	measu similar	kisting eye diagra rements may be lack of mention 3.6 refers to 86.8	Comment Status X m definition does not mention made neglecting these sour of activating potential crossta 3.3.2 and an appropriate rem	ces of potential alk sources in 86	crosstalk. There's a 6A.5.3.6. Fortunately
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggested	IRemedy			
C/ 86 SC 86.8.2 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T Such a table (as 86-10	P 33 ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X 0) should be also included in th	L 290 e copper PHY o	# 1 <u>31</u>	diagrai as cros signals	ms, all co-propag sstalk sources, u s. The input lanes se being output."	first paragraph in 86.8.3.2, " gating and counter-propagati sing one of patterns 3, 5, or s of the item under test are re <i>Response Status</i> O	ng signal lanes i valid 40GBASE·	n the channel are active R or 100GBASE-R
contain only textual de SuggestedRemedy Per comment	escription of what the test point	s are and where	e they are located.	<i>Cl</i> 86 Petrilla, Jo	SC 86.8.3.3	P 292 Avago Techn	L 44 ologies	# 875
Proposed Response C/ 86 SC 86.8.3.2 Dawe, Piers J G	Response Status O P 292 Independant	L16	# 301	measu Suggested	kisting jitter defini Irements may be IRemedy	Comment Status X tions for J2 and J9 do not me made neglecting these sour to 86.8.3.3, "All co-propagati	ces of potential	crosstalk.
	Comment Status X d if it matters, J2 and AC comm ny crosstalk is included. We for			lanes i 40GBA	n the channel are ASE-R4or 100GB	e active as crosstalk sources BASE-R signals. The input la ronous to those being output	s, using one of p nes of the item u	atterns 3, 5, or valid
SuggestedRemedy		-		Proposed I	Response	Response Status 0		
Add text here, at 86.8. 86.8.3.2. Proposed text here: "\ propagating lanes are 100GBASE-R signal. asynchronous to those At 86.8.3.3, "J2 Jitter propagating lanes acti	and J9 jitter are specified with ive, using one of patterns 3, 5, nes of the item under test are r	co-propagating , 5, or a valid 40 ler test are rece all co-propagatin or a valid 40GB	g and counter- DGBASE-R or iving signals that are ng and counter- ASE-R or 100GBASE-	paralle Suggested	<i>Type</i> TR oes the word "no I sentence of 86. <i>IRemedy</i> "normative".	Broadcom Comment Status X rmative" appear in the last s	L 4 entence of this s	# 362

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	C/ 86	Page 140 of 158
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		SC 86.8.3.3.2	12/24/2009 11:06:54 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Hajduczenia, Marek	3 P 22 ZTE Corp.	L 293	# 130	C/ 86 SC 86.8.4 Frazier, Howard M	.4 P 293 Broadcom	L 39	# 363
Comment Type T OMA is as defined in patternchange to rea (see Table 86-12) te	Comment Status X 52.9.5 for measurement with a ad "OMA is as defined in 52.9.5 f			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X s zero, TDP(i) = 0." is redundar	nt.	
SuggestedRemedy no need to repeat inf	formatuion included already in Ta	able 86-12		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
C/ 86 SC 86.8.4.	4 P293	L 28	# 876	C/ 86 SC 86.8.4 Dawe, Piers J G	Independant	L 23	# 300
	Avago Techno <i>Comment Status</i> X finition refers to 52.9.10 with a li	st of exceptions.		irrespective of the r	Comment Status X ovide the same BER performar umber of lanes. It doesn't matt mments for 87 and 88, and for	er how the errors	
Figure 52-12 is comp sentence, "To meas procedure shall be u equipment as descril	ily interpreted to yield an underst pulsory. For example, the Test P ure the transmitter and dispersio sed." Then item a) of the procec bed above and illustrated in Figu- les or references but not compu larify this issue.	Procedure (52.9.1 on penalty (TDP) dure declares, "C ure 52-12." Since	0.4) starts with the the following onfigure the test test setups or block		sert new bullet "The aggregate ceive lanes at the same receive <i>Response Status</i> O		D receiver is the average
SuggestedRemedy Add to the list of exc not compulsory.	eptions, "f) The test setup illustra	ated in Figure 52	-12 is for example and	Cl 86 SC 86.8.4 Anslow, Peter	.7 P295 Nortel Netwo	L 27 orks	# 560
Proposed Response	Response Status O				Comment Status X mment 190 against Draft 2.2 to applied to subclause 86.8.4.7		f in subclause 86.8.4.8
C/ 86 SC 86.8.4.	4 P 293 Avago Techno	L 34 logies	# 877		The mode-conditioning patch c ise 86.8.4.7 to subclause 86.8.		2.5/125 um fiber is not
Petrilla, John							
Comment Type T In item d), a reference	Comment Status X ce receiver bandwidth of 6.1 GHz penalties between the test case			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
GHz) of the total link SuggestedRemedy	ce receiver bandwidth of 6.1 GHz	and the worst c	ase link at max reach.	Proposed Response	Response Status O		

CI 86 SC 86.8.4.7

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 86 SC 86.8.4.7 Petrilla, John	P 295 Avago Technol	L 27 logies	# 878	<i>Cl</i> 86A Ghiasi, Ali	SC 86A.4.1	-	42 dcom	L 28	# 793
Comment Type TR Item f) belongs in 86.8 SuggestedRemedy Move item f) from 86.8				J9 limits A related	a future 40GE of the XLPPI in	Comment Status BASE-LR4 module w Interface are propose poses to modify the	rith an unr ed to be s	lightly changed.	
Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 86A SC 86A P421 L6 # 338 Dawe, Piers J G Independant					above for "J2 86A-2 change	Jitter output for 40G "J2 Jitter tolerance"	BASE-R" to "J2 Jit	with a value of ter tolerance for	GBASE-R" and add a 0.17 UI Max. r 100GBASE-R" and th a value of 0.17 UI
Comment Type ER We call the MDI, MDI, don't call it nMDI.	Comment Status X whatever data rate it supports	and however n	nany lanes it has. We	new row In Table	above for "J9 86A-4 change	Jitter output for 40G	BASE-R" to "J9 Jit	with a value of ter tolerance for	r 100GBASE-R" and
SuggestedRemedy Change "nPPI" to "PP	I" throughout.			UI Max. See king	_01_0110 for	further details.			
Change "nPPI" to "PP	l" throughout. Response Status O			UI Max. See king	_01_0110 for the series a related	further details.	e the opti		s of 40GBASE-LR4
Change "nPPI" to "PP Proposed Response Cl 86A SC 86A.1 Anslow, Peter	Response Status O P 421 Nortel Network	L 23	# 604	UI Max. See king Note, the	_01_0110 for the second	further details. comment to increas Response Status	e the opti		
Change "nPPI" to "PP Proposed Response Cl 86A SC 86A.1 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E This says "86A.4 conta	Response Status O	s for nPPI on tr	ansmit side then	UI Max. See king Note, the Proposed Re C/ 86A Frazier, How Comment Ty Why is it	_01_0110 for the second	further details. comment to increas <i>Response Status</i> <i>Pa</i> Broa <i>Comment Status</i>	e the opti O 223 dcom X gure 86A-	L 15	# 365
Change "nPPI" to "PP Proposed Response Cl 86A SC 86A.1 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E This says "86A.4 conta receive side". But the t "module to host" SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O P421 Nortel Network Comment Status X ains the electrical specifications	s for nPPI on tr se the terms "h	ansmit side then lost to module" and	UI Max. See king Note, the Proposed Re Cl 86A Frazier, How Comment Ty Why is it return lo SuggestedR	_01_0110 for the second	further details. comment to increas <i>Response Status</i> <i>P4</i> Broa <i>Comment Status</i> plot a constant in Fi	e the opti O 23 dcom X gure 86A- nd thus do	L 15	# 365
Proposed Response Cl 86A SC 86A.1 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E This says "86A.4 conta receive side". But the f "module to host" SuggestedRemedy Change to "86A.4 conta side) and then module specify the transmit side	Response Status O P421 Nortel Network Comment Status X ains the electrical specifications text of 86A.4 has changed to u	s for nPPI on tr se the terms "h ns for nPPI fron 51 change "86 ly of the nPPI" f	ansmit side then lost to module" and n host to module (Tx 5A.4.1 and 86A.4.2 to "86A.4.1 and 86A.4.2	UI Max. See king Note, the Proposed Re Cl 86A Frazier, How Comment Ty Why is it return lo SuggestedR	_01_0110 for the second	further details. comment to increas <i>Response Status</i> <i>Pa</i> Broa <i>Comment Status</i> plot a constant in Fig ry with frequency, an	e the opti O 223 dcom X gure 86A- nd thus dc ode input	L 15	# 365

C/ 86A SC 86A.4.1.1

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

P 423	L17	# 366	C/ 86A	SC 86A.4.2	P 424	L 47	# 044
Broadcom		# 300	Ghiasi, Ali	30 00A.4.2	Broadcom	L 4 7	# 814
Frazier, Howard M Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X The indication of the "compliant region" in Figure 86A-1 is ambiguous. SuggestedRemedy Use shading to indicate the compliant region. Proposed Response Response Status O				Comment Type TR Comment Status X "During July 2009 plenary petrilla_01_0709 stated " At TP4, for the combination of J2 (max = 0.46 UI) X1 = 0.11 UI and J9 (max = 0.63 UI), max TJ is estimated at 0.716 UI. This is higher than the expected 0.68 UI and may plac too heavy a burden on the downstream receiver. Relief is proposed by reducing max JS from 0.63 UI to 0.62 UI to yield a max TJ estimate of 0.704 UI." The premise for the change was not to exceed TJ of 0.7 UI but the current J2=0.46 and J9=0.62 results in TJ of 0.66 UI, this will increase cost of the optics and will make			
P 424 Avago Technol	L 45 ogies	# 886					ector. Please set the
I target. It also appears that ly long-run-lenght, richly-stru lucing VECP. In these cases	lengthly DDJ dis actured test patters a significant po	stribution tails occur erns after passing ortion of the peak-to-	Keep J2 of max D	but increase J J (32 ps) to hit		n the jitter distril	bution but for the case
sting J2 and J9 values were requirements to accommod J9 values for TP4 should be bly accommodate LR4. The in combination where peak- 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = nate binominal - Gaussion c	proposed for TF ate 40GBASE-L changed to reflect existing J2 and to-peak DJ equa 0.661 UI. The p ombination when	P4. Further, there's R4 in small footprint ect expected jitter J9 values are based Is dual-Dirac DJ of roposed new values re peak-to-peak DJ ~	Anslow, Pete Comment Tyj 86A-4 ha voltage to	r be T s parameter "S blerance is"	Comment Status X		# 605
	Comment Status X npliant region" in Figure 86A he compliant region. Response Status O P424 Avago Technol Comment Status X are not well-aligned with the I target. It also appears that ly long-run-lenght, richly-stru ducing VECP. In these cases not included in J2 but is incl sting J2 and J9 values were requirements to accommod J9 values for TP4 should be bly accommodate LR4. The an combination where peak-1 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = nate binominal - Gaussion c 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) ~	Comment Status X npliant region" in Figure 86A-1 is ambiguous he compliant region. Response Status O P424 L45 Avago Technologies Comment Status X are not well-aligned with the currently propos I target. It also appears that lengthly DDJ dis ly long-run-lenght, richly-structured test patted ducing VECP. In these cases a significant por not included in J2 but is included in J9. This sting J2 and J9 values were proposed for TF requirements to accommodate 40GBASE-L J9 values for TP4 should be changed to reflubly bly accommodate LR4. The existing J2 and an combination where peak-to-peak DJ equa 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.661 UI. The p nate binominal - Gaussion combination wher 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) ~ 0.694 UI. This at	Comment Status X npliant region" in Figure 86A-1 is ambiguous. he compliant region. Response Status O P424 L45 Avago Technologies Comment Status X are not well-aligned with the currently proposed TP4 output I target. It also appears that lengthly DDJ distribution tails occur Iy long-run-lenght, richly-structured test patterns after passing ducing VECP. In these cases a significant portion of the peak-to- not included in J2 but is included in J9. This was not fully sting J2 and J9 values were proposed for TP4. Further, there's requirements to accommodate 40GBASE-LR4 in small footprint J9 values for TP4 should be changed to reflect expected jitter bly accommodate LR4. The existing J2 and J9 values are based an ombination where peak-to-peak DJ equals dual-Dirac DJ of 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.661 UI. The proposed new values nate binominal - Gaussion combination where peak-to-peak DJ ~ 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) ~ 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and	Comment Status X Comment Tyle npliant region" in Figure 86A-1 is ambiguous. "During J he compliant region. At TP4, fr Response Status O The prem P424 L45 # Avago Technologies The prem Comment Status X SuggestedRe are not well-aligned with the currently proposed TP4 output 100Gbas It target. It also appears that lengthly DDJ distribution tails occur " y long-run-lenght, richly-structured test patterns after passing of max D tring VECP. In these cases a significant portion of the peak-to- Proposed Re commodate LR4. The existing J2 and J9 values are boundate to reflect expected jitter Anslow, Pete bly accommodate LR4. The existing J2 and J9 values are boundate LR4. The existing J2 and J9 values are boundate LR4. The existing J2 and J9 values are boundate LR4. The proposed new values C/ 86A Anslow, Pete Comment Tyle 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.661 UI. The proposed new values SuggestedRe 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) ~ 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and SuggestedRe	Comment Status X mpliant region' in Figure 86A-1 is ambiguous. Me compliant region. Response Status O P424 L45 # 886 Avago Technologies Comment Status X are not well-aligned with the currently proposed TP4 output I target. It also appears that lengthly DDJ distribution tails occur ly long-run-lenght, richly-structured test patterns after passing fucing VECP. In these cases a significant portion of the peak-to- not included in J2 but is included in J9. This was not fully sting J2 and J9 values were proposed for TP4. Further, there's requirements to accommodate 40GBASE-LR4 in small footprint J9 values for TP4 should be changed to reflect expected jitter bly accommodate LR4. The existing J2 and J9 values are based an combination where peak-to-peak DJ of 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) ~ 0.661 UI. This also applies to J2 and 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) ~ 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and	Comment Status X npliant region" in Figure 86A-1 is ambiguous. he compliant region. Response Status O P424 L45 Avago Technologies Comment Status X are not well-aligned with the currently proposed TP4 output II target. It also appears that lengthly DDJ distribution tails occur II target. It also appears that lengthly DDJ distribution tails occur IV jolng - Lules were proposed for TP4. Further, there's requirements to accommodate L40. The existing J2 and J9 values were proposed for TP4. Further, there's requirements to accommodate 40GBASE-LR4 in small footprint J9 values for TP4 should be changed to reflect expected jitter and combination where peak-to-peak DJ equals dual-Dirac DJ of 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.661 UI. The proposed new values nate binominal - Gaussion combination where peak-to-peak DJ equals dual-Dirac DJ of 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and J0 and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and J0 and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and J0 and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and J0 and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and J0 and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and J0 and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and J0 and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and J0 and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and J0 and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and J0 and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and J0 and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and J0 and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and J0 and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and J0 and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and J0 and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.694 UI. This also applies to	Comment Status X npliant region" in Figure 86A-1 is ambiguous. he compliant region. Response Status O P424 L45 Avago Technologies Comment Status X are not well-aligned with the currently proposed TP4 output It arget. It also appears that lengthly DDJ distribution tails occur ly long-run-length, richly-structured test patterns after passing lucing VECP. In these cases a significant portion of the peak-to- not included in J9. Ut is included in J9. This was not fully sting J2 and J9 values were proposed for TP4. Further, there's requirements to accommodate LR4. The existing J2 and J9 values are based an combination where peak-to-peak DJ equals dual-Dirac DJ of 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.664 UI. The sloa applies to J2 and 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.664 UI. The sloa applies to J2 and 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.664 UI. The sloa applies to J2 and 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.664 UI. The sloa applies to J2 and 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.664 UI. The also applies to J2 and 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.664 UI. This also applies to J2 and 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.664 UI. This also applies to J2 and 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.664 UI. This also applies to J2 and 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.664 UI. This also applies to J2 and 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.664 UI. This also applies to J2 and 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.664 UI. This also applies to J2 and 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.664 UI. This also applies to J2 and 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.664 UI. This also applies to J2 and 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.664 UI. This also applies to J2 and 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.664 UI. This also applies to J2 and 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.664 UI. This also applies to J2 and 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.664 UI. This al

Proposed Response

SuggestedRemedy

In Tables 86A-3 and 86A-4 change J2 from 0.46 to 0.42 and J9 from 0.62 to 0.65.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 86A SC 86A.4.2

Response Status O

Sarga, Ilango Intel Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X [Editor's note: Comment 75 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0 BER is a criterion of tolerance, not a metric of it. It's already stated in 86A.5.3.8.6 and is the same for the whole project so should not be repeated here. More comment on related issue against 86.7.3 Table 86-8. Also, per D2.0 comment 470: 'ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Need to avoid using "receiver" on the transmit path (down the stack, PMA to MDI) or "transmit" or "transmitter" on the receive path (up the stack, MDI to PMA). Change names using the terms host, module, input and output.' SuggestedRemedy In Table 86A-4, change "Receiver signal tolerance, each lane (BER) - 10-12" to ''' In Table 86A-4, change "Receiver signal tolerance, each lane, per conditions below" In footnote b, change 'nost receiver input but we resolved to use "input" and 'output" in D2.0 comment 47(0). Make the cross-reference into a proper link. SuggestedRemedy In Table 86A-4, down 486A,5.3.8 consider changing "receiver tolerance" to input tolerance" to input tolerance appropriate. O At Table 86A-4.2 P425 L33 # B87		
Comment Type T Comment Status X [Editor's note: Comment TS against D 3.0] BER is a criterion of tolerance, not a metric of it. It's already stated in 86A.5.3.8.6 and is the same for the whole project so should not be repeated here. Note comment 470: Note comment 470: 'ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Need to avoid using "receive" or "receiver" on the transmit path (down the stack, PMA to MDI) or "transmit" or "transmitter" on the receive path (up the stack, PMA to MDI) or "transmit" or "transmitter" on the receive path (up the stack, MDI to PMA). Change names using the terms host, module, input and output.' SuggestedRemedy In Table 86A.4, change "Receiver signal tolerance, each lane, per conditions below" 'nost input is a receiver input but we resolved to use "input" and "output" in D2.0 comment 470. Make the cross-reference into a proper link. In Table 86A.4 change "Response Response Response Status O "Host input is a receiver input but we resolved to use "input" and "output" in D2.0 comment 470. At Table 86A.4.2. P425 L33 # 887 Wate the cross-reference into a proper link. In Table 86A.4.4 change "Response Response Status O Comment Type TR Comment Status X Table 86A.4 change "Response Response Response Status O C/ 86A Sc 86A.4.2. P425 L33 # 887 Petrilla, John Avago Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status X Table 86A-4 declares a DDPWS tol		
In Table 86A-4, change "Receiver signal tolerance, each lane (BER) - 10-12" to "Host input signal tolerance, each lane, per conditions below" In footnote b, change "host receiver (see 86A.5.3.8)." to "host input (see 86A.5.3.8)." (it happens that the host input is a receiver input but we resolved to use "input" and "output" in D2.0 comment 470). Make the cross-reference into a proper link. In Table 86A-6 and 86A.5.3.8 consider changing "receiver tolerance" to input tolerance" as appropriate. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 86A SC 86A.4.2 P 425 L 33 # 887 Petrilla, John Avago Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status X Table 86A-4 declares a DDPWS tolerance for the host input. Unfortunately, DDPWS is only defined for PRBS9 which appears to have little relevance to the actual signal seen at this	Comment Type T Comment Status X [Editor's note: Comment 75 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0] BER is a criterion of tolerance, not a metric of it. It's already stated in 86A.5.3.8.6 and is the same for the whole project so should not be repeated here. Note comment on related issue against 86.7.3 Table 86-8. Also, per D2.0 comment 470: 'ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Need to avoid using "receive" or "receiver" on the transmit path (down the stack, PMA to MDI) or "transmit" or "transmitter" on the receive path (up the stack, MDI to PMA). Change names using the terms host, module, input and output.'	 Comment Type TR Comment Status X "During July 2009 plenary petrilla_01_0709 stated " At TP4, for the combination of J2 (max = 0.46 UI) X1 = 0.11 UI and J9 (max = 0.63 UI), max TJ is estimated at 0.716 UI. This is higher than the expected 0.68 UI and may place too heavy a burden on the downstream receiver. Relief is proposed by reducing max J9 from 0.63 UI to 0.62 UI to yield a max TJ estimate of 0.704 UI." The premise for the change was not to exceed TJ of 0.7 UI but the current J2=0.46 and J9=0.62 results in TJ of 0.66 UI, this will increase cost of the optics and will make 100Gbase-SR10 implementation more difficult due to the X10 connector. Please set the specification to what was intended. SuggestedRemedy
happens that the host input is a receiver input but we resolved to use "input" and "output" in D2.0 comment 470). Make the cross-reference into a proper link. In Table 86A-6 and 86A.5.3.8 consider changing "receiver tolerance" to input tolerance" as appropriate. Proposed Response Status O	In Table 86A-4, change "Receiver signal tolerance, each lane (BER) - 10-12" to "Host input signal tolerance, each lane, per conditions below"	of max DJ (32 ps) to hit J2 then TJ=0.7 UI.
	happens that the host input is a receiver input but we resolved to use "input" and "output" in D2.0 comment 470). Make the cross-reference into a proper link. In Table 86A-6 and 86A.5.3.8 consider changing "receiver tolerance" to input tolerance" as appropriate.	Petrilla, John Avago Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status X Table 86A-4 declares a DDPWS tolerance for the host input. Unfortunately, DDPWS is only defined for PRBS9 which appears to have little relevance to the actual signal seen at this

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 86A-4, delete the DDPWS row.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Dawe, Piers J G	P 428 Independant	L 25	# 339	Cl 86A Dawe, Piers J	SC 86A.5.1.1.2 J G	P 429 Independant	L 44	# 340
and MCB losses, excludir	Comment Status X or mated HCB and MCB is geing the connector. If a connector anted constraint that would for erence loss.	tor has very little	loss at some	refers to adjust the	and previously in 86A, 85.10.9.3 with a differe a frequency limits to su	ment Status X HCB-MCB crosstalk v ent methodology and r uit 86A's purposes. Bu d if they are tight enou	new numbers. Ir It we still need to	o see how the new
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRe	emedy			
1 7 0	le - 0.109 + 0.654 f + 0.12f dl Response Status 0	3 to -0.11 + 0.46	f + 0.16f dB			le 85-11 in 0.01 to 15 riate, provide ICN spe		
				Proposed Re	sponse Resp	onse Status O		
C/ 86A SC 86A.5.1.1.2 Ganga, Ilango	P 429 Intel Corporation	גר <i>L</i> 44	# 383	C/ 86A	SC 86A.5.1.1.2	P 430	L7	# 606
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Anslow, Pete		Nortel Network		# 000
	74 against D 2.3 was agreed	to be resubmitte	d by the Editor	Comment Ty		ment Status X		
	n 86A, HCB-MCB crosstalk w does not control above 10 G			In Figure	86A-5 the label "Diffe	rential to common-more which does not match		
controlled to a frequency	higher than product crosstalk	(affects J9, eye	, Qsq) according to	SuggestedRe	emedy			
	or signal. Qsq is observed in 86A starts at 10 MHz not 50		<i>lidt</i> n.			non-mode conversion l	loss looking in to	o HCB or MCB"
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Re	sponse Resp	onse Status O		
	per end of the frequency rang e lower end at 10 MHz (for A							
	Response Status 0			<i>Cl</i> 86A Petrilla, John	SC 86A.5.3.3	P 432 Avago Technol	L1 oaies	# 888
Proposed Response	•						- 3	
Proposed Response				Comment Tvi	pe T Com	-		
Proposed Response				pass filte with that with an e	ition for transition time r. To ease the burden in 83A.5, "The signal v	ment Status X e measurements calls on implementers, this waveform, eye, and jitt dB bandwidth of at lea	requirement sh er may be meas	sured using a receiver
Proposed Response				The defin pass filte with that with an e	ition for transition time r. To ease the burden in 83A.5, "The signal v quivalent minimum -30 I, 86A.5.3.5 and 86A.5	ment Status X e measurements calls on implementers, this waveform, eye, and jitt dB bandwidth of at lea	requirement sh er may be meas	ould be harmonized sured using a receiver
Proposed Response				The defin pass filte with that with an e 86A.5.3.4 SuggestedRe Change f "the wave	ition for transition time r. To ease the burden in 83A.5, "The signal v quivalent minimum -30 l, 86A.5.3.5 and 86A.5 emedy rom, "the waveform is eform is observed usin	ment Status X e measurements calls on implementers, this waveform, eye, and jitt dB bandwidth of at lea	requirement sh ter may be meas st 18 GHz." This 2 GHz low pass quivalent minim	ould be harmonized sured using a receiver s also applies to filter response." to

C/ 86A SC 86A.5.3.3 Page 145 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:54 PM

Draft 3.0 Comments	IEEE P802	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s I	Ethernet c	omments			Sponsor ballo
C/ 86A SC 86A.5.3.8 P 433 Dawe, Piers J G Independant	L 33	# 341	<i>Cl</i> 86A Dawe, Piers	SC 86A.5. J G	3.8.1	P433 Independant	L 42	# 342
Comment Type E Comment Status X Terminology SuggestedRemedy			irrespec	D should pro tive of the nu	vide the same	It doesn't matter		LS service interface are divided among the
Check that "Host electrical receiver signal tolerance" ha	as the same nan	ne throughout	SuggestedR					
Proposed Response Response Status O			Change aggrega	"Compliance	average of the			liance is defined at an MA), of 10-12.". In
C/ 86A SC 86A.5.3.8 P 433 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	L 35	# 607	Proposed R	esponse	Response	Status O		
Comment Type E Comment Status X "86A.5.3.8.1" and "86A.5.3.8.6" should be links			C/ 86A Anslow. Pete	SC 86A.5.	3.8.2	P 434 Nortel Network	L 2	# 609
SuggestedRemedy Make them links. Proposed Response Response Status O			SuggestedR	looking" is r	Commen needless repetit	t Status X ion		
C/86ASC86A.5.3.8.1P 433Anslow, PeterNortel Networks	L 40	# 608	Proposed R	0	Response	Status O		
Comment Type E Comment Status X "at the Rx host (PMA) compliance point" is unclear			Cl 86A Dawe, Piers	SC 86A.5.	3.8.3	P 435 Independant	L1	# 343
SuggestedRemedy Change to "at the host input (PMA) compliance point"			Comment Ty		Commen	t Status X		
Proposed Response Response Status O			SuggestedR Remove		ne or reduce w	hite space in figur	е.	
			Proposed R	esnonse	Response	Status O		

C/ 86A SC 86A.5.3.8.3 Page 146 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:54 PM

		IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet co	omments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 86A SC 86A.6 Dawe, Piers J G	P 437 Independant	L 41	# 344	C/ 86A SC 86A.8.3 Dambrosia, John	B P441 Force 10 Netwo	L12 rorks Inc	# 685
Comment Type T Originally there was a 0.5 of measurement. It then got s frequency break point (pre	scaled up when it should ha	ave remained at		Comment Type TR Missing shall stateme SuggestedRemedy add shall statements			
SuggestedRemedy Change 0.682 to 0.5, and 0 didn't find it), change that s		is an equivalent	limit in 85 or 85A (I	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response F	Response Status O			C/ 86A SC 86A.8.4 Dambrosia, John	I.1 P441 Force 10 Netwo	L 31 orks Inc	# 686
C/ 86A SC 86A.6 Dawe, Piers J G	P 438 Independant	L 26	# 345	Comment Type TR Missing shall stateme	Comment Status X ents for SF2, d, sf3, AND sf4.		
The recommended minimu MHz and 1 GHz, is both ha loss alone. It is difficult to i At 10 MHz the HCB referen loss is like the MCB loss b 0.79 dB at 1 GHz. With pra compliance at 10 MHz (tryi connector and HCB unlike)	armful and unnecessary. Be magine that the host PCB a nce loss is 0.041 while at 1 ut scaled to 3 dB at 7 GHz actical measurement uncer ing to measure 0.1 dB), and ly to be 1.2 dB) at 1 GHz. If s, the return loss specs are	elow 2.5 GHz it and connector h GHz it is about it would be 0.06 tainty, it would b d pointless (gair f the intention of	is less than the HCB have gain! : 0.42 dB. If the PCB 6 dB at 10 MHz and be difficult to show n of host PCB, f the minimum loss	add shall statements Proposed Response Cl 86A SC 86A.8.4 Dambrosia, John Comment Type TR	Response Status O 1.3 P 442 Force 10 Network Comment Status X		# 684
				missing shall statem	ents for SEM2, SEM3, and SEM		
frequency spec is not nece SuggestedRemedy	f <= 1". Consider changing	from -0.5 + 0.51	, 1 to 7 GHz, to -0.22	SuggestedRemedy Add SHALL stateme		14	
frequency spec is not nece SuggestedRemedy Delete the row "0 0.01 <= 1 + 0.46f, 0.01 to 7 GHz.		from -0.5 + 0.5i	⁴ , 1 to 7 GHz, to -0.22				
frequency spec is not nece SuggestedRemedy Delete the row "0 0.01 <= 1 + 0.46f, 0.01 to 7 GHz. Proposed Response F Cl 86A SC 86A.8.2.2	f <= 1". Consider changing Response Status O P 47	from -0.5 + 0.5f	f, 1 to 7 GHz, to -0.22 # 118	Add SHALL stateme	nt	L 41	# 841
frequency spec is not nece SuggestedRemedy Delete the row "0 0.01 <= 1 + 0.46f, 0.01 to 7 GHz. Proposed Response Cl 86A SC 86A.8.2.2 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T	f <= 1". Consider changing Response Status O P 47 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X	L 440		Add SHALL statemer Proposed Response Cl 87 SC 87 Dudek, Michael Comment Type T	nt Response Status O P 311	L 41 ration	# <mark>841</mark>
frequency spec is not nece SuggestedRemedy Delete the row "0 0.01 <= 1 + 0.46f, 0.01 to 7 GHz. Proposed Response C/ 86A SC 86A.8.2.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	f <= 1". Consider changing Response Status O P 47 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X	L 440		Add SHALL statemen Proposed Response Cl 87 SC 87 Dudek, Michael Comment Type T There is no reference SuggestedRemedy	nt Response Status O P 311 QLogic Corpor Comment Status X	L 41 ration nts	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 87 SC 87 Page 147 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:54 PM

Draft 3.0 Comment	Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 400					omments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 87 SC 87 Dudek, Michael	P 314 QLogic Corpora	L 54 ation	# 842	<i>Cl</i> 87 Dudek, Mi	SC 87 chael	P 323 QLogic Corporat	L 26 tion	# 845
Comment Type TR The hit ratio for the ey	Comment Status X e mask is not defined.			<i>Comment</i> What		Comment Status X adjacent channels are set to is a	lso important.	
SuggestedRemedy Add a footnote to the t defined at a 5 e-5 hit n Proposed Response	ransmitter eye mask definition. atio". <i>Response Status</i> 0	Footnote to sa	ay "The eye mask is			required OMA and wavelength a <i>Response Status</i> 0	s described"	
	P 315 QLogic Corpora	L 43	# 843	<i>CI</i> 87 Turner, Ec	SC 87 dward J	P 324 Gnodal Limited	L10	# 240
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X ed in this clause appears to be		2 used in clause 86. J2	Suggestee	87-13. Thick ve dRemedy	Comment Status X ertical line between cells.		
SuggestedRemedy Change Stressed eye	jitter to J2 throughout this claus	se.			thin vertical lin Response	e between cells, as per tables in o Response Status O	other clauses	
Proposed Response	Response Status O							
	P319	L 33	# 844	<i>CI 87</i> Turner, Ec	SC 87 Iward J	P 324 Gnodal Limited	L 53	# 250
Dudek, Michael	QLogic Corpora			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X		
Comment Type E Two "tables"	Comment Status X			Suggested	dRemedy	re used, whereas elsewhere doul	·	
SuggestedRemedy delete one				and 10	6.	·		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		

CI 87 SC 87

Draft 3.0 Commen	ts	IEEE P80	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s Etl	hernet comment	ts		Sponsor ballo
C/ 87 SC 87.1 Anslow, Peter	P307 Nortel Networks	L13	# 565	C/ 87 S Anslow, Peter	C 87.12.4	P 332 Nortel Netwo	L 2 ′ks	# 570
clauses" seems inapp SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X single PMD type, the title of Table ropriate. es associated with the 40GBASE- <i>Response Status</i> O		vpe and associated	SuggestedRen	"types 40GBASE-L <i>nedy</i> vpes 40GBASE-LR4	nment Status X R4" should be "type 4 " to "type 40GBASE- ponse Status O		
Cl 87 SC 87.12.3 Dambrosia, John Comment Type TR No corresponding SH, SuggestedRemedy add shall statements Proposed Response	P 331 Force 10 Network Comment Status X ALL statements for XLTP1 and XL Response Status O		# 661	Dambrosia, Jo Comment Type	e TR Con conding SHALL state nedy tatements	P 332 Force 10 Netronment Status X ements for XLF1 and poonse Status O		# <u>666</u>
Cl 87 SC 87.12.3 Dambrosia, John Comment Type TR No corresponding SH, SuggestedRemedy add SHALL statement Proposed Response	P 331 Force 10 Network Comment Status X ALL statement to MD PIC Response Status O	L 26 is Inc	# 660	Dambrosia, Jol Comment Type	e TR Con conding SHALL state nedy tatements	P 333 Force 10 Netr Inment Status X ements for XLM1	L 6 works Inc	# <u>667</u>
Cl 87 SC 87.12.3 Dambrosia, John Comment Type TR No corresponding SH/ SuggestedRemedy add shall statements Proposed Response	P 331 Force 10 Network Comment Status X ALL statements for LR4, INS Response Status O	L 6 Is Inc	# <u>665</u>	Dambrosia, Jol Comment Type	e TR Con oonding SHALL state nedy tatement	P 334 Force 10 Net mment Status X ement for XLOM5 bonse Status O	L 15 works Inc	# 662

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STA	TUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open	W/written	C/closed	U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	CI
SORT ORDER:	Clause, Subclause, page, line					SC

Cl	87
SC	87.12.4.4

Page 149 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:54 PM

Draft 3.0 Comments	5	IEEE P802	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s	and 100Gb/s Ethernet of	comments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 87 SC 87.12.4.4 Dambrosia, John	P 334 Force 10 Network	L 19 ks Inc	# 663	C/ 87 SC 87.6 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 38 ZTE Corp.	L 313	# 122
Comment Type TR No corresponding SHA SuggestedRemedy add shall statement	Comment Status X LL statement for XLOM7			associate a particul	Comment Status X the Note to read as follows: NOT ar electrical lane with a particula ny arrangement. Also, clarify wh ?	r optical lane, as	the PCS is capable of
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy Per comment			
<i>Cl</i> 87 <i>SC</i> 87.12.4.6 Dambrosia, John	P 335 Force 10 Network	L 8 ks Inc	# 664	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type TR No corresponding SHA	Comment Status X LL statement for XLOC2						
SuggestedRemedy add shall statement							
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
<i>Cl</i> 87 SC 87.2 Dawe, Piers J G	P 308 Independant	L 42	# 303				
40GBASE-LR4, draft s parameters are undefir IS_UNITDATA_i.indica SIGNAL_DETECT = F there is no specification actions" includes a CD	Comment Status X rvice interface should be like the ays "When SIGNAL_DETECT=F ued, but consequent actions inter tion as a logic zero." while 52.1. AlL, PMD_UNITDATA.indication of for consequent actions; this is of R, which needs transitions. Ther been "a zero" not "a logic zero"	AIL, the IS_UNI pret 1.3.1 says simpl (rx_bit) is undefi deliberate, as the e is no requirem	TDATA_i.indication y "When ned.". Note that e "consequent				
SuggestedRemedy Delete "but consequent	с ,		nother comment for				
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

C/ 87 SC 87.6

Cl 87 SC 87.7.4 Ghiasi, Ali	I P314 Broadcom	L 30	# 792	<i>Cl</i> 87 Hajduczen	SC 87.7.2	P 1 ZTE Corp.	L 314	# 126
Comment Type TR To make a future 4 transmitter power la together with an ind A related comment See king_01_0110	Comment Status X OGBASE-LR4 module with an un evels of 40GBASE-LR4 are propo crease of the maximum TDP by 0 proposes to change the J2 and s	osed to be incre 0.3 dB.	ased by 0.3 dB,	Comment is cons of 2m the op	Type E sidered complia to 10km).chang erating range re IRemedy	Comment Status X ant (e.g., operating at 12.5km n ge to read"is considered compl equirement of 2m to 10km."	iant e.g., operat	
	ch power (max) from 8.3 to 8.6 dl			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Average launch po Optical Modulation Optical Modulation Launch power in O Transmitter and dis RIN20OMA (max) f In Table 87-8 chan Damage threshold Average receive po Average receive po Receive power, ea Receiver sensitivity Vertical eye closure In Table 87-9 chan Power budget (for Allocation for penal See king_01_0110	(min) from 3.3 to 3.6 dBm ower, each lane (max) from 2.3 to ower, each lane (min) from -13.7 to ch lane (OMA) (max) from 3.5 to r (OMA), each lane (max) from -9 e penalty, each lane from 1.6 to 1	6.7 dBm ax) from 3.5 to 3 n) from -4 to -3. from -4.8 to -4.9 e (max) from 2.3 e 2.6 dBm to -13.4 dBm 3.8 dBm 9.9 to -9.6 dBm 1.9 dB 6 dB	7 dBm 5 dBm 3 to 2.6 dB	given v compa Suggested Per co Proposed I Cl 87 Anslow, Pe Comment In Tab	Type T 87-8 is missing value is max/mi risonSimilar co Remedy mment Response SC 87.8.1 eter Type E le 87-10 the su	P11 ZTE Corp. Comment Status X a Type column, which would in in or otherwise. See e.g. tables imment against Table 87-7, pa Response Status O P316 Nortel Networ Comment Status X bclause for pattern 5 should be	: in clause 86 or ge 314/17 <i>L</i> 49 ks	
SuggestedRemedy	Independant Comment Status X emanding, especially for QSFP m g TDP max from 2.3 to 2.5 dB, wi		# <u>304</u>	Suggestea Chang Proposed i	e "82.2.11" to "	82.2.10" Response Status O		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 87 SC 87.8.1

Draft 3.0 Comm	ents	IEEE P8	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s	s Ethernet con	nments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 87 SC 87.8. Anslow, Peter	1 P 317 Nortel Network	L 22	# 567	<i>Cl</i> 87 Dawe, Pie	SC 87.8.11.1 ers J G	P 320 Independant	L 48	# 307
penalty calibration" Table 88-11 SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X items "Calibration of OMA for rece do not have an entry in the "Rela 7.8.11" Also applies to Table 88-1 Response Status O	ted subclause" (Suggested Chang the 0.2	hany "should"s all <i>dRemedy</i> ge "should be less	Comment Status X ow uncertainty. s than 0.25 UI" to "should be I Response Status O	ess than 0.25 l	JI". Consider reducing
	11 P320 Independant	L17	# 305	CI 87 Dawe, Pie Comment		P 320 Independant Comment Status X	L 49	# 308
irrespective of the lanes. See other co SuggestedRemedy In the second para is defined with the	rovide the same BER performance number of lanes. It doesn't matter omment for 86.8.4.7 and 86A.5.3.6 graph of 87.8.11 change "For eac transmit section in operation on al in operation." to "The BER of eac	how the errors a 3.1. h lane, the stres I four lanes and	are divided among the sed receiver sensitivity with the receive lanes	test pa <i>Suggested</i> Chang	attern it's not data dRemedy	s should be minimal, and sho a. endent effects should be min <i>Response Status</i> O		
section in operation operation.". At the PMD receiver is the the stressed receive aggregate BER do stressed receiver s receiver sensitivity	no on all four lanes and with the red end of the first paragraph of 87.8. e average of the BER of all receive rer sensitivity (OMA) specified in T es not exceed 10^-12". In Table 8 ensitivity (OMA), delete "each land (OMA) entries in both tables.	eive lanes not u 11 insert "The a e lanes at the sa able 87-8, a cor 7-8 and Table 8	Inder test also in ggregate BER of the ame receive OMA. At npliant receiver's 8-8, entries for	Suggestee	<i>Type</i> T action of VECP c <i>dRemedy</i>	Independant Comment Status X reated by the filter has an imp	L1	# 309
Proposed Response	Response Status O				ge "should be crea <i>Response</i>	ated" to "is created". Response Status O		
C/ 87 SC 87.8. Dawe, Piers J G	11.1 P320 Independant	L 42	# 306					
Comment Type T	Comment Status X Gb/s or 100 Gb/s) is irrelevant her	e.						
"the data rate" (40								
SuggestedRemedy	naling rate". Also 87.8.11.2 bullet :	3.						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 87 SC 87.8.11.2 Page 152 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:54 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 87 SC 87.8.11.2 Dawe, Piers J G	2 P 323 Independant	L15	# 310	<i>CI</i> 87 Hajduczenia	SC 87.8.5 a, Marek	Р 53 ZTE Corp.	L 317	# 127
Comment Type T Too many "should"s a SuggestedRemedy	·			patterne	s as defined in 5	Comment Status X 2.9.5 for measurement with "OMA is as defined in 52.9. pattern		
Change "should result	" to "results".			Suggested	Remedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			no need	d to repeat info	rmatuion included already in	Table 87-11	
				Proposed F	Response	Response Status 0		
Cl 87 SC 87.8.11.3 Dawe, Piers J G	3 P 323 Independant	L 34	# 311	01.07	00.07.0.0.4			" [500
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			C/ 87 Anslow, Pet	SC 87.8.6.4 eter	P 319 Nortel Netwo	L 28 orks	# 568
Clean				Comment T	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy clean				that the		s of TDP and SRS in clauses should be measured for the	,	
Proposed Response	Response Status O					les logeller.		
				Suggested	Domody			
				SuggestedI	•	4 change "(transmit and rec	eive) and each la	ane is tested individual
<i>Cl</i> 87 <i>SC</i> 87.8.11. 4 Ghiasi, Ali	4 P 324 Broadcom	L 14	# 794	In 87.8. using a receive	.6.4 and 88.8.5. an optical filter to e), each lane is t	4 change "(transmit and rec o separate the lane under te tested individually using an o nd the BER of 1 x 1012 is 1	st from the others optical filter to sep	s." to "(transmit and parate the lane under
Cl 87 SC 87.8.11.4 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Stress receiver sensiti 0.05 UI to 0.15 UI. Dei will not be consistent a	Broadcom Comment Status X wity test for frequency greater th fining the stress receiver sensit and higher amount of SJ will pe arry this 10 years old legacy who	han loop BW d ivity with so mi nalize the rece	lefines Sj in the range of uch slop means the test eiver for no good reason.	In 87.8. using a receive test from the end test on Add an on its o	.6.4 and 88.8.5. an optical filter to b), each lane is to m the others, and d of the first para- its own." additional exce own". Insert an a 1 x 1012 for th	o separate the lane under te	st from the others optical filter to sep or the lane under is required to be R of 1 x 1012 is .7 and 86.8.4.8 "	s." to "(transmit and barate the lane under test on its own." Add met for the lane under for the lane under test
Cl 87 SC 87.8.11.4 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Stress receiver sensiti 0.05 UI to 0.15 UI. Det will not be consistent a Why do we need to ca CL86A already take ad	Broadcom Comment Status X wity test for frequency greater th fining the stress receiver sensit and higher amount of SJ will pe arry this 10 years old legacy who	han loop BW d ivity with so mi nalize the rece	lefines Sj in the range of uch slop means the test eiver for no good reason.	In 87.8. using a receive test from the end test on Add an on its o below 1	.6.4 and 88.8.5. an optical filter to b), each lane is to m the others, and d of the first para- its own." additional exce own". Insert an a 1 x 1012 for th	o separate the lane under te tested individually using an o nd the BER of 1 x 1012 is f agraph of 87.8.11 "The BER eption in 86.8.4.4 "f) The BEI additional exception in 86.8.4 e lane under test on its own	st from the others optical filter to sep or the lane under is required to be R of 1 x 1012 is .7 and 86.8.4.8 "	s." to "(transmit and parate the lane under test on its own." Add t met for the lane under for the lane under test
Cl 87 SC 87.8.11.4 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Stress receiver sensiti 0.05 UI to 0.15 UI. Det will not be consistent a Why do we need to ca CL86A already take ad SuggestedRemedy	Broadcom Comment Status X wity test for frequency greater th fining the stress receiver sensit and higher amount of SJ will pe arry this 10 years old legacy who	han loop BW d ivity with so mi nalize the rece en test equipm	lefines Sj in the range of uch slop means the test eiver for no good reason. lent where arcade and	In 87.8. using a receive test from the end test on Add an on its o below 1 <i>Proposed F</i>	.6.4 and 88.8.5. an optical filter to b), each lane is to m the others, and d of the first para- its own." additional exce own". Insert an a 1 x 1012 for th	o separate the lane under te tested individually using an of additional BER of 1 x 1012 is f agraph of 87.8.11 "The BER eption in 86.8.4.4 "f) The BEI additional exception in 86.8.4 e lane under test on its own <i>Response Status</i> O	st from the others optical filter to sep or the lane under is required to be R of 1 x 1012 is .7 and 86.8.4.8 "	s." to "(transmit and barate the lane under test on its own." Add t met for the lane under for the lane under test The BER must remain
Cl 87 SC 87.8.11.4 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Stress receiver sensiti 0.05 UI to 0.15 UI. Det will not be consistent a Why do we need to ca CL86A already take ad SuggestedRemedy	Broadcom Comment Status X ivity test for frequency greater th fining the stress receiver sensit and higher amount of SJ will pe arry this 10 years old legacy whe dvantage of this?	han loop BW d ivity with so mi nalize the rece en test equipm	lefines Sj in the range of uch slop means the test eiver for no good reason. lent where arcade and	In 87.8. using a receive test from the end test on Add an on its o below 1	.6.4 and 88.8.5. an optical filter to b), each lane is to m the others, and d of the first para- its own." additional exce- own". Insert an a 1 x 1012 for the <i>Response</i> SC 87.8.7	o separate the lane under te tested individually using an o nd the BER of 1 x 1012 is f agraph of 87.8.11 "The BER eption in 86.8.4.4 "f) The BEI additional exception in 86.8.4 e lane under test on its own	st from the others optical filter to sep or the lane under is required to be R of 1 x 1012 is .7 and 86.8.4.8 "	s." to "(transmit and barate the lane under test on its own." Add met for the lane under for the lane under test
Cl 87 SC 87.8.11.4 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Stress receiver sensiti 0.05 UI to 0.15 UI. Dei will not be consistent a Why do we need to ca CL86A already take ad SuggestedRemedy propose to set SJ to 0	Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> X wity test for frequency greater th fining the stress receiver sensit and higher amount of SJ will pe arry this 10 years old legacy whe dvantage of this? .05 UI as illustrated by Figure 8	han loop BW d ivity with so mi nalize the rece en test equipm	lefines Sj in the range of uch slop means the test eiver for no good reason. lent where arcade and	In 87.8. using a receive test from the end test on Add an on its o below 1 Proposed R C/ 87 Anslow, Pet Comment T	.6.4 and 88.8.5. an optical filter to b), each lane is to m the others, and d of the first para- its own." additional exce- own". Insert an a 1 x 1012 for the Response SC 87.8.7 ter Type E	b separate the lane under te tested individually using an of add the BER of 1 x 1012 is f agraph of 87.8.11 "The BER eption in 86.8.4.4 "f) The BEI additional exception in 86.8.4 e lane under test on its own <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 319	st from the others optical filter to sep or the lane under is required to be R of 1 x 1012 is .7 and 86.8.4.8 "	s." to "(transmit and barate the lane under test on its own." Add met for the lane under for the lane under test The BER must remain
Cl 87 SC 87.8.11.4 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Stress receiver sensiti 0.05 UI to 0.15 UI. Dei will not be consistent a Why do we need to ca CL86A already take ad SuggestedRemedy propose to set SJ to 0	Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> X wity test for frequency greater th fining the stress receiver sensit and higher amount of SJ will pe arry this 10 years old legacy whe dvantage of this? .05 UI as illustrated by Figure 8	han loop BW d ivity with so mi nalize the rece en test equipm	lefines Sj in the range of uch slop means the test eiver for no good reason. lent where arcade and	In 87.8. using a receive test from the end test on Add an on its o below 1 <i>Proposed F</i> <i>CI</i> 87 Anslow, Pet <i>Comment T</i> "Table"	.6.4 and 88.8.5. an optical filter to b), each lane is to m the others, and d of the first para- its own." additional exce- own". Insert an a 1 x 1012 for the Response SC 87.8.7 ter Type E ' twice in "given	o separate the lane under te tested individually using an of add the BER of 1 x 1012 is f agraph of 87.8.11 "The BER additional exception in 86.8.4 e lane under test on its own" <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 319 Nortel Netwo <i>Comment Status</i> X in Table Table 877"	st from the others optical filter to sep or the lane under is required to be R of 1 x 1012 is .7 and 86.8.4.8 "	s." to "(transmit and barate the lane under test on its own." Add met for the lane under for the lane under tes The BER must remain

CI 87 SC 87.8.7

Draft 3.0 Comments	IEEE	P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s Ethe	ernet comments			Sponsor ballot
C/ 88 SC 88 Dudek, Michael	P341 L46 QLogic Corporation	# 846	C/ 88 SC Nikolich, Paul	88.11.3 Medium De	pen P354 YAS Broadba	L 45 nd Ventu	# 347
Comment TypeTComment SThere is no reference to the signal deSuggestedRemedyInsert at the end of the first sentence.Proposed ResponseResponse S	tect requirements "that meet the requirement	s of table 88-4"	Perhaps it is reference for SuggestedRemed	an MDI include the for defined elsewhere in a "connectorized fibe dy ion or appropriate refe	the 802.3 Standa er pigtail".	rd, but I could not	
Cl 88 SC 88 Dudek, Michael Comment Type TR Comment S	P349 L 30 QLogic Corporation Status X	# 847	Cl 88 SC Dambrosia, John	88.12.3	<i>P</i> 356 Force 10 Netv	L6 works Inc	# 672
DGD is an important channel character not specified thereby potentially leadin SuggestedRemedy Add an extra column to table 88-12. D Proposed Response Response S	ng to varying test results. DGD(max). Value to be 8ps		Comment Type No correspor SuggestedRemed add shall stat Proposed Respor	nding SHALL stateme <i>dy</i> tements	ent Status X ents for LR4, ER4, se Status O	INS, CTP1, CTP	4
Cl 88 SC 88 Turner, Edward J Comment Type E Comment S Table 88-13. Thick vertical line between SuggestedRemedy Use a thin vertical line between cells, Proposed Response Response S	as per tables in other claus	# 241	Dambrosia, John Comment Type	TR Comme nding SHALL stateme dy tements	P 357 Force 10 Netw ent Status X ents for CF1 and C		# <u>673</u>

C/ 88 SC 88.12.4.1 Page 154 of 158 12/24/2009 11:06:54 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 88 SC 88.12.4.2 Dambrosia, John	P358 Force 10 Networks	L6 # 674	C/ 88 SC 88.12.4.5 P 359 L 22 # 572 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Comment Type TR No corresponding SHA	Comment Status X LL statements for CM1.		Comment TypeEComment StatusXFor COM9 the subclause should be 88.8.10 not 88.8.9
SuggestedRemedy Add shall statements			SuggestedRemedy Change 88.8.9 to 88.8.10
Proposed Response	Response Status O		Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 88 SC 88.12.4.5 Dambrosia, John	P 359 Force 10 Networks	L12 # 668	C/ 88 SC 88.12.4.7 P 360 L 8 # 671 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Networks Inc </td
Comment Type TR No corresponding SHA	Comment Status X LL statements for COM4		Comment Type TR Comment Status X No corresponding SHALL statement for COC2,
SuggestedRemedy add shall statement			SuggestedRemedy add shall statement
Proposed Response	Response Status O		Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 88 SC 88.12.4.5 Dambrosia, John	P359 Force 10 Networks	L18 # 669	C/ 88 SC 88.3.1 P 6 L 339 # 119 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. ZTE Corp
Comment Type TR No corresponding SHA SuggestedRemedy add shall statement	Comment Status X LL statement for COM7		Comment Type T Comment Status X in some of the clauses there are references to units of "BT" (bit times) and in some locations there are references to units of "bit times"(1) BT (bit times) used on 363/23, 29/41,(2) bit time used on 365/23, 365/26, 365/29, 365/33, 365/34, 365/39, 365/43, 134/43 225/4, 225/5, 237/27, 227/28, 237/31, 237/32,
Proposed Response	Response Status O		SuggestedRemedy Use a consistent designation across clauses. The use of "BT" is suggested.
C/ 88 SC 88.12.4.5 Dambrosia, John	P 359 Force 10 Networks	L 22 # 670	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type TR The subclause referen	Comment Status X ce for COM9 appears to be incorre 88.8.10	ect as it should be to Stressed	
Receiver Sensitivity, i.e			
Receiver Sensitivity, i.e			
	rence to 88.8.10		

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 88 SC 88.3.1

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 88 SC 88.3.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 10 ZTE Corp.	L 339	# 120	C/ 88 SC 88.6 P 47 L 343 # 121 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. ZTE Corp. ZTE Corp. ZTE Corp.
Comment Type T The text in 88.3.2 is cl in the form a table.	Comment Status X lear, but it is always better to h	ave such skew re	equirements presented	Comment Type T Comment Status X Change the text of the Note to read as follows: NOTE - There is no requirement to associate a particular electrical lane with a particular optical lane, as the PCS is capable of
SuggestedRemedy Add a table with the s contain similar textual	kew requirements into all claus description to 88.3.2	es which contair	n PMD definitions and	receiving lanes in any arrangement. Also, clarify what lanes are meant - are these PMD lanes or PCS lanes? SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Per commentProposed ResponseResponse StatusO
C/ 88 SC 88.5.8 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 43 ZTE Corp.	L 342	# 123	C/ 88 SC 88.7 P2 L 344 # 124 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.
(optional)."Comment a the call to "lane-by-lar	subclause 88.5.8 to read"PME applicable to 342/42, 228/15, 2 he". Some clauses use "lane by consistently. Scrub the draft as <i>Response Status</i> O	42/7, 285/32, 31: / lane", some "la	2/37, 342/43(2) Unify	Comment Type E Comment Status X considered compliant (e.g., a 100GBASELR4 PMD operating at 12.5km meets the operating range requirement of 2m to 10km). change to read"considered compliant, e.g., a 100GBASELR4 PMD operating at 12.5km meets the operating range requirement of 2m to 10km." SuggestedRemedy Per comment. No need to hide the example in braces. Proposed Response Response Status O
<i>Cl</i> 88 <i>SC</i> 88.6 Karocki, Piotr	P 343 TBD Polska	L	# 753	C/ 88 SC 88.7 P344 L8 # 312
nm. Now, in 100 Gb/s distance and such part	Comment Status X 8.7. small to be sure, but 10 gigabit b, E and L has same wavelengh rameters as sensitivity of receiv thought that E means extra lor	nts, and only diffe ver (table 88.8). I	erence is maximum But, if same	Dawe, Piers J G Independant Comment Type E Comment Status X Title says "100GBASE-LR4 operating range" yet table covers 100GBASE-ER4 also. SuggestedRemedy Change title
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response	Response Status O			

CI 88 SC 88.7

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 88 SC 88.8.10 P 351 L 21 # 789 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom Broadcom <th>C/ 88 SC 88.8.10 P 351 L 24 # 571 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks</th>	C/ 88 SC 88.8.10 P 351 L 24 # 571 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Current 10 MHz jitter tolerance corner frequency leads to higher power and complexity for the receiver. The CRU BW was increased by scaling CRU BW up by factor of 10.7/10.3125 from 10 GbE but the VCO noise and other power supply noise do not scale up. We are burdening the receiver for no clear benefit for the transmitter. The 10 MHz burden will remain even in the case of future generation where the ASIC/Serdses run at 25 G with DFE implementation!	Comment Type T Comment Status X "per the methods of 52.9.9.3." should be "per the methods of 87.8.11.2." as in king_01_0709.pdf SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "per the methods of 52.9.9.3." to "per the methods of 87.8.11.2." Proposed Response Response Status O
Propose to consider corner frequency of 7 MHz instead of current 10 MHz and change 100 KHz to 70 KHz. Table 83-13 becomes: f<70 KHz not defined 70 KHz <f<=7 +="" -="" 0.05<br="" 7*10^4="" f="" mhz="" s="">7 MHz<f<10 (target="" s="0.05" value)<br="">Proposed Response Response Status O</f<10></f<=7>	Cl 88 SC 88.8.5 P 350 L 12 # 787 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X The CRU BW for the TDP measurement is defined to be 10 MHz and will result in higher
C/ 88 SC 88.8.10 P 351 L 23 # 790 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X Stress receiver sensitivity test for frequency greater than loop BW defines Sj in the range of	 power more complex receiver. The argument for having higher CRU BW is to filter power supply and VCO noise, but noise sources are not scaling when operation speed increased from 10.3125 to 25.7 Gigabud. So there is very little benefit of having higher CRU BW but definite penalty. The 10 MHz burden will remain even in the case of future generations where ASIC/SerDes operate at 25 G with DFE receiver unless we require the CDR in the module to absorb the SJ with phase FIFO! SuggestedRemedy
0.05 UI to 0.15 UI. Defining the stress receiver sensitivity with so much slop means the test will not be consistent and higher amount of SJ will penalize the receiver for no good reason. Why do we need to carry this 10 years old legacy when test equipment where arcade and CL86A already take advantage of this?	Propose to consider CRU BW 7 MHz instead of current 10 MHz. Higher CRU BW has very little benefit on the VCO noise and power supply noise but significant penalty on the receiver, see ghiasi_01_0110 Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy	·
propose to limit max SJ to 0.05 UI, Figure 86A-10 and Table 86-7 can be used as guide line. Table 88-13 then becomes: f<100 KHz Not defined 100 KHz <f<=10 -="" 0.05<br="" 5x10^5="" f="" mhz="">10 MHz<f<10 0.05<="" lb="" td=""><td></td></f<10></f<=10>	

C/ 88 SC 88.8.5

CI 88	SC 88.8.8	P350	L 45	# 788
Ghiasi, Ali		Broadcom		
higher higher VCO n	nitter eye diagra power receiver i power receiver i noise. The 10 MH	Comment Status X m is measured CRU BW of mplementations. D2.1 and c mplementations. Increased I tz burden will remain even in tz 25 G with DFE receiver!	omment 128 will CRU BW has ver	result to more complex y little benefit on the
	se CRU BW 7 M	Hz instead of current 10 MH		
receive	er, see ghiasi_01	D noise and power supply no _0110 Response Status 0	bise but significan	t penalty on the
	er, see ghiasi_01 Response SC A	_0110	L10	t penalty on the # 256
receive Proposed I CI A Young, Ge Comment	er, see ghiasi_01 Response SC A orge Type E	_0110 Response Status 0 P 361	L 10	# 256
receive Proposed I Cl A Young, Ge Comment Correc Suggested	er, see ghiasi_01 Response SC A orge Type E the title of the of IRemedy the title of this	_0110 Response Status 0 P 361 AT&T Comment Status X	L10	# 2 <u>56</u> by ITU-T

CI A SC A