C/ 00 SC 0	Р	L	# 611	CI 00	SC 0	Р	L	# 900
Dambrosia, John	Force 10 Ne	etworks Inc		Ganga, Ilan	go	Intel Corpor	ation	
Comment Type ER Global - Plots of inser	Comment Status A tion loss, return loss, crossta	alk limits are inco	nsistent. Some plots do	Comment 7 Check		Comment Status A ding the use of notes embed	ded in the tables	for example Tables 80-
not indicate where the	e pass regions are, but others ceptable region is - "Acceptal	s do and use vari	ious terminologies to	3 throu	gh 80-5.	anig ale acc of holes share		,
"Pass Region", "Com		bio region , re		Suggestedl Update	2	otes in Tables (if applicable)	as per IEEE styl	e requirements.
SuggestedRemedy			The disease of the disease of	Response		Response Status C		
	raphs regarding whether a pa ed, then use consistent termi				T IN PRINCI	•		
Response	Response Status C			As per	IFFF Style m	anual section 15.4:		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	LE.			"A note	to a table is i	nformative. A footnote to a ta		
Add or change labels	to all charts illustrating limits	except for claus	e 69B:	be kept footnot		determining whether inform	ation should go i	n a table note of a table
"Meets equation cons	traints"					NOTE, delete the first senter		
A straw poll of the Tas	sk force was taken:			state di	agram allows	1 to 3 not shown in this table future standardization of res		
A Remove all "Region	" labels except in 69B					ink fault indications." e the table, and reword to fit	in.	
	s to all charts illustrating limits	S						
C No changes to the o	Iran			In Table	es 81-3 and 8	1-4 delete the NOTE.		
B 12 C 2					s 81-88: Table 3 as applicabl	e on first page of PICS: Chan e	ge NOTES 1, 2,	3 to NOTE 1, NOTE 2,
						1 0	ge NOTEO 1, 2,	

C/ 00 SC 0 P L # 899	CI 00         SC 0         P         L         # 392           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks						
Comment Type ER Comment Status A	Comment Type E Comment Status D						
Check for style regarding the use of notes NOTE1 and NOTE2 embedded in the layer diagram figures, for example Figures 80-1 through 80-5 and 82-1, 83-1 etc.,	The draft is inconsistent on whether to use "AC coupling or AC coupled" or "AC-coupling or AC-coupled".						
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy						
Update the notes embedded in the figures (if applicable) as per IEEE style requirements.	The response to comment 470 against D 2.0 agreed to use "AC coupling or AC coupled						
Response Response Status C	Proposed Response Response Status W						
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
As per the IEEE Style manual section 16.3:	The same inconsistency exists in the base standard as well.						
"A note to a figure is informative. A footnote to a figure is normative. This distinction should be kept in mind when determining whether information should go in a figure note or a footnote."	Change all instances to "AC coupling" or "AC coupled" to be consistent in P802.3ba.						
louinole.	C/00 SC 0 P L # 823						
Notes in Figures 80-1 through 80-5 and 81-1, 82-1, 83-1 and 88-2 are informative and	Goergen, Joel Force 10 Networks Inc						
hence the NOTE(s) in figures need not be changed.	Comment Type GR Comment Status R						
In Fig 82-10 and Fig 82-11 change "Note -" to "NOTE-"(em dash)	Module channel model is not production manufacturable.						
In Fig 83-5, change footnote numbering from 1,2,3 to a,b,c	SuggestedRemedy						
In Fig 83-6, change colon to em dash after the word NOTE Under Figures 85-2 and 85-16 change "NOTE-" to "Note that"	Still simulating the models and cannot provide input at thus time.						
21 00 SC 0 P L # 897	Response Response Status W						
anga, Ilango Intel Corporation	REJECT.						
Comment Type ER Comment Status A	Commenter has not provided information on the exact nature of the issue or a suggested						
Check and update the subclause numbering style for new subclauses inserted by 802.3ba,	remedy						
as appropriate, if appliable to this amendment. Especially the new subclauses inserted by 802.3ba: Clauses 45, 73, 74 etc.,	CI 00 SC 0 P L # 393						
uggestedRemedy	Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks						
Update the numbering style for inserted subclauses if applicable to 802.3ba	Comment Type E Comment Status A						
	On the first page of the PICS Proformas there are two references to the applicable						
esponse Response Status C	standard (on lines 37 and 45). This should be "IEEE Std 802.3ba-20xx". See recently						
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	published amendments such as IEEE Std 802.3av-2009. Clauses 84, 86, 87, 88 have this correct in both places.						
See response to comments #389, #754, #767 and #824							
	SuggestedRemedy						
	Page 159 for Clause 81, 195 for Clause 82, 218 for Clause 83, 272 for Clause 85, 391 for						
	Annex 83A, 406 for Annex 83B, 440 for Annex 86A						
	Annex 83A, 406 for Annex 83B, 440 for Annex 86A Response Response Status <b>C</b>						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 00 SC 0

#### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 00 SC 0	Р	L	# 348	C/ 00 SC	0	P1	L <b>22</b>	# 791
Nikolich, Paul	YAS Broadba	and Ventu		Ghiasi, Ali		Broadcom		
Comment Type <b>G</b>	Comment Status A			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		
They "must be satisfi	garding my two earlier comme ied'but they are logged as "no an't figure out how to change th	ot required to be		barbieri_02_0 from users, C	0308. Sin DEMs, an	was added late to the 802.3b gle mode 40GbE objective wa d component suppliers. As a g	as added with bro group however w	oad market support
SuggestedRemedy				The sheer siz	ze of the	d nPPI so it can support 40Gb retimed interface forces the 40 lule which is the choice for 400	OGbase-LR4 into	
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCII	Response Status C					igh density and forgo single m V possible with 40Gbase-SR4		build a line card with
ACCELLINE RINCH				SuggestedRemed	dy			
There is no action to				Extend the nF CL86 and 87		support 40Gbase-LR4, for de _01_0110	etail implementat	ion see comments on
The other two comm comments (must be	ents #346, #347 from the comr satisfied).	menter have be	een classified as TR	Response ACCEPT IN F		Response Status W		
C/ <b>00</b> SC <b>0</b> Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>0</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>0</b>	# 143		-	LE. ments #792 & #793		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status D			C/ 00 SC	0	P <b>23</b>	L <b>47</b>	# 610
The draft has many b	plank pages. Please remove th	em		Dambrosia, John		Force 10 Netv	works Inc	
SuggestedRemedy				Comment Type	Е	Comment Status D		
Per comment				listing of proje	ects that	ran in parallel with IEEE P802	.3ba are incomp	lete and should be
roposed Response	Response Status Z			updated. IEE	E P802.3	Baz is also modifying clauses t	hat IEEE P802.3	3ba is modifying.
REJECT.				SuggestedRemed	dy			
				Add reference	e to IEEE	P802.3az in editor's note.		
This comment was V	VITHDRAWN by the commente	er.		Proposed Respor PROPOSED		Response Status <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE.		
The document is con	figured to start new chapters (	Clauses) with c	odd numbered pages.	Update the E	ditor's no	ote on page 23 to reflect the cu	urrent parallel pro	ojects: e.g., P802.3az

The document is configured to start new chapters (Clauses) with odd numbered pages. Hence blank pages are inserted at the end of a Clause or Annex to start the new page to the right (odd numbered page), so a printed document will have chapters starting at the right. Update the Editor's note on page 23 to reflect the current parallel projects: e.g., P802.3az and remove reference to approved projects.

CI 00 SC 0

See response to comment #394

C/ 01	SC 1	P <b>1</b>	L	# 391	C/ <b>01</b>	SC	1.3	P <b>25</b>	L <b>20</b>	# 283
Booth, Bra	ad	AMCC			Dawe, Pi	ers J G		Independant		
Comment	Type <b>T</b>	R Comment Status R			Commer	t Type	Е	Comment Status A		
draft s in 802	standard ha	osen to use a nomenclature that of s chosen to us C and K to indicat we chosen to use S, L and E to in	e media types - s dicate reach ins	similar to previous uses tead of wavelengths as	proc	edures -		ew IEC 61280-1-4:2009 Fibre opti General communication subsyst I.		
		3z and 802.3ae. This creates con s for future enhancements to the			Suggest	edReme	dy			
Suggester								ference, leave the 2003 reference tor's note at line 23	e for Cl.68 ι	use until maintenance
00		nces for S to mean short waveler	gth (850nm).			•	nove eu			
Chang	ge all refere	nces for L to mean long waveleng nces for E to be Z and to mean o	th (1310nm).	velength (1310nm).	Respons ACC		PRINCIP	Response Status <b>C</b> LE.		
Response		Response Status W			See	response	e to comi	ment #394		
REJE	CT.				C/ 01	SC	1.3	P <b>25</b>	L23	# 394
		e was adopted by the Task Force			Anslow,	Peter		Nortel Networks		
adopt plena		ature was presented to the WG b	y the TF Chair d	uring Jul'08 opening	Commer	t Type	т	Comment Status A		
The T includ	ask Force h	has discussed the nomenclature e lution of PHY naming conventions	(see law_01_07	709). The task force did	Since Ed 2.0 of IEC 61280-1-4 is now published (See http://webstore.iec.ch/webstore/webstore.nsf/artnum/043535) update reference and reme Editor's note.					
discus	ss the consi ed as neede	stency issue; during the discussion of from 10M to 10G and that the b	ons it was pointe base document a	d out the nomenclature	Suggest	edReme	dy			
		y different characteristics.			Char	nge refer	ence to "	'IEC 61280-1-4:2009" and remove	e Editor's n	ote.
The n	omenclatur	e employed by P802.3ba is clearl	v documented in	Table 80-2 and the port	Respons	е		Response Status C		
type d	lefinition (fo	r e.g. "100GBASE-CR10") include	es the characteri	stics/attributes of the	ACC	EPT.				
port ty	/pe. Individu	al letters are not used to distingu	ish different cha	racteristics/attributes.	Note	- this wil	l leave a	reference to IEC 61280-1-4:2003	in the base	e standard as referred to
C/ <b>01</b>	SC 1.3	P <b>25</b>	L18	# <u>2</u> 55	by cl	ause 68				
Thompsor	n, Michael	Pentair Elect	ronic Pac							
Comment There		Comment Status A version of this standard available								
Suggestee IEC 6	dRemedy 1280-1-4:20	009								
Response ACCE		Response Status <b>C</b> NCIPLE.								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 01 SC 1.3

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 01SC 1.3P25Haiduczenia, MarekZTE Corp.	L <b>26</b>	# 10	C/ <b>01</b> Maquire, \	SC 1.3 ′alerie	P <b>25</b> The Siem	L <b>5</b> Ion Company	# 4	
Comment Type T Comment Status R All ITU-T references are dated per their publication. G			Comment	Type <b>G</b>	Comment Status R ence to TIA Standard spec		ance	
http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-G.694.1/en)G.694.2 shou http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-G.694.2/en)	lid be dated 2003	(see	Suggested Add. "		0.3:2008, Optical Fiber Ca	blina Components S	itandard."	
SuggestedRemedy			Response			0		
Add the date to G.694.1 and G.694.2 references per o	comment		REJE	·т	Response Status C			
Response Response Status C			INESE!	51.				
REJECT.			See re	sponse to com	ment #7			
As per IEEE style manual, undated references are all	owed (unless spe	cificity is required).	C/ 01	SC 1.5	P <b>27</b>	L <b>30</b>	# 396	
When specific dates are not included in the reference latest version.			Anslow, P	eter	Nortel Ne	tworks		
Clauses 87 and 88 do not take the wavelength or freq			Comment	51	Comment Status D			
G.694.2, but simply refer to these Recommendations Consequently, there is no risk that changes to the Rec the PMD specifications.	commendations w	vill cause changes to	in sub name. the ex	clause 3.2 are s Also, in the bas pansions non-ca	tandard (Annex B) of the 3 hown with the first letters se standard subclause 1.5 apitalised. Using the abbro	not capitalised except most of the abbrevi eviations in the base	pt where it is a prope ations are shown wit standard as a guide	er h
Ø 01     SC 1.3     P25       nslow, Peter     Nortel Networks	L <b>45</b>	# 395	OTN a	ind OPU3 are a	appears that DIC, LSB and bbreviations defined by th			
Comment Type T Comment Status D			been a	adopted.				
If this IEC document is going to be published in time f must be going through the IEC balloting process alrea		erence it, then it	Suggested Chano		count", "least significant	bit" and "most signifi	cant bit"	
SuggestedRemedy	,		Proposed	Response	Response Status W	-		
Either change Editor's note to give details of IEC docu publishing date or remove Editor's note entirely.	ument number and	d expected		OSED ACCEPT	,			
Proposed Response Response Status W			C/ 01	SC 1.5	P <b>27</b>	L32	# 0.07	
							# 367	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Ganga, Ila	ngo	Intel Corp	oration	# 367	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Ganga, Ila <i>Comment</i>	0		ooration	# 367	
	10 MDI connectors	5.	Comment [Edito agains	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> ''s note: Comme it D 3.0]	Intel Corp <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> ent 52 against D 2.3 was a	agreed to be resubmi	itted by the Editor	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	10 MDI connectors	5.	Comment [Edito agains LSB a	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> 's note: Comme it D 3.0] nd MSB don't de	Intel Corp Comment Status D	agreed to be resubmi	itted by the Editor	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	10 MDI connector:	5.	Comment [Edito agains LSB a Suggested Chang	Type E 's note: Comme t D 3.0] nd MSB don't de IRemedy je "Least Signifi	Intel Corp <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> ent 52 against D 2.3 was a	agreed to be resubmi was nearly right in a	itted by the Editor an earlier draft.	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	10 MDI connector:	S.	Comment [Edito agains LSB a Suggested Chang "most Proposed	Type E 's note: Comme it D 3.0] nd MSB don't de IRemedy le "Least Signifi significant bit". Response	Intel Corp <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> ent 52 against D 2.3 was a enote proper names. This	agreed to be resubmi was nearly right in a	itted by the Editor an earlier draft.	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	10 MDI connector:	S.	Comment [Edito agains LSB a Suggested Chang "most Proposed PROF	Type E 's note: Comme it D 3.0] nd MSB don't de IRemedy le "Least Signifi significant bit". Response	Intel Corp Comment Status D ent 52 against D 2.3 was a enote proper names. This cant Bit" to "least significa Response Status W F IN PRINCIPLE.	agreed to be resubmi was nearly right in a	itted by the Editor an earlier draft.	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Comment [Edito agains LSB a Suggested Chang "most Proposed PROF See re	Type E 's note: Comme it D 3.0] nd MSB don't de IRemedy le "Least Signifi significant bit". Response OSED ACCEPT	Intel Corp Comment Status D ent 52 against D 2.3 was a enote proper names. This cant Bit" to "least significa Response Status W F IN PRINCIPLE.	agreed to be resubmi was nearly right in a	itted by the Editor an earlier draft.	

C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P31 L9 # 258	C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.11 P34 L1 # 766
Trowbridge, Stephen ALCATEL-LUCENT	Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems, Inc.
Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         P802.3ba PMDs are all comprised of multiple physical lanes and multiple PCS lanes.       Future interfaces, e.g. under investigation by the 40Gb/s Ethernet Single-mode Fibre PMD Study Group, may not be multiple physical lanes but will still be multiple PCS lanes         SuggestedRemedy       Change "40 Gb/s multi-lane 64B/66B" to "40 Gb/s multi-PCS lane 64B/66B" and "100 Gb/s multi-lane 64B/66B" to "100 Gb/s multi-PCS lane 64B/66B". Same change in sub-clause 30.3.2.1.3 lines 18-19         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       P31       L 50       # 397         Inslow, Peter       Nortel Networks       Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A         There needs to be a management object that supports BIP errors.       SuggestedRemedy         Insert a new subclause 30.5.1.1.11a after 30.5.1.1.11: aBIPErrorCount - ATTRIBUTE - APPROPRIATE SYNTAX: - A SEQUENCE of generalized non-resettable counters. Each counter has a maximum increment rate of 10 000 counts per second for 40 Gb/s implementations and 5 000 counts per second for 100 Gb/s implementations BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS: - For 40/100GBASE-R PHYs, an array of BIP error counters. The counters will not increment for other PHY types. The indices of this array (0 to N - 1) denote the PCS lane number where N is the number of PCS lanes in use. Each element of this array contains a count of BIP errors for that PCS lane Increment the counter by one for each BIP error detected during alignment marker removal in the PCS for the corresponding lane If a Clause 45 MDIO Interface to the PCS is present, then this attribute will map to the BIP error counters (see 45.2.3.37 and 45.2.3.38).; - also add the attribute to Table 30-1e (before aldleErrorCount).         Response       Response Status       C
Since P802.3av is now an approved amendment, the draft should refer to that rather than P802.3av Draft 3.4. SuggestedRemedy Change to "as modified by IEEE Std 802.3av-2009" (Is this the correct format?) Make this change here and throughout clause 45 (12 instances) Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	ACCEPT. C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.15 P34 L39 # 11 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. Comment Type T Comment Status R 'PCS lanes' - this concept has not been defined in Clause 30 or before for that matter. Provide reference to where such concept is defined / used for the first time for readers who do not read standards from the back. SuggestedRemedy Per comment

PCS lane (PCSL) is defined in Clause 1.4, this is generally considered to be closer to the front of the document.

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.15

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ <b>30</b> SC <b>30.5.1.1.2</b> Anslow, Peter	P <b>32</b> Nortel Networ	L <b>31</b> ks	# 398	C/ <b>31B</b> Ganga, Ilar	SC 31B.4.3	P <b>36</b> Intel C	6 orporation	L10	# 890
	Comment Status D			Comment	0	Comment Status	•		
The "10G PCS Control 2" SuggestedRemedy Change "10G PCS Contro end of the sentence, so do	2" to "PCS Control 2". A	lso the reference	e is duplicated at the	This co base d current 1000M 10Gb/s Cor1 ir options	mment is relate ocument due to ly states *MIIc a b/s and MIId has for 10GBASE-7 31B.4.6 howev to table in 31B.	d to changes needed insertion of new spee t operating speeds ab s been added for 10G T. The last two options er these options have 4.3. The fix is needed and 100Gb/s by 802.3	ds after 100M ove 100Mb/s b/s other than s have been a not been add to be consis	Ib/s. The last is, however action 10GBASE-T added/corrected ded to 31B.4.3	row of table ually MIIc is for and MIIe for d by 802.3-2008- . Add the missing
C/ 30 SC 30.6.1.1.5	P35	L <b>44</b>	# 5	Suggested	Remedy				
1000BASE-T is suitable fo category. SuggestedRemedy			es of the correct	*MIIC A 31B.4. {Item} than 10 {Item}	t operating spea 3 Insert the follo MIId {Feature} 0GBASE-T {Sub MIIe {Feature}	w of table as follows: eds (strikethrough: abo wing two rows to the e At operating speeds o clause} 31B.3.7 {Statu At operating speeds o e} 31B.3.7 {Status} O	end of table: f 10 Gb/s with us} Optional f 10 Gb/s with	n PHY types o	ther
Change "UTP" to "twisted-	•			Response		Response Status	С		
Response F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C			ACCEI	РТ.				
The "UTP" is not consister	nt with other PHY types. I	Delete "UTP" in 2	instances.	C/ <b>45</b> Turner, Ed <sup>y</sup>	SC <b>45</b> ward J	P <b>54</b> Gnoda	l Limited	L <b>39</b>	# 216
Note that this is a change				Comment Table 4	51	Comment Status at the bottom of the tal			
C/ 30 SC 30.6.1.1.5 Maguire, Valerie	P <b>35</b> The Siemon (	L <b>45</b> Company	# 6	Suggested	Remedy	ble as per other table		2005	
Comment Type <b>G</b> 1000BASE-TFD is suitable category.	Comment Status <b>A</b> e for operation over all twi	sted-pair media	types of the correct	Proposed I		Response Status		ages	
SuggestedRemedy Change "UTP" to "twisted-	pair"			C/ <b>45</b> Turner, Edv	SC <b>45</b> ward J	P <b>82</b> Gnoda	l Limited	L <b>9</b>	# 252
Response F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C				15-114a. The tab	Comment Status		es 0 and 1, but	it is only actually
The "UTP" is not consister	nt with other PHY types.	Delete "UTP" in 2	instances.	for lane	-				
Note that this is a change	to the base document and	d is not related to	o 40/100G.	Suggested Replac	-	114a-BIP error counte	er, lane 0 reg	ister bit definit	ions.
				Proposed I PROP	Response DSED ACCEPT	Response Status	W		
TYPE: TR/technical required I COMMENT STATUS: D/dispat SORT ORDER: Clause, Sub	ched A/accepted R/reje				d U/unsatisfied	Z/withdrawn	C/ <b>45</b> SC <b>45</b>		Page 7 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:44 /

### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

CI 45 SC 45 Turner, Edward J	P 85 Gnodal Limited	L <b>50</b>	# 217	C/         45         SC         45.2.1         P 38         L 37         # 390           Law, David         3Com         3Com <td< th=""></td<>					
No line at the bottom of the SuggestedRemedy Add line to bottom of table a		ver pages		Comment Type         E         Comment Status         D           Register 1.12 is called the '10G-EPON PMA/PMD ability register', see IEEE Std 802.3av-2009 subclause 45.2.1.11 (page 20).         During my check of the changes made by this draft to the previous approved standards it became apparent that this register name was not correctly reflected in this table in the changes in IEEE Std 802.3av-2009 (see IEEE Std 802.3av-2009 page 17). If the IEEE P802.3ba project is uncomfortable about making this change I'm happy to submit it as a maintenance request.					
Cl <b>45</b> SC <b>45.2</b> Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>37</b> ZTE Corp.	L10	# 12	SuggestedRemedy Change the text 'P2MP ability register' to read '10G-EPON PMA/PMD ability register'.					
	Comment Status <b>A</b> ? I am sure that 802.3ba t participating in 802.3ba j	oroceedings is a	it a loss in here.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. The change will be shown as a change to 802.3-2008 as modified by 802.3av-2009.					
SuggestedRemedy Provide a reference to when	re these concepts are def	ined		C/         45         SC         45.2.1         P 38         L 43         # 399           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks         Nortel Networks         P 38         P 39         P 39					
Response Ri ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	esponse Status C			Comment Type E Comment Status D Registers 1.150 and 1.151 have been re-named to "BASE-R" but the previous name of "10GBASE-KR" still appears in Tables 72-2 and 72-3					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "package" is defined in 45.2. Add appropriate table note to Separated PMA (1) "Separated PMAs are defined in 45.2.1"				SuggestedRemedy         Change "10GBASE-KR PMD" to "BASE-R PMD" in Table 72-2 (2 places) and Table 72-3 (4 places)         Proposed Response       Response Status         W         PROPOSED REJECT.					
				This change applies to Clause 72. It is not worth opening the clause for this editorial change.					

### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

	C 45.2.1	P 39	L15	# 701	C/ 45 SC 45.2.		L 19	# 704
arrass, Hugh		Cisco Systems	s, Inc.		Barrass, Hugh	Cisco Syste	ms, Inc.	
the 32,000 r future projec	egisters ava	Comment Status A nters are packed in much mo ilable. This may lead to pain more lanes. This comment w the particular registers, so it	ful and unneces ill be reference	sary renumbering in d by specific other	SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A s need to change according to H ange to 1.176 to 1.299 (move to	_	position)
uggestedReme	edy				Response	Response Status C		
reserve 200	register add	of per-PCS-lane registers so lresses for future expansion. ey start on 100 bounaries an	Change the ad	dresses of per-physical-	ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2. Barrass, Hugh	1 P39 Cisco Syste	L21	# 705
esponse		Response Status C			, 6	Comment Status A		
ACCEPT.					Comment Type T	gister BASE-R LP coefficient up	date lane 0 (con	() address as propose
7 <b>45</b> SC	C 45.2.1	P39	L16	# 702	in HB_01			
arrass, Hugh	/ 4 <b>J.Z.</b> I	Cisco Systems		# 702	SuggestedRemedy			
	т	Comment Status A	,		Change register a	ddress to 1.1100		
Comment Type HB_02 Char address as p	nge register	BASE-R FEC corrected bloc	ks counter, lane	es 0 through 19	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
uggestedReme	edy				C/ 45 SC 45.2	1 P <b>39</b>	L 22	# 706
Change regi	ister addres	ses to 1.300 to 1.339, add a	row for Reserve	ed 1.340 to 1.699	Barrass, Hugh	Cisco Syste		# [700
esponse		Response Status C			Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
ACCEPT.						gister BASE-R LP coefficient up	date, lane 1 throu	igh 9 address as
/ <b>45</b> SC	C 45.2.1	P39	L18	# 703	proposed in HB_0			.g.r o dadi oco do
arrass, Hugh		Cisco Systems		# 105	SuggestedRemedy			
omment Type	т	Comment Status A			Change register a	ddresses to 1.1101 to 1.1109, a	dd a row for Rese	rved 1.1110 to 1.1199
	nge register	BASE-R FEC uncorrected b	locks counter, la	anes 0 through 19	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
	a ale i							
uggestedReme	eay							
SuggestedReme Change regi		ses to 1.700 to 1.739, add a	row for Reserve	ed 1.740 to 1.1099				
00		ses to 1.700 to 1.739, add a Response Status <b>C</b>	row for Reserve	ed 1.740 to 1.1099				

#### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ <b>45</b> SC <b>45.2.1</b> Anslow, Peter	P <b>39</b> Nortel Networks	L <b>22</b>	# 400	C/ <b>45</b> SC Barrass, Hugh	45.2.1	P <b>39</b> Cisco System	L <b>26</b> ns, Inc.	# 709
Comment Type <b>T</b> This says "1.267 thro	Comment Status A ough 275" but it should be "1.267	through 1.275	11			omment Status A E-R LD coefficient upd	ate, lane 0 (copy	) address as proposed
Make equivalent cha In Table 45-83 chang In title of 45.2.3.38 cl	gh 275" to "1.267 through 1.275" nge elsewhere in Table 45-3 (3 m je "3.83 through 89" to "3.83 thro nange "Registers 3.91 through 10	ugh 3.89"	,	in HB_01 SuggestedRemed Change regis Response ACCEPT.	ter address to 1	.1300 sponse Status C		
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEPT IN PRINCI	PLE.				45.2.1	P 39	L 27	# 710
Change the format of	f the range as suggested, note th	at the number	s change according to	Barrass, Hugh		Cisco System	ns, Inc.	
comment #701.				Comment Type	T Co	omment Status A		
45 SC 45.2.1	P39	L24	# 707			E-R LD coefficient upd	ate, lane 1 throu	gh 9 address as
arrass, Hugh	Cisco Systems,	Inc.		proposed in H	_			
omment Type T	Comment Status A			SuggestedRemed		4 4004 4 4000		
	ter BASE-R LP status report, lan	e (copy) add	lress as proposed in	0 0		o 1.1301 to 1.1309, ad	d a row for Rese	rved 1.1310 to 1.1399
HB_01	······································	(,)		Response	Res	sponse Status C		
uggestedRemedy				ACCEPT.				
Change register add	ress to 1.1200			C/ 45 SC	45.2.1	P 39	L <b>29</b>	# 711
esponse	Response Status C			Barrass, Hugh		Cisco System	ns, Inc.	
ACCEPT.				Comment Type	T Co	omment Status A		
	200	/ 05	" ===		ge register BAS	E-R LD status report, I	ane 0 (copy) add	lress as proposed in
/ 45 SC 45.2.1	P <b>39</b>	L 25	# 708	HB_01				
arrass, Hugh	Cisco Systems,	INC.		SuggestedRemed	dy			
omment Type T	Comment Status A			Change regis	ter address to 1	.1400		
HB_07 Change regis HB_01	ter BASE-R LP status report, lan	e 1 through 9	address as proposed in	Response	Res	sponse Status <b>C</b>		
uggestedRemedy				ACCEPT.				
,	esses to 1.1201 to 1.1209, add a	row for Rese	rved 1 1210 to 1 1299					
0 0								
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEPT.								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Draft 3.0 Comment	S	IEEE P	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ai	nd 100Gb/	s Ethernet co	mments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>39</b> Cisco System	L <b>30</b> s, Inc.	# 712	<i>CI</i> <b>45</b> Barrass, I	SC <b>45.2.1</b> Hugh	P <b>39</b> Cisco System	L <b>34</b> ns, Inc.	# 715
HB_01 SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A r BASE-R LD status report, la sses to 1.1401 to 1.1409, add Response Status C	Ū		Suggeste Chan Response ACCI	3 Change registe dRemedy ge register addre e EPT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status <b>C</b> LE.		· –
SuggestedRemedy Delete reserved row 1. Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C	_01	# 713	Cl <b>45</b> Barrass, I Comment The r Suggeste Chan	SC 45.2.1 Hugh Type TR names of register dRemedy ge names in tabl rn testing control	Iress 1.1510 and change reso P39 Cisco System Comment Status A s 1.308 & 1.309 are reversed e so that 1.308 is Square wa Response Status C	# <u>738</u>	
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T HB_12 Change registe SuggestedRemedy Change register addres Response ACCEPT.	P 39 Cisco System Comment Status A r Test pattern ability address ss to 1.1500 Response Status C		# 7 <u>14</u> HB_01	Cl <b>45</b> Barrass, I Comment HB_1 Suggeste Chan Response ACCI	SC <b>45.2.1</b> Hugh : <i>Type</i> <b>T</b> 4 Change registe <i>dRemedy</i> ge register addre	Response Status <b>C</b> LE.		# 7 <u>16</u>

```
C/ 45
SC 45.2.1
```

### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1	P <b>39</b>	L 37	# 717	C/ <b>45</b>	SC 45.2.1.12		L <b>3</b>	# 389
Barrass, Hugh Comment Type <b>T</b>	Cisco System Comment Status A	s, Inc.		Law, David Comment T	/pe ER	3Com Comment Status A		
HB_15 Change regist proposed in HB_01	er PRBS Tx error counters, lar	ne 0 through lan	e 9 address as	2008, re	numbered to 4	states 'Insert 45.2.1.12a (be 5.2.1.13 by P802.3av/D3.4)	for 40G/100G e	xtended abilities'.
SuggestedRemedy Change register addro	esses to 1.1600 to 1.1609, add	a row for Rese	rved 1.1610 to 1.1699	802.3av	-2009, is titled	IEEE Std 802.3-2008, renu 10P/2B PMA/PMD control r esult in the subclause order	egister (Register	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			45.2.1.1 45.2.1.1 45.2.1.1	1 10G-EPON F 2 PMA/PMD pa 2a 40G/100G F	PMA/PMD ability register (Rackage identifier (Registers PMA/PMD extended ability r	egister 1.12) 1.14 and 1.15) egister (Register	r 1.13)
7 45 SC 45.2.1	P <b>39</b>	L 38	# 718			/PMD control register (Regination is correct as it would be nor		subclause for Registe
arrass, Hugh	Cisco System	s, Inc.				but before 1.14 and 1.15. E		
omment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status A					clauses should be placed af ve the editing instruction sh		
HB_16 Change regist proposed in HB_01	er PRBS Rx error counters, la	ne 0 through lar	e 9 address as		ses of this new	subclause and references t		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedF	emedy			
Change register addre	esses to 1.1700 to 1.1709			00		ubclauses be numbered as		
Response	Response Status C					PMA/PMD extended ability r ote loopback ability (1.13.15		r 1.13)
ACCEPT.						E-ER4 ability (1.13.11)	·)	
						E-LR4 ability (1.13.10)		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1	P <b>39</b>	L <b>40</b>	# 719			E-SR10 ability (1.13.9) E-CR10 ability (1.13.8)		
arrass, Hugh	Cisco System	s, Inc.				E-LR4 ability (1.13.3)		
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status A					E-SR4 ability (1.13.2)		
Reserved registers ne	ed to change according to HB	_01				-CR4 ability (1.13.1)		
SuggestedRemedy Change address rang	e to 1.1710 to 1.32767			Sugges	that the editing	E-KR4 ability (1.13.0) g instruction should read 'In: 5.2.1.11a.9 after existing su		
Response	Response Status <b>C</b>			was ren	umbered by IEI	EE Std 802.3av).'		
ACCEPT.				Response ACCEP	Т.	Response Status W		

CI <b>45</b>	SC 45.2.1.4	l.1a	P <b>42</b>	L <b>24</b>	# 754	C/ <b>45</b>	SC 4	15.2.1.6.1		P <b>43</b>	L10	# 619
Law, Davi	d		3Com			Dambrosi	a, John			Force 10 Ne	tworks Inc	
Comment	Type ER	Comn	nent Status A			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment	t Status A		
subcla insert	ause it is labelle ed after an exis	ed [existing ting subcla	use - assuming it is	el].[a through z].	r to the existing first Where a subclause is new subclause it is	100G	BASE-SF	R10, 1000		0, 40GBASE-I	BASE-ER4, 100 _R4, 40GBASE-	GBASE-LR4, SR4, 40GBASECR4,
	ed [subclause n			the subclauses	would be numbered	Suggeste	dRemedy	V				
					d be numbered 43.2.1	a add c	orrespon	iding pic s	statement			
and 4	3.2.1b. Two sul				would be numbered	Response			Response	Status C		
	and 43.2.4.	that IFFF	P802.3ba isn't self o	consistent with it	self in respect to	ACCI	PT IN P	RINCIPLE	,			
At the moment I note that IEEE P802.3ba isn't self consistent with itself in respect to inserts before first existing subclause - and I see IEEE P802.3az using a different approach. Here are three examples of inserts before the existing first paragraph where each time a different numbering approach has been used. [1] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1a then .1b 45.2.1.4 PMA/PMD speed ability (Register 1.4) 45.2.1.4.1 a 100G capable (1.4.9) 45.2.1.4.1 b 40G capable (1.4.7) [2] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1a then .2a 45.2.1.9 PMD receive signal detect register (Register 1.10) 45.2.1.9.1a PMD receive signal detect 9 (1.10.10) 45.2.1.9.2a PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9) [3] IEEE P802.3az/D2.2 using .a and .b 79.3 IEEE 802.3 Organizationally Specific TLVs 79.3.a EEE TLV					Chan 2009 Chan "10G To:	Change MM23 to reference bits 5:0 instead of 3:0. Note that this is an error in 802.3av- 2009. Change: "10G PMA/PMD type is selected using bits 3:0"						
Suggeste												
parag Chang Chang disabl Chang read Chang Signal Chang 1.10.5 1.10.5	raph. ge '45.2.1.4.1a ge '45.2.1.4.1b ge '45.2.1.8.1a e 9 (1.9.10)'. ge '45.2.1.8.2a 45.2.1.8.b PMD ge '45.2.1.9.1a detect 9 (1.10. ge '45.2.1.9.2a ))' to read '45.2 8, 1.10.9)'.	100G capal 40G capab PMD transr transmit d PMD receiv 10)'. PMD receiv 1.9.b PMD	isable 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (7 ve signal detect 9 (1 ve signal detect 4, 5 receive signal detect d idle test-pattern er	45.2.1.4.a 100G 5.2.1.4.b 40G ca ))' to read '45.2.1 7, 8 (1.9.5, 1.9.6, 1.9.5, 1.9.6, 1.9. .10.10)' to read ' , 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, ct 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1	capable (1.4.9)'. pable (1.4.8)'. .8.a PMD transmit 1.9.7, 1.9.8, 1.9.9)' to 7, 1.9.8, 1.9.9)'. 45.2.1.9.a PMD receiv 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, .10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7,	e						
Response	•	Respo	nse Status W									
ACCE	PT.											

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Szczepanek, An	C <b>45.2.1.7.4</b> ndre	P <b>44</b> HSZ Consultii	L <b>17</b> ng Ltd	# 91	C/ <b>45</b> Anslow, Pe	SC 45.2.1.77	P 50 Nortel Netw	L <b>6</b> orks	# 402				
text for the g operation. 45.2.1.2.1 c	Although the text in clause 45 for the transmit and receive fault bits has been updated, the text for the global PMA/PMD fault bit (1.1.7) has not been updated to cover 40/100Gbps operation. 45.2.1.2.1 currently says : Fault is a global PMA/PMD variable. When read as a one, bit 1.1.7 indicates that either (or both) the PMA or the PMD has detected a fault condition on either the transmit or receive paths. When read as a zero, bit 1.1.7 indicates that neither the PMA nor the PMD has					Comment Type E Comment Status D "." missing after "the PMDs described in Clause 72, 84 or 85" SuggestedRemedy Add "." after "the PMDs described in Clause 72, 84 or 85"							
both) the PN paths. When						Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W						
detected a fault condition. For 10 Gb/s operation, bit 1.1.7 is set to a one when either of the fault bits (1.8.11, 1.8.10) located in register 1.8 are set to a one. For 10PASS-TS or 2BASE- TL operations, when read as a one, a fault has been detected and more detailed information is conveyed in 45.2.1.16, 45.2.1.39, 45.2.1.40, and 45.2.1.55. SuggestedRemedy Add change instructions to make 45.2.1.2.1 say : Fault is a global PMA/PMD variable. When read as a one, bit 1.1.7 indicates that either (or both) the PMA or the PMD has detected a fault condition on either the transmit or receive					C/ <b>45</b> Barrass, H	SC 45.2.1.79 ugh	P <b>52</b> Cisco Syste	L <b>49</b> ms, Inc.	# 725				
					Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> Change register address according to HB_04 SuggestedRemedy Change register address to 1.1100								
											detected a f either of the	fault condition. e fault bits (1.8.	o, bit 1.1.7 indicates that For 10/40/100 Gb/s opera 11, 1.8.10) located in regi s, when read as a one, a
	ormation is con-	veyed in 45.2.1.16, 45.2.1 Response Status <b>C</b>			<i>Cl</i> <b>45</b> Dambrosia	SC <b>45.2.1.79</b> I, John	P <b>52</b> Force 10 Ne	L 50 etworks Inc	# 615				
ACCEPT.					Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> Shall statement does not include corresponding pic statement.								
	~ 15 2 1 7 1	DAA	C/         45         SC         45.2.1.7.4         P44         L 29         # 401           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks					SuggestedRemedy add corresponding pic statement					
C/ <b>45</b> SC Anslow, Peter			-	# 401	Suggested	lRemedy		e statement.					
C/ <b>45</b> SC Inslow, Peter Comment Type "the 40GBA	E ASE-KR4 PMDs		ks		Suggested add co Response	Remedy prresponding pic s	tatement Response Status C	o statement.					
Cl <b>45</b> SC Anslow, Peter Comment Type "the 40GBA SuggestedReme Change "PM	E ASE-KR4 PMDs nedy MDs" to "PMD"	Nortel Networ	ks 40GBASE-KR4 F		Suggested add co Response ACCE Other	Remedy prresponding pic s PT IN PRINCIPL locations in Claus	tatement Response Status C	f registers do not f					

Draft 3.0 Commer	nts	IEEE P8	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s	Ethernet con	nments		Sponsor ballo
<i>Cl</i> <b>45</b> <i>SC</i> <b>45.2.1.8</b> Hajduczenia, Marek	<i>P</i> <b>45</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>37</b>	# 9	<i>CI</i> <b>45</b> Dambrosia	SC <b>45.2.1.80</b> a, John	P <b>53</b> Force 10 Netv	L 17 works Inc	# 612
IMHO "Disabling the data" is sufficient to t	Comment Status <b>A</b> d may disrupt the network". Wh transmitter on one or more lane his end i.e. informing a reader the s is dsabled), then the link goes	s stops the enti nat if a stupid th	re link from carrying	addres addres	e there is a typo ( ss 1.276) and sta ss 1.267 to assist	Comment Status A causing conflict between reg tement in 45.2.1.80 (A copy PHY access for devices usi ess for a multi-lane PCS.)	of this register m	
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Strike "and may disru	pt the network" from the Note.			Believ	e that table is cor	rect. Change register addres	s in 45.2.1.80 to	1.276.
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCE		Response Status C		
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.8 Hajduczenia, Marek	<b>3.2a</b> P <b>46</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>28</b>	# 13	<i>Cl</i> <b>45</b> Dambrosia	SC <b>45.2.1.80</b> a, John	P <b>53</b> Force 10 Netv	L18 works Inc	# 616
Section 45.2.1.9.2a, p SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Suggested add co Response ACCE Other	statement does n IRemedy prresponding pic s PT IN PRINCIPL locations in Claus	Response Status <b>C</b> E. se 45 that refer to copies of r	egisters do not f	
<i>Cl</i> <b>45</b> SC <b>45.2.1.8</b> Barrass, Hugh	Cisco Systems	L17	# 727	therefo	ore do not have a	PICS entry). Make this loca	tion consistent -	delete the word "shall"
-	Comment Status A	, mo.		C/ <b>45</b>	SC 45.2.1.81	P 53	L37	# 613
Change register addr wrong. SuggestedRemedy	ess according to HB_06. Note t	hat the register	address is currently		<i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> e there is a typo o	Force 10 Netw Comment Status A causing conflict between reg tement in 45.2.1.81 (A copy	ister address in	
Change register addr Response	ess to 1.1200 Response Status <b>C</b>			addres for a n	ss 1.268 to assist	PHY access for devices usi implemented, all accesses t	ng postread-incr	ement-address access
ACCEPT.	. 707			Suggested				4.000
note that HB_06 is co	omment 707			_		rect. Change register addres	s in 45.2.1.81 to	1.286.
				Response ACCE		Response Status C		

Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s			2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments					Sponsor ballo
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.81 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>53</b> Cisco Systems, Ir	L <b>37</b> nc.	# 729	C/ <b>45</b> Barrass, ⊦	SC <b>45.2.1.82</b> lugh	2	P <b>54</b> Cisco Syster	L <b>4</b> ms, Inc.	# 731
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Change register address wrong.	Comment Status <b>A</b> according to HB_08. Note that	the register ad	dress is currently	Comment Chang wrong	ge register addre	Comment ess according		e that the register	address is currently
SuggestedRemedy Change register address	to 1.1300			Suggester Chang	<i>lRemedy</i> je register addre	ess to 1.1400			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCE		Response	Status C		
note that HB_08 is comm	ient 709			note t	nat HB_10 is cor	mment 711			
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.81 Dambrosia, John	P <b>53</b> Force 10 Network	L <b>38</b> Is Inc	# 617	Cl <b>45</b> Dambrosia	SC <b>45.2.1.82</b> a, John	2	P <b>54</b> Force 10 Ne	L <b>5</b> etworks Inc	# 618
Comment Type TR Shall statement does not	Comment Status <b>A</b> include corresponding pic stat	ement.		Comment Shall	<i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> statement does r	Comment not include co		c statement.	
SuggestedRemedy add corresponding pic sta	atement			Suggestee add ce	IRemedy prresponding pic	statement			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C			Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI	Response LE.	Status C		
	45 that refer to copies of regis PICS entry). Make this location							f registers do not h ation consistent -	nave "shall" (and delete the word "shall"
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.82 Dambrosia, John	P <b>54</b> Force 10 Network	L <b>4</b> is Inc	# 614						
address 1.296) and state address 1.269 to assist F	Comment Status A using conflict between register ment in 45.2.1.82 (A copy of th 'HY access for devices using p s for a multi-lane PCS. If imple original register.)	is register may ostread-	be implemented at						
SuggestedRemedy	ct. Change register address in	45.2.1.82 to 1.	296.						
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.82**  Page 16 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:44 AM

### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

	5.2.1.82a P54	L12	# 767	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.85		P <b>57</b>	L <b>3</b>	# 14	
Law, David3ComComment TypeERComment Status AThe editing instruction for subclause 45.2.1.82a reads 'Insert 45.2.1.82a and 45.2.1.82b for status register 2 & 3:' which doesn't make it totally clear where to place the new subclauses. According to the IEEE Standards Style Guide a letter subclause such as this is placed after the numbered so 45.2.1.82a would appear after 45.2.1.82. However looking at the register numbers it appears that these new subclauses should appear before 45.2.1.82. 45.2.1.81 10GBASE-KR LD status report register (Register 1.156) 45.2.1.82a BASE-R PMD status 2 register (Register 1.157) 45.2.1.82b BASE-R PMD status 3 register (Register 1.160) 45.2.1.82 1000BASE-KX control register (Register 1.161) I also note that the subclauses of 45.2.1.82b start at .5 as follows which I don't think is correct.45.2.1.82b BASE-R PMD status 3 register (Register 1.157) 45.2.1.82b BASE-R PMD status 3 register (Register 1.157) 45.2.1.82b BASE-R PMD status 3 register (Register 1.161) I also note that the subclauses of 45.2.1.82b start at .5 as follows which I don't think is correct.45.2.1.82b BASE-R PMD status 3 register (Register 1.157) 45.2.1.82b.5 Receiver status 8, 9 (1.157.0, 1.157.4) 45.2.1.82b.6 Frame lock 8, 9 (1.157.1, 1.157.5) 45.2.1.82b.7 Start-up protocol status 8, 9 (1.157.2, 1.157.6) 45.2.1.82b.8 Training failure 8, 9 (1.157.3, 1.157.7)					Hajduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         In this section, there are two ways to refer to FEC i.e. FEC sublayer and BASE-R FEC. Some comments (1) reference name should be identical i.e. FEC sublayer and BASE-R FEC should refer to the same, correct? If so, use only one reference to avoid introducing terms which are not needed(2) What is BASE-R FEC? There is no definition of what it really is anywhere. Perhaps you could add a definition to section 1.4 for clarity         SuggestedRemedy       Per comment.         Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       For consistency use the term 'BASE-R FEC' where appropriate. Grant editorial license to make relevant changes to 45.2.1.85 and 45.2.1.86.       # 403         Cl 45       SC 45.2.1.85.2       P57       L 28       # 403					
SuggestedRemedy	/			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Stat	us <b>D</b>			
Suggest the editorial instructions be changed to read 'Insert subclause 45.2.1.81a and 45.2.1.81b after subclause 45.2.1.81.' Suggest that the subclauses be labelled as follows: 45.2.1.81a BASE-R PMD status 2 register (Register 1.156)						is "BASE-R error i			ror indication ability" bu error in going from the	
	eceiver status 4, 5, 6, 7 (1.156.0, 7 rame lock 4, 5, 6, 7 (1.156.1, 1.156			Change the title of 45.2.1.85.2 to include "FEC" in normal font.						
45.2.1.81a.3 St 45.2.1.81a.4 Tr 45.2.1.81b BAS 45.2.1.81b.1 Ro 45.2.1.81b.2 Fr 45.2.1.81b.3 St	tart-up protocol status 4, 5, 6, 7 (1. raining failure 4, 5, 6, 7 (1.156.3, 1 SE-R PMD status 3 register (Regis eceiver status 8, 9 (1.157.0, 1.157 rame lock 8, 9 (1.157.1, 1.157.5) tart-up protocol status 8, 9 (1.157.3, raining failure 8, 9 (1.157.3, 1.157)	.156.2, 1.156.6, 1.1 .156.7, 1.156.11, 1. .ter 1.157) .4) 2, 1.157.6)	56 <sup>°</sup> .10, 1.156.14)	Proposed PROP	Response POSED ACCEPT.	Response Statu	us W			
Response	Response Status W									

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/         45         SC         45.2.1.87         P 58         L 38         # 260           Trowbridge, Stephen         ALCATEL-LUCENT         ALCATEL-LUCENT	Cl         45         SC         45.2.1.89         P 59         L 27         #         261           Trowbridge, Stephen         ALCATEL-LUCENT         #         261         1					
Comment Type E Comment Status D "multi-lane PCS" is OK, but "multi-lane PHY" is problematic since future PHYs may not	Comment Type         E         Comment Status         D           Since FEC is on a PCS lane basis, this text applies even when the PHY itself is serial					
always be multiple physical lanes. SuggestedRemedy Either change "multi-lane PHY" to "multi-lane PCS", or change to "multi-PCS lane PHY". Same issue with 41.2.1.88, page 59, line 16. Proposed Response Response Status W	SuggestedRemedy Change "multi-lane BASE-R PHYs" to "multi-PCS lane BASE-R PHYs" and "multi-lane PHYs" to multi-PCS lane PHYs" on the following line. Also sub-clause 45.2.1.90 on lines 40-41 (same page), sub-clause 45.2.1.91 lines 53-54(same page), sub-clause 45.2.1.92 lines 7-8 (p60), sub-caluse 45.2.1.93 lines 16-17 (p60), and sub-clause 45.2.1.94 lines 25 26 (p60).					
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. As this is a PMA/PMD MMD, it needs to be specified that PCS lanes are intended.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
change to "multi-PCS lane PHY"	See also comment #260					
locations: p.58, l.38 p.59, l.16; l.27; l.40; l.53 p.60, l.7; l.16; l.25	Cl       45       SC       45.2.1.90       P 59       L 36       # 724         Barrass, Hugh       Cisco Systems, Inc.       Cisco Systems, Inc.       The second sec					
# 45         SC 45.2.1.89         P 59         L 23         # 723           arrass, Hugh         Cisco Systems, Inc.         723	SuggestedRemedy Change register addresses to 1.700 to 1.739					
Comment Type T Comment Status A Change register addresses according to HB_02	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.					
uggestedRemedy Change register addresses to 1.300 to 1.339	Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.91 P59 L47 # 404					
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Anslow, Peter       Nortel Networks         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         The change instruction "Insert 45.2.1.91-94 for multi-lane coefficient exchange:" is not in accordance with the style manual. See 14.2 e) "Dashes should never be used because they can be misconstrued for subtraction signs."					
	SuggestedRemedy Change to "Insert 45.2.1.91 through 45.2.1.94 for multi-lane coefficient exchange:"					
	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.					

#### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 45         SC 45.2.1.91         P 59         L 51           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco Systems, Inc.	# 726	C/         45         SC         45.2.1.95         P 61         L 10         # 405           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks					
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> Change register addresses according to HB_05		Comment Type E Comment Status D Make the title of Table 45-65a consistent with the others in clause 45 by adding "bit definitions"					
SuggestedRemedy Change register addresses to 1.1101 to 1.1109		SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Table 45-65a to "Test pattern ability register bit definitions"					
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.		Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
Cl 45         SC 45.2.1.92         P 60         L 5           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco Systems, Inc.	# 728	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.95 P61 L25 # 92					
Comment Type T Comment Status A		Szczepanek, Andre HSZ Consulting Ltd					
Change register addresses according to HB_07		Comment Type T Comment Status A					
SuggestedRemedy Change register addresses to 1.1201 to 1.1209 Response Response Status C		The definition of the "PRBS9 ability" bit requires that PRBS9 generation capabil provided in both transmit and receive directions even though the PRBS9 patterr an optical test pattern. (See line 48) In order for an optical gearbox PMA to support PRBS9 generation to the optics required to also provide PRBS9 on the CAUI					
ACCEPT.		SuggestedRemedy					
Cl 45     SC 45.2.1.93     P 60     L 14       Barrass, Hugh     Cisco Systems, Inc.       Comment Type     T     Comment Status     A       Change register addresses according to HB_09       SuggestedRemedy       Change register addresses to 1.1301 to 1.1309	# <u>730</u>	Change the name of 1.307.5 to "Tx PRBS9 ability" and change the description field to 1 = Transmit direction PRBS9 pattern generation supported 0 = Transmit direction PRBS9 pattern generation not supported Change the paragraph starting on line 47 to When read as a one, register 1.307, bit 6 indicates that the device supports PRBS31 generation or checking, and register 1.307. In this case, it shall support that test for all of the generator and checker types that are indicated by the assertion of bits 3:0. When read as a one, register 1.307, bit 5 indicates that the device supports PRBS9 generation in the transmit direction.					
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.		Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
C/         45         SC         45.2.1.94         P 60         L 23           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco Systems, Inc.         Cisco Systems, Inc.	# 732	Clause 83 allows PRBS9 generation in both the transmit and receive directions.					
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> Change register addresses according to HB_11		Change definition of bits 1.307.0 through 1.307.7 in Table 45-65a Test pattern ability register so that ability is indicated for each supported permutation separately.					
SuggestedRemedy Change register addresses to 1.1401 to 1.1409		Make corresponding changes in Clause 83.					
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Barrass, Hugh	5 P61 Cisco System	L <b>3</b> ns, Inc.	# 733	<i>Cl</i> <b>45</b> <i>SC</i> <b>45.2.1.96</b> Szczepanek, Andre	P <b>62</b> HSZ Consul	L6 ting Ltd	# 94			
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A ess according to HB_12			testing" that is being cor	Comment Status <b>A</b> r and its bits is ambiguous trolled. This sub-clause co square wave testing in both	ould be interprete	d as indicating a			
	ess to 1.1500 (multiple instand	ces)		SuggestedRemedy						
Response ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.9	Response Status C 6 P62 Nortel Netwo	L <b>47</b>	# 407	Change name of registe paragraph, and in Table	n of Table 45-65b change	ng control" here, t	he accompanying			
nslow, Peter		ſKS		Response	Response Status <b>C</b>					
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status A		an through dota as	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
normal." But in testing	which a square wave pattern is g, we want to be able to have s nt submitted against 83.5.10			The register name does not need to fully define function of the register - that job is performed in Clause 83.						
SuggestedRemedy										
	nich a square wave pattern is			Change the text of 45.2.	1.96 to add clarity - from:					
other registers."	which a square wave pattern	is not enabled a	ict as determined by		testing control and status	register is used f	or PHY types that			
Response	Response Status C			implement square wave	testing in the PMA."					
ACCEPT.					sting control and status reg are wave testing in the PM		PHY types that			
				C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.96	P62	L <b>6</b>	# <u>7</u> 34			
				Barrass, Hugh	Cisco Syster	ms, Inc.				
				Comment Type <b>T</b> Change register address	Comment Status A according to HB_13					
				SuggestedRemedy Change register address to 1.1501 (multiple instances, note also reference in 45.2.1.95						
				Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
				Change register address	s to 1.1510 (multiple instar	ices, note also re	ference in 45.2.1.95)			

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.96 Anslow, Peter	P62 Nortel Networ	L <b>8</b> ks	# 406	<i>Cl</i> <b>45</b> Anslow, P	SC 45.2.1.97 eter	P <b>63</b> Nortel Netwo	<i>L</i> <b>44</b> rks	# 409		
The title of Table 4565b is "S		ontrol and statu	s" but the register name	<i>Comment</i> What		<i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> ) have if bits 6 and 7 are bo	oth zero?			
elsewhere is "square wave tes SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Table 456 Also on line 8 change "The sq square wave testing control re	5b to "Square wave to uare wave testing con	0	5	bits 3: Proposed	ext to end of paragi 0 have no effect. <i>Response</i>	raph to state that "If neither Response Status W	r of the bits 6 and	d 7 are asserted then		
Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT.	oonse Status W			C/ <b>45</b>	SC <b>45.2.1.98</b>	P <b>63</b> Cisco System	L <b>49</b>	# 736		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.97 Anslow, Peter	P63 Nortel Networ	L <b>10</b> ks	# 408	Barrass, H <i>Comment</i> Chang	Туре Т	Comment Status A es according to HB_15	15, 1110.			
Comment Type E Cor The title of Table 4565c is "F elsewhere is "PRBS pattern te		ontrol and state	us" but the register name	Suggester Chang		ses to 1.1600 to 1.1609 (mu	ultiple instances)			
SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Table 456	5c to "PRBS pattern t	esting control r	egister bit definitions".	Response ACCE		Response Status C				
Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT.	oonse Status W			<i>C</i> / <b>45</b> Barrass, ⊦	SC <b>45.2.1.99</b> Hugh	P <b>64</b> Cisco System	L <b>20</b> ns, Inc.	# 737		
CI <b>45</b> SC <b>45.2.1.97</b> Barrass, Hugh	P <b>63</b> Cisco System	L <b>3</b> s, Inc.	# 735	Comment Chang	51	Comment Status A es according to HB_16				
Comment Type <b>T</b> Cor Change register address acco	nment Status <b>A</b> rding to HB_14			Suggestee Chang	,	es to 1.1700 to 1.1709 (mu	ultiple instances)			
SuggestedRemedy Change register address to 1.	1502 (multiple instanc	es, note also re	eference in 45.2.1.95)	Response ACCE		Response Status C				
Response Resp ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	oonse Status <b>C</b>			<i>Cl</i> <b>45</b> Barrass, ⊦	SC <b>45.2.3</b> lugh	P <b>65</b> Cisco System	L <b>44</b> ns, Inc.	# 721		
Change register address to 1.	Change register address to 1.1501 (multiple instances, note also reference in 45.2.1.95)					Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> Reserved registers need to change according to HB_01				
				Suggestee Chang	dRemedy ge address range te	o 3.83 to 3.199				
				Response ACCE		Response Status C				

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 C/ 45
 Pag

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC 45.2.3
 1/28

Page 21 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:44 AM

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>65</b> Cisco Systems	L <b>45</b> s, Inc.	# 720	C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.1 Anslow, Peter	1 P68 Nortel Netwo	L <b>34</b> orks	# 412
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comme HB_17 Change register BIP error HB_01	ent Status <b>A</b> counters, lanes 0 t	through 19 addre	ess as proposed in	ç	Comment Status A he title of 45.2.3.11 does not	t match that used	elsewhere.
SuggestedRemedy Change register addresses to 3.2	00 to 3.219				SE-R PCS and 10GBASE-T us 1 register" (show the first		
Response Response ACCEPT.	se Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3 Barrass, Hugh	P 65 Cisco Systems	L <b>46</b> s, Inc.	# 749	Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 Trowbridge, Stephen	1.5 <i>P</i> 69 Alcatel-Li	<i>L</i> <b>42</b> UCENT	# 267
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment HB_18 It would be useful to include order to make this simple to define each PCS lane that contains the F aligned.	e and extend in the	future, there sh	ould be a register for	82 to reflect the fact th	Comment Status A use needs to change to align nat during the block lock and ce lanes and which PCSL m	alignment marke	r lock processes, these
SuggestedRemedy A row with registers: PCS lane ma 3.419. Also add a reserved row be change to 3.420 to 3.32767. This	etween 3.220 and 3	3.399; the last re		Check that the descrip are PCSLs rather that	ption of lane_ <x>_lock and lane_stand langer to the service interface lanes. Additionate the service service to indicate which the service service to indicate which the service servi</x>	d new lane_mapp	ing <x> status variable</x>
Response Response Response	se Status C			Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status <b>C</b> LE.		
As per suggested remedy but call lane number	I the registers "Lane	e mapping regist	er x" where x is the	Scrub clause 74 for "F interface" as appropria	PCS lane" and change to eith ate.	er "lane" or "lane	of the service
C/ <b>45</b> SC <b>45.2.3</b> Barrass, Hugh	P <b>65</b> Cisco Systems	L <b>46</b> s, Inc.	# 722	In table 74-1 and subo of the service interfac	clause 74.8.4.1 and 74.8.4.2 e. (see comment 266)	explicitly state the	at counters are for lanes
Comment Type T Comme Reserved registers need to chang	ent Status <b>A</b> ge according to HB <u>-</u>	_01		No change is required appropriate section in	in 45.2.3.11.5 as it uses "ree Clause 82.	ceive lane" and re	eferences the
SuggestedRemedy Change address range to 3.220 to	o 3.32768				45.2.1.90 and 45.2.1.97 to e of the service interface.	explicitly state that	at counters and
				In 45 2 2 27 and 45 2	2.20 avaliatily atots that DID		
Response Response Response	se Status C			III 45.2.5.57 and 45.2.	3.38 explicitly state that BIP	counters are PCS	S lanes.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45.2.3.11.5**  Page 22 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:44 AM

### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/         45         SC         45.2.3.12.3         P71         L1           Hajduczenia, Marek         ZTE Corp.         ZT	# 16		P <b>71</b> L 29 # 414
Comment Type E Comment Status D Space missing in "BER(3.33.13:8)" SuggestedRemedy		for naming as Scrambled idles do not fea	5.1a before 45.2.3.15.1 for naming:" but this is not
Add space between BER and the opening brace		SuggestedRemedy	
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.		Change the editing instruction to "Insert 4 Proposed Response Response State PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
Cl 45         SC 45.2.3.15         P 71         L 24           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks	# 413	•••••••••••••••••	P <b>72</b> <i>L</i> <b>1</b> # 1 <u>8</u>
Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         The text "or may function as defined for BASE-R PRBS9, PRBS31 square wave test patterns" is missing a full stop after BASE-R         SuggestedRemedy         Add a full stop after "BASE-R" on line 24         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.	, pseudo random and	read as follows:1 = Enable 10GBASE-R Disable 10GBASE-R PRBS9 test-pattern R PRBS31 test-pattern mode on the rece pattern mode on the receive path1 = Ena	3.42.4 should have their Description corrected to PRBS9 test-pattern mode on the transmit path0 = n mode on the transmit path1 = Enable 10GBASE- eive path0 = Disable 10GBASE-R PRBS31 test- able 10GBASE-R PRBS31 test-pattern mode on R PRBS31 test-pattern mode on the transmit pathi
C/         45         SC         45.2.3.15         P71         L 27           Hajduczenia, Marek         ZTE Corp.         ZT	# 17	SuggestedRemedy Per comment	
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> (1) It says "or may function as defined" - as defined where? Provid		Response Response State REJECT.	us C
"above" it that is the case. (2) " and 82.2.10" should be underlined added text SuggestedRemedy Per comment	(AFAIK) since this is		ame and is therefore redundant in the description. on too long to be neatly fitted in the table.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
Delete "either" and "or may function as defined"			
Underline "and 82.2.10"			

Page 23 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:44 AM

C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.16a	P <b>72</b>	L <b>42</b>	# 824
Law. David		3Com		

#### Comment Type ER Comment Status A

I believe that the IEEE Standards style guide states that a subclause that is inserted between existing subclauses should be labelled as [lower numbered subclause][a-z] for example to insert two subclauses between 43.2.1 and 43.2.2 the new subclauses would be numbered 43.2.1a and 43.2.1b and not 43.2.2a and 43.2.2b.

New subclauses 45.2.3.16a and 45.2.3.16b are proceeded with the editing instructions 'Insert after 45.2.3.16 for high order counters' which meets the IEEE Standards style guide. New subclauses 45.2.3.17a however are preceded with the editing instructions 'Insert before 45.2.3.17 for PCS alignment status:' which seems contrary to the IEEE Standards style guide.

This results in:

45.2.3.16 BASE-R PCS test-pattern error counter register (Register 3.43)

45.2.3.16a BER high order counter (Register 3.44)

45.2.3.16b Errored blocks high order counter (Register 3.45)

45.2.3.17a Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status 1 register (Register 3.50)

45.2.3.17b Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status 2 register (Register 3.51)

45.2.3.17c Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status 3 register (Register 3.52)

45.2.3.17d Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status 4 register (Register 3.53)

45.2.3.17 10P/2B capability register (3.60)

45.2.3.18 10P/2B PCS control register (Register 3.61)

I believe to meet the IEEE Standards style guide this should actually be:

45.2.3.16 BASE-R PCS test-pattern error counter register (Register 3.43)

45.2.3.16a BER high order counter (Register 3.44)

45.2.3.16b Errored blocks high order counter (Register 3.45)

45.2.3.16c Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status 1 register (Register 3.50)

45.2.3.16d Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status 2 register (Register 3.51)

45.2.3.16e Multi-Iane BASE-R PCS alignment status 3 register (Register 3.52) 45.2.3.16f Multi-Iane BASE-R PCS alignment status 4 register (Register 3.53)

45.2.3.16 Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment

45.2.3.17 10P/2B capability register (3.60) 45.2.3.18 10P/2B PCS control register (Register 3.61)

SuggestedRemedy

Change '45.2.3.17a Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status 1 register (Register 3.50)' to read '45.2.3.16c Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status 1 register (Register 3.50)'. Change subclauses '45.2.3.17a.1' through '45.2.3.17a.9' to read '45.2.3.16c.1' through '45.2.3.16c.9' (Periode 2.64) here a provide the provided and the pr

Change '45.2.3.17b Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status 2 register (Register 3.51)' to read '45.2.3.16d Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignment status 2 register (Register 3.51)'. Change subclauses '45.2.3.17b.1' through '45.2.3.17b.12' to read '45.2.3.16d.1' through '45.2.3.16d.12'.

Change '45.2.3.17c Multi-Iane BASE-R PCS alignment status 3 register (Register 3.52)' to read '45.2.3.16e Multi-Iane BASE-R PCS alignment status 3 register (Register 3.52)'. Change subclauses '45.2.3.17c.1' through '45.2.3.17c.8' to read '45.2.3.16e.1' through '45.2.3.16e.8'

Change '45.2.3.17d Multi-Iane BASE-R PCS alignment status 4 register (Register 3.53)' to read '45.2.3.16f Multi-Iane BASE-R PCS alignment status 4 register (Register 3.53)'.

Change subclause '45.2.3.17d.1' through '45.2.3.17d.12' to read '45.2.3.16f.1' through '45.2.3.16f.12'

Change the editing instructions that precede subclause 45.2.3.16a that reads 'Insert after 45.2.3.16 for high order counters' to read 'Insert subclauses 45.2.3.16a, 45.2.3.16b, 45.2.3.16c and 45.2.3.16d, with their subclauses, after subclause 45.2.3.16.' after 45.2.3.16 for high order counters'.

Delete the editing instruction that currently precedes subclause 45.2.3.17a reads 'Insert before 45.2.3.17 for PCS alignment status:'.

	EPT.				
C/ 45	SC 45.2.	3.16a	P <b>72</b>	L <b>53</b>	# 415
Anslow, F			Nortel Network	(S	
	is the upper 1		nent Status <b>A</b> bit counter so it sho st D 2.2)	uld be "Bits 21:6	of BER coun
00	<i>dRemedy</i> ge "Bits 19:6	of BER counte	er" to "Bits 21:6 of B	ER counter"	
Respons ACC		Respor	nse Status C		
C/ <b>45</b>	SC 45.2.	3.16a	P <b>73</b>	L <b>5</b>	# 107
Marris, A	rthur		Cadence Desi	gn Syste	
	BER counter	r 22 or 20 bits'	nent Status <b>A</b> ? 82.2.18.2.4 says b		
Is the Also coun Suggeste Reco Bits o to	BER counter if it is 22 bits t ter". edRemedy	r 22 or 20 bits' then the descr use 82 and as ounter	nent Status A	page 72 should	
Is the Also coun Suggeste Reco Bits o to	BER counter if it is 22 bits t ter". adRemedy ncile with Clai 19:6 of BER co	r 22 or 20 bits' ihen the descr use 82 and as ounter ounter	nent Status A ? 82.2.18.2.4 says b iption on line 53 on	page 72 should	
Is the Also coun Suggeste Reco Bits 2 to Bits 2 Response	BER counter if it is 22 bits t ter". adRemedy ncile with Clai 19:6 of BER co	r 22 or 20 bits' then the descr use 82 and as ounter ounter <i>Respor</i>	ent Status <b>A</b> ? 82.2.18.2.4 says b iption on line 53 on ssuming it is 22 bits	page 72 should	
Is the Also coun Suggeste Bits to Bits 2 Respons ACC	BER counter if it is 22 bits t ter". d <i>Remedy</i> ncile with Clai 19:6 of BER co 21:6 of BER co e EPT IN PRINC	r 22 or 20 bits' then the descr use 82 and as ounter ounter <i>Respor</i>	eent Status A ? 82.2.18.2.4 says b iption on line 53 on ssuming it is 22 bits nse Status C	page 72 should	
Is the Also coun Suggeste Bits to Bits 2 Respons ACC See	a BER counter if it is 22 bits t iter". adRemedy ncile with Clai 19:6 of BER co 21:6 of BER co 21:6 of BER co 21:6 of BER co 20:00 PRINC	r 22 or 20 bits' ihen the descr use 82 and as ounter ounter <i>Respor</i> CIPLE. 5 - counter is	eent Status A ? 82.2.18.2.4 says b iption on line 53 on ssuming it is 22 bits nse Status C	page 72 should change:	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45.2.3.16**a Page 24 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:44 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments	IEEE I	P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	ind 100Gb/s	s Ethernet com	nments			Sponsor ballot
C/         45         SC         45.2.3.37         P 82           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Net	L1	# 416	C/ <b>45</b> Law, Davie	SC <b>45.2.3.37</b> d	P <b>8</b> 3Cor		L <b>8</b>	# 825
Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         The highest subclause added by IEEE Std 802 absent.       SuggestedRemedy       SuggestedRemedy         Change the editing instruction to "Insert after 44 subclauses accordingly.       Response Status       W			as the Suggested Sugge Proposed	able title 'BIP error a table only shows <i>dRemedy</i> est the table title s <i>Response</i>	Comment Status r counter, lanes 0 ar the lane 0 register hould read 'BIP erro Response Status	nd 1 registe bit definition or counter,	n.	s' appears to be in error er bit definitions'.
PROPOSED ACCEPT.			PROF 	SC <b>45.2.3.37</b>	P	20	L <b>8</b>	# 417
Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.37 P82	L <b>3</b>	# 739	Anslow, P			el Networks		# 417
Barrass, Hugh     Cisco Sy       Comment Type     T     Comment Status     A       Change register address according to HB_17	stems, Inc.				Comment Status		0 and 1 regis	ter bit definitions" but
SuggestedRemedy         Change register address to 3.200 (multiple inst         Response       Response Status         ACCEPT.	ances)		definit Proposed	ge the title of Tabl	e 45114a from "Bl r counter, lane 0 reg Response Status	gister bit de		and 1 register bit
C/         45         SC         45.2.3.37         P 82           Hajduczenia, Marek         ZTE Corp	L <b>5</b> D.	# [19	C/ 45 Hajduczer	SC 45.2.3.38	P 8 ZTE		L <b>21</b>	# 20
Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Table 45-111a cust the text into two parts. Pleat location.       SuggestedRemedy       Pleat         SuggestedRemedy       Per comment.       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       V       V       V       V       V	se place the table i	anchor in the correct	(Regis extend Suggested Per co Response ACCE Chang Chang	le for section 45.2 sters 3.91 through d teh text to read ' dRemedy comment PT IN PRINCIPL ge to "(Registers 3	<i>Response Status</i> E. 3.91 through 3.109)' nown in register 3.9 <sup>-</sup>	Registers roblems wit egister 3.9 C	h clarity if pos 2; through reg	sible. (2) In line 25, ister 3.109 for lane 19."

C/ **45** SC **45.2.3.38**  Page 25 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:44 AM

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.38 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>82</b> Cisco Systems,	L <b>21</b> Inc.	# 740	Cl <b>45</b> Anslow, Pe	SC 45.2.3.4	4 P67 Nortel Networks	L10	# 410
Comment Type <b>T</b> Change register addresses	Comment Status A s according to HB_17			Comment Refers	51	Comment Status A ch should be bit 3.4.3 in two place	ces.	
SuggestedRemedy Change register addresses	s to 3.201 to 1.219 (multiple	instances)		Suggested Chang	,	bit 3.4.3" in two places.		
Response F ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCE		Response Status C		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.39 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>82</b> Cisco Systems,	L <b>27</b> Inc.	# 750	C/ <b>45</b> Hajduczen	SC <b>45.2.3.4</b> ia, Marek	4 <i>P</i> 67 ZTE Corp.	L 10	# 15
In accordance with comme mapping registers. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A ent HB_18, subclauses are r PCS lane mapping register.			Suggested Please	ect register num IRemedy e correct accord	0.7	in line 10 and 11	
the multi-lane PCS describ PCS lane 0, the correspon	PCS lane mapping register, la bed in Clause 82 detects and iding PMA service interface he PCS lane mapping registe	d locks the alignm lane number is rea	ent smarker for corded in this	Response ACCE Cl <b>45</b>		Response Status W	L 28	# 751
	o one and is invalid otherwis oping, lane 0; description PM r bits reserved.			Barrass, H Comment	lugh	Cisco Systems, Comment Status A	Inc.	4 <u></u>
	Response Status C			In acc		mment HB_18, subclauses are r	equired to define	the PCS lane
				Suggested	Remedy			
bits in the Lane mapping r described in Clause 82 de the detected PCS lane nur	Lane mapping register 0 (R egister 0 is shown in Table 1 tects and locks the alignmer mber is recorded in this regis when the Lane 0 aligned bit	114b. When the m nt marker for servi ster. The contents	ulti-lane PCS ce interface lane 0, of the Lane	throug identic	h 3.419) - The c al to that descri	40 - PCS lane mapping register: lefinition of PCS lane mapping re bed for lane 0 in 45.2.3.39. The is in register 3.402; etc.	egisters, lanes 1 t	hrough 19 is
otherwise.	when the Lane o alighed bit	1 (0.02.0) 13 301 10		Response		Response Status C		
		0	·····	ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.		
	s one entry with: bits 3.400.5 ived in service interface lane			3.419)	- The definition e 0 in 45.2.3.39	40 - Lane mapping registers 1 th of Lane mapping registers 1 thr . The lane mapping for lane 1 is	ough 19 is identic	al to that described

3.402; etc.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.40 Page 26 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:44 AM

Draft 3.0 Commen	its	IEEE P	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s Ethe	ernet com	ments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.6 Anslow, Peter	5.1 P67 Nortel Networks	L 38	# 411	C/ <b>45</b> SC Anslow, Peter	45.5.3.2	P85 Nortel Networks	L15	# 419
Comment Type <b>T</b> The title of 45.2.3.6.1 SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status <b>A</b> includes "(3.7.1:0)". This should	be "(3.7.2:0)	".			<i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> is shown blue even though that ice should be 45.2.1.1.4a	subclause is	in the draft. Also
,	"(3.7.2:0)". Show the "1" in strike	ethrough and	the "2" in underline font.	SuggestedReme	dy			
Response	Response Status C	and and				ALB to 45.2.1.1.4 black and ma and make it a link. (Would this b		
ACCEPT.				Proposed Respo		Response Status W		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.7	P 83	L <b>3</b>	# 21	PROPOSED	ACCEPT II	N PRINCIPLE.		
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Change as s	uggested.			
Comment Type E P802.3av did not touc	Comment Status <b>D</b> ch the AN, so there was no renur	mbering happ	eing in register 7.48	Also change	LLB to RLB	3 in this and 4 other instances.		
within 10G-EPON pro	ject. Correct the editorial note			C/ 45 SC	45.5.3.2	P86	L13	# 420
SuggestedRemedy				Anslow, Peter		Nortel Networks		
Per comment				Comment Type	Е	Comment Status D		
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT	Response Status W			In item *FEC R FEC"	-R, "Implerr	nentation of 10GBASE-R FEC"	should be "In	nplementation of BASE
P802.3av renumbered	d almost every table in Clause 4	5.		SuggestedReme Change "Imp		n of 10GBASE-R FEC" to "Impl	ementation of	f BASE-R FEC"
Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.1 Anslow, Peter	2 P83 Nortel Networks	L <b>42</b>	# 418	Proposed Respo PROPOSED		Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.		
Comment Type E The description of bit	Comment Status <b>D</b> 7.48.2 has changed, but is not s	hown with un	derline	Strikethrough	h "10G"			
SuggestedRemedy Show "or CX4" and "/	CX4" in underline font			Cl 45 SC Anslow, Peter	45.5.3.2	P86 Nortel Networks	L <b>28</b>	# 421
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Proposed Response Response Status W			Comment Type The PICS ha	T as entries fo	<i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> r MMD 8 through 10. What abo	ut MMD 11?	
				SuggestedReme Add a PICS	dy	-		
				Response ACCEPT.	-	Response Status C		

```
C/ 45
SC 45.5.3.2
```

Page 27 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:44 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	mments		Sponsor ballot
CI         45         SC         45.5.3.3         P 87         L 16           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks	# 423	<i>Cl</i> <b>45</b> Anslow, Pe	SC 45.5.3.7	P90 Nortel Networks	L10	# 426
Comment Type T Comment Status A In MM23 the PMA/PMD type is selected using bits 5:0 not 4:0		<i>Comment</i> The na	51	Comment Status D SE-R PCS and 10GBASE-T PCS	status" regist	ters is wrong in 3 places
SuggestedRemedy Change "PMA/PMD type is selected using bits 4:0" to "PMA/PMD type bits 5:0"	is selected using		36, RM37 and F	RM38 correct the name of the reg tus" 1 or 2 registers. (3 places)	ister to be "B	ASE-R PCS and
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.		Proposed PROP	•	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.		
C/ 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P87 L22	# 424	Chang	e to "BASE-R a	and 10GBASE-T PCS"		
Anslow, Peter       Nortel Networks         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         In MM32 "ignores writes to bits 1 10" should be "ignores writes to bits same format as other rows and also to conform to the style manual. Se should never be used because they can be misconstrued for subtractio         SuggestedRemedy       Change "to bits 1 10" to "to bits 10:1"	e 14.2 e) "Dashes	order o Suggested	<i>Type</i> <b>T</b> says "BER cou counter, 3.44 (s <i>IRemedy</i>	P90 Nortel Networks Comment Status A nter holds at all ones at overflow' ee 45.2.3.16a) is not implemente CR:M". Make the same change to	d. Also applie	es to RM43
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.		counte Response	er.	Response Status <b>C</b>		
Note that base text is "1 - 4"           Cl         45         SC 45.5.3.3         P87         L 3           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks	# 422	Becau		LE. I errored blocks high order count If:M respectively.	ers are option	al, this will become
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> The subclause for MM19a through MM19d should be 45.2.1.1.4a and it	is bit 1 not 0.	<i>Cl</i> <b>45</b> Anslow, Pe	SC 45.5.3.7	P90 Nortel Networks	L <b>44</b>	# 428
SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause to 45.2.1.1.4a for MM19a through MM19d. Also from "when bit 0 is set to a one" to "when bit 1 is set to a one" and char "PMA transmit data is returned on receive path when in remote loopbac data is returned on transmit path when in remote loopback"	change MM19a nge MM19b from	40/100 Suggested	a is shown as X )G (45.2.3.16a) <i>IRemedy</i>	Comment Status <b>A</b> CR:O but implementing the BER e 89, line 20 to be "Implementation	Ū	,

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 45	SC 45.5.3.7	P 90	L <b>44</b>	# 428
Anslow, P	eter	Nortel Networks		

Change \*XCR on Page 89, line 20 to be "Implementation of 40/100GBASE-R PCS" only. Remove "10CR:M" Call out both CR: and XCR: where currently we have XCR: In RM50a and RM50f make the Status CR:O XCR:M

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

For RM50a and RM50f, change to CR:O, 40CR:M, 100CR:M

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general		Daga 28 of 100
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	C/ <b>45</b>	Page 28 of 199
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	SC <b>45.5.3.7</b>	1/28/2010 6:39:44 AM

#### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 45 SC 45.5.3.7	P 90	L <b>46</b>	# 429	C/ <b>45</b>	SC 45.5.3.7	P91	L <b>3</b>	# 430
Anslow, Peter	Nortel Networks			Anslow, Pete		Nortel Network		
Comment Type <b>T</b> RM50b says "Register to Table 45-96a	Comment Status A bit 3.44.15 set to 1" but bit 3.44.	15 is part of	the counter according		nrough RM50j c	Comment Status <b>D</b> oncern the Errored blocks hi ther than 45.2.3.16a	gh order counte	er, so the subclause
SuggestedRemedy Remove RM50b				SuggestedR Change	-	or RM50f through RM50j to 4	l5.2.3.16b	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Proposed Re PROPO	esponse SED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
C/ 45 SC 45.5.3.7 Anslow, Peter	P <b>90</b> Nortel Networks	L <b>9</b>	# 425	<i>CI</i> <b>45</b> Anslow, Pete	SC <b>45.5.3.7</b> er	P <b>91</b> Nortel Network	L <b>47</b> (S	# 431
	Comment Status A RM35 is "Writes to 10GBASE-R has been re-named to "BASE-R				ays "Counters r are 3.90 throug	Comment Status A eset on read to 3.80 through gh 3.109	3.89 or PCS re	eset" but the BIP error
	5 with the correct register name.			Change case "L"	read to 3.80 th	rough 3.89 or" to "read to 3.8 stinguish from the number "1		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEP	IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status <b>C</b>		
C/ 45 SC 45.5.3.7 Trowbridge, Stephen	P <b>91</b> ALCATEL-LUCE	<i>L</i> <b>26</b> NT	# 262	Change	as suggested.			
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Change	reference to "R	M52m"		
SuggestedRemedy	S lanes and not physical lanes			<i>CI</i> <b>45</b> Marris, Arthu	SC Table 45-	B P39 Cadence Desi	L <b>16</b> gn Syste	# 108
Change "Non Multi-lan issue lines 34, 42 sam Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	ASE-R device". Same	are likely	, 3ba PCS has b	Comment Status A been designed to support spe e virtual and physical lanes b				
				SuggestedR Please r	emedy enumber the re	gisters leaving a reserved sp is to allow room for future ex		set of registers for
				Response ACCEP1	IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status <b>C</b>		
				This is re	emedied by con	nment #701		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 45 SC Table 45-3

#### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 45 SC Table 45	5-3 P 39	L35	# 93	CI 73 SC 73	P <b>99</b>	L1	# 432
Szczepanek, Andre	HSZ Consulting	g Ltd		Anslow, Peter	Nortel Networ	ks	
The clause references SuggestedRemedy change name of 1.308	Comment Status <b>D</b> of registers 1.308 and 1.309 in the sare correct. 3 to "Square wave testing contro to "PRBS pattern testing contro	ol"	vapped.	SuggestedRemedy Put an editing instructio "and copper cable asse	Comment Status <b>D</b> rent from the base standard, I on before the clause title, sho embly" in underline font.		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 73 SC 73.11	Response Status W	L2	# 437
See comment #738				Anslow, Peter	Nortel Networ		# 457
C/ 69 SC 69.1.2 łajduczenia, Marek	Р <b>95</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>24</b>	# 22	<i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> The title of this clause I	Comment Status D nas changed but this is not sl	nown.	
Comment Type E Bullet item iii - should SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Comment Status D read "a single-lane 10 Gb/s PH Response Status W I IN PRINCIPLE.	Y"		show "and copper cable	on before the subclause title, e assembly" in underline font ge in the base standard, so th <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	. Also, the claus	se title appears in two
	ned as it is modifying base text			C/ 73 SC 73.3 Anslow, Peter	P 99 Nortel Networ	L <b>53</b> ks	# 433
C/ 69 SC 69.2.5 łajduczenia, Marek Comment Type <b>T</b>	P <b>97</b> ZTE Corp. Comment Status A	L <b>49</b>	# 23	Comment Type E	Comment Status <b>D</b> the list of PHYs in the base	document so th	nis should not be showr
The word "existing" wa	as removed, though I suggest to the fact that minimum effort is r			SuggestedRemedy Show "10GBASE-KR" i			
SuggestedRemedy Per comment	·			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						

CI 73 SC 73.3

Draft 3.0 Comment	S	IEEE P8	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	and 100Gb/	's Ethernet co	omments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 73 SC 73.5.1 Anslow, Peter	P100 Nortel Networks	L <b>32</b>	# 434	<i>Cl</i> <b>74</b> Hajducze	SC <b>74.1</b> nia, Marek	P107 ZTE Corp.	L15	# 24
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Commen	t Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status R		
	7 is not a link so it should be sh lisable whereas the others are l					es additional margin to account t Id do service to humanity and cl		
SuggestedRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy			
Show "71.6.7" as dark	blue and change the reference	from 84.7.6 to	84.7.7	Per c	omment			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response REJE		Response Status C		
C/ 73 SC 73.6.4 Anslow, Peter	P101 Nortel Networks	L <b>23</b>	# 436		is original text wh ded a detailed re	nich P802.3ba has no need to cl medy.	hange. Also th	e commenter has not
be better split in to two SuggestedRemedy Change editing instruc	Comment Status <b>D</b> "Insert extra paragraph and cha editing instructions - one for ea tion to "Insert extra paragraph a uction "Change last paragraph	ach sentence as second to la		there	t Type E	P124 Nortel Networks Comment Status D laces on the first page of the PIG changed.		# 443
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Show	the changes to	the clause title in all three place should be an editing instruction b		
C/ 73 SC 73.6.4 Anslow, Peter	P101 Nortel Networks	L <b>7</b>	# 435	,	I Response POSED ACCEP	Response Status W T.		
Comment Type E The change instructior	Comment Status <b>D</b> n says Table 73-4 but the table I	neading is 73-2	2	<i>Cl</i> <b>74</b> Anslow, F	SC 74.11.1 Peter	P 124 Nortel Networks	L <b>20</b>	# 444
SuggestedRemedy Change the title of the	table to be 73-4				eferences in the	Comment Status D subclause and value/comment	columns shou	ld either be links or in
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			00	dRemedy ge the reference	s for 74.8.2, 74.8.3, 74.8.3.1 in	to links and m	ake 74.8.4, 51, 74.7.4.1
				,	Response	Response Status W		

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 74 SC 74.11.1 Page 31 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:44 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments	S	IEEE Pa	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	mments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 74 SC 74.11.5 Ganga, Ilango	P124 Intel Corporation	L <b>37</b> on	# 896	C/ <b>74</b> Hajduczen	SC <b>74.4</b> ia, Marek	P <b>108</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>46</b>	# 26
and 100Gb/s. The curro SuggestedRemedy Insert new PICS FE3a	PICS FE3 for Reverse gear box function needs to be updated to include option for 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s. The current option is for physical instantiation with XSBI option					Comment Status <b>A</b> add "," after "For 40GBASE-R an ich is "(3) General editorial com g. in this text block, neither 80.3 a. Scrub the draft and make int	iment: some o 3 nor 83.2 are	of the links to 802.3ba livem even though they
•				Response	mment. PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status <b>C</b> LE.		
Change: "The reverse gearbox f function defined in 82.2 To: "The reverse gearbox f lane block sync functio		Implement: (1) Editorial change: add "," after "For 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R" (2) Technical change: strike out "which is " The 80.3 and 83.2 cross references are implemented correctly in draft 3.0 and so do not need correction.						
	ox function, 74.7.4.1.2, Rever s of 82.2.11, if implemented:N		nction	Cl <b>74</b> Hajduczen	-	P <b>110</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>44</b>	# 27
Cl 74 SC 74.2 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T The target BER in poin	P107 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R t f) is really the post-FEC BEF	L 34	# 25	0,1,2,. have a file. So	ire 74-2b, instea ,19, which will access to frame prry	Comment Status A ad of showing FEC encoder inst show that the number is bound sources to make necessary cha	ed to 20 rathe	r than open. I do not
change point f) to read SuggestedRemedy	"To support a post-FEC BER			Suggested Per co Response	<i>IRemedy</i> mment	Response Status <b>C</b>		
Per comment Response REJECT.	Response Status C			ACCE	PT.			
This text is from the ba	se standard and it would be ir	appropriate for	P802.3ba to modify it.					
The P802.3ba copper I	PMDs will be able to achieve 1	0-12 BER with	out FEC.					

C/ 74 SC 74.4.3

C/ 74 SC 74.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	P111 ZTE Corp.	L1	# 28	<i>Cl</i> <b>74</b> Anslow, P	SC 74.5.1.1.2 eter	2 P111 Nortel Netw	L <b>50</b> orks	# 439
is affected. Why the	Comment Status <b>R</b> changes to section 74.5 are mad ere is no differential version avail- ion instead of adding only 74.5.2,	able? Why do yo	ou need to replace the	docun	diting instruction the nent are not show	Comment Status <b>D</b> for 74.5 is "Replace" and th n.	erefore changes	with respect to the bas
	description impedes readability a			Suggestee	•	how "rate" in normal font.		
SuggestedRemedy					Response	Response Status W		
Per comment				•	POSED ACCEPT.	,		
Response	Response Status W							
REJECT.				CI 74	SC 74.5.2	P <b>113</b>	L14	# 30
It needs to be done	this way because the service int	erface for 10G is	s different from the	Hajduczer	nia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
service interface for				Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R		
the introduction and	terface definition is unchanged from the paragraph numbers. The stu the substance remains the sam	ructure was cha		servic	e primitives i.el	nwards should be divided ir Name-Semantics of the ser cription is confusing and u	vice primitive-Wh	en generated-Effect o
				Suggestee	dRemedy			
74 SC 74.5	P111	L12	# 29	Follov	the existing star	idard descriptions and not i	nvent a new style	
ajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Response		Response Status W		
omment Type E	Comment Status A			REJE	CT.			
The text says "The	service primitives are defined slig han much and more than little? A rent. Full stop.			The s	rvice interface is	described in detail in 80.3 described in 74.5.2 is cons 3ba draft		
The text says "The SLIGHTLY? Less th definitions are differ uggestedRemedy	han much and more than little? A			The set the set descri	rvice interface is ptions in the 802.	described in 74.5.2 is cons 3ba draft.	istent with other s	service interface
The text says "The SLIGHTLY? Less th definitions are differ	han much and more than little? A			The s the se descri	rvice interface is ptions in the 802.	described in 74.5.2 is cons 3ba draft. P113	istent with other s	
The text says "The SLIGHTLY? Less th definitions are differ uggestedRemedy Per comment.	han much and more than little? A			The set the set descri	rvice interface is ptions in the 802.	described in 74.5.2 is cons 3ba draft.	istent with other s	service interface
The text says "The SLIGHTLY? Less th definitions are differ uggestedRemedy Per comment.	han much and more than little? A rent. Full stop. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>			The s the se descri <i>CI</i> <b>74</b> Ganga, Ila <i>Comment</i>	rvice interface is ptions in the 802. SC <b>74.5.2</b> Ingo <i>Type</i> <b>E</b>	described in 74.5.2 is cons 3ba draft. P113 Intel Corpor Comment Status D	istent with other s <i>L</i> 17 ation	ervice interface # 891
The text says "The SLIGHTLY? Less th definitions are differ suggestedRemedy Per comment. Response	han much and more than little? A rent. Full stop. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> CIPLE.			The s the se descri <i>Cl</i> <b>74</b> Ganga, Ila <i>Comment</i> For be descri	rvice interface is ptions in the 802. SC 74.5.2 Ingo <i>Type</i> E etter clarity Chang ption in other place	described in 74.5.2 is cons 3ba draft. P113 Intel Corpor Comment Status D ge "one per lane" to one pe	istent with other s <i>L</i> 17 ation	# 891
The text says "The SLIGHTLY? Less th definitions are differ uggestedRemedy Per comment. esponse ACCEPT IN PRINC delete the word 'slig	han much and more than little? A rent. Full stop. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> CIPLE. ghtly'			The s the se descri <i>CI</i> <b>74</b> Ganga, Ila <i>Comment</i> For be	rvice interface is ptions in the 802. SC 74.5.2 Ingo <i>Type</i> E etter clarity Chang ption in other place	described in 74.5.2 is cons 3ba draft. P113 Intel Corpor Comment Status D ge "one per lane" to one pe	istent with other s <i>L</i> 17 ation	ervice interface # 891
The text says "The SLIGHTLY? Less th definitions are differ tuggestedRemedy Per comment. Per comment. Per comment. Cesponse ACCEPT IN PRINC delete the word 'slig to 74 SC 74.5.1	han much and more than little? A rent. Full stop. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> CIPLE. ghtly'	L <b>29</b>	ingless adjectives. The	The s the se descri <i>CI</i> <b>74</b> Ganga, Ila Comment For be descri <i>Suggestee</i>	SC 74.5.2 SC 74.5.2 Ingo Type E etter clarity Chang ption in other placed dRemedy	described in 74.5.2 is cons 3ba draft. P113 Intel Corpor Comment Status D ge "one per lane" to one pe	istent with other s <i>L</i> 17 ation	ervice interface # 891
The text says "The SLIGHTLY? Less th definitions are differ uggestedRemedy Per comment. Pesponse ACCEPT IN PRINC delete the word 'slig 7 74 SC 74.5.1 nslow, Peter comment Type E	han much and more than little? A rent. Full stop. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> CIPLE. ghtly'	L <b>29</b> L	ingless adjectives. The	The s the se descri <i>Cl</i> <b>74</b> Ganga, Ila <i>Comment</i> For be descri <i>Suggestee</i> Chang <i>Proposed</i>	SC 74.5.2 SC 74.5.2 Ingo Type E etter clarity Chang ption in other placed dRemedy	described in 74.5.2 is cons 3ba draft. P113 Intel Corpor <i>Comment Status</i> D ge "one per lane" to one per ces to one per PCS lane" <i>Response Status</i> W	istent with other s <i>L</i> 17 ation	# 891
The text says "The SLIGHTLY? Less th definitions are differ SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Response ACCEPT IN PRINC delete the word 'slig Cl 74 SC 74.5.1 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E Clause 49 is not in the SuggestedRemedy	han much and more than little? A rent. Full stop. Response Status C CIPLE. ghtly' I P111 Nortel Networ Comment Status D	L <b>29</b> L	ingless adjectives. The	The s the se descri <i>Cl</i> <b>74</b> Ganga, Ila <i>Comment</i> For be descri <i>Suggestee</i> Chang <i>Proposed</i>	rvice interface is ptions in the 802. SC 74.5.2 Ingo Type E etter clarity Chang ption in other plan dRemedy ge "one per lane" Response	described in 74.5.2 is cons 3ba draft. P113 Intel Corpor <i>Comment Status</i> D ge "one per lane" to one per ces to one per PCS lane" <i>Response Status</i> W	istent with other s <i>L</i> 17 ation	# 891

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI <b>74</b>	Page 33 of 199
SC 74.5.2	1/28/2010 6:39:45 AM

CI 74 SC 74.	5.2 P113	L <b>20</b>	# 31	C/ 74	SC 7	4.7.3	P114	L <b>21</b>	# 32
lajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Hajduczeni	a, Marel	ĸ	ZTE Corp.		
can be sent to Pl PMA:IS_SIGNAL the textual descr	R Comment Status A 74-2a and 74-2b, I fail to see how MA. It is sent to PCS only (arrow p indication towards the FEC subli- ption in section 74.5.2 is OK. Bas ich signal sent to PMA, since PM/	points up, not dov ayer. Clarify whet ed on the descri	vn). PMA can send her Figures are OK or otion, it makes little	Comment T Ads a r writing Suggested Per co	eferenc this fron Remedy	n start.	Comment Status R se in 802.3-2008 describing th	ne 64B/66B en	coding instead of
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				Response REJEC	T.		Response Status C		
	Response Status W NCIPLE. interface can connect to either th ustrated in Figures 83-1 and 83-2		This is described in	The de	scriptior	n of the s	ould not be modified by 802.3 ync bits is important in this co nodate the FEC overhead.	-	
Add the following "In 40GBASE-R PCS as illustrate	to the end of the first paragraph and 100GBASE-R the FEC servic d in Figure 74-1 or the PMA as illu rate devices connected by XLAUI	n 74.4: e interface can e ustrated Figure 8		that the	<i>Type</i> iting instere are o	E truction i other red	P114 Nortel Network Comment Status D s "Delete the last redundant p undant paragraphs that should	aragraph of 74	
Ganga, Ilango	Intel Corpora	ation		Suggested Change	-		on to "Delete the last paragra	ph of 74.7.3 as	s it is redundant:"
	Comment Status A tion of delay constraints for 40Gb auses (for e.g. see 82.5). Also ad			Proposed F	Respons		Response Status W		
FEC (sum of trar 24576 BT (or 48 maximum delay at one end of the ns). Also add the system delay con in 80.4 and its re	e to read as follows: "The maximu asmit and receive delays at one er pause quanta or 614.4 ns)". Char contributed by the 100GBASE-R f link) shall be no more than 1228 following sentence to end of this nstraints and the definitions for bit ferences. Make similar change to t. Also the first paragraph of 74.6 <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> NCIPLE.	nd of the link) sha nge sentence to r FEC (sum of tran 30 BT (or 240 pa subclause: A des times and pause 10Gb/s as well to	all be no more than ead as follows: "The smit and receive delays use quanta or 1228.8 scription of overall e_quanta can be found o be consistent with the						

As per suggested remedy but not deleting the first paragraph of 74.6 because this is from the base standard.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 74 SC 74.7.3

C/ 74 SC 74.7.4.1.2 P115 L13 # 893	Cl 74 SC 74.7.4.5.1 P119 L6 # 894
Canga, Ilango Intel Corporation	Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> The Reverse gear box function is applicable to both PCS to FEC interface and the PMA t FEC interface when FEC is implemented in a PHY chip, so update the description accordingly.	text
uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy Change "10GBASE-KR PHY" to "10GBASE-R PHY"
Change sentence to read as follows: "and the 1-bit wide lane of the 40GBASE-R or 100GBASE-R PCS to FEC interface (or PMA to FEC interface)". Also change the next sentence as follows: "It receives the 1-bit stream from the FEC service	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
interface (or PMA service interface) and" In addition insert the following to the end of	see also comment 34
sentence in line 18: (or PMA:IS_UNITDATA_i.request primitive). Alternative to the above suggested remedy suitable description could be added to the last paragraph of 74.7.4.1.2 as follows: Insert a sentence to last paragraph. The Reverse gear box function is also	C/         74         SC         74.7.4.5.1         P 119         L 6         # 34           Hajduczenia, Marek         ZTE Corp.         34
applicable to PMA service interface when FEC sublayer is implemented with physical instantiation of PMA service interface for connecting to PCS sublayer (see Annex 83A).         esponse       Response Status         C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> Change text added in lines 6 and 7 to read as follows" for the 10BASE-KR PHY. For the 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R PHYs, sync bits in all thirty-two 64B/66B decoded 64B/66B blocks take a value of {SH.0,SH.1} = 11."
Implement the first of the two suggested remedies.	SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Text is unclear otherwise.
/ 74         SC 74.7.4.5         P 118         L 1         # 33           ajduczenia, Marek         ZTE Corp.         ZTE Corp.	SuggestedRemedy
74       SC 74.7.4.5       P118       L1       # 33         ajduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.       # 33         omment Type       T       Comment Status       A         Change text "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R mark all thirty-two 64B/66B blocks' sync bits to 11 to indicate error to the PCS." to read "The FEC sublayers	SuggestedRemedy         Per comment. Text is unclear otherwise.         Response       Response Status         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Implement suggested remedy and also change 10BASE-KR to 10GBASE-R (see comment 894)
74SC 74.7.4.5P118L1# 33jduczenia, MarekZTE Corp. <i>mment Type</i> TComment StatusAChange text "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R mark all thirty-two	SuggestedRemedy         Per comment. Text is unclear otherwise.         Response       Response Status         C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Implement suggested remedy and also change 10BASE-KR to 10GBASE-R (see comment 894)         Cl 74       SC 74.8         P121       L 25       # 741
74       SC 74.7.4.5       P118       L1       # 33         jduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp. <i>mment Type</i> T       Comment Status       A         Change text "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R mark all thirty-two 64B/66B blocks' sync bits to 11 to indicate error to the PCS." to read "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R set sync bits in all thirty-two 64B/66B blocks to 11 to indicate error to the PCS."	SuggestedRemedy         Per comment. Text is unclear otherwise.         Response       Response Status         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Implement suggested remedy and also change 10BASE-KR to 10GBASE-R (see comment 894)
74       SC 74.7.4.5       P118       L1       # 33         jduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp. <i>mment Type</i> T       Comment Status       A         Change text "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R mark all thirty-two 64B/66B blocks' sync bits to 11 to indicate error to the PCS." to read "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R set sync bits in all thirty-two 64B/66B blocks to 11 to indicate error to the PCS."	SuggestedRemedy         Per comment. Text is unclear otherwise.         Response       Response Status         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Implement suggested remedy and also change 10BASE-KR to 10GBASE-R (see comment 894)         Cl 74       SC 74.8       P121       L25       # 741         Barrass, Hugh       Cisco Systems, Inc.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A
74       SC 74.7.4.5       P118       L1       # 33         jduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.         33         mment Type       T       Comment Status       A          Change text "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R mark all thirty-two 64B/66B blocks' sync bits to 11 to indicate error to the PCS." to read "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R set sync bits in all thirty-two 64B/66B blocks to 11 to indicate error to the PCS."       ggestedRemedy         such a description is clearer IMHO.	SuggestedRemedy         Per comment. Text is unclear otherwise.         Response       Response Status         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Implement suggested remedy and also change 10BASE-KR to 10GBASE-R (see comment 894)         Cl 74       SC 74.8       P121       L25       # 741         Barrass, Hugh       Cisco Systems, Inc.
74       SC 74.7.4.5       P118       L1       # 33         jduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.         mment Type       T       Comment Status       A         Change text "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R mark all thirty-two 64B/66B blocks' sync bits to 11 to indicate error to the PCS." to read "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R set sync bits in all thirty-two 64B/66B blocks to 11 to indicate error to the PCS."         ggestedRemedy       Such a description is clearer IMHO.	SuggestedRemedy         Per comment. Text is unclear otherwise.         Response       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Implement suggested remedy and also change 10BASE-KR to 10GBASE-R (see comment 894)         Cl 74       SC 74.8       P121       L25       # [741]         Barrass, Hugh       Cisco Systems, Inc.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status A         Change register addresses according to HB_02       SuggestedRemedy
74       SC 74.7.4.5       P118       L1       # 33         jduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.         mment Type       T       Comment Status       A         Change text "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R mark all thirty-two 64B/66B blocks' sync bits to 11 to indicate error to the PCS." to read "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R set sync bits in all thirty-two 64B/66B blocks to 11 to indicate error to the PCS."         gggestedRemedy       Such a description is clearer IMHO.         sponse       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy         Per comment. Text is unclear otherwise.         Response       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Implement suggested remedy and also change 10BASE-KR to 10GBASE-R (see comment 894)         Cl 74       SC 74.8       P121       L25       # 741         Barrass, Hugh       Cisco Systems, Inc.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status A         Change register addresses according to HB_02
74       SC 74.7.4.5       P118       L1       # 33         ajduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.       ZTE Corp.         omment Type       T       Comment Status       A         Change text "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R mark all thirty-two 64B/66B blocks' sync bits to 11 to indicate error to the PCS." to read "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R set sync bits in all thirty-two 64B/66B blocks to 11 to indicate error to the PCS."         uggestedRemedy       Such a description is clearer IMHO.         esponse       Response Status       C	SuggestedRemedy         Per comment. Text is unclear otherwise.         Response       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Implement suggested remedy and also change 10BASE-KR to 10GBASE-R (see comment 894)         Cl 74       SC 74.8       P121       L25       # 741         Barrass, Hugh       Cisco Systems, Inc.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status A         Change register addresses according to HB_02       SuggestedRemedy
74       SC 74.7.4.5       P118       L1       # 33         ajduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.         comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         Change text "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R mark all thirty-two 64B/66B blocks' sync bits to 11 to indicate error to the PCS." to read "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R and 10	SuggestedRemedy         Per comment. Text is unclear otherwise.         Response       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Implement suggested remedy and also change 10BASE-KR to 10GBASE-R (see comment 894)         Cl 74       SC 74.8         P121       L25         Barrass, Hugh       Cisco Systems, Inc.         Comment Type       T         Comment Status       A         Change register addresses according to HB_02         SuggestedRemedy         Change register addresses to 1.300 to 1.339. Also in 74.8.4.1, p.122         Response       Response Status         C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
A T4       SC 74.7.4.5       P118       L1       # 33         ajduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         Change text "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R mark all thirty-two 64B/66B blocks' sync bits to 11 to indicate error to the PCS." to read "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R set sync bits in all thirty-two 64B/66B blocks to 11 to indicate error to the PCS." <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Such a description is clearer IMHO.         Pesponse       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Change to:         "The FEC sublayers for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R set both sync bits to the value 17	SuggestedRemedy         Per comment. Text is unclear otherwise.         Response       Response Status         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Implement suggested remedy and also change 10BASE-KR to 10GBASE-R (see comment 894)         CI 74       SC 74.8         P121       L25         Barrass, Hugh       Cisco Systems, Inc.         Comment Type       T         Comment Type       T         Comment Status       A         Change register addresses according to HB_02         SuggestedRemedy         Change register addresses to 1.300 to 1.339. Also in 74.8.4.1, p.122         Response       Response Status

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/ed	ditorial G/general	01 74	Dama 25 of 100
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/c	open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	C/ <b>/4</b>	Page 35 of 199
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		SC 74.8	1/28/2010 6:39:45 AM

Draft 3.0 Comme	nts	IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s	Ethernet cor	nments			Sponsor ballot
C/ 74 SC 74.8 Anslow, Peter	P121 Nortel Networks	L <b>26</b>	# 442	Cl <b>74</b> Anslow, P	SC 74.8 eter	P1 Norte	21 I Networks	L <b>6</b>	# 441
	Comment Status <b>D</b> cted_blocks_counter_i is a variab rrected_blocks_counter_i . Also i			Comment The cl Suggested	nange instruction	Comment Status says Table 74-2 but		neading is 74-1	
SuggestedRemedy	c in both variables. Also applies	to 74.8.4.1 and	74842	00	ge the title of the	table to be 74-2			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W	to 74.0.4.1 and	77.0.7.2	Proposed PROF	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status	W		
also see comment 8				<i>Cl</i> <b>74</b> Trowbridge	SC 74.8.4.1 e, Stephen	P1 ALCA	22 ATEL-LUCE	L <b>44</b> ENT	# 263
C/ 74 SC 74.8	P121	L <b>26</b>	# 895	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	D		
Ganga, Ilango Comment Type E	Intel Corporatio	n				IYs may not be seria S lanes, even if they			cability of FEC is to ultiple physical lanes.
FEC_uncorrected_bl including 74.8.4.1 &	variables FEC_corrected_blocks ocks_counter_i. Make this chang 74.8.4.2 and if applicable to corre 3 for 40Gb/s and i=0 to 19 for 10	e to all instancesponding sections	es of this variable ons in Clause 45. Also	Proposed	ge "multi-lane PH	IYs" to "multi-PCS la Response Status			
SuggestedRemedy As per comment				C/ <b>74</b> Hajduczer	SC 74.8.4.1	P1 ZTE (		L <b>48</b>	# 35
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W			Comment	Туре Т	<i>Comment Status</i> 2.1.89 (1.176 to 1.21	A	72, 1.173) or 4	5.2.1.89 (1.176 to
also see comment 44	42					e they are available s bage 123, section 74		usly but rather	on the exclusive or
C/ <b>74</b> SC <b>74.8</b> Barrass, Hugh	P <b>121</b> Cisco Systems,	L <b>28</b> Inc.	# 742	Suggested Per co	<i>dRemedy</i> omment				
Comment Type <b>T</b> Change register add	Comment Status A resses according to HB_03			Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status	С		
SuggestedRemedy Change register add	resses to 1.700 to 1.739. Also in	74.8.4.2, p.123	i	Chang "These	,	ccessed through a m	anagemen	t interface that	may be mapped to
Response	Response Status C					45.2.1.87 (1.172, 1.			
ACCEPT IN PRINCI	PLE.			To:					
The register address made in Clause 45.	es will be changed to match any	relevant registe	er address changes	the re					may be mapped to and 45.2.1.89 (1.176
Note HB_03 is comm	nent 703			and si	milarly for 74.8.4	.2			
	ired ER/editorial required GR/ge dispatched A/accepted R/rejecte , Subclause, page, line				ed U/unsatisfied	d Z/withdrawn	CI 74 SC 74.8.	4.1	Page 36 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:45 A

CI 80	SC 80	P <b>125</b>	L1	# 358
Kolesar, Pau	ıl	CommSc	ope Solutions	

#### Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The PMDs defined in P802.3ba do not fulfill the PAR or the Five Criteria of 802.3. Specifically, as stated in section 5.4 of the PAR, the Purpose of Proposed Standard: "The project is to provide for the interconnection of equipment satisfying the distance requirements of the intended applications." Further, as stated in section 5.5, the Need for the Project: "The project is necessary to provide a solution for applications that have been demonstrated to need bandwidth beyond the existing capabilities. These include data center..." Data center backbone reach requirements have been repeatedly shown to extend to at least 200 meters per independent contributions kolesar 01 0906, swanson 01 1106, and flatman 01 0108. However, the maximum reach of the PMDs aimed at the data center, specifically -CR4/-CR10 and -SR4/-SR10, is presently stated as 125 meters, 75 meters shy of the need. While the commenter acknowledges the need for optimized solutions, the present optimization for lowest cost, which sacrifices sufficient coverage, is far from optimal. This is due to the huge increase in relative cost for the defined singlemode fiber based PMDs compared to the cost of extended reach -SR4/-SR10 PMDs that can address this reach, as shown in contributions iewell 01 0508 and kolesar 01 0908. Furthermore, without a cost effective solution that covers the vast majority of the reach requirements of the application space, this project does not satisfy the Broad Market Potential requirement for balanced cost, as the single-mode fiber based PMDs erect a market barrier when positioned as data center solutions rather than as the metro solutions for which they are optimal. Therefore PMDs that cost effectively support 200 meters must be defined to fulfill the PAR and satisfy the Broad Market Potential balanced cost criteria.

## SuggestedRemedy

Adopt the proposal of contribution kolesar\_05\_0509 for an informative annex that defines a test for selecting 200-meter-capable PMDs from the production runs of -SR4/-SR10 PMDs, as detailed in contribution kolesar\_04\_0509 with appropriate editorial adjustments induced by clause 86 evolution since draft 2.0, the draft upon which these contributions were submitted.

Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

The adopted objectives for the project include "at least 100m over OM3 MMF" for operation at 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s. The MMF objectives have remained unchanged since their approval, approval of the project's 5 Criteria responses, and the PAR. Based on materials detailed below, it has been the consensus of the Task Force that the selected solutions (40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10) meet the stated PAR (http://www.ieee802.org/3/ba/PAR/par\_0308.pdf) and 5 Criteria responses (http://www.ieee802.org/3/ba/PAR/P802.3ba\_5C\_0908.pdf). Presentations relevant to this topic reviewed by the Task Force and the "40G/100G Extended Reach (>100m) over Parallel Multimode Fiber Ad Hoc" were: http://www.ieee802.org/3/hssg/public/sep06/kolesar\_01\_0906.pdf http://www.ieee802.org/3/hssg/public/nov06/steinberger\_01\_1106.pdf http://www.ieee802.org/3/hssg/public/nov06/steinberger\_01\_1106.pdf

http://www.ieee802.org/3/ba/public/ian08/flatman 01 0108.pdf http://www.ieee802.org/3/ba/public/mar08/kolesar\_01\_0308.pdf http://www.ieee802.org/3/ba/public/sep08/flatman\_01\_0908.pdf http://www.ieee802.org/3/ba/public/sep08/kolesar\_01\_0908.pdf http://www.jeee802.org/3/ba/public/AdHoc/MMF-Reach/swanson xr 01 0608.pdf Note that the response to comment 349 against D 3.0 has changed the reach of 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 over OM4 fiber to 150m A straw poll of the task force was taken: Do you support the creation of an informative annex similar to that proposed in kolesar 04 0509.pdf? Result: Yes 12 No 21 Abstain 17 C/ 80 SC 80.1.1 P125 L9 # 85 Gustlin, Mark Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type Е Comment Status D "Physical Layer entities such as those specified in Table 80-2" Should refer to Table 80-1 instead of 80-2. SuggestedRemedy Change to 80-1 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 80 P125 SC 80.1.2 L 30 # 1 Karocki, Piotr TBD Polska Comment Type Е Comment Status D It seems as one of points 5) and 6) is incorrect (if same fiber, SMF, then either 40 km or 10 km. not both). Also, renumber this points from 1 (new list, not continuation from bullet g) SugaestedRemedv Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. This is the reach objective for 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs. (See P802.3ba objectives).

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 80 SC 80.1.2 Page 37 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:45 AM

	Draft	3.0	Comments
--	-------	-----	----------

C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>125</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>26</b>	# 36	C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	5 P <b>12</b> 8 ZTE Co		# 38
	Comment Status R IA/CD MAC or full duplex MAC k, which mentions 802.3 MAC				Comment Status I it is a recommendation or at some time with shall o	a mandatory statem	ent? Must statement will
SuggestedRemedy Clarify whether CSMA references altogether.	/CD MAC is used in 40G/100G	Ethernet and it	f not, remove such	SuggestedRemedy Decide whether it is "meets")	a requirement (then put s	hall) or not (then rep	lace "must meet" with
Response REJECT.	Response Status W			Response REJECT.	Response Status	2	
Implementers can also	all duplex mode of operation w o refer to Annex 4A which is sin			specified in corresp The word "must" ca	onding clauses. In be used in unavoidable	situations. There are	e several instances in
	as "IEEE 802.3 (CSMA/CD) I used in full duplex operation (			C/ 80 SC 80.1.5		3 L <b>33</b>	# 284
The MAC is referred to even when the MAC is				C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E	P128 Indepen Comment Status	3 <i>L</i> 33 ndant A	
The MAC is referred to even when the MAC is C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T	used in full duplex operation ( P127	(for example see	e 44.1.3).	Cl 80 SC 80.1.5 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E A NOTE is not part of the standard. Co	5 P 128 Indepen	<i>L</i> 33 Indant A 2 needs a key to exp	blain O and M that is part
The MAC is referred to even when the MAC is C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T Section 1.4 defines wh SuggestedRemedy	P127 P127 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R hat a PCS lane is. What is a W	(for example see <i>L</i> 28 /DM lane?	# <u>37</u>	Cl 80 SC 80.1. Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E A NOTE is not part of the standard. Co maintenance, note SuggestedRemedy	<i>P</i> <b>12</b> 8 Indepen <i>Comment Status</i> of the standard. Table 80- mpare Table 44-1, Table 5	<i>L</i> 33 andant 2 needs a key to exp 66-2 and Table 69-1.	blain O and M that is part Also for ease of

CI 80 SC 80.1.5

# IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 80 SC 80.1.5	P128	L5	# 39	C/ 80	SC 80.2.1	P128	L 38	# 40
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Hajduczeni		ZTE Corp.		
In Table 80-2, note a) says that " except for KR and CR PMD type Annex 83A/B support. Why is tha SuggestedRemedy per comment	s.", yet KR and CR			Indepe see Cli instant implen such a should read "\	al comments(1) f ndent Interface ause 81) "(3) iated, rather it c: nentaion, yet it is n interface is ne read "The Reco Vhile XLGMII ar	Comment Status A title should read "Reconciliatio (MII)"(2) Line 40 should read "The N an logically connect layers wit is intended for physical implem reded. I think this sentence sh ponciliation Sublayer (RS) provi ad CGMII are optional interfac- nces in this clause which are n	"The Media Ind MII is not intend hin a device." - nentation if such ould be remove des a mapping es, they are use	dependent Interface ded to be physically MII is not mandate n a choice is made ed altogether. (4) li "(5) Line 48 sho
Have separate columns for Anne applicable to the corresponding a	annexes.	3B. Each colur	nns will list the options	Suggested Per co				
83A is optional for all PMDs of th 83B is optional for SR4/10and LF Also delete table footnote "a"				Response ACCEI	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status <b>C</b> .E.		
				physic: generic	al layers. Hence cally refer to XL0	already used to mean Media la it was decided not to use that GMII and CGMII. The abbrevia dia Independent Interface for	t abbreviation ir ations XLGMII a	n P802.3ba to and CGMII are use
				Chang	e line 45 to read	as "The Reconciliation Subla	iyer (RS)"	
				Chang extens		l as: "While XLGMII and CGM	II are optional i	nterfaces, they are

Check and update hyperlinks to references if they are not live.

	Draft	3.0	Comments
--	-------	-----	----------

C/ 80 SC 80.2.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>129</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>6</b>	# 41	C/         80         SC         80.2.3         P128         L9         # 346           Nikolich, Paul         YAS Broadband Ventu         YAS Broadband Ventu         YAS Broadband Ventu         YAS Broadband Ventu
Comment Type <b>T</b> What is a 'stripe' of data SuggestedRemedy Please clarify or use so	Comment Status A a? me more descriptive identific	ation of what is	a data stripe	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A         The Forward Error Correction sublayer is an optional for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R copper and backplane PHYs. This may cause interoperability problems.         SuggestedRemedy
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status <b>C</b> E.			The above FEC sublayer for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R copper and backplane PHYs should either be made mandatory or removed to eliminate potential interoperability problems.
Change: "stripe the data to multi to: "distributes the data to				Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 PMDs will meet the BER requirements of 1E-
to be consistent with se	ctions in Clause 82 (see rela	ted comment: #	ŧ79)	without the use of the optional FEC sublayer. The optional FEC sublayer can be used to achieve better BER performance over 1E-12, if desired, or to increase the performance or a broader set of backplane channels. Auto-negotiation of FEC will prevent inter-operability
Cl 80 SC 80.2.2 Trowbridge, Stephen	P129 ALCATEL-LUC	L6 CENT	# 265	problems since the FEC function is enabled on the link only if both the link partners advertise FEC ability and at least one of the link partners requests to enable the FEC function.
Comment Type E It would help to clarify the interface of PMD lanes)	Comment Status D hat it is PCS lanes that are de	escribed here (v	vs. generic service	Provide a explanation for copper PHYs in 74.1 as follows: Change line 13 in 74.1 as follows: "The 10GBASE-KR and 40GBASE-KR4 PHYs described in Clause 72 and Clause 84
SuggestedRemedy Change "stripe the data Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	to multiple lanes" to "stripe t <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE.	he data to mult	iple PCS lanes"	optionally use the FEC sublayer to increase the performance on a broader set of backplar channels than are defined in Clause 69." Insert the following after line 13 in 74.1: "The 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 PHYs described in Clause 85 optionally use the FEC sublayer to improve the BER performance beyond 10^12."
See response to comm	ent #41			

CI 80 SC 80.2.3

C/ 80 SC 80.2.4 Gustlin, Mark	P <b>129</b> Cisco System	L <b>20</b> s, Inc.	# 86	C/ 80 SC 80. Chalupsky, David		L43 Corporation	# 803
transmit and receive d interface, and the map the PMA and PMD via It is not consistent in to it consistent. SuggestedRemedy as above Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Comment Status D 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE lata streams between the PCS oping and multiplexing of trans the PMD service interface" erminology: first is says data s <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. it streams" to "data streams" to	S and PMA via the mit and receive streams then it s	he PMA service bit streams between says bit streams, make	SuggestedRemedy replace "Clause see Clause 84) a 40 Gb/s and 100 85)." with "Clause 73 a see Clause 84) a	re difficult to read. 73 Auto-Negotiation is use nd, Gb/s copper PHYs (40GE Auto-Negotiation is used b nd the Gb/s copper PHYs (40GE <i>Response Status</i>	d by 40 Gb/s backplane BASE-CR4 and 100GBA y the 40 Gb/s backplane BASE-CR4 and 100GBA	SE-CR10, see Clause e PHY (40GBASE-KR4,
Sentence. Cl 80 SC 80.2.4 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Missing comma after ' SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	P129 ZTE Corp. Comment Status D In addition' Response Status W	L 22	# 4 <u>2</u>	Cl 80 SC 80. Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T It is not clear wha insert a sentence layer N represen	3.1 P <sup>-</sup> ZTE	. Are these just example lowing statement."In the er N-1 represents a low ce primitives."	e following description,
					sublayer N-1" and "highe on of sublayers N and N-1		

the relative location of sublayer N-1" and "higher sublayer N" is used the description to explain the relative location of sublayers N and N-1. Also the use of N and N-1 is consistent with notations defined in 1.2.2

C/ 80 SC 80.3.1

C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 Gustlin, Mark	P 131 Cisco System	L <b>26</b> s, Inc.	# 87	C/ 80 SC 80.4 Anslow, Peter	P134 Nortel Networks	L <b>51</b>
In figure 80-2, there is a de			0	Comment Type E Since P802.3bb was	Comment Status D approved in December 2009 can t	his Editors'
might make sense to label that or remove the definitio				SuggestedRemedy Remove Editor's not	e if possible.	
SuggestedRemedy as above				Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W	
Proposed Response F PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W PRINCIPLE.			Detete the Editor's n	-	
Figures 80-2 & 80-3 illustra CAUI are physical instantia				C/ 80 SC 80.4 Gustlin, Mark	P <b>135</b> Cisco Systems, Ir	L <b>23</b> nc.
Delete XLAUI from Fig 80-	2 and CAUI from Fig 80-3	i.		Comment Type E	Comment Status D	
C/ 80 SC 80.3.2	P132	L <b>47</b>	# 44	51	we a blank row for separating 40G	from 100G,
<i>Cl</i> <b>80</b> <i>SC</i> <b>80.3.2</b> Hajduczenia, Marek	Ç		# [44	Seems strange to ha	we a blank row for separating 40G	from 100G,
<i>Cl</i> <b>80</b> <i>SC</i> <b>80.3.2</b> Hajduczenia, Marek	P132 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R trict number of lanes in P0 s defined as "n" ? I think I	L 47 CS and below (4 knowing the exis	۹). Why in Figure 80-3	Seems strange to ha a thick border betwee SuggestedRemedy	Response Status W	from 100G,
Cl 80 SC 80.3.2 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T In Figure 80-2, there is a s the number of PCS lanes i	P132 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R trict number of lanes in Po s defined as "n" ? I think I value of "n" in the note in ER OF PARALLEL STRE	L 47 CS and below (4 knowing the exist line 47.	4). Why in Figure 80-3 sting 100G types, it is UNITS" with "n=	Seems strange to ha a thick border betwee SuggestedRemedy as above Proposed Response	Response Status W	from 100G,

REJECT.

This subclause provides definition of generic service interfaces at different sublayers.

"n" (as opposed to a fixed number) is used at the 100G PMD service interface to accommodate future developments in number of parallel streams of data units at this interface.

# s' note be removed? # 89 G, delete the row and add # 446 Comment 275 against D 2.1 increased the delay for the MAC Control/MAC/RS for 40G from 20 to 32 pause quanta. However the Maximum in bit times was not updated from 10240 to 16384 SuggestedRemedy Change the Maximum in bit times for 40G MAC, RS, and MAC Control to 16384

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 80 SC 80.4 Sponsor ballot

# 445

C/ <b>80</b> SC <b>80.4</b> Muller, Shimon	P135 Sun Microsys	L <b>5</b> tems	# 276	C/ <b>80</b> SC <b>80.5</b> Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>136</b> ZTE Corp.	L12	# 48
RS and MAC Con the values in paus	Comment Status A aint, expressed in bit times, for the ttrol, is incorrect and does not corr se_quanta and absolute time in ns in the value used elsewhere in the o	espond to . It is		Comment Type <b>TR</b> to ensure that a given P	Comment Status <b>A</b> CS lane always traverses th vhat does that mean in reali at least, if not unclear.		
SuggestedRemedy Relace "10240" w	vith "16384".				nt in here and remodel the t	ext for clarity.	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status W		
See response to c	P135	L5	# 88		s brought up, Skew Variatio CS lane always traverses th		
Gustlin, Mark	Cisco System Comment Status A	s, inc.		"From the time the link is to ensure that each PCS	s brought up, Skew Variatio S lane always traverses the		
The maximum bit	time entry for 40G mac should be	16384, not 102	40.	sublayers while the link	remains in operation."		
	time entry for 40G mac should be	16384, not 102	40.	sublayers while the link Cl 80 SC 80.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	remains in operation." P136 ZTE Corp.	L <b>42</b>	# 52
SuggestedRemedy as above	time entry for 40G mac should be Response Status C	16384, not 102	40.	C/ <b>80</b> SC <b>80.5</b> Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type <b>T</b>	P136 ZTE Corp. Comment Status A		
SuggestedRemedy as above Response	Response Status C	16384, not 102	40.	Cl 80 SC 80.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T This comment is agains: 40GBASE-R and 100GE skew points 2it would be	P136 ZTE Corp. Comment Status A t Figure 80-4 and Figure 80- BASE-R skew points 1Figure e nice to provide a more pre-	-5. Captions read e 80-540GBAS cise description	d: Figure 80-4 E-R and 100GBASE-I of the scenarios i.e.
SuggestedRemedy as above Response ACCEPT. See response to c C/ 80 SC 80.5	Response Status <b>C</b>	16384, not 102	40. # [ <u>47</u>	Cl 80 SC 80.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T This comment is agains 40GBASE-R and 100GE skew points 2it would be Figure 80-440GBASE-	P136 ZTE Corp. Comment Status A t Figure 80-4 and Figure 80- BASE-R skew points 1Figure a nice to provide a more pre- R and 100GBASE-R skew p gure 80-540GBASE-R and	-5. Captions read e 80-540GBAS cise description o points for implem	d: Figure 80-4 E-R and 100GBASE-I of the scenarios i.e. nentation without
SuggestedRemedy as above Response ACCEPT. See response to c Cl 80 SC 80.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T Change "the chan	Response Status C comment #446 5 P136 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R nge in skew between any PCS lane	L10	# 47	C/ 80 SC 80.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T This comment is agains: 40GBASE-R and 100GE skew points 2it would be Figure 80-440GBASE- XLAUI/CAUI interfaceFig	P136 ZTE Corp. Comment Status A t Figure 80-4 and Figure 80- BASE-R skew points 1Figure a nice to provide a more pre- R and 100GBASE-R skew p gure 80-540GBASE-R and	-5. Captions read e 80-540GBAS cise description o points for implem	d: Figure 80-4 E-R and 100GBASE-I of the scenarios i.e. nentation without
SuggestedRemedy as above Response ACCEPT. See response to c C/ 80 SC 80.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T Change "the chan change in skew be SuggestedRemedy	Response Status C comment #446 5 P136 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R	L10	# 47	Cl 80 SC 80.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T This comment is agains: 40GBASE-R and 100GE skew points 2it would be Figure 80-440GBASE- XLAUI/CAUI interfaceFig implementation with XLA SuggestedRemedy	P136 ZTE Corp. Comment Status A t Figure 80-4 and Figure 80- SASE-R skew points 1Figure a nice to provide a more pre- R and 100GBASE-R skew p gure 80-540GBASE-R and AUI/CAUI interface	-5. Captions read e 80-540GBAS cise description o points for implem	d: Figure 80-4 E-R and 100GBASE-I of the scenarios i.e. nentation without
SuggestedRemedy as above Response ACCEPT. See response to c C/ 80 SC 80.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T Change "the chan	Response Status C comment #446 5 P136 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R nge in skew between any PCS lane	L10	# 47	Cl 80 SC 80.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T This comment is agains 40GBASE-R and 100GE skew points 2it would be Figure 80-440GBASE- XLAUI/CAUI interfaceFig implementation with XLA SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	P136 ZTE Corp. Comment Status A t Figure 80-4 and Figure 80- SASE-R skew points 1Figure a nice to provide a more pre- R and 100GBASE-R skew p gure 80-540GBASE-R and AUI/CAUI interface	-5. Captions read e 80-540GBAS cise description points for implem I 100GBASE-R s	d: Figure 80-4 E-R and 100GBASE- of the scenarios i.e. nentation without skew points for

The current definition of Skew Variation provides better clarity than the suggested text.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 80 SC 80.5

C/         80         SC         80.5         P 136         L 50         # 49           Hajduczenia, Marek         ZTE Corp.         ZTE Corp.         49	C/         80         SC         80.5         P 136         L 7         # 46           Hajduczenia, Marek         ZTE Corp.         46
Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         Lines 50 - 52 need a rewrite as follows:"In the transmit direction, the skew points are defined in the following locations (see Figure 80-4 and Figure 80-5): (1) SP1 on the XLAUI/CAUI interface, at the input of the PMA; (2) SP2 on the PMD service interface at the input of the PMD;(3) SP3 at the output of the PMD at the MDI."List should be bulleted for clarity.         SuggestedRemedy       Per comment         Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Change Lines 50 - 52 to a bulleted list as follows:	Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         The text reads "the lanes must be kept within limits so that the information on the lanes can be reassembled by the PCS."(1) What "limits" are referred to? Can you provide a link / reference to them?(2) Change "information on the lanes" to "information transmitted on the lanes"(3) Change "reassembled by the PCS" to "reassembled by the receiving PCS"         SuggestedRemedy       Per comment         Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Change lines 6-7 to read as follows :
"In the transmit direction, the skew points are defined in the following locations (see Figure 80-4 and Figure 80-5): SP1 on the XLAUI/CAUI interface, at the input of the PMA closest to the PMD; SP2 on the PMD service interface at the input of the PMD; SP3 at the output of the PMD at the MDI.	"The Skew between the lanes must be kept within limits as shown in Table 80-4 so that the transmitted information on the lanes can be reassembled by the receive PCS."         Cl 80       SC 80.5       P137       L1       # 50         Hajduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.
CI 80       SC 80.5       P 136       L 6       # 45         Hajduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.       # 5         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Editorial: not (See 82.2.12) but (see 82.2.12)Also in the same line: not "The Skew" but "The skew"       SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         Lines 1 - 3 need a rewrite as follows:"In the receive direction, the skew points are defined in the following locations (see Figure 80-4 and Figure 80-5): (1) SP4 at the MDI at the input of the PMD; (2) SP5 on the PMD service interface at the output of the PMD;(3) SP6 on the XLAUI/CAUI interface at the output of the PMA."List should be bulleted for clarity.         SuggestedRemedy       Per comment
Per comment Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change "(See 82.2.12)" to "(see 82.2.12)"	Change Lines 1 - 3 to a bulleted list as follows: "In the receive direction, the skew points are defined in the following locations (see Figure 80-4 and Figure 80-5): SP4 at the MDI at the input of the PMD SP5 on the PMD service interface at the output of the PMD SP6 on the XLAUI/CAUI interface at the output of the PMA closest to the PCS

Cl 80       SC 80.5       P137       L5       # 51         Hajduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.       Cl 80       SC 80.6       P139       L1       # 54         Hajduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.       Cl 80       SC 80.6       P139       L1       # 54         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       Comment Status       Comment Status       R         SuggestedRemedy       Per comment       Per comment       SuggestedRemedy       Per comment       Not entirely sure why this section is needed at all, given that there are no state diagrams are referenced as well. Remove it altogether.         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED REJECT.       The current description provides sufficient clarity. Tables 80-4 and 80-5 provide the summary of Skew & Skew Variation constraints and the requirements are specified in respective clauses referenced in those tables.       Cl 80       SC 80.5       P138       L1       # 53         Cl 80       SC 80.5       P138       L1       # 53       Cl 81       SC 81       P141       L1       # 55         Hajduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.       Cl 81       SC 81       P141       L1       # 55									
Change two occurences of "shown" to "given"         SuggestedRemedy         Per comment         Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED REJECT.         The current description provides sufficient clarity. Tables 80-4 and 80-5 provide the summary of Skew & Skew Variation constraints and the requirements are specified in respective clauses referenced in those tables.         C/ 80       SC 80.5       P138       L1       # 53			L <b>5</b>	# 51				L1	# 54
SuggestedRemedy       Per comment         Proposed Response       Response Status         PROPOSED REJECT.       The current description provides sufficient clarity. Tables 80-4 and 80-5 provide the summary of Skew & Skew Variation constraints and the requirements are specified in respective clauses referenced in those tables.       Response       Response Status       C         C/ 80       SC 80.5       P138       L1       # 53       C/ 81       P141       L1       # 55	51				Not enti	irely sure why th	nis section is needed at all, gi		0
Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED REJECT.       PROPOSED REJECT.       Response Status       C         The current description provides sufficient clarity. Tables 80-4 and 80-5 provide the summary of Skew & Skew Variation constraints and the requirements are specified in respective clauses referenced in those tables.       Response       Response Status       C         C/       80       SC 80.5       P138       L1       # 53       C// 81       SC 81       P141       L1       # 55	,				SuggestedF	Remedy			
summary of Skew & Skew Variation constraints and the requirements are specified in respective clauses referenced in those tables. This section provides information on the conventions adopted by P802.3ba for state diagrams. C/ 80 SC 80.5 P138 L1 # 53	1 1	Response Status W			Response		Response Status C		
	summary of Skew & Ske	w Variation constraints and					nformation on the convention	s adopted by P8	302.3ba for state
	C/ 80 SC 80.5	P138	L1	# 53				L1	# 55
	Comment Type T	Comment Status R			Comment T		Comment Status R		

This comment is against Table 80-4 and 80-5(1) Insert Footnote for column "Maximum Skew for 40GBASE-R PCS lane (UI)" and "Maximum Skew for 100GBASE-R PCS lane (UI)" with the following text "These values are only approximations of the Maximum Skew value (expressed in ns), based on conversion between the units of ns and UI.". Remove characters "

## SuggestedRemedy

from all columns in table 80-4 and 80-5. (2) remove footnote b and c from table 80-4 and footnote a and b from table 80-5. (3) insert a new foonote to column Maximum Skew for 40GBASE-R PCS lane (UI)" in Table 80-4 and 80-5 with the following text. "For 40GBASE-R, 1 UI is equal to 96.969697 ps at PCS lane signaling rate of 10.3125 GBd"(4) insert a new foonote to column "Maximum Skew for 100GBASE-R PCS lane (UI)" in Table 80-4 and 80-5 with the following text. "For 100GBASE-R, 1 UI is equal to 193.939394 ps at PCS lane signaling rate of 5.15625 GBd"

Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The approximately equal to character has been used to unambiguously indicate that the values are not exactly equal to. The existing table footnotes provide sufficient clarity.

(1) "81. Reconciliation Sublayer (RS) and Media Independent Interface for 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s operation" should be changed to "81. Reconciliation Sublayer (RS) and Media Independent Interface (MII) for 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s operation"(2) Add a new acronym to "1.5 Abbreviations" "MII Media Independent Interface"

#### SuggestedRemedy

Per comment. MII should be finally used as a acronym

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

There already exists a MII elsewhere in the standard so calling this clause an MII would be confusing. Instead we define two distinct versions, XLGMII and CGMII. Similar to comment #56.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 81 SC 81 Page 45 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:46 AM

Draft 3.0 Comme
-----------------

C/ <b>81</b> SC <b>81</b> Hajduczenia, Marek	<b><i>P</i>141</b> ZTE Corp.	L1	# 62	C/ <b>81</b> Hajduczenia, I	SC <b>81.1</b> Marek	P <b>141</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>50</b>	# 57
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Nowehere in this claus there is" 46.1.3 Rate of	Comment Status R e is the number of transfers p f operation", which at least de such section does not exist. N	fines what data		Comment Typ The Physi mean? Do	e <b>T</b> cal Coding	Comment Status R Sublayer (PCS) is specified to to say that PCS is adapted to		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRe	medy			
Please add a correspo	nding section defining data ra	te of MII operat	ion in clause 81.	Per comm	nent			
operation which is simi	Response Status W nodel of clause 46, there doe lar in content to 46.1.3 , and t				simply that vould be re	Response Status C the PCS is specified to the XL dundant.	.GMII/CGMII inte	rface, but stating
	ilar to 49.1.5. seems to be to contrast the ra a has no such distinction.	ates of operatio	n of 10GBASE-R and	C/ <b>81</b> Hajduczenia, I Comment Typ		P <b>141</b> ZTE Corp. Comment Status R	L7	# 56
	P 160 Gnodal Limite Comment Status D of the table is thinner than use		# 218	controllers MAC was line 9, "an Media Ind	s" - do we s used(2) ins d Media Ind ependent In	gainst paragraph 1 in 81.1(1) ' till use CSMA/CD MAC in P2F sert (MII) after " and the Media dependent Interface to" chang nterface in this clause," chang	P links? I always I Independent Int e to "and MII to"	thought that full duplex terface" in line 7(3) in (4) in line 10, "of the
SuggestedRemedy Thicken the line at bott	om of table			SuggestedRei per comm	-			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Response REJECT. (1)This we	ordina is co	Response Status <b>C</b>	ven 100 which is	also full dupley
lanes, or PMD lanes. L RS extends further dov SuggestedRemedy Change "The RS adap	Response Status W	S lanes, gener of the RS make e MAC to the n	s it sound as though the nulti-lane serial	(2) throug The abrev physical la genericall	riation MII is ayers. Hence y refer to XI	so. already used to mean "Media e it was decided not to use th _GMII and CGMII. The abbrev edia Independent Interface fo	at abbreviation in viations XLGMII a	n P802.3ba to and CGMII are used to

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 81 SC 81.1

	S	IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments							
C/ <b>81</b> SC <b>81.1</b> Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>142</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>6</b>	# 58	C/ <b>81</b> Hajduczenia	SC <b>81.1.2</b> , Marek	P <b>142</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>31</b>	# 60	
provides independent	Comment Status A s independent 64-bit-wide transm 64-bit wide transmit and receive ' to "It support full duplex operat	e data paths."(2) "			, media access heric. Change	Comment Status <b>A</b> s controller may be used with al to "identical media access cont			
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedR	emedy				
Per comment				Per com	ment				
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI Make Change #1, and				Response ACCEP	г.	Response Status C			
"It supports full duplex				C/ 81	SC 81.1.3	P142	L35	# 61	
C/ 81 SC 81.1.1	P142	L14	# 59	Hajduczenia	, Marek	ZTE Corp.			
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		# <u>55</u>	Comment Ty	ире <b>т</b>	Comment Status A			
logic." should read "as	Comment Status R an interface allowing independer they all specify a generic interfa and PHY."(2) "The RS maps the	ace allowing for ir signal set provid	ndependent ed at the	100Gb/s	:. change to "T d to support 1( demedy	specified to support 40Gb/s an 'he XLGMII is specified to supp 0Gb/s operation."			
XLGMII/CGMII to the F maps the signal set of "Each direction of data signals." should read "	PLS service primitives provided the XLGMII/CGMII to the PLS s transfer is independent and se Each direction of data transfer is la "(4)" link faulta to the DTE of	service primitives rviced by data, co s independent an	of the MAC."(3) ontrol, and clock d carries data,	Response ACCEP	г.	Response Status C			
XLGMII/CGMII to the F maps the signal set of "Each direction of data signals." should read " control, and clock sign	the XLGMII/CGMII to the PLS satisfies the the PLS satisfies a transfer is independent and se	service primitives rviced by data, co s independent an on the remote end	of the MAC."(3) ontrol, and clock d carries data,	Response	т. SC <b>81.1.4</b>	Response Status C	L 48	# [447	
XLGMII/CGMII to the F maps the signal set of "Each direction of data signals." should read " control, and clock sign link" should read " link	the XLGMII/CGMII to the PLS s a transfer is independent and se Each direction of data transfer is als."(4) " link faults to the DTE c	service primitives rviced by data, co s independent an on the remote end	of the MAC."(3) ontrol, and clock d carries data,	Response ACCEP	SC 81.1.4			# 447	
XLGMII/CGMII to the F maps the signal set of "Each direction of data signals." should read " control, and clock sign link" should read " link	the XLGMII/CGMII to the PLS s a transfer is independent and se Each direction of data transfer is als."(4) " link faults to the DTE c	service primitives rviced by data, co s independent an on the remote end	of the MAC."(3) ontrol, and clock d carries data,	Response ACCEP CI <b>81</b>	SC <b>81.1.4</b> er	P142		# 447	
XLGMII/CGMII to the F maps the signal set of "Each direction of data signals." should read " control, and clock sign link" should read " link SuggestedRemedy Per comment	the XLGMII/CGMII to the PLS s a transfer is independent and se Each direction of data transfer is als."(4) " link faults to the DTE c	service primitives rviced by data, co s independent an on the remote end	of the MAC."(3) ontrol, and clock d carries data,	Response ACCEP C/ 81 Anslow, Pete Comment Ty	SC <b>81.1.4</b> er <i>vpe</i> <b>T</b>	P142 Nortel Networks	5	-	
XLGMII/CGMII to the F maps the signal set of "Each direction of data signals." should read " control, and clock sign link" should read " link SuggestedRemedy	the XLGMII/CGMII to the PLS s a transfer is independent and se Each direction of data transfer is als."(4) " link faults to the DTE of faults to the DTE on the remote <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	service primitives rviced by data, co s independent an on the remote end	of the MAC."(3) ontrol, and clock d carries data,	Response ACCEP Cl <b>81</b> Anslow, Pete Comment Ty The Max SuggestedR	SC <b>81.1.4</b> er <i>type</i> <b>T</b> kimum (ns) val <i>emedy</i> e exact values	P142 Nortel Networks Comment Status A	s the values in	Table 81-1	

C/ 81 SC 81.1.4

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/         81         SC         81.1.4         P142         L49         # 810           Bennett, Michael         Lawrence Berkeley Na	C/ <b>81</b> SC <b>81.1.5</b> P <b>143</b> L <b>3</b> # 63 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.
Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         What do the tildes mean in the Maximum (ns) column in Table 81-1? One use for a to mean approximately. If that is the case, how does one "meet the values specified Table 81-1", specifically in the column using approximate values? Especially when the paragraph states the maximum cumulative delay shall meet the values specified in table.         SuggestedRemedy       If the current use of tildes means approximately, then remove the tilde and use a m value, i.e. if the value is +/- 10 ns then add 10 ns and it will be a maximum.         Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       See Comment #447.	Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         Ide is n       The allocation of functions at the XLGMII/CGMII balances the need for media independence with the need for a simple interface. The XLGMII and CGMII maximize media independence by cleanly separating the Data Link and Physical Layers of the OSI seven-layer reference model.Change to "The allocation of functions at the XLGMII/CGMII balances the need for media independence with interface simplicity. The XLGMII/CGMII balances the need for media independence by separating the Data Link and Physical Layers of the OSI seven-layer reference model."
C/         81         SC         81.1.4         P142         L 49         # 277           Muller, Shimon         Sun Microsystems         Sun Microsystems         # 277	C/ 81 SC 81.1.6 P143 L11 # 64 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.
Comment Type         T         Comment Status         A           The use of an approximate value in a table that is covered by a shall statement seems to be inappropriate. It is also inconsistent with most of the other clauses that chose to use the exact absolute time values for the delay constraints expressed in ns. Since this value is well defined, is there any reason why the precise value should not be used?	Comment Type T Comment Status R a schematic view of the RS inputs and outputs change to "a schematic view of the RS input and output signals" SuggestedRemedy Per comment
SuggestedRemedy Replace "~410" with "409.6" and "~246" with "245.76". Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Duplicate of #447, see resolution of #447.	Response Response Status C REJECT. Some are signals and some are primitives so this does not improve the statement.

Draft 3.0 Comn			302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a					Sponsor ball
C/ 81 SC 81.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	.6 P143 ZTE Corp.	L <b>29</b>	# 65	C/ <b>81</b> Hajduczenia,	SC <b>81.1.7.1.2</b> Marek	P <b>144</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>27</b>	# 67
""as shall the 64 F receive" > "and R RXC, respectively respectively" SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Comment Status A eight TXC signals shall > "The sixt XD and" > "as shall the sixty-four X_CLK for receive paths"Line 36: " > "indicated by assertion of an a Response Status C ICIPLE. in 81.1.6. where applicable to kee	<sup>°</sup> RXD and"Line 3 "indicated by ass ppropriate signal	1: "and RX_CLK for ertion of TXC and - TXC or RXC -	SuggestedRe Per comr Response ACCEPT Note: Con Change: It represe To:	ents a single data b emedy nent IN PRINCIPLE. rrected the page to ents a single data b	it.	zero - represents	s a single data bit."
given sentence.			umber format in a		ero represents a sir	0		
C/ 81 SC 81.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	<b>.7</b> <i>P</i> <b>144</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>6</b>	# 66	C/ <b>81</b> Hajduczenia,	SC <b>81.1.7.1.4</b> Marek	P <b>144</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>45</b>	# 68
the RS to the XLC	Comment Status R rvice primitives supporting CSMA/ SMII/CGMII.it is the reason why we since these functions are not hook set anyway.	e should not even	mention support for	by the RS MAC sub <i>SuggestedRe</i> Per comr	layer" emedy	nes of the MAC sublayer	> "by the RS ev	ery 64 bit-times of the
SuggestedRemedy				Response	Re	sponse Status C		
No changes to the	e draft, just an observation regardi	ng type of suppo	rted MAC	ACCEPT				
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			Note: Co	rrected the page to	144 (was 143)		
	draft proposed. Related to comme	ent #56.				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
				C/ <b>81</b> Hajduczenia,	SC <b>81.2</b> Marek	P146 ZTE Corp.	L <b>29</b>	# 69
				Comment Typ	pe T C	omment Status A		
						nce of bytes, since it is a lata stream is a sequenc		efine a data stream.
				SuggestedRe Per comr	-			
				Fer com	nom			

C/ 81 SC 81.2

Draft 3.0 Comments	8	IEEE P8	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s	s Ethernet con	nments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 81 SC 81.2.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>147</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>49</b>	# 70	C/ <b>81</b> Hajduczer	SC 81.3.1.3 nia, Marek	P <b>150</b> ZTE Corp.	L1	# 73
	Comment Status A read "bit value of <sfd> at the SFD) specified in 4.2.6 and ed</sfd>			<i>Comment</i> In Fig	21	Comment Status <b>D</b> the "I" symbol should be cer	ntered in the ass	ociated block
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				Suggester Per co	dRemedy omment			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			, PROF	Response POSED ACCEPT Ime the comment	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. or meant that the "T" should	be centered, ce	enter the the "T".
C/ 81 SC 81.2.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>148</b> ZTE Corp.	L 10	# 71	C/ <b>81</b> Hajduczer	SC <b>81.3.3.3</b> nia, Marek	P <b>156</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>27</b>	# 74
values:" SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status R "The XLGMII/CGMII <pream< td=""><td>ble&gt; and <sfd></sfd></td><td>carry the following</td><td>Suggestee</td><td>ng comma after "l</td><td>Comment Status D Jpon recognition of a fault co</td><td>ondition "</td><td></td></pream<>	ble> and <sfd></sfd>	carry the following	Suggestee	ng comma after "l	Comment Status D Jpon recognition of a fault co	ondition "	
Per comment Response	Response Status C			,	Response POSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
REJECT. If it isn't the values show	wn then they are not a pream	ble or sfd. Corre	ect as is.	C/ 81	SC 81.3.4.2	P157	L <b>47</b>	# 75
C/ 81 SC 81.2.5	P148	L <b>30</b>	# 72	Hajduczer		ZTE Corp.		
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Comment	51	Comment Status A	uro only Louga	
	Comment Status A /ALID. (See 81.1.7.5.2 and 30		ad	here t	o make the section	erenced and it is a single fig on self-standing. Otherwise, a in a completely different par	a reader needs t	
 SuggestedRemedy	see 81.1.7.5.2 and 30.3.2.1.5.			Suggester Per co	dRemedy omment			
Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status <b>C</b> E.			Response ACCE Duplic		Response Status C		
Place the full stop after	the brackets.			1				

C/ 81 SC 81.3.4.2 Page 50 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:46 AM

1/28/2010 6:39:46 AM

C/ 81 SC 81.3.4.2 Muller, Shimon	P <b>158</b> Sun Microsyst	L11	# 278	<i>Cl</i> <b>81</b> Hajduczer	SC 81.4.2.3	2160 ZTE Col		# 77
	Comment Status A	ems				Comment Status A		
It seems that the entire copied from clause 46 ( except for the state diag Saving trees is a good too important to be scal in different portions of the would greatly help "mal	Link Faul Signaling section I (with the relevant modification gram itself. thing. However, state diagrar ttered around and be referen he standard, 35 clauses apar king it easy for the reader to s from our 5-criteria) if all the	ns), ns are ced to t. It		the gir the re (40G Suggestee	PHY* and RS* seven PICS refers st of the PICS w or 100G) are posed <i>Remedy</i> comment.	hould be separated for to to 40G or 100G system. Il also need proper refer	XLGMII and CGMII After all, they are d rence / separation w	to clearly identify whether lifferent. Once it is done, /henever two options
SuggestedRemedy	naling state diagram from Fig	ure 46-9		Break		LE. 5 and G1 entries, 1 per i Y100, RS40, RS100, G1		
to the end of this subcla				<i>Cl</i> <b>81</b> Anslow, P	SC 81.4.3	P160 Nortel N	L12	# 449
Response	Response Status W			Comment	Type E	Comment Status D	1	
ACCEPT. Duplicate of #75.						PICS proforma Tables fo erface" which is incorre		blayer and 10 Gigabit
C/ 81 SC 81.4 Anslow, Peter	P 159 Nortel Networ	L <b>2</b> ks	# 448		ge title to "PICS	proforma Tables for Rec and 100 Gb/s operation"	conciliation Sublayer	r and Media Independent
Comment Type E The title of subclause 8	Comment Status D 1.4 should contain the clause	e 81 title.		Proposed	Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status V	I	
SuggestedRemedy Change "and Media Ind Interface for 40 Gb/s ar	lependent Interface (XLGMI/ nd 100 Gb/s operation"	CGMII)" to "and	d Media Independent	<i>Cl</i> <b>81</b> Anslow, P	SC 81.4.3.1	P <b>160</b> Nortel N		# 450
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Comment	Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status A		
PROPOSED ACCEPT.					ause 81.1.4 says d-trip delay" is no	s "sum of transmit and re of appropriate.	eceive delays at one	e end of the link" so
C/ 81 SC 81.4.2.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>159</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>45</b>	# 76	Suggester Chan	dRemedy ge "round-trip de	lay" to "delay"		
Comment Type T IEEE Std 802.3-2007 -	Comment Status A such standard does not exist	. Should read "	IEEE Std 802.3-2008"	Response ACCE		Response Status C	:	
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				ACCL	.Г 1.			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Duplicate of #393, char	Response Status <b>C</b> E. nge to IEEE Std 802.3ba-20x	x						
TYPE: TR/technical require COMMENT STATUS: D/dis SORT ORDER: Clause, S	patched A/accepted R/reject	general require cted RESPO	d T/technical E/editorial G/g NSE STATUS: O/open W/w	jeneral ritten C/clos	ed U/unsatisfie	a Z/withdrawh	C/ 81 SC 81.4.3.1	Page 51 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:46 /

C/ <b>82</b> SC <b>82</b> Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>165</b> ZTE Corp.	L1	# 185	C/ <b>82</b> S Hajduczenia, M	SC <b>82</b> Jarek	P17 ZTE C		L1	# 183
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status A			Comment Type		Comment Status	•		
The use of terms "cont interchangeably. Pleas	trol character" "control octet" is se use just one term consisten ata portion, the word "character Response Status C	tly in the clause	e. Decide whether when	This comm this figure, showing "ii "inst:IS_UI 40GBASE indication	nent is about since only c nst:IS_UNIT NITDATA_19 -R)".(3) Ther of where the	t Figure 82-4. (1) It wo descramber is shown, DATA_3.indication or 9.indication (for 100G re is a text field saying	uld be ben but decode inst:IS_UN 3ASE-R) ir "Input to c milar comr	er is not sho NTDATA_19 nst:IS_UNITI decoder func ment about F	DATA_3.indication (for tion" but there is no Figure 82-3, page 173(1)
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	, LE.					not shown at all.(2) TI o indication of where t			g "Output of encoder
"All other characters a are transferred with the	use of " control octet", so char ire control octets and e corresponding TXC or RXC I	0		SuggestedRen Per comm	2				
or RXC bit set to one"	-				N PRINCIPL e the figure i	Response Status E. is to describe bit orde		ould uneces	sarily complicate the
C/ <b>82</b> SC <b>82</b> Dudek, Michael	P <b>169</b> QLogic Corpor	L <b>45</b> ration	# 826	figure. Implement	the first #2,	the other suggestions	would une	ecessarily co	omplicate the diagram.
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status A			For #3, 2nd	d #1 and 2nd	d #2, see response to	#1 above.		
64B/66B code does no only marginally better	ot have a high transition densit than random data.	y. It relies on th	ne scrambler to provide		SC 82	P17	-	L1	# 184
SuggestedRemedy				Hajduczenia, N		ZTE C Comment Status			
Delete has a high trans Response	Response Status <b>C</b>			Comment Type Why is Fig				? Please pu	t them in a correct order.
ACCEPT. Earlier in the same par	ragraph it is stated that the en-	coding provides	s sufficient transitions so	SuggestedRen Per comm Proposed Resj	ent	Response Status	14/		
C/ <b>82</b> SC <b>82</b> Dudek, Michael	P <b>169</b> QLogic Corpo	L <b>50</b> ration	# 827		ED ACCEPT	,			
Comment Type E	Comment Status <b>D</b> way from here and is out of ord			C/ <b>82</b> S Turner, Edward	SC <b>82</b> d J	P17 Gnoda	7 <b>4</b> Il Limited	L <b>25</b>	# 219
SuggestedRemedy Put it in order and mov				Comment Type Table 82-1		Comment Status e the same thickness	D		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			SuggestedRen Use thicke clauses.	-	e table border and arc	und the tit	le cells, as p	er tables in the other
				Proposed Resp PROPOSE	<i>ponse</i> ED ACCEPT	Response Status	w		
	ed ER/editorial required GR/g ispatched A/accepted R/rejec Subclause, page, line				U/unsatisfied	d Z/withdrawn	CI 82 SC 82		Page 52 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:46

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P802	.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s		Sponsor ballot		
C/ 82 SC 82 Turner, Edward J	P <b>178</b> Gnodal Limited	L <b>35</b>	# 221	<i>Cl</i> <b>82</b> Turner, Ec	SC <b>82</b> dward J	P1 <b>80</b> Gnodal Limited	L <b>42</b>	# 223
Comment Type E Comm Table 82-3. All lines are the same	ent Status <b>D</b> e thickness.			Comment Table	51	Comment Status <b>D</b> re the same thickness.		
SuggestedRemedy Use thicker lines for the table bor clauses.	der and around the tit	e cells, as per ta	bles in the other	Suggested Use th clause	nicker lines for th	ne table border and around the titl	e cells, as per	tables in the other
Proposed Response Respor PROPOSED ACCEPT.	se Status W			'	Response POSED ACCEP	Response Status W		
C/ 82 SC 82 Turner, Edward J	P <b>178</b> Gnodal Limited	L <b>6</b>	# 220	<i>Cl</i> <b>82</b> Turner, Ec	SC <b>82</b> dward J	P <b>187</b> Gnodal Limited	L10	# 224
Comment Type E Comm Table 82-2. All lines are the same	ent Status <b>D</b> e thickness.			<i>Comment</i> Table	51	Comment Status <b>D</b> re the same thickness.		
SuggestedRemedy Use thicker lines for the table bor clauses.	der and around the titl	e cells, as per ta	bles in the other	Suggested Use th clause	nicker lines for th	ne table border and around the titl	e cells, as per	tables in the other
Proposed Response Respor PROPOSED ACCEPT.	se Status W			•	Response POSED ACCEP	Response Status W		
C/ 82 SC 82 Turner, Edward J	P <b>179</b> Gnodal Limited	L15	# 222	<i>Cl</i> <b>82</b> Turner, Ec	SC <b>82</b> dward J	P <b>187</b> Gnodal Limited	L <b>29</b>	# 225
Comment Type E Comm Table 82-4. All lines are the same	ent Status <b>D</b> e thickness.			Comment Table	51	Comment Status <b>D</b> re the same thickness.		
SuggestedRemedy Use thicker lines for the table bor clauses.	der and around the tit	e cells, as per ta	bles in the other	Suggested Use th clause	nicker lines for th	ne table border and around the titl	e cells, as per	tables in the other
Proposed Response Respon PROPOSED ACCEPT.	se Status W				Response POSED ACCEP	Response Status W		

CI 82 SC 82 Page 53 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:46 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments	IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments	Sponsor ballo
CI         82         SC         82         P195           Turner, Edward J         Gnodal Limited	L <b>43</b> # 245	Cl         82         SC         82         P 198         L 35           Turner, Edward J         Gnodal Limited	# 229
Comment Type E Comment Status D PICS table does not have space above Date of statement	ıt	Comment Type E Comment Status D Table line thickness of PICS tables is not same as in other clauses.	
SuggestedRemedy Other PICS Protocol summary tables seem to have a sp this revision, some have a space and some dont. You m summary tables consistent, though the base edition seen in the formatting.	ay want to make all PICS	SuggestedRemedy         Use thicker lines for the table border and around the title cells, as per ta         clauses. Apply to all tables in this subsection.         Proposed Response       Response Status         W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.	bles in the other
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Add a space to make it consistent with other clauses.		C/ 82 SC 82 P198 L4 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited	# 228
CI         82         SC         82         P196           Turner, Edward J         Gnodal Limited	L 25 # 227	Comment Type E Comment Status D Table line thickness of PICS tables is not same as in other clauses.	
Comment Type E Comment Status D Table line thickness of PICS tables is not same as in oth SuggestedRemedy Use thicker lines for the table border and around the title clauses. Apply to all tables in this subsection.		SuggestedRemedy Use thicker lines for the table border and around the title cells, as per ta clauses. Apply to both tables in the subsection. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	bles in the other
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.		C/ 82 SC 822.18.3 P194 L26 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom	# 786
Cl 82       SC 82       P196         Turner, Edward J       Gnodal Limited         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Table line thickness of PICS table is not same as in other         SuggestedRemedy         Use thicker lines for the table border and around the title clauses.         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.		Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       R         A good packet may get corrupted if followed by a runt packet across the aligned as such. Note a runt packet (including S and T) that is 9 octets of problem. Also having a minimum of 15 C's between packets is not a protect the first 8 octets comprise RTYPE = T, the next 8 octets comprise RTYPE agood packet would be corrupted.         SuggestedRemedy       A possible solution is to define a block format to Figure 82-5, "R" to cow This would prevent this block from being labeled as an invalid or error bl Figure 82-15 could be updated in the transition from RX_D to RX_T to in R_TYPE_NEXT = (S + C + R) see ghiasi_02_0110         Response       Response Status       W         REJECT.       The state machine is optimized to prevent corrupted packets from enter at the cost of a few corner cases which might drop what is possibly a good	or greater is not a blem either. PE_NEXT = E X_T. In effect, a er the runt packet. ock. nclude "R",

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/t	echnical E/editorial G/general		01 00	Dama 54 af 400
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE	STATUS: O/open W/written C/close	d U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	C/ 82	Page 54 of 199
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			SC 822.18.3	1/28/2010 6:39:46 AM

Draft 3.0 Comr	nents		IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/	s Ethernet o	comments			Sponsor ballo
C/ <b>82</b> SC <b>82.</b> 1 Hajduczenia, Marek		₽ <b>165</b> E Corp.	L15	# [78	<i>Cl</i> <b>82</b> Hajduczer	SC <b>82.1.2</b> nia, Marek		P165 ZTE Corp.	L <b>26</b>	# 81
"Both 40GBASE- supports data" ch	Comment Stat SE-R and 100GBASE-R R and 100GBASE-R us ange to read "The 64B/	are based e a 64B/66E	3 code. "(2) "The	e 64B/66B code	data t into tv	dition to 64B/6 o multiple lane vo independer		methodology to reads plain old	strange. Can yo	markers and distribute ou clarify it, separating
SuggestedRemedy Per comment					Suggeste Per co	<i>dRemedy</i> omment				
Response ACCEPT IN PRIN #1 is correct as is #2implement sug	-	ıs C			In add	EPT IN PRINC	6B encoding is a		o add alignment	markers and distribute
C/ <b>82</b> SC <b>82.</b> 1 Hajduczenia, Marek		₽ <b>165</b> E Corp.	L16	# 79	In add	dition to 64B/6 oute data to m		e is a methodo	blogy to add alig	nment markers and
Comment Type <b>TI</b> What is 'data strip Examplain, or del	ping' ? This concept is n		not been define	ed anywhere.	•	SC <b>82.1.3</b> nia, Marek		P166 ZTE Corp.	L <b>3</b>	# 82
SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response	Response Statu	ve W			PČS a	e 821 depicts		between the 40		and 100GBASE-R Figure 82-1 says. Aligr
ACCEPT IN PRIM	,		th later sections	(two instances in	Suggester Per co	<i>dRemedy</i> omment				
C/ 82 SC 82.1 Hajduczenia, Marek		P <b>165</b> E Corp.	L18	# 80	Chan	EPT IN PRINC ge:				
receiving PCS to SuggestedRemedy	Comment State PCS to align data from align data across multip	n multiple la	nes. change to r	ead "allows the	PCS a sublay To: Figure sublay	and their asso yers." e 82-1 shows t yers (shown sl	ciated the relationship of haded) with other	the 40GBASE	-R PCS and 10	S and 100GBASE-R 0GBASE-R PCS stem Interconnection
Per comment Response REJECT. Correct as is.	Response Statu	ıs <b>C</b>			(OSI)	reference mo	del."			

Draft 3.0 Comments	3	IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	and 100Gb/s Ethernet comr	nents		Sponsor ballo
C/ 82 SC 82.1.4 Hajduczenia, Marek	P167 ZTE Corp.	L16	# 83	C/ <b>82</b> SC <b>82.2.1</b> Hajduczenia, Marek	Р <b>168</b> ZTE Corp.	L1	# 84
transmission capacity. I per PCS lane to 100G t how many PCS lanes a SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response REJECT.	Comment Status R shange from 10.3125 Gtransfer Likewise, it is not clear how you ransmission capacity. Some te re aggregated to provide the or Response Status W s it states, the number of PCS I	i change from xt needs to be /eral transmiss	5.15625 Gtransfers/s added, which clarifies ion capacity.	"inst:IS_UNITDATA_i.ind descriptions - they are no <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Per comment	Comment Status A JNITDATA_i.request: is reprication ". Remove the second threeded Response Status C		
	multiplication to get the aggreg			Anslow, Peter	Nortel Network		# 436
Anslow, Peter Comment Type <b>E</b> This says "The PMA or SuggestedRemedy Change "defined in 83.2 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W	d in 83.2" but i	t is defined in 83.3	3.42.3 is a bit, not a regis SuggestedRemedy Change "(register 3.42.3) Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	" to "(bit 3.42.3)". Make the <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	equivalent char	nge on Page 181 line 4
C/ 82 SC 82.2.1 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E The notation "TXCn" an and RXC <n></n>	P167 Nortel Networks Comment Status D d "RXCn" is different from that	L48 used elsewher	# 452	Cl 82 SC 82.2.10 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T Provide a reference to the SuggestedRemedy Per comment		L 15	# <u>214</u>
SuggestedRemedy Change "TXCn" to TXC	<n> and "RXCn" to RXC<n></n></n>			Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			distributed to the PCS Lanes as in normal opera To: When the transmit chann distributed to the PCS	el is operating in test-patter ation. el is operating in test-patter		

C/ 82 SC 82.2.10

Lanes as in normal operation (see 82.2.6).

Page 56 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:46 AM

<i>Cl</i> 82 SC 82.2.10 Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>180</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>3</b>	# 213	Cl 82 SC 82. Trowbridge, Stephen	2.11	P <b>180</b> ALCATEL-LU	L <b>22</b> CENT	# 266
shall have the ability to scrambled idle pattern	Comment Status <b>A</b> nall generate and detect a scra generate and detect a scram is selected," > "When a scram lanes." > "and deskew individu	oled idle test pa ibled idle patter	ttern."(2) line 6: "When	PCS lanes, they lock or alignment variables that go for PCSLs (e.g., two sets of lane r	h, while the incornave not been ide marker lock procession with these processory the time you c umbers could be	entified as a partic cesses. The lane i sses will, in gener ount BIP-8 errors, e confusing, and it	cular PCS lane and numbering with r al, be different th you know which would be better	nterface correspond to t the point of the lane espect to the status nan the lane numbering PCSL is which). The not to refer to incoming fied as a particular
Response	Response Status <b>C</b>			PCSL as PCSLs			i yet been laenti	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	,			SuggestedRemedy				
Cl 82 SC 82.2.11 Dambrosia, John Comment Type TR No corresponding PIC bit streams from the pr primitive in order from	can't deskew individual lane in P180 Force 10 Netw Comment Status A statement for this text - It shall imitives by concatenating the A_0.indication to inst:IS_UNIT ndication	L 20 orks Inc I form 4 or 20 bits from the inc		the name of the ' lock" process (m variables, and M service interface BLOCK SYNC" a register names fo locked and aligne should be inserte	PCS alignment n any places in the DIO status registed anes. Note that I nd "ALIGNMENT r alignment seen d. The individual d to alert readers nay be different. a ed on them could	narker lock" proce text plus the actu ers). Before lanes Figure 82-2 appea LOCK" without re n OK since they a lane lock variable that the Rx servin A mapping variable	ess to simply the al state diagrams can be identified ars to be OK as it eferring to them a re not called PC as are just called ce interface lane	e lock" process, and "Alignment marker s Fig 82-10, 82-11, d as PCSLs, they are t simply says "LANE as PCSLs. The MDIO SLs until they are "Lane lock". A note numbering and PCSL ce interface lanes and
to inst:IS_UNITDATA_	19.indication.			ACCEPT IN PRI				
SuggestedRemedy					-	gustlin_04_0110.		
add corresponding pic	statement							
Response	Response Status C							
shall statement. Change: It shall form 4 or 20 bit To:	LE. cessary because the bit strear streams from the primitives b D bit streams from the primitive	v concatenating						

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/         82         SC         82.2.12         P180         L 27         # 215           Hajduczenia, Marek         ZTE Corp.         ZTE Cor	C/         82         SC         82.2.14         P 181         L 12         # 459           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks         Vortel Networks         Vortel Networks         Vortel Networks         Vortel Networks
Comment Type         T         Comment Status         A           (1) "PCS lane deskew" > "PCS lane deskew process"(2) in line 29: "Once the receiver has PCS lane lock on each PCS lane (4 or 20 lanes), then the process of deskewing the" > "Once the receiver achieves PCS lane lock on all PCS lanes (4 or 20 lanes, for 40GBASE- R and 100GBASE-R, respectively), the process of deskewing "(3) in line 31: " After alignment marker lock" > " After the alignment marker lock"(4) in line 32: "is achieved, then any lane to lane skew can be removed as shown in the PCS deskew state diagram in Figure 8212." > "is achieved, then any the intra-lane skew between any two PCS lanes can be removed as shown in Figure 8212."	Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         There are 20 BIP error counter registers 3.90 through 3.109         SuggestedRemedy         Change "(registers 3.90 through 3.99)" to "(registers 3.90 through 3.109)"         Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       See comment #748, register changes to 3.200-3.219.
SuggestedRemedy Per comment	C/         82         SC         82.2.14         P 181         L 14         # 105           Marris, Arthur         Cadence Design Syste         Cadence Design Syste         Design Syste         Design Syste
Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       #1 Correct as is, would be incosistent if this change was made.         #2:Change to: "Once the receiver achieves PCS lane lock on all PCS lanes (4 lanes for 40GBASE-R or 20 lanes for 100GBASE-R), the process of deskewing"         #3: Correct as is.         #4 Change to:         "is achieved, then all inter-lane skew is removed as shown in the PCS deskew state diagram in Figure 8212."	Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Should the 3 in BIP3 be a subscript?       SuggestedRemedy         Make the 3 in BIP3 a subscript.       Make the 3 in BIP3 a subscript.         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       Dupe of #460
C/ 82         SC 82.2.14         P180         L13         # 748           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco Systems, Inc.         Cisco Systems, Inc.	C/         82         SC         82.2.14         P 181         L 14         # 460           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks         Vortel Networks         Vortel Networks         Vortel Networks         Vortel Networks
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> Change register addresses according to HB_17. Note that the register address range is currently wrong.	Comment Type E Comment Status D BIP3 should have a subscripted "3"
SuggestedRemedy Change register addresses (currently 3.90-3.99) to 3.200-219. Also in Table 82-7, p.187	SuggestedRemedy Change the 3 in BIP3 to be a subscript. Proposed Response Response Status W
ResponseResponse StatusWACCEPT.See also #720 (AKA HB_17) and related is #459.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Duplicate of #105

# IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 82 SC 82.2.14 Gustlin, Mark	P <b>181</b> Cisco System	L <b>7</b> ns, Inc.	# 90	<i>Cl</i> <b>82</b> Dawe, Pie	SC <b>82.2.17</b> rs J G	P 181 Independant	L <b>33</b>	# 285
Comment Type E Change: due to bit error for exa to: due to a bit error for e SuggestedRemedy as above Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	xample Response Status W			used c which very g BIP (tł apply Also it while t corres	echanisms that can be ld be unambiguous red block counter is not ice monitoring to use ame definition of BER <i>r</i> ice." nt 2 for an isolated error 3IP checker will n, and option 1 below			
				accord error o Optior expect "Wher with a error o to "Wher errors	1: no change to ding to 82.2.14 is count determines 2: To bring the ted de-facto defin operating in sc mismatch. Any counter."	e silicon: Add text to 82.2.17 lin the preferred measure for BEI the BER for compliance purpor definition of BER in scrambled nition of errors in service, it wor rambled idle test pattern, the te mismatch indicates an error an rambled idle test pattern, the te 2.14.".	R." At 82.2.14 oses.". idle test patte uld be desirabl est-pattern erro d shall increment est-pattern erro	line 14, add "The BIP rn mode in line with the e to change: or counter counts blocks ent the test-pattern
				Comm error c	PT IN PRINCIP nent #461 has n counters, with the	Response Status <b>C</b> E. hore clearly defined how to dete ese changes the BER derived f r error rates of interest. So no r	rom scrambled	d idles or BIP are

CI 82	SC 82.2.17	P 181	L 38	# 461
Anslow.	Peter	Nortel Networks		

#### Comment Type T Comment Status A

This says "the scrambled idle test-pattern checker observes the output from the descrambler", but But according to Figure 82-4, the sync bits bypass the descrambler. So, are the sync bits checked for errors or not? To make this checker and the BIP checker cover the same bits we should explicitly include the sync bits. Also the relationship between this count and BER is not obvious. See associated presentation anslow\_04\_0110.

## SuggestedRemedy

Change "the scrambled idle test-pattern checker observes the output from the descrambler. When the output of the descrambler is the all idle pattern, a match is detected." to "the scrambled idle test-pattern checker observes the sync header and the output from the descrambler. When the sync header and the output of the descrambler. When the sync header and the output of the descrambler is the all idle pattern, a match is detected." add at the end of this paragraph, "Because of the error multiplication characteristics of the descrambler, the incoming bit error ratio can be estimated by dividing the 66-bit block error ratio by a factor of 124." Also, add at the end of 82.2.14: "The incoming bit error ratio can be estimated by dividing the 3344."

Response

ACCEPT.

Response Status C

See anslow\_04\_0110.

CI 82	SC 82.2.18.2	P182	L <b>6</b>	# 203
Hajduczenia,	Marek	ZTE Corp.		

## Comment Type TR Comment Status A

This comment is against the whole subclause 82.2.18.2(1) Each variabel seems to have a different style of definition, which impairs reading and complicates analysis - please make them consistent.(2) To simplify analysis of state diagrams, it would be nice to include variable type information and its size as well. (3) What is "Boolean indication" ? Do you mean "Boolean flag" ?(4) definitio of am\_status is less than readable - please consider using an equation if needed(5) in am\_valid - who is this "we" ??(6) general comment: when number of bits is used as an adjective, it should be hyphenated e.g. 66-bit variable. Please scrube the draft for such occurrences(7) "66b" should be replaced with "66-bit"

## SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

#### Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

1- Make all Boolean variables consistent, not "Boolean indication", "Boolean", only "Boolean variable".

- 2 Necessary information is included.
- 3 See #1
- 4 Change to:

"A Boolean variable that is true when all PCS lanes are in am\_lock and false when at least one PCS lane is not in am\_lock."

5 - this sentence is being deleted by comment #359

6- Make this change throughout clause 82

7 - Make this change throughout clause 82, similar to comment #203.

CI 82	SC 82.2.18.2.1	P <b>182</b>	L18	# 462
Anslow, Pet	er	Nortel Networks		

Comment Type E Comment Status D

the other instances of "Local Fault ordered set" in this subclause have an underscore between "ordered" and "set"

## SuggestedRemedy

Change "Local Fault ordered set" to "Local Fault ordered\_set"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.1

Draft 3.0	Comments
-----------	----------

C/ 82         SC 82.2.18.2.2         P 182         L 30         # 463           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks         463	C/         82         SC         82.2.18.2.3         P184         L 23         # 204           Hajduczenia, Marek         ZTE Corp.         ZTE
Comment Type         E         Comment Status         D           In "am_lock <x>" and also "where x=0:3 for 40GBASE-R and x=0:19 for 100GBASE-R" x is a variable and so should be in italic font. Also applies to other instances of <x>. Also, in "am_lock<x>" the font of "<x>" is Arial 8 pt (Should be Times New Roman 10 pt).</x></x></x></x>	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> two sync bits bypass the scrambler > should read "two bits of the sync header bypass th scrambler" - it is not clear what these sync bits are., Sync header however is quite well defined.
SuggestedRemedy show "x" in italic font. 8 instances on this page, 4 instances in Table 82-7, 8 instances in Figure 82-10, 7 instances in Figure 82-11, use correct base font for " <x>" in "am_lock<x>" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.</x></x>	SuggestedRemedy per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change to:
C/ 82     SC 82.2.18.2.2     P 182     L 45     # 359       Grazier, Howard M     Broadcom       Comment Type     ER     Comment Status     A	"The two-bit sync header bypasses the scrambler." <i>Cl</i> 82 SC 82.2.18.2.3 <i>P</i> 184 <i>L</i> 40 # 205 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.
Delete the sentence. The information is already conveyed by the text of 82.2.1, page 169 line 10.         Response       Response Status         ACCEPT.	"Valid control characters are specified in Table 821." SuggestedRemedy Table 82-1 defines clearly what they are composed of. No need to redefine. Per commer Response Response Status C
E/     82     SC     82.2.18.2.2     P 183     L 12     # 286       awe, Piers J G     Independant       Comment Type     TR     Comment Status     R	ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 82 SC 82.2.18.2.4 <i>P</i> 185 <i>L</i> 20 # 168 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.
To future-proof the PCS, repeat the error propagation analysis for worst CRn, 25G lanes and 40G lanes, not just example (not worst) KR error propagation statistics. Remember that unlike KR, CRn is for multi-vendor use, not just for closed systems, and "adequate" MTTFPA must be VERY good indeed. A packet falsely accepted is a much more serious issue than a dropped packet.	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> 66b should be "66-bit". Scrub the draft accordingly. Similarly, "64b" should be "64-bit". SuggestedRemedy Per comment
SuggestedRemedy Find the MTTFPA at the hi_ber limit using conservative estimates for error propagation, for CRn, 25G lanees, and 40G lanes. If necessary, change the hi_ber limit by changing the	Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT.       Make this change in clause 82, related to comment #203.
ber_cnt limit. Response Response Status W REJECT. Appropriate MTTFPA analysis has been done for the PHYs and interfaces that are part of	Note: Corrected the page to 185 line 20.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.4 Page 61 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:46 AM

C/ 82         SC 82.2.18.2.4         P185         L 22         # [170]           Hajduczenia, Marek         ZTE Corp.         ZTE Corp	C/         82         SC         82.2.18.2.4         P185         L 31         # 465           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks         Nortel Networks         Nortel Networks         Nortel Networks         Nortel Networks
comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A
<ul> <li>(1) "This is always reset to zero if a" &gt; "This counter is always reset when a"(2) "8-bit counter. When the receiver is in normal mode, errored_block_count counts once for each</li> </ul>	This is now a 22 bit counter (see 45.2.3.16b). Note that there is another comment to correct Table 82-7
time" > "When the receiver is in normal mode, this 8-bit counter counts once for each	SuggestedRemedy
time"(3) "16-bit counter. When the receiver is in test-pattern mode, the test_pattern_error_count counts" > "When the receiver is in test-pattern mode, this 16-bit counter counts"	Change "8-bit counter." to "A 22-bit counter." and change "MDIO register bits 3.33.7:0." to "MDIO register bits 3.33.7:0 and 3.45.13:0"
uggestedRemedy Per comment	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
esponse Response Status C ACCEPT.	C/ 82         SC 82.2.18.2.4         P185         L 34         # 171           Hajduczenia, Marek         ZTE Corp.         ZTE Corp.         Te Corp.
Note: Corrected the page to 185 line 22.	Comment Type T Comment Status R the current 64 or 1024 block window - how is this value set? Perhaps a reference would help.
82         SC 82.2.18.2.4         P 185         L 25         # 464           Islow, Peter         Nortel Networks	SuggestedRemedy Per comment.
omment Type T Comment Status A	
This is now a 22 bit counter (see response to comment 217 against D 2.2). Note that there is another comment to correct Table 45-96a	Response Response Status C REJECT.
is another comment to correct Table 45-96a	•
is another comment to correct Table 45-96a uggestedRemedy Change "A 20-bit counter that counts" to "A 22-bit counter that counts" and change "and 3.44.13:0" to "and 3.44.15:0"	REJECT. The numbers were chosen from: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/ba/public/nov08/gustlin_03_1108.pdf Once the numbers are chosen, the reasons for choosing the numbers do not appear in the standard.
is another comment to correct Table 45-96a suggestedRemedy Change "A 20-bit counter that counts" to "A 22-bit counter that counts" and change "and 3.44.13:0" to "and 3.44.15:0" Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	REJECT. The numbers were chosen from: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/ba/public/nov08/gustlin_03_1108.pdf Once the numbers are chosen, the reasons for choosing the numbers do not appear in the standard. Note: Corrected the page to 185 line 34.
is another comment to correct Table 45-96a  uggestedRemedy Change "A 20-bit counter that counts" to "A 22-bit counter that counts" and change "and 3.44.13:0" to "and 3.44.15:0" esponse Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Dupe of #106	REJECT. The numbers were chosen from: http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/ba/public/nov08/gustlin_03_1108.pdf Once the numbers are chosen, the reasons for choosing the numbers do not appear in the standard.
is another comment to correct Table 45-96a UggestedRemedy Change "A 20-bit counter that counts" to "A 22-bit counter that counts" and change "and 3.44.13:0" to "and 3.44.15:0" esponse Response C ACCEPT. Dupe of #106 R82 SC 82.2.18.2.4 P185 L25 # 106	REJECT.         The numbers were chosen from:         http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/ba/public/nov08/gustlin_03_1108.pdf         Once the numbers are chosen, the reasons for choosing the numbers do not appear in the standard.         Note: Corrected the page to 185 line 34.         C/       82       SC       82.2.18.3       P185       L 54       # 169
is another comment to correct Table 45-96a uggestedRemedy Change "A 20-bit counter that counts" to "A 22-bit counter that counts" and change "and 3.44.13:0" to "and 3.44.15:0" esponse Response Status C ACCEPT. Dupe of #106 <b>82</b> SC 82.2.18.2.4 P185 L25 # 106 arris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste comment Type T Comment Status A	REJECT.         The numbers were chosen from:         http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/ba/public/nov08/gustlin_03_1108.pdf         Once the numbers are chosen, the reasons for choosing the numbers do not appear in the standard.         Note: Corrected the page to 185 line 34.         C/       82       SC 82.2.18.3       P185       L 54       # 169         Hajduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.       TE Corp.
is another comment to correct Table 45-96a aggestedRemedy Change "A 20-bit counter that counts" to "A 22-bit counter that counts" and change "and 3.44.13:0" to "and 3.44.15:0" esponse Response Status C ACCEPT. Dupe of #106 82 SC 82.2.18.2.4 P185 L 25 # 106 arris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste	REJECT.         The numbers were chosen from:         http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/ba/public/nov08/gustlin_03_1108.pdf         Once the numbers are chosen, the reasons for choosing the numbers do not appear in the standard.         Note: Corrected the page to 185 line 34. <i>Cl</i> 82       SC 82.2.18.3 <i>P</i> 185 <i>L</i> 54       # 169         Hajduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Type</i> T <i>Comment Status</i> A         It is not 'sync field' but 'sync header', which has been in use in previous clauses in 802.3.
is another comment to correct Table 45-96a uggestedRemedy Change "A 20-bit counter that counts" to "A 22-bit counter that counts" and change "and 3.44.13:0" to "and 3.44.15:0" esponse Response Status C ACCEPT. Dupe of #106 / 82 SC 82.2.18.2.4 P185 L 25 # 106 / 82 SC 82.2.18.2 P185 L 25 # 106 / 82 SC 82.2.18.2 P185 L 25 # 106 / 82 SC 82.2.18.2 P185 L 25 # 106 / 82 SC 82.2 P185 L 25 # 106 / 82 SC 82 SC 82.2 P185 L 25 # 106 / 82 SC 82 SC 82.2 P185 L 25 # 106 / 82 SC 8	REJECT.         The numbers were chosen from:         http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/ba/public/nov08/gustlin_03_1108.pdf         Once the numbers are chosen, the reasons for choosing the numbers do not appear in the standard.         Note: Corrected the page to 185 line 34.         C/ 82       SC 82.2.18.3         P185       L 54         Hajduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.         Comment Type       T         Comment Status       A         It is not 'sync field' but 'sync header', which has been in use in previous clauses in 802.3.         Scrub the draft, since this new term is used in several other locations.
is another comment to correct Table 45-96a uggestedRemedy Change "A 20-bit counter that counts" to "A 22-bit counter that counts" and change "and 3.44.13:0" to "and 3.44.15:0" esponse Response Status C ACCEPT. Dupe of #106 <b>1 82</b> SC <b>82.2.18.2.4</b> P185 L 25 # 106 <b>1 98</b> Sc <b>82.2.18.2.4</b> P185 L 25 # 106 <b>1 98</b> Sc <b>82.2.18.2.4</b> P185 L 25 # 106 <b>1 98</b> Sc <b>82.2.18</b> Sc <b>82.2</b>	REJECT.         The numbers were chosen from:         http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/ba/public/nov08/gustlin_03_1108.pdf         Once the numbers are chosen, the reasons for choosing the numbers do not appear in the standard.         Note: Corrected the page to 185 line 34.         C/ 82       SC 82.2.18.3         P185       L 54         Hajduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.         Comment Type       T         Comment Status       A         It is not 'sync field' but 'sync header', which has been in use in previous clauses in 802.3.         Scrub the draft, since this new term is used in several other locations.         SuggestedRemedy
is another comment to correct Table 45-96a uggestedRemedy Change "A 20-bit counter that counts" to "A 22-bit counter that counts" and change "and 3.44.13:0" to "and 3.44.15:0" esponse Response Status C ACCEPT. Dupe of #106 <b>1 82</b> SC 82.2.18.2.4 P185 L 25 # 106 <b>1 98</b> T Comment Status A This says ber_count is 20 bits but Clause 45 in 45.2.3.16a on page 75 line 5 says the counter is 22 bits. uggestedRemedy Please chack whether this counter is 20 or 22 bits and reconcile with Clause 45. If it is 22 bits also need to change 3.44.13:0 to 3.44.15:0 Also regardless of counter size add 3.44.?:0 to BER entry in Table 82-7.	REJECT.       The numbers were chosen from:         http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/ba/public/nov08/gustlin_03_1108.pdf         Once the numbers are chosen, the reasons for choosing the numbers do not appear in the standard.         Note: Corrected the page to 185 line 34. <i>Cl</i> 82       SC 82.2.18.3 <i>P</i> 185 <i>L</i> 54 <i>H</i> ajduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Type</i> T <i>Comment Status</i> A         It is not 'sync field' but 'sync header', which has been in use in previous clauses in 802.3.         Scrub the draft, since this new term is used in several other locations.         SuggestedRemedy         Per comment. <i>Response Response</i> Status
is another comment to correct Table 45-96a <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Change "A 20-bit counter that counts" to "A 22-bit counter that counts" and change "and 3.44.13:0" to "and 3.44.15:0" <i>Pesponse</i> ACCEPT. Dupe of #106 <b>24 82</b> SC <b>82.2.18.2.4</b> P185 L25 # 106 <b>25</b> P185 L25 # 106 <b>26</b> P185 L25 # 106 <b>27</b> P185 L25 # 106 P185 L25 # 106 P185 P185 L25 # 106 P185 P18	REJECT.         The numbers were chosen from:         http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/ba/public/nov08/gustlin_03_1108.pdf         Once the numbers are chosen, the reasons for choosing the numbers do not appear in the standard.         Note: Corrected the page to 185 line 34.         C/ 82       SC 82.2.18.3       P185       L 54       # 169         Hajduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         It is not 'sync field' but 'sync header', which has been in use in previous clauses in 802.3. Scrub the draft, since this new term is used in several other locations.         SuggestedRemedy       Per comment.         Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT.       ACCEPT.

# IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

<i>CI</i> <b>82</b> Hajduczeni	SC <b>82.2.18.3</b> a, Marek	P186 ZTE Corp.	L10	# 176	C/ <b>82</b> Barrass, ⊦	SC 82.2.18. lugh	3	P186 Cisco Syster	L <b>14</b> ms, Inc.	# 752
Comment 1	-	mment Status A			Comment	0	Comment S			
100GB	ASE-R or Table 823	natch each other and a for 40GBASE-Rchange	e to read "PCS la	ane, the markers must		ordance with co ers for debug pu		it would be u	seful to include a	set of PCS mapping
		lues specified in Table ach other after the mar			Suggestee	Remedy				
Suggested										process achieves loc t corresponds to the
Per cor	-								ping register (3.40	
Response	Res	ponse Status C				•	ite Table 82-7 a	nd PICS.		
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.				Response		Response S	tatus C		
Onad	ven PCS lane the ma	irkers must match each	other and an er	ntry from Table 82-2 for		PT IN PRINCIP	LE.	ent #266.		
100GB	ASE-R or Table 82-3									
	BASE-R.				numb	er of the PCS la priate lane map	ne received on a	a particular la	ine of the PMA se	ane, it shall record the ervice interface in the o update Table 82-7
Note: C	Corrected the page to ?	186 line 10.			CI 82	SC 82.2.18.	3	P186	L <b>22</b>	# 172
CI 82	SC 82.2.18.3	P186	L11	# <u>1</u> 77	Hajduczer	ia, Marek		ZTE Corp.		
lajduczeni	a, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Comment	Туре Т	Comment S	Status A		
	at the BIP3 and BIP7			hen making a match to		s is used and in ne base unit cor		ations, the sa	ame value is refer	red to as "1250us" -
the ma	rkers when matching r	ge to "Note that the BIF narkers to each other c ble 823 for 40GBASE	or to possible val		Suggestee Per co	<i>Remedy</i> mment.				
Suggested					Response		Response S	tatus C		
Per cor						PT IN PRINCIP				
esponse	Res	ponse Status <b>C</b>			Chang	e all instances	to 1.25 ms.			
REJEC Text is					Note:	Corrected the p	age to 186 line :	22.		
	1.5.00									
1010. 1										
10101 (										
1010. (										

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3

Draft 3.0 Comments	6	IEEE P8	E P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments						Sponsor ballo	
C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>186</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>34</b>	# 173	<i>Cl</i> <b>82</b> Hajducze	SC 82 nia, Marel		P <b>169</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>35</b>	# 178	
Comment Type <b>T</b> as specified in these sta	Comment Status A ate diagrams. > "as specified i	n the respectiv	e state diagrams."	Comment Chan		-	Comment Status A ne rules in" to "defined in"			
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				S <i>uggeste</i> Per c	<i>dRemedy</i> omment					
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCE			Response Status C			
Note: Corrected the pag				<i>Cl</i> <b>82</b> Hajducze	SC 8: nia. Marel		P <b>169</b> ZTE Corp.	L 39	# 179	
C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3 Dawe, Piers J G	P 186 Independant	L <b>8</b>	# <u>2</u> 87	Comment		т	Comment Status R			
PCS manipulates it. SuggestedRemedy	arries a stream of bits (like the stream for a given PCS lane"			Suggeste	dRemedy se clarify v		text mean. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			REJE The s		nt senter	, nces explain and elaborate on	this text.		
Cl 82 SC 82.2.18.3 Muller, Shimon	P <b>190</b> Sun Microsyste	L <b>13</b>	# 279	C/ <b>82</b> Hajducze	SC <b>8</b> : nia, Marel	-	P <b>169</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>48</b>	# 180	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A ble assignment is state AM_R			chang	elationshi	relatior	Comment Status A k bit positions to XLGMII/CGI ship of block bit positions rela			
SuggestedRemedy Replace "am" and "nvld	_cnt <= 0" with "am_invld_cnt	<= 0".		<i>Suggeste</i> Per c	<i>dRemedy</i> omment					
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Response ACCE			Response Status C			

CI 82 SC 82.2.3

Draft 3.0 Com	nments
---------------	--------

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 82         SC 82.2.3         P 169         L 52         # 181           Hajduczenia, Marek         ZTE Corp.         ZTE Corp.         Image: Corp.	C/         82         SC         82.2.3.2         P 173         L 24         # 454           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>R</b> Note 6 on page 169 should be rewritten. It is clear how many lanes are used in specific PMDs, so it is also possible to define clearly what the run lengths are for individual PMD. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type         T         Comment Status         A           In Figure 82-3 the bits of inst:IS_UNITDATA_1.request are shown as TxB<66> to         TxB<131> and similarly for inst:IS_UNITDATA_3.request, inst:IS_UNITDATA_19.request.           This bit numbering would be appropriate for a serial interface where one block is sent after another, but is inappropriate where the lanes are sent in parallel at the same time. Likewise
Per comment Response Response Status C REJECT. The footnote gives one example, which is sufficient information to extrapolate the run length for any current or future pmd or interface.	for Figure 82-4. SuggestedRemedy Renumber all blocks to be from TxB<0> to TxB<65> in both figures. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Corrected the page to 169.           C/ 82         SC 82.2.3         P170         L1         # [182]           Hajduczenia, Marek         ZTE Corp.         ZTE Corp.	Renumber only figure 82-4, 82-3 is ok as is and correlates with section 82.2.9.C/82SC82.2.3.2P 173L 54#455Anslow, PeterNortel Networks
Comment Type         T         Comment Status         A           8 data octets. See 82.2.3.3 for information on how blocks containing control characters are mapped. Note that the sync header is generated by the encoder and bypasses the scramblerchange to "8 data octets. 82.2.3.3 contains information on how blocks containing control characters are mapped (into what??). Note that sync headers are generated by the 64B/66B encoder and bypass the scrambler"Also a question: it says that the "blocks containing control characters are mapped " - it is not clear what they are mapped into. Please clarify	Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Figure 82-3 appears on Page 173 after both Figures 82-4 and 82-5         SuggestedRemedy         Correct the order of the figures.         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.         Dupe of #827.
SuggestedRemedy         Per comment         Response       Response Status         C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Change:         "See 82.2.3.3 for information on how blocks containing control characters are mapped."         to:         "See 82.2.3.3 for information on how blocks containing control characters are mapped into 66-bit blocks."	CI 82       SC 82.2.3.3       P172       L3       # 186         Hajduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.          Comment Type       T       Comment Status       R         In Figure 82-5, what does the "Input data" mean? is this the "xGMII data" as received from the particular MII type interface ??       SuggestedRemedy         Please consider changing the name "Input Data" to "Data from CGMII/XLGMII"         Response       Response Status       C

C/ 82 SC 82.2.3.3 Page 65 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:47 AM

Draft 3.0 Comment	S	IEEE Pa	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/	s Ethernet cor	nments		Sponsor ball
C/ 82 SC 82.2.3.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>172</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>31</b>	# 187	C/ <b>82</b> Hajducze	SC 82.2.3.4 nia, Marek	P <b>172</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>46</b>	# 189
deviation from the enco SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Response REJECT. There is already a shal appropriate PICS (C3-		npliant implem g rules (page 1	entation. 72, line 1) and	Suggeste Pleas Response ACCI Chan "The chara	7-bit C code wi dRemedy e provide a refere EPT IN PRINCIPL ge:	100GBASE-R PCS encode e	e defined.	r control
OTN mapping.         2/ 82       SC 82.2.3.3         Inslow, Peter	as to ensure that future revisio P172 Nortel Network	L33	# 453	chara C/ <b>82</b>	40GBASE-R and acters into a 7-bit of SC <b>82.2.3.4</b> nia, Marek	100GBASE-R PCS encode e control code" P172 ZTE Corp.	each of the other	r control # <u>190</u>
SuggestedRemedy Change "The mapping 40GBASE-R PCS bloc PCS from being mappi	Comment Status A er and hence cannot be "mapp of 40GBASE-R PCS into OPU cks into OPU3 specified". Also ed" to "may prevent 40GBASE been added to the Bibliograph	I3 specified" to change "may p -R PCS blocks	revent 40GBASE-R from being mapped".	Comment maint requir Suggeste per co	<i>Type</i> <b>T</b> ain the Hamming red Hamming dist <i>dRemedy</i> comment	Comment Status A distance: 0x00, 0x2D, 0x33 a ance: 0x00, 0x2D, 0x33 and (		e to "maintain the
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			There	EPT IN PRINCIPL e is no required ha	Response Status C E. mming distance, though the	bigger the bette	r.clarify as follows:
	P172 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R ol characters are supported by e control characters can be use			maint To: "Ther	e are four unused ain the Hamming e are four unused	distance: 0x00, 0x2D, 0x33 a		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment.								
Response REJECT. The subsequent senter control characters are	Response Status <b>C</b> nces explain the relatioinship a in Table 82-1.	nd the differen	t representaitons of the					

# IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 82 SC 82.2.3.4 Gustlin, Mark	4 P173 Cisco System	L1 Is. Inc.	# 3	C/ <b>82</b> Hajduczer	SC <b>82.2.3.7</b> nia. Marek	P <b>174</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>48</b>	# 193
Comment Type E Figure 82-3 is out of	Comment Status D	-,		Comment This c	<i>Type</i> <b>T</b> omment is appl	Comment Status A icable to Clause 82.2.3.7/8/9/		
SuggestedRemedy Put the figure in orde Proposed Response	r. Response Status W			interfa the"sh page	ice names)? (2) would read "In bo 175"and shall de	with Clause 49 apart from the In 82.2.3.10, line 26, page 17 oth the 64B/66B encoder and d elete only one of the two."shou '(4) in 82.2.3.9, line 21, page 2	5"For both the e decoder, the"(3) Ild read "and on	encoder and decoder, in 82.2.3.9, line 20, e of the two ordered
PROPOSED ACCEF Dupe of #827.	ΥТ.			for clo	ck"should read	"Signal ordered_sets shall not		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.3.	5 P174	L <b>9</b>	# 191	Suggester per co	dRemedy mment			
lajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Response		Response Status C		
control character cor contains an invalid va contains a value not	d contains an invalid value (on tains a value not in Table 82 alue (one not included in Figure included in Table 821."	I.change to rea	d "b) The block type field	#2 - M #3 - C "and c	lake this change hange to:	le due to the 8B alignment cor e. wo ordered sets may be delete		grintent in clause 49.
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				C/ 82	SC 82.2.4	P175	L33	# 200
Response	Response Status <b>C</b>			Hajduczer		ZTE Corp.		
ACCEPT.				Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.3.	6 <i>P</i> 174	L <b>27</b>	# 192			ata transfer is encoded into ea ansfer is encoded into one 66		e to read "One
lajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Suggeste	dRemedy			
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			per co	mment			
used in the same cla either Idle, idle or idle	ins definition of control codes. use? If so, please make it consections character is used. Is this the ing? Be consistent at least acre	sistent. (2) in su same ? If so, w	ubsequent sections, hy multiply names for	Response ACCE		Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment.								
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI	Response Status <b>C</b> PLE.							

#1 is addressed by comment #189, for #2 scrub clause 82 and use "idle control character".

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ <b>82</b> SC <b>82.2.4</b> Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>175</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>39</b>	# 201	C/ 82 SC 82.2.6 Anslow, Peter	P <b>176</b> Nortel Networl	L <b>5</b> ks	# 456
to handling clock comp to accommodate the ir make sure that there is	Comment Status R les to delete in order to make ro pensation. Idles or sequence of nsertion of the 66b alignment m s enough idle between subseque w is that achieved? There is no	rdered sets are narkers.This meau uent frames to s	removed, if necessary, ans that MAC must	, SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D Annex 83A for XLAUI/CAUI ( 83A)" to "(see Annex 83A and Response Status W	Annex 83B)"	
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				PROPOSED ACCE	PT.		
Response REJECT. There is sufficient desi	Response Status W ctiption of the minimum IPG in minimum IPG for P802.3ba.	table 4-2. In ad <sup>,</sup>	dition subclause	Cl <b>82</b> SC <b>82.2.7</b> Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type <b>T</b>	P <b>176</b> ZTE Corp. Comment Status <b>A</b>	L <b>3</b> 1	# 196
<ul> <li>82 SC 82.2.5</li> <li>ajduczenia, Marek</li> <li>comment Type T</li> <li>to the scrambler used</li> <li>read "to the scrambler</li> <li>uggestedRemedy</li> <li>per comment</li> </ul>	P175 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R in Clause 49, see 49.2.6 for the used in 10GBASE-R, see 49.2	L 50 e definition of th 2.6 for details."	# 202	SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Change the sentence "These markers inte	e to: rrupt any data transfer that is alr	ready in progres	
esponse REJECT. Corrrect as is.	Response Status C			<i>Cl</i> 82 SC 82.2.7 Hajduczenia, Marek	o be inserted into all PCS lanes P176 ZTE Corp.	L <b>33</b>	# 197
/ <b>82</b> SC <b>82.2.6</b> ajduczenia, Marek	Р <b>176</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>24</b>	# 194	Comment Type <b>T</b> periodically deleting where IPG delectior	Comment Status R IPG from the XLGMII/CGMII dat		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI **82** SC **82.2.7**  Page 68 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:47 AM

82 SC 82.2.7	P176	L <b>36</b>	# 198	CI 82	SC 82.2.7	P <b>176</b>	L <b>51</b>	# 195
ajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Hajduczen	nia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
	Comment Status A ers are removed before deco d the alignment markers are PCS."			correc	gure 82-7 breaks	Comment Status <b>A</b> the text block into two, please settings for orpahns on this parket insertion function"		
uggestedRemedy Per comment				Suggested Per co	dRemedy			
ACCEPT.	Response Status C			#1 - fix	PT IN PRINCIP the text break.			
Note: Corrected the line	to 36.			#2 - 1 (	don't believe tha	t the 'function' is required.		
/ 82 SC 82.2.7 rowbridge, Stephen	P <b>176</b> ALCATEL-LUC	L <b>48</b> CENT	# 259	<i>CI</i> <b>82</b> Hajduczen	SC <b>82.2.7</b> nia, Marek	P <b>176</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>54</b>	# 199
omment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
In Figure 82-7, "PCS lan	e n" should be "PCS lane n-	1"			efore descramb	ling is performed. change to re	ad "data lanes	before descrambling
uggestedRemedy per comment				Suggested	dRemedy			
•				per co	omment			
esponse ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response		Response Status C		
/ 82 SC 82.2.7 nslow, Peter	P176 Nortel Network Comment Status D	L <b>48</b> s	# 457	Chang marke	ers are not scran	LE. nbled in order to allow the recei before descrambling is perforn		alignment markers ar
In Figure 82-7 the lane n numbered from 0 to n in	narkers are numbered from ( contrast to Figure 82-8 when			marke	w the PCS lane	nbled in order to allow the recei s and reassemble the aggregat		
uggestedRemedy In Figure 82-7 change th 1"	e highest numbered PCS la	ne from "PCS L	ane n" to "PCS Lane n-	C/ <b>82</b> Hajduczen	SC <b>82.2.7</b> nia, Marek	P <b>177</b> ZTE Corp.	L 32	# 206
roposed Response	Response Status W			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status R		
PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dupe of #259.					ge caption of Fig what is present	ure 82-9 to read "Alignment ma ed in the figure.	arker structure"	- this seems to bette
				Suggested	dRemedy			
				Per co	omment.			
				Response		Response Status C		
				REJE	CT. at is accurate.			

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn C/ 82 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line SC 82.2.7 Page 69 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:47 AM

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 82 SC 82.2.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	7 P177 ZTE Corp.	L <b>42</b>	# 207	<i>Cl</i> <b>82</b> Hajduczen	SC <b>82.2.8</b> ia, Marek	P <b>178</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>50</b>	# 209
is used in Figure 82 "After the alignmen alignment markers SuggestedRemedy Per comment	Comment Status <b>A</b> he example shown in ine 42 was 2-9. Similar comment about exam t markers are added, the data is s are inserted, data is sent to PMA	ple on page 179 sent to the PMA	9, line 36(2) In line 44,	fast de to upd field is accura is only Consid	BIP field is adductermination of t ate error counter added to each ate and fast deter used to update dering that BIP f	Comment Status <b>A</b> ed to each PCS Lane alignmer he bit error ratio of a given PCS rs, no state machines use this PCS Lane alignment marker o ermination of the bit error ratio e error counters. No state mach ields are quite spaced apart, th erms of efficiency.	S Lane. This in information."sl n positions 3 a on a given PCS ines use this ir	formation is only used hould read as"A BIP nd 7. This allows & Lane. This information hformation." (2)
Response	Response Status C					sinis of encloredy.		
	CIPLE. Ild be more confusing since octet	s are send lsb to	o msb. Leave as is.	Suggested Per co	mment			
#2 - Change to: "After alignment mathe PCS"	arkers are inserted, data is sent to	o the PMA or FE	C sublayer adjacent to	Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status <b>C</b> LE.		
Cl 82 SC 82.2. Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T In table 82-2, note after the word "Enc	ZTE Corp. Comment Status A (a) is added only to column 2 and	L3 should be also	# 208	A PCS accura used to	ite and fast mea o update error o	s carried in each PCS Lane ali sure of the bit error ratio of a g ounters, no state machines us provement in the comment. No	given PCS Lane e this informati	e. This information is on.
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				C/ <b>82</b> Hajduczen	SC <b>82.2.8</b> ia, Marek	P <b>179</b> ZTE Corp.	L12	# 211
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Comment Table "assigi	<i>Type</i> <b>T</b> 82-4 probably rended 66b word bi	Comment Status A epresents "BIP3 bit assignmen ts" are ? There is no clear des provide a clear example for th	cription how BI	
				Suggested	Remedy			
				Per co	mment			
				Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status <b>C</b> LE.		
					e the title of 82- bit assignments	4 to:		
					aragraph that re description is ne	fers to this figure gives the deta eeded.	ails of the BIP o	calculations, no change

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 82 SC 82.2.8 Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>179</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>2</b>	# 210	<i>Cl</i> <b>82</b> Anslow, P	SC 82.3.1 eter	P187 Nortel Netwo	L13 orks	# 466
Comment Type <b>T</b> The BIP3 field is a bit inte the result of a bit interleav		change to read "	The BIP3 field contains			Comment Status A O registers and variables in	Tables 82-6 and	82-7 do not match
SuggestedRemedy Per comment					le 82-6:			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			In Tab	ble 82-7: ge the name of r	ter 1" to "PCS control 1 regises and the second s		S status 1 register" (2
C/ 82 SC 82.2.8 Hajduczenia, Marek	P179 ZTE Corp.	L <b>44</b>	# 212	Chang 10GB	ýe "10/40/100GE ASE-T receive li	ASE-R and 10GBASE-T red nk status" BASE-R and 10GBASE-T PC		
Comment Type <b>T</b> Lines 44 - 54 contain desc here? It occupies a lot of s				T PCS Chang PCS a	S high BER" ge "Multi-lane BA alignment status	SE-R PCS alignment status 1 and 2 registers"	register 1 and 2	" to "Multi-lane BASE-R
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				PCS a Chang	alignment status	ASE-R PCS alignment status 3 and 4 registers" ASE-R PCS alignment status		
Response REJECT. I believe it adds to clarifyii	Response Status <b>C</b>			Chang 10GB Chang Chang colum	ge "10/40/100GĔ ASE-T PCS stat ge "BIP error cou ge "BIP error cou n)	ASE-R and 10GBASE-T PC us 2 register" (2 places) inters" to "BIP error counter, inter" to "BIP error counter, 1 3.99" to "3.90 through 3.109	lane x" (MDIO st ane x register" (F	atus variable column)
				Impler comm	PT IN PRINCIP ment everything ent #748.	Response Status C LE. except the last statement, th 3.99" to "3.200 through 3.21	Ū	er has been changed b
				C/ <b>82</b> Anslow, P	SC 82.3.1 eter	P187 Nortel Netwo	L <b>45</b> orks	# 467
					er_count uses bi	Comment Status A its 13:8 of register 3.33, but a unt uses bits 7:0 of register 3		
				Suggested Insert	•	odify the existing rows to refl	ect the missing r	egisters and names.
				Response ACCE		Response Status C		

Page 71 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:47 AM

Draft 3.0	Comments		IEEE P	P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments								
<i>Cl</i> <b>82</b> Hajduczenia,	SC <b>82.4</b> Marek	P188 ZTE Corp.	L <b>3</b>	# 174	C/ <b>82</b> Hajduczer	SC <b>82.6</b> nia, Marek	P188 ZTE Corp.	L <b>21</b>	# 175			
word "mo "Loopbac uniform a	ocations, the term "m de" is used, it should < mode" and "loopba cross all clauses.	oment Status <b>D</b> ode" is used. e.g. "Loo be preceded with 'the' ck mode" is used with	, which it is not	in most cases. Also	make Suggestee	ort for the Auto- it into a 'shall' s	Comment Status <b>A</b> Negotiation process defined in tatement altogether if it is man		andatory why not			
	nent.	onse Status W			Chang "The f CR4 F	PT IN PRINCIF	ements apply to a PCS used wi ASE-CR10 PMD. Support for th					
Anslow, Peter		P188 Nortel Network	L <b>3</b> s	# 468	CR4 F		ements apply to a PCS used wi ASE-CR10 PMD where support is mandatory."					
register is	"The PCS shall be p set to a logic one.",	ament Status <b>A</b> laced in Loopback moo which is different from bugh Table 82.6 provid	the style used	in subclause 82.2.17			statement immediately follows age to 188 line 21.	s this sentence.				
SuggestedRe Change to	medy b "If a Clause 45 MDI	O is implemented, the	n the PCS sha	,	C/ <b>82</b> Hajduczer Comment In Fig	Type TR	P189 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R ble test_sh seem to be never s	L1	# 167			
Response ACCEPT.	Resp	onse Status C			consis Suggestee	stently in the sta dRemedy		·	C C			

diagram somewhere.

Response

REJECT.

C/ 82 SC 82.6

Response Status W

variables and state machines within the standard.

Note: Corrected the page to 189 line 1.

When it is true is defined in the variable definition. This behavior is consistent with other

Page 72 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:47 AM

Draft 3.0	Comments		IEEE P8	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ai	nd 100Gb/s	s Ether	net com	iments			Sponsor ballo
C/ 82 Anslow, Peter	SC <b>82.7</b> r	P195 Nortel Networks	L1	# 469	C/ <b>82</b> Hajduczer		<b>32.7.3</b> •k	2190 ZTE Co	-	L <b>6</b>	# 165
the usual SuggestedRe	hicknesses of style. (Thick ro emedy ine thicknesses	Comment Status <b>D</b> the Tables in the PICS section of bund the outer edge and between sper the usual style. Response Status <b>W</b>			XGE) into se suppo Suggeste	em XGE, , 82.7.6.1 eparate e ort accord	l (items S entries for dingly. Oth	Comment Status J ne "XLGMII/CGMII cor M7, SM9, SM10, SM <sup>7</sup> 40G and 100G interfa nerwise, it is not clear	npatibility 11), 82.7. aces, for a	6.3 (item TIM an implement	1) should be separated er to be able to mark
PROPOS	SED ACCEPT.				Response	9		Response Status	5		
C/ <b>82</b> Anslow, Peter	SC <b>82.7</b> r	P <b>195</b> Nortel Networks	L <b>2</b>	# 470	#1 - C	EPT IN Pl Change: atibility in	RINCIPLE	Ξ.			
Comment Typ The title c		Comment Status <b>D</b> 2.7 should contain the clause 82	title.		to:	al interfac					
the same Proposed Res	change on line	er (PCS) for 64B/66B, type 400 37. Response Status W	BASE-R an	d 100GBASE-R" make	C/ <b>82</b> Dambrosia	SC 8 a, John	e and line 32.7.4.1	P196 Force 1	0 Networ	L <b>33</b> rks Inc	# 620
C/ <b>82</b> Anslow, Peter	SC <b>82.7.3</b>	P <b>196</b> Nortel Networks	L11	# 471	Comment Items Suggeste	C3 and (		Comment Status I d refer to 82.2.3.3, not			
Comment Typ	at of "O.1" is e	Comment Status <b>A</b> cplained in 21.6.2: "O. <n> optio eled by the same numeral <n> i</n></n>	s required". I		modif Proposed	y subclau Respons	use # to 8	2.2.3.3 Response Status	N		
the group		abelled with "O.1" so it doesn't r	nance 661166.					D40		<i>L</i> 1	
the group is only on SuggestedRe	e PICS entry la emedy	abelled with "O.1" so it doesn't n			<i>CI</i> <b>82</b> Hajduczer		<b>32.7.4.2</b> k	2197 ZTE Co		LI	# 166
the group is only on SuggestedRe Either cha Response ACCEPT	e PIĊS entry la emedy ange another F IN PRINCIPLE	ICS entry to "O.1" or make this Response Status <b>C</b>	one "O"		Hajduczer <i>Comment</i> (1) in	nia, Mare <i>Type</i> items S1	k E	ZTE Co Comment Status I figure should be Figu	orp. D		# 1 <u>66</u> s incorrect (line width) -

Corrected line and page.

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 82 SC 82.7.4.2

Page 73 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:47 AM

		Sponsor ballot
C/ 82         SC 82.7.6.1         P 199         L 7         # 472           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks         472	C/         83         SC         83         P 216         L 49           Turner, Edward J         Gnodal Limited	# 230
Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         PICS entries SM1 and SM2 are both shown as "M" which implies that both 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R must be implemented. Also applies to SM4, SM5, SM8, SM9.         SuggestedRemedy         Change the PICS by adding 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R as options (*PCS40, *PCS100 to match the PMA format) in the "Major capabilities/options" table (see 88.12.3 *LR4, *ER4 for example). Then make PICS entries that are 40GBASE-R specific start with "PCS40."	Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Table 83-4. No line at the bottom of the table.         SuggestedRemedy         Add line to bottom of table as per other tables split over pages         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
and those for 100GBASE-R start with "PCS100:". e.g. SM1 would be PCS40:M. Applies to SM1, SM2, SM4, SM5, SM8, SM9.	C/ 83 SC 83 P219 L3	# 231
Response Response Status C	Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited	
ACCEPT.	Comment Type E Comment Status D	
C/ 82 SC 82-11 P190 L12 # 104	Table line thickness of PICS table is not the same as in other clauses	3.
Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste	SuggestedRemedy	
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Use thick lines for the table border and around the title cells and thin the table on particular in the other alguage. Apply to BICS tables in f	
In AM_RESET_CNT state am_invld_cnt is not written correctly	the table, as per tables in the other clauses. Apply to PICS tables in 8 Proposed Response Response Status W	53.7.4, 63.7.5, 63.7.0
SuggestedRemedy am_invld_cnt <= 0	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	C/         83         SC         83.1.1         P 10         L 201           Hajduczenia, Marek         ZTE Corp.	# 156
Dupe of #279.	Comment Type T Comment Status R	
C/ 83         SC 83         P 201         L 1         # 164           Hajduczenia, Marek         ZTE Corp.         ZTE Corp.	(40Gb/s and 100Gb/s) - remove - this is unnecessary since the transponded deduced from the PMD family names.	mission rate can be
	SuggestedRemedy	
Comment Type T Comment Status A Title for Clause 83 should read "83. Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer, type	Per comment	
40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R"	Response Response Status C	
SuggestedRemedy	REJECT.	
Per comment	Table 80-2 contains both 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s PHYs. The 40GBASE	-R PMA supports only
Response Response Status C	those operating at a transmission rate of 40Gb/s and the 100GBASE those operating at a transmission rate of 100Gb/s. This is consistent	-R PMA supports only

Note that the actual change is to page 201 line 1 rather than page 1 line 201.

C/ 83 SC 83.1.1 Page 74 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:47 AM

Draft 3.0 Comme	nts	IEEE P	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	and 100Gb/s	s Ethernet co	omments		Sponsor ballot
<i>Cl</i> <b>83</b> SC <b>83.1.1</b> Hajduczenia, Marek	P14 ZTE Corp.	L 201	# 157	C/ <b>83</b> Hajduczer	SC <b>83.1.1</b> nia, Marek	P <b>22</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>201</b>	# 158
Comment Type E Physical Layers usin PMA defined in this (	Comment Status <b>D</b> g the PMA defined here.change Clause".	to read"Physic	al Layers using the		service interfac	Comment Status A es for other PMDs are defined a other PMDs are defined in an a		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				Suggested Per co	dRemedy omment.			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE.			Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCI	Response Status <b>C</b> PLE.		
Note that the actual	change is to page 201 line 14 ra	ather than page	14 line 201.	Note t	hat the actual c	comment applies to page 201 li	ne 22 rather than	n page 22 line 201.
Cl 83 SC 83.1.1 Anslow, Peter	P <b>201</b> Nortel Networl	L <b>20</b> ks	# 473			ually a clause that specifically with any particular PMD, replac		act PMD service
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			"The F	PMD service int	erfaces for other PMDs are def	ined abstractly"	
	ical instantiation of the PMD ser MDs, known as XLPPI and CPP optional.			with "The F to 80.3		erfaces for other PMDs are def	ïned in an abstra	ict manner according
SuggestedRemedy Change "The physic	al instantiation of " to "The optio	nal physical ins	tantiation of "					
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C							

C/ 83 SC 83.1.1

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

								•
C/ 83 SC 83.1.2 łajduczenia, Marek	Р <b>29</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>201</b>	# 159	<i>Cl</i> <b>83</b> Hajduczeni	SC <b>83.1.3</b> a, Marek	P <b>34</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>202</b>	# 162
<i>comment Type</i> <b>T</b> Text similar to first blo	Comment Status A ick of this subclause is also us e invented in this clase? Use s use 87 or 86. Response Status C			Comment T The tex referen This is illustrat such n	Type <b>T</b> the section cess to some nucconfusing, unle tive numbers, e umbers, please uished from the Remedy	Comment Status R , as well as in this Clause and umbers, p and q. In other places so one set of illustrative numl .g. 'm' and 'n'. See Figure 83- put the in italics, to make sur background text. Otherwise i	es, numbers 'z', ' bers is used. Try 3 as an example. e that they actua	m' and 'n' are used. to use a single set of . Also, when using lly can be
	aded) with other	REJECT. The use of m, n, p and q was arrived at over several iterations early in the project. m and n are used consistently in the generic description of bit level multiplexing in a sing direction of transmission, where m is the number of input lanes and n is the number of output lanes.						
Delete the sentence: "The purpose of the PMA is to adapt the PCS Lanes (PCSLs) to an appropriate number of abstract or physical lanes and to optionally provide test signals and loopback." as it is redundant with subclause 83.1.3					ission, where p r of lanes to/fro	sistently when describing the a is the number of lanes to/fror m the direction of the PMD. to indicate the number of PCS	n the direction of	the PCS and q is the
				C/ <b>83</b> Hajduczeni	SC <b>83.1.3</b> a, Marek	P <b>46</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>201</b>	# 160
				Comment 7 What k	51	Comment Status R is "tolerate Skew Variation" ?	This is a requiem	nent for PMA.
				Suggested Per co				
				Response REJEC	CT.	Response Status C		

As with other PMA capabilities in the list, there is a terse description in the indicated place (page 201 line 46) with a more detailed description in later clauses. In 83.5.3 you find "Any PMA which combines PCSLs from different input lanes onto the same output lane must tolerate Skew Variation between the input lanes without changing the PCSL positions on the output."

Cl	83	
SC	83.1.3	

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

X 83 SC 83.1.4 ajduczenia, Marek	Р <b>35</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>203</b>	# 163	C/ 83 SC 83.5. Anslow, Peter	1 P 207 Nortel Network	L <b>45</b> s	# 474		
comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status R			Comment Type T	Comment Status A				
if we have PCLs, we sl PMA lanes. The term '	hould also have PMLs, and als lane' is used extensivelt in the lauses are used. In that case, ses.	ese clauses as w	vell, without clear	This says "If the int (XLAUI/CAUI), the Annex 83B as appr	erface between the PMA client an PMA shall meet the electrical and copriate." Which implies that if it is CAUI, it would still have to comply	timing specifica physically insta	ations in Annex 83A or Intiated as something		
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy					
Per comment.					ally instantiated (XLAUI/CAUI), the				
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			instantiated as XLAUI/CAUI, the PMA shall". Also on line 47 change "physically instantiated (XLAUI/CAUI or nPPI), the PMA shall" to "physically instantiated as XLAUI/CAUI or nPPI,					
REJECT.				the PMA shall".					
The term used in the te	omment that there is a probler ext is PCSL which is defined in	n 1.5. Other type	es of logical or physical	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				
	ut lanes of a sublayer or interf h of these lanes is comprised sen to represent this.			C/ 83 SC 83.5.4 Anslow, Peter	10 P 213 Nortel Network	L <b>10</b> s	# 481		
83 SC 83.1.4	P <b>50</b>	L 201	# 161	Comment Type T	Comment Status A				
ajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			This says "accessil	ble through the PRBS pattern testi Test pattern ability register.	ing control and	status (", but register		
omment Type T	Comment Status A		Desilies is a d DMA	SuggestedRemedy					
within the IEEE 802.3	kt" ? Usually this clasue is call architecture" or something alik something - otherwise there is	ke. What contex		Change "accessible through the PRBS pattern testing control and status (" to "accessible through the Test pattern ability register ("					
uggestedRemedy	-			Note: there is anoth	ner comment proposing to change	the "register" ir	the brackets to "bit".		
Per comment.				Response	Response Status C				
esponse	Response Status C			ACCEPT.					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	•								
Change:									
0									
"PMA Context" to "PMA sublayer position									

C/ 83 SC 83.5.10

C/ 83         SC 83.5.10         P 213         L 11         # 743           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco Systems, Inc.         Cisc	C/         83         SC         83.5.10         P 213         L 24         #         288           Dawe, Piers J G         Independant         Independat         Independant         Inde
Comment Type T Comment Status A Change register addresses according to HB_12 SuggestedRemedy Change register addresses (currently 1.307) to 1.1500 - 7 instances. Also in Table 83-3,	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>R</b> Asking for something to be random is not a good idea. Random means by chance, and it's very difficult to implement a true random number generator and very difficult or impossible to test for. However, randomness is not the point, and at least here there is no "shall" so no conformance test.
p.216 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Note HB_12 is comment #714. Change 7 instances on page 213 of 1.307 to 1.1500. Change 1 instance on page 214 of 1.307 to 1.1500 (note also that bit 15 should have been bit 12 for this instance per comment 484)	SuggestedRemedy Change "To avoid correlated crosstalk, it is highly recommended that the PRBS31 patterns generated on each lane be generated from independent, random seeds or at a minimum offset of 20 000 UI between the PRBS31 sequence on any lane and any other lane." to "To avoid correlated crosstalk, it is highly recommended that the chance that the offset between the PRBS31 sequence on any lane and any other lane is less than 20 000 UI is zero, or no greater than would be the case if the PRBS31 patterns generated on each lane were generated from independent, random seeds."
Change 8 instances on page 216 of 1.307 to 1.1500.           C/         83         SC         83.5.10         P 213         L 22         # [482]           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks         Vector Res         Vector Res         Vector Res         Vector Res	Response       Response Status       C         REJECT.       The current text is clear and simple, and no developer would interpret "random seeds" as compelling an implementation which selects seeds based on a process of chance. The
Comment Type T       Comment Status A         The variables "PRBS_TX_gen_enable", "PRBS_RX_gen_enable", "PRBS_TX_check_enable" used on pages 213 and 214 (12 instances total) do not match the variable names in Table 83-2 which are "TX_PRBS_gen_enable" etc.         SuggestedRemedy         Since the variables used elsewhere in the clause are "PRBS_TX_" etc. change the 4 variables in Table 83-2 to match those used in the text. Also, on Page 213 lines 28, 37 and 46 the last underscore is missing from the variable names.         Response       Response Status C         ACCEPT.	Cl 83       SC 83.5.10       P213       L29       # 745         Barrass, Hugh       Cisco Systems, Inc.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         Change register addresses according to HB_14       SuggestedRemedy       Change register addresses (currently 1.309) to 1.1502 - 12 instances. Also in Table 83-2, p.215         Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Change register addresses (currently 1.309) to 1.1501 - 12 instances. Also in Table 83-2, p.215         note HB_14 is comment 716

CI 83 SC 83.5.10

C/ 83	SC 83.5.10	P <b>213</b>	L <b>41</b>	# 289	CI 8
Dawe, Pi	ers J G	Independant			Bar

#### Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Draft provides PRBS31 testing options that are preferred to scrambled idle testing or BIP counting Ethernet-encoded signal for several reasons, e.g. provides controlled overstress, factories have the PRBS31-aware BERTs already. Need to run the SAME (factory-compatible) pattern in complete hosts to assure signal integrity in situ. Desirable to count errors in test equipment and host, not just take module's word for it. To support multi-lane PRBS31 properly in a variety of scenarios, should generate per physical lane and check per PCS lane.

#### SuggestedRemedy

In the paragraphs beginning line 40 and top of page 214, change "lane" or "lanes" to "PCS lane" or PCS lanes". Change "Ln9\_PRBS\_TX\_test\_err\_counter count" to

"Ln19\_PRBS\_TX\_test\_err\_counter count" and "Ln9\_PRBS\_RX\_test\_err\_counter count" to "Ln19\_PRBS\_RX\_test\_err\_counter count".

Delete "Note that bit multiplexing of per-lane PRBS31 may produce a signal which is not meaningful for downstream sublayers."

Provide 20 PRBS31 error counters in each direction, one per PCS lane.

Add informative NOTE explaining that a 10G, 20G or 40G PRBS31 contains PCS lanes with PRBS31s with much more than 20,000 UI offset.

Response

Response Status C

#### REJECT.

With the relaxed text for PRBS31 error checking which only requires counting of one error in a 1000-bit sliding window, there is nothing to preclude an implementation which checks the PRBS31 pattern using parallel checkers, whether at the granularity of a PCS lane or any other convenient divisor. However, keeping the error counts on a PCS lane basis rather than a physical lane basis hampers the usefulness of the test as there is no fixed association of PCS lanes to physical lanes at a given PMA input or output, so one would lose the visibility of which lane was experiencing errors. Confusion may also be introduced by calling these PCS lanes, as there are no lane markers in the PRBS31 pattern to identify which PCS lane is which. So unlike any other part of the text where a PCS lane is identified by a unique lane marker telling you which PCS lane it is, these would not really be PCS lanes, but 20 arbitrary bins at the bit rate of a PCS lane uncorrelated with the physical lanes.

100000,0				
CI 83	SC 83.5.10	P <b>213</b>	L <b>49</b>	# 746
Barrass, Hu	ıgh	Cisco System	is, Inc.	
Comment T Change		Comment Status A sses according to HB_15		
Suggested Change		sses (currently 1.310 -319) to	1.1600-1609. /	Also in Table 83-4, p.216
Response ACCEF	РТ.	Response Status C		
<i>CI</i> 83 Anslow, Pe	SC 83.5.10 ter	P214 Nortel Networ	L <b>36</b> ks	# 484
Comment 7 Registe 1.307.1	er 1.307 is the "T	Comment Status A Fest pattern ability" register.	Also, the "Squa	re wave test ability" bit is
"is acc	e "is accessible essible through t	through the square wave test the Test pattern ability registe ve test ability bit 1.307.12"		
Response		Response Status C		
ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.		
to:	essible through t	the square wave testing patte	, ,	er 1.307.15"
Per cor	nment 743, regi	ster 1.307 changes to 1.1500	).	
C/ 83	SC 83.5.10	P <b>214</b>	L 38	# 485
Anslow, Pe	ter	Nortel Networ	ks	
name i	ys "are accessit	Comment Status A ble through square wave test testing control and status" re		
Suggested	Remedy			
Change	e to "are access	ible through the square wave	testing control	and status register"
Response		Response Status C		
100-	<b>T</b>			

Note - reconcile with any register name/number change from Hugh

~ ~

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	C/ 83	Pa
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	'	SC 83.5.10	1/

ACCEPT.

Page 79 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:47 AM

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 83 SC 83.5.10 Barrass, Hugh	P 214 Cisco Systems, Inc.	L <b>39</b>	# 744	C/ 83 SC 83. Anslow, Peter	.5.10	P 214 Nortel Networ	L <b>6</b> ks	# 483
Comment Type <b>T</b> Change register addresse SuggestedRemedy	<b>0</b> –				ttern error cou _test_error_co			er through cting" has a spurious
Change register addresse p.215	es (currently 1.308) to 1.1501 - 2	instances. Al	so in Table 83-2,	SuggestedRemedy				
Response	Response Status <b>C</b>			Delete "in"				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Proposed Response PROPOSED AC		oonse Status W		
HB_13 is comment 715. Change register addresse p.215	es (currently 1.308) to 1.1510 - 2	instances. Al	so in Table 83-2,	C/ 83 SC 83. Barrass, Hugh		P <b>214</b> Cisco System	L <b>8</b> s, Inc.	# 747
C/ 83 SC 83.5.10 Anslow, Peter	P <b>214</b> Nortel Networks	L <b>42</b>	# 486	Comment Type T Change register		mment Status <b>A</b> ccording to HB_16		
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status A			SuggestedRemedy	,			
51	h square wave is not enabled wi	II transmit nor	mal data resulting	0 0	```	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1.1700-1709. A	lso in Table 83-4, p.217
to have scrambled idles c	perations described in 83.5.2." E r PRBS31 on the other lanes. Al r all lanes", the behaviour is dete	so, "when tra	nsmit square wave	Response ACCEPT IN PRI		bonse Status C		
be PRBS31 or PRBS9) a	nd may not be "normal operation ar comment submitted against 4	performing b		Note that HB_16	3 is comment	#718.		
SuggestedRemedy				Current register a	addresses to	be changed are 1.320	-329 (not 219)	
normal data resulting from patterns as determined by disabled for all lanes, the	ences to "Lanes for which square n the bit multiplexing operations / other registers. When transmit PMA will perform normal operations namit test patterns as determined	described in 8 square wave ion performing	33.5.2 or test test pattern is g bit multiplexing as					
		-						
Response	Response Status C							

C/ 83 SC 83.5.10

Draft 3.0	Comments	

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 83         SC 83.5.10         P 29         L 214         # 155           Hajduczenia, Marek         ZTE Corp.         ZTE Corp. <th>C/ 83         SC 83.5.2         P 209         L 25         # 95           Braun, Ralf-Peter         Deutsche Telekom AG</th>	C/ 83         SC 83.5.2         P 209         L 25         # 95           Braun, Ralf-Peter         Deutsche Telekom AG
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> Note that PRBS9 is intended to be checked by external test gear, and no PRBS9 is provided within the PMA.change to "Note that PRBS9 is intended to be checked external test gear, and no PRBS9 checking function is provided within the PMA." SuggestedRemedy	by an The value of 4.3 in the second lane of the 4 Lane PMA Output does not correspond with the related 10 Lane PMA Input value. SuggestedRemedy
Per comment Response Status C	Change the value from 4.3 to 4.5. Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change:	See suggested remedy and response to comment #476
"Note that PRBS9 is intended to be checked by external test gear, and no PRBS9 is provided within the PMA." to "Note that PRBS9 is intended to be checked by external test gear, and no PRBS9	C/ 83         SC 83.5.2         P 209         L 26         # 476           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks
function is provided within the PMA." note that the actual change is page 214 line 29.	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> In Figure 83-6, the second output lane from the left contains bits 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3, but th preceding stages have bits 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5
nslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status D Space missing in "output lanes.If bit"	SuggestedRemedy         In Figure 83-6, in the second output lane from the left change 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3, to 4.3, 4.         and 4.5 respectively.         Response       Response Status         C         ACCEPT.
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status D Space missing in "output lanes.If bit" SuggestedRemedy Change "output lanes.If bit" to "output lanes. If bit"	In Figure 83-6, in the second output lane from the left change 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3, to 4.3, 4.3, 4.3, 4.3, 4.3, 4.3, 4.3, 4.3,
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status D Space missing in "output lanes.If bit" SuggestedRemedy Change "output lanes.If bit" to "output lanes. If bit"	In Figure 83-6, in the second output lane from the left change 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3, to 4.3, 4.3, 4.3, 4.3, 4.3, 4.3, 4.3, 4.3,
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status D Space missing in "output lanes.If bit" SuggestedRemedy Change "output lanes.If bit" to "output lanes. If bit" Proposed Response Response Status W	In Figure 83-6, in the second output lane from the left change 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3, to 4.3, 4. and 4.5 respectively. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. CI 83 SC 83.5.2 P 209 L 34 # 96 Braun, Ralf-Peter Deutsche Telekom AG Comment Type T Comment Status A There is a numbering mismatch. The value of 4.2 in the second lane of the 4 Lane PMA Output does not correspond with
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status D Space missing in "output lanes.If bit" SuggestedRemedy Change "output lanes.If bit" to "output lanes. If bit" Proposed Response Response Status W	In Figure 83-6, in the second output lane from the left change 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3, to 4.3, 4. and 4.5 respectively.

CI 83 SC 83.5.2

# IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 83 SC 83.5.2	P 209	L <b>42</b>	# 97		C 83.5.3.3	P <b>210</b>	L <b>31</b>	# 624
Braun, Ralf-Peter	Deutsche Tele	ekom AG		Dambrosia, Jo	hn	Force 10 Net	works Inc	
Comment Type <b>T</b> There is a numbering m The value of 4.1 in the s the related 10 Lane PM SuggestedRemedy Change the value from	second lane of the 4 Lane PM A Input value.	/A Output does	s not correspond with	requiremer Skew ariat physically	nent - "If ther nt is continge ion between instantiated ii	Comment Status A e is a physically instantiated nt on no more than 29 ns of anes at SP1 (i.e., the PMA I nterfaces shall add no more direction)." has no correspon	Skew, and no r between SP1 ar than 14ns of Sł	nore than 200 ps of nd SP2 if both are at
Ū.				SuggestedRen	nedy			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status C			Add appro	priate pic			
	<b>L</b> .			Response		Response Status W		
See suggested remedy	and response to comment #	476		ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLI	<b>.</b>		
Cl 83 SC 83.5.2 Braun, Ralf-Peter Comment Type E	P 209 Deutsche Tele Comment Status D	L <b>51</b> ekom AG	# 98		n to the subla	clause 86, i.e., have a state ayer has skew and skew var		
There is a typo: "Onput" SuggestedRemedy Change to "Output". Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			on no more at SP1 (i.e if both are ps of Skew to "If there is	a physically i e than 29 ns ., the PMA be at physically / Variation in a physically i	nstantiated XLAUI/CAUI as of Skew, and no more than 2 etween SP1 and SP2 instantiated interfaces shall the transmit direction)." nstantiated XLAUI/CAUI as 29 ns of Skew and no more	200 ps of Skew add no more th well, then the si	Variation between lane an 14ns of Skew or 200 kew measured at SP1 is
				contingent Variation ir physically no more th (SP4)." to "If there is SP5 is limi If there is r	a physically i on receiving the receive instantiated F an 134 ns of a physically i ted to no mo to physically	nstantiated PMD service inte no more than 145 ns of Ske direction at the PMD service PMD service interface, this re Skew, and no more than 3.4 Instantiated PMD service inte than 145 ns of Skew and instantiated PMD service int 134 ns of Skew, and no more	w, and no more interface (SP5 equirement is co 1 ns of Skew Va erface as well, t no more than 3 erface, the skew	than 3.6 ns of Skew ). If there is no ontingent on receiving triation at the MDI he skew measured at 6 ns of Skew Variation. w measured at SP4 is

C/ 83 SC 83.5.3.3

	8.0 Comment			802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a						Sponsor ball
C/ <b>83</b> Anslow, Pe	SC 83.5.4 eter	P211 Nortel Networks	L <b>21</b>	# 477	<i>Cl</i> <b>83</b> Anslow, P	SC 83.5. eter	.6	P212 Nortel Networ	L <b>2</b> rks	# 478
Comment T The Ma		Comment Status A ues in Table 80-3 should match	the values in	Table 83-1	Comment This s	ays "Annex 8	86A specifies the	t Status A Parallel Physica	al Interface (XLF	PPI and CPPI), the
Suggested Since t		are fairly simple, change" tilde ?	104" to "102.4	4" and change "tilde 92"	SR10	PMDs" but >	on of the PMD se (LPPI and CPPI a		or 40GBASE-SH	R4 and 100GBASE-
to 92.1 Response	6	Response Status C		Ĵ	•		,	sical instantiation	on of" to "(XLPP	I and CPPI), an optiona
ACCER				" [222]	Response			Status C		
<i>Cl</i> <b>83</b> Muller, Shir	SC 83.5.4 mon	P <b>211</b> Sun Microsysten	גע <i>L</i> <b>21</b> בוא	# 280	C/ 83	SC 83.5.	7	P <b>212</b>	L11	# 479
Comment T	Type TR	Comment Status A			Anslow, P	eter		Nortel Netwo	rks	
	ed to get from 1	MA I am wondering what roundi 02.4ns to ~104ns?	ng scheme		Comment "(whe	21	Commen ce to is physically	t Status <b>D</b> / instantiated)" c	loesn't make se	nse
by a sh	nall statement se	nate value in a table that is cove eems to be inappropriate. It is als of the other clauses that chose	80		Suggested Chang		e the interface is p	hysically instan	tiated)"	
use the expres	e exact absolute sed in ns. Since	time values for the delay constr this value is well defined, is the ecise value should not be used?	aints re			Response OSED ACC	Response EPT IN PRINCIP	S <i>tatus</i> <b>W</b> LE.		
Suggested Replac		02.4" and "~92" with "92.16".			Overta	aken by even	nts - awkward tex	removed by co	mment #290	
Response ACCEF Dup 47	PT IN PRINCIPI 7	Response Status W E.								
C/ 83	SC 83.5.4	P211	L <b>21</b>	# 811						
Bennett, Mi		Lawrence Berkel	ey Na							
	ing the tildes in	Comment Status <b>A</b> the Maximum (ns) means appro fied in Table 83-1".	ximately, it s	eems impossible to						
Suggested	Remedy	use maximum values in the Max	imum (ns) co	lumn						
Response	PT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status C								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI **83** SC **83.5.7**  Page 83 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:47 AM

# IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 83         SC 83.5.7         P 212         L 11         # 290           Dawe, Piers J G         Independant	C/ 83         SC 83.6         P 215         L 14         # 488           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks
Comment Type         E         Comment Status         D           Draft says "Other inputs to the SIL may include the status of clock and data recovery on the lanes from the service interface below the PMA (where the interface to is physically instantiated)" This interface is almost certain to be instantiated, even if inside an IC, and whether it is or not, the status of clock and data recovery could (should) be taken into account.	Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         The column for "PMA/PMD register name" in Table 83-2 does not contain the register names.         SuggestedRemedy         Replace with "PMA/PMD control 1" for register 1.0, "PRBS pattern testing control" for 1.309
SuggestedRemedy Delete "(where the interface to is physically instantiated)"	and "Square wave testing control" for 1.308. <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>C</i> ACCEPT.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The average large	C/         83         SC         83.6         P 215         L 21         # [489]           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks         Vortel Networks         Nortel Networks         Nortel Networks         Nortel Networks
The proposal can be accepted, deleting "(where the interface is physically instantiatiated)", but not for the reason given by the commentor. It is a reasonable simplification of the text given that the sentence begins "Other inputs to	Comment Type E Comment Status D Table 83-2. In the column for "MDIO status variable" TX etc. and RX etc. don't match the names in clause 45 and these are primarily control variables.
the SIL MAY include", and the case where you would likely include CDR is for a physically instantiated interface. It is extremely unlikely that you would have CDR if this interface is buried inside of a chip -	SuggestedRemedy Change TX to Tx (2 places), change RX to Rx (2 places) and change the column heading to "MDIO variable" (or MDIO control variable)
it is simpler to multiply or divide the clock recovered at the edge of the chip as necessary.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the entry in the column heading of Table 83-2 from "MDIO status variable" to
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> This says "is accessible through register 1.8.0". But 1.8.0 is a bit, not a register.	"MDIO variable" Change TX to Tx and RX to Rx throughout clauses 83 and 85.
SuggestedRemedy Change to "is accessible through bit 1.8.0". Also change "(register 1.0.0, see 45.2.1.1.4)." to "(hit 1.0.0, see 45.2.1.1.4)." on line 31. Make equivalent changes on lines 47 and 50 and	Note that the original change to TX RX had been an unintended consequence of implementing comment #285 of D2.1.
to "(bit 1.0.0, see 45.2.1.1.4)." on line 31. Make equivalent changes on lines 47 and 50 and also page 213 line 10. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	C/ 83SC 83.6P 215L 5# 487Anslow, PeterNortel NetworksComment TypeEComment StatusDTables 83-2 and 83-3 are explained here but Table 83-4 is not
	SuggestedRemedy Add "Mapping of MDIO counter to PMA counters is shown in Table 834."
	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G	

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 83 SC 83.6 Page 84 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:47 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	mments		Sponsor ballo
 C/ 83 SC 83.6 Anslow, Peter	P216 Nortel Networks	L16	# 490	<i>CI <b>83</b></i> Anslow, Pe	SC 83.7	P <b>218</b> Nortel Networks	L <b>2</b>	# 492
Comment Type E C Table 83-3. In the column fo names in clause 45.	omment Status <b>D</b> rr "MDIO status variable" TX	( etc. and RX	etc. don't match the	Comment The titl Suggested	e of subclause	Comment Status <b>D</b> 83.7 should contain the clause 83	3 title.	
SuggestedRemedy Change TX to Tx (2 places) Proposed Response Re PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P See #489	esponse Status W	aces).		Chang 100GE 100GE 100GE Proposed I	e "sublayer, 400 ASE-R" Also, a ASE-R," to "Ph ASE-R" Response	GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R" to t line 6 change "PMA Interface si ysical Medium Attachment (PMA <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE.	ublayer, 40G	BASE-R and
Cl 83 SC 83.6 Anslow, Peter	P216 Nortel Networks	L <b>32</b>	# 491			es the title of clause 83 from "40 GBASE-R", so the title on line 2		
Comment Type E C Table 83-4. In the column for names in clause 45 and the In the column for "PMA/PMI	se are counters rather than	status variabl	es.	Mediur Cl 83	n Attachment (I SC <b>83.7.3</b>	A Interface sublayer, 40GBASE-F PMA) sublayer, type 40GBASER P219		
SuggestedRemedy Change variables to "Error or variable" Change the register names pattern testing error counter Proposed Response	to "PRBS Tx pattern testing		-	Suggested	<i>Type</i> <b>T</b> ew requirement	Nortel Networks Comment Status A s are in 83.5.3 not 83.5.2 83.5.3		
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Response	PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C		
Cl 83 SC 83.6 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р <b>26</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>214</b>	# 154	Overta	ken by events.	This particular line in the PICS is	deleted by c	comment #623.
Comment Type ER C Table 83-4 is cut on page 27	omment Status A							
SuggestedRemedy Per comment								
Response Re ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	esponse Status W							

Dup #230

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 83 SC 83.7.3

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

		83 SC 83		P219	L <b>5</b>	# 622	
ambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc	Da	mbrosia, John		Force 10 Netw	vorks Inc		
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> For subclauses 83.5.2, items SKEW, USP1SP, DSP1SP6, SPS2P5 do n corresponding SHALL statements in referenced subclause.		For subclauses	Comment S83.1.1 and 83.1.4 - IteSTREAM do not have	ms PMA40, P			
uggestedRemedy		subclauses					
These PIC all seem related to SKEW, and therefore the subclause refere changed to appropriate subclauses in 83.5.3.x.	ence should be Su	ggestedRemedy add correspond	ing pic statement				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Re	sponse REJECT.	Response S	tatus C			
Remove the PICS line SKEW, as this would just be the aggregate of PIC in 83.7.4. The entries USP1SP6, DSP1SP6, SP2SP5 are all included in the PICS t purpose of recording adjacent physically instantiated interfaces are prese	table for the	The entries PMA40, PMA100, LANES_UPSTREAM, LANES_DOWNSTREAM are all included in the PICS table for the purpose of recording which options have been implemented rather than to confirm compliance with a particular requirement. Consequently it is not appropriate to have a "shall" statement in the text for these items.					
confirm compliance with a particular requirement. Consequently it is not have a "shall" statement in the text for these items. However, the subclau these items is incorrect. Change the subclause reference for USP1SP6, SP2SP5 to 83.5.3.	appropriate to Cl use reference for Ans DSP1SP6,	83 SC 83 slow, Peter		P 219 Nortel Networ	L <b>5</b> ks	# 493	
83         SC 83.7.3         P 219         L 5           Islow, Peter         Nortel Networks	# 494	Both *PMA40 and *PMA100 are shown as optional, but one of the two must be preser this PICS to apply. Use the format of "O.1" as explained in 21.6.2: "O. <n> optional field/function, but at least one of the group of options labeled by the same numeral <n required".</n </n>					
omment Type F Comment Status D		ggestedRemedy					
omment Type E Comment Status D The references in the subclause column should be links, but they aren't fe *PMA100, LANES_UPSTREAM, LANES_DOWNSTREAM and *DSP1SF	OI = IVIA40,	Show them both	as O:1				
The references in the subclause column should be links, but they aren't for	P6	,	a as O:1 Response S	tatus C			

C/ 83 SC 83.7.3

Draft 3.0 Comments	IEEE P80	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s	Ethernet cor	mments		Sponsor ballot
	220 L 24 rtel Networks	# 496	<i>CI</i> 83 Dambrosia	SC <b>83.7.5</b> , John	P <b>221</b> Force 10 Net	L 28 works Inc	# 626
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Statu For Item "PPI" the Status column contains instantiated PMD service interface" not "P Hence this is inappropriate since the PMD as something other than nPPI.	Suggested	atements for JTI Remedy	Comment Status R P1 and JTP2 have no corresp L statements to 83.5.10	oonding SHALL s	statements		
SuggestedRemedy Since SP2SP5 is used correctly to define or create *PPI to be "PMD service interfac		move this PICS item	Response REJEC	CT.	Response Status W		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ponse Response Status <b>C</b> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				JTP2 are all included in the P en implemented rather than t uently it is not appropriate to h	o confirm compli	ance with a particular
Add a new PICS on page 220 below SP2 *PPI PMD service interface instantiated a			C/ 83A	SC 83A.1	P <b>14</b>	L <b>376</b>	# <u>1</u> 42
Further down the table, change the name timing). Change the status of this PICS from SP2SP5 should remain as is since S4-S6	om "SP2SP5:M" to "PPI:M"			Type TR	ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> nality with other 40 Gb/s or 10	00 Gb/s Ethernet	t blocks" - what are
	221 L5 tel Networks	# 497	Suggested Either	-	is or replace with something	that has been de	efined already.
Comment Type E Comment Statu Six places in the Value/Comment column symbol	_	than or equal to	Response ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status W _E.		
SuggestedRemedy			Remov	ve e) "Shared fu	nctionality with other 40 Gb/s	or 100 Gb/s Eth	ernet blocks"
Replace "<=" with the less than or equal t <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Statu PROPOSED ACCEPT.	<b>, , , ,</b>			ient is not clear /s interfaces"	and intent is covered in d) "sl	nared technology	y with other 40 Gb/s or

C/ 83A SC 83A.1

/ 83A SC 83A.1 P375 awe, Piers J G Independ	L <b>52</b> ant	# 313	<i>Cl</i> <b>83A</b> Dawe, Pie	SC <b>83A</b> . rs J G	1	P 375 Independant	L <b>52</b>	# 314
omment Type TR Comment Status R			Comment		Comm	nent Status A		
We should not call part of the receiver a "transr if we can avoid it.	·			t notice any BB is electric		uirements" in Annex	83B: coding, sł	kew and such are in
According to 83.3, a PMA has TX and RX direc output. nAUI is intended to connect PMAs, e.g. Therefore nAUI must connect a (host) TX (trans	one in the host and or	ne in a module.	Suggestee Delete	<i>dRemedy</i> e "functional	and".			
input, and a (module) RX (receiver) output to a 86A uses, the terms host output, module input,	(host) receiver input. 8	3B used to use, and	Response ACCE		Respo	nse Status C		
resolution of D2.0 comment 470: 'ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Need to avoid using (down the stack, PMA to MDI) or "transmit" or "					medy. Annex	83A/B are predomina	antly electrical s	pecs
stack, MDI to PMA). Change names using the terms host, module, i	nput and output. For ex	xample, in the caption	C/ 83A	SC 83A.	1	P <b>376</b> Nortel Network	L <b>2</b>	# 573
of Table 86-6 change "PPI electrical transmit si host electrical output specifications at TP1a" ' This is compatible with 83 and the rest of 802.3 83A-2 shows two "Transmitter"s and two "Rece compatible terminology. Note this problem does not arise in clauses 84 Also compare Clause 47 (XAUI) which uses "dr	ba except 83A and nov ver"s, one for each dir or 85.	w 83B. But Figure rection. This isn't	printe	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> ays "The XL d circuit boa cteristic imp	AUI/CAUI allo	nent Status <b>D</b> ws interconnect dista e connector, see 83/	inces of approx	imately 25 cm over 4.1 simply defines the
The proposed remedies follow 86A for connected uggestedRemedy			Chang	ge the refere	nce to "83A.4"			
Change "Transmitter" to "driver", "Transmit Compliance Point" to "driver compliance point", "transmit eye mask" and "Transmitter Eye Mask" to "driver eye mask" or just "eye mask",				Response POSED ACC		nse Status W		
"transmit signal" to ""signal" or "output signal", "transmit jitter" to "driver jitter" throughout 83A. In Table 83A-2, delete "Receiver" before "eye mask", five times including table note.			See s	uggested Re	emedy			
Consider changing "XLAUI/CAUI receiver" to "> appropriate. Change "Figure 83A-2Definition of "Figure 83A-2Definition of test points".			C/ <b>83A</b> Hajduczer	SC <b>83A</b> . nia, Marek	2.1	P15 ZTE Corp.	L <b>277</b>	# 109
esponse Response Status W			Comment	Туре Т	Comm	nent Status A		
REJECT. XLAUI / CAUI Component Transmitter and Receiver is different from 83.3 "TX and Rx		33.3 "TX and Rx	prese	nts compliar		ne "test point" and "c		points", yet the figure t" one and the same?
Directions" and is clearly shown in 83A-2.			Suggestee	dRemedy				
See comment 328.			Per co not.	omment, cla	rify whether "te	st point" and "compl	aince point" is c	one and the same or
			Response		Respo	nse Status C		

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Rename figure to "Figure 83A-2 - Definition of transmit and receive compliance points"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 83A SC 83A.2.1

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/         83A         SC         83A.2.1         P 377         L 23         # 368           Ganga, Ilango         Intel Corporation         Intel Corporation         Intel Corporation         Intel Corporation	C/ 83A         SC 83A.2.1         P 377         L 50         # 574           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks
Comment TypeTComment StatusA[Editor's note: Comment 5 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0]The frequency range for insertion loss in 83A & 83B is from 0.25 GHz to 11.1 GHz, while for 85 it's from 0.05 GHz to 11.1 GHz and for 86A it's from 0.01 GHz to 11.1 GHz. Unless	Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         The title of Figure 83A-3 "Insertion loss between Transmit Compliance Point and Transmitter" would be better with the order reversed. (direction of signal flow)         SuggestedRemedy
there are good technical reasons for the differences in the low frequency range limit, these should be consistent. Since scrambled data has significant low frequency content, it seems prudent to set the insertion loss frequency range limit to the lowest practical point to guard against unexpected loss of low frequency content.	Change to "Insertion loss between Transmitter and Transmit Compliance Point" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
SuggestedRemedy	See suggested remedy
For equations 85-14, 83A-1, 83A-2, 83A-9, 83B-1, 83B-2, 83B-3, 83B-4, 86A -4, 86A-5, 86A-6, 86A-7, 86A-15 & 86A-16 change the lower limit of the frequency range to 0.01 GHz.	C/         83A         SC         83A.2.2         P 378         L 2         # 575           Anslow. Peter         Nortel Networks
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Comment Type E Comment Status D
See comment#870	The text "between the Receiver and the Receive Compliance Point" would be better with the order reversed.(direction of signal flow)
C/ 83A         SC 83A.2.1         P 377         L 48         # 315           Dawe, Piers J G         Independant	SuggestedRemedy Change to "between the Receive Compliance Point and the Receiver"
Comment Type ER Comment Status A Font too small in Figures (6.5 or 7 pt, should not be smaller than 8 pt). This may be because the charts in 83A have been shrunk.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
SuggestedRemedy	See suggested remedy
Don't shrink the figures. Check all clauses for font too small.	C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3 P379 L12 # 576
Response Response Status W	Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resize/change font for figures 83A-3, 83A-4, 83A-14	Comment Type E Comment Status D This is the only instance of the spelling "signalling" in the draft (79 instances of "signaling" SuggestedRemedy
	Change to "signaling"
	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3

### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

1/28/2010 6:39:48 AM

C/ 83A         SC 83A.3.3         P 379         L 18         # 577           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks	C/         83A         SC         83A.3.3         P 379         L 23         # 316           Dawe, Piers J G         Independant         Independant         Independant         Independant				
Comment Type E Comment Status D	Comment Type ER Comment Status A				
The item "Signaling rate per lane (range)" has a subclause reference of 83A.3.3. In other words it is referenced to itself. This is not helpful	Too many gratuitous capitals. This is an ER comment because we are unlikely to catch them all in one cycle.				
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy				
Replace "83A.3.3" with "-" (em dash). Do the same in Table 83A-2.	Scrub the draft, all clauses and annexes.				
Proposed Response Response Status W	Response Response Status W				
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
See suggested rememdy.	Change the following: Editorial licence given to change similar capitalization in 83A & 83B Table 83A-1:				
Replace "83A.3.3" in Signaling rate per lane (range) row of Table 83A-1 with "-".	"Maximum Differential Output Voltage, peak-to-peak" to "Maximum differential output				
Replace "83A.3.3" in Signaling rate per lane (range) row of Table 83A-2 with "-".	<ul> <li>voltage, peak-to-peak"</li> <li>"Minimum De-emphasis" to "Minimum de-emphasis"</li> <li>"Maximum De-emphasis" to "Maximum de-emphasis"</li> <li>"Maximum Termination Mismatch at 1MHz" to "Maximum termination mismatch at 1MHz"</li> <li>"Maximum Output AC Common Mode Voltage, RMS" to "Maximum output AC common mode voltage, RMS"</li> <li>"Minimum Output Rise and Fall time (20% to 80%)" to "Minimum output rise and fall time (20% to 80%)"</li> <li>"Maximum Total Jitter" to "Maximum total jitter"</li> <li>"Maximum Deterministic Jitter" to "Maximum deterministic jitter"</li> <li>"Datimum Deterministic Jitter" to "Maximum deterministic jitter"</li> <li>"bTotal jitter measurement methodology defined in 83A.5"</li> <li>"CDeterministic jitter measurement methodology defined in 83A.5"</li> <li>"d Transmitter eye mask illustrated in Figure 83A-8"</li> <li>Table 83A-2</li> <li>"Maximum Input AC Common Mode Voltage, RMS" to "Maximum input AC common mode voltage, RMS"</li> </ul>				
	"Minimum deterministic input jitter tolerance" Table 83B-2 "Minimum Module differential input return loss" to "Minimum module differential input return loss"				
	Table 83B-3 "Minimum De-emphasis" to "Minimum de-emphasis" "Maximum De-emphasis" to "Maximum de-emphasis" "Maximum Termination Mismatch at 1 MHz" to "Maximum termination mismatch at 1 MHz" "Maximum Total Jitter" to "Maximum total jitter" "Maximum Deterministic Jitter" to "Maximum deterministic jitter"				
	Table 83B-5				
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/ge COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/wri SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line					

# IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

"Maximum Total Jitter" to "Maximum total jitter" "Maximum Deterministic Jitter" to "Maximum deterministic jitter"	C/         83A         SC         83A.3.3.1         P 379         L 29         # 578           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks
CI         83A         SC         83A.3.3         P 379         L 46         # 369           Ganga, Ilango         Intel Corporation         Intel Corporation <td< td=""><td>Comment Type E Comment Status D "1MHz" should be "1 MHz"</td></td<>	Comment Type E Comment Status D "1MHz" should be "1 MHz"
Comment Type         E         Comment Status         D           [Editor's note: Comment 6 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0]         In table 83A-1, note a, "Rise/Fall time measurement methodology defined in 83A.3.3.2", is redundant with the entry, "83A.3.3.2", in the Subclause Reference column and can be deleted.	SuggestedRemedy Change "1MHz" to "1 MHz" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
SuggestedRemedy In table 83A-1, delete note "a Rise/Fall time measurement methodology defined in 83A.3.3.2". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	See suggested remedy         C/       83A       SC       83A.3.3.1       P 379       L 49       # 579         Anslow, Peter       Nortel Networks       Nortel Networks       # 579         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       A         Comment 6 against D 2.3 was agreed to be re-submitted by the Editor against D 3.0. The
See suggested remedy         Cl 83A       SC 83A.3.3       P 47       L 378       # 110         Hajduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Missing comma after "between components"	<ul> <li>directed proposed response was "accept" which would delete note a. A similar situation exists with note d which is not needed now that subclause 83A.3.3.5 is referenced. Also for other tables.</li> <li>SuggestedRemedy</li> <li>Delete note d from Table 83A-1, notes a and c from Table 83A-2, note c from Table 83B-3 (including "d"s from other lines), note b from Table 83B-5 (including "c"s from other lines)</li> </ul>
SuggestedRemedy Per comment	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.	It would appear notes are redundant due to reference to sections. Therefore delete notes This applies to: Table 83A-1
Note: This is in section 83A.3.1 page 378, line 47	notes a, b, c, d Table 83A-2
Comma should not be necessary	notes a, b, c Table 83B-3 notes a,b,c Table 83B-5 notes a, b

C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.1

C/         83A         SC         83A.3.3.1         P 380         L 14         # 370           Ganga, Ilango         Intel Corporation         Intel Corporation         Intel Corporation         Intel Corporation	C/         83A         SC         83A.3.3.1         P 380         L 15         # 318           Dawe, Piers J G         Independant         Independant
Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         [Editor's note: Comment 57 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0]       Draft says "See Figure 83A-5 for definition of de-emphasis" yet Figure 83A-5 does not define "de-emphasis": Equation 83A-3 does, as stated two sentences earlier. Also, should not put whole sentences in figures, especially if normative. That's what text is for.         SuggestedRemedy       Change to:       "See Figure 83A-5 for an illustration of absolute driver output voltage limits, and definition of differential peak-to-peak amplitude. SLi <p> and SLi<n> are the positive and negative sides of the differential signal pair for lane i (i = 0, 1, 2, 3 for XLAUI. For CAUI i = 0:9)." Remove the sentence in square brackets from Figure 83A-5.         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change to:       "See Figure 83A-5 for an illustration of absolute driver output voltage limits, definition of mission of absolute driver output voltage limits, definition of mission of absolute driver output voltage limits, definition of mission of absolute driver output voltage limits, definition of mission of absolute driver output voltage limits, definition of mission of absolute driver output voltage limits, definition of mission of absolute driver output voltage limits, definition of mission of absolute driver output voltage limits, definition of mission of absolute driver output voltage limits, definition of mission of absolute driver output voltage limits, definition of mission of mission of absolute driver output voltage limits, definition of mission of mission of absolute driver output voltage limits, definition of mission of</n></p>	Comment TypeTRComment StatusRDe-emphasis means a relative attenuation of the higher frequencies, as in "Dolby noise reduction is a form of dynamic preemphasis employed during recording, plus a form of dynamic deemphasis used during playback". Or according to the ANSI standard "ATIS Telecom Glossary 2007", deemphasis is "In FM transmission, the process of restoring (after detection) the amplitude-vsfrequency characteristics of the signal." So de-emphasis is the opposite of what's happening here, which is "preemphasis"preemphasisA system process designed to increase, within a band of frequencies, the magnitude of some (usually higher) frequencies with respect to the magnitude of other (usually lower) frequencies, in order to improve the overall signal-to-noise ratio by minimizing the adverse effects of such phenomena as attenuation differences, or saturation of recording media, in subsequent parts of the system. Note: Preemphasis has applications, for example, in audio recording and FM transmission.".An implementation might achieve emphasis by a subtractive method, and the implementer might call his method what he wants. However, that's implementation. Viewed from the outside, pre-emphasis is a relative boosting of the higher frequencies and de-emphasis is tis opposite.
differential peak to peak amplitude, and definition of the parameters used to calculate de-emphasis. SLi <p> and SLi<n> are the positive and negative sides of the differential signal pair for lane i (i = 0, 1, 2, 3 for XLAUI. For CAUI i = 0:9)." Remove the sentence in square brackets from Figure 83A-5. see comment 317</n></p>	SuggestedRemedy         We don't need to argue about de- versus pre-: just change "de-emphasis" to "emphasis" throughout.         Response       Response Status         W       REJECT.         De-emphasis is an industry standard term where implementations are de-emphasizing low frequecy content         Straw poll:       Use De-emphasis: 6         Use Emphasis: 3       No concensus for change

C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.1

C/ 83A	SC 83A.3.3.1	P380	L <b>21</b>	# 319	C/ 83A	SC 83A.3.3.1	1	P380	L <b>25</b>	# 848
Dawe, Pier	s J G	Independant			Dudek, M	chael		QLogic Corpo	ration	
omment T	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A			Comment	Type TR	Comment S	Status A		
"Vtx-de	mph" is a bad m	replaced with "VMA" in 83A a etric for four reasons:			This i mean	,	"x is max rise/	fall time in ps"	is not explicit. (I	don't know what it
	g a sampling sco time window.	pe, a measurement at a point	t in time is slov	ver than a measurement	Suggeste	dRemedy				
		int in time is degraded by sig	nal and instrur	nent noise (hence needs	With	one potential mea	aning change to	o "x is the rise	or fall time in ps	whichever is larger"
		s the measurement even slow			Response		Response S	Status C		
		int in time is degraded by wa ver repeated measurements			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	,			
		me job as the already well-es				-				
no ben	efit.				chang	e to "x is the rise	e or fall time (w	hichever is larg	jer) in ps"	
yet Fig "Differe	ure 83A-5 implie ential peak-to-pea	ude measurements are tak s that "Maximum absolute ou ak amplitude" are taken from	tput", "Minimu	m absolute output" and	See o	omment 854				
And, th		veforms to average is not a pl				r's note: This con er fields to 83A]	nment is again	st 83A.3.3.1, h	ence corrected	clause/subclause
and oth	ner cost consider	ations.		-	C/ 83A	SC 83A.3.3.1	1	P380	L 46	# 317
uggested	Remedy				Dawe, Pie		-	Independant		
wavefo	orms and taken a d in 83.5.10."	blitude measurements are tak t the center of the respective			Comment Shoul	Туре Е		Status D	y if normative -	even if Figure 47-3 dic
		ak amplitude is defined by an			Suggeste	dRemedy				
	of each naif of tr 3.5." if the UI ma	ne square wave test pattern d tters.	etined in 83.5.	10. VIVIA IS defined in	Move	the sentence in s	square bracket	s from Figure 8	33A-5 to line 15.	
or:					Proposed	Response	Response S	Status W		
	s defined in 86A. Ide, as in Figure	5.3.5." if the UI doesn't matte	er for differentia	al peak-to-peak		OSED ACCEPT				
Replac If we w	e "Vtx-demph" want to give guida	osA-o. ith "VMA" throughout (6 occu nce on averaging, add "NOT I for an emphasis measurem	EIt is recomn		-	uggested remedy		ent 370		
Response	Ū	Response Status W								
ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.								
wavefo		plitude measurements are tak t the center of the respective								
	s defined in 86A	5.3.5."								
		h VMA in table 83A-1, equation 183B-7 (no need to have a di								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.1 Page 93 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:48 AM

		IEEE P802	.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s	Ethernet comments	3		Sponsor ball
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.1 Anslow, Peter	P 380 Nortel Networks	L <b>5</b>	# 580	C/ <b>83A</b> Anslow, Pe	SC 83A.3.3.5 ter	P382 Nortel Networks	L <b>48</b>	# 582
Comment Type E The text "Single-ended ou 83A1 with respect to gro	Comment Status <b>D</b> tput voltage range shall be be und." is not very clear.	tween the range	specified in Table		s only one template for t	<i>ment Status</i> <b>D</b> his.		
SuggestedRemedy	·			Suggested. Change	Remedy e "templates" to "templat	e"		
Change to "The single-end 83A1 with respect to gro	ded output voltage shall be wi und."	thin the range sp	ecified in Table	Proposed I	Response Respo	onse Status W		
	Response Status W			PROP	OSED ACCEPT.			
PROPOSED ACCEPT. See suggested remedy				<i>Cl</i> <b>83A</b> Anslow, Pe	SC 83A.3.4 ter	P 383 Nortel Networks	L <b>35</b>	# 583
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.4 Ganga, Ilango	P 382 Intel Corporation	L <b>3</b>	# 371		ype         T         Cominant           ver does not have an "In These are characteristic         These are characteristic			∩ "Input Rise and Fall
against D 3.0] In the first sentence, the p	Comment Status <b>D</b> 7 against D 2.3 was agreed to ohrase, "For frequencies from A-6 and should be deleted.			Suggested Chang toleran Response	e to "Input AC Common ce".	Mode Voltage tolerand	ce" and "Input R	lise and Fall Time
SuggestedRemedy	ncies from 10 MHz to 11.1 GF				T IN PRINCIPLE.			
<b>0</b>				Change	e to "Minimum input AC o	common mode voltage	e tolerance, RM	S" and
loss" to "Common mod				"Minim	um input rise and fall tim	e tolerance"		
Proposed Response	Response Status W							
Proposed Response Proposed Response Proposed Response Proposed ACCEPT.	•			C/ 83A Dudek, Mic	SC <b>83A.3.4</b> hael	P 383 QLogic Corpora	L <b>36</b> ation	# 849
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. See suggested remedy C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.4 Anslow, Peter	Response Status W P 382 Nortel Networks	L5	# 581	Dudek, Mic Comment This is charac	hael Type <b>T</b> Com actually 83A Ac common eristics of the receiver th	QLogic Corpora ment Status <b>A</b> n mode voltage and in	ation put rise and fall	times are not
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. See suggested remedy 2/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.4 Inslow, Peter	P 382 Nortel Networks Comment Status D	L <b>5</b>	# <u>581</u>	Dudek, Mic Comment T This is charac tolerate Suggested	hael ype <b>T</b> Com actually 83A Ac common eristics of the receiver th Remedy	QLogic Corpora ment Status <b>A</b> n mode voltage and in ney are properties of th	ation put rise and fall he signal that th	l times are not le receiver must
Proposed Response P PROPOSED ACCEPT. See suggested remedy 8 83A SC 83A.3.3.4 nslow, Peter Comment Type E "include" should be "include" SuggestedRemedy	Response Status W P382 Nortel Networks Comment Status D des"			Dudek, Mic Comment This is charac tolerate Suggested Add "to	hael <i>T</i> Com actually 83A Ac common eristics of the receiver the <i>Remedy</i> lerance" to the parameter	QLogic Corpora ment Status <b>A</b> n mode voltage and in ney are properties of th ers AC common mode	ation put rise and fall he signal that th	l times are not le receiver must
Proposed Response P PROPOSED ACCEPT. See suggested remedy 8 83A SC 83A.3.3.4 nslow, Peter Comment Type E "include" should be "include" SuggestedRemedy	P 382 Nortel Networks Comment Status D			Dudek, Mic Comment This is charac tolerate Suggested Add "to Response	hael <i>T</i> Com actually 83A Ac common eristics of the receiver the <i>Remedy</i> lerance" to the parameter	QLogic Corpora ment Status <b>A</b> n mode voltage and in ney are properties of th	ation put rise and fall he signal that th	l times are not le receiver must
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. See suggested remedy Cl 83A SC 83A.3.3.4 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E "include" should be "includ SuggestedRemedy Change "include" to "inclu line 30	Response Status W P382 Nortel Networks Comment Status D des"			Dudek, Mic Comment This is charac tolerate Suggested Add "to Response ACCEF	hael Type <b>T</b> Com actually 83A Ac common eristics of the receiver the Remedy lerance" to the parameter Response	QLogic Corpora ment Status <b>A</b> n mode voltage and in ney are properties of th ers AC common mode	ation put rise and fall he signal that th	l times are not le receiver must

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 83A Page 94 of 199 SC 83A.3.4 1/28/2010 6:39:48 AM

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

CI 83ASC 83A.3.4.2P 384Dawe, Piers J GIndependent	L <b>11</b> # <u>320</u>	C/         83A         SC         83A.3.4.4         P 385         L 27         # 321           Dawe, Piers J G         Independant         Independant
Comment Type T Comment Status A Draft says "the far-end receiver eye mask" yet no other m	ention of far-end eye.	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>R</b> Circular references, pointless equation and graph.
SuggestedRemedy Change to "the eye mask".		SuggestedRemedy Change "For frequencies from 10 MHz to 11.1 GHz, differential to common mode input
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.		return loss shall meet the requirements defined in Table 83A-2. Differential to common mode input return loss is given in Equation (83A-8) and is illustrated in Figure 83A-11." to "From 10 MHz to 11.1 GHz, the differential to common mode input return loss shall comply with the limit shown in Table 83A-2." In Table 83A-2, change "Differential input return loss"
See suggested remedy		to "Differential input return loss (min) and change "see Equation (83A-8)" to "15". Delete
C/ 83ASC 83A.3.4.3P 384Ganga, IlangoIntel Corporation	L <b>37</b> # <u>372</u>	Equation 83A-8. Either delete "Differential to common mode input return loss is given in Equation (83A-8) and is illustrated in Figure 83A-11." and the figure, or change to "The limi for differential to common mode input return loss is illustrated in Figure 83A-10." and show the -SCD11 line on figure 83A-10.
Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         [Editor's note: Comment 9 against D 2.3 was agreed to be against D 3.0]       The phrase, "For frequencies from 10 MHz to 11.1 GHz, " eq. 83a-7 and should be deleted.         SuggestedRemedy	, is redundant with the con	For consistancy with other return loss specifications, it is best to represent the differential to common mode input return loss as an equation with a graph, and reference that equation in Table 83A-2 (even if it is a fixed value)
Change from, "For frequencies from 10 MHz to 11.1 GHz, "Differential input return loss"	, differential input return los	C/ 83A SC 83A.3.4.4 P385 L39 # 879
Proposed Response Response Status W		Petrilla, John Avago Technologies
PROPOSED ACCEPT. See suggested remedy		Comment Type E Comment Status D The last line of the paragraph, "f is the frequency in GHz." is redundant with the first line of the paragraph and can be deleted.
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.4.4 P385	L <b>24</b> # 799	SuggestedRemedy
Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom		Delete the last line of the paragraph, "f is the frequency in GHz".
Comment Type T Comment Status A		Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Log scale hide the critical high freq attributes		
SuggestedRemedy		Delete "For frequencies from 10 MHz to 11.1 GHz," from the first line of the paragraph
Log scale hide the critical high freq attributes         SuggestedRemedy         Change to linear scale         Response       Response Status         C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		Delete "For frequencies from 10 MHz to 11.1 GHz," from the first line of the paragraph Add frequency bound to the equation: 0.01 = f = 11.1

CI 83A SC 83A.3.4.4

# IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 83A SC 83A.3.4.5 Dawe, Piers J G	P 386 Independant	L <b>26</b>	# 322	<i>Cl</i> 83A Dawe, Piers J	SC <b>83A.3.4.6</b> G	P <b>386</b> Independant	L 38	# 323
uggestedRemedy				input, so link (e.g. with the F alternativ SuggestedRe Change t	equency jitter here is no ma n a module). V MDs, both 100 es. <i>medy</i>	Comment Status <b>R</b> tolerance is the same for a r rgin for the small amount of Ve also have to check that th G-lane and 25G-lane. Here is uency for a nAUI interface or also in 83B.	extra LF jitter a ne nAUI LF jitte s one proposed	ded by CDRs in the r specs are compatible remedy; there may be
83A SC 83A.3.4.5 etrilla, John comment Type T	Avago Technolo Comment Status A	0	# <u>880</u>			Response Status W are verified at the PMD leve s. nAUI interface defines as:		
included on both ends 83B module since 83B coupling on both ends performance. The solur host designer knows w uggestedRemedy Change "AC-coupling i specification unless ex	C-coupling is part of the receive of the XLAUI/CAUI link when a 2.1 requires AC-coupling in mo of the link seems to have little u- tion to this problem is better ad hich 83A interfaces are not con s considered to be part of the mo policitly stated otherwise." to "AC es of this specification except we ad otherwise."	n 83A receiver odules for both utility and may dressed in 83A nected to 83B eceiver for the C-coupling is co	is connected to an Tx and Rx paths. AC- likely degrade signal than 83B since the modules purposes of this posidered part of the	C/ 83A Dudek, Micha Comment Typ This is ac SuggestedRe Change " Proposed Re	SC <b>83A.4</b> el e <b>E</b> tually 83A . Po <i>medy</i> an Xlaui" to "a sponse	P <b>387</b> QLogic Corpo <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> por English	L 23	# <u>850</u>
esponse	Response Status C			PROPOS	ED ACCEPT.			
ACCEPT.				See sugg	ested remedy			
See suggested remedy	,			[Editor's r fields to 8		ment is against 83A.4, hence	e corrected clau	use/subclause number

C/ 83A SC 83A.4

# IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 83A SC 83A.4 P388 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom	L <b>31</b>	# 800	C/ 83A SC Ganga, Ilango	C 83A.5.1	P <b>389</b> Intel Corporati	L <b>12</b> on	# 374
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> Log scale hide the critical high freq attributes			Comment Type	T e: Comment 1	Comment Status A 2 against D 2.3 was agree	d to be resubm	itted by the Editor
SuggestedRemedy Change to linear scale Response Response Status <b>C</b>			Should not e	tes., "The data either pattern	a pattern for jitter measurer 3, pattern 5 (see table 86-1 3b.2.3 page 404 line 7.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			SuggestedReme	edy			
See comment 799			"Pattern 3, I	Pattern 5, see	attern for jitter measureme Table 86-11, or valid XLAL apply in 83a.5.2 line 32 and	JI/CAUI signal s	shall be used for jitter
C/         83A         SC         83A.5         P 389           Dawe, Piers J G         Independa	L <b>4</b> nt	# 324	Response	•	Response Status C	oob.z.o page	+04 mile 7.
Comment Type E Comment Status D 0 Volts -3dB SuggestedRemedy 0 V (I think: as on line 14) -3 space dB Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. See suggested remedy: replace "0 Volts" with "0 V" replace "-3dB" with "-3 dB"			83A.5: Change fror To: "The data p scrambled in Change fror to The PRBS3 evaluating > Add PICS for Change 83E	n, "The data p attern for jitter dle in 82.2.10. n, "A PRBS31 11 test pattern KLAUI/CAUI jit or Jitter Tolera 3.2.3 to the fol	pattern shall be used for e in 83.5.10 or scrambled idl ter tolerance. nce Pattern	nts shall be tes e PRBS31 test evaluating XLAL e in 82.2.10 sha	pattern in 83.5.10 or JI/CAUI jitter tolerance." all be used for
			82.2.10 or F	PRBS31 test p	P389		# 325
			Dawe, Piers J G		Independant	2.10	11 020
			<i>Comment Type</i> "The data p		Comment Status A test pattern it's not data. (	Ethernet frames	s are data, idle is not.)
			SuggestedReme Delete "data				
				I PRINCIPLE. e data pattern	Response Status C		

C/ 83A SC 83A.5.1

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ <b>83A</b> SC <b>83A.5.1</b> Misek, Brian	P 389 Avago Technol	L <b>15</b> ogies	# 765	C/ 83A S Petrilla, John	C 83A.5.1	P <b>389</b> Avago Techno	L16	# 881	
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Not clear that "off" state ca SuggestedRemedy Change "is the optimal set Response F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Comment Status <b>A</b> an have de-emphasis. ting" to "is defined any sett Response Status <b>C</b>	ing that gives o	optimal performance"	Comment Type         ER         Comment Status         A           The last sentence of the paragraph, "All XLAUI/CAUI channels shall be active during transmit jitter testing to ensure any channel-channel crosstalk is included in the jitter evaluation." uses the word 'channel' where the word 'lane' would seem a better choice.           SuggestedRemedy         Change "All XLAUI/CAUI channels shall be active during transmit jitter testing to ensure any channel-channel crosstalk is included in the jitter evaluation." to "All XLAUI/CAUI lane shall be active during transmit jitter testing to ensure any channel-channel crosstalk is included in the jitter evaluation." to "All XLAUI/CAUI lane shall be active during transmit jitter testing to ensure any lane-lane crosstalk is included in the jitter evaluation." to "All XLAUI/CAUI lane shall be active during transmit jitter testing to ensure any lane-lane crosstalk is included in the jitter evaluation." to "All XLAUI/CAUI lane shall be active during transmit jitter testing to ensure any lane-lane crosstalk is included in the jitter evaluation."					
"is defined by any setting t 2/ 83A SC 83A.5.1 Ganga, llango Comment Type E	P 389 Intel Corporatio Comment Status D	L16	# <u>3</u> 73	Response ACCEPT.	sted remedy	Response Status W			
[Editor's note: Comment 1 against D 3.0] The text, "All XLAUI/CAUI testing to ensure any char the term 'channel' where th context, the four lanes of X page 404 line 6.	3 against D 2.3 was agreed channels shall be active du nel-channel crosstalk is ind ne term 'lane' is more appro	uring transmit j cluded in the jit opriate. For exa	tter ter evaluation." uses imple, in 802.3ba	Cl 83A S Dawe, Piers J ( Comment Type	C 83A.5.1	P 389 Independant <i>Comment Status</i> A w scrambled idles as well as	L <b>36</b> PRBS31,	# 327	
SuggestedRemedy Change from, "All XLAUI/CAUI channels shall be active during transmit jitter testing to ensure any channel-channel crosstalk is included in the jitter evaluation." to "All XLAUI/CAUI lanes shall be active during transmit jitter testing to ensure any lane-lane crosstalk is included in the jitter evaluation." Repeat/apply in 83a.5.2 line 31 and 83b.2.3 page 404 line 6.				Response ACCEPT.		m Figure 83A-15 and Figure 8 <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> m Figure 83A-15, 83B-10	83B-10. Update	PICS 83A.7.6 EM1.	
	Response Status W			Update PIC	CS 83A.7.6 I	EM1 value to read PRBS31 o	r scrambled idle		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 83A SC 83A.5.1

C/ <b>83A</b> SC <b>83A.5.2</b> Dawe, Piers J G	P <b>389</b> Independant	L <b>24</b>	# 326	C/ <b>83A</b> Petrilla, Joł	SC 83A.5.2	P <b>389</b> Avago Techno	L <b>29</b> logies	# 882
	Comment Status <b>A</b> histic jitter" you mean dua			Comment 7	<i>Type</i> <b>ER</b> should not be a	Comment Status A any inferences that test setups a	C C	rams are compulsory.
SuggestedRemedy Either change "peak-to-pea capitals) twice here, three ti Response Re ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add statement after the first "Applied jitter is measured to	mes in 83B.5.5, or, better esponse Status W t sentence:	, use a more m	eaningful jitter metric.	83A1 Response ACCEF change minimu 83A-2. to: The XL	5 depicts a XL PT IN PRINCIF (line 21 pg 38 m receiver eye AUI/CAUI jitte	(9): The XLAUI/CAUI jitter tolerate mask defined in Table r tolerance test setup in figure 8	setup." ance test setup 33A-15 or its fu	o shall meet the
Peak-to-peak deterministic	jitter is used in ap (CL72)	, 47, 85.		meet th	ne minimum re	ceiver eye mask defined in Tab	ole 83A-2.	•
Cl 83A SC 83A.5.2 Gustlin, Mark Comment Type T C Comment: The XLAUI/CAU includes significant PCB los capabilities. An actual comp transmitter can have up to 7 channel and there will be no jitter tolerance setup as spe test will fail in an actual app This has been verified by si SuggestedRemedy Change: "The low pass filter jitter is achieved." To: "The low pass filter stre achieved."	s. This allows the receive bliant channel can have ver 'dB of de-emphasis. This o residual equalizable jitte cified is not stressful enor lication. mulating applications that r stress is added until the	es not employ d or to take advan ery little loss. Ar will result in over r at the receiver ugh and a recei use a short cha 0.25 UI peak-to	tage of its equalization a actual compliant er equalization of the input. Therefore the ver that passes the annel.	against Please Suggested Change stress   Proposed F	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> s note: Comm D 3.0] spell out +. <i>Remedy</i> e, " jitter of th olus limiter and	Intel Corporation <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> ent 3 against D 2.3 was agreed the filter stress + limiter and random d random jitter" <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	to be resubmi	-
Response Re ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "The low pass filte jitter is achieved." To: "The low pass filter stre achieved."								

C/ 83A SC 83A.5.2

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ <b>83A</b> SC Ghiasi, Ali	83A.5.2	P 389 Broadcom	L <b>38</b>	# 795	Cl 83A Turner, Ed <sup>y</sup>	SC 83A.7.3 ward J	P <b>392</b> Gnodal Limited	L <b>4</b>	# 242
Comment Type No clear wha	-	comment Status A	ical or mechanic	al stress or do I need	Comment Table I		Comment Status <b>D</b> nd style of PICS table is not sa	me as in othe	r clauses.
to twist the F	PCB!				Suggested	Remedv			
SuggestedReme	edy				00		e table border and around the	title cells and	thin lines between cells,
		pendent attenuator *" f Frequency dependent	ottonuotor		as per	tables in the oth	ner clauses. Also apply to othe	r PICS tables	in 83A.7
	•		allenualor		Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
Response ACCEPT IN		esponse Status C			PROP	OSED ACCEPT	-		
	-	CB trace stress."			[Editor fields t		mment is against 83A.7.3, hen	ce corrected o	clause/subclause numbe
	and frequency-d	lependent attenuation".			C/ 83A	SC 83A.7.3	P 392	L <b>5</b>	# 584
Deslares "C					Anslow, Pe	ter	Nortel Network	S	
	tress is then ad uency-depender	nt attenuation which em	ulates PCB loss	II.	Comment	Гуре Т	Comment Status A		
attenuation	which emulates		C C		not me There	ntion skew. should be requi	o requirements for Skew or Sk rements for Skew and Skew va if this is the highest.		
Change Figu	Ire 83A-15 "PCE	B Trace Stress" to "Freq	uency-depende	nt attenuator"	Suggested	Remedy			
See comme	nt 796						amic generation within limits, n		
C/ 83A SC	83A.7.2.2	P <b>40</b>	L <b>391</b>	# 111	tolerate		requirements subclause that	ust points to a	clause 83 for the skew
lajduczenia, Ma		ZTE Corp.			Response		Response Status <b>C</b>		
Comment Type	тс	comment Status A			•	PT IN PRINCIP			
(1) "IEEE 80 Annex83A" -	2.3 Std. 802.3b scrub the draft	a-20xx Annex83A" shou to make this designatio Std 802.3-2007" - this m	n consistent acr	oss various clauses(2)		_	h is the sub clause referenced	points to clau	use 83.
		ake this designation con			Replac tolerate		namic generation within limits,	maximum Dy	namic-Skew can be
SuggestedReme	edy				with				
Per commer	nt				"see C	ause 83"			
Response	Re	esponse Status C							
ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.								
Change to: IEEE Std 80	2.3ba-20xx								
See comme	nt 393								

C/ 83A SC 83A.7.3

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet o	comments	Sponsor ballot
C/ <b>83A</b> SC <b>83A.7.3</b> Hajduczenia, Marek	Р <b>9</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>392</b>	# 112	C/ <b>83A</b> SC <b>83A.7</b> Dambrosia, John	4 P 392 L 4 Force 10 Networks Inc	# 679
fact that "Leverages 64B	Comment Status A sistent Feature and Value/Co /66B coding" got to do with the s RATE and IO if they are ma	ne data rate? (2	) Why there is "N/A" in	SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status <b>A</b> LL statements for any PICS in 83A.7.3 s for NOL, RATE, IO, INT	
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status WIPLE.	
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Replace "Leverages 64B coding" with "10.3125Gb/s (nominal)" Remove N/A from suppo Remove "N/A" from IO u	rt			in Clause 83. For 10 applications, it is pro- to "For 40 Gb/s applica Clause 83. For 100 applications, the da RATE In 83A.1.2 Change:	esented in ten lanes as described in Clause ations, the data stream shall be presented in	83" n four lanes as described in
Cl 83A SC 83A.7.4 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T Item TC6 "Maximum Ter "Differential output return SuggestedRemedy Change to "83A.3.3" Response	P 392 Nortel Networks Comment Status R mination Mismatch" reference		# <u>585</u> 3A.3.3.3 which is	nominal rate of 10.3 to "Data is 64B/66B co Gb/s applications sh IO In 83A.3 change: "The electr XLAUI/CAUI are sp to "The electrical chara	125 Gb/s for each lane in both 40 Gb/s and oded. The nominal signalling rate for each la hall be 10.3125 Gb/s." ical characteristics for ecified in this section."	ane in both 40 Gb/s and 100
REJECT. 83.3.3.3 contains shall st	atement for mismatch.			INT Remove (83A.4 is r	ecommended)	

C/ 83A SC 83A.7.4 Page 101 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:48 AM

Draft 3.0 Commen	ts	IEEE P8	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet co	Sponsor ballo			
C/ 83A SC 83A.7.4 Dambrosia, John	P <b>392</b> Force 10 Netw	L <b>43</b> vorks Inc	# 675	C/ <b>83A</b> SC <b>83A.7.5</b> Dambrosia, John	5 <b>P 393</b> Force 10 Netw	L10 rorks Inc	# 677	
	Comment Status A Differential Output S-Parameter ntial Output Return Loss	s" which is not	correct. The referenced	Comment Type ER Feature for RC3 is no for Differential Input F	Comment Status A ot correct - Differential Input S-P Return Loss	arameters. The	e referenced equation i	
SuggestedRemedy change feature to "Dif	ferential Output Return Loss			SuggestedRemedy Change feature to "D	ifferential Input Return Loss"			
Response ACCEPT. See comment 586	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT. See comment 586	Response Status C			
C/ 83A SC 83A.7.4	P 392 Nortel Network	L <b>43</b> (S	# 586	CI 83A SC 83A.7.5 Dambrosia, John	<i>P</i> <b>393</b> Force 10 Netw	L13 rorks Inc	# 678	
Comment Type <b>T</b> Items TC8, TC9, RC3	Comment Status <b>A</b> , RC4 contain "S-parameters" i	rather than retu	rn loss.	Comment Type ER Feature for RC4 is no	Comment Status A ot correct - Differential Common	Mode Input Co	nversion S-Paramete	
	C3 change "S-parameters" to " Conversion S-parameters" to "			Response	fferential to common mode inpu Response Status <b>C</b>	ıt return loss"		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			ACCEPT. See comment 586				
See suggested remed	ły			C/ 83A SC 83A.7.5 Anslow, Peter	P <b>393</b> Nortel Network	L <b>8</b> (S	# 587	
C/83A SC 83A.7.4 Dambrosia, John	P <b>392</b> Force 10 Netw	L <b>46</b> vorks Inc	# 676	Comment Type E This is the only instar	Comment Status <b>D</b> nce of "1E-12" in the draft			
	Comment Status A Common Modeb Output S-Para s for Common Mode Output Re		s not correct. The	SuggestedRemedy Change to 10 superscript -12 Proposed Response Response Status W				
SuggestedRemedy change feature to "Co	mmon Mode Output Return Lo	ss"		PROPOSED ACCEP See suggested reme	т.			
Response	Response Status C							

ACCEPT.

See comment 586

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 83A SC 83A.7.5 Page 102 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:48 AM

# IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

83A SC 83A.7.5 P7 L393	# 113	C/ 83B SC 83B.1	P 396	L <b>43</b>	# 328
jduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.		Dawe, Piers J G	Independan	t	
In item RC2, the BER should read "10-12" and not "1E-12"			Comment Status A of the receiver a "transmitt	er" or part of the tra	ansmitter a "receiver",
ggestedRemedy Per comment		This proposed remedy, related items.	on per another comment. for 83B, follows 86A for co		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		module input or output.	83B don't relate to the XL	AUI/CAUI compone	ent but to the nost or
Change to 10 superscript -12		SuggestedRemedy			
See comment 587 [Editor's note: This comment is against 83A.7.5, hence corrected clar number fields to 83A]	use/subclause	and 83B-7.	e "Transmitter" to "Driver", nsmit de-emphasis" to "Mo	·	Ū
83B SC 83B.1 P395 L16	# 588	jitter" to "module output			
slow, Peter Nortel Networks		four more times in the F	PICS 83B.4.3.		<b>0</b>
mment Type E Comment Status D		four more times in the F			0
"applications which leverage XLAUI / CAUI" is not easy to understand	1.	Change "83B.2.3 Recei In Figure 83B-10, chang	ver Tolerance" to "83B.2.3 ge "XLAUI / CAUI	Host input signal to	olerance".
ggestedRemedy Change to "applications which use the XLAUI / CAUI interface"		receiver" to "XLAUI / CA	AUI host input". her comment, change 83B	.4.4 PICS HC12 fr	om "Receiver AC
oposed Response Response Status W		coupling" to "Host input	1 8		
PROPOSED ACCEPT.		Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status W		
See suggested remedy		Editoral license to add o	corresponding text to descr	ibe figure lables wh	nere appropriate
83B         SC 83B.1         P 396         L 42           slow, Peter         Nortel Networks	# 589	(consider 86A-8 as inpu	it for 83B-10)		
mment Type E Comment Status D		Label Figures 83B-5 an parameters in Tables.	d 83B-7 with input and out	put points associate	ed with specification
In Figure 83B-3 it would be helpful to put arrow heads on the lines that connector. This means that for the Figures that are derived from this I and 83B-7) when only one side or the other is visible, there will still be	Figure (Figures 83B-5 e arrows on both top	Align naming of "Transr names	nit de-emphasis" and "trar	nsmitter jitter" in 83	B.2.1 with these
and bottom lines. Secondly, the top line is a different thickness from the this figure should be drawn in native Framemaker in order to make full much accient and to make full much accient and to make full much accient and the make full much accient and the make full much accient accent a	ture modification	Align naming of eye ma	sk parameters in Table 83	B-3 with these nam	nes
much easier and to make Figures 83B-5 and 83B-7 (which are derived consistent. For example in Figure 83B-5 the small arrow head is still when the figure at the figure at the figure at the second sec		Align naming of eye ma	sk parameters in Table 83	B-5 with these nam	ies
the fonts are different, etc. ggestedRemedy		Change the title of "83B	.2.3 Receiver Tolerance" i	n line with these na	ames
Add two arrow heads, make the lines the same thickness, drawn in Fr propagate these changes to Figures 83B-5 and 83B-7.	ramemaker and	Change the labelling of	the rightmost box in figure	83B-10 in line with	these names
poposed Response Response Status W		If it isn't deleted by anot with these names.	her comment, change the	naming of 83B.4.4	PICS HC12 in line
PROPOSED ACCEPT.		with these names.			
See suggested remedy					

Draft 3.0 C	omments
-------------	---------

# IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

/ 83B SC 83B.1 rowbridge, Stephen	P <b>396</b> ALCATEL-LU	L 49	# 268	C/ <b>83B</b> Dawe, Pier	SC 83B.1	P <b>39</b> Indepe		L <b>7</b>	# 329
<b>-</b> .		CENT				•			
omment Type ER	Comment Status A 3 Chip-Module loss budget " de	oes not indicate	the reference frequency	Comment		Comment Status support 0.87 dB conne		3B should at	least match it (83B
uggestedRemedy					not need a bett	ter connector than 86A			
Change title to: "Figur	e 83B-3 Chip-Module loss buc	dget at 5.15625 (	GHz"	Suggested	Remedy				
esponse ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Chang	e 0.5 to 0.9 here	e and in Figure 83B-3. s budget the same.	Consider re	educing the h	nost insertion loss by
See suggested remed	ly			Response		Response Status	w		
/ 83B SC 83B.1	P 397	L10	# 851	ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI	LE.			
udek, Michael	QLogic Corpo	oration		See co	mment 851				
omment Type TR	Comment Status A			C/ 83B					
CR4/10 and nppi. The	This is actually 83B. The connector loss is unnecessarily restrictive and tighter than CR4/10 and nppi. The loss budget for 83A is 12.38 dB and there isn't a good reason why the 83B loss budget should be this much smaller. This budget alone would allow a connector loss of 2.38 dB however that would be a horrible connector and probably worse than we should consider using.				SC <b>83B.1</b> a, Marek	P <b>49</b> ZTE C		L <b>396</b>	# 114
connector loss of 2.38					Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> Figure 83B-3 should have a caption that reads "Chip-to-module connection loss budget". This term is also used throughout the clause, even though before it was used consistently				
lggestedRemedy						Jse one designation co			
is accepted also chan	ector loss to 1.74 dB (same a ge the connector loss from "up esting a change to figure 83B-	p to 0.5dB" to "u	p to 1.74dB" in Figure	Suggested Per co	•	-			
	lity connector should be used			Response		Response Status	с		
esponse	Response Status U			, ACCEI	PT IN PRINCIPI	•	•		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	LE.			Use ch	ip-module throu	ughout.			
Additional detail require	red on 83A loss budget.			C/ 83B	SC 83B.2	P18	8	L397	# 115
Modify the following se	entence in 83A.4:			Hajduczeni		ZTE C			" 110
	es recommended characteristio	cs which are use	ed to describe an	Comment	vpe TR	Comment Status	Δ.		
XLAUI/CAUI channel. to :This section describe	" s recommended characteristic	cs which are use	d to characterize an	It is sa	d in the text that	at Figure83B-5 and Fig y on these figures.		nclude definit	tion of compliance
XLAUI/CAUI channel	as shown in Figure 83A-2."			Suggested	Remedy				
	hich shows channel from trans re compliance points towards r		er (full length bi-	Clarify	•	compliance points are	located on	these figures	s, adding them clearly
,	aged to suggest additional info	,	budgeting in 83B in	Response ACCEI	PT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status LE.	w		
	mment is against 83B.1, henc	e corrected clau	se/subclause number	See co	mment 328				
YPE: TR/technical requir OMMENT STATUS: D/d ORT ORDER: Clause,	ed ER/editorial required GR/ ispatched A/accepted R/reject Subclause, page, line	general required	I T/technical E/editorial G NSE STATUS: O/open W/	/general written C/close	d U/unsatisfied	d Z/withdrawn	CI 83B SC 83B.2		Page 104 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:48

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P8	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s	Etherne	t comme	ents		Sponsor ballo
C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Anslow, Peter	P 397 Nortel Network	L <b>20</b> s	# 590	<i>Cl</i> 83B Dawe, Pier	SC <b>83B</b> rs J G	.2	P <b>397</b> Independant	L <b>26</b>	# 330
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment S This says "The chip-module XLAUI / C module connector as depicted in Figu not show any compliance points. SuggestedRemedy Label the compliance points. Response Response S	CAUI interface s ire 83B5 and F			HCB? Suggested Chang insertic	test fixture l Other chan <i>IRemedy</i> le "The refe	PCB insertinges to imp rence HCE ne HCB, ex	omment Status <b>A</b> ion loss": what's a "HCB prove clarity and consiste B test fixture PCB insertic ccluding the module conr	ncy. on loss" to "The	e reference differential
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 328					PT IN PRIN	ICIPLE.	esponse Status W 3 test fixture PCB insertic		reference differential
C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Latchman, Ryan Comment Type T Comment S		L 24	# <u>99</u>	Chang	e "The refe	rence MCI	CB". Next line, change "te B test fixture PCB insertio CB". Next line, change "te	on loss" to "The	e reference differential
"5.5GHz in the following sentence sho and Figure 83B-7 include the loss ass SuggestedRemedy				Cl 83B Trowbridge	SC 83B e, Stephen	.2	P <b>397</b> ALCATEL-LUC	L <b>27</b> CENT	# 273
"Change sentense to:""Figure 83B-5 and Figure 83B-7 include the loss ass <i>Response Response S</i> ACCEPT. See sugggested remedy		e HCB and MCI	3 at 5.15625 GHz."""	and the normat <i>Suggested</i> Change	entence "The e reference tive. <i>IRemedy</i> le to: "The e erence inse	e effects c insertion s effect of the	omment Status <b>A</b> of differences between the should be accounted for i e difference between the are to be accounted in the esponse Status <b>W</b>	in the measure insertion loss of	ments." is not of an actual HCB and
				See su	uggested re	medy			

See comment 274

C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Page 105 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:48 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P80	)2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	id 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	mments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Dawe, Piers J G	P <b>397</b> Independant	L <b>32</b>	# 331	Cl <b>83B</b> Anslow, Pe	SC 83B.2	P 397 Nortel Networks	L <b>32</b>	# 591
Comment Type <b>T</b> The compliance board lo SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A sses should be specified dow	n to 10 MHz as	in 86A.	smoot	on 83B-2 is for h curve as per E	Comment Status A the reference HCB test fixture PC Equation 83B-3 for the MCB and		
For equations 83B-3 and 83B-4, change the lower limit of the frequency range from 0.25 to 0.01 GHz. Consider similar changes for all specs in 83A and 83B.					scaled version	of equation 86A-4 with chosen lo 0.1836 * f for 2.1 dB at 5.15625		5 GHz. This would be:
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See comment#870	Response Status <b>C</b>			Response ACCE		Response Status C		
	<b>D</b>		"	See su	uggested remed	y. Change figure 83B-4		
C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Dawe, Piers J G	P <b>397</b> Independant	L <b>32</b>	# 332	Cl 83B Trowbridge	SC 83B.2	P 398 ALCATEL-LUCE	L 29	# 271
86A-4, with between 1.26 PCB) at 5.15625 GHz. Th achievable. SuggestedRemedy	ixture PCB insertion loss sho 6 dB (like the 86A HCB) and 2 his is a TR in case there is de equation 86A-4. E.g. with 1.8	2.1 dB (max loss lay in finding wh	s for 83B module hat HCB loss is	equalit value. S <i>uggested</i>	entence "HCB P ty equation 83B IRemedy	Comment Status A CB up to 2.1dB" reflects the HCI 3. Therefore, the HCB loss value PCB targeted to 2.1dB"		
be: 0.0143 + 0.4291 * sq			,	Response		Response Status W		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status W				PT IN PRINCIP	LE.		
See comment 591 (discussion) The loss of 2 1dB is mair	ntained to match 83B module	loss budget		CI 83B Dudek, Mic	SC 83B.2 chael	P 398 QLogic Corporat	L <b>30</b> tion	# 852
				HCB F Suggested	actually 83B T PCB IRemedy	Comment Status A ne HCB now has a reference los	s. It shouldn'i	t say "Up to" for the
					"Up to" for the			
				Response ACCE See su		Response Status <b>C</b> y		

[Editor's note: This comment is against 83B.2, hence corrected clause/subclause number fields to 83B]

C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Page 106 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:48 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s	s Ethernet co	mments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Trowbridge, Stephen	P <b>398</b> ALCATEL-LUC	L <b>41</b> CENT	# 269	C/ <b>83B</b> Dawe, Pier	SC <b>83B.2</b> rs J G	P <b>398</b> Independant	L <b>52</b>	# 333
Comment Type ER The title "Figure 83B-5 Cl reference frequency. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A hip-module compliance poir	nts with HCB" d	loes not indicate the	implen	CB loss for nAL nentation e.g. Q	Comment Status R JI B is 0.92 dB while the MCB for SFP socket may be capable of a advantage if the same MCB coul	either nAUI B	or nPPI (and possibly
	3B-5 Chip-module compliar	ice points with	HCB at 5.15625 GHz"	Suggested	Remedy			
Response	Response Status W			If feas	ible, reduce the	nAUI B MCB reference loss tow	ards the nPP	I reference loss.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Response REJE		Response Status W		
C/ 83B SC 83B.2	3B-5 Chip-module HCB insu P <b>398</b>	L 49	# 274			will impact multiple parameters mplement this change.	. The comme	enter has not provided a
Trowbridge, Stephen	ALCATEL-LUC	CENT		C/ 83B	SC 83B.2	P 399	L <b>36</b>	# 272
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A s of differences between th			Trowbridge	e, Stephen	ALCATEL-LUCE	NT	
the reference insertion los	the difference between the ss are to be accounted in th <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>			equaliti value. Suggestec Chang Response ACCE	ty equation 83B <i>Remedy</i> je title to: "MCB	PCB up to 2.1dB" reflects the MC -4. Therefore, the MCB loss valu PCB targeted to 2.1dB" <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> LE.		
				Cl <b>83B</b> Anslow, Pe	SC 83B.2 eter	P <b>399</b> Nortel Networks	L <b>36</b>	# 592
				Comment In Figu	51	Comment Status <b>A</b> CB is labelled "Up to 1dB", but th	nere is no ma	ximum HCB loss value.
					e to "MCB PCB	= 1 dB" where the "=" is an app ng for Figure 83B-5 for the appro		
				Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status <b>C</b> LE.		
				See co	omment 853			

C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Page 107 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:48 AM

Draft 3.0 Comm	ients	IEEE Pa	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	mments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 83B SC 83B. Dudek, Michael	2 P 399 QLogic C	L 36 orporation	# 853	<i>Cl</i> 83B Ghiasi, Ali	SC 83B.2.1	P <b>401</b> Broadcom	L <b>24</b>	# 798
Comment Type <b>T</b> This is actually in a MCB PCB	Comment Status A 83B. The MCB now has a refe	erence loss. It should	dn't say "Up to" for the	Ũ	ale hide the crit	Comment Status A ical high freq attributes		
SuggestedRemedy Delete "Up to" for				Suggested Chang	<i>Remedy</i> e to linear scale	2		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCE	PT.	Response Status C		
See suggested re	nedy				iggested remed mment 799	у		
[Editor's note: This fields to 83B]	s comment is against 83B.2, h	nence corrected clau		<i>Cl</i> 83B Petrilla, Jol	SC 83B.2.1	P <b>402</b> Avago Tech	L1	# 883
Cl 83B SC 83B. Trowbridge, Stephen		L <b>47</b> -LUCENT	# 270	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D c into page 401.	nologies	
Comment Type ER The title "Figure 8 reference frequent	3B-7 Chip-module compliance	e points with MCB " o	does not indicate the	Suggested	Remedy	c into page 401.		
SuggestedRemedy	gure 83B-7 Chip-module com	pliance points with N	<i>I</i> CB at 5.15625 GHz"	Proposed I PROP		Response Status W		
Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Response Status W				ve comment c (p use reference.	points to Figure 83A-8-Trans	mitter Eye Masł	() which is covered in
change title to: "Fi	gure 83B-7 Chip-module with <b>2.1</b> <i>P</i> <b>400</b>	MCB insertion loss	budget at 5.15625 GHz" # 593	C/ 83B Dudek, Mic	SC 83B.2.1	P <b>402</b> QLogic Corp	L <b>9</b> poration	# 854
Anslow, Peter <i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> In Table 83B-2 "M	Nortel Ne <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> inimum Module differential inp			means	actually in 83B !!)	Comment Status A . "x is max rise/fall time in ps	s" is not explicit.	(I don't know what it
case m SuggestedRemedy Change to module				Suggested With o Response	-	aning change to "x is the ris Response Status <b>C</b>	e or fall time in p	s whichever is larger"
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status W				PT IN PRINCIP	LE. e or fall time (which ever is la	arger) in ps"	
See suggested re	nedy			[Editor fields t		mment is against 83B.2.1, h	ence corrected of	clause/subclause number

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 83B Page 108 of 199 SC 83B.2.1 1/28/2010 6:39:48 AM

#### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

1/28/2010 6:39:48 AM

C/ <b>83B</b> Ghiasi, Ali	SC 83B.2.2	P <b>403</b> Broadcom	L <b>24</b>	# 797	<i>Cl</i> 83B Petrilla, Jo	SC 83B.2.3	P <b>4(</b> Avago	<b>)4</b> Technologie	L11 es	# 885
Comment Ty Log scal		Comment Status <b>A</b> al high freg attributes			<i>Comment</i> There	51	Comment Status		block diagra	ms are compulsory.
SuggestedRe Change	<i>Remedy</i> to linear scale				Suggestee Chang	<i>dRemedy</i> ge from "Figure 8	3B10 depicts the XL s a XLAUI / CAUI jitte	AUI / CAUI j	itter tolerand	
Response ACCEPT	Т.	Response Status C			Response	,	Response Status		sa solup.	
See sug	gested remedy					uggested remedy	/			
	nment 799	D 402	/ 40	# 004	C/ 83B	SC 83B.2.3	P40		L13	# 855
C/ <b>83B</b> Dawe, Piers /	SC 83B.2.2	P <b>403</b> Independant	L <b>49</b>	# 334	Dudek, Mi	chael	QLogi	c Corporatior	า	
Comment Ty	/ре Т	Comment Status A	ting at the wror	ng mask diagram.			Comment Status The figure doesn't sho d.		t eye mask	and doesn't give the
SuggestedRe					Suggeste					
	-	to "Figure 83A-8", and add a	full stop.		Repla			rated in figure	e 83A-8 with	the values for X1, X2,
Response ACCEPT	T IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status <b>C</b> E.			Response ACCE		Response Status	с		
Remove	note. Referen	ce to subclause includes figur	e 83A-8		See s	uggested remedy	1			
CI <b>83B</b> Ganga, Ilang	SC 83B.2.3	P 403 Intel Corporatio	L <b>50</b> on	# 376						
Comment Ty	/pe E	Comment Status A								
[Editor's against [	note: Commen D 3.0]	t 16 against D 2.3 was agreed								
SuggestedRe	emedy									
Change, = 1E-12"		I peak-to-peak random jitter"	to "and 0.15 UI	random jitter for BER						
Response ACCEPT	T IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status <b>C</b> E.								
jitter for I Add the	BER of 10^-12" following senter			random						
COMMENT S	STATUS: D/dis	d ER/editorial required GR/g patched A/accepted R/reject subclause, page, line	eneral required ed RESPON	T/technical E/editorial G/ ISE STATUS: O/open W/v	/general written C/clos	ed U/unsatisfied	d Z/withdrawn	C/ 83B SC 83B.2.3	3	Page 109 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:48

### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 83B         SC 83B.2.3         P 404         L 20         # 796           Ghiasi, Ali         Broadcom	C/ 83B         SC 83B.4.         P 407         L         # 683           Dambrosia, John         Force 10 Networks Inc         Force 10 Networks Inc         Force 10 Networks Inc
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> No clear what PCB trace stress means is this electrical or mechanical stress or do I need	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Missing Major capabilities / options subclause
to twist the PCB!	SuggestedRemedy
Replace with "Frequency dependent attenuator *"	add major capabilities / options PICS subclause
* PCB traces are example of Frequency dependent attenuator	Response Response Status W
Response Response Status C	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Add major capabilities / options PICs subclause with:
Replace "function, and PCB trace stress." with "function, and frequency-dependent attenuation".	NOL (number of lanes) RATE (data rate) (above two same as 83A)
Replance "Stress is then added using PCB trace or frequency-dependent attenuation which emulates PCB loss" with "Frequency dependent attenuation is then added using PCB trace or frequency dependent	IO Feature: Meets chip-to-module XLAUI / CAUI electrical characteristics Subclause:83B.2
attenuation which emulates PCB loss" Change figure 83B-10 "PCB trace stress" to "Frequency-dependent attenuator"	Add the following sentense to 83B.2" the module connector as
attenuation which emulates PCB loss"         Change figure 83B-10 "PCB trace stress" to "Frequency-dependent attenuator"         Cl 83B       SC 83B.2.3       P404       L 3       # 884	
attenuation which emulates PCB loss"         Change figure 83B-10 "PCB trace stress" to "Frequency-dependent attenuator"         2/ 83B       SC 83B.2.3       P 404       L 3       # 884         etrilla, John       Avago Technologies	Add the following sentense to 83B.2" the module connector as depicted in Figure 83B-5 and Figure 83B-7. Chip-to-module devices shall meet the
attenuation which emulates PCB loss"         Change figure 83B-10 "PCB trace stress" to "Frequency-dependent attenuator"         Cl 83B       SC 83B.2.3       P404       L3       # 884         Petrilla, John       Avago Technologies	Add the following sentense to 83B.2" the module connector as depicted in Figure 83B-5 and Figure 83B-7. Chip-to-module devices shall meet the electrical characteristics defined in this section." C/ 83B SC 83B.4.3 P407 L36 # 101
attenuation which emulates PCB loss"         Change figure 83B-10 "PCB trace stress" to "Frequency-dependent attenuator"         # 83B       SC 83B.2.3       P 404       L 3       # 884         etrilla, John       Avago Technologies       # 884         omment Type       TR       Comment Status       A         The requirement, "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at least 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" is open-ended for stress and, as found with	Add the following sentense to 83B.2" the module connector as depicted in Figure 83B-5 and Figure 83B-7. Chip-to-module devices shall meet the electrical characteristics defined in this section."         C/ 83B       SC 83B.4.3       P407       L36       # 101         Latchman, Ryan       Comment Type       G       Comment Status       A
attenuation which emulates PCB loss"         Change figure 83B-10 "PCB trace stress" to "Frequency-dependent attenuator"         Cl 83B       SC 83B.2.3       P 404       L 3       # 884         Petrilla, John       Avago Technologies       Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A         The requirement, "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at	Add the following sentense to 83B.2" the module connector as depicted in Figure 83B-5 and Figure 83B-7. Chip-to-module devices shall meet the electrical characteristics defined in this section."         C/ 83B       SC 83B.4.3       P 407       L 36       # 101         Latchman, Ryan       Comment Type       G       Comment Status       A         "De-emphasis shall be off during jitter testing" should have a PICs statement       Statement
attenuation which emulates PCB loss" Change figure 83B-10 "PCB trace stress" to "Frequency-dependent attenuator" Cl 83B SC 83B.2.3 P404 L3 # 884 Petrilla, John Avago Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status A The requirement, "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at least 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" is open-ended for stress and, as found with similar statements in clause 52, very problematic. Experience with clause 52 stressed source definition has led to more careful definitions, e.g. SFF-8431 where target values are specified, Table 86-8 where values are used, or Table 86A-4 where Specification values	Add the following sentense to 83B.2" the module connector as depicted in Figure 83B-5 and Figure 83B-7. Chip-to-module devices shall meet the electrical characteristics defined in this section."         C/ 83B       SC 83B.4.3       P407       L36       # 101         Latchman, Ryan       Comment Type       G       Comment Status       A         "De-emphasis shall be off during jitter testing" should have a PICs statement SuggestedRemedy       SuggestedRemedy
attenuation which emulates PCB loss" Change figure 83B-10 "PCB trace stress" to "Frequency-dependent attenuator" Cl 83B SC 83B.2.3 P404 L3 # 884 Petrilla, John Avago Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status A The requirement, "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at least 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" is open-ended for stress and, as found with similar statements in clause 52, very problematic. Experience with clause 52 stressed source definition has led to more careful definitions, e.g. SFF-8431 where target values are specified, Table 86-8 where values are used, or Table 86A-4 where Specification values are used.	Add the following sentense to 83B.2" the module connector as depicted in Figure 83B-5 and Figure 83B-7. Chip-to-module devices shall meet the electrical characteristics defined in this section."         C/ 83B       SC 83B.4.3       P 407       L 36       # 101         Latchman, Ryan         Comment Type       G       Comment Status       A         "De-emphasis shall be off during jitter testing" should have a PICs statement         SuggestedRemedy       Add MC14 De-emphasis off during jitter testing
attenuation which emulates PCB loss"         Change figure 83B-10 "PCB trace stress" to "Frequency-dependent attenuator"         Cl       83B       SC 83B.2.3       P 404       L 3       # [884]         Petrilla, John       Avago Technologies         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A         The requirement, "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at least 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" is open-ended for stress and, as found with similar statements in clause 52, very problematic. Experience with clause 52 stressed source definition has led to more careful definitions, e.g. SFF-8431 where target values are specified, Table 86-8 where values are used, or Table 86A-4 where Specification values are used.         SuggestedRemedy       Change from "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at [ast form "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at [ast form "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at [ast form "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at [ast form "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at [ast form "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at [ast form "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at [ast form "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at [ast form "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at [ast form "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at [ast form "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at [ast form "shall be conducted with a stress	Add the following sentense to 83B.2" the module connector as depicted in Figure 83B-5 and Figure 83B-7. Chip-to-module devices shall meet the electrical characteristics defined in this section."         C/ 83B       SC 83B.4.3       P407       L36       # 101         Latchman, Ryan       Comment Type       G       Comment Status       A         "De-emphasis shall be off during jitter testing" should have a PICs statement SuggestedRemedy       SuggestedRemedy
attenuation which emulates PCB loss"         Change figure 83B-10 "PCB trace stress" to "Frequency-dependent attenuator"         Cl 83B       SC 83B.2.3       P404       L3       # 884         Petrilla, John       Avago Technologies       Battenuation       Battenuation         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A         The requirement, "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at least 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" is open-ended for stress and, as found with similar statements in clause 52, very problematic. Experience with clause 52 stressed source definition has led to more careful definitions, e.g. SFF-8431 where target values are specified, Table 86-8 where values are used, or Table 86A-4 where Specification values are used.         SuggestedRemedy       Change from "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at least 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" to "shall be conducted with a stressed	Add the following sentense to 83B.2" the module connector as depicted in Figure 83B-5 and Figure 83B-7. Chip-to-module devices shall meet the electrical characteristics defined in this section."         C/ 83B       SC 83B.4.3       P407       L 36       # 101         Latchman, Ryan         Comment Type       G       Comment Status       A         "De-emphasis shall be off during jitter testing" should have a PICs statement         SuggestedRemedy       Add MC14 De-emphasis off during jitter testing         Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT.       ACCEPT.
attenuation which emulates PCB loss"         Change figure 83B-10 "PCB trace stress" to "Frequency-dependent attenuator"         Cl 83B       SC 83B.2.3       P404       L3       # 884         Petrilla, John       Avago Technologies       R         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A         The requirement, "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at least 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" is open-ended for stress and, as found with similar statements in clause 52, very problematic. Experience with clause 52 stressed source definition has led to more careful definitions, e.g. SFF-8431 where target values are specified, Table 86-8 where values are used, or Table 86A-4 where Specification values are used.         SuggestedRemedy       Change from "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at least 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" to "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at least 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" to "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at least 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter"	Add the following sentense to 83B.2" the module connector as depicted in Figure 83B-5 and Figure 83B-7. Chip-to-module devices shall meet the electrical characteristics defined in this section."         C/ 83B       SC 83B.4.3       P407       L 36       # 101         Latchman, Ryan         Comment Type       G       Comment Status       A         "De-emphasis shall be off during jitter testing" should have a PICs statement         SuggestedRemedy       Add MC14 De-emphasis off during jitter testing         Response       Response Status       C
attenuation which emulates PCB loss"         Change figure 83B-10 "PCB trace stress" to "Frequency-dependent attenuator"         Cl 83B       SC 83B.2.3       P404       L3       #       884         Petrilla, John       Avago Technologies       884         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A         The requirement, "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at least 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" is open-ended for stress and, as found with similar statements in clause 52, very problematic. Experience with clause 52 stressed source definition has led to more careful definitions, e.g. SFF-8431 where target values are specified, Table 86-8 where values are used, or Table 86A-4 where Specification values are used.         SuggestedRemedy       Change from "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at least 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" to "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at least 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" to "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at least 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" to "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at least 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" to "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at least 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" to "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter"	Add the following sentense to 83B.2" the module connector as depicted in Figure 83B-5 and Figure 83B-7. Chip-to-module devices shall meet the electrical characteristics defined in this section."         C/ 83B       SC 83B.4.3       P407       L36       # 101         Latchman, Ryan         a       Comment Type       G       Comment Status       A         "De-emphasis shall be off during jitter testing" should have a PICs statement         SuggestedRemedy       Add MC14 De-emphasis off during jitter testing         Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT.       See suggested remedy         Feature: De-emphasis setting during module jitter evaluation
attenuation which emulates PCB loss"         Change figure 83B-10 "PCB trace stress" to "Frequency-dependent attenuator"         Cl 83B       SC 83B.2.3       P 404       L 3       # 884         Petrilla, John       Avago Technologies         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A         The requirement, "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at least 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" is open-ended for stress and, as found with similar statements in clause 52, very problematic. Experience with clause 52 stressed source definition has led to more careful definitions, e.g. SFF-8431 where target values are specified, Table 86-8 where values are used, or Table 86A-4 where Specification values are used.         SuggestedRemedy       Change from "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at least 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" to "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of at least 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" to "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" to "shall be conducted with a stressed input signal which is comprised of 0.25 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter"         Response       Response Status       W	Add the following sentense to 83B.2" the module connector as depicted in Figure 83B-5 and Figure 83B-7. Chip-to-module devices shall meet the electrical characteristics defined in this section."         C/ 83B       SC 83B.4.3       P407       L36       # 101         Latchman, Ryan         Comment Type       G       Comment Status       A         "De-emphasis shall be off during jitter testing" should have a PICs statement         SuggestedRemedy       Add MC14 De-emphasis off during jitter testing         Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT.       See suggested remedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 83B SC 83B.4.3

	IEEE P	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s Eth	ernet com	iments		Sponsor ball
P <b>407</b>	L <b>37</b>	# 102	C/ 83B SC Anslow, Peter	C 83B.4.3	P 407 Nortel Networks	L <b>5</b>	# 594
Comment Status <b>A</b> and RX paths shall be locat	ed in the modu	ule." needs a PICs	Item MC1 is	s for module	Comment Status A single ended output voltage ra	nge. Where	is this requirement in
or both Tx and Rx				-	ent or remove the PICS entry		
Response Status C			Response ACCEPT IN		Response Status <b>C</b> E.		
			Remove				
r Tx and Rx			See comme	ent 680			
					P <b>407</b> Force 10 Netwo	L <b>5</b> ks Inc	# 680
	L4	# 243	Comment Type		Comment Status A		
			singularly in correspondi module outp entires in Ta	n the PICS, a ing SHALL s put signal, m able 83B-3; a	and in some cases things that of tatement (MC1); entries in tabl inimum module differential out and different names - module in	lon't have a e with no co put return lo	table entry have a rresponding PICS - lss, various De-emphasis
,	r PICS tables i	n 83B.4	SuggestedRem	edy			
Response Status W							
			Response ACCEPT IN		Response Status W		
nent is against 83B.4.3, hen	ce corrected cl	ause/subclause number	Remove MC	C1 - MC13 a	nd replace with the following:		
			Subclause:	83B.2.1	compliant module ents defined in 83B-2 and 83B-	3	
	P407 Comment Status A X and RX paths shall be locat for both Tx and Rx Response Status C or Tx and Rx P407 Gnodal Limited Comment Status D I style of PICS table is not sa table border and around the r clauses. Also apply to other Response Status W	P407       L 37         Comment Status       A         X and RX paths shall be located in the model         for both Tx and Rx         Response Status         C         or Tx and Rx         e         P407         L4         Gnodal Limited         Comment Status       D         If style of PICS table is not same as in other         table border and around the title cells and the tric clauses. Also apply to other PICS tables i         Response Status       W	P407L37# $102$ Comment StatusAK and RX paths shall be located in the module." needs a PICsfor both Tx and RxResponse StatusCor Tx and Rx $e^{407}$ $L4$ <td>P407       L 37       # 102       C/ 83B       Stanslow, Peter         Comment Status       A       Anslow, Peter       Comment Type         K and RX paths shall be located in the module." needs a PICs       Annex 83B       SuggestedRem         for both Tx and Rx       Either add f       Response Status       C         response Status       C       Response       ACCEPT IN         response Status       C       Remove       See comme         Or Tx and Rx       See comme       See comme       C/ 83B       SuggestedRem         P407       L4       # 1243       Dambrosia, Joh       Comment Type       The SHALL         Gnodal Limited       Comment Status       D       SuggestedRem       Sigularly if correspond         Table border and around the title cells and thin lines between cells, if clauses. Also apply to other PICS tables in 83B.4       SuggestedRem       SuggestedRem         ment is against 83B.4.3, hence corrected clause/subclause number       Response       ACCEPT IN         ment is against 83B.4.3, hence corrected clause/subclause number       Response       ACCEPT IN         Remove Mit       MC1:       Feature: XL       Response</td> <td>P407       L37       # 102         Comment Status A       A         K and RX paths shall be located in the module." needs a PICs       Anslow, Peter         for both Tx and Rx       Ether add the requiremedy         Response Status C       C/ 83B         or Tx and Rx       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE         Remove       See comment 680         C/ 83B       SC 83B.4.3         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE       Remove         See comment Status D       See comment 680         Or JUS table is not same as in other clauses.       Comment Type TR         table border and around the title cells and thin lines between cells, in clauses. Also apply to other PICS tables in 83B.4       SuggestedRemedy         Response Status W       SuggestedRemedy       module differential input         ment is against 83B.4.3, hence corrected clause/subclause number       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE         Remove MC1 - MC13 a       MC1:</td> <td>P407       L 37       # 102         Comment Status A       CI 838       SC 838.4.3       P407         Anslow, Peter       Nortel Networks         Comment Status A       Nortel Networks         K and RX paths shall be located in the module." needs a PICs       Item MC1 is for module single ended output voltage ra         for both Tx and Rx       Esther add the requirement or remove the PICS entry         Response Status C       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Remove         See comment Status D       See comment 680         I style of PICS table is not same as in other clauses.       For SHALL statement points to Tables 83B-2 and 83E         Table border and around the title cells and thin lines between cells, ri clauses. Also apply to other PICS tables in 83B.4       Response Status W         ment is against 83B.4.3, hence corrected clause/subclause number       Response Status W         Ment is against 83B.4.3, hence corrected clause/subclause number       Response Status W         MC1:       Resure: XLUI / CAUI compliant module</td> <td>P407       L37       # 102         Comment Status A       Anslow, Peter       Notel Networks         Comment Status A       Comment Status A       Item MC1 is for module single ended output voltage range. Where Annex 8389         for both Tx and Rx       Response Status C       Comment Type T       Comment or remove the PICS entry         or Tx and Rx       P407       L4       # 243         Gnodal Limited       See comment 680         Comment Status D       See comment 680         Style of PICS table is not same as in other clauses.       Causes. Also apply to other PICS tables in 83B.4         Response Status W       Response Status W         ment is against 83B.4.3, hence corrected clause/subclause number       Response Status W         Ment is against 83B.4.3, hence corrected clause/subclause number       Response Status W</td>	P407       L 37       # 102       C/ 83B       Stanslow, Peter         Comment Status       A       Anslow, Peter       Comment Type         K and RX paths shall be located in the module." needs a PICs       Annex 83B       SuggestedRem         for both Tx and Rx       Either add f       Response Status       C         response Status       C       Response       ACCEPT IN         response Status       C       Remove       See comme         Or Tx and Rx       See comme       See comme       C/ 83B       SuggestedRem         P407       L4       # 1243       Dambrosia, Joh       Comment Type       The SHALL         Gnodal Limited       Comment Status       D       SuggestedRem       Sigularly if correspond         Table border and around the title cells and thin lines between cells, if clauses. Also apply to other PICS tables in 83B.4       SuggestedRem       SuggestedRem         ment is against 83B.4.3, hence corrected clause/subclause number       Response       ACCEPT IN         ment is against 83B.4.3, hence corrected clause/subclause number       Response       ACCEPT IN         Remove Mit       MC1:       Feature: XL       Response	P407       L37       # 102         Comment Status A       A         K and RX paths shall be located in the module." needs a PICs       Anslow, Peter         for both Tx and Rx       Ether add the requiremedy         Response Status C       C/ 83B         or Tx and Rx       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE         Remove       See comment 680         C/ 83B       SC 83B.4.3         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE       Remove         See comment Status D       See comment 680         Or JUS table is not same as in other clauses.       Comment Type TR         table border and around the title cells and thin lines between cells, in clauses. Also apply to other PICS tables in 83B.4       SuggestedRemedy         Response Status W       SuggestedRemedy       module differential input         ment is against 83B.4.3, hence corrected clause/subclause number       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE         Remove MC1 - MC13 a       MC1:	P407       L 37       # 102         Comment Status A       CI 838       SC 838.4.3       P407         Anslow, Peter       Nortel Networks         Comment Status A       Nortel Networks         K and RX paths shall be located in the module." needs a PICs       Item MC1 is for module single ended output voltage ra         for both Tx and Rx       Esther add the requirement or remove the PICS entry         Response Status C       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Remove         See comment Status D       See comment 680         I style of PICS table is not same as in other clauses.       For SHALL statement points to Tables 83B-2 and 83E         Table border and around the title cells and thin lines between cells, ri clauses. Also apply to other PICS tables in 83B.4       Response Status W         ment is against 83B.4.3, hence corrected clause/subclause number       Response Status W         Ment is against 83B.4.3, hence corrected clause/subclause number       Response Status W         MC1:       Resure: XLUI / CAUI compliant module	P407       L37       # 102         Comment Status A       Anslow, Peter       Notel Networks         Comment Status A       Comment Status A       Item MC1 is for module single ended output voltage range. Where Annex 8389         for both Tx and Rx       Response Status C       Comment Type T       Comment or remove the PICS entry         or Tx and Rx       P407       L4       # 243         Gnodal Limited       See comment 680         Comment Status D       See comment 680         Style of PICS table is not same as in other clauses.       Causes. Also apply to other PICS tables in 83B.4         Response Status W       Response Status W         ment is against 83B.4.3, hence corrected clause/subclause number       Response Status W         Ment is against 83B.4.3, hence corrected clause/subclause number       Response Status W

C/ 83B SC 83B.4.3 Page 111 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:48 AM

Draft 3.0 Commer	nts	IEEE P8	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ai	nd 100Gb/s	s Ethernet cor	nments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 83B SC 83B.4.3 Latchman, Ryan	3 P <b>407</b>	L <b>6</b>	# 100	<i>CI</i> 83B Dambrosia	SC <b>83B.4.4</b> a, John	Р <b>407</b> Force 10 N	L 40 etworks Inc	# 681
Comment Type E Single ended output	Comment Status A voltage range is no longer in 83	B.2.1 since it is	an AC coupled		HALL statement	Comment Status <b>A</b> points to Tables 83B-4 and and there are conflicts- mi		
SuggestedRemedy Remove MC1 Response	Response Status <b>C</b>			a mod	y PIC to reflect S	HALL statement - A host w e characteristics outlined i		CAUI to interface with
ACCEPT. See comment 680				Response ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status W		
Cl 83B SC 83B.4.3 Latchman, Ryan	B P408	L 19	# 103		ve HC1 - HC12 a	and replace with the followi	ng:	
	Comment Status A this is covered in MC15			Subcl	re: XLAUI / CAU ause: 83B.2.2 : Meets requiren	compliant host	83B-5	
SuggestedRemedy Remove HC12				Cl 83B Anslow, P	SC 83B.4.4	P 408 Nortel Netv	L18	# 595
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		ement in Annex 83B?
See suggested reme	dy			Suggestee	dRemedy	nent or remove the PICS e		
				Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status <b>C</b> .E.		
				Remo	ve requirement			
				C/ 83B Dambrosia	SC <b>83B.4.4</b> a, John	<i>Р</i> <b>408</b> Force 10 N	L <b>4</b> etworks Inc	# 682
				Comment PIC H		Comment Status A esponding SHALL stateme	nt	
				Suggestee add S	dRemedy HALL statement			
				Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status W .E.		
				Remo	we HC12. AC co	oupling is located in the mo	dule.	

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/ 83B

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 C/ 83B

 SORT ORDER:
 Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC 83B.4.4

Page 112 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:48 AM

Draft 3.0 Comment
-------------------

C/ 83C SC 83C Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>1</b> L <b>409</b> # 116 ZTE Corp.	C/ 83C SC 83C Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>1</b> L <b>409</b> # 117 ZTE Corp.
0	Comment Status R Annex contain caption with the word "Example" which seems redundant.	Comment Type E Figures in this section	Comment Status <b>D</b> are sparsely distributed. Tryi fitting two figures per page.
SuggestedRemedy	ange to read "Example of"?	SuggestedRemedy Per comment	
Per comment Response REJECT.	Response Status C	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.
It is correct that h	aving "Example" in the title of the Annex and in each of the figures is	Editorial license.	

redundant, but it is safer to label each figure as an example so that anyone looking at the figure in isolation is aware that it is an illustrative example and not a required configuration. The list of examples is not exhaustive, and a valid implementation may not match any that are shown. Also, it was an agreement of the Task Force that one example (Figure 83-2) should go in the main body and others in an Annex. Figure 83-2 clearly needs to be labeled as an example, and keeping the titles of Annex 83C figures as is maintains consistency with the title of Figure 83-2.

The pagination of this text can be improved. At a minimum, the heading 83C.2 should be moved onto the same page as 83.C.2.1, and the size of the legend boxes on Figure 83C-2 can be reduced.

The opportunity to reduce the sparseness is limited given the template and style guidelines. Floating figures are not an option here since there is no text, and each figure needs to remain under the heading that describes it. There are 54 lines of text space available per page. A heading uses 3 lines. The various figure sizes are:

83C-1 - 24 lines

83C-2 - 28 lines

83C-3 - 25 lines 83C-4 - 25 lines

83C-4 - 25 line

83C-5 - 29 lines

So no two Figures plus their headings will fit on a single page. The legends for the Figures are already at the smallest point size permitted. There is redundancy in the legends from one Figure from the next, but I don't find a precedent in the base text for having a separate, common legend that applies to multiple figures.

CI 83C SC 83C

1/28/2010 6:39:48 AM

ner, Edward J Gnodal Limited Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks										
Table 94-1, No line at the bottom of the table. Jeges Jeges Jeges A line at the bottom of table 95 -1 for def of clauses is conclusing as XLAUI is shown between XLGMII and percent of table 95 -1 for def of clauses is Conclusing as XLAUI is shown between XLGMII and PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. When a Table 16 is inserted using the XLBM is shown between XLGMII and PROP When a Table 16 is inserted using the XLBM is shown between XLGMII and percent as cross multiple pages, the last row on a page does not have a line beneath it. To override this behaviour: In the Table Designer, on the Ruling tab. click on the 'Draw Bottom Ruling on Last Sheet Chry' tack box wull it is beased (two clicks) and then Apply. The deliars will row with the table is continued? at the end in italic font. The deliars will row with the active is continued? at the end in italic font. The deliars will row with scross all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate the table tube on the next page has '(continued?' at the end in italic font. The deliars will row with scross all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate the table tube on the next page has '(continued?' at the end in italic font. The deliars will row with scross all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate the table tube on the row of a Clause 80 from Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clause 80 from Table 85-1 No delia Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD Change tite of Table 85-1 to: "Provid Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change tite of Table 85-1 to: "Provid Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Ch	Cl 84 S Turner, Edward				# 232					# 498
Table 3-No line at the bottom of the table. In Table 3-No line at the bottom of table 3-S port of tables 3-S at a popies of clauses is confusing as XLAUI is shown between XLGMII and PG PGPOPSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. When a Table is inserted using the XDB as traptate with YEEE format', then when it bracks across multiple pages, the last row on a page does not have a line beneath it. To override this behaviour: In the Table Bosignar, on the Ruling tab, click on the 'Draw Bottom Ruling on Last Sheet Only' tack box unit it is beared (wo clicks) and then Apply. The editors will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate the table is contracted and radies. Set Table 36-1: The editors will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate the table is too inclue the table is contracted. The editors will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate the table is too inclue the table is contracted. The deitors will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate the table is too inclue table table is contracted. The deitors will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate the table is too inclue table 4-1: The table tide on the next page has '(continued)' at the end in fails fort. The deitors will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate the table is too inclue table 5-1 The table tide neguinal dentation to Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clause 86 from Table 85-2 and re-format as per Table 85-1 The table tide neguinal metacont will the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD 'In order to firm areason: two data sets -Strate 48-1; 'In order to firm areason: two data sets -Strate 48-1; 'In order to firm areason: two data sets -Strate 48-1; 'In order to firm areason: two data sets -Strate 48-1; 'In order to firm areason: two data sets -Strate 48-1; 'In order to firm areason: two data sets -Strate 48-1; 'In order to firm areason: two data sets -Strate 48-1; 'In or	Comment Type	e E Co	omment Status D			Comment	Type E	Comment Status	А	
Add line to bottom of table as per other tables split over pages posed Response Status V PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Prophysical Layses, the last row on a page does not have a line beneath it. This to indicate that the table is contruded on the acting table, click on the "Draw Bottom Ruling on Last Sheet Cony" took too unit it is cleared (inco clicks) and then Apply. To override this behaviour: In the Table Designer, on the Ruling table, click on the "Draw Bottom Ruling on Last Sheet Cony" took too unit it is cleared (inco clicks) and then Apply. The outlines distanders, such tables of have a line is at the obtion of the first page, but the table title on the next page has "(continued)" at the end in table for. The outlines distanders, such tables of have a line at the obtion of the first page, but the table title on the next page has "(continued)" at the end in table for. The defirst will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate table style. We have the first page has "(continued)" at the end in table for. The table title on the next page has "(continued)" at the end in table for. The defirst will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate table style. We have the first page has "(continued)" at the end on table for. The table title on the next page has "(continued)" at the end in table for. The table title on the next page has "(continued)" at the end in table for. The defirst will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate table style. The defirst will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate table style. The defirst will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate table style. The defirst will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate table style. The table title of Table 82-1 form: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD Change title of Table 82-1 f	Table 84-3	. No line at the bo				In Tab	ble 84-1, the orde	er of clauses is confusi		between XLGMII and
Note that the doction in doctors part order space       Show the calcurss in the order that they appear in the stack in Figure 84-1. Do the equivalent for Table 85-1         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE       Response Status W         When a Table is inserted using the 802.3ba template with "IEEE format", then when it breaks accoss multiple pages, the last two an page does not have a line beneath it. This is to indicate that the table is continued on the next page.       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         To override this behaviour: In the Table Designer, on the Ruling tab, click on the "Draw Bottom Ruling on Last Sheef OND' tock box until its detared (two clicks) and then Apply.       Make the order in Table 84-1: Response Status C         The editors will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate table standards, such tables do have a line at the bottom of the first page, but the babe title on the next page has "(continued)" at the end in table for table standards.       Response Status C         The editors will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate table standards.       Response Status C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         The table title on the next page has "(continued)" at the end in table for table standards.       Response Status C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         The editors will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate table status in the order table status in table status in the order table status in the order table status in the order table status in table status in the order table status in the ordestable status in the order table status in th		•								
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C Response				ver pages			-	he order that they appe	ear in the stack in Figure	e 84-1. Do the
Mex Table is inserted using the 80.2.3ba template with "IEEE format", then when it breaks across multiple pages, the last row on a page does not have a line beneath it. This is to indicate that the table is continued on the next page. The most page. The analysis of the state is to indicate the state is continued on the next page. The analysis of the state is to indicate the state is continued on the next page. The end in the state is continued on the next page. The end in the state is continued on the next page is the state of the order in Table 84-1: To override this behaviour: In the Table Designer, on the Ruling tab, click on the "Draw Bottom Ruling on Last Sheet Only" tick how until its cleared (two clicks) and then Apply. In the published standards, such tables do have a line at the bottom of the first page, but the labe titie on the next page have is (continued)" at the end in faile form. The editors will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate table titie needs to be changed because a PHY does not include the RS however the Physical Layer clauses for a similar reason it would be an improvement to change the text "in order to form a complete PHY" in 64.1. Change titie of Table 85-1 Change titie of Table 85			,			equiva	alent for Table 8	5-1	-	
breaks across multiple pages, the last own on a page does not have a line beneath it. This is to indicate that the table is continued on the next page. To override this behaviour: In the Table Designer, on the Ruling tab, click on the "braw Bottom Ruling on Last Sheet Only" tick box until it is cleared (two clicks) and then Appy. In the published standards, such tables do have a line at the bottom of the first page, but the table tille on the next page has "(continued)" at the end in italic fort. The editors will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate table style. He does the review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate table style. He editors will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate table style. He does the review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate table style. He does the review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate table style. He does the review the review for Clause 86 from Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clause 86 from Table 85-2 and re-format as per Table 85-1 The table tille needs to be changed because a PHY does not include the RS however the Physical Layer does. For a similar reason it would be an improvement to change the text "In drote to form a complete PHY" in 84.1. Change tille of Table 85-1 foc: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD Change tille of Table 85-1 foc: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Change tille of Table 85-1 foc: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change tille of Table 85-1 foc: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change tille of Table 85-1 foc: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change tille of Table 85-1 foc: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change tille of Table 85-1 foc: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change tille of Table 85-	PROPUSE	DACCEPT IN PR	RINCIPLE.					,	С	
The deficiency will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate table stepsore in table 84-1: RS XLGMII PCS FEC PMA XLAUI AN Make the order in Table 84-1: RS XLGMII PCS FEC PMA XLAUI AN Make the order in Table 86-1 Remove the next page has "(continued)" at the end in italic font. The editors will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate table style. Make the equivalent alteration to Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clause 86 from Table 86-2 and re-format as per Table 85-1 The table title ends to be changed because a PHY does not include the RS however the Physical Layer does rot a similar reason it would be an improvement to change the text "in order to form a complete PHY" in 84.1. Change title of Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD to be an improvement to change the text "in order to form a complete PHY" in 84.1. Change title of Table 85-1 IC: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD to be an improvement to change the text "in order to form a complete PHY" in 84.1. Change title of Table 85-1 IC: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD to be an improvement to change the text "in order to form a complete PHY" in 84.1. Change title of Table 85-1 IC: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD to be physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD Change title of Table 85-1 IC: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Change title of Table 87-1 IC: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 87-1 IC: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 87-1 IC: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 87-1 IC: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 88-1 IC: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 88-1 IC: Physical Layer clauses associated	breaks acr	oss multiple pages	s, the last row on a page	does not have a						
Bottom Ruling on Last Sheed Only "it do Source Value Ruling and Jack on the Data Bottom Ruling on Last Sheed Only" it do Source Value Ruling and Value Only and Work Robits And Robits Robits And Robits And Robits And Robits And Robits An	is to indica	te that the table is	continued on the next p	age.		i nis r	leeds to be treat	ted as a technical comi	ment.	
Deficient ruling on feasi offeet only inclusion that in selected (into duration is performed) at the end in italic form. The editors will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate table style.  I the diverse the row for Clause 86 from Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clause 86 from Table 86-2 and re-format as per Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clause 86 from Table 86-2 and re-format as per Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clause 86 from Table 86-2 and re-format as per Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clause 86 from Table 86-2 and re-format as per Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clause 86 from Table 86-2 and re-format as per Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clause 86 from Table 86-2 and re-format as per Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clause 86 from Table 86-2 and re-format as per Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clause 86 from Table 86-2 and re-format as per Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clause 86 from Table 86-2 and re-format as per Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clause 86 from Table 86-2 and re-format as per Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clause 86 from Table 86-2 and re-format as per Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clause 86 from Table 86-2 and re-format as per Table 86-2 Remove the row for Clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD Change title of Table 85-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Change title of Table 85-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Change title of Table 87-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-R44 PMD Change title of Table 87-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-R44 PMD Change title of Table 87-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-R44 PMD Change title of Table 87-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-R44 PMD Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-R44 PMD Change title of Table 88-1 to:							the order in Tab	ble 84-1:		
In the published standards, such tables do have a line at the bottom of the first page, but the table title on the next page has "(continued)" at the end in italic font. The editors will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate table style. Nake the equivalent alteration to Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clause 86 from Table 86-2 and re-format as per Table 85-1 The table title needs to be changed because a PHY does not include the RS however the Physical Layer does. For a similar reason it would be an improvement to change the text "In order to form a complete PHY" in 84.1. Change title of Table 84-1 from: PHY (Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD to: "Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD to: "Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 PMDs Change title of Table 86-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 PMDs Change title of Table 86-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Change title of Table 86-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs Change title of Table 88-1 to: Phy	Bottom Ru	ling on Last Sheet	Only" tick box until it is	cleared (two clic	ks) and then Apply.	-	111			
the table title on the next page has "(continued)" at the end in italic font. The editors will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate Table style.  Head is style.  Make the equivalent alteration to Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clause 86 from Table 86-2 and re-format as per Table 85-1 The table title needs to be changed becauses a PHY does not include the R5 however the Physical Layer does. For a similar reason it would be an improvement to change the text in order to form a complete PHY" in 84.1.  Change title of Table 86-1 from: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD Change title of Table 85-1 fo: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 PMDs Change title of Table 85-1 fo: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Change title of Table 85-1 fo: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 85-1 fo: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 85-1 fo: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMDs Change title of Table 85-1 fo: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Change title of Table 85-1 fo: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 85-1 fo: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 85-1 fo: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 85-1 fo: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 85-1 fo: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 88-1 fo: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 88-1 fo: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 88-1 fo: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 88-1 fo: Physi	In the publ	ished standards, s	such tables do have a lin	e at the bottom	of the first page, but	PCS				
The editors will review this across all the Clauses in 802.3ba and adopt the appropriate       XLAUI AN         Have the equivalent alteration to Table 85-1         Remove the row for Clause 86 from Table 86-2 and re-format as per Table 85-1         The table title needs to be changed because a PHY does not include the RS however the Physical Layer does. For a similar reason in would be an improvement to change the text "In order to form a complete PHY" in 84.1.         Change title of Table 84-1 from: PHY (Physical Layer) clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD to: PHY (Physical Layer) clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 PMDs         Change title of Table 86-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs         Change title of Table 86-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD         Change title of Table 86-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD         Change title of Table 86-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD         Change title of Table 86-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD         Change title of Table 86-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD         Change title of Table 86-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD         Change title of Table 86-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD         Change title of Table 86-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMDS         PEX ERVe										
Make the equivalent alteration to Table 85-1 Remove the row for Clause 86 from Table 86-2 and re-format as per Table 85-1 The table title needs to be changed because a PHY does not include the RS however the Physical Layer does. For a similar reason it would be an improvement to change the text "In order to form a complete PHY" in 84.1. Change title of Table 84-1 from: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-CR10 PMDs Change title of Table 86-2 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Change title of Table 86-2 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 86-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 86-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 86-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 86-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 86-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-LR4 PMDs Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-LR4 PMDs Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-LR4 PMDs Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-LR4 PMDs Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 PMD Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 PMD Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 PMD Physical Layer clauses associated w			cross all the Clauses in a	302.3ba and add	pt the appropriate	XLAU	I			
The table title needs to be changed because a PHY does not include the RS however the Physical Layer does. For a similar reason it would be an improvement to change the text "In order to form a complete PHY" in 84.1. Change title of Table 84-1 from: PHY (Physical Layer) clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD Change title of Table 85-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 PMDs Change title of Table 86-2 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Change title of Table 87-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 PMD PE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general MMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written O/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn C/ 84 Page 114 of 199	table style.					Make	the equivalent a	Iteration to Table 85-1		
Physical Layer does. For a similar reason it would be an improvement to change the text "In order to form a complete PHY" in 84.1. Change title of Table 84-1 from: PHY (Physical Layer) clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD Change title of Table 85-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 PMDs Change title of Table 86-2 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Change title of Table 86-2 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Change title of Table 86-2 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Change title of Table 86-2 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Change title of Table 86-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs Physical Layer clauses associated with the						Remo	ve the row for C	lause 86 from Table 86	6-2 and re-format as pe	r Table 85-1
PHY (Physical Layer) clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD Change title of Table 85-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 PMDs Change title of Table 86-2 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Change title of Table 86-2 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Change title of Table 87-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs PE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required T/technical E/editorial G/general MMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn C/ 84 Page 114 of 199						Physic	cal Layer does. I	For a similar reason it		
Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD Change title of Table 85-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 PMDs Change title of Table 86-2 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Change title of Table 87-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 PMD Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs PE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general MMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn C/ 84 Page 114 of 199						PHY (			th the 40GBASE-KR4 P	MD
Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 PMDs Change title of Table 86-2 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Change title of Table 87-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs PE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general MMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn C/ 84 Page 114 of 199							cal Layer clause	es associated with the 4	10GBASE-KR4 PMD	
Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs Change title of Table 87-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs PE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general MMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn C/ 84 Page 114 of 199									10GBASE-CR4 and 100	GBASE-CR10 PMDs
Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs PE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general MMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn C/ 84 Page 114 of 199									10GBASE-SR4 and 100	GBASE-SR10 PMDs
Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs PE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general MMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn									10GBASE-LR4 PMD	
MMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn									100GBASE-LR4 and 10	OGBASE-ER4 PMDs
	COMMENT ST	ATUS: D/dispatch	ed A/accepted R/rejec				ed U/unsatisfie	d Z/withdrawn		0

Also re-order and change 86-1 to more closely match that of the other P802.3ba PMD clauses so that Table 86-2 becomes 86-1.

#### Clause 86 to begin:

"This clause specifies the 40GBASE-SR4 PMD and the 100GBASE-SR10 PMD together with the multimode fiber medium. When forming a complete Physical Layer, a PMD shall be connected to the appropriate PMA as shown in Table 86-1, to the medium through the MDI and optionally to the management functions that are accessible through the management interface defined in Clause 45, or equivalent."

#### Each PMD clause except clause 86 to begin:

"This clause specifies the xxx PMD [for 85-88: together with the yyy medium]. When forming a complete Physical Layer, a PMD shall be connected to the appropriate PMA as shown in Table 8x-1, to the medium through the MDI and to the management functions that are optionally accessible through the management interface defined in Clause 45, or equivalent."

where xxx is the name of the relevant PMD/s and yyy refers to the medium where appropriate; making the first sentences: This clause specifies the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD.

This clause specifies the 40GBASE-CR4 PMD and the 100GBASE-CR10 PMD (including MDI) and the baseband medium.

This clause specifies the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD together with the single-mode fiber medium.

This clause specifies the 100GBASE-LR4 PMD and the 100GBASE-ER4 PMD together with the single-mode fiber medium.

CI 84	SC 84.1	P 22	23	L <b>26</b>	# 499
Anslow, Pet	er	Nortel	Networks		
Comment T		Comment Status called "Auto-Negotiat	-	plane Etheri	net"
SuggestedF	Remedy				
		be too long, change " per Table 85-1. Same			
Proposed R	esponse	Response Status	w		

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 84	SC 84.1	P <b>223</b>	L <b>7</b>	# 625
Dambros	ia, John	Force 10 Netw	vorks Inc	
Commen	t Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		

The text states the following - "This clause specifies the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD. In order to form a complete PHY, the PMD shall be connected to the appropriate sublayers (see Table 84--1)" but the PIC in 84.11.3 inclues the XLGMII interface which is an optional interface but not a sublayer. however, the XLAUI does not have a PIC.

#### SuggestedRemedy

add appropriate pic for XLAUI

Response ACCI		Response Status W		
C/ 84	SC 84.1	P <b>224</b>	L <b>42</b>	# 500
Anslow, F	Peter	Nortel Network	s	
Commen	t Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status A		

This says "IS\_UNITDATA\_i.indication" but it should be "PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_i.indication" (2 places)

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change "IS\_UNITDATA\_i.indication" to "PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_i.indication" (2 places). Make the same change in clause 45, Page 237, line 9

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The commenter intended to say Clause 85 rather than 45 in the suggested remedy.

Make the change suggested and also in Clause 85, page 237, line 9.

C/ 84	SC 84.11.4.	1 P <b>2</b>	33	L11	# 627
0, 04	00 04.11.4.	· · · ·	55	211	# 021
Dambros	a, John	Force	10 Netw	orks Inc	
Comment There	51	Comment Status ding "SHALL" stateme		62	
00	dRemedy oppropriate "shall	" statement to 84.7.2			
Response ACCI		Response Status	W		

C/ 84 SC 84.11.4.1 Page 115 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:48 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments	;	IEEE P802.	3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet com	nments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 84 SC 84.11.4.1 Anslow, Peter	P233 Nortel Networks	L <b>21</b>	# 510	Cl <b>84</b> Hajduczenia	SC <b>84.11.4.1</b> a, Marek	P 233 ZTE Corp.	L <b>34</b>	# 149
Comment Type T FS7 Value/Comment sa	Comment Status A ays "Set to FAIL". When should	t be set to FAIL"		Comment T There is		Comment Status R "is used" all the time in Table 8	84.11.4.1, 84.11.4	.3, 84.11.4.4.
SuggestedRemedy Change "Set to FAIL" to	"Set to FAIL on reset"			Suggested Per cor	-			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response REJEC	т.	Response Status C		
C/ 84 SC 84.11.4.1	P 233	L <b>21</b>	# 509	Remov	ing 'is used' doe	s not improve readability of the	text.	
Anslow, Peter <i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> 45.2.1.9.5 is an externa <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Make it dark blue	Nortel Networks <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> I reference so it should be dark	blue			<i>уре</i> <b>т</b>	P 233 Nortel Networks Comment Status A ransmit_fault as specified in 45	L <b>49</b> .2.1.7.5." This sho	# <u>512</u>
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W				•	nsmit_fault" to "Sets PMD_rece d be links.	eive_fault". Also 45	5.2.1.7.5 and
C/ 84 SC 84.11.4.1 Anslow, Peter	P 233 Nortel Networks	L <b>29</b>	# 511	Response ACCEF		Response Status C		
Comment Type <b>T</b> This says "Requirement requirements, only one	Comment Status <b>A</b> ts of 84.7.6, 84.7.7 and Table 72 of which must be met.	2-6". But Table 72	2-6 contains many	Cl 84 Dawe, Piers	SC <b>84.2</b> s J G	P 224 Independant	L <b>42</b>	# 291
SuggestedRemedy Change "Requirements 84.7.7"	of 84.7.6, 84.7.7 and Table 72-0	5" to "Requireme	nts of 84.7.6,	Comment 7 Missing Suggested	space in =FAIL	Comment Status D		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Insert s Proposed R	pace	Response Status W		

C/ 84 SC 84.2

C/ <b>84</b> SC <b>84.2</b> Dawe, Piers J G	P 224 Independant	L <b>42</b>	# 292	<i>Cl</i> <b>84</b> Anslow, Pe		4.7.10	P 229 Nortel Network	L <b>9</b> (S	# 506
Comment Type TR The 40GBASE-KR4 For 40GBASE-KR4, IS_UNITDATA_i.ind IS_UNITDATA_i.ind service interface def FAIL, PMD_UNITDA for consequent actio	Comment Status <b>A</b> service interface should be like th draft says "When SIGNAL_DETH ication parameters are undefined ication as a logic zero." The 10GI ined in 52.1.1. 52.1.1.3.1 says sin ATA.indication(rx_bit) is undefined ons; this is deliberate, as the "consons. There is no requirement for s	ECT=FAIL, the d, but conseque BASE-KR PMI mply "When S d.". Note that the sequent action	e ent actions interpret D utilizes the PMD IGNAL_DETECT = nere is no specification ns" includes a CDR,	Comment The bit Same Suggesteo Chang make	<i>Type</i> defined issue in <i>Remedy</i> e "mapp 45.2.1.7. Respons	85.7.10 , ed to the 4 a link.	Comment Status D 1.7.4 is called "Transmit fault" PMD_transmit_fault bit" to "r Make the same changes in 8 Response Status W	'. Also, 45.2.1. mapped to the	Transmit fault bit". Also
SuggestedRemedy				This co	omment	also affe	cts Clause 85		
Delete "but consequ IS_UNITDATA_i.ind the optical PMDs.	ient actions interpret ication as a logic zero" here and i	in 85.2. There	is another comment for	C/ <b>84</b> Anslow, Pe		4.7.11	P 229 Nortel Network	L <b>17</b> (S	# 507
Response	Response Status C			Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status D		
ACCEPT.					defined		1.7.5 is called "Receive fault".	. Also, 45.2.1.7	.5 should be a link.
This comment also a	affects Clause 85			Suggested	Remedy	,			
C/ <b>84</b> SC <b>84.6</b> Anslow, Peter	P 226 Nortel Networks	L <b>6</b>	# 501				MA/PMD receive fault bit" to link. Make the same change		
Comment Type T	Comment Status <b>A</b> 34-3 the MDIO variable names do	a not all match	the names used in	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
	, not all of the register names ma			This co	omment	also affe	cts Clause 85		
change "Global PME "PMD signal detect x In the PMA/PMD reg 1 register", change " "Status x register" to	e columns, change "Transmit disa D Receive signal detect" to "Globa x" to "PMD receive signal detect ) gister name columns, change "Co 'Transmit disable register" to "PM o "PMA/PMD status x register", ch nal detect register". Make equival	al PMD receive x" ontrol 1 registe ID transmit dis hange "Receive	e signal detect", change r" to PMA/PMD control able register", change e signal detect register"						

Response

ACCEPT.

This comment also affects Clause 85

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

CI 84 SC 84.7.11 Page 117 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:49 AM

C/ 84 SC 84.7.2 Ganga, Ilango	P 226 Intel Corporat	L 38	# 901	<i>CI</i> <b>84</b> Dambrosi	SC 84.7.4	P2	27 10 Networ	L <b>41</b> ks.lnc	# 628
	mment Status A			Comment		Comment Status		KS IIIC	
The control function variables subclause in Clause 84. The function in Clause 72. Howev added to 84.7.12 to state that enumerated to mutiple lanes. rx_trained_0 through rx_traine in Clause 80 so this can be m SuggestedRemedy Provide description of variable	s used in table 84-3 new control function descrip- rer Clause 72 is applica- t the corresponding var For example rx_traine ed_3. Variable names in happed to registers in C	ption in 84.7.12 able to single lar riables defined f ed variable is enu with proper enu Clause 45.	refers to control ne. So description to be or single lane is umerated to meration to be defined	There interfi set to the vi (1.10 PMD (1.10 set to trainin	alue of SIGNAL_ 1), _signal_detect_1 4) shall be one or zero dep ng state diagram	ding PIC for the secor ted, then Global_PMD DETECT as described (1.10.2), PMD_signal rending on whether a p	nd SHALL ( _signal_de d in 45.2.1. l_detect_2	tect (1.10.0) 9.5; and PMI (1.10.3) and	
	ponse Status <b>C</b>				ure 72-5, returns dRemedy	a true of faise.			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				00	ppropriate PIC to	o 84.11.4.1			
Add the following paragraphs at the end of 84.7.12:				Response ACCI		Response Status	w		
"The variables rx_trained_i, fr from 0 to 3) report status for ( training and training_failure a	each lane and are equi	ivalent to rx_trai		<i>Cl</i> <b>84</b> Ganga, Ila	SC <b>84.7.5</b>	P <b>2</b> Intel 0	<b>27</b> Corporation	L <b>50</b>	# 898
If the MDIO interface is imple appropriate bits in the BASE- 45.2.1.78." also add appropriate PICS er	R PMD status register			varial uses	ge n to italics in volume of the second seco	Comment Status variable PMD_signal_c inge i to italics in varia variable uses i. Chang	detect_n. A ble PMD_t	ransmit_disa	ble_i. Why one variable
C/ 84 SC 84.7.4	P <b>227</b>	L 38	# 281	Suggeste	-				
Auller, Shimon	Sun Microsys		# 201	•	er comment Response	Response Status	14/		
Comment Type <b>E</b> Co SIGNAL_DETECT is set to C	mment Status <b>D</b> K only when training is	s successful.		•	POSED ACCEP	•			
SuggestedRemedy Insert "successful" between "				alsos	see comment 50	2			
Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR	sponse Status W								
Upon successful completion	of training on all lanes,	SIGNAL_DETE	CT shall be set to OK.						
Also update PIC in 84.11.4.1									
see also comment 282 again	st Clause 85								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 84 SC 84.7.5

Draft 3.0 (	Comments
-------------	----------

Anslow, Peter	P 227 Nortel Networks	L <b>50</b>	# 502	C/ 84 SC 84. Anslow, Peter	7.8 P 228 Nortel Netv	L <b>38</b> works	# 505
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
	ve have used n to denote the nu le. Same issue in corresponding			This says "Contro "PMA local loopb	I of the loopback function is spe ack" not PMD loopback. Same i	ecified in 45.2.1.1.4 issue in 85.7.8	4". But 45.2.1.1.4 is
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
PMD_signal_detect_i	signal_detect_n value, where n r value, where i represents" and s ause 85.7.5, Page 241, line 47				t the loopback function is in the Also, 45.2.1.1.4 should be a link		
Proposed Response	-			Response	Response Status C		
PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W			ACCEPT IN PRI	ICIPLE.		
PROPOSED ACCEP	1.			Make 45.2.1.1.4	a link.		
also see comment 89	8						
This comment also af	facts Clause 85			In 45.2.1.1.4 cha	nge: ck function is mandatory for the	1000BASE-KX 1	OGBASE-KR and
					type and optional for all other p		
C/ 84 SC 84.7.6	P 228	L <b>8</b>	# 503	to:			
Inslow, Peter	Nortel Networks	;			ck function is mandatory for the BASE-KR4, 40GBASE-CR4 ar		
omment Type T	Comment Status A			optional for all ot		IU IUUGBASE-CR	To poir types and
This says "and does r	not exceed the maximum differen	ntial peak-to-pe	eak output voltage				
	6.". Since Table 72-6 contains b Differential peak-to-peak output v			In 84.7.8 change	shall be provided for the 40GBA	ASE-KR4 PMD by	the transmitters and
	Ild be which limit applies. Same				vice as a test function to the dev		
				to:			
SuggestedRemedy				to: "Local loopback s	hall be provided by the adjacen		) for the 40GBASE-KR
SuggestedRemedy Change "and does no	t exceed the maximum differenti 6." to "and does not exceed the	al peak-to-pea	ak output voltage	to: "Local loopback s	hall be provided by the adjacen action to the device."		) for the 40GBASE-KR
SuggestedRemedy Change "and does no specified in Table 72-6	t exceed the maximum differenti	al peak-to-pea maximum diffe	ak output voltage erential peak-to-peak	to: "Local loopback s	nction to the device."		) for the 40GBASE-KR
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "and does no specified in Table 72-f output voltage with TX	t exceed the maximum differenti 6." to "and does not exceed the	al peak-to-pea maximum diffe	ak output voltage erential peak-to-peak	to: "Local loopback s PMD as a test fu In 85.7.8 change "Loopback mode	nction to the device." shall be provided for the 40GB/	ASE-CR4 and 1000	GBASE-CR10 PMDs b
SuggestedRemedy Change "and does no specified in Table 72-f output voltage with TX	t exceed the maximum differenti 6." to "and does not exceed the ( disabled specified in Table 72-	al peak-to-pea maximum diffe	ak output voltage erential peak-to-peak	to: "Local loopback s PMD as a test fu In 85.7.8 change "Loopback mode the transmitters a	nction to the device."	ASE-CR4 and 1000	GBASE-CR10 PMDs b
SuggestedRemedy Change "and does no specified in Table 72-( output voltage with TX Response ACCEPT.	t exceed the maximum differenti 6." to "and does not exceed the 6 disabled specified in Table 72- <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	al peak-to-pea maximum diffe 6." Make the s	ak output voltage erential peak-to-peak ame change on line 23.	to: "Local loopback of PMD as a test fu In 85.7.8 change "Loopback mode the transmitters a to: "Local loopback in	nction to the device." shall be provided for the 40GB/ nd receivers of a device as a te node shall be provided by the a	ASE-CR4 and 1000 est function to the d	GBASE-CR10 PMDs b device." 83.5.8) for the
SuggestedRemedy Change "and does no specified in Table 72-( output voltage with TX Response ACCEPT. Cl 84 SC 84.7.7	t exceed the maximum differenti 6." to "and does not exceed the 6 disabled specified in Table 72-t <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> <b>228</b>	al peak-to-pea maximum diffe 6." Make the s <i>L</i> <b>17</b>	ak output voltage erential peak-to-peak	to: "Local loopback of PMD as a test fu In 85.7.8 change "Loopback mode the transmitters a to: "Local loopback in	nction to the device." shall be provided for the 40GB/ nd receivers of a device as a te	ASE-CR4 and 1000 est function to the d	GBASE-CR10 PMDs b device." 83.5.8) for the
SuggestedRemedy Change "and does no specified in Table 72-( output voltage with TX Response ACCEPT.	t exceed the maximum differenti 6." to "and does not exceed the 6 disabled specified in Table 72- <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	al peak-to-pea maximum diffe 6." Make the s <i>L</i> <b>17</b>	ak output voltage erential peak-to-peak ame change on line 23.	to: "Local loopback s PMD as a test fu In 85.7.8 change "Loopback mode the transmitters a to: "Local loopback n 40GBASE-CR4 a	nction to the device." shall be provided for the 40GB/ nd receivers of a device as a te node shall be provided by the a nd 100GBASE-CR10 PMDs as	ASE-CR4 and 1000 est function to the d djacent PMA (see a test function to t	GBASE-CR10 PMDs b device." 83.5.8) for the he device."
CuggestedRemedy Change "and does no specified in Table 72-( output voltage with TX Response ACCEPT. 2/ 84 SC 84.7.7 nslow, Peter	t exceed the maximum differenti 6." to "and does not exceed the 6 disabled specified in Table 72-t <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> <b>228</b>	al peak-to-pea maximum diffe 6." Make the s <i>L</i> <b>17</b>	ak output voltage erential peak-to-peak ame change on line 23.	to: "Local loopback s PMD as a test fu In 85.7.8 change "Loopback mode the transmitters a to: "Local loopback n 40GBASE-CR4 a In clause 83 mak	nction to the device." shall be provided for the 40GB/ nd receivers of a device as a te node shall be provided by the a	ASE-CR4 and 1000 est function to the d djacent PMA (see a test function to t the PMA next to th	GBASE-CR10 PMDs b device." 83.5.8) for the he device."
SuggestedRemedy Change "and does no specified in Table 72-( output voltage with TX Response ACCEPT. C/ 84 SC 84.7.7 Anslow, Peter	t exceed the maximum differenti 6." to "and does not exceed the 6 disabled specified in Table 72- <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> <b>228</b> Nortel Networks <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b>	al peak-to-pea maximum diffe 6." Make the s <i>L</i> <b>17</b>	ak output voltage erential peak-to-peak ame change on line 23.	to: "Local loopback so PMD as a test fur In 85.7.8 change "Loopback mode the transmitters at to: "Local loopback in 40GBASE-CR4 at In clause 83 mak KR4, 40GBASE-	nction to the device." shall be provided for the 40GB/ nd receivers of a device as a te node shall be provided by the a nd 100GBASE-CR10 PMDs as e local loopback mandatory for CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 with	ASE-CR4 and 1000 est function to the d djacent PMA (see a test function to t the PMA next to th editorial license.	GBASE-CR10 PMDs b levice." 83.5.8) for the he device." he PMD for 40GBASE-
SuggestedRemedy Change "and does no specified in Table 72-( output voltage with TX Response ACCEPT. Cl 84 SC 84.7.7 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E Variables should be in	t exceed the maximum differenti 6." to "and does not exceed the 6 disabled specified in Table 72- <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> <b>228</b> Nortel Networks <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b>	al peak-to-pea maximum diffe 6." Make the s <i>L</i> <b>17</b>	ak output voltage erential peak-to-peak ame change on line 23.	to: "Local loopback s PMD as a test fu In 85.7.8 change "Loopback mode the transmitters a to: "Local loopback n 40GBASE-CR4 a In clause 83 mak KR4, 40GBASE- Change the claus	shall be provided for the 40GB/ nd receivers of a device as a te node shall be provided by the a nd 100GBASE-CR10 PMDs as e local loopback mandatory for CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 with e 83 PICS to make local loopba	ASE-CR4 and 1000 ast function to the d djacent PMA (see a test function to t the PMA next to th editorial license.	GBASE-CR10 PMDs b levice." 83.5.8) for the he device." he PMD for 40GBASE-
SuggestedRemedy Change "and does no specified in Table 72-( output voltage with TX Response ACCEPT. Cl 84 SC 84.7.7 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E Variables should be in SuggestedRemedy	t exceed the maximum differenti 6." to "and does not exceed the 6 disabled specified in Table 72-1 <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> <b>228</b> Nortel Networks <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> n italic font t_disable_i function (where i repo	al peak-to-pea maximum diffe 6." Make the s	ak output voltage erential peak-to-peak ame change on line 23. # <u>504</u>	to: "Local loopback s PMD as a test fu In 85.7.8 change "Loopback mode the transmitters a to: "Local loopback n 40GBASE-CR4 a In clause 83 mak KR4, 40GBASE- Change the claus	nction to the device." shall be provided for the 40GB/ nd receivers of a device as a te node shall be provided by the a nd 100GBASE-CR10 PMDs as e local loopback mandatory for CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 with	ASE-CR4 and 1000 ast function to the d djacent PMA (see a test function to t the PMA next to th editorial license.	GBASE-CR10 PMDs b levice." 83.5.8) for the he device." he PMD for 40GBASE-
SuggestedRemedy Change "and does no specified in Table 72-( output voltage with TX Response ACCEPT. Cl 84 SC 84.7.7 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E Variables should be in SuggestedRemedy In "The PMD_transmit	t exceed the maximum differenti 6." to "and does not exceed the 6 disabled specified in Table 72-1 <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> <b>228</b> Nortel Networks <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> n italic font t_disable_i function (where i repo	al peak-to-pea maximum diffe 6." Make the s	ak output voltage erential peak-to-peak ame change on line 23. # <u>504</u>	to: "Local loopback s PMD as a test fu In 85.7.8 change "Loopback mode the transmitters a to: "Local loopback n 40GBASE-CR4 a In clause 83 mak KR4, 40GBASE- Change the claus	shall be provided for the 40GB/ nd receivers of a device as a te node shall be provided by the a nd 100GBASE-CR10 PMDs as e local loopback mandatory for CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 with e 83 PICS to make local loopba	ASE-CR4 and 1000 ast function to the d djacent PMA (see a test function to t the PMA next to th editorial license.	GBASE-CR10 PMDs b levice." 83.5.8) for the he device." he PMD for 40GBASE-

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 84 SC 84.7.8 Page 119 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:49 AM

Draft 3	3.0 Comments		IEEE P8	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ai	nd 100Gb/s Ether	net comm	ents		Sponsor ballo
Cl <b>84</b> Hajduczenia	SC <b>84.7.8</b> ia, Marek	P 228 ZTE Corp.	L <b>46</b>	# 152	Cl 84 SC 8 Anslow, Peter	4.8.2	P 229 Nortel Networks	L <b>42</b>	# 508
network operatio Suggested	says that "Placin k." - in what way ion is disrupted ? <i>Remedy</i>	Comment Status R g a network port into loopbac is a network disrupted in such	n a case? Do yo	ou mean that network	This says "Red as 10GBASE- transmitter. Re SuggestedRemedy	ceiver electri KR, as detai cceiver chara /	Comment Status <b>A</b> cal characteristics at TP4 for led in 72.7.1.1 through 72.7.2 acteristics start at 72.7.2.1	2.5.". But 72. <sup>-</sup>	7.1.1 is for the
	e to read "Placing ion and carried tra	anetwork port into loopback	c mode can be	disruptive to a network	Change "as de 72.7.2.5."	etailed in 72.	7.1.1 through 72.7.2.5." to "a	s detailed in	72.7.2.1 through
Response REJEC	CT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.	F	Response Status C		
This ph	nrasing is used in SC 84.7.9	802.3-2008. There is no nee	d to use differe	nt wording in 802.3ba. # 153	C/ <b>85</b> SC <b>8</b> Turner, Edward J	5	P <b>237</b> Gnodal Limited	L <b>30</b>	# 246
Hajduczenia	ia, Marek	ZTE Corp.				-	Comment Status D		
	/IDIO is implemer	Comment Status R nted, PMD_fault is the logical d any other implementation s		ceive_fault,	SuggestedRemedy	/	and 100GBASE-CR10		
operatio	ion on PMD_rece	DIO is implemented, PMD_fa ive_fault, PMD_transmit_faul anges to 85.7.9 PMD_fault fu	t, and any othe	r implementation	Proposed Respons PROPOSED A		Response Status W		
Suggestedl Per cor	Remedy		inotion, page 2-	+2, into 00	CI <b>85</b> SC 8 Turner, Edward J	5	P 238 Gnodal Limited	L <b>54</b>	# 233
Response REJEC	CT.	Response Status C			Comment Type Table 85-3. No		Comment Status <b>D</b> pottom of the table.		
This wo	ording is used in	802.3-2008. There is no need	I to use differen	t wording in 802.3ba.	SuggestedRemedy Add line to bot		as per other tables split over	pages	
<i>CI</i> <b>84</b> Hajduczenia	SC <b>84.8.1.1</b> ia, Marek	P 229 ZTE Corp.	L 37	# 151	Proposed Respons PROPOSED A		Response Status W		
	ime test fixture as .1change to read	Comment Status A 10GBASE-KR shall be used "The test fixture defined for 1							
Suggestedl Per cor									
Response	PT.	Response Status C							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 85 SC 85 Page 120 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:49 AM

#### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 85 SC 85 Moore, Charles	P <b>244</b> Avago Techno	L <b>26</b> logies	# 812	<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> Moore, Ch	SC <b>85</b> arles	Р <b>245</b> Аvago Т	L <b>35</b> echnologies	# 815
Comment Type <b>TR</b> min amplitude(linear fit)	Comment Status A spec of 0.24V conflicts with	Linear fit pulse	spec on line 23-24		51	Comment Status A st pattern" is not specified t work	. The spec could be	e calling for alternating 1s
SuggestedRemedy delete min amplitude (li Response ACCEPT.	near fit) spec Response Status W			"The r consis	e 6) to: eference lane ( ting of 5 conse	of the transmitter under te ecutive ones followed by fi ed idle or PRBS-31"	st sends a square v ve consecutive zero	vave test pattern, os, while all other lanes
Cl 85 SC 85 Moore, Charles Comment Type T Deterministic jitter is no	P244 Avago Techno <i>Comment Status</i> A t specified so saying DCD is	-	# 813	Response ACCE Chang "The re	PT IN PRINCI e 6) to: eference lane	Response Status V	st sends a square v	
SuggestedRemedy in note 'e' delete "Duty of distribution"	Cycle Distortion is considered	l part of the de	erministic jitter	<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> Moore, Ch	SC <b>85</b> arles	Р <b>246</b> Аvago Т	L <b>50</b> echnologies	# 817
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status <b>C</b>				51	Comment Status A the intent of the following erstand	procedure may ma	ke the procedure easier
serif type with a tail. SuggestedRemedy	P245 Gnodal Limiter Comment Status D embly's is a sans-serif type, w Iso on page 246 at line 38, a Response Status W	vhereas the sty		perforn to: "Instea determ proces <i>Response</i> ACCE "Instea perforn to: "The fo TP2 by	e: ad the following mance at TP2. ad the effective ined and then is below accor PT IN PRINCII ad the following mance at TP2. bollowing proces y determining a	e channel characteristic be equalized to measure the nplishes this." <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> PLE. Change: g process is defined for th	etween the equalize transmit equalizer e verification of tran	r function and TP2 is function directly. The smit equalizer qualizer performance at

CI 85 SC 85

C/ <b>85</b> SC <b>85</b> Noore, Charles	P <b>247</b> Avago Techno	L13	# 818	<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> Moore, Cha	SC 85	P <b>247</b> Avago Techr	L <b>5</b>	# 819
omment Type TR	Comment Status A e linear fit pulse is out of alignm		35-1	Comment 7 Step 3	ype TR	Comment Status A	0	. I think that its clarity
to: "DC amplitude, the s step 3, shall be grea pulse response from <i>esponse</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCI "The peak value of the to: "The DC amplitude, from step 3, shall be	he linear fit pulse from step 3, p, sum of linear fit pulse response, f ter than 0.34V and no greater th step 3 shall be greater than 0.6 <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> PLE. Change : he linear fit pulse from step 3, p, the sum of linear fit pulse respor greater than 0.34V and less that has from step 3 shall be greater	p(k), from step an 0.6V. The p 3*DC amplitud shall be greate nse, p(k), from an or equal to 0	3 divided by M from beak of the linear fit e." er than 240 mV." step 3 divided by M 0.6V. The peak of the	Suggested/ Change "Compu- to: "Compu- 85.8.3.3 Make th Also in "linear f to: "linear f and in r "linear f to:	Remedy te the linear fi a.5." he same chang steps 10 and fit pulse, p," fit pulse respon- notes b and c t fit pulse"	o Table 85-4, change:		oulse response p(k) pe
85 SC 85 Irner, Edward J	P 247 Gnodal Limited	L <b>22</b> d	# 234	Response ACCEF	fit pulse respor PT.	Response Status W		
omment Type E Table 85-5. Thin line uggestedRemedy Use a thicker line un	Comment Status D under title cells. der the title cells, as per tables i	n other clauses	5	C/ <b>85</b> Turner, Edv Comment 7	ype E	P <b>248</b> Gnodal Limit <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b>		# 249
roposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W			with a t Suggested Use se Proposed F	ail. R <i>emedy</i> rif quote marks	a sans-serif type, whereas the s. Also at lines 22 and 25 on t <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> T.		e is to use a serif type

C/ 85 SC 85

CI 85 SC 85 P251 L9 # 820	C/ 85 SC 85 P25385 L4 # 822
Moore, Charles Avago Technologies	Moore, Charles Avago Technologies
Comment Type TR Comment Status A The text of 85.8.3.5 Test Fixture and Figure 85-5 Transmitter test fixture, are very unclear.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A 85.8.4.2 does not make it clear that both tests must pass
SuggestedRemedy Have 85.8.3.5 State: "The test fixture shown in Figure 85-5 or its functional equivalent is required for all Transmitter tests and for receiver return loss measurement. It shall consist of a plug connecting either to a 40-GBASE-CR4 or 100GBASE-CR10 MDI connector as appropriate and all necessary signals connected to RF connectors and all other signals terminated with 100 Ohms differential. When mated with a cable assembly test fixture it shall meet the specifications of 85.10.9."	SuggestedRemedy         Change The paragraph in 85.8.4.2 To:         "The receiver shall path both Test 1 (short channel) and Test 2 (long channel) using the interference tolerance parameters listed in Table 85-7."         Response       Response Status         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         See response comment#534
I Will provide a suggested drawing. Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response comment#831 for updated figure. See response comment#832 for updated text.	Cl 85     SC 85     P 254     L 39     # 836       Dudek, Michael     QLogic Corporation       Comment Type     E     Comment Status     D       poor English
C/         85         SC         85         P 253         L 1         # 821           Moore, Charles         Avago Technologies	SuggestedRemedy replace "at pattern" with "at the pattern"
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> Receiver interference tolerance test is not actually performed at TP3 since there is no Test fixture. The Calibration of the Test channel is in effect done at TP4	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment#697.
SuggestedRemedy In 85.8.4.2, change:	[Editor's note: This comment is against 85.8.4.3.2, hence updated the subclause numbe field accordingly]
"Receiver interference tolerance test at TP3" to: "Receiver interference tolerance test"	C/         85         P 255         L 9         #         251           Turner, Edward J         Gnodal Limited
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Comment TypeEComment StatusDThe referenced section 86.8.8.2 does not exist.
	SuggestedRemedy Replace with 86.8.2.
	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 85 SC 85

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 85         P 256         L           Turner, Edward J         Gnodal Limited	7 # 2	<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> SC a Turner, Edward J	35 P266 Gnodal Limited	L <b>28</b>	# 253			
Comment Type E Comment Status D Table 85-8. Thin line under title cells.		Comment Type style-2 has a l	E Comment Status D ower case s whereas elsewhere it has a	n uppercase s.				
SuggestedRemedy Use a thicker line under the title cells, as per tables in othe	r clauses	SuggestedRemed Capitalise the						
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.		Proposed Respon PROPOSED						
C/         85         SC         85         P         257         L           Furner, Edward J         Gnodal Limited         Gnodal	.16 # 2	C/ <b>85</b> SC a Turner, Edward J	B5 P 269 Gnodal Limited	L <b>37</b>	# 254			
Comment Type E Comment Status D Table 85-9. Thin line under title cells.		<i>Comment Type</i> There are two	E Comment Status A references to IEC XXXXX-X-XX					
SuggestedRemedy Use a thicker line under the title cells, as per tables in othe	r clauses	SuggestedRemed Replace with	y a valid reference.					
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.		Response ACCEPT IN F See response	Response Status C RINCIPLE. to comment#544.					
% 85         SC 85         P 261         L           urner, Edward J         Gnodal Limited	20 # 2			L <b>7</b>	# 247			
Comment Type E Comment Status D Table 85-10. Thin line under title cells.		Comment Type	E Comment Status D ween Clause and 85					
SuggestedRemedy Use a thicker line under the title cells, as per tables in othe	r clauses	SuggestedRemed						
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.		Proposed Respon PROPOSED	· · ·					
% 85         SC 85         P 265         L           urner, Edward J         Gnodal Limited	.37 # 2:	C/ <b>85</b> SC a Turner, Edward J	B5 P278 Gnodal Limited	L <b>5</b>	# 239			
Comment Type         E         Comment Status         D           Table 85-11. Thin line under title cells.		Comment Type Thin line unde	E Comment Status D					
uggestedRemedy Use a thicker line under the title cells, as per tables in othe	r clauses	SuggestedRemed		les in other clauses				
roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.		Proposed Respon PROPOSED	se Response Status W					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gene COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	eral required T/technical E/editorial G/general RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	CI <b>85</b>	Page 124 of 199
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		SC 85	1/28/2010 6:39:49 AM

NEXT loss values using Equation (85-26)."

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

I 85         SC 85.1         P 29         L 235         # 148           ajduczenia, Marek         ZTE Corp.         Image: Corp.         <	C/         85         SC         85.10.10.3         P 270         L 32         # 377           Ganga, Ilango         Intel Corporation         Intel Corporation         Intel Corporation         Intel Corporation
T Comment Status A In Table 85-1, "not applicable" should be written as "N/A" since that is what is used in PICS throughout the 802.3 standards. uggestedRemedy	Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         [Editor's note: Comment 64 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0]       Inconsistent notation: here we have MDNEXT subscript loss while previously in 85 we had Insertion_loss, IL, Return_loss. 85A uses IL a lot.
Per comment.	SuggestedRemedy
ACCEPT.	My preferred solution is to use simply "MDNEXT" to and flip the sign, and replace Insertion_loss and IL with SDD21 (and flip the sign), in line with CEI, SFP+ and CXP.
# 85     SC 85.10.10.3     P 259     L 42     # 378       anga, Ilango     Intel Corporation       romment Type     T     Comment Status	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace subscripted loss for MDNEXT and MDFEXT with _loss e.g., MDNEXT_loss(f) and MDFEXT_loss(f).
[Editor's note: Comment 65 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0] Repeating D2.2 comment 65:	C/         85         SC         85.10.2         P 257         L 13         # 689           Healey, Adam         LSI Corporation         LSI Co
Draft says "Multiple Disturber Near-End Crosstalk (MDNEXT) loss is specified as the power sum of the individual NEXT losses." and "MDNEXT loss is determined by summing the power of the four or ten individual pair-to-pair differential NEXT loss values". These statements are not correct: MDNEXT is the power sum of the individual NEXTs, but as equation 85-26 shows, "MDNEXT loss" is the inverse of the power	Comment Type         T         Comment Status         A           The caption for Table 85-9 states these are "example" maximum cable assembly insertion loss requirements. This does not appear to be an example, they are the actual requirements as stated in the preceding paragraph.
sum of the individual inverses of "NEXT losses".	SuggestedRemedy
The power sum of the individual NEXT losses would be dominated by the weakest NEXT, which is not what we want.	Delete the word "Example" from the caption.
uggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C
My preferred solution is change "NEXT loss" to "NEXT" and "MDNEXT loss" to "MDNEXT",	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
and flip the signs. This brings the signs in line with CEI, SFP+, CXP.	Delete the word "Example" from the Table 85-9
esponse Response Status C	Add example to caption Figure 85-8.

C/ 85 SC 85.10.2

Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         Comment 65 against D 2.3 was agreed to be re-submitted by the Editor against D 3.0. The directed proposed response only makes changes against Page 259 line 44, but other changes are needed to fix this issue. Note: another comment proposes changes to the "where" sections of equations 85-26 and 85-27.         SuggestedRemedy       In addition to the change needed on Page 259 line 44, on line 42 change "(MDNEXT) loss
is specified as the power sum of the individual NEXT losses" to "(MDNEXT) loss is specified using the individual NEXT losses". On Page 260 line 11, change "MDFEXT loss is specified as the power sum of the individual FEXT losses. MDFEXT loss is determined by summing the power of the three or nine" to "MDFEXT loss is specified using the individual FEXT losses. MDFEXT loss is determined from the three or nine" on Page 419 line 9 change "is specified as the power sum of the individual NEXT" to "is specified using the individual NEXT", on line 14 change "specified as the power sum of the individual FEXT" to "specified using the individual FEXT"
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
On line 42 change "(MDNEXT) loss is specified as the power sum of the individual NEXT losses" to "(MDNEXT) loss is determined using the individual NEXT losses".

On Page 260 line 11, change "MDFEXT loss is specified as the power sum of the individual FEXT losses. MDFEXT loss is determined by summing the power of the three or nine ..." to "MDFEXT loss is determined using the individual FEXT losses. MDFEXT loss is determined from the three or nine ..."

on Page 419 line 9 change "is specified as the power sum of the individual NEXT" to "is determined using the individual NEXT",

on line 14 change "specified as the power sum of the individual FEXT" to "determined using the individual FEXT"

Cl 85 SC Anslow, Peter	85.10.5	P <b>25</b> Nortel	59 Networks	L <b>48</b>	# 538	
51	T Com 5-26 and 85-27 sho	<i>ment Status</i> ould show the				
SuggestedReme Add the units	<i>dy</i> s "dB" to equations	85-26 and 8	5-27.			
Response ACCEPT.	Respo	onse Status	С			
neral			C/ 85		Page 126 of 199	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/u	unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	Fage 120 01 199
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		SC 85.10.5	1/28/2010 6:39:49 AM

C/ 85 SC 85.10.5 Anslow, Peter	P 260 Nortel Network	L <b>4</b> is	# 539	C/ <b>85</b> Healey, A	SC <b>85.10.7</b> dam	P 260 LSI Corporat	L <b>46</b> tion	# 690
Comment Type <b>T</b> C In equation 85-26, "NLi(f) is in dB,". What is the meaning some manipulation of the lo applies to equation 85-27	g of "the power of" here?	Isn't NLi(f) sim	ply the NEXT loss? If	Suggeste	hrase "and Fast Fo	Comment Status A urier transform (FFT)" ad "Note that -3 dB tran		
uggestedRemedy Change "NLi(f) is the power "NLi(f) is the NEXT loss at fi change to equation 85-27				invers <i>Response</i> ACCE Fast F to the	ely proportional to the R PT IN PRINCIPLE. C Fourier transform (FF 20% to 80% rise and	e 20 to 80% rise and fall esponse Status <b>C</b> Change:"Note that the 3 T) are inversely proporti I fall times Tnt and Tft re- smit filter bandwidths fn	l times Tnt and T dB transmit filter onal espectively."	ft respectively."
<ul> <li>85 SC 85.10.7</li> <li>awe, Piers J G</li> <li><i>omment Type</i> T C</li> <li>Need some text to explain w my description needs correct</li> </ul>		L 29 made the com	# 298			fall times Tnt and Tft re		
SuggestedRemedy Insert text: Integrated crossi voltage that would be gener derived via the near-end and end and far-end crosstalk lo fourth-order receiver respon	alk noise <sigma_x> is a ated by all disturber trans d far-end ICNs by calcula sses, assuming a secon</sigma_x>	smitters with m tion from the r	aximum slew rate. It is nultiple disturber near-					
Response Re ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add text before paragraph p noise at a receiver the cable relationship to the measured MDNEXT. Add text after paragraph pag the output of a specified rec measured multiple disturber are defined in Equation (85-	e assembly integrated cro d insertion loss. ICN is ca ge 260, line 30:"The RMS eive filter utilizing a spec crosstalk transfer function	osstalk noise ( loculated from the standard from	CN) is specified in the MDFEXT and se is characterized at er waveform and the mitter and receiver filters					

disturber crosstalk in Equation (85-30) and Equation (85-31)."

C/ 85 SC 85.10.7

C/ 85     SC 85.10.7     P 260     L 46     # 379       Ganga, Ilango     Intel Corporation	Cl 85         SC 85.10.7         P 260         L 46         # 691           Healey, Adam         LSI Corporation
Comment Type       E       Comment Status       A         [Editor's note: Comment 66 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0]       What does "Fast Fourier transform (FFT) [is] inversely proportional to the 20% to 80% rise and fall time Tft" mean?         Is what follows "Note that" a NOTE, i.e. informative and not part of the standard? Although the style guide allows it, it's ambiguous and should be avoided.       Other editorial issues.         I think the equation at line 48 and the units in Table 85-10 are not consistent (needs checking).       Item Table 85-10 are not consistent (needs checking).	Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         I would be useful to declare that sinc(x) is sin(pi*x)/(pi*x) since there is some ambiguity as to whether this is the normalized sinc function or not.       SuggestedRemedy         Add a statement to this paragraph that defined sinc(x).       Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Page 260, line 35. Add sentence, "The sinc function is defined by sinc(x)=sin(pi*x)/(pi*x)."       Suggested by sinc(x)=sin(pi*x)/(pi*x)."
SuggestedRemedy Change "Define the weight at each frequency fn using" to "The weights Wnt and Wft at each frequency fn are given by" (or add "here lines for Wnt and Wft). Change "where the equation parameters are given in Table 85-10. Note that the 3 dB transmit filter bandwidths fnt and Fast Fourier transform (FFT) are inversely proportional to the 20% to 80% rise and fall times Tnt and Tft respectively. The constant of proportionality is 0.2365 (e.g. Tnt fnt = 0.2365). In addition, fr is the 3 dB reference receiver bandwidth which is set to 7.5 GHz." to "where fnt is in GHz and is given by Equation 85-new1, fft is in GHz and is given by Equation 85-new2, fr, the reference receiver 3 dB bandwidth, is 7.5 GHz, and the other equation parameters are given in Table 85-10. fnt= 236.5 / Tnt (85-new1) fft= 236.5 / Tnt (85-new2) where Tnt and Tft are the 20% to 80% rise and fall times in picoseconds given in Table 85-	Cl 85       SC 85.10.7       P 260       L 47       # 692         Healey, Adam       LSI Corporation       Image: Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         The conversion factor 0.2365 assumes that fnt is expressed in Hz and Tnt is in seconds. A line 32, fnt is implied to be units of MHz and Table 85-10 states the units of Tnt are picoseconds which may lead to confusion.       SuggestedRemedy         State that the conversion factor is for fnt in units of Hz and Tnt in units of seconds.       Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Change: "The constant of proportionality is 0.2365 (e.g. Tnt fnt = 0.2365).       To: "The constant of proportionality is 0.2365 (e.g. Tnt fnt = 0.2365); with Tnt in Hz and fnt in seconds)."
10." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response comment#890	Cl 85 SC 85.10.7 P260 L53 # 299 Dawe, Piers J G Independant Comment Type TR Comment Status R Is the factor of 2 correct here? SuggestedRemedy Check, correct if necessary Response Response Status W REJECT. Factor of two is correct.

C/ 85 SC 85.10.7

#### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/         85         SC         85.10.7         P 261         L           Dambrosia, John         Force 10 Networks Ir	<b>30</b> # 639	C/         85         SC         85.10.8         P 263         L 31         # 540           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks
Comment Type TR Comment Status A SHALL statement is "The total integrated crosstalk RMS no values determined by Equation (8533) illustrated in Figure 8511." No PIC and the CA5 PIC does	-	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> Equation 85-34 defines a reference loss, not a maximum so the variable name shouldn't b "ILcatfmax" SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy modify CA5 to include equation 85-33		In Equation 85-34 change "ILcatfmax" to "ILcatf" (2 places). Also in Figure 85-12 use the same variable name instead of "IL_CATF"
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In CA5 Change: "Equation (85-32)" To:"Equation (85-33)"		Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In Equation 85-34 change "ILcatfmax" to "ILcatfref" (2 places). Also in Figure 85-12 use th same variable name instead of "IL_CATF"
C/ <b>85</b> SC <b>85.10.8</b> P <b>262</b> L Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom	<b>25</b> # 769	C/         85         SC         85.10.9         P 262         L 21         # 770           Ghiasi, Ali         Broadcom         Br
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>R</b> Document organization, it would a better fit to move 85.10.8	3 in to test fixture section	Comment Type TR Comment Status R Document organization, it would a better fit to move 85.10.9 in to test fixture section
SuggestedRemedy Move the section after 85.8.3.5		SuggestedRemedy Move the section after 85.8.3.5
Response Response Status W REJECT.		Response Response Status W REJECT.
85.8 is MDI electricals; 85.8.3.5 test fixture is for TP2 or TP 85.10 is cable assembly characteristics; 85.10.8 test fixture		See comment#769. In addition, 85.10.9 should follow after 85.10.8.
C/ 85 SC 85.10.8 P262 L Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation	32 # 839	C/         85         SC         85.10.9.1         P 263         L 41         # 768           Ghiasi, Ali         Broadcom
Comment Type ER Comment Status A It is strange to call the reference loss by a name including r	nax	Comment Type TR Comment Status A mated test fixture is missing SCC and SCD specifications
SuggestedRemedy Change the name ILcatfmax to ILcatfref here and on line 39	)	SuggestedRemedy CL 85 has now incorporated HCB and MCB from CL 86 but did not include SCC and SCD
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment#540.		requirements. Please copy form 86A.5.1.1.2 Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
[Editor's note: This comment is against 85.10.8, hence upd accordingly]	ated the subclause number field	Add equation 86A-10 (SCD12/21) and Equation 86A-9 (SCC11/22)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 85 SC 85.10.9.1 Page 129 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:49 AM

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/         85         SC         85.10.9.1         P 263         L 47         # 541           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks         Nortel Networks         541	C/         85         SC         85.11         P 266         L 22         #         542           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks
Comment Type E Comment Status D In equation 85-36 the brackets in "(dB)" should not be in italic font. SuggestedRemedy Change "(dB)" to all normal font.	Comment TypeTComment StatusAThis says "is coupled to the cable assembly, as per 85.8, by the MDI." but 85.8 is "MDI Electrical specifications for 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10" not a definition of the cable assembly.
Proposed Response Response Status W	SuggestedRemedy Change "the cable assembly, as per 85.8," to "the cable assembly, as per 85.10,".
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Response Status C
C/ 85 SC 85.10.9.3 P265 L27 # 763	ACCEPT.
Avago Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status D	Cl 85 SC 85.11.1 P266 L28 # 801
This section could be helped by the use of "sigma nx" and "sigma fx" in the last 2 table entries. In addition the first 2 lines are new values not presented else where. Are thes presented to make sure on of the channels is not really bad? If so state that in the introduction and give it a special "sigma" name. subscript of senx and sefx sould work.	Chalupsky, David Intel Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status D typo: "style-2"
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy replace "style-2" with "Style-2"
See comment suggestion	
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response comment#253
Add sentence below paragraph page 265 line 27" The mated test fixtures integrated crosstalk RMS noise voltages for the single-disturber near-end crosstalk loss and the single-disturber far-end crosstalk loss are determined using Equation (85-28) through Equation (85-32) by substituting the single disturber near-end for the multiple disturber near-end crosstalk loss and the single disturber far-end crosstalk loss for the multiple disturber near-end crosstalk loss for the multiple disturber far-end crosstalk loss and the single disturber far-end crosstalk loss for the multiple disturber far-	Cl 85         SC 85.11.1.1         P 267         L 32         # 772           Ghiasi, Ali         Broadcom         Broadcom         # 772           Comment Type         TR         Comment Status         R
far-end crosstalk loss."         Cl 85       SC 85.10.9.3       P 265       L 35       # 764         Misek, Brian       Avago Technologies       # 764         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D         Since this is a specification on the mated test fixtures, Should there be 2 tables. One for QSFP and one for CXP. This would keep the QSFP mated boards as clean as possible.         SuggestedRemedy	<ul> <li>MLD can reorder lanes but figure 85-12 shows specific SL# connected to the each pin of the MDI connector. Connecting lane 1 to lane one of the the MDI could compromise the signal integrity based on QSFP and CXP connector pin out. Unlike CL85, CL86 allows connecting any host lane to module lane for ease of flexiblity an SI</li> <li>SuggestedRemedy</li> <li>Current statement "The Style-1 40GBASE-CR4 MDI connector contact assignment shall b as defined in Table 85-12." to "Example Style-1 40GBASE-CR4 MDI connector contact assignment shall b assignment is shown in Table 85-12. Other wiring assignment is acceptable as long as Tx</li> </ul>
Add separate values for QSFP put same valuse as place holder.	lane and Rx lane pairs are not broken and the polarity is maintained."
Proposed Response Response Status Z	Response Response Status U
REJECT.	REJECT. MLD is independent of MDI source lane (SL) naming conventions; MDI contact assignments consistent with SFF-8436.
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	assignments consistent with or 1 0-00.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl	85
SC	85.11.1.1

Page 130 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:49 AM

### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> SC <b>85.11.1.2</b> Dambrosia, John	2 P268 Force 10 Netw	L17 orks Inc	# 643	<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> Ghiasi, Ali	SC 85.11.1.3	P <b>271</b> Broadcom	L <b>32</b>	# 774
<i>Comment Type</i> <b>ER</b> Fig 85-19 and 85-20 ar	Comment Status <b>A</b> re labeled the same thing				n reorder lanes	Comment Status <b>R</b> but figure 85-12 shows spen necting lane 1 to lane one o		
SuggestedRemedy correct figure titles					tegrity based o	n QSFP and CXP connector ws connecting any host lane	r pin out.	·
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment#806.			SI SuggestedRemedy Current statement "The Style-1 40GBASE-CR4 MDI connector contact assignment shall I					
C/ 85 SC 85.11.1.2 C/ 85 SC 85.11.1.2	P 268 Intel Corporation	L <b>29</b> on	# 806	as defin assignm	ed in Table 85- nent is shown in	12." to "Example Style-1 400 Table 85-12. Other wiring a are not broken and the pola	GBASE-CR4 MD assignment is ac	I connector contact ceptable as long as Tx
Comment Type T Incorrect figure title. Figure	Comment Status A g 85-20 is the MDI receptacle,		blug	Response REJEC <sup>-</sup> See res	T. ponse to comm	Response Status U		
	tle with "Example Style-2 MDI	board receptac	sle"	<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> Healey, Ada	SC <b>85.11.2</b>	P 269 LSI Corporat	L <b>37</b> ion	# 693
Response ACCEPT. Resolve with comment	Response Status <b>C</b> #643			Comment Ty The IEC		Comment Status A e 100GBASE-CR10 connec	ctors are missing	ŀ.
C/ 85 SC 85.11.1.2 Ghiasi, Ali	2.1 P269 Broadcom	L <b>32</b>	# 773		the correct refer	ence or add an editor's note ected to be added.	e that informs the	e reader when the
the MDI connector. Co signal integrity based o	Comment Status R s but figure 85-12 shows speci nnecting lane 1 to lane one of on QSFP and CXP connector p wws connecting any host lane to	the the MDI co oin out.	uld compromise the	Response ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPL ponse commen	Response Status <b>C</b> E.		
as defined in Table 85- assignment is shown ir	e Style-1 40GBASE-CR4 MDI 12." to "Example Style-1 40G n Table 85-12. Other wiring as are not broken and the polarit	BASE-CR4 MD signment is acc	l connector contact ceptable as long as Tx					

Response

REJECT. See response comment#772.

Response Status U

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 85 SC 85.11.2

#### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 85 SC 85.11.2 Anslow, Peter	P 269 Nortel Networ	L <b>37</b> ks	# 544	<i>CI</i> <b>85</b> Chalupsky, I	SC <b>85.13</b> David	P <b>272</b> Intel Corporat	L <b>3</b> ion	# 805
Comment Type E If an IEC document f	Comment Status A or this connector is going to be	published in tim	e for 802.3ba to	Comment Ty	rpe E	Comment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy Either change "IEC X	ust be going through the IEC b XXXX-X-XX" to the draft IEC do	ocument numbe	r and add an editor's			ection title. See Clause 86 P Ind formatting	ICS (86.11.4) fo	or an example of
note to clause 1.5 giv alternative reference.	ring the expected publishing da (2 places).	te or replace thi	s text with an	Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI	Response Status C			Add foot standard	note to 85.13 s I may freely re	IN PRINCIPLE. section title: "Copyright releas produce the PICS proforma in a purpose and may further pu	this subclause	e so that it can
Add expected publication	F-8642. Remove IEC XXXXX-X- ation date in editors note. Docu	ment is publicly		<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> Chalupsky, [	SC <b>85.13.1</b> David	P 272 Intel Corporat	L <b>7</b> ion	# 804
C/ <b>85</b> SC <b>85.11.2</b> Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.	L 269	# 144	Comment Ty typo: "C	rpe E	Comment Status D		
Comment Type TR This comment serves XXXXX-X-XX"	Comment Status A s as a reminder to insert proper	IEC reference r	number instead of "IEC	SuggestedR	emedy	th "Clause 85"		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				Proposed Re PROPO	,	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. See respons	e comment#24	17
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI	Response Status W			C/ <b>85</b> Dambrosia,	SC <b>85.13.4</b> John	P 273 Force 10 Netv	L14 vorks Inc	# 629
See comment#544.				Comment Ty		Comment Status A		
C/ 85 SC 85.11.3	P 269 Nortel Networ	L <b>42</b> ks	# 543	,	, KLAUI but not i			
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status A			00	ropriate pic for	CAUI		
	cal for the subclause on "100G	BASE-CR10 ME	0I AC-Coupling" to be a	Response ACCEP	Г.	Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy Since 85.11.3 is 100	GBASE-CR10 specific, make it	subclause of 85	5.11.2.1					
Response	Response Status C							
•								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 85 SC 85.13.4

### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

% 85         SC 85.13.4         P 273         L 16         # 546           nslow, Peter         Nortel Networks	C/         85         SC         85.13.4         P 273         L 30         # 630           Dambrosia, John         Force 10 Networks Inc
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> The 2 "PCS" PICS entries indicate that "Support of 40GBASE-R PCS" and "Support of 100GBASE-R PCS" are both mandatory for a given device.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Given the multiple skew and skew variation constraints, the values comment should direct the reader to 85.5
SuggestedRemedy         Replace both "PCS" entries and both "PMA" entries with a single entry like the "SF1" entry in 86.11.4.1. "Compatible with 40GBASER or 100GBASER PCS and PMA"         Response       Response Status         C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy         modify value/comment for DSC by adding "constraints specified in 85.5" at end of sentence         Response       Response Status         C         ACCEPT.
See response comment#807.	C/         85         SC         85.13.4         P 273         L 9         # 545           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks
% 85         SC 85.13.4         P 273         L 16         # 807           halupsky, David         Intel Corporation	Comment Type T Comment Status A
T       Comment Status       A         Major capabilities / options table incorrectly implies that BOTH CR4 AND CR10 are required. Support of either PMD is optional; the relevant PCS & PMA's are mandatory dependent upon PMD type.         uggestedRemedy	<ul> <li>Whether or not the XLGMII or CGMII are supported or not matters for the PCS but is of nor relevance to these PMD's. Also, the other PMDs in the 802.3ba draft do not have these items.</li> <li>SuggestedRemedy</li> <li>Remove the "XLGMI" and "CGMII" PICS items. (If not then at least change "XLGMII interface" to "XLGMII" and "CGMI interface" to "CGMII" since the last I is interface and</li> </ul>
Add two rows to table (after XLAUI row) to indicate support for CR4 & CR10 PMDs. First row: Item = "*CR4"; Feature = "40GBASE-CR4 PMD"; Value/comment: "Can operate	"CGMI interface" looks wrong)
as 40GBASE-CR4 PMD"; status= "O.1" Second row: Item = "*CR10"; Feature = "100GBASE-CR10 PMD"; Value/comment: "Can operate as 100GBASE-CR10 PMD"; status= "O.1"	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "XLGMII interface" to XLGMII and "CGMI interface" to "CGMII"
Change Status of the next four rows from "M" to "CR4:M" and "CR10:M" as appropriate. i.e., 40GBASE-R PCS & PMA are "CR4:M"; 100GBASE-R PCS & PMA are "CR10:M" Response Status C	C/         85         SC 85.13.4.1         P 274         L 21         # 547           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add two rows to table (after XLAUI row) to indicate support for CR4 & CR10 PMDs. First row: Item = "*CR4"; Feature = "40GBASE-CR4 PMD"; Value/comment: "Can operate as 40GBASE-CR4 PMD"; status= "0.1" Second row: Item = "*CR10"; Feature = "100GBASE-CR10 PMD"; Value/comment: "Can operate as 100GBASE-CR10 PMD"; status= "0.1"	Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         PF6 says "For positive differential voltage corresponds to rx_bit = one"         SuggestedRemedy         Change "For positive differential voltage" to "A positive differential voltage"
Change Status of the next four rows from "M" to "CR4:M" and "CR10:M" as appropriate.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
i.e., 40GBASE-R PCS & PMA are "CR4:M"; 100GBASE-R PCS & PMA are "CR10:M"	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.1

#### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/     85     SC     85.13.4.1     P 274     L 24     # 548       Anslow, Peter     Nortel Networks	C/         85         SC         85.13.4.1         P 274         L 46         # 550           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> In PF7 "via PMD_SIGNAL.indication (SIGNAL_DETECT)" should be "via PMD:IS_SIGNAL.indication (SIGNAL_DETECT)"	Comment Type E Comment Status D In PF17 the reference "72.6.10" should be dark blue
SuggestedRemedy Change "via PMD_SIGNAL.indication" to "via PMD:IS_SIGNAL.indication"	SuggestedRemedy Make "72.6.10" dark blue
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
85 SC 85.13.4.1 P274 L37 # 633	C/         85         SC 85.13.4.2         P 275         L 17         # 551           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks
ambrosia, John       Force 10 Networks Inc         comment Type       TR       Comment Status         85.7.6 is for Global PMD transmit disable function, not lane by lane transmit disable as	Comment Type E Comment Status D In MF4 and MF5, "45.2.1.7.4" and "45.2.1.7.5" should be links.
indicated in PF13.	SuggestedRemedy Make "45.2.1.7.4" and "45.2.1.7.5" links.
SuggestedRemedy change subclause to 85.7.7 Response Response Status <b>C</b>	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
ACCEPT.	C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.3 P 276 L 10 # 552 Anslow. Peter Nortel Networks
#         85         SC 85.13.4.1         P 274         L 37         # 549           nslow, Peter         Nortel Networks         Image: Second Secon	Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status D In DS2 "Equation (85-1)" and "Equation (85-2)" should be links.
omment Type E Comment Status D In PF13 "Allows each lane transmitters to" should be "Allows each lane transmitter to"	SuggestedRemedy Make "Equation (85-1)" and "Equation (85-2)" links.
uggestedRemedy Change "transmitters" to "transmitter" roposed Response Response Status W	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Cl         85         SC         85.13.4.3         P 276         L 12         # 553           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks
	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> In DS3 the reference to "85.8.3.7" should be "85.8.3.6"
	SuggestedRemedy In DS3 change "85.8.3.7" to "85.8.3.6"
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.3

C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.5	P <b>277</b>	L19	# 640	C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.	5 P <b>277</b>	L <b>34</b>	# 556
Dambrosia, John	Force 10 Netwo	orks Inc		Anslow, Peter	Nortel Netwo	rks	
Comment Type TR No SHALL statement for	Comment Status <b>A</b> or CA6, and it is not clear how	EQ 85-16 fits	into the requirement		Comment Status <b>A</b> A17 and MDC1 through MDC		
	and clarify relationship to EQ	35-16		SuggestedRemedy	nentation must support all co R4C2" and "*CR10C" PICS e		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status <b>C</b> E.				make them optional. (see *P 12 through CA17 and MDC1		
In CA6 delete:"and Equ	uation (85-16)"			Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status <b>C</b> _E.		
Change:"The reference printed circuit board ins	e test fixture sertion loss is given in Equation	า (85-34)."		See response comme	nt#808 and #809.		
To:"The reference test printed circuit board ins 34)."	fixture sertion loss shall meet the valu	es determined	using Equation (85-				
C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.5 Anslow, Peter	P 277 Nortel Network	L <b>26</b> s	# 554				
Comment Type <b>T</b> In CA9 "Mated test fixtu crosstalk noise"	Comment Status A ure crosstalk loss" should be "l	Mated test fixtu	ires integrated				
SuggestedRemedy Change "Mated test fixt	ture crosstalk loss" to "Mated t	est fixtures int	egrated crosstalk noise"				
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						
C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.5 Anslow, Peter	P 277 Nortel Network	L <b>30</b>	# 555				
Comment Type <b>T</b> In CA10 the reference t	Comment Status A to "85.10.9" should be "85.10.7	10"					
SuggestedRemedy In CA10 change "85.10	0.9" to "85.10.10"						
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 85 SC 85.13.4.5

/ <b>85</b> SC <b>85.13.4.5</b> halupsky, David	P 277 Intel Corporatio	L <b>34</b> on	# 809	C/ <b>85</b> SC <b>85.</b> 1 Dambrosia, John	13.4.5	P 277 Force 10 Netw	L <b>37</b> vorks Inc	# 641
PICs requires cable assemb for cables do not have Statu for each cable assembly typ	s or Support fields. This	can be remedie		Comment Type El subclause referer SuggestedRemedy correct subclause	nce should be to 8	nt Status A 35.11.1.1		
aggestedRemedy Add three rows to options ta First added row: Item = "*CA Value/comment: "Cable ass	401"; Feature = "40GBA	SE-CR4 Style-	1 cable assembly";	Response ACCEPT.	Respons	e Status W		
Second added row: Item = "" Value/comment: "Cable asso Third added row: Item = "*C/	CA402"; Feature = "40C embly supports 40GBAS \100"; Feature = "100GE	BASE-CR4 Sty E-CR4 Style-2" BASE-CR10 cat	le-2 cable assembly"; ; status= "CBL:O.3" ble assembly";	Cl 85 SC 85.1 Dambrosia, John		P 277 Force 10 Netw	L <b>41</b> vorks Inc	# 642
Value/comment: "Cable asso Change cable assembly PIC Status field.				Comment Type El subclause referer		nt Status A 35.11.2.1		
Change the Status field for It Change the Status field for It Change the Status field for It	ems CA14 and CA15 to	"CBL*CA402:N	1"	SuggestedRemedy correct subclause	e reference			
Change Support field for CA Option: The status "CBL*CA you could drop the CBL prec changes.	13, CA15, and CA17 to r 401:M" is redundant sinc	match CA12 Su ce CA401 only a	pport field. applies to CBL, thus	Response ACCEPT IN PRIN To:"85.11.1.2.1"	,	e Status W "85.11.1"		
0	sponse Status C			C/ <b>85</b> SC <b>85.</b> 1 Anslow, Peter	13.4.5	P 277 Nortel Network	L <b>42</b> <s< td=""><td># 557</td></s<>	# 557
Add three rows to options ta First added row: Item = "*CA Value/comment: "Cable asso Second added row: Item = "* Value/comment: "Cable asso Third added row: Item = "*CA Value/comment: "Cable asso Change cable assembly PIC Status field. Change the Status field for fi	401"; Feature = "40GBA embly supports 40GBAS (CA402"; Feature = "40G embly supports 40GBAS A100"; Feature = "100GBA embly supports 100GBAS S table (85.13.4.5) to us rems CA12 and CA13 to	SE-CR4 Style-1 E-CR4 Style-1" BASE-CR4 Style-2" BASE-CR10 cat SE-CR10"; statu e appropriate pr "CBL*CA401:M	1 cable assembly"; ; status= "CBL:O.3" /de-2 cable assembly"; ; status= "CBL:O.3" ble assembly"; us= "CBL:O.3" redicate items in	Comment Type T Item CA16 has a is for a CR10 con SuggestedRemedy Change to "100G Response ACCEPT.	Value/Comment nector. BASE-CR10 plug		, i o (	SFF-8642 plug)" but it
Change the Status field for It Change the Status field for It Change Support field for CA	ems CA16 and CA17 to	"CBL*CA100:N	1"	C/ 85 SC 85.1 Dambrosia, John	13.4.5	P 277 Force 10 Netw	L <b>44</b> vorks Inc	# 644
Editorial licence to implemer CA401 only applies to CBL, CA401/CA402/CA100 in the	nt option: The status "CB thus you could drop the (	L*CA401:M" is	redundant since	Comment Type El CA17 subclause SuggestedRemedy		nt Status A be to 85.11.3		
In addition, editor to fill-in ap	propriate column referer	nces where app	licable.	correct subclause Response		e Status W		

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	C/ 85	Page 136 of 199
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		SC 85.13.4.5	1/28/2010 6:39:49 AM

### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/         85         SC         85.13.4.5         P 277         L 47         # 645           Dambrosia, John         Force 10 Networks Inc	C/         85         SC         85.13.4.6         P 278         L 6         # 808           Chalupsky, David         Intel Corporation         Intel Corporation         Intel Corporation
Comment Type TR Comment Status A no corresponding SHALL statements to subclauses referenced for CA18 SuggestedRemedy add shall statements or clarify subclause references	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> Two problems with MDI PICs. 1) implies that all three connector types are required, s/b dependent upon PMD/MDI type. 2) use of CBL predicate is incorrect as this is for MDI, not cable. This can be remedied by creating an Item for each MDI type to be used as conditions in 85.13.4.6.
Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In CA18, delete 85.11.3, 85.11.1.1.1. In CA18, add reference 85.8.4.6.       In CA18, add reference 85.8.4.6.         C/       85       SC 85.13.4.6       P 278       L 11       # 558         C/       85       SC 85.13.4.6       P 278       L 11       # 558         Anslow, Peter       Nortel Networks         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A	SuggestedRemedy Add two rows to options table (85.13.4) to indicate if CR4 PMD is using Style 1 or 2 MDI. First added row: Item = "*MDIST1"; Feature = "Style-1 MDI Connector"; Value/comment: "40GBASE-CR4 device uses Style-1 MDI"; status= "O.2" Second added row: Item = "*MDIST2"; Feature = "Style-2 MDI Connector"; Value/comment: "40GBASE-CR4 device uses Style-2 MDI"; status= "O.2" Change MDI connector PICS table (85.13.4.6) Status columns to use dependencies. Replace Item MDC1 status with "CR4*MDIST1:M" Replace Item MDC2 status with "CR4*MDIST2:M"
Item MDC3 says "100GBASE-CR10 plug (SFF-8642 plug)" but the MDI is defined to be a receptacle.  SuggestedRemedy Change to "100GBASE-CR10 receptacle (SFF-8642 receptacle)"  Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Add two rows to options table (85.13.4) to indicate if CR4 PMD is using Style 1 or 2 MDI.         First added row: Item = "*MDIST1"; Feature = "Style-1 MDI Connector"; Value/comment:       "40GBASE-CR4 device uses Style-1 MDI"; status= "0.2"         Second added row: Item = "*MDIST2"; Feature = "Style-2 MDI Connector"; Value/comment:       "40GBASE-CR4 device uses Style-2 MDI"; status= "0.2"         Change MDI connector PICS table (85.13.4.6) Status columns to use dependencies.       Replace Item MDC1 status with "CR4*MDIST1:M"         Replace Item MDC2 status with "CR4*MDIST2:M"       Replace Item MDC3 status with "CR10:M"         In addition, editor to fill-in appropriate column references where applicable.
	Cl 85         SC 85.2         P 236         L 44         # 513           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks
	Comment Type E Comment Status D Missing "." SuggestedRemedy
	Change "defined in 80.3" to "defined in 80.3." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 85 SC 85.2

Cl 85 SC 85.4 Chalupsky, David	P <b>237</b> Intel Corporation	L <b>30</b> on	# 802	<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> Ghiasi, Ali	SC 85.7.1	P <b>240</b> Broadcom	L <b>33</b>	# 784	
Comment Type E typo: "the100GBA	Comment Status D SE-CR10"			Comment Ty TP2 loca		Comment Status A ied on Fig 85-2 is not correct			
SuggestedRemedy add a space after	"the"			SuggestedRe Please a TP2	-	xture dotted below the current	diagram and its	s output designated as	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status W EPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response	e comment#24	6	Response	IN PRINCIP	Response Status W			
C/ 85 SC 85.6	P238	L <b>5</b>	# 631	See com	ment#785.				
Dambrosia, John <i>Comment Type</i> <b>TR</b>	Force 10 Netw Comment Status R	orks Inc		<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> Ghiasi, Ali	SC 85.7.1	P <b>240</b> Broadcom	L <b>33</b>	# 785	
51	tement for Item MD in 85.13.4, but	no correspond	ing SHALL statement in	Comment Ty		Comment Status A ied on Fig 85-2 is not correct			
SuggestedRemedy add appropriate S	HALL statement to 85.6.			SuggestedRe	emedy	e cable measured as measure	d with the cabl	e test fivture. Add dotec	
Response	Response Status C					fixture and designate TP3 sign		e lest lixiule. Add doled	
been implemented	cluded in the PICS table for the put d rather than to confirm compliance not appropriate to have a "shall" s	with a particul	ar requirement.	See reso	IN PRINCIP Iution to com		es summarizin	g textual description of	
C/ 85 SC 85.7.	.1 P <b>240</b>	L19	# 293	test point	s.	-			
Dawe, Piers J G	Independant			Discussio	on below:				
Comment Type T	Comment Status R			Figure is too busy to include suggested illustration. Subclause text sufficiently descr					
required <sup>"</sup> . Elsewhe or digital e.g. "4.1	able assembly test fixture of Figure ere in 802.3, "functional" is used to Functional model of the MAC methere, we need electrical equivalence need a PICS?	represent som	ething more high level, 8.4.1 PMD Functional	TP2 " unless specified otherwise, all transmitter measurements and test 85-4 are made at TP2 utilizing the test fixture specified in 85.8.3.5."			sts defined in Table		
SuggestedRemedy									
required"." to "The to "The cable asse	e assembly test fixture of Figure 88 e cable assembly test fixture of Figu embly test fixture of Figure 85-12 o .4, 85.8.3.5, 85.10.8.	ure 85-12 or its	equivalent, is used", or						
Response	Response Status C								
REJECT. Consistent with 70	).7.1.1 and 54.6.3.1 Test fixtures us	se of "functiona	l equivalent".						

C/ 85 SC 85.7.1

#### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/         85         SC         85.7.1         P 240         L 9         # 828           Dudek, Michael         QLogic Corporation         4 <td< th=""><th>C/         85         SC         85.7.2         P 241         L 3         # 632           Dambrosia, John         Force 10 Networks Inc         Force 10 Networks Inc</th></td<>	C/         85         SC         85.7.2         P 241         L 3         # 632           Dambrosia, John         Force 10 Networks Inc         Force 10 Networks Inc
Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A         TP3 is not at the input end of the mated connector. It is at a specified loss from this point.         SuggestedRemedy         Replace the input end of the mated connector TP3 with TP3 using the test fixture specified in 85.8.3.5         Response       Response Status         C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Change:" all receiver measurements and tests defined in 85.8.4 are made at the input end of the mated connector TP3."         To:" all receiver measurements and tests defined in 85.8.4 are made at TP3 using the test fixture specified in 85.8.3.5."	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A         The following paragraph "The 40GBASE-CR4 PMD Transmit function shall convert the four bit streams requested by the PMD service interface messages D:IS_UNITDATA_0.request to PMD:IS_UNITDATA_3.request into four separate electrical streams. A positive output voltage of SL minus SL <n> (differential voltage) shall correspond to tx_bit = one. The 100GBASE-CR10 PMD Transmit function shall convert the ten bit streams requested by the PMD service interface messages PMD:IS_UNITDATA_0.request to PMD:IS_UNITDATA_9.request. A positive output voltage of SL minus SL<n> (differential voltage) shall correspond to tx_bit = one." seems to justify the PF1 and PF3 PICS in 85.13.4.1, but not the PF2 PIC         SuggestedRemedy add appropriate Shall statement to 85.7.2 in relation to PF2         Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       C</n></n>
[Editor's note: This comment is against 85.7.1, hence corrected the subclause number field accordingly]         C/ 85       SC 85.7.1       P 46       L 240       # 150         Hajduczenia, Marek       ZTE Corp.	After sentence line 3 p 241: The 40GBASE-CR4 PMD Transmit function shall convert" Add sentence at line 5 p 241"The four electrical signal streams shall then be delivered to the MDI, all according to the transmit electrical specifications in 85.8.3." After sentence line 6 p 241: The 100GBASE-CR10 PMD Transmit function shall convert" Add sentence at line 8 p 241"The ten electrical signal streams shall then be delivered to the MDI, all according to the transmit electrical signal streams shall then be delivered to the MDI, all according to the transmit electrical specifications in 85.8.3."
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> In caption of Figure 85-2, what is the 'half link'? Do you mean that only one link direction is illustrated?	C/         85         SC         85.7.4         P 241         L 30         # 282           Muller, Shimon         Sun Microsystems
SuggestedRemedy Per comment	Comment Type E Comment Status D SIGNAL_DETECT is set to OK only when training is successful.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy Insert "successful" between "Upon" and "completion".
Page 240, line 5 Change:" A 40GBASE-CR4 or 100GBASE-CR10 link is illustrated in Figure 85-2."	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
To:" A 40GBASE-CR4 or 100GBASE-CR10 link in one direction is illustrated in Figure 85-2."	

C/ 85 SC 85.7.4

#### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ <b>85</b> SC 8 Dudek, Michael	5.7.4	P <b>241</b> QLogic Corpo	L35	# 829	C/ 85	SC 85.7.6	Р <b>51</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>241</b>	# 145
,	<b>FR</b> 0.	<b>.</b> .	Tallon		Hajduczen				
	is labelled Glo then section 8	mment Status <b>R</b> bal PMD From line 35 4.7.5 which is the lane			not rei (Optio	are several sub flect that (1) Cha nal)"(2) Change	Comment Status R clauses, which clearly describ inge caption 85.7.6 to read "G caption 85.7.7 to read "PMD caption 85.7.9 to read "PMD_	lobal PMD trans ane-by-lane tran	mit disable function smit disable function
		by lane signal detect fitters to a table format.		7.7.5. Also consider	captio	n 85.7.10 to rea	d "PMD transmit fault function fault function (Optional)"(6) Ch	(Optional)"(5) C	hange caption 85.7.11
esponse REJECT.		sponse Status W			transn functio functio	nit fault function on (Optional)"(8) on (Optional)"(9)	(Optional)"(7) Change caption Change caption 84.7.6 to rea Change caption 84.7.7 to rea ) Change caption	84.7.11 to read d "Global PMD t	"PMD receive fault ransmit disable
accordingly] Global PMD sig	gnal detect fun	-		subclause number field	Suggested		,		
Global and land	e by lane signa	al detect subclauses are			Response REJE		Response Status C		
Resolve with co					The su	ubclauses conta	in the requirements (e.g. optic	nal or mandator	y).
<b>85</b> SC 8 ambrosia, John		P <b>241</b> Force 10 Netw	L <b>46</b> vorks Inc	# 635	C/ <b>85</b> Hajduczen	SC 85.7.8	P 23 ZTE Corp.	L 242	# 147
21		<i>mment Status</i> <b>A</b> ALL statement for PIC	ME2 in 95 12 4	0	Comment	,	Comment Status A		
uggestedRemedy Modify sentenc value, where n	, ce as follows - represents the	When the MDIO is imp	lemented, each	PMD_signal_detect_n	When is ena <i>Suggested</i>	loopback mode bled, transmissi dRemedy	is selected, transmission cha on" Similar comment applies t		
updated as des 85.7.4 above.		CR4 and 0:9 for 100GB	ASE-CR10, sha	Il be continuously	Response		Response Status C		
esponse ACCEPT.	Res	sponse Status C			ACCE	P1.			
/ <b>85</b> SC <b>8</b> ajduczenia, Marel		P <b>45</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>241</b>	# <u>1</u> 46					
omment Type Strike "above"		<i>mment Status</i> <b>A</b> of line 45 - it is irrelevan	t.						
uggestedRemedy Per comment	/								
esponse ACCEPT.	Res	sponse Status C							
/PE· TR/technica	I required FR	/editorial required GR/	neneral required	T/technical E/editorial G/	neneral				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 85 SC 85.7.8 Page 140 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:50 AM

C/ 85 SC 85.7.9 Dambrosia, John	P <b>242</b> Force 10 Net	L <b>37</b> works Inc	# 634	<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> Dawe, Pie	SC <b>85.8.3</b> ers J G	P <b>244</b> Independant	L 10	# 294
	Comment Status A SHALL statement defining PM regarding mapping to register		responding PIC, as well	PMD	has a table row	Comment Status R "Unit interval nominal 85.8.3.8 w. However many digits you ac surring decimal.		
Add corresponding P	CS to 85.13.4.1 and SHALL s	tatements in 85	5.7.9	Suggestee	dRemedy			
	D fault function is mapped to			96.96 as it's 100G	9697 ps." in 85. the same for Ta BASE-CR10 PM	and in Table 85-6. Delete "The 8.3.8. If you think that not all y x and Rx, add a sentence at 85 IDs use NRZ signaling at nom I is approximately 96.97 ps."	our readers kno 5.8, "The 40GB	ow what a unit interval is, ASE-CR4 and
Table 85-3." i.e., "Th Table 85-3." Add corresponding P	e PMD fault function shall be i C	mapped to regis	ster bit 1.1.7 as listed in	Response REJE Unit ir	CT.	Response Status W	se document e	a 47 54 Your
C/ 85 SC 85.7.9 Anslow, Peter	P 242 Nortel Netwo	L <b>39</b> rks	# 514			ovides information in text rathe		# 515
<i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> This says "is mapped	Comment Status <b>D</b> to register bit 1.1.7 as listed i	n". 1.1.7 is bit 7	of register 1.1.	Anslow, P	eter	Nortel Networ		# 013
SuggestedRemedy Change "is mapped t	o register bit 1.1.7 as listed in'		-	is "mi	Value" for the Tr n", the inclusion	Comment Status <b>D</b> ransmiter DC amplitude is "> 0 of ">" is confusing. Likewise, e rest of the table for no good	'>0.63*Transmi	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W			Suggester	-	0.6 max" to " "0.34 min, 0.6 ma	ax" Correct spe	alling of transmitter in
Ensure consistency a For committee discus	s register bit is used elsewher sion.	e as bit in regis	ter (see 45.2.3.15)	Paran	neter column. A	lso, change "Linear fit pulse" to C amplitude" to "0.63*Transmi	o "Linear fit puls	se (min)" and change

#### Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "> 0.34 min, 0.6 max" to " "0.34 min, 0.6 max". Correct spelling of transmitter in Parameter column. Also, change "Linear fit pulse" to "Linear fit pulse (min)" and change ">0.63\*Transmitter DC amplitude" greater than or equal to "0.63\*Transmitter DC amplitude".

C/ 85 SC 85.8.3

Draft 3.0 Comm	ents	IEEE P8	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ai	nd 100Gb/	s Ethernet co	mments		Sponsor ballot
<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> <i>SC</i> <b>85.8</b> Healey, Adam	3 P244 LSI Corporation	L <b>26</b>	# 687	<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> Anslow, F	SC 85.8.3 Peter	P244 Nortel Networks	L <b>27</b>	# 517
fit)" (circa line 27) peak amplitude of	Comment Status <b>A</b> anding to the "linear fit pulse" (circa are redundant and inconsistent. Th the linear fit pulse be no less than inputed as stated in note b).	e appropriate r	equirement is that the	Suggeste	doesn't say whet <i>dRemedy</i> ge "normalized e	Comment Status A her the "normalized error(linear fi error(linear fit), "e"" to "max norma Response Status C		
13) remove the lin	nin amplitudes(linear fit)" from Ta e "The peak value of the linear 3, p, shall be greater than 240 mV.		5.8.3.3 (page 247, line	ACCE	EPT. SC <b>85.8.3</b>	P 244	L32	# 518
Response	Response Status C	•		Anslow, F		Nortel Networks	L <b>JZ</b>	# 516
ACCEPT IN PRIN	CIPLE. omment#812 and #818			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> SC <b>85.8</b> Misek, Brian		L <b>26</b> logies	# 755	85-2 : Suggeste	and 85-3 than gi <i>dRemedy</i>	smit output noise (max.)" limits it ve values of 2 and 1 mV		
Comment Type TR					•	quation (852)" and "1" to "See E	Equation (85-	-3)"
Line needs to be r SuggestedRemedy Remove	emoved. Lines 22-24 replaced this			In Ta	EPT IN PRINCIP	parameter Far-end Tx output nois	e next to 2 S	ee Equation (85-2) and
Response ACCEPT IN PRIN See response to c	-							
C/ 85 SC 85.8. Anslow, Peter	3 P244 Nortel Network	L <b>26</b> s	# 516					
Comment Type E "p" and "e" are var	<i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> iables, so should be in italic font							
SuggestedRemedy Show "p" and "e" i	n italic font.							
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status W							

CI 85 SC 85.8.3 Page 142 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:50 AM

	Draft	3.0	Comments
--	-------	-----	----------

C/ 85         SC 85.8.3         P 244         L 36         # 775           Ghiasi, Ali         Broadcom	C/         85         SC         85.8.3.1         P 245         L 3         # 521           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks
Comment Type TR Comment Status A No test method is provided for DDJ	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> Use naming as per dambrosia_01_0909.pdf
<ul> <li>SuggestedRemedy         Total jitter is measured with PRBS31 (pattern 3) at BER of 10-12. Data Dependent jitter is measured with PRBS9 based on method given in 85.8.3 with following definition DDJ=max(dt1, dt2,,dt256) - min(dt1, dt2,,dt256).     </li> <li>Section 85.8.3 would need to be updated or the other option is to create a standalone section.         Total Jitter Excluding DDJ = TJ - DDJ     </li> </ul>	SuggestedRemedy         Change "The differential return loss, in dB," to "The differential output return loss, in dB,"         Also, on Page 252, line 39 change "The differential return loss, in dB," to "The differential input return loss, in dB,".         Response       Response Status         C         ACCEPT.
Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Add subclause       85.8.3.8 for DDJ measurement per ghiasi_0x         Editor given licence to implement editorial changes.       Add reference to footnote (f) DDJ is measured with PRBS9 as specified in 83.8.3.8.         In addition, change measurement bandwidth to at least 20 GHz.	C/       85       SC 85.8.3.2       P 245       L 27       # 756         Misek, Brian       Avago Technologies       Avago Technologies         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       A         Term ICN is too general, this is far-end integrated cross talk which is given the symbol sigma with subscript fx in the referenced section equation 85-31.       SuggestedRemedy         Change ICN to symbol sigma with fx subscript.       Common fx subscript.
C/         85         SC         85.8.3         P 244         L 43         # 519           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks         Nortel Networks         Nortel Networks         Nortel Networks         Nortel Networks	Response Response Status W ACCEPT.
Comment Type E Comment Status D M is a variable, so should be in italic	C/         85         SC         85.8.3.2         P 245         L 35         # 522           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks
SuggestedRemedy Change "M" to italic font Proposed Response Response Status <b>W</b> PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Comment Type E Comment Status D "PRBS-31" should be "PRBS31" SuggestedRemedy Change "PRBS-31" to "PRBS31"
C/         85         SC         85.8.3         P 244         L 47         # 520           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks         SC         SC	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Comment Type E Comment Status D "83A.5.1" and "83.5.10" should be links	
SuggestedRemedy Make "83A.5.1" and "83.5.10" links and black	
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.2

<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> Misek, Brian	SC 85.8.3.2	P <b>245</b> Avago Techno	L <b>35</b> ologies	# 757	C/ <b>85</b> Anslow, Pe	SC 85.8.3.	3	P 247 Nortel Networks	L <b>3</b> s	# 524						
Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         Other transmitters is too general and can lead to a reading that the Near end transmitters must be present.       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       Change "all other" to "all co-propagating channels"         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Change:"The reference lane of the transmitter under test sends a square wave test pattern while all other transmitter lanes send either scrambled idle or PRBS-31."						Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         "83.5.10" should be a link. Also on line 34         SuggestedRemedy         Make "83.5.10" a link and black. Also on line 34         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.										
												SC 85.8.3.	3	P 247 Nortel Networks	L <b>39</b> s	# 525
														of the transmitter under test nitter lanes send either scra		
							SC 85.8.3.3	P 246 Nortel Networ	L <b>33</b>	# 523	Suggested In "sar	-	make the "i" a	subscript.		
Anslow, Peter       Nortel Networks         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         In "c(n)", n is a variable, so should be in italic font. Also, why do items a to c and a1 to c1 use "n" and d1 uses "k" as a variable? k would be a better choice since n is used for the number of lanes elsewhere.					Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In sampled pulse pi make i subscript in subclause											
					C/ <b>85</b> Healey, Ac	SC <b>85.8.3.</b> Jam	3.1	P 248 LSI Corporatior	L <b>1</b>	# 688						
SuggestedRemedy Change the font of "n" to italic (6 places) and also on Page 248, line 7. Unless there is a good reason to use "k" only in d1), change to "c(k)" throughout with "k" in italic (or alternatively i).						Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> Incorrect equation corresponding to the ratio 2.57 +/- 10% (in the numerator, subtract c(1 and not c(-1)).										
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.					SuggestedRemedy Change to "and the ratio (c(0)-c(1)+c(-1))/(c(0)+c(1)+c(-1)) is 2.57 +/- 10%."											
Misek, Brian						Response         Response Status         C           ACCEPT.         Change: "(c(0)+c(1)-c(-1))/         (c(0)+c(1)+c(-1))										
Lines 13-16 have been superceded by Table 85-4 lines 22-24 and page 245 lines 44 and 45					is 2.57 +/-10%." To: " (c(0)-c(1)+c(-1))/(c(0)+c(1)+c(-1)) is 2.57 +/- 10%."											
SuggestedRe Remove	-															
Response ACCEPT		Response Status <b>C</b> E. See response to commen	it#818.													

C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.3.1

### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ <b>85</b> SC <b>85.8.3.3.1</b> Misek, Brian	P248 L1 Avago Technologies	# 759	C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.3.3 Anslow. Peter	P248 L22 Nortel Networks	# 527
	ent Status A ame thing? (c(0)+c(1)-c(-1))/	′c(0)+c(1)+c(-1)) is 1.29 and	Comment Type E Comment In "c(1)" the "c" should be italic.		
SuggestedRemedy	15 2.37		SuggestedRemedy In "c(1)" make the "c" italic.		
One of these has a typo Response Respons ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	se Status C		Proposed Response Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Status W	
See comment#688 C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.3.1	P248 L1	# 526	<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> <i>SC</i> <b>85.8.3.3.5</b> Anslow, Peter	P248 L45 Nortel Networks	# 528
Anslow, Peter Comment Type T Comme	Nortel Networks		Comment Type E Comment In "y(k)" the "k" should be italic.	Status D	
The two ratios: " $(c(0)+c(1)-c(-1))/(c(0)+c(1)+c(-1))$ " $(c(0)+c(1)-c(-1))/(c(0)+c(1)+c(-1))$ appear to be identical, so how do SuggestedRemedy	)"	2.57 +/-10% at the same time?	SuggestedRemedy In "y(k)" make the "k" italic. Do the s Proposed Response Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	•	and 30
Presumably the ratios should have Response Response	e different equations. se Status <b>C</b>		C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.3.5	P248 L46 Nortel Networks	# 529
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response comment#688			Anslow, Peter <i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> <i>Comment</i> In "M-by-N" the "-by-" should not be	Status D	
Cl <b>85</b> SC <b>85.8.3.3.2</b> Dudek, Michael Comment Type ER Comme	P248 L11 QLogic Corporation ent Status A	# 830	SuggestedRemedy In "M-by-N" make the "-by-" appear i and 47.		e on Page 249, lines 6, 15
The existing wording is very difficu	ult to follow.		Proposed Response Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Status W	
SuggestedRemedy Replace "to be difference in the va value measured prior to"	alue measured to prior to" w	ith "to be the difference in the	FROFOSED AGGEFT.		
Response Response Response	se Status C				
[Editor's note: This comment is ag field accordingly]	gainst 85.8.3.3.2, hence upd	ated the subclause number			

C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.3.5

C/ 85	SC 85.8.3.4	P <b>250</b>	L <b>20</b>	# 870
Petrilla, Johr	n	Avago Techno	ologies	

#### Comment Type T Comment Status A

The low frequency end of the range for insertion loss in 85 is 0.05 GHz (Eqs 85-14, 85-16, 85-20, 85-23, 85-24, 85-34, 85-35, 85-36, 85-37) in 83A is 0.25 GHz (Eqs 83A-1, 83A-2, 83A-9), in 83B is 0.25 GHz (Eqs 83B-1, 83B-2, 83B-3, 83B-4), in 85A is 0.05 GHz (85A-1, 85A-2, 85A-3, 85A-4, 85A-5) and in 86A is 0.01 GHz (86A-4, 86A-5, 86A-6, 86A-7, 86A-15, 86A-16). Since scrambled data has low frequency content it seems prudent to set the insertion loss frequency requirements to the lowest practical level to guard against undesired loss of low frequency content.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Set the low frequency end of the range for insertion loss in 85 from 0.05 GHz to 0.01 GHz (Eqs 85-14, 85-16, 85-20, 85-23, 85-24, 85-34, 85-35, 85-36, 85-37) in 83A from 0.25 GHz to 0.01 GHz (Eqs 83A-1, 83A-2, 83A-9), in 83B from 0.25 GHz to 0.01 GHz (Eqs 83B-1, 83B-2, 83B-3, 83B-4), and in 85A from 0.05 GHz to 0.01 GHz (85A-1, 85A-2, 85A-3, 85A-4, 85A-5).

Response

Response Status C

#### ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change min frequency to 10 MHz: 85-14-Host IL-TPO-TP2-TP3-TP5 - min 10 MHz 85-16-IL TF MAX - min 10 MHz 85-17-Rx RL - min 10 MHz 85-34-IL CATF - min 10 MHz 85-35-IL mated test fixture - min 10 MHz 85-36-IL mated test fixture - min 10 MHz 85-37-RL mated test fixture - min 10 MHz 85A-1-IL TxRx-PCB max - min 10 MHz 85A-2-IL TxRx-PCB min - min 10 MHz

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### In 83A

Discussion straw poll joint copper/optical track: A: Change lower frequency of cable assemblies to 10 MHz

B: Leave lower frequency of cable assemblies at 50 MHz

result	:			
A:7 B:8				
CI 85	SC 85.8.3.4	P <b>250</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 760
Misek, Br	an	Avago Techn	ologies	

#### Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**

The minimum loss channel is missing. This loss makes sure the RL can be met with realistic host IC's It is present in 86A and as such should be present in 85 that share the same port.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Add additional eqation by copying equation 86A-16 and adding the upper limit line that is represented by this eqation to Figure 85-4

Proposed Response	Response Status	z
DEVENT		

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> Ghiasi, Ali		85.8.3.4	P <b>2</b> Broad		L <b>36</b>	# 776
			Comment Status nition require min lo	••	does CL85 does	not require min
Suggested Please			CL86A6 min chann	el loss t	o this section	
Response REJE			Response Status	w		
or TP3 ~2.08 TP0 to TP0 to [TxRx- In add	B-TP5 i dB @ 9 TP2 = TP2 = PCB]+ ition, th	nsertion los 5.15625 GH = 2.08= [TxF = 2.08= [TxF -[Mated con ne paramete	nin does not sufficie s e.g., 0 dB @ 1 Gl Iz. Rx-PCB]+[Mated co Rx-PCB]+[Mated co nector IL]=0.82 dB ers at TP2 and TP3 normative minimun	Hz, nnector nnector measu	IL]+[TPTF/HCB IL]+1.26 red includes affed	IL] cts of

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 85 SC 85.8.3.4 Page 146 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:50 AM

1/28/2010 6:39:50 AM

C/ <b>85</b> SC <b>85.8</b> . Dudek, Michael		P 251 QLogic Corpora	L15 ation	# 831	<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> Dudek, Mic	SC 85.8.3.6 hael		251 L: gic Corporation	33	# 832
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment S				Comment		Comment Status	· ·		
Figure 85-5 is diffic	ult to follow.				I don't	think it is feasit	le to get 15dB return			fixture including
SuggestedRemedy							on't think refering bac	k to clause 72 he	elps.	
to the TP2 or TP3	est fixture. Put a bo his box Test Equip	ox around every ment. Move the	thing to the right	the mating connector at of the TP2 or TP3 e TP2 or TP3 higher	senten	e "test fixture s ce with "The te	nall" to "test fixture ex st fixture when mated apedance requiremen	with the cable a	ssembly test f	
Response	Response Si	tatus C			Response		Response Status	С		
ACCEPT.	·					PT IN PRINCIP				
[Editor's note: This	comment is agains	t 85.8.3.5, hen	ce updated the	subclause number	•	s note: This co cordingly]	mment is against 85.8	8.3.6, hence upd	ated the subc	lause number
field accordingly]					Delete	" The differenti	al load impedance ap	plied to the trans	smitter output	of the test fixture
Cl <b>85</b> SC <b>85.8.</b> Ghiasi, Ali		P <b>251</b> Broadcom	L19	# 771		ed in Figure 85- e 100 O."	5			
Comment Type TR	Comment S	tatus A			Change	e:" The differen	tial return loss, in dB,	of the test fixture	e shall meet E	Equation (85-15)."
Currently TP2/TP3	test fixtrue hangs i	n air			To∙"Th	a differential re	turn loss, in dB, of the	a tast fixtura is sr	ocified in a m	ated state and
SuggestedRemedy							ments of 85.10.9.2."			
	the left of the TP2/	TP3 test fixture	. Replace the D	C blocks and scope	Doloto	"The test				
with rf port	Deserves	(a.)					quivalent to the test f	ixture impedance	e specified in T	72.7.1.2."
Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Response Si	tatus <b>w</b>			Chang	o." The test five	uro of Eiguro 95 5 or	ite functional og	uivalant ia ray	nuired for
See response to co							ure of Figure 85-5, or itter specifications	its functional eq	uivalent, is rec	quired for
C/ 85 SC 85.8.	3.5	P <b>251</b>	L 20	# 384			P3 with the exception Figure 85-5, or its fur			
Ganga, Ilango		Intel Corporatio	-		transm	itter specification	ons		ni, is required	for measuring the
Comment Type T	Comment S	tatus A			in 85.8	.3 at TP2 and t	he receiver return los	s at TP3."		
[Editor's note: Com	ment 29 against D	2.3 was agreed	I to be resubmit	ted by the Editor						
against D 3.0]	mitter test fixture o	n the left dette	line about TD2	Too toot findure TDO						
is a reciver test poi				/Tp3 test fixture. TP3						
SuggestedRemedy										
	figure showing MC	B-HCB mated p	oair, you borrow	fig 86-3 but with CL85						
Response	Response Si	tatus C								
ACCEPT IN PRING See respose to cor										
	O/dispatched A/acc	epted R/reject		T/technical E/editorial G/g SE STATUS: O/open W/w		d U/unsatisfie	d Z/withdrawn	C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.6		Page 147 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:50

### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/         85         SC         85.8.3.7         P 251         L           Dambrosia, John         Force 10 Networks Ir	. <b>32</b> # 636	C/ <b>85</b> SC <b>85.8.3.7</b> Dudek, Michael	P <b>251</b> QLogic Corpor	L <b>51</b> ation	# 833
Comment Type TR Comment Status A There is PIC DS4 with no corresponding SHALL statement	t	Comment Type <b>T</b> The insertion loss is r	Comment Status A now reference not maximum.		
SuggestedRemedy add PIC			Ifref in equation 85-16. Also ma		
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		252, and change max Response ACCEPT.	imum to reference in the descri <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	ption on this IIr	ie.
Change:"The reference test fixture printed circuit board inse (85-16)." To:"The reference test fixture printed circuit board insertion determined by Equation (85-16)."	<b>o</b> 1	[Editor's note: This co field accordingly]	omment is against 85.8.3.7, hen	ce updated the	e subclause number
27 <b>85</b> SC <b>85.8.3.7</b> P <b>251</b> L	. <b>48</b> # <u>3</u> 80	C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.7	P <b>251</b>	L <b>51</b>	# 530
anga, Ilango Intel Corporation		Anslow, Peter	Nortel Network	S	
omment Type T Comment Status A		Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
[Editor's note: Comment 63 against D 2.3 was agreed to be against D 3.0]	e resubmitted by the Editor		eference test fixture printed circ this is a reference loss, not a r		tion loss is given in
against D 3.0] "The effects of differences should be accounted for" is to	-				ion loss is given in
against D 3.0] "The effects of differences should be accounted for" is to not just recommended. Compare text at 86A.5.1.1. If we were not trying to move to Sponsor ballot this would b	bo weak: needs to be required	Equation (8516)", se SuggestedRemedy In equation 85-16, ch the maximim test fixte	o this is a reference loss, not a r ange the variable "ILtfmax(f)" to ure insertion loss at frequency f	maximum loss. "ILtf(f)" (2 plac	es) and also change "
against D 3.0] "The effects of differences should be accounted for" is to not just recommended. Compare text at 86A.5.1.1. If we were not trying to move to Sponsor ballot this would b SuggestedRemedy	bo weak: needs to be required	Equation (8516)", so SuggestedRemedy In equation 85-16, ch the maximim test fixtu insertion loss at frequ	o this is a reference loss, not a r ange the variable "ILtfmax(f)" to ure insertion loss at frequency f" lency f"	maximum loss. "ILtf(f)" (2 plac	es) and also change "
against D 3.0] "The effects of differences should be accounted for" is to not just recommended. Compare text at 86A.5.1.1. If we were not trying to move to Sponsor ballot this would b	bo weak: needs to be required be a TR. boss of an actual test fixture and measurements." to	Equation (8516)", se SuggestedRemedy In equation 85-16, ch the maximim test fixte	o this is a reference loss, not a r ange the variable "ILtfmax(f)" to ure insertion loss at frequency f" ency f" <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	maximum loss. "ILtf(f)" (2 plac	ces) and also change "
against D 3.0] "The effects of differences should be accounted for" is to not just recommended. Compare text at 86A.5.1.1. If we were not trying to move to Sponsor ballot this would be uggestedRemedy Change "The effects of differences between the insertion lo the reference insertion loss should be accounted for in the "Any differences between the insertion loss of an actual tess insertion loss are accounted for in the measurements." Similarly in 85.10.8 and 83B.2 (twice).	bo weak: needs to be required be a TR. boss of an actual test fixture and measurements." to	Equation (8516)", se SuggestedRemedy In equation 85-16, ch the maximim test fixtu insertion loss at frequ Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	o this is a reference loss, not a r ange the variable "ILtfmax(f)" to ure insertion loss at frequency f" ency f" <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	maximum loss. "ILtf(f)" (2 plac	ces) and also change "
against D 3.0] "The effects of differences should be accounted for" is to not just recommended. Compare text at 86A.5.1.1. If we were not trying to move to Sponsor ballot this would be uggestedRemedy Change "The effects of differences between the insertion los the reference insertion loss should be accounted for in the "Any differences between the insertion loss of an actual tess insertion loss are accounted for in the measurements." Similarly in 85.10.8 and 83B.2 (twice). esponse Response Status C	bo weak: needs to be required be a TR. boss of an actual test fixture and measurements." to	Equation (8516)", so SuggestedRemedy In equation 85-16, ch the maximim test fixtu insertion loss at frequ Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF See comment#833.	o this is a reference loss, not a r ange the variable "ILtfmax(f)" to ure insertion loss at frequency f" lency f" <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> PLE.	naximum loss. "ILtf(f)" (2 plac to "is the refer <i>L</i> <b>22</b>	ces) and also change ' ence test fixture
against D 3.0] "The effects of differences should be accounted for" is to not just recommended. Compare text at 86A.5.1.1. If we were not trying to move to Sponsor ballot this would be <i>lggestedRemedy</i> Change "The effects of differences between the insertion los the reference insertion loss should be accounted for in the "Any differences between the insertion loss of an actual tess insertion loss are accounted for in the measurements." Similarly in 85.10.8 and 83B.2 (twice). <i>esponse</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	bo weak: needs to be required be a TR. boss of an actual test fixture and measurements." to	Equation (8516)", so SuggestedRemedy In equation 85-16, ch the maximim test fixtu insertion loss at frequ Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF See comment#833.	o this is a reference loss, not a r ange the variable "ILtfmax(f)" to ure insertion loss at frequency f" rency f" <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> PLE. P252	naximum loss. "ILtf(f)" (2 plac to "is the refer <i>L</i> <b>22</b>	ces) and also change ' ence test fixture
against D 3.0] "The effects of differences should be accounted for" is to not just recommended. Compare text at 86A.5.1.1. If we were not trying to move to Sponsor ballot this would be aggestedRemedy Change "The effects of differences between the insertion los the reference insertion loss should be accounted for in the "Any differences between the insertion loss of an actual tess insertion loss are accounted for in the measurements." Similarly in 85.10.8 and 83B.2 (twice). esponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "The effects of differences between the insertion loss of an actual test fixture and the reference insertion	bo weak: needs to be required be a TR. boss of an actual test fixture and measurements." to st fixture and the reference	Equation (8516)", su SuggestedRemedy In equation 85-16, ch the maximim test fixtuinsertion loss at frequ Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIE See comment#833. C/ 85 SC 85.8.4 Anslow, Peter	o this is a reference loss, not a r ange the variable "ILtfmax(f)" to ure insertion loss at frequency f" Response Status C PLE. P252 Nortel Network Comment Status A	naximum loss. "ILtf(f)" (2 plac to "is the refer <i>L</i> <b>22</b>	es) and also change ence test fixture
against D 3.0] "The effects of differences should be accounted for" is to not just recommended. Compare text at 86A.5.1.1. If we were not trying to move to Sponsor ballot this would be uggestedRemedy Change "The effects of differences between the insertion lo the reference insertion loss should be accounted for in the "Any differences between the insertion loss of an actual tess insertion loss are accounted for in the measurements." Similarly in 85.10.8 and 83B.2 (twice). esponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "The effects of differences between the insertion loss of an actual test fixture and the reference inser- for in the measurements."	bo weak: needs to be required be a TR. Doss of an actual test fixture and measurements." to st fixture and the reference	Equation (8516)", so SuggestedRemedy In equation 85-16, ch the maximim test fixtu insertion loss at frequ Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF See comment#833. C/ 85 SC 85.8.4 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T	o this is a reference loss, not a r ange the variable "ILtfmax(f)" to ure insertion loss at frequency f" Response Status C PLE. P252 Nortel Network Comment Status A	naximum loss. "ILtf(f)" (2 plac to "is the refer <i>L</i> <b>22</b>	ces) and also change ' ence test fixture
against D 3.0] "The effects of differences should be accounted for" is to not just recommended. Compare text at 86A.5.1.1. If we were not trying to move to Sponsor ballot this would b <i>buggestedRemedy</i> Change "The effects of differences between the insertion lo the reference insertion loss should be accounted for in the "Any differences between the insertion loss of an actual tess insertion loss are accounted for in the measurements." Similarly in 85.10.8 and 83B.2 (twice). Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "The effects of differences between the insertion loss of an actual test fixture and the reference insert	bo weak: needs to be required be a TR. boss of an actual test fixture and measurements." to st fixture and the reference ertion loss should be accounted I test fixture and the reference	Equation (8516)", su SuggestedRemedy In equation 85-16, ch the maximim test fixtuinsertion loss at freque Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF See comment#833. Cl 85 SC 85.8.4 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T The Bit error ratio door SuggestedRemedy	o this is a reference loss, not a r ange the variable "ILtfmax(f)" to ure insertion loss at frequency f" Response Status C PLE. P252 Nortel Network Comment Status A	naximum loss. "ILtf(f)" (2 plac t to "is the refer <i>L</i> <b>22</b> S	ces) and also change ' ence test fixture
against D 3.0] "The effects of differences should be accounted for" is to not just recommended. Compare text at 86A.5.1.1. If we were not trying to move to Sponsor ballot this would b SuggestedRemedy Change "The effects of differences between the insertion lo the reference insertion loss should be accounted for in the "Any differences between the insertion loss of an actual tess insertion loss are accounted for in the measurements." Similarly in 85.10.8 and 83B.2 (twice). Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "The effects of differences between the insertion loss of an actual test fixture and the reference insertion for in the measurements." To:"The differences between the insertion loss of an actual	bo weak: needs to be required be a TR. boss of an actual test fixture and measurements." to st fixture and the reference ertion loss should be accounted I test fixture and the reference	Equation (8516)", su SuggestedRemedy In equation 85-16, ch the maximim test fixtuinsertion loss at freque Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF See comment#833. Cl 85 SC 85.8.4 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T The Bit error ratio door SuggestedRemedy	o this is a reference loss, not a r ange the variable "ILtfmax(f)" to ure insertion loss at frequency f" <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> PLE. <i>P</i> <b>252</b> Nortel Network <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> esn't say min or max.	naximum loss. "ILtf(f)" (2 plac t to "is the refer <i>L</i> <b>22</b> S	es) and also change ' ence test fixture

C/ **85** SC **85.8.4** 

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

CI 85 S	C 85.8.4	P <b>252</b>	L <b>32</b>	# 532	C/ 85	SC 85.8.4.2	P <b>253</b>	L10	# 761
Anslow, Peter		Nortel Networks			Misek, Bri	an	Avago Technolo	ogies	
Comment Type Use namine		<i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> sia_01_0909.pdf				and 2 are confus	Comment Status <b>D</b> sing. They are associated with shigh and low loss.	long and sho	rt cable channel in other
	ifferential to com	mon mode conversion SCD ke the same change in Tabl		ential to common	Suggeste	dRemedy	oss and Tes 2 to High Loss		
Response ACCEPT IN		esponse Status C	00072		Proposed PROF	Response POSED REJECT.	Response Status W eters unique to 85.8.4.2.		
Cl 85 Se Anslow, Peter	C 85.8.4	P 252 Nortel Networks	L <b>32</b>	# 533	<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> Dawe, Pie	SC <b>85.8.4.2</b> ers J G	P 253 Independant	L12	# 296
Comment Type This has a be out of sp	value of "10 dB i	Comment Status A max from 50 MHz to 10000	/IHz" so a va	lue of say 20 dB would	Comment Root-	GHz	Comment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy Change "10 dB max from 50 MHz to 10000 MHz" to "10 dB min from 50 MHz to 10 GHz". Also, use a non-breaking space (Ctrl Space) between 50 and MHz				50 MHz to 10 GHz".	SuggestedRemedy Please use proper square root sign. Proposed Response Response Status W				
Response ACCEPT IN		esponse Status C			-	POSED ACCEPT v style guide.	IN PRINCIPLE.		
Cl 85 So Dudek, Michael	C 85.8.4	P <b>252</b> QLogic Corporati	L <b>33</b> on	# 834					
		Comment Status <b>A</b> g. (SCD11 shouldn't be +10, ot max.	and different	ial to common mode					
SuggestedRem									
Change this	s row to "Differen	ntial to Common mode retur	n loss" "10dE	min from "					
Response ACCEPT IN	<i>R</i> i N PRINCIPLE.	esponse Status C							
See respor	nse comment#70	0.							
[Editor's no accordingly		nt is against 85.8.4, hence u	odated the si	ıbclause number field					

C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.2

Comment Type ER Comment Status A "-" is confusing and this is not MDNEXT but "sigma subscript nx"
SuggestedRemedy
Remove "-" and change MDNEXT to "sigma subscript nx" <i>Response Response Status</i> <b>W</b> ACCEPT.
Cl 85 SC 85.8.4.2 P253 L3 # 295 Dawe, Piers J G Independant Comment Type TR Comment Status A
"The receiver interference tolerance tests shall be implemented": That's wrong: there should be no requirement to implement tests, only requirements to achieve performan need to change the sentence more, e.g. "To be compliant the receiver interference tolerance shall satisfy the requirements of 85.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3.4 with the parameters of in Table 85-7." 85.8.4.3 should be 85.8.4.2.1 . Also, please use proper square root sig the table.
SuggestedRemedy Change "The receiver interference tolerance tests shall be implemented using the receiver interference tolerance parameters summarized in Table 85-7." to either: "The receiver interference tolerance of each lane shall comply with the parameters of 85-7 if measured according to the methods of 85.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3.4." to either: or: "Receiver interference tolerance tests is defined by the methods of 85.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3 and the parameters given in Table 85-7." and delete the PICS.
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change "The receiver interference tolerance tests shall be implemented using the receiver interference tolerance parameters summarized in Table 85-7."

5. Including the allowance for transmitter output noise from 85.8.3.2, the final value for the calibrated far-end crosstalk should be  $sqrt(5.98^2 + 2^2) = 6.3 \text{ mV RMS}$ .

To"The receiver interference tolerance of each lane shall comply with the parameters of Table 85-7 when implemented using both the receiver interference tolerance test 1 and test 2."

C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.2

Draft	3.0 Comments	3	IEEE P	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	mments		Sponsor ballot
C/ <b>85</b> Anslow, F	SC 85.8.4.2 Peter	P 253 Nortel Networks	L <b>3</b>	# 534	<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> Ghiasi, Ali	SC 85.8.4.3	P <b>253</b> Broadcom	L 38	# 778
	lause 85.8.4.2 say	Comment Status <b>A</b> is that the test "shall" be done, be the test (which are not subcla					Comment Status A ured from cable assembly of MDI	test fixture to cable	assembly test fixture
Suggeste	edRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Char	<b>U</b> 1	mented using" to "shall be impl	emented as o	lefined in 84.8.4.3			n showing test channel we le assembly test fixture	ere it is used for cali	bration with cable right
Respons	е	Response Status C			Response		Response Status W		
ACC	EPT IN PRINCIPL	E.				PT IN PRINCIP			
See	comment#295.				Extend		abel MDI over MDI. 9 enclose Tx/Rx PCB, Rx L	Inder Test and Tx.	Label hatched rectangle
Cl 85	SC 85.8.4.3	P253	L <b>28</b>	# 297	C/ 85	SC 85.8.4.3	P <b>253</b>	L38	# 777
Dawe, Pi	ers J G	Independant			Ghiasi, Ali	3C <b>63.6.4.3</b>	P 233 Broadcom		# [///
Commen	t Type E	Comment Status D			Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		
Suggeste Renu	edRemedy	t of Receiver interference tolera , 85.8.4.3.1 to 85.8.4.2.2, 85.8 o 85.8.4.2.5.			FIg 85 they a Suggested	-6 defines LUT re IRemedy	and PGC but you have to		
•	l Response	Response Status W				e provide test se	tup definition in the same	section as well as c	definition of LUT and
PRO	POSED ACCEPT.				Response		Response Status W		
CI 85	SC 85.8.4.3	P <b>253</b>	L37	# 385	ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE. See comment #696.		
Ganga, II	ango	Intel Corporation	I		C/ 85	SC 85.8.4.3	P <b>253</b>	L <b>39</b>	# 386
Commen	t Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status A			Ganga, Ila		Intel Corpo		
-		t 32 against D 2.3 was agreed	to be resubm	itted by the Editor	Comment	•	Comment Status A		
0		nd PGC but you have to read th	ne next sectio	n before you know what	[Editor agains	's note: Comme t D 3.0]	ent 33 against D 2.3 was a	-	itted by the Editor
Suggeste	edRemedy						requried and why n=4, 10	, f	
Pleas	se provide test setu	up definition in the same section	า		Suggested	,	lo with "CD4 or CD40 cobl	o oooomblov"	
Respons	е	Response Status C				ce twinaxiai cab	le with "CR4 or CR10 cable	e assembley"	
ACC	EPT IN PRINCIPL	E.			Response		Response Status C		
See	response to comm	ent#696.				PT IN PRINCIP sponse to comr			

CI 85 SC 85.8.4.3 Page 151 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:50 AM

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

CI 85 SC 85.8.4.3 P253 L39 # <u>387</u>	C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3.2 P 254 L 254 # 694
Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation	Healey, Adam LSI Corporation
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A
[Editor's note: Comment 34 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0] Fig 85-6 does not show what showuld be done with cable RX side on the left, open, short, terminate! SuggestedRemedy	This paragraph states that "the cable assembly test fixture lanes not under test are terminated in 100 Ohms differentially." In fact, it seems the other lanes are connected to aggressor transmitters either associated with pattern generators (FEXT) or the device under test (NEXT). This intended to be a requirement on the terminating impedance presented by those transmitters. If so, the established return loss specifications should to used in their place.
Please show it is terminated to 50 ohms	SuggestedRemedy
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Remove this sentence. Supplement the requirements with the return loss requirement for the pattern generator (including far-end aggressors) as appropriate.
See comment#781.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
C/         85         SC         85.8.4.3.2         P 254         L 13         # 695           Healey, Adam         LSI Corporation	See comment resolution#781
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> In Figure 85-7, the label "HTx" does not make it clear to the reader that this arrow correspond to the 4 (or 10) connectors to the near-end aggressors transmitters that are	Delete page 254, line 5: The cable assembly test fixture lanes not under test are termina in 100 O differentially.
part of the device under test.	C/         85         SC         85.8.4.3.2         P 254         L 27         # 535           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks
SuggestedRemedy	
Update the figure and paragraph starting at line 27 to indicate HTx is the set of lanes that will be connected to 4 or 10 near-end aggressors corresponding to the transmitters of the device under test.	Comment Type T Comment Status A This says "The MDNEXT is measured from points HTx to point LUT in Figure 857." but there are two points labelled "LUT" in Figure 85-7.
Response Response Status C	SuggestedRemedy
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Clarify which point marked "LUT" is meant.
Replace:"The MDNEXT is measured from points HTx to point LUT in Figure 85-7."	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
In figure 85-7 change "LUT" at Tx to LUT_Tx and LUT at Rx to LUT_Rx. In Figure 85-6 change "LUT" at Tx to LUT_Tx.	See response to comment#695
With:"The MDNEXT is measured from points host transmitters (HTx) to adjacent point LUT_Rx in Figure 85-7. HTx is the set of 4 or 10 transmit lanes of the device under test corresponding to the 4 or 10 near-end crosstalk disturbers."	

C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3.2

Draft 3.0 Comments	3	IEEE P80	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/	s Ethernet co	nments			Sponsor ballot
C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3.2 Ganga, Ilango	P254 Intel Corporation	L <b>27</b>	# 388	<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> Healey, A	SC <b>85.8.4.3.</b> dam		P 254 LSI Corporatic	L <b>39</b>	# 697
-	Comment Status <b>A</b> It 35 against D 2.3 was agreed t	o be resubmitte	ed by the Editor	Comment Term	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> inated in what im	<i>Comment</i> S pedance? Also		ter" should be p	lural.
from points HTx to poin	se to know what this statement t LUT in figure 85-7"!	means"The MI	DNEXT is measured	Suggeste Chan Ohms	ge last sentence	to read ", and	host transmitt	ters (HTx) and I	PGC terminated in 100
SuggestedRemedy This section require mo	re clear write up and more deat	il picture		Response	9	_ Response S	tatus C		
Response	Response Status C			ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPI	_E.			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL See response to comm				(HTx)	and PGC termin	ated."	0	,	C), and host transmitter
Cl 85 SC 85.8.4.3.2 Dudek, Michael	P <b>254</b> QLogic Corporati	L <b>36</b> on	# 835	Give	vith no signal appled it of the signal appled to the signal base of the signal base of the signal appled to the si				100 ohms differentially."
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			C/ 85	SC 85.8.4.3.	3	P254	L43	# 696
poor English				Healey, A			LSI Corporatio		
SuggestedRemedy				Comment	Tvpe <b>T</b>	Comment S	tatus A		
replace "each the" with	"each of the"				51	r that the patter	n generator (a	and aggressor) i	requirements apply at
Proposed Response	Response Status W			the te	est reference, or F	Pattern Generate	or Connection	(PGC), as show	wn in Figure 85-6.
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Suggeste	dRemedy				
	ment is against 85.8.4.3.2, hen	ce updated the	subclause number		a statement at the ause are verified		5.8.4.3.3 that s	states the requi	rements of this
field accordingly]				Response	9	Response S	tatus C		
C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3.2 Dudek, Michael	P <b>254</b> QLogic Corporati	L <b>39</b>	# 837	ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPI	-E.			
Comment Type E	Comment Status D				r sentence page : equirements	253 line 28 add	II		
poor English					s subclause are v	erified at the pa	ttern generato	or	
									. The lanes under test
SuggestedRemedy replace "and host" with	"and with the host"			(LUT)	are illustrated in	Figure 85-6 ar	id Figure 85-7.		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.								
See response commen	t#697.								
[Editor's note: This com field accordingly]	ment is against 85.8.4.3.2, hen	ce updated the	subclause number						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 85 SC 85.8.4.3.3 Page 153 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:50 AM

85       SC 85.8.4.3.3       P 254       L 44       # 698         ealey, Adam       LSI Corporation         comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         Rise and fall times are not defined in this clause. A reference should be provided.       aggestedRemedy	Cl 85       SC 85.8.4.3.4       P 255       L 9       # 637         Dambrosia, John       Force 10 Networks Inc          Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A         Shall statement does not include corresponding pic statement.       SuggestedRemedy
Rise and fall times are not defined in this clause. A reference should be provided.	Shall statement does not include corresponding pic statement. SuggestedRemedy
IggestedRemedy	
Change sentence to read: "The rise and fall times of the pattern generator, as defined in 72.7.1.7, are 47 ps."	add PIC
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The rise and fall times of the pattern generator are 47 ps using the methodology in 72.7.1.7.	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Insert PIC between RS1 and RS2; reorder list. Feature=Receiver tolerance,Subclause=85.8.4.3, value/comment= BER of better than 10-12, status=M, Support=Yes[].
85         SC 85.8.4.3.3         P 254         L 45         # 783           niasi, Ali         Broadcom	C/         85         SC         85.8.4.3.4         P 255         L 9         # 536           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks
omment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> The rise and fall time test patter not provided and definition	Comment Type E Comment Status A The reference 86.8.8.2 does not exist.
<i>iggestedRemedy</i> Rise and fall times are measured with pattern of 8 ones and 8 zeros from 20-80%.	SuggestedRemedy Change "86.8.8.2" to "86.8.2" and make it a link.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment#698.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
85         SC 85.8.4.3.4         P 255         L 11         # 838           Jdek, Michael         QLogic Corporation         Image: Corporation	C/     85     SC     85.84.3     P 253     L 38     # 782       Ghiasi, Ali     Broadcom
omment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> No mention is made of what amplitude the Tx channels should be at.	Comment Type TR Comment Status R Fig 85-6 will improve if RX Under test show one lane under test as well as TX on the ri all lanes active
<pre>uggestedRemedy insert "at maximum amplitude" between"PRBS31" and "with"</pre>	SuggestedRemedy Please implement the suggestion
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status W REJECT.
[Editor's note: This comment is against 85.8.4.3.4, hence updated the subclause number field accordingly]	Figure 85-7 provides the additional details requested.
Page 255, line 11 Change:"with equalization turned off (preset condition)." To: with maximum compliant amplitude and equalization turned off (preset condition).	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 85 SC 85.84.3 Page 154 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:50 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s	s Ethernet co	mments		Sponsor ballot
<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> SC <b>85.84.3</b> Ghiasi, Ali	P <b>253</b> Broadcom	L 38	# 779	<i>Cl</i> <b>85</b> Dambrosia	SC <b>85.84.3.</b> a, John	2 P 254 Force 10 Net	L 23 works Inc	# 646
Comment Type <b>TR</b> The cable assembly should	Comment Status <b>A</b> d be CR4/CR10 and not n	pairs of Twinaxi	al cable n=4,10, etc	Comment 4 SHA	• •	Comment Status A n 85.8.4.3.2 and 85.8.4.3.3 wi	ith no correspon	ding PICS
SuggestedRemedy Replace with CR4/CR10 ca	able assembly			Suggested add Pl	•			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C	Response Status <b>W</b> Change: Figure 85-6 and F	ïgure 85-7			PT IN PRINCIP		iomonto bolow	
Twinaxial cable n=4,10,. To: cable assembly 4x or 1	0x consistent with Figure	85-2.		(1)The	e minimum fitted	implement PICs for shall stat insertion loss coefficients of t		est (LUT), derived using
Cl 85 SC 85.84.3 Ghiasi, Ali	P 253 Broadcom	L 38	# 781	in 85.1 (2)The	RMS value of t	the test values in Table 85-7. he integrated ise, determined using Equatic		gh Equation (85-34),
Comment Type TR Fig 85-6 is missing load on SuggestedRemedy Please add load to the left		II lanes		values (3)The be no	more than	tor transmits data to the devic differential when measured or		
Response R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	esponse Status W			the fitt	ing procedure	insertion loss coefficients of t		est (LUT), derived using
Add under sentences in co "The cable assembly test f		rminated in 100	ohm differentially."	C/ 85A Healey, Ad	SC 85A.2	P <b>415</b> LSI Corporatio	L15	# 699
C/ 85 SC 85.84.3.2	P 254	L13	# 780	Comment		Comment Status R		
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type <b>TR</b> The cable assembly should	Broadcom Comment Status A d be CR4/CR10 and not n	pairs of Twinaxi	al cable n=4,10, etc	By inte transm	ent, the transmit	ter characteristics at TP0 are tics and as a result most of the simpler to just reference Clar	nis table duplicat	tes a similar table in
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested				·
Replace with CR4/CR10 ca	able assembly					smitter electrical characteristic		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status W			define	d in 72.7.1.1 thr	the same as 10GBASE-KR tr bugh 72.7.1.11. In addition, th d 30 mV RMS." Delete Table	e common-mod	
See response to comment	#779.			Response REJE		Response Status C		

TP0 and TP5 are 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 test points. The purpose of Annex 85A is to provide information on parameters associated with test points TP0 and TP5 including transmitter characteristics at TP0.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener		C/ 85A	Page 155 of 199
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	C/ OJA	Fage 155 01 199
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	'	SC 85A.2	1/28/2010 6:39:50 AM

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 85A SC 85A.2 Anslow, Peter	P <b>415</b> Nortel Networks	L <b>28</b>	# 596	C/ <b>85A</b> SC <b>85A.</b> Healey, Adam	3 P416 LSI Corporation	L <b>1</b> on	# 700
to 72.6.5 which is the would be better to use SuggestedRemedy Change "72.6.5" to "8		'. This doesn't		characteristics and would be simpler to	Comment Status <b>A</b> ver characteristics at TP5 are ide l as a result most of this table dup o just reference Clause 72 and no r SCD11 is inconsistent with the ould be updated.	olicates a similate the exception	r table in Clause 72. It ns. Also note that the
Response ACCEPT. Cl 85A SC 85A.2	Response Status C	L <b>40</b>	# 856	100GBASE-CR10 In addition Differer	eceiver electrical characteristics a are the same as 10GBASE-KR, a tial to common mode conversion 5 GHz." Delete Table 85A-2.	as defined in 72	2.7.2.2 through 72.7.2.5.
Dudek, Michael	QLogic Corpora	ation		Response	Response Status <b>C</b>		
Comment Type <b>T</b> This is actually 85A. ( SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A Clarification of the Jitter paramet	er test method	l would be helpful here	ACCEPT IN PRIN	CIPLE.		
55 ,	"max output jitter" row. Footnote	e c to say "Jitte	er is measured with	change parameter	Table 85A-2 for SCD11 name: From "Differential to comr	mon mode	
Response ACCEPT. [Editor's note: This co fields to 85A]	Response Status <b>C</b>	corrected clau	ise/subclause number		ommon mode ge:"10 dB max from 50		
-				MHz to 10000 MHz To:"10 min from 10 10 GHz"	) MHz to		
				In Table 85A-2 cha	ange:"-10 max from 0.01 to		

11.1 GHz" To:"10 min from 10 MHz to 10 GHz"

C/ 85A SC 85A.3

Draft 3.0	Comments
-----------	----------

#### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 85A         SC 85A.3         P 416         L 22         # 597           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks	CI         85A         SC         85A.4         P 416         L 30         # 336           Dawe, Piers J G         Independant         Independant         Independant         Independant
Comment Type E Comment Status D "-10 max from 0.01 to 11.1 GHz" should be "-10 max from 0.01 GHz to 11.1 GHz" to comply with the style manual. SuggestedRemedy Change "from 0.01 to 11.1 GHz" to "from 0.01 GHz to 11.1 GHz" . Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         Draft says "an assumed connector loss of 1.74 dB". I thought the allowed connector loss was 0.87 dB. If a single mated connection had that much loss, wouldn't there be a problem with its reflections? Also, text is not clear whether this is the loss of one mated connection, or, as in the rest of this paragraph, the sum of Tx side and Rx side losses.         SuggestedRemedy       Either change "an assumed connector loss of 1.74 dB" to "an assumed loss of ? dB for two MDI connectors" or (preferred) "an assumed loss of ? dB per MDI connector".
See response to comment#700	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
CI 85A     SC 85A.4     P 416     L 30     # 335       Dawe, Piers J G     Independant       Comment Type     E     Comment Status     D       Proposed wordsmithing     SuggestedRemedy	Change:"Based on 85.8.3.4 insertion loss TP0 to TP2 or TP3 to TP5 and an assumed connector loss of 1.74 dB" To:"Based on 85.8.3.4 insertion loss TP0 to TP2 or TP3 to TP5 and an assumed mated connector loss of 1.74 dB"
Change "Based on 85.8.3.4 insertion loss TP0 to TP2 or TP3 to TP5 and" to "With the insertion loss from TP0 to TP2 or TP3 to TP5 given in 85.8.3.4 and Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Host PCB loss = 3.5dB TF PCB= 1.26 dB TP0 toTP2 = 6.5= [3.5]+ [Mated connector IL]+[1.26] [Mated connector IL] =6.5-[3.5]-[1.26] Mated connector IL=1.74 dB
	Resolve with comment#335.
	CI         85A         SC         85A.4         P 416         L 33         # 337           Dawe, Piers J G         Independant         Independant
	Comment Type E Comment Status D

Missing closing bracket

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

the MDI host receptacle) are determined

Response Status W

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI **85A** SC **85A.4** 

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 85A SC 85A.4 Anslow, Peter	P416 Nortel Networ	L <b>33</b> ks	# 598	C/ <b>85A</b> SC <b>85A.4</b> Anslow, Peter	P <b>416</b> Nortel Network	L <b>44</b> (S	# 600
<i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> There is a close br	Comment Status <b>D</b> acket missing from the end of line	e 33			Comment Status <b>D</b> Equation 85A-1, "ILPCB(f) is r PCB" should not be the may		
SuggestedRemedy Change "Equation	(85A-1)." to "Equation (85A-1))."			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status W				the insertion loss for the trans minimum" in the where section		
	EPT IN PRINCIPLE. Close brack the insertion losses from TP0 to reptacle)			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W	·	
C/ 85A SC 85A.4		L 35	# 857	C/ 85A SC 85A.4	P416	L 44	# 858
Oudek, Michael	QLogic Corpo	ration		Dudek, Michael	QLogic Corpor	ation	
Comment Type ER This is actually 85/ end of the paragra	A It would be less confusing if the	sentence at lin	e 53 were added at the	<i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> This is actually 85A ILp	Comment Status A		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy delete "maximum". Ado	d a row that defines ILpcbmax		
Move the sentence				Response	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	.E.		
	comment is against 85A.4, henc	e corrected cla	use/subclause number	To:"is the insertion loss	Im insertion loss for the transi s for the transmitter and receiv e maximum insertion loss for	/er PCB"	
transmitter or the r	end of the paragraph at line 35.:" eceiver differential controlled imp f the maximum insertion loss.			[Editor's note: This con fields to 85A]	nment is against 85A.4, hence	e corrected clau	ise/subclause numbe
C/ 85A SC 85A.4	P 416	L <b>37</b>	# 599	C/ 85A SC 85A.4	P <b>416</b>	L <b>46</b>	# 601
nslow, Peter	Nortel Networ	ks		Anslow, Peter	Nortel Network	S	
Comment Type E In Equation 85A-1	Comment Status D "(0.30)" should not have a trailing	zero.		Comment Type E In the where section of	Comment Status <b>D</b> Equation 85A-1, "b1" should	be in italic font.	
SuggestedRemedy Change "(0.30)" to	"(0.3)"			SuggestedRemedy Change "b1" to italic			
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed Response	Response Status W		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **85A** SC **85A.4** 

### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Cl         85A         SC         85A.4         P 417         L 13         # 860           Dudek, Michael         QLogic Corporation         QLogic Corporation         P 417	C/         85A         SC         85A.4         P 418         L 25         # 275           Trowbridge, Stephen         ALCATEL-LUCENT         4         275         4
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> This is actually 85A ILpcb is not the minimum	Comment Type ER Comment Status A The title "Figure 85A-1- Illustration channel insertion loss budget" " does not indicate the reference frequency.
SuggestedRemedy Change ILpcb to ILpcbmin	SuggestedRemedy Change title to: "Figure 85A-1- Illustration channel insertion loss budget at 5.15625 GHz"
Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT.       [Editor's note: This comment is against 85A.4, hence corrected clause/subclause number fields to 85A]	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
C/         85A         SC         85A.4         P 417         L 5         # 859           Dudek, Michael         QLogic Corporation         QLogic Corporation         P 417         P	Page 487- line 1: Change: The channel insertion loss budget is illustrated in Figure 85A-1. To: The channel insertion loss budget at 5.15625 GHz is illustrated in Figure 85A-1.
Comment Type ER Comment Status A	Change title to: "Figure 85A-1- Illustration channel insertion loss budget at 5.15625 GHz" In Figure 85A-1-change: 1.28 dB to 1.26 dB
This is actually 85A It would be less confusing if the sentence at line 15 were added at the end of the paragraph at line 5	C/ 85A SC 85A.5 P417 L32 # 861
SuggestedRemedy	Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation
Move the sentence. Response Response Status C	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> This is actually 85A ILca is not the maximum
ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy delete the row on line 48 as this quantity is already defined here.
Move sentence to end of the paragraph at line 5" The minimum insertion loss for the transmitter or the receiver differential controlled impedance printed circuit board is one half of the minimum insertion loss [Editor's note: This comment is against 85A.4, hence corrected clause/subclause number	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: This comment is against 85A.5, hence corrected clause/subclause number fields to 85A]
fields to 85A]	Page 417, line 32 for ILCh(f) delete maximum Page 417, line 48 for ILCh(f) delete minimum. Page 417, starting line 49 delete ILCamax(f), ILHost(f), ILMatedTF(f)

CI 85A SC 85A.5

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

85A SC 85A.5 P417 L38 # 862	C/ 85A SC 85A.5 P417 L40 # 602
Idek, Michael QLogic Corporation	Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
<i>omment Type</i> <b>TR</b> <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> This is actually 85A This doesn't make sense. Where does the 0.2*max cable assembly	Comment Type E Comment Status D Equation 85A-4 starts with a spurious "("
loss come from? Why is the maximum host lost being used in an equation defining the minimum channel loss?	SuggestedRemedy
lggestedRemedy	Change "(ILCh(f)" to "ILCh(f)"
Add a normative minimum cable loss requirement to table 85-9 and change the title to "Cable assembly insertion loss characteristics. Add one row. Minimimum insertion loss at 5.156 3.0dB. Then use this minimimum insertion loss and the minimum host loss	t PROPOSED ACCEPT.
(instead of max) in the equation.	C/ 85A SC 85A.6 P418 L 31 # <u>863</u>
esponse Response Status C	Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Comment Type T Comment Status A
[Editor's note: This comment is against 85A.5, hence corrected clause/subclause number fields to 85A] Resolve with comment#861	This is actually 85A The wording is strange. "Determined using equation" sounds like a mathematical certitude.
Add paragraph page 417, line 20: "85A.5 provides information on channel	SuggestedRemedy
insertion losses for intended topologies ranging from 0.5 m to 7 m in length. The maximum channel insertion loss associated with the 7 m topology	Replace "is determined using equation". With "is recommended to meet equation".
is determined using Equation (85A-3).	Response Response Status C
The channel insertion loss associated with the 0.5 m topology and a maximum host channel is determined by Equation (85A-4).	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
In equation 85A-4 Change: .2 to 0.275 to account for fixture loss. Change:"The minimum channel insertion loss between TP1 and TP4 is determined using Equation (85A-4).	To:"The return loss of each lane of the 40GBASE-CR4 or 100GBASE-CR10 channel is
To:"The channel insertion loss between TP0 and TP5 representative of 0.5 m cable assembly and a maximum host channel is determined using Equation	recommended to meet the values determined using Equation (85-25)."
(85A-4)."	[Editor's note: This comment is against 85A.6, hence corrected clause/subclause numbe fields to 85A]
Change: ILCh(f) is the maximum channel insertion loss between TP1 and TP4 .	C/ 85A SC 85A.7 P418 L40 # 603
To: ILChmax(f) is the maximum channel insertion loss between TP0 and TP5	Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
In Equation 85A-3	Comment Type T Comment Status A
delete "ILCh(f) "	Equation 85A-5 should have units of "(dB)"
In Equation 85A-4 delete "ILCh(f) "	SuggestedRemedy
In Equation 85A-4	Add "(dB)". Also, "ILCh(f)" should be in the where section.
Replace: "ILChmin(f)"	Response Response Status C
With:"ILCh_0.5m(f)="	ACCEPT.
In Table 85-8-Cable assembly differential characteristics summary add Minimum insertior loss at 5.15625 GHz 3 dB.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **85A** SC **85A.7** 

### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 85A SC 85A.7 Dudek, Michael	P <b>419</b> QLogic Corpo	L1 ration	# 864	<i>Cl</i> <b>86</b> Kolesar, F	SC 86.1 aul	P <b>279</b> CommScope	L 20 Solutions	# 349
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A You can't have a shall stateme		itive clause.	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		SRreach
SuggestedRemedy	th "is recommended to be"			utilizir dB, th that a	g prevelant low e upper end of ccepting this co	<ul> <li>loss connection technology. I the ranges can increase to 12 mment produces ripple effects</li> </ul>	For a connectior 0 m for OM3 an	n loss allocation of 1.0 d 150 m for OM4. Note
Response ACCEPT. [Editor's no number fields to 85A]	Response Status <b>C</b> ote: This comment is against 8	5A.7, hence co	rected clause/subclause	Suggester Chang	dRemedy	r 125 for OM4"		
<i>Cl</i> <b>86</b> SC <b>86</b> Maki, Jeffery	P 279 Juniper Netwo	L <b>1</b> orks, Inc.	# 889	to		r 150 for OM4".		
	Comment Status A 40GBASE-SR4 should be upda ch that a common host implem			Make	PT IN PRINCIF	Response Status <b>C</b> PLE. own in anslow_07_0110 o-task force was taken:		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF See response to com				If the A 3 re loss B 2 re	draft is modified aches: 100m C aches: 100m C	I to have 150m reach over OM M3, 125m OM4 1.5 dB conne M3, 150m OM4 1.0 dB conne	ctor loss, 150m	
C/ <b>86</b> SC <b>86.1</b> Hajduczenia, Marek	Р <b>279</b> ZTE Corp.	L12	# 141	Resul A 0 B 15	L			
SuggestedRemedy Add tables similar to Response REJECT. [Editor's no	Comment Status R sing from copper clauses 84 a table 86-1 to clauses 84 and 8 <i>Response Status</i> C ote: Page and line numbers rev	5. /ersed]	Cl84 Cl85	Do yo A cha B mal Resul A 11 B 2	u support: nging the draft ke no change to t	f the sub-task force was taker or 150m over OM4 with 1.0 dl the draft (125m over OM4 wit	B max connecto	
described in Clauses For 85: requested tab	s not applicable for back-plane 69, 69A and 69B. le seems redundant as param es e.g., media type, number of	eter entries are	addressed in		u support maki	c force was taken: ng the changes as shown in a	nslow_07_0110'	?

C/ 86 SC 86.1

### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

CI 86 S	SC 86.1	P <b>279</b>	L <b>20</b>	# 356	C/ <b>86</b>	SC 86.1	P 279	L <b>28</b>	# 139
Abbott, John		Corning Inc.			Hajduczer	ia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
Comment Type	e TR	Comment Status A		SRrea	ch Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
2 PMDs, a	0.5 to ~75m	0.5 to 100m operating range is a for computer interconnects an	d a ~75m to	150m range for data			e very similar strike this one ou efinitions of PMDs.	ut. They are diff	erent after all, since
data cente	rs. The 802.	3). The 802.3ae length is 300m 3ba uses MM fiber to take up s	horter lengths	previously using	Suggestee	,			
copper - a PMDs.	distinct PME	O and the specific application	s for OM3 and	d OM4 fiber warrant 2		mment			
SuggestedRen	nedv				Response ACCE	т	Response Status C		
Organize S	SR into two F ised for optic	PMDs as similar as possible bur al fiber in the data center and t			[Edito	's note: Page	and line numbers reversed] ar, differing only in number of lane	es. But the ser	ntence is not necessary.
Response	15.	Deenenee Statue M			CI <b>86</b>	SC 86.1	P 279	L <b>30</b>	# 140
•	N PRINCIPL	Response Status W			Hajduczer	ia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
	-	.⊏. er MMF is "at least 100 m". Wit	h this obiectiv	/e. two MMF PMDs at	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status R		Cl80 Cl83
However, to over OM4		n reach of 40/100GBASE-SR4/	SR10 has be	en changed to 150m	lanes.	In this clause	es four identical lanes, while 1000 , where there are four or ten item elled out clearly.		
See respo	nse to comm	ient 349			Suggestee	Remedy			
C/86 S	SC 86.1	P 279	L 23	# 7	Per co	mment			
Maguire, Valer	ie	The Siemon Co	mpany	-	Response		Response Status C		
Comment Type	e G	Comment Status R			4 REJE				
Add refere	ence to TIA S	tandard specifying OM3 perfor	mance				and line numbers reversed]		
SuggestedRer	nedy						reader the number of lanes and t s. The PMD connects to the PM		
		DM3) specified in IEC 60793-2- 60793-2-10 and ANSI/TIA-568			confus	e the PMD in	nplementer with mention of PCS tory clause and the PMA clause.		
Response		Response Status C							
		standard is a structured cablin e A1a.2 is an IEC type that do			L.				

Cl 86 SC 86.1

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 86 SC 86.1 P280 L7 # 138	C/ 86 SC 86.10.1 P297 L23 # 357
łajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.	Kolesar, Paul CommScope Solutions
Comment Type T Comment Status R	Comment Type T Comment Status A
Strike " (terminology and conventions, references, definitions and abbreviations) " and "(bibliography, referenced as [B1], [B2], etc.)" - references are sufficient for a reader with access to 802.3 base standard.	The second edition of IEC 61280-4-1 has been published for several months. As indicated in the editor's note, the referenced test should be harmonized with this new edition. However, the directions in the editor's note do not capture the changes completely nor in the most concise way. This is remedied in the proposed change.
uggestedRemedy	
per comment	SuggestedRemedy Change
Response Response Status <b>C</b> REJECT. [Editor's note: Page and line numbers reversed] This provides reference to important material once in the clause, for readers who do not read standards from the front. The front is thousands of pages away in a different file. Terminology, conventions, definitions and abbreviations would not otherwise be pointed out.	"Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with IEC 61280-4-1/Method 2 or IEC 61280-4-1/Method 3." to "Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with the methods for cabling configuration A of IEC 61280-4-1."
/ 86 SC 86.10.1 P296 L45 # 129	Response Response Status C
ajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Imment Type       T       Comment Status       A         Per Figure 86-5, what are the numbers (4 or 10) which are used on the figure? Do they denote lanes, fibres, cable bundles etc.?       ggestedRemedy         Clarify what the "4 or 10" refers to on Figure 86-5       sponse       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       [Editor's note: Page and line numbers reversed]       Move text beginning p297 line 24, "The fiber optic cabling (channel) contains 4 or 10 optical fibers any receiver lane." to p296 line 35.	<ul> <li>"Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with IEC 61280-4-1/Method 2 or IEC 61280-4-1/Method 3." to</li> <li>"Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with IEC 61280-4-1:2009."</li> <li>Also remove the editor's note.</li> <li>Also add "IEC 61280-4-1:2009" (not to be confused with IEC 61280-1-4:2009) to the list of additional references to be inserted in clause 1.3.</li> <li>Note- this will leave a reference to IEC 61280-4-1:2003 in the amended standard as referred to by subclause 68.8</li> </ul>
	C/ 86 SC 86.10.1 P297 L27 # 561
	Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
	Comment TypeEComment StatusDIn "As defined in clause 86.10.3," "86.10.1" should be a link and "clause" is not required.
	SuggestedRemedy Change to "As defined in 86.10.1" and make "86.10.1" a link
	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete "clause", make "86.10.3" a link (86.10.1 wa a typo).

C/ 86 SC 86.10.1

### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

		0007	1.00	11 500	~ ~	00 00 40 0 4	D 007	1 00	11 100
<i>Cl</i> 86 Anslow, P	SC 86.10.1 eter	P 297 Nortel Network	L <b>29</b> s	# 562	<i>CI</i> <b>86</b> Hajduczen	SC <b>86.10.2.1</b> nia, Marek	P <b>297</b> ZTE Corp.	L 38	# 132
http://	Ed 2.0 of IEC 6128	Comment Status A 80-4-1 is now published (See bstore/webstore.nsf/artnum/		e text and remove		ber contained with the to read "The fib	Comment Status <b>D</b> hin the 40GBASESR4 or her used for the 40GBASE-		
Suggestee	dRemedy				Suggested	dRemedy			
		to the new Annexes and rem			Per co	omment			
		"with IEC 61280-4-1/Method r IEC 61280-4-1 2009 here a		ean that we need to	Proposed	Response	Response Status Z		
Response		Response Status <b>C</b>			REJE	CT.			
ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPLE	,			This c	omment was WIT	THDRAWN by the commen	nter.	
<i>CI</i> <b>86</b> Hajduczer	SC <b>86.10.1</b> nia, Marek	P 297 ZTE Corp.	L <b>3</b>	# 128	The co The pr	ommenter has wit	Id line numbers reversed] thdrawn this comment. s not an improvement as it installation.	implies that the	requirements only have
		Comment Status A			The cu	urrent text was ins	serted by comment 519 ag	ainst draft 1.0	
Table	86-13 is located in	side of the text block, cutting			The cu <i>Cl</i> <b>86</b>	urrent text was ins		ainst draft 1.0	# 352
Table place proble	86-13 is located in the anchor in the p ems with Figure 86-		phan sentence	s accordingly. Similar		SC 86.10.2.1	serted by comment 519 ag	L <b>8</b>	# 352
Table place proble 279/3	86-13 is located in the anchor in the p ems with Figure 86- 2	side of the text block, cutting proper location and set the or	phan sentence	s accordingly. Similar	CI 86	SC 86.10.2.1 'aul	serted by comment 519 ag P <b>297</b>	L <b>8</b>	# <u>352</u> SRreac
place proble 279/3 Suggestee	86-13 is located in the anchor in the p ems with Figure 86- 2	side of the text block, cutting proper location and set the or	phan sentence	s accordingly. Similar	Cl <b>86</b> Kolesar, P Comment	SC 86.10.2.1 Paul <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> mment submitted	serted by comment 519 ag P 297 CommScop	L8 e Solutions	SRreac
Table place proble 279/3: Suggester Per co Response ACCE [Edito Appar	86-13 is located in the anchor in the p ems with Figure 86- 2 <i>dRemedy</i> omment EPT IN PRINCIPLE r's note: Page and	Response Status W	phan sentence , page 298/51;	s accordingly. Similar Table 86-2, page	CI 86 Kolesar, P Comment *** Co attach Table dB cor	SC 86.10.2.1 'aul <i>Type</i> TR mment submitted ied *** 86-13 should be nnection loss cas aneously defining	serted by comment 519 ag P <b>297</b> CommScop <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b>	L 8 e Solutions -d3_0_comment characteristics for arries the legacy	<i>SRreac</i> _Table86-13.xls <sup>r</sup> both the 1.5 dB and 1.0 1.5 dB loss case while
Table place 279/3 Suggested Per co Response ACCE [Edito	86-13 is located in the anchor in the p ems with Figure 86- 2 <i>dRemedy</i> omment EPT IN PRINCIPLE r's note: Page and	side of the text block, cutting roper location and set the or -4, page 294/48; Figure 86-2, <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> line numbers reversed]	phan sentence , page 298/51;	s accordingly. Similar Table 86-2, page	CI 86 Kolesar, P Comment *** Co attach Table dB cor simulta	SC 86.10.2.1 Paul Type TR mment submitted red *** 86-13 should be nnection loss cas aneously defining le.	serted by comment 519 ag P297 CommScop Comment Status A d with the file 41773000024 modified to show channel es. Providing both cases of	L 8 e Solutions -d3_0_comment characteristics for arries the legacy	<i>SRreac</i> _Table86-13.xls <sup>r</sup> both the 1.5 dB and 1.0 1.5 dB loss case while
Table place proble 279/3: Suggester Per co Response ACCE [Edito Appar	86-13 is located in the anchor in the p ems with Figure 86- 2 <i>dRemedy</i> omment EPT IN PRINCIPLE r's note: Page and	side of the text block, cutting roper location and set the or -4, page 294/48; Figure 86-2, <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> line numbers reversed]	phan sentence , page 298/51;	s accordingly. Similar Table 86-2, page	C/ 86 Kolesar, P Comment *** Co attach Table dB con simulta in trad	SC 86.10.2.1 Paul Type TR Imment submitted red *** 86-13 should be nnection loss cas aneously defining le. dRemedy	serted by comment 519 ag P297 CommScop Comment Status A d with the file 41773000024 modified to show channel es. Providing both cases of	L 8 e Solutions -d3_0_comment characteristics for arries the legacy e that offers enha	SRread _Table86-13.xls r both the 1.5 dB and 1.0 1.5 dB loss case while unced distance capability

C/ 86 SC 86.10.2.1

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/         86         SC 86.10.2.2.1         P 297         L 50           Cobb, Terry         CommScope Solutions	# 257	C/ <b>86</b> Maguire, ∖	SC 86.10 /alerie	.2.2.1	P 298 The Siemon	L <b>18</b> Company	# 8
omment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> By using low loss connectors the distance for OM3 can be incre		Comment Add re	51		ment Status <b>R</b> specifying OM3 perf		
150m. This requires no changes to anything else in the docume free. These low loss connectors are available from many manuf <i>tuggestedRemedy</i> Change 86.10.2.2.1 Connection insertion loss to read: The operating link distances in the tables is based on an allocat connection and splice loss. For example, this allocation support with an insertion loss of 0.75 dB. However, the loss of a single of	actures. ion of 1.5 dB total s two connections, each	568.C Response REJE	ge "IEC 6079 .3"	Respo	1a.2" to "IEC 60793	3-2-10 type A1a.	2 and ANSI/TIA-
0.75 dB. Connections with lower loss characteristics may be used provide Table 86-14 are met. By reducing the connection and splice loss operating distance for OM3 can be extended to 120 meters and OM4 can be extended to 150 meters.	s from 1.5 dB to 1.0 dB the	C/ <b>86</b> Frazier, Ho Comment	Type TR	Comi	P <b>299</b> Broadcom ment Status A	L <b>50</b>	# 364
esponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 349.		for a n Suggested	ninimum of 1 dRemedy	2.	·	-	here is no justification
Pail     Pail     Pail       oblesar, Paul     CommScope Solutions       omment Type     TR     Comment Status       Modify the text to recognize the addition of the proposed 1.0 dB connection and splice loss. This comment also harmonizes the used in Table 86-13 by replacing "maximum link distance" with '	text with the description	Response ACCE Chang "arran to	PT IN PRINC	Respo CIPLE. ws of at leas	rows of at least 10 onse Status W t 10 or 12 positions. 2 positions."		
distances". uggestedRemedy		<i>Cl</i> <b>86</b> Dawe, Pie	SC <b>86.10</b> rs J G	.3.2	P 299 Independant	L <b>52</b>	# 302
Change: "The maximum link distance is based on an allocation of 1.5 dB loss. For example, this allocation supports two connections, eac 0.75 dB." to	ch with an insertion loss of	Suggested	previous line Remedy		ment Status <b>D</b> tical lanes" twice bu	It here we have	"optical signal lanes".
"The maximum operating distances are based on allocations of connection and splice loss. For example, these allocations supp with an insertion loss of 0.5 dB or 0.75 dB respectively." esponse Response Status C		Proposed	e "signal". <i>Response</i> POSED ACCE		onse Status W		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 349.							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 86 SC 86.10.3.2

CI 86         SC 86.11.3         P 302         L 15         # 563           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks	C/ 86         SC 86.11.4.1         P 303         L 14         # 648           Dambrosia, John         Force 10 Networks Inc         Force 10 Networks Inc         Force 10 Networks Inc
Comment Type         T         Comment Status         A           Items *TP1 and *TP4 are for when compliance points TP1 or TP4 are exposed. This be with an electrical interface other than that defined in Annex 86A, so it is not approved to list "Annex 86A" in the Value /Comments for these items.	
SuggestedRemedy Remove "Annex 86A" from *TP1 and *TP4	Proposed Response Response Status W
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove "Annex 86A" from *TP1 and *TP4, under TP4, create two major options, *PIT nPPI Tx interface 86.1 Uses XLPPI or CPPI host to module (see 86A) TP Yes/No	Value/Comment cells; the reader must read the subclause anyway.
*PIR nPPI Rx interface 86.1 Uses XLPPI or CPPI module to host (see 86A) TP4 Yes/No	C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.2 P304 L15 # 650
note related comment 474 against 83.5.1.	Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc
C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.1 P 303 L 12 # 647 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc	Comment Type TR Comment Status D What is the corresponding SHALL statement for this PIC? There is one SHALL statement that corresponds to SM3
Comment Type TR Comment Status R PIC SF2 is in regards to integration with management functions, but there is no corresponding SHALL statement - "A PMD is optionally connected to the management functions that may be accessible through the management interface defined in Clau SuggestedRemedy	
add SHALL statement.	This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.
Response Response Status C	
REJECT. SF2 is included in the PICS table for the purpose of recording which optic have been implemented rather than to confirm compliance with a particular requiren Consequently it is not appropriate to have a "shall" statement in the text for this item In the same way, there is no "shall" statement corresponding to SR, LR, ER, etc. in clause 52 BICS	ment. m.

clause 52 PICS.

C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.2

CI 86 SC Dambrosia, John	86.11.4.2	P <b>304</b> Force 10 Netv	L <b>6</b> works Inc	# 649	<i>Cl</i> <b>86</b> Dambrosia	SC <b>86.11.4.4</b> a, John	P 305 Force 10 Netv	L <b>15</b> vorks Inc	# 654
Comment Type	TR Com	nment Status A			Comment	Type E Cor	nment Status D		
No correspor	nding SHALL state	ements to subclauses	s referenced for	SM1		DM6 the value cited is fo		but not the limits	s that are given in Table
SuggestedReme add SHALL s	-				Suggested	•			
Response	Resp	onse Status W				ference to limits being in	n Table 86-12 in Value	e comment for S	OM6
ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.				Proposed	,	oonse Status W		
Table 86-3. N 86-4." to "If N variables sha PMD status In 86.11.4.2 In addition, c	Mapping of MDIO MDIO is implemen all be as shown in variables shall be SM1 insert "See 8 change "86.11.4.3	status variables to PM ted, the mapping of M Table 86-3, and the r as shown in Table 86 6.4" in Value/Comme Electrical and optical	MD status variab MDIO control var mapping of MDI 5-4." ent field. specifications f		receive In 86.8 measu Table	OSED ACCEPT IN PRI er (each has its own PIC 3.4.5, change "Extinctior ured using the methods 86-12." to "Extinction ra the defined in Table 86-12 SC 86.11.4.4	CS), so 86.8.4.5 should n ratio shall be within t specified in IEC 61280 tio is defined by the m	d address the te he limits given i 0-2-2 using the t	st methodology only. n Table 86-6 if est pattern defined in
SR10"					Dambrosia	a, John	Force 10 Netw	vorks Inc	
C/ <b>86</b> SC Dambrosia, John	8 <b>6.11.4.4</b>	P <b>305</b> Force 10 Netv	L11 works Inc	# 652	Comment For SC Table	OM8 the value cited is fo	nment Status <b>D</b> or the test methodolog	y, but not the lin	nits that are given in
Comment Type	TR Com	nment Status A							
•••	nding SHALL state				Suggested add re		n Table 86-8 in Value	comment for SC	)M8
No correspor SuggestedReme add SHALL s	nding SHALL state edy statement	ement for SOM4			add re Proposed PROP	ference to limits being in Response Resp OSED ACCEPT IN PRI	oonse Status W		
No correspor SuggestedReme add SHALL s Response ACCEPT IN In 86.8.4.3, c	nding SHALL state ody statement <i>Resp</i> PRINCIPLE. change "OMA is as				add re Proposed PROP	ference to limits being in Response Resp OSED ACCEPT IN PRI 36.8.4.7". SC <b>86.11.4.4</b>	oonse Status W	ach lane, per 52. 	
No correspon SuggestedReme add SHALL s Response ACCEPT IN In 86.8.4.3, c See also con	nding SHALL state ody statement PRINCIPLE. change "OMA is as mments 662 (87.12	ement for SOM4 onse Status W s defined" to "OMA sh	68 (88.12.4.5 CC) L <b>13</b>		add re Proposed PROP "See 8 C/ 86 Dambrosia Comment	ference to limits being in Response Resp OSED ACCEPT IN PRI 36.8.4.7". SC <b>86.11.4.4</b> a, John	Donse Status W NCIPLE. Change "Ea P 305 Force 10 Netwo mment Status A	ach lane, per 52. 	9.9 as modified" to
No correspon SuggestedReme add SHALL s Response ACCEPT IN In 86.8.4.3, o See also con C/ 86 SC Dambrosia, John Comment Type	nding SHALL state edy statement PRINCIPLE. change "OMA is as mments 662 (87.12 s <b>86.11.4.4</b>	ement for SOM4 onse Status W s defined" to "OMA sh 2.4.4 XLOM5) and 66 P <b>305</b> Force 10 Network orment Status <b>A</b>	68 (88.12.4.5 CC) L <b>13</b>	0M4).	add re Proposed PROP "See 8 CI 86 Dambrosia Comment No cor Suggested	ference to limits being in Response Resp OSED ACCEPT IN PRI 36.8.4.7". SC <b>86.11.4.4</b> a, John Type <b>TR</b> Cor rresponding SHALL stat	Donse Status W NCIPLE. Change "Ea P 305 Force 10 Netwo mment Status A	ach lane, per 52. 	9.9 as modified" to
No correspon uggestedReme add SHALL s esponse ACCEPT IN In 86.8.4.3, c See also con 7 86 SC ambrosia, John comment Type	nding SHALL state edy statement PRINCIPLE. change "OMA is as mments 662 (87.12 <b>86.11.4.4</b> TR Corr nding SHALL state	ement for SOM4 onse Status W s defined" to "OMA sh 2.4.4 XLOM5) and 66 P <b>305</b> Force 10 Network orment Status <b>A</b>	68 (88.12.4.5 CC) L <b>13</b>	0M4).	add re Proposed PROP "See 8 C/ 86 Dambrosia Comment No col Suggested add SI Response ACCE	ference to limits being in Response Resp OSED ACCEPT IN PRI 36.8.4.7". SC 86.11.4.4 a, John Type TR Cor rresponding SHALL stat IRemedy HALL statement	NCIPLE. Change "Ea P305 Force 10 Networks noment Status A ement for SOM9 PIC	ach lane, per 52. <i>L</i> <b>20</b> vorks Inc	9.9 as modified" to # <u>656</u>

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.4

C/ 86 SC 86.11.	4.4	P 305	L <b>9</b>	# 651	C/ 86	SC 8	36.11.4.6	P 306	L18	# 659
Dambrosia, John		Force 10 Netwo	orks Inc		Dambrosia	a, John		Force 10 Netv	vorks Inc	
Comment Type E For SOM3 the value	cited is for the		, but not the lim	it that needs to be	Comment Refere		ER subclause	Comment Status <b>A</b> s incorrect, as it should be	to 86.10.3.2.	
met, which is per lim	-				Suggested chang			nce to 86.10.3.2.		
Add reference to lim	its being in Tabl	le 86-6 in Value c	omment for SO	M3	Response			Response Status W		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE have their own PICS	, PT IN PRINCIPI				ACCE Same		ment 564.			
only. In 86.8.4.2, ch	hange "The aver if measured usir	age optical power	r of each lane s iven in IEC 612	hall be within the limits 80-1-1." to "Average	<i>CI</i> <b>86</b> Dambrosia		36.11.4.6	P306 Force 10 Netv	L <b>6</b> vorks Inc	# 658
C/ <b>86</b> SC <b>86.11.</b> Dambrosia, John	-	P 305 Force 10 Netwo	L <b>32</b>	# 657	Comment No co		TR ding SHAL	Comment Status A statement for SOC1 PIC		
<i>Comment Type</i> <b>TR</b> No corresponding S		t Status A				HALL st	y atement			
SuggestedRemedy add SHALL stateme Response		Status W			In 86.	PT IN P 10.1, cha	RINCIPLE ange "The vith the spe	Response Status W channel insertion loss is give cifications in Table 86-13."	ren in Table 86	13." to "The channel
•			renced 52.11, s	so include a pointer to	C/ 86	SC 8	36.4	P 282	L <b>31</b>	# 133
52.11 in the PICS V Change "Complies v					Hajduczer	nia, Mare	ek	ZTE Corp.		- Bernard Barner
electromagnetic inte					Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status R		
electromagnetic inte		P306	L18	# 564	Table	86-3? S	imilar ques	9" separated from "Transn stion about PMD signal dete the form of a Note under th	ct in Table 86-4	
nslow, Peter omment Type <b>T</b>	Comment	Nortel Networks t Status A	S		Suggested Per co	dRemed <u>j</u> omment	У			
For item SO6 the re	ierence should h	be "86.10.3.2" rat	her than "86.10	.3.1"	Response			Response Status C		
					REJE [Edito	CT. r's note:		line numbers reversed]		
SuggestedRemedy Change "86.10.3.1"	to "86.10.3.2"									

C/ 86 SC 86.4

C/ 86	SC 86.4	P <b>282</b>	L35	# 559	C/ 86	SC 86.5	P 283	L18	# 135
Anslow, P	eter	Nortel Network	S		Hajduczen	ia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status R		
Claus	e 45. Likewise, n	4 the MDIO variable names do ot all of the register names ma .87-3, 88-2 and 88-3.			apply.o	change to read	ne highest-numbered six of the 'For 40GBASE-SR4, the highe of ten lane-by-lane signal deter	est six lane-by-la	ane signal detect
uggested	dRemedy				Suggested	lRemedy			
		olumns, change "Global transi			Per co	mment			
		smit disable x" to "PMD transr signal detect x" to "PMD receiv			Response		Response Status C		
In the 1 regi	PMA/PMD regist ster", change "Tr	ter name columns, change "Co ansmit disable register" to "PN	ontrol 1 register	" to PMA/PMD control able register", change		CT. [Editor's no sponse to com	te: Page and line numbers rev nent 134.	ersed]	
	MD receive signal	PMA/PMD status x register", c detect register". Make equiva			<i>CI</i> <b>86</b> Frazier, Ho	SC 86.5.1 oward M	P 283 Broadcom	L <b>4</b>	# 360
esponse	;	Response Status C			Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		
ACCE See a	EPT. Ilso comment 501	I.					to include a 4 input AND gate o mean that Ln-1 is not include		
86	SC 86.4	P 282	L 44	# 134	Suggested	lRemedy			
ajduczer	nia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Show	a 4 input AND g	ate, or place an ellipsis betwe	en the 2nd and	last inputs.
omment	Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status R			Response		Response Status W		
For 40 not ap	OGBASE-SR4, th oply.change to rea	e highest-numbered six of the ad "For 40GBASE-SR4, the hi f ten lane-by-lane transmit dis	ghest six lane-b	y-lane transmit disable		PT IN PRINCIP a 4 input AND g			

#### SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

#### Response

REJECT. [Editor's note: Page and line numbers reversed]

Response Status C

Bits are not signals. Not sure if control variables are or not. It's more than "don't apply"; the control variables need not even exist. No need to introduce "pool".

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 86 SC 86.5.1 Page 169 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:51 AM

Cl <b>86</b> Hajduczenia	SC <b>86.5.7</b> a, Marek	P <b>285</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>26</b>	# 137	<i>Cl</i> <b>86</b> S Petrilla, John	C 86.7.2	-	287 Igo Technol	L <b>20</b> logies	# 871
the term zero, res	ft says "variabl	Comment Status A e is set to one" or "variable is is set" and "vartiable is reset consistently in the draft. The e terms	", which means	that it is set to one or	than the cu the require	-6, the existi irrently prop ments, the r	osed TJ(BER=1E-	based on d I2) = 0.70 L DP test sho	JI. To reduce i	utput criteria (J2 & J9), nconsistencies among same output criteria as
SuggestedR Per com	-				SuggestedRen In table 86	-	e value for TDP fro	om 3.7 to 3.	6.	
[Editor's Includin to all zei ("PHY re	ng "to zero" is e pros" 10 times. reset") or even punter, use "res	Response Status C LE. Id line numbers reversed] xplicit. Draft has "set to zero" 45.5.3.7 has "clears to zero" a Boolean variable. Base sta set to all zeros" and for a sing P287	twice. "reset" i andard doesn't	s used as an operation seem consistent.	In table 86 In Table 86 Power bud Change the Comment 6.5dB. Cha	5-9 change: get (for max e Allocation 349 has cha	e value for TDP fro imum TDP) from 8 for penalties (for m nged the Allocation ocation for penalties	om 3.7 to 3. .3 to 8.2 dB aximum TE n for penalti	) PP) for OM3 fro es (for maximu	om 6.4 to 6.3dB. um TDP) for OM4 to modified by comment
Dudek, Mich Comment Ty The foot difference SuggestedR Change Response ACCEP	iype <b>T</b> thote appears ce between Mir Remedy the footnote to	QLogic Corpo Comment Status A to be left from an earlier time n OMA and OMA - TDP min i o say "TDP<0.7dB Response Status C	when the numb s now only 0.7d	bers were different. The B	Cl 86 S Petrilla, John Comment Type In Table 86 currently e SuggestedRen In Table 86 Response	C 86.7.2 T S-6, the exist xpected wors nedy	F	yields a ma ontours. rom 0.33 to	sk that is not v	# 872
[Editor's accordir		nment is against 86.7.1, hend	ce updated the	subclause number field	ACCEPT.					

C/ 86 SC 86.7.2

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE F	9802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s	and 100Gb/	s Ethernet comme	nts		Sponsor ballo
C/ 86 SC 86.7.2	P <b>287</b>	L <b>7</b>	# 355	C/ 86	SC 86.7.3	P288	L 29	# 873
width. Footnote a. "RMS VCSELs have a line spec RMS value in link calcula example www.finisar.com/downloa If the RMS value is suffic extra margin somehow no made. SuggestedRemedy augment historical link m spectrum. Response REJECT. As the reference says, M adopted and uses the RM	Corning Inc. <i>Comment Status</i> <b>R</b> use 86 Table 86-6 p.287 (tr. spectral width is the standar ctrum which is not well desc tions gives a different estim ad_nC3xpBOptical%20Mode iently pessimistic the target odel calculations to account <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> TM spectral "width" is meas <i>IS</i> method. ted by discrete lines, and pe	rd deviation of ribed by an RI ate of pulse s es%20In%20V length should o optimistic oth t for individual sured per FOT	<ul> <li>the spectrum". 850nm</li> <li>MS value; the use of an preading. See for</li> <li>'CSELs.pdf</li> <li>be increased or the ner changes need to be</li> <li>lines in VCSEL</li> <li>P-127 which is widely</li> </ul>	called with a throu peak appre J2 ar as we dual- UI, R base 0.330 Suggeste	t Type <b>TR</b> Co ble 86-8 the values of J d for in 86.8.4.7. This ag a PRBS31 or similarly lo gh a VCSEL and induci DDJ in the signal is not eciated when the existin of J9 values for the SRS ell as being more readily Dirac - Gaussian combi J(@1E-12) = 0.229 UI a d on an approximate bir 0 UI, RJ(@1E-12) ~ 0.22 edRemedy ble 86-8, change the value e Read	ppears due to the lengt ong-run-lenght, richly-si ng VECP. In these cas included in J2 but is ir g J2 and J9 values we S test should be chang y implemented. The ex nation where peak-to-p and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.49 nominal - Gaussion cor 25 UI and TJ(@1E-12)	und difficult to sin hly DDJ distribut tructured test par- ses a significant p ncluded in J9. Th re proposed for t ed to reflect actu- isting J2 and J9 peak DJ equals d 28 UI. The propo- nbination where ~ 0.502 UI.	ion tails that occurs tterns after passing portion of the peak-to- is was not fully he SRS condition. The ial operating conditions values are based on a lual-Dirac DJ of 0.274 sed new values are
Cl 86 SC 86.7.3 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T Some of the references to and some 'or'. Why is 'or'	P 288 ZTE Corp. Comment Status A o 40GBASE-SR4 / 100GBA used in case of definition o Table 86-8 suggests the use	f parameters						
SuggestedRemedy Per comment								
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Clause contains two spece each PMD in several table or the other, hence "or". Change "86.7 PMD to MI "Table 86-6-40GBASE-S 86-8-40GBASE-SR4 and 40GBASE-SR4 and 1000	Response Status <b>C</b> [Editor's note: Page and linitications, hence "and", but es are the same, hence "or DI specifications for 40GBAS R4 and 100GBASE-SR10 of 100GBASE-SR10 optical rig BASE-SR10 illustrative link	unlike e.g. Cla ". A PMD is e SE-SR4 and 1 ptical transmit eceiver charace < power budge	ause 88, the specs for xpected to be one type 00GBASE-SR10", t characteristics", "Table cteristics", "86.7.4 et", "Table 86-9-					

86.6 Lane assignments and 86.10.3 Medium Dependent Interface (MDI), to use "or" instead of "and".

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 86 SC 86.7.3

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 86 SC 86.7.3	P 288	L33	# 381	CI 86	SC	86.7.4	P 289	L3	# 354
Ganga, Ilango	Intel Corporation			Abbott, Jol	hn		Corning In	с.	
<b>3</b> • <b>3</b>	nt Status <b>A</b> st D 2.3 was agreed to rel in OMA, each lane following differences: e specified in Table 8 A at the receiver is adj vity in OMA, also give d." DMA to any value we spec is arbitrary and w enough. vity which is a propert pask, which is also fixe	" (shown as "M : justed, using th in Table 68-5 like as long as uncertain: a tes ty of the receive ed.	ax" in D2.3) is used e optical attenuator, , and a BER of it doesn't exceed ster can make	Comment 1.Table only ar http://ii ISI req in Tab ensure illustra Suggested add ar require Response REJEC The lin	Type e 86-9 n illustr eee802 quireme le 86-9 es all lir titve lin dReme n illustra ements CT.	ative pow 2.org/3/ae, ents and th 0. The illus nk calcula k model c dy ative cons 5. el used in	Comment Status R ee also Tables 86-6, 86-7, er budget but an illustrativ /public/index.html. The lini hese depend on more par- trative link model gives a tions have a common con	86-8). The 802 e link model sir c needs to satis ameters than w set of common sensus root. The se 86 or in the s eets both powe	milar to 802.3ae models on sty both power penalty and hat is explicitly mentioned baseline assumptions and he reference to the same section at Table 86-9. er and ISI-BER
SuggestedRemedy Change the row "Receiver jitter tolerance signal lev to "Receiver jitter tolerance, each lan and below "Conditions of receiver	e, per conditions belo	ow" (deleting "N	lax -5.4 dBm"	10GbE introdu 10G/la engine power-	E did no uction o ane, the eering ju -limited	ot put its n of newer s e Ethernet udgement d and more	nodel (or include a referer pecification methodologie link model becomes only	ce to it) in the s s essential for k one input to a s ement as other e optical links.	standard. With the ow cost implementation at specification developed with inputs. SRn links are less
Signal level in OMA5.4 dBm" Keep the footnote, but change "Th tolerance defines the optical receiv Another remedy would be to chang "Receiver jitter tolerance in OMA"	is is a test of the optic ver's ability" ge "Receiver jitter tole and modify 86.8.4.8 b	cal receiver's al erance signal le to say that the	bility" to "Jitter vel in OMA" to e test signal's OMA is	C/ <b>86</b> Kolesar, P <i>Comment</i>	aul <i>Typ</i> e	86.7.4 T	Comment Status A	L7 pe Solutions	# <u>350</u> SRread
set at the maximum for receiver jit	0	evel in OMA give	en in Table 86-8.	*** Coi	mment	submittee	d with the file 4177290002	4-d3_0_comme	ent_Table86-9.xls attached
	e Status C								
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "Receiver jitter tolerance in OMA"	and change item b in	86.8.4.8 to be	"The parameters of				lified to illustrate the powe OM3 and 150 m on OM4.	r budget for the	e proposed longer operating
the signal are specified in Table 80 maximum for receiver jitter toleran			eiver is set to the	S <i>uggested</i> See at		<i>dy</i> I replacem	ient table.		
				Response			Response Status <b>C</b>		
				1.00000100					

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 349.

C/ 86 SC 86.7.4

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ <b>86</b> SC <b>8</b> Kolesar, Paul	6.7.4	P 289 CommScope S	L <b>7</b> Solutions	# 351	<i>Cl</i> <b>86</b> Dawe, Pier		86.8.3.2	P <b>2</b> 9	92 endant	L16	# 301
Comment Type Table title conta		mment Status D			Comment		T IO and it	Comment Status	Α	oon mode velte	
	ains error for 1	00G.						crosstalk is included			ge, are measured with this.
SuggestedRemedy		"100GBASE-SR10".			Suggested		•			9	
0					Add te	xt here	, at 86.8.3.	.3, 86A.5.3.1 to mak	e this cle	ear. Note that 87	7 and 88 reference
Proposed Respons PROPOSED A		ponse Status W			86.8.3. Bropor		boro: "\A/k	ather entired or elec	trical al	l oo propogating	and countar
PROPOSED A	JUEPT.							nether optical or elec ctive, using one of p			
C/ 86 SC 80	5.8.1	P 290	L <b>1</b>	# 361					item und	der test are rece	eiving signals that are
Frazier, Howard M		Broadcom						being output." nd J9 jitter are specif	ed with	all co-propagati	ng and counter-
Comment Type	ER Co	mment Status A			propag	ating la	anes active	e, using one of patte	ms 3, 5,	or a valid 40GE	BASE-R or 100GBASE-
In Figure 86-3, to interpret, and			ws that clutter the	ne diagram, are difficult			input lane: g output."	s of the item under t	est are r	eceiving signals	that are asynchronous
SuggestedRemedy					Response			Response Status	С		
Delete the right	angled arrows	S.			ACCE	PT IN F	PRINCIPLE				
Response ACCEPT IN PF Add legend to o the test stimulu	INCIPLE.	ponse Status <b>W</b>	d arrows indica	e the direction in which	diagrai source input la	ms, all s, usin anes of	co-propaga g one of pa the item u	irst paragraph in 86. ating and counter-pr atterns 3, 5, or a vali inder test are receivi	opagatin d 40GB/	ig lanes are acti ASE-R or 100G	ve as crosstalk BASE-R signal. The
CI 86 SC 80	6.8.2	P 290	L 33	# 131	being o						
Hajduczenia, Marek		ZTE Corp.						2 Jitter and J9 Jitter			propagating and patterns 3, 5, or a valid
Comment Type	T Co	mment Status A		Cl85 Cl84							der test are receiving
		ld be also included in th on of what the test point			signals	s that a	re asynchr	onous to those being	g output.		
SuggestedRemedy											
Per comment											
Response	Res	ponse Status <b>C</b>									
Test points for in 71.6.1.	back-plane are	ditor's note: Page and li e adequately defined in ram create table of entri	84.7.1 which re	ferences the base text							

C/ 86 SC 86.8.3.2

C/ 86 SC 86.8.3.3 P292 L16 # 874	C/ 86 SC 86.8.3.3.2 P293 L4 # 362
Petrilla, John Avago Technologies	Frazier, Howard M Broadcom
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> The existing eye diagram definition does not mention the other signal lanes and measurements may be made neglecting these sources of potential crosstalk. There's a similar lack of mention of activating potential crosstalk sources in 86A.5.3.6. Fortunately 86A.5.3.6 refers to 86.8.3.2 and an appropriate remedy for 86.8.3.2 will carry over to 86A.5.3.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Why does the word "normative" appear in the last sentence of this subclause, but not in the parallel sentence of 86.8.3.3.1 SuggestedRemedy Delete "normative".
SuggestedRemedy Insert at the end of the first paragraph in 86.8.3.2, "Whether electrical or optical eye diagrams, all co-propagating and counter-propagating signal lanes in the channel are active	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete "The normative".
as crosstalk sources, using one of patterns 3, 5, or valid 40GBASE-R or 100GBASE-R signals. The input lanes of the item under test are receiving signals that are asynchronous to those being output."	C/     86     SC     86.8.4.3     P 293     L 22     # 130       Hajduczenia, Marek     ZTE Corp.
Response         Response Status         C           ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         See response to comment 301.         C	Comment Type T Comment Status A OMA is as defined in 52.9.5 for measurement with a square wave (8 ones, 8 zeros) test patternchange to read "OMA is as defined in 52.9.5 for measurement with a square wave (see Table 86-12) test pattern"
Cl 86       SC 86.8.3.3       P 292       L 44       # 875         Petrilla, John       Avago Technologies       Avago Technologies         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status A         The existing jitter definitions for J2 and J9 do not mention the other signal lanes and measurements may be made neglecting these sources of potential crosstalk.       SuggestedRemedy         For J2 and J9, insert into 86.8.3.3, "All co-propagating and counter-propagating signal lanes in the channel are active as crosstalk sources, using one of patterns 3, 5, or valid 40GBASE-R4or 100GBASE-R signals. The input lanes of the item under test are receiving signals that are asynchronous to those being output."	SuggestedRemedy         no need to repeat informatuion included already in Table 86-12         Response       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: Page and line numbers reversed]         Want to be sure the reader realises that 8+8 is meant, rather than any other square wave.         Add to the end of the paragraph "See 86.8.2 for test pattern information.".

Response

Response Status **C** 

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 301.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 86 SC 86.8.4.3 Page 174 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:51 AM

Cl 86 SC 8 Petrilla, John	86.8.4.4	P 293 Avago Techno	L 28 blogies	# 876	<i>Cl</i> <b>86</b> Dawe, Pie	SC <b>86.</b> 8 rs J G	3.4.7	P <b>295</b> Independant	L <b>23</b>	# 300
Comment Type	TR Con	nment Status A	Jogloo		Comment		R	Comment Status A		BEI
The existing T 52.9.10 can b Figure 52-12 i sentence, "To procedure sha equipment as diagrams are	TDP definition ref e readily interpre is compulsory. Fo measure the tra all be used." The described above	ers to 52.9.10 with a li ted to yield an unders or example, the Test F nsmitter and dispersion n item a) of the proceed and illustrated in Figue erences but not compu-	tanding that the Procedure (52.9 on penalty (TDP dure declares, " ure 52-12." Sinc	illustrated test setup in 10.4) starts with the ) the following Configure the test e test setups or block	Any P irrespe lanes. Suggested Betwe	MD should ective of the See other <i>dRemedy</i> en d and e BER of all	provide e numb comme , insert	e the same BER performance er of lanes. It doesn't matter l ents for 87 and 88, and for 86 new bullet "The aggregate BI lanes at the same receive O <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	how the error A. ER of the PM	PLS service interface s are divided among the
SuggestedRemed	ły					PT IN PRI	NCIPLE	,		
Add to the list	f of exceptions, "f	) The test setup illustr	ated in Figure 5	2-12 is for example and	See re	esponse to	comme	ent 342		
not compulso <i>Response</i>	Resp	oonse Status <b>C</b>			<i>Cl</i> <b>86</b> Petrilla, Jo	SC 86.8	8.4.7	P <b>295</b> Avago Technol	L <b>27</b> ogies	# 878
f) The test set	tup illustrated in F	I to the list of exceptio Figure 52-12 shows th s may be used with su	e reference me		Comment Item f)	<i>Type</i> <b>T</b> I belongs in		Comment Status A		
C/ <b>86</b> SC a Petrilla, John	86.8.4.4	P <b>293</b> Avago Techno	L <b>34</b> blogies	# 877	Suggested Move		n 86.8.4	.7 to 86.8.4.8.		
	eference receive	nment Status <b>A</b> r bandwidth of 6.1 GH between the test case		ter match (than 6.2 case link at max reach.	<i>Response</i> ACCE Same		nt 560.	Response Status C		
SuggestedRemed	ły				C/ 86	SC 86.8	8.4.7	P 295	L <b>27</b>	# 560
In item d), cha	ange the reference	ce receiver bandwidth	from 6.2 GHz to	o 6.1 GHz.	Anslow, P	eter		Nortel Network	S	
Response ACCEPT.	Resp	oonse Status C				sponse to	comme	Comment Status A ant 190 against Draft 2.2 to in: lied to subclause 86.8.4.7 ins		n f in subclause 86.8.4.8
C/ <b>86</b> SC & Frazier, Howard M	<b>86.8.4.4</b> 1	P 293 Broadcom	L <b>39</b>	# 363	Suggested	-	\ <del></del> .			
Comment Type	TR Con	nment Status A						node-conditioning patch cord 6.8.4.7 to subclause 86.8.4.8		52.5/125 um fiber is not
		P(i) = 0." is redundant.			Response			Response Status C		
SuggestedRemed Replace with	<i>ly</i> "Otherwise TDP(	i) = 0."			ACCE			,		
Response ACCEPT.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	oonse Status W								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 86 SC 86.8.4.7

C/ 86A SC 86A Dawe, Piers J G	P 421 Independant	L <b>6</b>	# 338		<i>Cl</i> <b>86A</b> Ghiasi, Ali	SC 86/	A.4.1	P <b>442</b> Broadcom	L <b>28</b>	# 793
Dawe, Piers J G       Independant         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       R       C/1         We call the MDI, MDI, whatever data rate it supports and however many lanes it has. We don't call it nMDI.       SuggestedRemedy       Change "nPPI" to "PPI" throughout.         SuggestedRemedy       Change "nPPI" to "PPI" throughout.       U       REJECT.         REJECT.       Originally the same name (PPI) was used for both 40G (4-lane) and 100G (10-lane). In response to comment 537 against draft 2.0, XLPPI and CPPI were introduced, and in addition, PPI was renamed to nPPI when referring to either or both.       Comment 63 against D 2.2 proposed to change nPPI back to PPI throughout, but this was not agreed. Response said "This term was inserted in response to comment 537 against draft 2.0. The n represents "C" or "XL" which describes the rate of operation supported by					Gniasi, All       Broadcom         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       LR         To make a future 40GBASE-LR4 module with an unretimed interface feasible, the J2 and J9 limits of the XLPPI interface are proposed to be slightly changed.       A related comment proposes to modify the optical power levels of 40GBASE-LR4.       See king_01_0110.pdf         SuggestedRemedy       In Table 86A-1 change "J2 Jitter output" to "J2 Jitter output for 100GBASE-R" and add a new row above for "J2 Jitter output for 40GBASE-R" with a value of 0.17 UI Max.       In Table 86A-2 change "J2 Jitter tolerance" to "J2 Jitter tolerance for 100GBASE-R" and add a new row for "J2 Jitter tolerance for 40GBASE-R" at "TP1a" with a value of 0.17 UI Max.         In Table 86A-3 change "J9 Jitter output" to "J9 Jitter output for 100GBASE-R" and add a new row above for "J9 Jitter output for 40GBASE-R" with a value of 0.64 UI Max.         In Table 86A-4 change "J9 Jitter tolerance" to "J9 Jitter tolerance for 100GBASE-R" and add a new row above for "J9 Jitter output for 40GBASE-R" with a value of 0.64 UI Max.					
	e number of lanes." le base standard. Figure 1-1 u to different speed MII interface P <b>421</b> Nortel Networks	es L <b>23</b>	term to nPPI with <sup>1</sup> # <u>604</u>	'xMII"	UI Max. See kin Note, th <i>Response</i> ACCEP	g_01_01 here is a r PT IN PRI	10 for f elated NCIPL	or "J9 Jitter tolerance for 40GE further details. comment to increase the optio <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> E. mber changed from 442]		
	Comment Status D ns the electrical specifications ext of 86A.4 has changed to use			d	J9 Jitte	r value fro	om 0.20	A-2 change the J2 Jitter value 6 to 0.29 UI A-4 change the J2 Jitter value		Ū.
side) and then module t specify the transmit side	ains the electrical specifications o host (Rx side)". Also on line e and receive side respectively ule (Tx side) and module to ho <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	51 change "8 of the nPPI"	6A.4.1 and 86A.4.2 to "86A.4.1 and 86	<u>2</u> 6A.4.2	J9 Jitte Change Change A straw Do you A in Tal	the title the title the text poll of th support: bles 86A- bles 86A-	om 0.62 of Anno of 86A e sub-1 1 and 3	2 to 0.65 UI ex 86A to include 40GBASE-L .1 to include 40GBASE-LR4 task force was taken: 86A-2 change the J2 Jitter value 86A-2 leave the J2 Jitter value	R4 ue from 0.18	to 0.17UI

### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/         86A         SC         86A.4.1.1         P 423         L 15         # 365           Frazier, Howard M         Broadcom         Broadcom	C/         86A         SC         86A.4.2         P 424         L 45         #         886           Petrilla, John         Avago Technologies         A						
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>R</b> Why is it necessary to plot a constant in Figure 86A-1? Differential to common-mode input return loss does not vary with frequency, and thus does not need to be plotted. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       LR4         The values of J2 and J9 are not well-aligned with the currently proposed TP4 output TJ(BER=1E-12) = 0.70 UI target. It also appears that lengthly DDJ distribution tails occur with a PRBS31 or similarly long-run-lenght, richly-structured test patterns after passing through a VCSEL and inducing VECP. In these cases a significant portion of the peak-to-peak DDJ in the signal is not included in J2 but is included in J9. This was not fully appreciated when the existing J2 and J9 values were proposed for TP4. Further, there's interest in adjusting nPPI requirements to accommodate 40GBASE-LR4 in small footprint form factors. The J2 and J9 values for TP4 should be changed to reflect expected jitter distributions and reasonably accommodate LR4. The existing J2 and J9 values are based on a dual-Dirac - Gaussian combination where peak-to-peak DJ equals dual-Dirac DJ of 0.328 UI, RJ(@1E-12) = 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.661 UI. The proposed new values are based on an approximate binominal - Gaussion combination where peak-to-peak DJ ~						
Delete the plot of Differential to common-mode input return loss.         Response       Response Status         REJECT.         It helps the reader to compare the various return losses, so he can assess the spec and progress his design. The line costs nothing and takes no space (since it is not on its own chart).							
C/ 86A SC 86A.4.1.1 P423 L17 # 366 Frazier, Howard M Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status A	0.362 UI, RJ(@1E-12) ~ 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) ~ 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and J9 jitter tolerance requirements in Table 86A-4. SuggestedRemedy						
The indication of the "compliant region" in Figure 86A-1 is ambiguous.	In Tables 86A-3 and 86A-4 change J2 from 0.46 to 0.42 and J9 from 0.62 to 0.65.						
SuggestedRemedy         Use shading to indicate the compliant region.         Response       Response Status         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       See response to comment 793         C/ 86A       SC 86A.4.2       P 424       L 47       # 814						
See response to comment 611.	Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom						
	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status A       LR         "During July 2009 plenary petrilla_01_0709 stated "       At TP4, for the combination of J2 (max = 0.46 UI) X1 = 0.11 UI and J9 (max = 0.63 UI), max TJ is estimated at 0.716 UI. This is higher than the expected 0.68 UI and may place too heavy a burden on the downstream receiver. Relief is proposed by reducing max J9 from 0.63 UI to 0.62 UI to yield a max TJ estimate of 0.704 UI."       The premise for the change was not to exceed TJ of 0.7 UI but the current J2=0.46 and J9=0.62 results in TJ of 0.66 UI, this will increase cost of the optics and will make 100Gbase-SR10 implementation more difficult due to the X10 connector. Please set the specification to what was intended.						
	SuggestedRemedy Keep J2 but increase J9 to 0.4. TJ 1E-12 depends on the jitter distribution but for the case of max DJ (32 ps) to hit J2 then TJ=0.7 UI.						
	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 793						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 86A SC 86A.4.2 Page 177 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:51 AM

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Cl 86A SC 86A Anslow, Peter	4.2 P 425 Nortel Netwo	L11 rks	# 605	C/ <b>86A</b> Ganga, Ilango	SC 86A.4.2	P <b>425</b> Intel Corporati	L 19	# 382		
Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A         86A-4 has parameter "Single ended input voltage" but note a says "The single ended input voltage tolerance is"       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       make the note consistent with the parameter.					Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A       H         [Editor's note: Comment 75 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0]       BER is a criterion of tolerance, not a metric of it. It's already stated in 86A.5.3.8.6 and is the same for the whole project so should not be repeated here. Note comment on related issue against 86.7.3 Table 86-8.       H					
Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "The single ended input voltage tolerance is the allowable range of the instantaneous input signals" to "The host is required to tolerate (work correctly with) input signals with instantaneous voltages anywhere in the specified				Also, per D2.0 comment 470: 'ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Need to avoid using "receive" or "receiver" on the transmit path (down the stack, PMA to MDI) or "transmit" or "transmitter" on the receive path (up the stack, MDI to PMA). Change names using the terms host, module, input and output.'						
range.".				"Receiver to "Host inp In footnot happens D2.0 com Make the	36A-4, chang signal toler b, change that the host ment 470). cross-refere 36A-6 and 86	e ance, each lane (BER) - 10-12' rance, each lane, per conditior "host receiver (see 86A.5.3.8). input is a receiver input but we nce into a proper link. SA.5.3.8 consider changing "re	ns below" " to "host input e resolved to us	e "input" and "output" in		
				The name Change t	he name as	Response Status <b>C</b> LE. meter has been changed by c modified by 305 from "Receive rance, interface BER limit"		ce (interface BER)" to		

In Note b change "host receiver" to "host" and make the reference a link.

C/ 86A SC 86A.4.2

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/         86A         SC         86A.4.2         P 425         L 19         # 865           Dudek, Michael         QLogic Corporation         4	C/         86A         SC         86A.4.2         P 425         L 31         # 816           Ghiasi, Ali         Broadcom         B					
Comment Type       T       Comment Status       A       HIST         This is actually 86A. The parameter name doesn't match the spec. The receiver does not have to tolerate an incoming signal BER of 1e-12.       SuggestedRemedy       Change the parameter name to Bit Error Rate each lane.         Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Example 100       Example 100	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status A       LR         "During July 2009 plenary petrilla_01_0709 stated "       At TP4, for the combination of J2 (max = 0.46 UI) X1 = 0.11 UI and J9 (max = 0.63 UI), max TJ is estimated at 0.716 UI. This is higher than the expected 0.68 UI and may place too heavy a burden on the downstream receiver. Relief is proposed by reducing max J9 from 0.63 UI to 0.62 UI to yield a max TJ estimate of 0.704 UI."       The premise for the change was not to exceed TJ of 0.7 UI but the current J2=0.46 and J9=0.62 results in TJ of 0.66 UI, this will increase cost of the optics and will make 100Gbase-SR10 implementation more difficult due to the X10 connector. Please set the					
See response to comment 382 [Editor's note: This comment is against 86A.4.2, hence corrected clause/subclause number fields to 86A]	specification to what was intended. SuggestedRemedy Keep J2 but increase J9 to 0.4. TJ 1E-12 depends on the jitter distribution but for the case of max DJ (32 ps) to hit J2 then TJ=0.7 UI.					
C/ 86A SC 86A.4.2 P425 L25 # 866						
Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation	Response Response Status W					
Comment Type T Comment Status A This is actually 86A. The jitter values are now in a signal description section. They are no longer "tolerance"	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 793					
SuggestedRemedy Delete "tolerance" 3 places.	C/         86A         SC         86A.4.2         P 425         L 33         #         887           Petrilla, John         Avago Technologies         A					
Response Response Status C	Comment Type TR Comment Status R					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: This comment is against 86A.4.2, hence corrected clause/subclause number fields to 86A] As suggested remedy. Also, at line 37 make "86A.5.3.8" a link.	Table 86A-4 declares a DDPWS tolerance for the host input. Unfortunately, DDPWS is only defined for PRBS9 which appears to have little relevance to the actual signal seen at this interface. Since this requirement appears to provide little utility and will likely add burden to the implementer, it should be dropped.					
	SuggestedRemedy In Table 86A-4, delete the DDPWS row.					
	Response Response Status <b>C</b>					

REJECT. DDPWS is one of the most important specs in the table. It is a key indicator of a receivable signal, and a set DDPWS enforces consistency among signal tolerance testers. The use of PRBS9 puts the measurement at a near optimum statistical significance.

C/ 86A SC 86A.4.2

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 86A SC 86A.4.2 P425 L35 # 867	C/ 86A SC 86A.5.1.1.2 P429 L44 # 383					
Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation	Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation					
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A					
This is actually 86A. The section on the set-up of the test (86A.5.3.8.5) refers to this table for the rise/fall times and amplitudes of the calibration crosstalk signal.	[Editor's note: Comment 74 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0]					
SuggestedRemedy	In SFP+ and previously in 86A, HCB-MCB crosstalk was controlled up to 15 GHz. Now 86A					
Add rows to the end of this table. Crosstalk calibration signal amplitude TP1 700mV. Crosstalk calibration signal transition times(20-80) TP1 34ps.	refers to 85.10.9.3 which does not control above 10 GHz. HCB-MCB crosstalk needs to be controlled to a frequency higher than product crosstalk (affects J9, eye, Qsq) according to the roll-off of the aggressor signal. Qsq is observed in a 12 GHz bandwidth.					
Response Response Status C	Also, every other spec in 86A starts at 10 MHz not 50 MHz.					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy					
[Editor's note: This comment is against 86A.4.2, hence corrected clause/subclause number fields to 86A]	Define an appropriate upper end of the frequency range for HCB-MCB crosstalk (for Ann 86A purposes). Define the lower end at 10 MHz (for Annex 86A purposes).					
Add rows to the end of this table (numbers come from Y2 and transition time in Table 86A- 1):	Response Response Status C					
Crosstalk calibration signal VMA TP1a 700 mV Crosstalk calibration signal transition times, 20% to 80% TP1a 28 ps. Add to TP1a Parameter in Table 86A-5 "module receiver compliance crosstalk signal calibration"	Change "The limits on integrated crosstalk noise of the mated HCB and MCB are specified in 85.10.9.3." to "The limits on integrated crosstalk noise of the mated HCB and MCB are specified in 85.10.9.3 with the exception that the frequency range is 0.01 GHz to 12 GHz."					
C/ 86A SC 86A.5.1.1.2 P428 L25 # 339	C/ 86A SC 86A.5.1.1.2 P429 L44 # 340					
Dawe, Piers J G Independant	Dawe, Piers J G Independant					
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> The minimum loss limit for mated HCB and MCB is generally more than the reference HCB and MCB losses, excluding the connector. If a connector has very little loss at some frequency, this is an unwanted constraint that would force the compliance board maker to aim for more than the reference loss.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A In SFP+ and previously in 86A, HCB-MCB crosstalk was controlled up to 15 GHz. Now 86A refers to 85.10.9.3 with a different methodology and new numbers. In D2.3 we agreed to adjust the frequency limits to suit 86A's purposes. But we still need to see how the new limits compare with the old, and if they are tight enough for 86A compliance boards.					
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy					
In Equation 86A-6, change - 0.109 + 0.654 f + 0.12f dB to -0.11 + 0.46 f + 0.16f dB	Compare the ICN specs in Table 85-11 in 0.01 to 15 GHz with the crosstalk spectral limits					
Response Response Status C	in D2.2 Figure 86A-6. If appropriate, provide ICN specs specifically for 86A with suitable limits.					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In Equation 86A-6, change - 0.109 + 0.654sqrt(f) + 0.12f dB to -0.11 + 0.46sqrt(f) + 0.16f	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
dB Make the equivalent change to equation 85-35.	The frequency range has been modified to 0.01 to 12 GHz by comment 383. No evidence has been provided to indicate that the limits in Table 85-11 are inappropriate.					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 86A SC 86A.5.1.1.2

Draft 3.0 Comn	nents		IEEE P	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	ind 100Gb/s	Ethernet of	comments	i		Sponsor ba
C/ 86A SC 86A Anslow, Peter	.5.1.1.2	P 430 Nortel Networks	L <b>7</b>	# 606	C/ <b>86A</b> Dawe, Pier	SC <b>86A.5</b> rs J G	.3.8	P <b>433</b> Independant	L <b>33</b>	# 341
HCB or MCB" has SuggestedRemedy change to "Differe Proposed Response	e label "Differen s "mode" twice v ential to common <i>Respon</i> CEPT IN PRINC	which does not match n-mode conversion lo use Status W IPLE. Change to "D	n the paramet	to HCB or MCB"	Response ACCE In 86A tolerar	nology <i>IRemedy</i> that "Host ele PT IN PRINC	ectrical rece Respo IPLE. e "Host elect	nent Status A iver signal tolerance" onse Status C rical receiver signal t		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
pass filter. To eas with that in 83A.5 with an equivalen 86A.5.3.4, 86A.5. SuggestedRemedy	Comme transition time n e the burden or "The signal wa minimum -3dB 3.5 and 86A.5.3	n implementers, this aveform, eye, and jitte 8 bandwidth of at leas	or observation requirement s er may be mea st 18 GHz." Th		See al Cl 86A Anslow, Pe Comment "86A.5 Suggested	SC 86A.5 eter Type E 5.3.8.1" and "8 IRemedy them links.	382 <b>3.8</b> <i>Comi</i> 36A.5.3.8.6"	P 433 Nortel Network ment Status D should be links	<i>L</i> <b>35</b> <s< td=""><td># 607</td></s<>	# 607
	Repeat in 86A.5	a receiver with an ec 5.3.4, 86A.5.3.5 and use Status <b>C</b>		num -3dB bandwidth of	PROP <i>C</i> / 86A	OSED ACCE SC 86A.5		P433	L <b>40</b>	# 608
the bandwidth tha wrong bandwidth.	t will be used in For DDPWS a		to measure a e implemente	noise (86A.5.3.5) in the r can easily measure in	Suggested	Type <b>E</b> Rx host (PM <i>IRemedy</i>	A) complian	Nortel Network nent Status D ce point" is unclear //A) compliance point	-	

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 86A SC 86A.5		L <b>42</b>	# 342	C/ 86A	SC 86A.5.3.8.6	P <b>437</b>	L <b>25</b>	# 244
Dawe, Piers J G	Independant			Turner, Ed		Gnodal Limited		
	Comment Status A rovide the same BER performan			Comment Table	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> ( 86A-7. Thick vertical	Comment Status <b>D</b> line between cells.		
	number of lanes. It doesn't matte er comments for 86, 87 and 88.	er now the errors	are divided among the	Suggested	lRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Use a	thin vertical line betv	veen cells, as per tables in	other clauses	
	ce is defined at an error ratio of e average of the BER of each la e "each lane".			Proposed PROP	•	Response Status W ditor's note: Clause/subclau	use numbers o	changed]
Response	Response Status C			C/ 86A	SC 86A.6	P <b>437</b>	L <b>41</b>	# 344
ACCEPT IN PRINC	•			Dawe, Pier	rs J G	Independant		
				Comment	Туре <b>т</b> о	Comment Status A		
In addition, in 87.8.	nges shown in dawe_01_0110 6.4 and 88.8.5.4 change "(trans			measu	rement. It then got s	dB limit at low frequencies t scaled up when it should ha sently 200 MHz) moved dow	ve remained a	
"(transmit and recei	n optical filter to separate the lar ive), each lane is tested individu	ne under test from Ially using an opti	cal filter to separate	Suggested		. ,		
the lane under test its own." Add to the	from the others, and the BER of end of the first paragraph of 87	f 1 x 1012 is for	the lane under test on	Chang	•	0.2 to 0.11 (twice). If there is similarly.	s an equivalei	nt limit in 85 or 85A (I
the lane under test	on its own."			Response	R	Response Status <b>C</b>		
CI 86A SC 86A.5	.3.8.2 P 434	L <b>2</b>	# 609		PT IN PRINCIPLE.			
Anslow, Peter	Nortel Netwo	rks	-	In equ	ation 86A-15, change	e 0.682 to 0.5, and 0.2 to 0	.11 (twice).	
Comment Type E "looking looking" is	Comment Status D needless repetition							
SuggestedRemedy Delete one "looking	'n							
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W							
C/ <b>86A</b> SC <b>86A.5</b> Dawe, Piers J G	.3.8.3 P 435 Independant	L1	# 343					
Comment Type E Apparent blank line	Comment Status D							
SuggestedRemedy Remove any blank	line or reduce white space in fig	ure.						
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 86A SC 86A.6

CI <b>86A</b> Dawe, Piers	SC <b>86A.6</b> s J G	P <b>438</b> Independant	L <b>26</b>	# 345	C/ <b>86A</b> Hajduczen	SC 86A.8.2.2 ia, Marek	2 <b>P 440</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>47</b>	# 118
MHz ar loss alc At 10 M loss is l 0.79 dE complia connec spec is frequen	commended minir ad 1 GHz, is both one. It is difficult to 1Hz the HCB refei like the MCB loss 3 at 1 GHz. With p ance at 10 MHz (t tor and HCB unlik to damp reflectio ncy spec is not ne	Comment Status <b>A</b> hum of 0 dB for the host PCE harmful and unnecessary. Be o imagine that the host PCB a rence loss is 0.041 while at 1 but scaled to 3 dB at 7 GHz practical measurement uncert rying to measure 0.1 dB), and lely to be 1.2 dB) at 1 GHz. If ns, the return loss specs are cessary.	elow 2.5 GHz i and connector GHz it is abou it would be 0.0 ainty, it would pointless (ga the intention	t is less than the HCB have gain! ut 0.42 dB. If the PCB 06 dB at 10 MHz and be difficult to show in of host PCB, of the minimum loss	Suggested Per co Response REJEC revers IEEE \$ 802.3a	Std 802.3ba-20x IRemedy Imment CT. [Editor's note		changed, page	
	,	= f <= 1". Consider changing	from -0.5 + 0.9	5f, 1 to 7 GHz, to -0.22	<i>Cl</i> <b>86A</b> Dambrosia	SC <b>86A.8.3</b> a, John	P <b>441</b> Force 10 Netw	L 12 orks Inc	# 685
Delete	PT IN PRINCIPLE the row "0 0.01 e from -0.5 + 0.5f,		0.01 to 7 GHz	<u>.</u>	Suggested	g shall statemen	Comment Status R ts for MO, HO, MD		
Cl 86A Dudek, Mic	SC <b>86A.6</b>	P <b>438</b> QLogic Corpora	L <b>34</b>	# 868	Response		Response Status W		
Comment 7 This is for the "withou Suggestedf	<i>Type</i> <b>T</b> actually 86A. In c Host PCB, conne t connector"	Comment Status <b>A</b> ontext where this is following ctor and HCB it would clarify	immediately a		which require this ite In the	options have bee ement. Consequ m.	MD are included in the PICS en implemented rather than to ently it is not appropriate to ha is no "shall" statement corres	confirm compl ave a "shall" st	iance with a particular atement in the text for
Change [Editor's		Response Status <b>C</b> 		ise/subclause number					

CI 86A SC 86A.8.3

Draft 3.0 Co	mments
--------------	--------

### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/         86A         SC         86A.8.4.1         P 441         L 31         #         686           Dambrosia, John         Force 10 Networks Inc         Forc	C/         87         P 324         L 10         # 240           Turner, Edward J         Gnodal Limited         40
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> Missing shall statements for SF2, d, sf3, AND sf4.	Comment Type E Comment Status D Table 87-13. Thick vertical line between cells.
add shall statements	SuggestedRemedy Use a thin vertical line between cells, as per tables in other clauses
esponse Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete SF2, as there are no PPI-specific management functions, and management can	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
control the associated PMD or PMA. In 86A.1, change "The Delay and Skew requirements for nPPI are as in 86.3." to "The nPPI shall comply with the Delay, Skew and Skew Variation requirements in 86.3." Delete SF4, as the PMD functional specifications in 86.5 do not place any requirements on	C/       87       SC       87       P 324       L 53       # 250         Turner, Edward J       Gnodal Limited       Gnodal Limited       E       Comment Status       D         Single quote marks are used, whereas elsewhere double quote marks are used.       D       E       Comment Status       D
the nPPI. // 86A SC 86A.8.4.3 P442 L 44 # 684	SuggestedRemedy Use double quote marks. Also at line 54 on the same page, and on page 325 at lines 15 and 16.
ambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc omment Type TR Comment Status A missing shall statements for SEM2, SEM3, and SEM4	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
uggestedRemedy Add SHALL statement	C/         87         SC         87.1         P 307         L 13         # 565           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The shall statement for SEM2 is: Page 426 line 50 "If boards are used which do not match the specifications given, the measurement results for nPPI shall be corrected for the differences".	Comment Type       E       Comment Status       A         Since clause 87 has a single PMD type, the title of Table 87-1 "PMD type and associated clauses" seems inappropriate.       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       Change title to "Clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD"
No change needed The shall statement for SEM3 is: Page 427 line 1 "with differential insertion loss outside the limits given in 86A.5.1.1.2, such boards shall not be used." Change "Individual insertion losses within spec" to "Individual insertion losses per	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment 498 has changed the title of this table to: "Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD"
86A.5.1.1.2" The shall statement for SEM4 is: Page 427 line 1 "Boards that do not meet the specifications for mated HCB-MCB in 86A.5.1.1.2 shall not be used." Change "Mated HCB-MCB within spec" to "Mated HCB-MCB per 86A.5.1.1.2"	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 87 SC 87.1

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 87 SC 87.12.3 Dambrosia, John	P <b>331</b> L <sup>-1</sup> Force 10 Networks In		C/ 87         SC 87.12.4         P 332         L 2         # 570           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks         570
Comment Type <b>TR</b> No corresponding SH	Comment Status R ALL statements for XLTP1 and XLTP4	i i	Comment Type E Comment Status D In the title, "types 40GBASE-LR4" should be "type 40GBASE-LR4"
SuggestedRemedy add shall statements			SuggestedRemedy Change "types 40GBASE-LR4" to "type 40GBASE-LR4"
Response REJECT.	Response Status <b>W</b>		Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
implemented, rather t	e included in the PICS to record which han to confirm compliance with a parti appropriate to have a shall statement	cular requirement.	C/         87         SC         87.12.4.1         P 332         L 10         # 666           Dambrosia, John         Force 10 Networks Inc         Force 10 Networks Inc<
C/ <b>87</b> SC <b>87.12.3</b> Dambrosia, John	P <b>331</b> L: Force 10 Networks In		Comment Type TR Comment Status A No corresponding SHALL statements for XLF1 and XLF2
Comment Type <b>TR</b> No corresponding SH	Comment Status R ALL statement to MD PIC		SuggestedRemedy add shall statements
confirm compliance w	t Response Status <b>W</b> PICS to record which options have be ith a particular requirement. Conseque th in the text for this item.		Response         Response Status         W           ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         XLF2 (integration of management functions) is included in the PICS to record which option have been implemented, rather than to confirm compliance with a particular requirement. Consequently it is not appropriate to have a shall statement in the text for this item.           Comment 498 has modified the second sentence of 87-1 to be "When forming a complete Physical Layer, a PMD shall be connected to the appropriate PMA as shown in Table 87-1
C/ 87 SC 87.12.3 Dambrosia, John	P 331 LO Force 10 Networks In		to the medium through the MDI and to the management functions that are optionally accessible through the management interface defined in Clause 45, or equivalent."
Comment Type <b>TR</b> No corresponding SH	Comment Status R ALL statements for LR4, INS		see response to 673
SuggestedRemedy add shall statements			
which options have b	Response Status <b>U</b> INS are all included in the PICS table f een implemented rather than to confirr juently it is not appropriate to have a "s	n compliance with a particular	

C/ 87 SC 87.12.4.1

C/         87         SC         87.12.4.2         P 333         L 6         # 667           Dambrosia, John         Force 10 Networks Inc         Force 10 Networks Inc </td <td>C/         87         SC         87.12.4.4         P 334         L 19         # 663           Dambrosia, John         Force 10 Networks Inc         Force 10 Networks Inc&lt;</td>	C/         87         SC         87.12.4.4         P 334         L 19         # 663           Dambrosia, John         Force 10 Networks Inc         Force 10 Networks Inc<					
Comment Type TR Comment Status A No corresponding SHALL statements for XLM1	Comment Type TR Comment Status A No corresponding SHALL statement for XLOM7					
SuggestedRemedy add shall statements	SuggestedRemedy add shall statement					
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 87.4 change "Mapping of MDIO control variables to PMD control variables is shown in Table 87-2. Mapping of MDIO status variables to PMD status variables is shown in Table 87-3" to "If the MDIO interface is implemented, the mapping of MDIO control variables to PMD	Response       Response Status       W         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       In 87.8.8 change "The RIN measurement methodology is defined in"         to "The RIN measurement methodology shall be as defined in".         see also comment 669					
control variables shall be as shown in Table 87-2 and the mapping of MDIO status variables to PMD status variables shall be as shown in Table 87-3".	C/ 87         SC 87.12.4.6         P 335         L 8         # 664           Dambrosia, John         Force 10 Networks Inc         Force 10 Networks Inc         Force 10 Networks Inc					
see also comment 674	Comment Type TR Comment Status A No corresponding SHALL statement for XLOC2					
C/         87         SC         87.12.4.4         P 334         L 15         # 662           Dambrosia, John         Force 10 Networks Inc	SuggestedRemedy add shall statement					
Comment Type TR Comment Status A No corresponding SHALL statement for XLOM5 SuggestedRemedy add shall statement	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The normative requirements on the channel are contained in Table 87-14 with associated PICS entry XLOC1. Subclause 87.11.1 lists fibre types that meet these requirements.					
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 87.8.5 change "OMA is defined in" to "OMA shall be as defined in".	Remove PICS entry XLOC2. see also 671					
see also comment 668						

C/ 87 SC 87.12.4.6

	P308	L <b>42</b>	# 303	C/ 87	SC 87.6	P313	L 38	# 122
Dawe, Piers J G	Independant	L 72	# 505	Hajduczeni		ZTE Corp.	230	π 122
omment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment 7		Comment Status A		
40GBASE-LR4, draft parameters are undef IS_UNITDATA_i.indic SIGNAL_DETECT = I there is no specificatio actions" includes a CI	ervice interface should be like th says "When SIGNAL_DETECT ined, but consequent actions inf ation as a logic zero." while 52. FAIL, PMD_UNITDATA.indication on for consequent actions; this i DR, which needs transitions. Th	=FAIL, the IS_ terpret 1.1.3.1 says sin on(rx_bit) is union s deliberate, as ere is no require	UNITDATA_i.indication mply "When defined.". Note that s the "consequent	associa receivir	ate a particular ng lanes in any r PCS lanes? Remedy	e Note to read as follows: NO electrical lane with a particula arrangement. Also, clarify wh	ar optical lane, as	the PCS is capable of
(Editorial: should have	e been "a zero" not "a logic zero	".)		Response		Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy Delete "but conseque IS_UNITDATA_i.indic the electrical PMDs. Response ACCEPT.	nt actions interpret ation as a logic zero" here and i <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	n 88.2. There i	s another comment for	[Editor' For 400 lanes, s Change particul arrange	GBASE-LR4 th so there is no r e "NOTE-There ar optical lane ement." to "NO	nd line numbers reversed] the physical lanes have a one t need to distinguish between th the is no requirement to modula , as the PCS is capable of rec TE-There is no requirement to	em. te a particular ele eiving with the la o associate a par	ectrical lane on to a ines in any ticular electrical lane
87 SC 87.5.4 udek, Michael	P <b>311</b> QLogic Corpora Comment Status <b>A</b>	L <b>41</b> ation	# <u>841</u>		o comment 12	al lane, as the PCS is capable		es in any anangement.
51	to the signal detect requiremen	ts						
SuggestedRemedy	e first sentence. "that meet the		f table 87-4"					
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP [Editor's note: This co accordingly] Table 87-4 does not p SIGNAL_DETECT fur Change "SIGNAL_DE all four lanes." to "SIG	Response Status C LE. mment is against 87.5.4, hence blace requirements on the optica nction. TECT shall be a global indicato GNAL_DETECT shall be a globa	updated the s Il signals, but r r of the presen I indicator of th	ubclause number field ather on the ce of optical signals on the presence of optical					
signals on all four land according to the cond See also comment 84	es. The value of the SIGNAL_D itions defined in Table 87-4." 6	ETECT param	eter shall be generated					

C/ 87 SC 87.6

C/ 87 SC 87.7 Ghiasi, Ali	.1 P314 Broadcom	L <b>30</b>	# 792	<i>Cl</i> <b>87</b> Dawe, Pie	SC <b>87.7.1</b> rs J G	P <b>314</b> Independant	L <b>42</b>	# 304		
transmitter power together with an it	40GBASE-LR4 module with an un levels of 40GBASE-LR4 are propo ccrease of the maximum TDP by C at proposes to change the J2 and a	osed to be incre 0.3 dB.	ased by 0.3 dB,	Suggested Consid	mit seems dema IRemedy	Comment Status <b>A</b> anding, especially for QSFP mo DP max from 2.3 to 2.5 dB, with		changes to other		
SuggestedRemedy In Table 87-7 cha Total average lau	nge: nch power (max) from 8.3 to 8.6 dl			Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI sponse to comm	Response Status <b>C</b> LE.				
Average launch power, each lane (max) from 2.3 to 2.6 dBm Average launch power, each lane (min) from -7 to -6.7 dBm Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA), each lane (max) from 3.5 to 3.8 dBm Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA), each lane (min) from -4 to -3.7 dBm Launch power in OMA minus TDP, each lane (min) from -4.8 to -4.5 dBm Transmitter and dispersion penalty (TDP), each lane (max) from 2.3 to 2.6 dB				Cl <b>87</b> Dudek, Mir <i>Comment</i> The hi	Type <b>TR</b>	P 314 QLogic Corpora Comment Status R e mask is not defined.	L <b>54</b> ation	# 842		
RIN20OMA (max) In Table 87-8 cha Damage threshol Average receive p	RIN20OMA (max) from -128 to -130 dB/Hz In Table 87-8 change: Damage threshold (min) from 3.3 to 3.6 dBm Average receive power, each lane (max) from 2.3 to 2.6 dBm				SuggestedRemedy Add a footnote to the transmitter eye mask definition. Footnote to say "The eye mask is defined at a 5 e-5 hit ratio".					
Receive power, e Receiver sensitivi	ower, each lane (min) from -13.7 t ach lane (OMA) (max) from 3.5 to ty (OMA), each lane (max) from -9 re penalty, each lane from 1.6 to 1 nge:	3.8 dBm .9 to -9.6 dBm		Response Response Status W REJECT. [Editor's note: This comment is against 87.7.1, hence updated the subclause number fie accordingly]						
Power budget (for Allocation for pen See king_01_011	max TDP) from 9 to 9.3 dB alties (for max TDP) from 2.3 to 2. D.pdf for further details.					on and methodology is reference es the 5 e-5 hit ratio.	ced from 87.1.	1. It points to section		
Note, there is a re <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRIN	lated comment to modify the J2 a <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> CIPLE.	nd J9 values for	the XLPPI interfaces.	C/ <b>87</b> Hajduczen <i>Comment</i>		P1 ZTE Corp. Comment Status D	L 314	# 126		
In Table 87-7 cha Transmitter and d	nge: ispersion penalty (TDP), each lane	e (max) from 2.3	to 2.6 dB	is con of 2m	sidered compliar to 10km).change	e to read"is considered complia quirement of 2m to 10km."				
	nge: sensitivity (OMA), each lane (max re penalty, each lane from 1.6 to 1		.6 dBm		mment, no need	d to hide the example in braces				
	nge: max TDP) from 9 to 9.3 dB alties (for max TDP) from 2.3 to 2.	6 dB			, OSED REJECT	Response Status W g, and current text follows formation	at of clause 52	2		
Add a row to Tabl	e 87-1 to show clause 86A as opti	onal.								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 87 SC 87.7.2

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 87         SC 87.7.2         P11         L 315           Hajduczenia, Marek         ZTE Corp.		<i>CI <b>87</b></i> Anslow, Pe	SC 87.8.1 eter	P <b>316</b> Nortel Netw	L <b>49</b> orks	# 566
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>R</b> Table 87-8 is missing a Type column, which would include information of		<i>Comment</i> In Tab		Comment Status D	be 82.2.10	
given value is max/min or otherwise. See e.g. tables in clause 86 or oth comparisonSimilar comment against Table 87-7, page 314/17	ers for	Suggested		·	~~~~~	
SuggestedRemedy Per comment	1	Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
Response Response Status C		FROF		1.		
REJECT. The description column clearly says whether the parameter is a max or table only has one parameter for which there is both a minimum and ma	min spec and this	<i>CI <b>87</b></i> Anslow, Pe	SC 87.8.1 eter	P <b>317</b> Nortel Netw	L <b>22</b> orks	# 567
specification.	(	Comment		Comment Status A		
C/ 87         SC 87.7.2         P 315         L 43           Dudek, Michael         QLogic Corporation	# 843		ty calibration" do	ms "Calibration of OMA for r o not have an entry in the "Re		,
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Stressed Eye Jitter used in this clause appears to be the same as J2 us		Suggested Make	•	8.11" Also applies to Table 8	8-11.	
is a more descriptive name. SuggestedRemedy Change Stressed eye jitter to J2 throughout this clause.	I	Response ACCE		Response Status C		
Response Response Status W	(	C/ 87	SC 87.8.11	P <b>320</b>	L17	# 305
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: This comment is against 87.7.2 the subclause number field accordingly]	z, nence upualeu	Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i>		Independan Comment Status A	t	
In Table 87-8, and in note e, change "Stressed eye jitter " to "Stressed		Any P irrespe	MD should prov	ide the same BER performa nber of lanes. It doesn't mat	ter how the errors	
Make equivalent changes in 87.8.11.2, 87.8.11.3 and 88.8.10				ment for 86.8.4.7 and 86A.5	3.8.1.	
In Table 88-8, and in note f, change "Stressed eye jitter " to "Stressed			second paragra	ph of 87.8.11 change "For e		
In 86.8.4.7 change "J2 and J9 specifications" to "J2 Jitter and J9 Jitter s	specifications"	not un section operat PMD r the str aggreg stress	nder test also in n in operation of tion.". At the end receiver is the a ressed receiver gate BER does red receiver sens	nsmit section in operation or operation." to "The BER of e n all four lanes and with the d of the first paragraph of 87 verage of the BER of all reco sensitivity (OMA) specified in not exceed 10^-12". In Table sitivity (OMA), delete "each I MA) entries in both tables.	each lane is define receive lanes not .8.11 insert "The a eive lanes at the s n Table 87-8, a co e 87-8 and Table 8	d with the transmit under test also in aggregate BER of the ame receive OMA. At mpliant receiver's 88-8, entries for
	I	Response	i -	Response Status C		
			PT IN PRINCIP			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line CI 87 Page 189 of 199 SC 87.8.11 1/28/2010 6:39:51 AM

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 87 SC 87.8.11.1 Dawe, Piers J G	P <b>320</b> Independant	L <b>42</b>	# 306	CI <b>87</b> S Dawe, Piers J	C <b>87.8.11.2</b> G	P <b>323</b> Independant	L1	# 309
Comment Type <b>T</b> "the data rate" (40 Gb/s	Comment Status A or 100 Gb/s) is irrelevant here			Comment Type The fractio		Comment Status R eated by the filter has an impo	ortant effect of	n SRS stress.
SuggestedRemedy Change to "the signalin	g rate". Also 87.8.11.2 bullet 3.			<i>SuggestedRen</i> Change "s		ted" to "is created".		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response REJECT. Current tex	t follows clau	Response Status <b>C</b> se 52, see 52.9.9.2		
C/ 87 SC 87.8.11.1 Dawe, Piers J G	P 320 Independant	L <b>48</b>	# 307		C 87.8.11.2	P 323	L15	# 310
Comment Type <b>T</b> Too many "should"s all	Comment Status A			Comment Type	e T	Comment Status <b>A</b> w uncertainty.		
SuggestedRemedy Change "should be less the 0.25 UI.	than 0.25 UI" to "should be lea	ss than 0.25 L	JI". Consider reducing	SuggestedRen				
0.25 UI peak-to-peak jit to "Some residual noise a	nd jitter from all sources is una			Change the "The result shrinkage. to	ing stressed	Response Status <b>C</b> s modified by comment 794 f eye conformance signal shoul	d have at leas	·
C/ 87 SC 87.8.11.1	P <b>320</b>	L <b>49</b>	# 308	<i>Cl</i> <b>87</b> S Dudek, Michae	C 87.8.11.2	P <b>323</b> QLogic Corpora	L <b>26</b> ation	# 845
test pattern it's not data SuggestedRemedy	Independant <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> s should be minimal, and short endent effects should be minim <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	·		SuggestedRen Change to Response ACCEPT I [Editor's no field accord	elength the ac nedy "set to the re N PRINCIPLE ote: This comi dingly] et to the requ	ment is against 87.8.11.2, her red OMA as described" to "se	as described" nce updated th	he subclause number

C/ 87 SC 87.8.11.2 Page 190 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:51 AM

C leave the draft as it is

Result A 5 B 1 C 0

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 87 SC 87.8.11.3 Dawe, Piers J G	P 323 Independant	L <b>34</b>	# 311	Cl <b>87</b> SC <b>8</b> Hajduczenia, Marel		P <b>317</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>53</b>	# 127
Comment Type E Co. Clean SuggestedRemedy	mment Status D			OMA is as defi	ned in 52.9.5 for n to read "OMA is a			ones, 8 zeros) test t with a square wave
clean				SuggestedRemedy	,			
Proposed Response Res	ponse Status W					ncluded already in T	able 87-11	
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Response	Respor	nse Status <b>C</b>		
(follows clause 52 format) <i>Cl</i> 87 SC 87.8.11.4 Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment Type</i> TR Co	P <b>324</b> Broadcom mment Status <b>A</b>	L14	# 794			line number reverse 2.9.5, there is an e		noted in the same
Stress receiver sensitivity tes 0.05 UI to 0.15 UI. Defining th will not be consistent and high Why do we need to carry this CL86A already take advantag SuggestedRemedy propose to set SJ to 0.05 UI a	e stress receiver sensit ner amount of SJ will pe 10 years old legacy wh e of this?	tivity with so mu enalize the receiv en test equipme	ch slop means the test ver for no good reason. ent where arcade and	Anslow, Peter Comment Type For the measu that the BER o the bits of all of	rements of TDP at f 1E-12 should be f the lanes togethe	measured for the b	36, 87 and 88 cla	# 568 arification is needed ider test and not for
	, ,			SuggestedRemedy				
ResponseResponse StatusCACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.In Table 87-13: change " $2x10^{5}/f + S - 0.05$ " to " $2x10^{5}/f$ " also change " $0.05 \le S \le 0.15$ " to " $0.05$ " Remove footnote a				In 87.8.6.4 and 88.8.5.4 change "(transmit and receive), and each lane is tested individu using an optical filter to separate the lane under test from the others." to "(transmit and receive), each lane is tested individually using an optical filter to separate the lane unde test from the others, and the BER of 1 x 1012 is for the lane under test on its own." Ad the end of the first paragraph of 87.8.11 "The BER is required to be met for the lane unde test on its own." Add an additional exception in 86.8.4.4 "f) The BER of 1 x 1012 is for the lane under te on its own."				
Modify the procedure for stres		measurement i	n 87.8.11 as shown in	below 1 x 101	2 for the lane und	ler test on its own".		
king_02_0110 with editorial lie	cence			Response	Respor	nse Status C		
See also comment 790				ACCEPT IN P	RINCIPLE.			
A straw poll of the sub-task fo A make changes as outlined B In Table 87-13: change "0.0	n king_02_0110	5 <= S <= 0.08"		See response	o comment 342			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 87 SC 87.8.6.4 Page 191 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:51 AM

Draft 3.0 Com	ments		IEEE P	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/	s Ethernet co	omments			Sponsor ballot
<i>Cl</i> <b>87</b> SC <b>87.</b> Dudek, Michael	.8.7	P <b>319</b> QLogic Corpo	L 33 pration	# 844	<i>Cl</i> <b>88</b> Dambrosi	SC 88.12.3 a, John		P 356 Force 10 Net	L <b>6</b> works Inc	# 672
Comment Type E Two "tables"	E Con	nment Status D			Comment No co	51		ent Status <b>R</b> ents for LR4, ER4	, INS, CTP1, CTF	24
SuggestedRemedy delete one					<i>Suggeste</i> add s	<i>dRemedy</i> hall statements				
Proposed Response	e Resp	oonse Status W			Response	<del>)</del>	Respon	se Status C		
PROPOSED AC subclause numb			nt is against 87.8	5.7, hence updated the		entries LR4, ER4				S table for the purpose
Cl 87 SC 87. Anslow, Peter	.8.7	P <b>319</b> Nortel Networ	L <b>33</b> ks	# 569	a part the te	ticular requirem	ent. Conseq is.	uently it is not app	propriate to have	nfirm compliance with a "shall" statement in LR, ER, etc. in the
Comment Type E "Table" twice in "		nment Status <b>D</b> e Table 877"			claus	e 52 PICS.				
SuggestedRemedy Change to "giver	n in Table 87	7"			<i>CI</i> <b>88</b> Dambrosi	SC <b>88.12.4</b> a, John	.1	P <b>357</b> Force 10 Net	L 10 works Inc	# 673
Proposed Response PROPOSED AC	e Resp	oonse Status W			Comment No co			ent Status <b>A</b> ents for CF1 and (	CF2.	
	-	D 25 4	/ 45	# 0.17	Suggeste					
CI 88 SC 88. Nikolich, Paul	.11.3	P <b>354</b> YAS Broadba	L <b>45</b> nd Ventu	# 347		hall statements		o		
	rr Con	nment Status R			Response	, EPT IN PRINCII		se Status W		
Examples of an I	MDI include the	e following:a) Connec e in the 802.3 Standa		tail, b) PMD receptacle ot find a definition or a	CF2 ( of rec with a	Integration with ording whether	managemer this option h	as been implemei	nted rather than to	S table for the purpose o confirm compliance all" statement in the
SuggestedRemedy						or this item.				
Add a definition of	or appropriate	references for a "con	nectorized fiber	pigtail."						en forming a complete s shown in Table 88-1,
Response REJECT. [Editor's note: Su		oonse Status W ged from "88.11.3 Me	edium Depender	nt Inter" to "88.11.3"]	to the	medium throug	h the MDI a	nd to the manage ent interface defin	ment functions th	nat are optionally
has been used ir	n five clauses		(52, 53, 58, 59,	out further definition. It 60) and also in clause						

C/ 88 SC 88.12.4.1 Page 192 of 199 1/28/2010 6:39:52 AM

### IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 88         SC 88.12.4.2         P 358         L 6         # 674           Dambrosia, John         Force 10 Networks Inc	C/         88         SC         88.12.4.5         P 359         L 22         # 572           Anslow, Peter         Nortel Networks
Comment Type TR Comment Status A No corresponding SHALL statements for CM1.	Comment Type E Comment Status D For COM9 the subclause should be 88.8.10 not 88.8.9
SuggestedRemedy Add shall statements	SuggestedRemedy Change 88.8.9 to 88.8.10
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 88.4 change: "Mapping of MDIO control variables to PMD control variables is shown in	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. See also comment 670.
Table 88-2. Mapping of MDIO status variables to PMD status variables is shown in Table 88-3." to: "If the MDIO interface is implemented, the mapping of MDIO control variables to PMD control variables shall be as shown in Table 88-2 and the mapping of MDIO status variables to PMD status variables shall be as shown in Table 88-3."	C/       88       SC       88.12.4.5       P 359       L 22       #       670         Dambrosia, John       Force 10 Networks Inc       Force 10 Networks Inc       Force 10 Networks Inc       Force 10 Networks Inc         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A         The subclause reference for COM9 appears to be incorrect as it should be to Stressed
1       88       SC 88.12.4.5       P 359       L 12       # 668         ambrosia, John       Force 10 Networks Inc          omment Type       TR       Comment Status       A         No corresponding SHALL statements for COM4           uggestedRemedy	Receiver Sensitivity, i.e 88.8.10 SuggestedRemedy correct subclause reference to 88.8.10 Response Response Status W ACCEPT. See also comment 572.
add shall statement Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 88.8.4 change "OMA is as defined in" to "OMA shall be as defined in"	C/     88     SC     88.12.4.7     P 360     L 8     # 671       Dambrosia, John     Force 10 Networks Inc       Comment Type     TR     Comment Status A
Cl 88       SC 88.12.4.5       P 359       L 18       # 669         Dambrosia, John       Force 10 Networks Inc         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A         No corresponding SHALL statement for COM7         SuggestedRemedy         add shall statement         Response       Response Status       W         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       In 88.8.7 change "The RIN measurement methodology is as defined in" to "The RIN measurement methodology shall be as defined in"	No corresponding SHALL statement for COC2, SuggestedRemedy add shall statement Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The normative requirements on the channel are contained in Table 88-14 with associated PICS entry COC1. Subclause 88.11.1 lists fibre types that meet these requirements. Remove PICS entry COC2.

C/ 88 SC 88.12.4.7

C/ <b>88</b> SC <b>88.3.1</b> Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>339</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>6</b>	# 119	C/ <b>88</b> Hajduczeni	SC <b>88.3.2</b> a, Marek	P <b>339</b> ZTE Corp.	L10	# 120
<i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> in some of the clauses t locations there are refer 29/41,(2) bit time used o 225/4, 225/5, 237/27, 22	Comment Status <b>A</b> there are references to units rences to units of "bit times"( on 365/23, 365/26, 365/29, 3 27/28, 237/31, 237/32,	1) BT (bit times	s) used on 363/23,	in the f Suggested	kt in 88.3.2 is clo orm a table. <i>Remedy</i>	Comment Status R ear, but it is always better to kew requirements into all clau		
uggestedRemedy			we are to d	contair	n similar textual	description to 88.3.2		
Ũ	ation across clauses. The us	se of "BI" is su	ggested.	Response		Response Status C		
Response Response Status <b>C</b> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's Note: Page changed from 6 to 339 and Line changed from 339 to 6] In clause 4 (29/41) the format used matches that used in NOTE 4 in the base standard. Likewise, in clause 4A (363/23) the format used matches that used in NOTE 1 to NOTE 3 in the base standard. Also, in clause 74 "BT" is used to be consistent with clause 74 in the base standard. The remainder of the draft uses "bit time".				The sk each o individu "If the	s Note: Page cl ew requirement f the clauses wh ual requirement PMD service int red, then the Sh	hanged from 10 to 339 and L s are presented in a table in here skew requirements are of s have conditions that must herface is physically instantiative were at SP2 is" this is best	subclause 80.5 called out. Howe called out. Howe be fulfilled before ted so that the S	which is referenced in ever, many of the the limit applies, e.g. kew at SP2 can be
	consistency in whether the te t time" has 335 ocurrences a			<i>Cl</i> <b>88</b> Dudek, Mic	SC <b>88.5.4</b> hael	P <b>341</b> QLogic Corp	L <b>46</b> oration	# 846
Change all ocurrences of Clause 81 - 2 instances				Comment There	51	Comment Status <b>A</b> to the signal detect requirem	ents	
Clause 82 - 1 instance Clause 84 - 1 instance Clause 85 - 1 instance				Suggested Insert a		e first sentence. "that meet th	e requirements o	of table 88-4"
Clause 86 - 3 instances Clause 87 - 2 instances				Response		Response Status C		
Clause 87 - 2 Instances Clause 88 - 2 instances			ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: Subclause changed from 88 to 88.5.4.] Table 88-4 does not place requirements on the optical signals, but rather on the SIGNAL_DETECT function.					
				all four signals	lanes." to "SIG on all four lane	TECT shall be a global indica NAL_DETECT shall be a glo s. The value of the SIGNAL_	bal indicator of the DETECT param	he presence of optical

See also comment 841

according to the conditions defined in Table 88-4."

C/ 88 SC 88.5.4

## IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 88 SC 88.5.8 Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>342</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>43</b>	# 123	<i>CI <b>88</b></i> Karocki, Pi	SC 88.6 iotr	Р <b>343</b> TBD Polska	L	# 753
(optional)."Comment app the call to "lane-by-lane".	Comment Status A bclause 88.5.8 to read"PME blicable to 342/42, 228/15, 2 . Some clauses use "lane by sistently. Scrub the draft as	42/7, 285/32, 3′ / lane", some "la	12/37, 342/43(2) Unify	My kno nm. No distance	88.5 and table 8 owledge is too ow, in 100 Gb/s ce and such pa ength, why E? I	Comment Status R 88.7. small to be sure, but 10 gigabit s, E and L has same wavelengh rameters as sensitivity of recei thought that E means extra lor	nts, and only d ver (table 88.8	ifference is maximum ). But, if same
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	nged from 43 to 342 and Lin	e changed from	n 342 to 43]	cannot In the for long This no Ganga The no Straw The ta • Leav • Char LR4-E Result All in ti	CT. the 100GBASE t be distinguish 40GBASE and g wavelength. omenclature wa 202_0508 and omenclature wa Poll #1: isk force was as re the nomenclating the nomenclating the nomenclating the nomenclating the nomenclating	Response Status <b>C</b> E-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PM ed by means of a letter indicati 100GBASE nomenclature as e as adopted by the task force in Motion #2 in May 2008 minute as further discussed in July 200 sked to indicate a preference be ature unchanged; clature to one of 100GBASE-LF anged - 25, Change - 25 ged - 26, Change - 26	ng wavelength explained in 80 May 2008 (Se s). 9 with the follo etween the opi	i. .1.4 the L does not stand e slide 8 of owing result: tions:

See also comment 391.

CI 88 SC 88.6

Draft 3.0 Comment	S
-------------------	---

C/ 88 SC 88.6 Hajduczenia, Marek	P <b>343</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>47</b>	# 121	C/ 88 SC 88.7 Dawe, Piers J G	P <b>344</b> Independant	L <b>8</b>	# 312
associate a particula	Comment Status <b>A</b> e Note to read as follows: NOTI r electrical lane with a particular y arrangement. Also, clarify what	optical lane, as	the PCS is capable of	Comment Type E Title says "100GB/ SuggestedRemedy Change title	Comment Status <b>D</b> ASE-LR4 operating range" yet tabl	e covers 100G	BASE-ER4 also.
SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI	Response Status C			Change the title of	Response Status W EPT IN PRINCIPLE. Table 88-6 from "100GBASE-LR4 R4 operating ranges"	operating rang	ge" to "100GBASE-LR4
These lanes are clea Change "NOTE-Ther particular optical lane arrangement." to "NC	changed from 47 to 343 and Lin rly not PCS lanes as there are 2 e is no requirement to modulate e, as the PCS is capable of rece DTE-There is no requirement to al lane, as the PCS is capable	20 PCS lanes for a particular ele- siving with the la associate a par	or 100GBASE-R. ectrical lane on to a nes in any ticular electrical lane	Cl 88 SC 88.8. Turner, Edward J Comment Type E Table 88-13. Thick SuggestedRemedy	10 P 351 Gnodal Limited Comment Status D vertical line between cells.	L 19	# <u>2</u> 41
C/ 88 SC 88.7 lajduczenia, Marek	Р <b>344</b> ZTE Corp.	L <b>2</b>	# 124	Use a thin vertical Proposed Response	line between cells, as per tables in	other clauses	
Comment Type E Comment Status D considered compliant (e.g., a 100GBASELR4 PMD operating at 12.5km meets the operating range requirement of 2m to 10km). change to read"considered compliant, e.g., a 100GBASELR4 PMD operating at 12.5km meets the operating range requirement of 2m to 10km."			Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       [Editor's note: Subclause changed from 88 to 88.8.10]         See also comments 244 and 240				
SuggestedRemedy							
Per comment. No ne	ed to hide the example in brace	s.					
	Response Status W T. changed from 2 to 344 and Line						

Putting the example in brackets makes the sentence easier to read. This is also the format used in the base standard (see 52.5)

CI 88 SC 88.8.10

C/ 88         SC 88.8.10         P 351         L 21         # 789           Ghiasi, Ali         Broadcom	C/         88         SC         88.8.10         P 351         L 23         # 790           Ghiasi, Ali         Broadcom
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> Current 10 MHz jitter tolerance corner frequency leads to higher power and complexity for the receiver. The CRU BW was increased by scaling CRU BW up by factor of 10.7/10.3125 from 10 GbE but the VCO noise and other power supply noise do not scale up. We are burdening the receiver for no clear benefit for the transmitter. The 10 MHz burden will remain even in the case of future generation where the ASIC/Serdses run at 25 G with DFE implementation!	Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> Stress receiver sensitivity test for frequency greater than loop BW defines Sj in the range o 0.05 UI to 0.15 UI. Defining the stress receiver sensitivity with so much slop means the test will not be consistent and higher amount of SJ will penalize the receiver for no good reason Why do we need to carry this 10 years old legacy when test equipment where arcade and CL86A already take advantage of this?
SuggestedRemedy Propose to consider corner frequency of 7 MHz instead of current 10 MHz and change 100 KHz to 70 KHz. Table 83-13 becomes: f<70 KHz not defined 70 KHz <f<=7 +="" -="" 0.05<br="" 7*10^4="" f="" mhz="" s="">7 MHz<f<10 (target="" s="0.05" td="" value)<=""><td>SuggestedRemedy propose to limit max SJ to 0.05 UI, Figure 86A-10 and Table 86-7 can be used as guide line. Table 88-13 then becomes: f&lt;100 KHz Not defined 100 KHz<f<=10 -="" 0.05<br="" 5x10^5="" f="" mhz="">10 MHz<f<10 0.05<="" lb="" td=""></f<10></f<=10></td></f<10></f<=7>	SuggestedRemedy propose to limit max SJ to 0.05 UI, Figure 86A-10 and Table 86-7 can be used as guide line. Table 88-13 then becomes: f<100 KHz Not defined 100 KHz <f<=10 -="" 0.05<br="" 5x10^5="" f="" mhz="">10 MHz<f<10 0.05<="" lb="" td=""></f<10></f<=10>
Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	ResponseResponse StatusCACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In Table 88-13: change " $5x10^{5}/f + S - 0.05$ " to " $5x10^{5}/f$ " also change " $0.05 <= S <= 0.15$ " to " $0.05$ " Remove footnote a
The relative merits of 7 vs. 10 MHz corner frequencies depend on the implementation details of the clock extraction unit. Comments 127, 128 and 129 against D 2.2 proposed to change the corner frequency in Clause 88 from 10MHz to 7MHz and were disscussed by the Task Force Optical track during the Chicago meeting in September 2009. The result of a vote was:	The procedure for stressed receiver sensitivity measurement in 87.8.11 has been modified by comment 794.         C/       88       SC       88.8.10       P 351       L 24       # 571         Anslow, Peter       Nortel Networks
The Task Force voted on whether to: A - Leave the CRU corner frequency at 10 MHz and correct the formula in Table 88-13 B - Change the CRU corner frequency to 7 MHz in a consistent manner in clause 88 A 9 B 1	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> "per the methods of 52.9.9.3." should be "per the methods of 87.8.11.2." as in king_01_0709.pdf
DI	SuggestedRemedy Change "per the methods of 52.9.9.3." to "per the methods of 87.8.11.2."
	Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This footnote has been removed by comment 790

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 88 SC 88.8.10

D---- 400 - ( 400

C/ 88	SC 88.8.5	P350	L12	# 787
Ghiasi. Ali		Broadcom		

#### Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**

The CRU BW for the TDP measurement is defined to be 10 MHz and will result in higher power more complex receiver. The argument for having higher CRU BW is to filter power supply and VCO noise, but noise sources are not scaling when operation speed increased from 10.3125 to 25.7 Gigabud. So there is very little benefit of having higher CRU BW but a definite penalty. The 10 MHz burden will remain even in the case of future generations where ASIC/SerDes operate at 25 G with DFE receiver unless we require the CDR in the module to absorb the SJ with phase FIFO!

#### SuggestedRemedy

Propose to consider CRU BW 7 MHz instead of current 10 MHz. Higher CRU BW has very little benefit on the VCO noise and power supply noise but significant penalty on the receiver, see ghiasi\_01\_0110

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 88	SC 88.8.5.2	P 349	L <b>30</b>	# 847
Dudek, M	ichael	QLogic Corpo	ration	

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

DGD is an important channel characteristic for longer fibers and the test channel DGD is not specified thereby potentially leading to varying test results.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Add an extra column to table 88-12. DGD(max). Value to be 8ps for both lengths.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[Editor's note: Subclause changed from 88 to 88.8.5.2]

Table 88-12 defines a channel for transmitter compliance testing. DGD is a parameter of the optical channel which is converted in to a penalty by the optical receiver. Consequently, it is inappropriate and very difficult to apply the maximum link DGD reproducibly to the channel for a transmitter compliance requirement. Long fibres are specified for maximum mean DGD (usually in ps per sqrt(km)) rather than maximum DGD which is theoretically unbounded.

From the curves on slides 5 and 8 of anslow\_04\_1108.pdf a maximum DGD of 3 ps gives a penalty below 0.1 dB. Using a peak to mean value of 3.75 (to give 2.6 sec/year above the "peak"), gives a requirement of 0.8 ps maximum mean DGD. This value can be achieved using a fibre of length 60 km and a mean DGD coefficient of 0.1 ps per sqrt(km) which is readily obtainable.

Add a new column to Table 88-12 for the "maximum mean DGD" with a value of 0.8 ps for both 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4.

Also add a new paragraph at the end of 88.8.5.2: "The mean DGD of the channel is to be less than the value specified in Table 88-12."

C/ 88	SC 88.8.8	P350	L <b>45</b>	# 788
Ghiasi, Ali		Broadcom		

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Transmitter eye diagram is measured CRU BW of 10 MHz will result to more complex higher power receiver implementations. D2.1 and comment 128 will result to more complex higher power receiver implementations. Increased CRU BW has very little benefit on the VCO noise. The 10 MHz burden will remain even in the case of future generations where ASIC/SerDes operate at 25 G with DFE receiver!

~ ~

#### SuggestedRemedy

Propose CRU BW 7 MHz instead of current 10 MHz. Higher CRU BW has very little benefit on the VCO noise and power supply noise but significant penalty on the receiver, see ghiasi\_01\_0110

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	CI 88	Page 198 of 199
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		SC 88.8.8	1/28/2010 6:39:52 AM

 C/ A
 SC A
 P 361
 L 10
 # 256

 Young, George
 AT&T

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Correct the title of the G.709 reference document to be as specified by ITU-T

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change the title of this reference to read "Interfaces for the Optical Transport Network (OTN)".

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ A SC A