Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P80	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s	Ethern	net comr	nents		Sponsor ball
C/ 80 SC 80.1.2 Karocki, Piotr	P 125 TBD Polska	L 30	# 1	<i>Cl</i> 82 Gustlin, M		82.2.3.4	P 173 Cisco System	L 1 s, Inc.	# 3
•	Comment Status D 5) and 6) is incorrect (if san	ne fiber, SMF, i	then either 40 km or 10	Comment Figure		E s out of ord	Comment Status D er.		
km, not both). Also, renumber this point	s from 1 (new list, not contir	uation from bu	llet g)	Suggested		-			
SuggestedRemedy				Put th Proposed	0	in order.			
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W			, PROF	,	ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
	e for 100GBASE-LR4 and 1	00GBASE-ER4	PMDs. (See	<i>C</i> / 01 Maguire, \	SC /alerie	1.3	P 25 The Siemon C	L 5 Company	# 4
C/ 83A SC 83A.5.2 Gustlin, Mark	P 389 Cisco Systems	L 24	# 2	Comment Add N		G ve Referen	Comment Status R ce to TIA Standard specifyin	g OM3 perform	ance
Comment Type T	Comment Status A	, 110.		Suggested		-		0	
Comment: The XLAUI/CA includes significant PCB I capabilities. An actual co transmitter can have up to channel and there will be	AUI jitter tolerance setup doe oss. This allows the receive mpliant channel can have ve o 7dB of de-emphasis. This no residual equalizable jitte	r to take advan ery little loss. A will result in ov r at the receive	ntage of its equalization n actual compliant er equalization of the r input. Therefore the	Response REJE	CT.	e to comme	3:2008, Optical Fiber Cabling Response Status C ent #7	Components	Standard.
test will fail in an actual a	pecified is not stressful enor pplication. simulating applications that	0		<i>Cl</i> 30 Maguire, \		30.6.1.1.5	P 35 The Siemon C	L 44 Company	# 5
SuggestedRemedy				Comment	Туре	G	Comment Status A		
jitter is achieved."	ter stress is added until the ress is added until 0.37 UI p			1000E catego		is suitable	for operation over all twisted	d-pair media typ	pes of the correct
achieved."		ear-iu-pear ut		Suggestee	dRemed	dy			
Response	Response Status C			Chang	ge "UTF	P" to "twiste	ed-pair"		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Response	!		Response Status C		
jitter is achieved."	ter stress is added until the					PRINCIPLE	E. tent with other PHY types. D	elete "UTP" in	2 instances.

To: "The low pass filter stress is added until 0.34 UI peak-to-peak deterministic jitter is achieved."

Note that this is a change to the base document and is not related to 40/100G.

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P80	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet cor	nments		Sponsor ball
C/ 30 SC 30.6.1.1.5	P35	L 45	# 6	CI 45	SC 45.2.1.8	P 45	L37	# 9
Maguire, Valerie	The Siemon (Company		Hajduczer	iia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
1000BASE-TFD is suitable for category. SuggestedRemedy		sted-pair media	types of the correct	IMHO data"	ote says " an "Disabling the t is sufficient to tl	Comment Status A d may disrupt the network". Wh transmitter on one or more lane nis end i.e. informing a reader th is dsabled), then the link goes	s stops the entinat if a stupid th	ire link from carrying
Change "UTP" to "twisted-pair	n			Suggested	•	<i>,,,</i> 0		
Response Resp	oonse Status C			••	•	pt the network" from the Note.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Response	•	Response Status C		
The "UTP" is not consistent w	th other PHY types.	elete "UTP" in 2	2 instances.	ACCE		Response Status C		
Note that this is a change to the	ne base document and	d is not related to	o 40/100G.	C/ 01	SC 1.3	P 25	L 26	# 10
C/ 86 SC 86.1	P 279	L23	# 7	Hajduczer	nia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
/laguire, Valerie	The Siemon (Company		Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status R		
Comment Type G Cor Add reference to TIA Standard	nment Status R d specifying OM3 perf	ormance	4	http://v	www.itu.int/rec/	are dated per their publication. (T-REC-G.694.1/en)G.694.2 sho T-REC-G.694.2/en)		
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	dRemedy			
Change "Type A1a.2 (OM3) s (OM3) specified in IEC 60793-						4.1 and G.694.2 references per	comment	
	oonse Status C			Response		Response Status C		
REJECT.				REJE	CI.			
The ANSI/TIA-568-C.3 standa specification. Also, Type A1a.				When	specific dates a	nual, undated references are al are not included in the reference		
C/ 86 SC 86.10.2.2.1	P 298	L18	# 8		version. es 87 and 88 do	o not take the wavelength or free	quency values t	from G 694 1 or
/laguire, Valerie	The Siemon (Company		G.694	.2, but simply re	efer to these Recommendations	as the source	of the values.
Comment Type G Cor Add reference to TIA Standard	nment Status R d specifying OM3 perfe	ormance	4		equently, there i MD specificatior	s no risk that changes to the Re ns.	ecommendatior	ns will cause changes
SuggestedRemedy Change "IEC 60793-2-10 type 568.C.3"			2 and ANSI/TIA-					
Response Resp	oonse Status C							
REJECT. See response to comment 7.	-							

Draft 3	.0 Comments		IEEE P80	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet con	nments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.1.1		L 39	# 11	CI 45	SC 45.2.1.8		L 3	# 14
Hajduczei	nia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Hajduczei	nia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status R			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
Provid		ept has not been defined in C here such concept is defined rom the back.			Some FEC :	e comments (1) r should refer to th	re two ways to refer to FEC i eference name should be ide e same, correct? If so, use o eeded(2) What is BASE-R FE	entical i.e. FEC singly one reference	ublayer and BASE-R e to avoid introducing
Suggeste	dRemedy						rhaps you could add a definit		
Per c	omment				Suggeste	2			,
Response	9	Response Status C				omment.			
REJE	CT.								
	lane (PCSL) is def of the document.	fined in Clause 1.4, this is ge	nerally consider	ed to be closer to the	Response ACCE	, EPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE.		
C/ 45	SC 45.2	P37	L10	# 12			ne term 'BASE-R FEC' where is to 45.2.1.85 and 45.2.1.86.		ant editorial license to
Hajduczei	nia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.4	4 P67	L10	# 15
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A				nia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
and w S <i>uggeste</i>	/here it is defined? dRemedy	le 45-2, where reference to 'p ? where these concepts are de	-	2. WHALIS A PACKAYE	Suggeste	dRemedy e correct accord	ber. Is "1.4.3", should be "3.4 ingly. <i>Response Status</i> W	i.o in ine to and	
Response	9	Response Status C			ACCE		Response Status W		
ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPL	E.			ACCL	_1 1.			
"pack	age" is defined in	45.2.			<i>Cl</i> 45 Hajduczei	SC 45.2.3.1 nia, Marek	2.3 <i>P</i> 71 ZTE Corp.	L1	# 16
	appropriate table n arated PMAs are d	ote to Separated PMA (1) lefined in 45.2.1"			Comment Space	<i>Type</i> E e missing in "BE	Comment Status D R(3.33.13:8)"		
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.8.2	a P46	L 28	# 13	Suggeste	-			
	nia, Marek	ZTE Corp.	-				ER and the opening brace		
Comment	Type T	Comment Status A				Response	Response Status W		
(1) Ac		ectively"(2) Add "bit" before "	1.9.10"Similar co	omment against	,	POSED ACCEP			
	<i>dRemedy</i> omment								
Response ACCE		Response Status C							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 3 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:26 AM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Table 45-111a cust location. SuggestedRemedy Per comment.	A.37 P82 ZTE Corp Comment Status D the text into two parts. Pleas		# 19
Comment Type E Table 45-111a cust location. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D		nchor in the correct
Table 45-111a cust location. SuggestedRemedy		se place the table a	nchor in the correct
location. SuggestedRemedy	the text into two parts. Pleas	se place the table a	nchor in the correct
Per comment.			
Proposed Response	Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACCE	ΡΙ.		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3	9.38 P82	L 21	# 20
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
,			
	Posponso Status		
1	•		
Change to "(Registe	ers 3.91 through 3.109)"		
Change to "Lane 1	is shown in register 3.91; lan	e 2 is shown in regi	ster 3.92; through
register 3.109 for la	ne 19."		
Note that register n	umbers change according to	comments #720 &	#739.
			# 21
P802.3aV did not to	uch the AN, so there was no		ang in register 7.48
within 10G-EPON p	roject. Correct the editorial r		
SuggestedRemedy	roject. Correct the editorial r		
•	roject. Correct the editorial r		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment	Response Status W		
	PROPOSED ACCE Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T (1) Title for section 4 (Registers 3.91 thro extend teh text to re SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Change to "(Register Change to "Lane 1 i register 3.109 for lat Note that register no	PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.38 P82 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp Comment Type T Comment Status A (1) Title for section 45.2.3.38 should read " Re (Registers 3.91 through 109)". Avoid any problement Ree (Registers 3.91 through 109)". Avoid any problement SuggestedRemedy Per comment Per comment Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change to "(Registers 3.91 through 3.109)" Change to "Lane 1 is shown in register 3.91; lan register 3.109 for lane 19." Note that register numbers change according to Cl 45 SC 45.2.7 P83 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp	PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.38 P82 L21 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. Comment Type T Comment Status A (1) Title for section 45.2.3.38 should read " Registers 3.91 through (Registers 3.91 through 109)". Avoid any problems with clarity if pose extend teh text to read "lane 2 is shown in register 3.92; through registers 3.91 through register 3.92; through register 3.91; lane 2 is shown in register 3.91; lane 2 is shown in register 3.109 for lane 19." Note that register numbers change according to comments #720 & Cl 45 SC 45.2.7 P83 L3 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.

C/ 69 SC 69. 1 Hajduczenia, Marek	.2 P 95 ZTE Corp.	L 24	# 22	C/ 74 S Hajduczenia, M	C 74.2	P 107 ZTE Corp.	L 34	# 25
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment Type		Comment Status R		
51	ould read "a single-lane 10 Gb/s PH	Y"		The target	BER in poi	nt f) is really the post-FEC B		
uggestedRemedy				• •	,	d "To support a post-FEC BE	R objective of 1	0-12 or better."
Per comment				SuggestedRem	,			
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Per comme	ent			
PROPOSED ACC	CEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Response		Response Status C		
'a' paada ta ba ur	derlined as it is modifying base text.			REJECT.				
	dennied as it is moulying base lext.			This text is	from the b	ase standard and it would be	e inappropriate fo	or P802.3ba to modify i
C/ 69 SC 69.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	2.5 P97 ZTE Corp.	L 49	# 23	The P802.3	ba copper	PMDs will be able to achiev	e 10-12 BER wit	hout FEC.
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			C/ 74 S	C 74.4	P108	L 46	# 26
oniment type I							L 40	# 20
	g" was removed, though I suggest to size the fact that minimum effort is n			Hajduczenia, M		ZTE Corp.		
context to empha management solu SuggestedRemedy Per comment	size the fact that minimum effort is n itions into the new system.			Comment Type (1) Editoria change: str clauses are	T change: a ike out "wh not live e.	ZTE Corp. Comment Status A add "," after "For 40GBASE-F nich is "(3) General editorial o g. in this text block, neither 8 ba. Scrub the draft and make	comment: some 6 30.3 nor 83.2 are	of the links to 802.3ba livem even though the
context to empha management solu SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response	size the fact that minimum effort is n			Comment Type (1) Editoria change: str clauses are are added	T change: a ike out "wh not live e. by P802.3t	Comment Status A add "," after "For 40GBASE-F nich is "(3) General editorial o g. in this text block, neither 8	comment: some 6 30.3 nor 83.2 are	of the links to 802.3ba livem even though the
context to empha management solu SuggestedRemedy	size the fact that minimum effort is n itions into the new system.			Comment Type (1) Editoria change: str clauses are	T change: a ike out "wh not live e. by P802.3t redy	Comment Status A add "," after "For 40GBASE-F nich is "(3) General editorial o g. in this text block, neither 8	comment: some 6 30.3 nor 83.2 are	of the links to 802.3ba livem even though the
context to empha management solu uggestedRemedy Per comment esponse ACCEPT.	size the fact that minimum effort is n itions into the new system. <i>Response Status</i> C			Comment Type (1) Editoria change: str clauses are are added SuggestedRem	T change: a ike out "wh not live e. by P802.3t redy	Comment Status A add "," after "For 40GBASE-F nich is "(3) General editorial o g. in this text block, neither 8	comment: some 6 30.3 nor 83.2 are	of the links to 802.3ba livem even though the
context to empha management solu SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT.	size the fact that minimum effort is n itions into the new system. <i>Response Status</i> C	eeded to modif	y the existing network	Comment Type (1) Editoria change: str clauses are are added SuggestedRem Per comme	T change: a ike out "wh not live e. by P802.3b edy ent.	Comment Status A add "," after "For 40GBASE-F nich is "(3) General editorial o g. in this text block, neither 8 ba. Scrub the draft and make Response Status C	comment: some 6 30.3 nor 83.2 are	of the links to 802.3ba livem even though the
context to empha management solu SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT.	size the fact that minimum effort is n utions into the new system. <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 107	eeded to modif	y the existing network	Comment Type (1) Editoria change: str clauses are are added SuggestedRem Per comme Response ACCEPT II	T change: a ike out "wh not live e. by P802.3k edy ent.	Comment Status A add "," after "For 40GBASE-F nich is "(3) General editorial o g. in this text block, neither 8 ba. Scrub the draft and make Response Status C	comment: some 6 30.3 nor 83.2 are	of the links to 802.3ba livem even though the
context to empha management solu SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT. Cl 74 SC 74.1 lajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T The text says "pro	size the fact that minimum effort is n utions into the new system. Response Status C P107 ZTE Corp.	eeded to modif L 15 or" but it is not	y the existing network # 24	Comment Type (1) Editoria change: str clauses are are added SuggestedRem Per comme Response ACCEPT II Implement: (1) Editoria	T change: a ike out "wh not live e. by P802.3b edy ent. N PRINCIP change: a	Comment Status A add "," after "For 40GBASE-F nich is "(3) General editorial of g. in this text block, neither 8 ba. Scrub the draft and make Response Status C LE.	comment: some 6 30.3 nor 83.2 are internal project	of the links to 802.3ba livem even though the links live.
context to empha management solu luggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT. 74 SC 74.1 lajduczenia, Marek comment Type T The text says "pro- meant. P802.3ba	size the fact that minimum effort is n utions into the new system.	eeded to modif L 15 or" but it is not	y the existing network # 24	Comment Type (1) Editoria change: str clauses are are added SuggestedRem Per comme Response ACCEPT II Implement: (1) Editoria (2) Technic	T ike out "wh not live e. by P802.3b edy ent. N PRINCIP change: a al change:	Comment Status A add "," after "For 40GBASE-F hich is "(3) General editorial o g. in this text block, neither 8 ba. Scrub the draft and make Response Status C LE. add "," after "For 40GBASE-F strike out "which is "	comment: some 6 30.3 nor 83.2 are internal project R and 100GBASI	of the links to 802.3ba livem even though the links live. E-R"
context to empha management solu SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT. C/ 74 SC 74.1 Iajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T The text says "pro meant. P802.3ba	size the fact that minimum effort is n utions into the new system.	eeded to modif L 15 or" but it is not	y the existing network # 24	Comment Type (1) Editoria change: str clauses are are added SuggestedRem Per comme Response ACCEPT II Implement: (1) Editoria (2) Technic The 80.3 a	T ike out "wh not live e. by P802.3b edy ent. N PRINCIP I change: a al change: nd 83.2 cro	Comment Status A add "," after "For 40GBASE-F nich is "(3) General editorial of g. in this text block, neither 8 ba. Scrub the draft and make Response Status C LE.	comment: some 6 30.3 nor 83.2 are internal project R and 100GBASI	of the links to 802.3ba livem even though the links live. E-R"
context to empha management solu SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT. Cl 74 SC 74.1 Rajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T The text says "pro- meant. P802.3ba SuggestedRemedy	size the fact that minimum effort is n utions into the new system.	eeded to modif L 15 or" but it is not	y the existing network # 24	Comment Type (1) Editoria change: str clauses are are added SuggestedRem Per comme Response ACCEPT II Implement: (1) Editoria (2) Technic	T ike out "wh not live e. by P802.3b edy ent. N PRINCIP I change: a al change: nd 83.2 cro	Comment Status A add "," after "For 40GBASE-F hich is "(3) General editorial o g. in this text block, neither 8 ba. Scrub the draft and make Response Status C LE. add "," after "For 40GBASE-F strike out "which is "	comment: some 6 30.3 nor 83.2 are internal project R and 100GBASI	of the links to 802.3ba livem even though the links live. E-R"

Draft 3.0 Comm	ents	IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	mments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 74 SC 74. Hajduczenia, Marek	1.3 <i>P</i> 110 ZTE Corp.	L 44	# 27	<i>Cl</i> 74 Hajduczer	SC 74.5 nia, Marek	P111 ZTE Corp.	L12	# 29
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		
0,1,2,,19, which	nstead of showing FEC encoder in a will show that the number is boun ame sources to make necessary c	ded to 20 rathe	r than open. I do not	SLIGH	HTLY? Less th tions are different	ervice primitives are defined sli an much and more than little? A ent. Full stop.		
SuggestedRemedy				00	omment.			
Per comment				Response		Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			ACCE	PT IN PRINC	PLE.		
C/ 74 SC 74.	5 P111	L 1	# 28	delete	the word 'slig	ntiy		
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			C/ 74	SC 74.5.2	P113	L 14	# 30
Comment Type T	R Comment Status R			Hajduczer		ZTE Corp.		
is affected. Why whole existign se	at changes to section 74.5 are mad there is no differential version avail ction instead of adding only 74.5.2 at description impedes readability a	able? Why do y , which is new a	ou need to replace the	servic	ext from line 14	Comment Status R conwards should be divided into conversion -Name-Semantics of the servi description is confusing and unr	ice primitive-Wh	nen generated-Effect of
SuggestedRemedy				Suggestee	dRemedy			
Per comment				Follov	v the existing s	tandard descriptions and not in	vent a new style	э.
Response	Response Status W			Response	•	Response Status W		
REJECT.				REJE	CT.			
It needs to be do service interface	ne this way because the service in for 40 and 100G.	erface for 10G	is different from the	the se		e is described in detail in 80.3 a is described in 74.5.2 is consis 02.3ba draft.		

The 10G service interface definition is unchanged from 802.3-2008 with the exception of the introduction and the paragraph numbers. The structure was changed to improve the flow and readability. The substance remains the same.

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 74 SC 74.5.2	P113	L 20	# 31	Cl 74	SC 74.7.4.5		L1	# 33
lajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Hajduczenia	a, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment T	уре Т	Comment Status A		
can be sent to PMA. PMA:IS_SIGNAL.inc the textual descriptio	2a and 74-2b, I fail to see how to It is sent to PCS only (arrow po- dication towards the FEC sublay on in section 74.5.2 is OK. Base signal sent to PMA, since PMA	pints up, not dov ver. Clarify whetl d on the descrip	n). PMA can send her Figures are OK or tion, it makes little	64B/66I for 40G	B blocks' sync BASE-R and ´ error to the P	C sublayers for 40GBASE-R bits to 11 to indicate error to 00GBASE-R set sync bits in CS."	the PCS." to rea	d "The FEC sublayers
uggestedRemedy				00	description is	clearer IMHO.		
Per comment				Response		Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI	Response Status W PLE.			•	T IN PRINCIP			
	erface can connect to either the	PCS or PMA. T	his is described in	Change	e to:			
Clause 83 and illustr	ated in Figures 83-1 and 83-2.					or 40GBASE-R and 100GBA B blocks to indicate error to		nc bits to the value 11
"In 40GBASE-R and	the end of the first paragraph in 100GBASE-R the FEC service Figure 74-1 or the PMA as illus	interface can e		This wo	ording is consis	tent with the text in the previ	ous paragraph.	
	devices connected by XLAUI/C			<i>Cl</i> 74 Hajduczenia	SC 74.7.4.5	.1 <i>P</i> 119 ZTE Corp.	L 6	# 34
74 SC 74.7.3	P114	L 21	# <u>3</u> 2	Comment T		Comment Status A		
ajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.				51	lines 6 and 7 to read as follo	ws" for the 10B	ASE-KR PHY For the
	Comment Status R lause in 802.3-2008 describing	the 64B/66B en	coding instead of	40GBA	SE-R and 100	GBASE-R PHYs, sync bits ir {SH.0,SH.1} = 11."		
writing this from star	ί.			SuggestedF	Remedy			
uggestedRemedy				Per con	nment. Text is	unclear otherwise.		
Per comment				Response		Response Status C		
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			ACCEP	T IN PRINCIP	LE.		
This is base text and	I should not be modified by 802	.3ba without goo	od reason.	Implem 894)	ent suggested	remedy and also change 10	BASE-KR to 10G	BASE-R (see commen
	e sync bits is important in this c	context because	it is these that are					
	mmodate the FEC overhead.							

SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 74 SC 74.8.4.1 P 122 L 48 # 35 lajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.	C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P 127 L 28 # 37 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. ZTE Corp. 37				
Comment Type T Comment Status A (1.172, 1.173) and 45.2.1.89 (1.176 to 1.215). or "(1.172, 1.173) or 45.2.1.89 (1.176 to 1.215)." I do not believe they are available simultaneously but rather on the exclusive or basis. Same in line 9, page 123, section 74.8.4.2	Comment Type T Comment Status R Section 1.4 defines what a PCS lane is. What is a WDM lane? SuggestedRemedy				
SuggestedRemedy Per comment	Please provide definition, reference to where it might be defined or remove / replace with some other term which is already defined.				
Response Response Status C	Response Response Status C REJECT.				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Abbreviation for WDM (wavelength division multiplexing) is included in amendment 802.3a 2009 and the term "WWDM lane" is used in the base standard without further definition.				
"These variables are accessed through a management interface that may be mapped to the registers defined in 45.2.1.87 (1.172, 1.173) and 45.2.1.89 (1.176 to 1.215)."	C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P 128 L 2 # <u>38</u> Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.				
To: "These variables are accessed through a management interface that may be mapped to the registers defined in 45.2.1.87 (1.172, 1.173) for single lane PHYs and 45.2.1.89 (1.176 to 1.215) for multi-lane PHYs."	Comment Type T Comment Status R must meet so it is a recommendation or a mandatory statement? Must statement will have to be replaced at some time with shall or something else.				
and similarly for 74.8.4.2	 SuggestedRemedy Decide whether it is a requirement (then put shall) or not (then replace "must meet" with 				
SC 80 SC 80.1.3 P125 L 26 # 36	"meets")				
lajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. Comment Type TR Comment Status R	Response Response Status C REJECT.				
Do you really use CSMA/CD MAC or full duplex MAC? Compare 44. Introduction to 10 Gb/s baseband network, which mentions 802.3 MAC and not CSMA/CD MAC.	In this case the word "must" is used to alert the reader to refer to the actual requirements specified in corresponding clauses.				
SuggestedRemedy Clarify whether CSMA/CD MAC is used in 40G/100G Ethernet and if not, remove such references altogether.	The word "must" can be used in unavoidable situations. There are several instances in base standard where the word "must" has been used				
Response Response Status W REJECT.					

Implementers can also refer to Annex 4A which is simplified version based on Clause 4 for full duplex operation.

The MAC is referred to as "IEEE 802.3 (CSMA/CD) MAC" throughout the base standard even when the MAC is used in full duplex operation (for example see 44.1.3).

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Dian 5.0 C	ommenta			02.558 D5.0 4005/5 and	10000/31	-memer com	intents		Oponisor ballot
C/ 80 S Hajduczenia, M	C 80.1.5 larek	P 128 ZTE Corp.	L 5	# 39	C/ 80 Hajduczeni	SC 80.2.1 a. Marek	P 128 ZTE Corp.	L 38	# 40
Comment Type In Table 80 except for I	• T -2, note a) sa KR and CR P /B support. W	Comment Status A ays that "Annex 83B is optiona MD types.", yet KR and CR ty /hy is that so?	21		Comment 7 Severa Indepe see Cla instanti	Type T Il comments(1) t ndent Interface ause 81) "(3) iated, rather it ca	Comment Status A title should read "Reconciliatio (MII)"(2) Line 40 should read " Line 41/42 should read "The N an logically connect layers witl s intended for physical implem	'The Media Inde /III is not intend hin a device." -	ependent Interface (MII, led to be physically MII is not mandatory for
per comme Response ACCEPT II	ent N PRINCIPLE	Response Status C			such ar should read "V	n interface is ne read "The Reco Vhile XLGMII ar	eded. I think this sentence sho onciliation Sublayer (RS) provi of CGMII are optional interface nces in this clause which are n	ould be remove des a mapping es, they are use	ed altogether. (4) line 45 "(5) Line 48 should
applicable	to the corresp	for Annex 83A and Annex 83 oonding annexes. IDs of the appropriate rate	B. Each colum	nns will list the options	Suggestedi Per cor	2			
83B is option	onal for SR4/	10and LR4/ER4 PMDs.			Response ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.		
AISO delete	table footnot	e a			physica generic	al layers. Hence cally refer to XL0	already used to mean Media In it was decided not to use that GMII and CGMII. The abbrevia dia Independent Interface for 4	t abbreviation in ations XLGMII a	n P802.3ba to and CGMII are used to
					Change	e line 45 to read	l as "The Reconciliation Subla	yer (RS)"	
					Change extensi		l as: "While XLGMII and CGM	II are optional ir	terfaces, they are used

Check and update hyperlinks to references if they are not live.

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P80	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s	Etherr	net com	iments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 80 SC 80.2.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 129 ZTE Corp.	L 6	# 41	<i>CI</i> 80 Hajduczer		80.3.2 ek	P132 ZTE Corp.	L 47	# 44
Comment Type T What is a 'stripe' of dat	Comment Status A			Comment In Fig	<i>Type</i> ure 80-2	T 2, there is	Comment Status R a strict number of lanes in PCS		
SuggestedRemedy Please clarify or use so	ome more descriptive identifica	ation of what is	a data stripe	possil	ole to er	numerate	nes is defined as "n"? I think kno the value of "n" in the note in line		ang toog types, it is
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.				e replac	e "n= NL	IMBER OF PARALLEL STREAN EL STREAMS OF DATA UNITS		
Change: "stripe the data to multi	iple lanes"			Response REJE			Response Status C		
to: "distributes the data to to be consistent with se	multiple lanes" ections in Clause 82 (see relat	ed comment: #	79)	"n" (a:	s oppos	ed to a fi	es definition of generic service in xed number) is used at the 100G levelopments in number of parall	PMD service	e interface to
Cl 80 SC 80.2.4 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 129 ZTE Corp.	L 22	# 42	interfa Cl 80		80.5	P136	L6	# 45
Comment Type E Missing comma after 'li	Comment Status D			Hajduczer Comment	Туре	Е	ZTE Corp. Comment Status D 2.12) but (see 82.2.12)Also in the	same line: r	oot "The Skew" but "The
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				skew" Suggestee		·		Same line. I	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Per co	omment	t			
C/ 80 SC 80.3.1	P130	L 21	# 43	Proposed PROF			Response Status W		
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Chan	ge "(See	e 82.2.12)" to "(see 82.2.12)"		
insert a sentence befor layer N represents an u	Comment Status R r N and N-1 really is. Are thes re line 21 with the following sta upper layer while layer N-1 rep set of specific service primitiv	atement."In the presents a lower	following description,						
SuggestedRemedy Per comment									
Response REJECT.	Response Status C								
	yer N-1" and "higher sublayer sublayers N and N-1. Also the 2.2								
	spatched A/accepted R/rejec		I T/technical E/editorial G/ge NSE STATUS: O/open W/writ		ed U/u	Insatisfie	d Z/withdrawn Comment ID	# 45	Page 10 of 200

SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 10 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:27 AM

Draft 3.0 (Comments
-------------	----------

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

-												
C/ 80 SC 80.5		L 7	# 46	C/ 80	SC 80.5	P136	L12	# 48				
lajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Hajduczer	nia, Marek	ZTE Corp.						
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A						
be reassembled b reference to them	e lanes must be kept within limits y the PCS."(1) What "limits" are re ?(2) Change "information on the la	eferred to? Can anes" to "informa	you provide a link / ation transmitted on the	to ensure that a given PCS lane always traverses the same physical lane while the link remains in operation what does that mean in reality? PCS lanes are very much physic so the text is confusing at least, if not unclear.								
	"reassembled by the PCS" to "rea	issembled by th	e receiving PCS"	Suggestee	dRemedy							
SuggestedRemedy				Per ex	kplain what is me	eant in here and remodel the te	ext for clarity.					
Per comment				Response		Response Status W						
Response	Response Status C			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.						
ACCEPT IN PRIN	ICIPLE.			Chano								
"The Skew betwee transmitted inform	to read as follows : en the lanes must be kept within li lation on the lanes can be reasser	mbled by the rec	eive PCS."	to ens remai To: "From	ure that a given ns in operation." the time the linl	k is brought up, Skew Variation PCS lane always traverses th k is brought up, Skew Variation	e same physica n must be limite	al lane while the link				
7 80 SC 80.5 ajduczenia, Marek	P 136 ZTE Corp.	L10	# 47			CS lane always traverses the s k remains in operation."	same lane betw	een any pair of adjace				
Comment Type T	Comment Status R			C/ 80	SC 80.5	P 136	L 50	# 49				
	ge in skew between any PCS lane etween any two PCS lanes "	e and any other	PCS lane " to "the	Hajduczer		ZTE Corp.						
SuggestedRemedy				Comment	21	Comment Status A ewrite as follows:"In the transr	nit direction the	a akaw painta ara				
Per comment						g locations (see Figure 80-4 a						
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			XLAU	I/CAUI interface of the PMD;(3) S	at the input of the PMA; (2) S P3 at the output of the PMD a	P2 on the PMD	service interface at th				
The current definit	tion of Skew Variation provides be	tter clarity than	the suggested text.	Suggestee	dRemedy							
		2		Per co	omment							
				Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE.						
				"In the 80-4 a SP1 o	e transmit direction and Figure 80-5): In the XLAUI/CA	to a bulleted list as follows: on, the skew points are define UI interface, at the input of the ce interface at the input of the	PMA closest to					

SP3 at the output of the PMD at the MDI.

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 80 SC 80.5	P137	L1	# 50		C 80.5	P136	L 42	# 52
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Hajduczenia, M	arek	ZTE Corp.		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		
the following locations the PMD; (2) SP5 on XLAUI/CAUI interface SuggestedRemedy	write as follows:"In the receive of s (see Figure 80-4 and Figure 8 the PMD service interface at th e at the output of the PMA."List	30-5): (1) SP4 a ne output of the	t the MDI at the input of PMD;(3) SP6 on the	40GBASE- skew points Figure 80-4 XLAUI/CAU	R and 100 2it would 40GBAS Il interface	nst Figure 80-4 and Figure 80- GBASE-R skew points 1Figure be nice to provide a more prec E-R and 100GBASE-R skew p Figure 80-540GBASE-R and (LAUI/CAUI interface	80-540GBAS sise description oints for implem	E-R and 100GBASE-R of the scenarios i.e. nentation without
Per comment				SuggestedRem	edv			
Response	Response Status C			Per comme	nt			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	'LE.			Response		Response Status C		
Change Lines 1 - 3 to	a bulleted list as follows:					,		
"In the receive direction 80-4 and Figure 80-5) SP4 at the MDI at the		d in the following	g locations (see Figure	Change title	e to Figure	80-4 to "40GBASE-R and 100	GBASE-R for si	ngle XLAUI or CAUI"
	vice interface at the output of th AUI interface at the output of th		to the PCS	Change title	e to Figure	80-5 to "40GBASE-R and 100	GBASE-R for m	ultiple XLAUI or CAUI"
				CI 80 SC	C 80.5	P138	L 1	# <u>5</u> 3
C/ 80 SC 80.5	P 137	L 5	# 51	Hajduczenia, M	arek	ZTE Corp.		
łajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Comment Type	т	Comment Status R		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D					nst Table 80-4 and 80-5(1) Inse		
Change two occurenc	ces of "shown" to "given"					R PCS lane (UI)" and "Maximun g text "These values are only a		
				value (expre	essed in n	s), based on conversion betwee		
,				characters	•			
SuggestedRemedy Per comment								
Per comment Proposed Response	Response Status W			SuggestedRem	•			<i>.</i>
,	,			from all colu	umns in ta	ble 80-4 and 80-5. (2) remove table 80-5. (3) insert a new for		

Response

REJECT.

The approximately equal to character has been used to unambiguously indicate that the values are not exactly equal to. The existing table footnotes provide sufficient clarity.

Response Status C

C/ 80 SC 80.6 Hajduczenia, Marek	P139 ZTE Corp.	L1	# 54	<i>Cl</i> 81 Hajduczeni	SC 81.1 a, Marek	P 141 ZTE Corp.	L 7	# 56
	Comment Status R is section is needed at all, giv a diagrams are referenced as			control MAC v line 9,	I comments a lers" - do we ras used(2) ir "and Media Ir	Comment Status R against paragraph 1 in 81.1(1) "fa still use CSMA/CD MAC in P2P li sert (MII) after " and the Media In udependent Interface to" change t Interface in this clause," change t	nks? I always dependent Inte o "and MII to"(thought that full duplex erface" in line 7(3) in (4) in line 10, "of the
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			Suggested per co	-			
This section provides in diagrams.	formation on the conventions	adopted by P8	02.3ba for state	Response REJEC (1)This		Response Status C	10G which is	also full duplex
C/ 81 SC 81 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 141 ZTE Corp.	L1	# 55		mment #36 a			also full duplex.
100Gb/s operation" sho Independent Interface (Comment Status R Sublayer (RS) and Media Inde uld be changed to "81. Recor MII) for 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s d Media Independent Interface	ciliation Sublation	yer (RS) and Media	The ab physic generic specific	al layers. Her cally refer to 2 cally refer to 1	is already used to mean "Media I ce it was decided not to use that (LGMII and CGMII. The abbreviat Media Independent Interface for 4	abbreviation ir tions XLGMII a 0Gb/s and 100	n P802.3ba to and CGMII are used to 0Gb/s.
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 81	SC 81.1	P141	L 50	# <u>5</u> 7
,	ld be finally used as a acrony	m		Hajduczeni	a, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
Response	Response Status C			Comment	Гуре Т	Comment Status R		
REJECT. There already exists a l	, MII elsewhere in the standard			mean?		g Sublayer (PCS) is specified to th n to say that PCS is adapted to X e clarify		
Similar to comment #56	define two distinct versions, X	LGMII and CG	MII.	Suggested	Remedy			
					mment			
				Response REJEC It mean interfac	ns simply that	Response Status C the PCS is specified to the XLGI	MII/CGMII inte	rface, but stating

Draft 3.0 Comme	nts	IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet con	nments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 81 SC 81.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 142 ZTE Corp.	L 6	# 58	C/ 81 Hajduczer	SC 81.1.2 nia, Marek	P 142 ZTE Corp.	L 31	# 60
provides independe	Comment Status A ides independent 64-bit-wide trar ent 64-bit wide transmit and recei nly." to "It support full duplex oper	ve data paths."(cal media acces eneric. Change	Comment Status A s controller may be used with to "identical media access cor		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				Suggested Per co	dRemedy omment			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Make Change #1, a				Response ACCE		Response Status C		
0	plex operation only."			C/ 81	SC 81.1.3	P 142	L 35	# 61
C/ 81 SC 81.1.1	1 P142	L14	# 59	Hajduczer	iia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
XLGMII/CGMII to th maps the signal se "Each direction of c signals." should rea control, and clock s	AC and PHY."(2) "The RS maps the PLS service primitives provide to the XLGMII/CGMII to the PLS data transfer is independent and sad "Each direction of data transfe signals."(4) " link faults to the DTE	d at the MAC." S service primitives serviced by data r is independent on the remote	should read "The RS ves of the MAC."(3) , control, and clock and carries data, end of the connecting	Response ACCE	PT.	Response Status C		
	link faults to the DTE on the remo	ote end of the lin	K"	C/ 81	SC 81	P141	L1	# 62
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				Hajduczer		ZTE Corp.		
Response	Response Status C				here in this clau	Comment Status R se is the number of transfers p		
REJECT. Sentences are corr	ect as is.					of operation", which at least de , such section does not exist.		a rate the MII operates
				Suggested	dRemedy			
				Please	e add a correspo	onding section defining data ra	ate of MII opera	tion in clause 81.
				Response		Response Status W		
				operat	e 81 follows the	model of clause 46, there doe nilar in content to 46.1.3 , and t nilar to 49.1.5.		
						B seems to be to contrast the range ba has no such distinction.	ates of operation	on of 10GBASE-R and

Comment ID # 62

Page 14 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:27 AM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 81 SC 81.1. Hajduczenia, Marek	5 <i>P</i> 143 ZTE Corp.	L3	# 63	<i>Cl</i> 81 Hajduczen	SC 81.1.6 ia, Marek	P 143 ZTE Corp.	L 29	# 65
Comment Type T The allocation of fu independence with media independen seven-layer referen balances the need CGMII maximize m	Comment Status A unctions at the XLGMII/CGMII ball the need for a simple interface. The ce by cleanly separating the Data note model. Change to "The allocat for media independence with inter- hedia independence by separating er reference model."	The XLGMII and Link and Phys tion of functions arface simplicity	CGMII maximize ical Layers of the OSI at the XLGMII/CGMII . The XLGMII and	Comment The 64 ""as sh receive RXC, t respec Suggested Per co Response ACCE Chang	Type T TXD and eight hall the 64 RXD e" > "and RX_C respectively" > ' trively" IRemedy mment PT IN PRINCIP	Comment Status A TXC signals shall > "The six and" > "as shall the sixty-fou LK for receive paths"Line 36: "indicated by assertion of an a Response Status C	r RXD and"Line 3 "indicated by as appropriate signa	31: "and RX_CLK for sertion of TXC and al - TXC or RXC -
C/ 81 SC 81.1. Hajduczenia, Marek	6 P143 ZTE Corp.	L11	# 64	C/ 81	SC 81.1.7	P144	L6	# 66
Comment Type T a schematic view of and output signals SuggestedRemedy Per comment	Comment Status R of the RS inputs and outputs chan	ge to "a schem	atic view of the RS input	the RS CSMA	Type T pre, PLS service to the XLGMII	ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> R e primitives supporting CSMA /CGMII.it is the reason why w e these functions are not hool anyway.	e should not eve	n mention support for
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			Suggested No cha	•	aft, just an observation regard	ling type of supp	orted MAC
	and some are primitives so this do	oes not improve	e the statement.	Response REJE0	CT.	Response Status C		

Draft 3.0 Comme	nts	IEEE P80	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s I	Sponsor ballot			
C/ 81 SC 81.1. Hajduczenia, Marek	7.1.2 P144 ZTE Corp.	L 27	# 67	C/ 81 Hajduczeni	SC 81.2.2 ia, Marek	P 147 ZTE Corp.	L 49	# 70
Comment Type T It represents a sing SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response	Comment Status A gle data bit. > "The value - one or a Response Status C	zero - represent	ts a single data bit."	Start F <i>Suggested</i> Per co	je lines 49 - 51 t Frame Delimiter IRemedy omment	Comment Status A o read "bit value of <sfd> at th (SFD) specified in 4.2.6 and en</sfd>		
ACCEPT IN PRINO Note: Corrected the	CIPLE. e page to 144 (was 143).			Response ACCE		Response Status C		
Change: It represents a sing To:	le data bit.			C/ 81 Hajduczeni	SC 81.2.2 ia, Marek	P 148 ZTE Corp.	L10	# <u>71</u>
One or zero repres Cl 81 SC 81.1.7 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T	ents a single data bit. 7.1.4 P144 ZTE Corp. Comment Status A	L 45	# <u>68</u>	values Suggested	je line 10 to read ::"	Comment Status R d: "The XLGMII/CGMII <pream< td=""><td>ble> and <sfd> ca</sfd></td><td>rry the following</td></pream<>	ble> and <sfd> ca</sfd>	rry the following
	64 bit-times of the MAC sublayer	> "by the RS e	very 64 bit-times of the	Response REJEC	CT.	Response Status C	ble or sfd. Correct	as is.
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			C/ 81 Hajduczeni Comment	,	P 148 ZTE Corp. Comment Status A	L 30	# 72
Note: Corrected the	e page to 144 (was 143).			Chang	je "DATA_NOT_	VALID. (See 81.1.7.5.2 and 30.3.2.1.5.2 and 30.3.2.1.5.2 and 30.3.2.1.5.2 and 30.3.2.1.5.2 and 30.3.2.1.5.3 and 30.3.3 and 30.3.2.1.5.3 and 30.3.3 and 30.3.2.1.5.3 and 30.3.3 and 30.3.3 and 30.3.5 and 30.3.5 and 30.3.5 and 30.5 an		
C/ 81 SC 81.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 146 ZTE Corp.	L 29	# 69	Suggested Per co	IRemedy omment			
	Comment Status A a sequence of bytes, since it is a read "A data stream is a sequence		lefine a data stream.		PT IN PRINCIPI			
Per comment Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 72

Page 16 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:27 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments	3	IEEE P80	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s Ethe	rnet comm	ents		Sponsor ballot
C/ 81 SC 81.3.1.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 150 ZTE Corp.	L1	# 73	C/ 81 SC Hajduczenia, Ma	C 81.4.2.2 arek	P159 ZTE Corp.	L 45	# 76
<i>Comment Type</i> E In Figure 81-5, line 14	Comment Status D , the "I" symbol should be cen	tered in the asso	ociated block	Comment Type IEEE Std 80		Comment Status A uch standard does not exist.	Should read "	IEEE Std 802.3-2008"
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				SuggestedReme Per comme				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP1 I assume the commen	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. tor meant that the "T" should	be centered, cer	nter the the "T".			Response Status C e to IEEE Std 802.3ba-20xx	(
C/ 81 SC 81.3.3.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P156 ZTE Corp.	L 27	# 74	C/ 81 SC Hajduczenia, Ma	C 81.4.2.3 arek	P160 ZTE Corp.	L1	# 77
Comment Type E Missing comma after ' SuggestedRemedy Per comment	Comment Status D Upon recognition of a fault co	ndition "		the given PI the rest of th	and RS* sho	Comment Status A build be separated for XLGMI 40G or 100G system. After a also need proper reference / ble.	all, they are dif	ferent. Once it is done,
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP1	Response Status W			SuggestedReme Per comme	-			
C/ 81 SC 81.3.4.2 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T	P157 ZTE Corp. Comment Status A	L 47	# 75	Break out th	N PRINCIPLE ne PHY, RS a	<i>Response Status</i> W Ind G1 entries, 1 per rate. 00, RS40, RS100, G1, G2.		
Since Figure 46-9 is re here to make the sect	eferenced and it is a single figu- ion self-standing. Otherwise, a e in a completely different part	reader needs to	est you reproduce it o use also base	C/ 82 SC Hajduczenia, Ma	C 82.1.1 arek	P 165 ZTE Corp.	L 15	# 78
SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT. Duplicate of #278	Response Status C			Both 40GB	GBASE-R ar ASE-R and 1 ta" change to edy	Comment Status A ad 100GBASE-R are based o 00GBASE-R use a 64B/66E read "The 64B/66B code su	3 code. "(2) "Th	ne 64B/66B code
				#1 is correc		-		

Draft 3.0 Commen	ts	IEEE P80	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet con	nments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 82 SC 82.1.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P165 ZTE Corp.	L16	# 79	<i>Cl</i> 82 Hajduczen	SC 82.1.3 ia, Marek	<i>P</i>166 ZTE Corp.	L 3	# 82
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment		Comment Status A		
Examplain, or define	g' ? This concept is new and has ?	s not been define	ed anywhere.	PČS a		ne relationship between the 40 ated sublayers this is not wh		
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested				
Per comment					omment			
Response	Response Status W			Response		Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCI Change "striping" to 82.1.1).	PLE. "distribution" to be consistent w	ith later sections	(two instances in	ACCE Chang	PT IN PRINCIP je:	,		S and 100GBASE-P
C/ 82 SC 82.1.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	<i>P</i> 165 ZTE Corp.	L 18	# 80		ind their associa		JGBASE-R PC	S ANG TOUGDASE-R
	Comment Status R CS to align data from multiple la gn data across multiple lanes."	nes. change to	read "allows the	sublay		e relationship of the 40GBASE ded) with other sublayers to th I."		
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 82	SC 82.1.4	P167	L16	# 83
Per comment				Hajduczen		ZTE Corp.		
Response	Response Status C			Comment	Tvpe TR	Comment Status R		
REJECT. Correct as is.	Die	/ 00	# <u>D</u>	It is no transm per PC	ot clear how you nission capacity CS lane to 1000	change from 10.3125 Gtransf Likewise, it is not clear how y transmission capacity. Some	ou change from text needs to b	n 5.15625 Gtransfers/s e added, which clarifies
C/ 82 SC 82.1.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 165 ZTE Corp.	L 26	# 81	how m	any PCS lanes	are aggregated to provide the	overal transmis	ssion capacity.
•	•			Suggested				
Comment Type T	Comment Status A B encoding is a methodology to	add alignment	markers and distribute		omment			
data to multiple lane	s. this sentence reads plain old	strange. Can yo		Response		Response Status W		
into two independen	t sentences, which will be much	clealer.		REJE(This is	-	as it states, the number of PCS	S lanes are deta	iled elsewhere for each
SuggestedRemedy Per comment					•	e multiplication to get the aggr		
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEPT IN PRINCI		add alignment	markers and distribute					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s	Ethernet cor	nments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 82 SC 82.2.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 168 ZTE Corp.	L1	# 84	<i>CI</i> 80 Gustlin, M	SC 80.3.2	P131 Cisco Systems,	L 26	# 87
Comment Type T In Figure 822, "inst:IS	Comment Status A S_UNITDATA_i.request: is rep indication ". Remove the secor			Comment In figu might	<i>Type</i> E ure 80-2, there is make sense to r remove the de <i>dRemedy</i>	Comment Status D s a definition for XLAUI, but no m label the interface between the 2 finition of XLAUI. Same commen	nention of XLA 2 pmas as an	optional XLAUI. Either
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			'	Response POSED ACCEP	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.		
C/ 80 SC 80.1.1 Gustlin, Mark Comment Type E	P 125 Cisco Systems Comment Status D	L 9 , Inc.	# 85	CĂUI	are physical ins	lustrate the service interface rela tantiation of PMA service interfa g 80-2 and CAUI from Fig 80-3.		
"Physical Layer entities Should refer to Table 8 SuggestedRemedy Change to 80-1 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W	able 80-2"		C/ 80 Gustlin, M Comment The n Suggester as ab	<i>Type</i> T naximum bit tim dRemedy	P135 Cisco Systems, Comment Status A e entry for 40G mac should be 1		# <u>88</u> 40.
C/ 80 SC 80.2.4 Gustlin, Mark Comment Type E In this sentance: "The	P129 Cisco Systems Comment Status D 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-		# 86	Response ACCE)	Response Status C		
transmit and receive da interface, and the map the PMA and PMD via	ata streams between the PCS ping and multiplexing of transm the PMD service interface" erminology: first is says data st	and PMA via t nit and receive	he PMA service bit streams between		<i>Type</i> E is strange to have k border betwee	P135 Cisco Systems, Comment Status D ve a blank row for separating 400 n the two instead.		# 89
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT On line 22: Change "bi sentence.	Response Status W "IN PRINCIPLE. it streams" to "data streams" to	be consistent	with the previous	as ab Proposed	-	Response Status W T.		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 82 SC 82.2.14 Gustlin, Mark	P 181 Cisco System	L 7 ns, Inc.	# 90	C/ 45 Szczepane	SC 45.2.1.95 k, Andre		P 61 HSZ Consult	<i>L</i> 25 ing Ltd	# 92
Comment Type E Change: due to bit error for example to: due to a bit error for examp SuggestedRemedy				provide an opti In orde	efinition of the "P ed in both transn cal test pattern.	nit and receive (See line 48) jearbox PMA to	it requires that directions even support PRE	en though the PF	ation capability be RBS9 pattern is strictly o the optics it would be
as above				Suggested	•				
Proposed Response F PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			1 = Tra 0 = Tra	e the name of 1. ansmit direction I ansmit direction I e the paragraph	PRBS9 pattern PRBS9 pattern	generation sigeneration n	upported	description field to
CI 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 Szczepanek, Andre Comment Type T	P 44 HSZ Consulti Comment Status A	L 17 ng Ltd	# 91	When genera the ger	read as a one, re tion or checking nerator and chec	egister 1.307, b , and register 1 ker types that a	it 6 indicates .307. In this c are indicated	case, it shall sup by the assertion	supports PRBS31 port that test for all of of bits 3:0. supports PRBS9
Although the text in clause text for the global PMA/PM operation.	45 for the transmit and r	eceive fault bits h t been updated to	nas been updated, the o cover 40/100Gbps	genera <i>Response</i>	etion in the transi	nit direction. Response S			
45.2.1.2.1 currently says :				ACCLI					
both) the PMA or the PMD		dition on either th	e transmit or receive	Clause	e 83 allows PRB	S9 generation i	n both the tra	nsmit and receiv	e directions.
both) the PMA or the PMD paths. When read as a zer detected a fault condition. fault bits (1.8.11, 1.8.10) lo	has detected a fault con ro, bit 1.1.7 indicates that For 10 Gb/s operation, bi pocated in register 1.8 are	dition on either th neither the PMA it 1.1.7 is set to a set to a one. For	e transmit or receive nor the PMD has one when either of the 10PASS-TS or 2BASE-	Chang	e definition of bit	s 1.307.0 throu	ıgh 1.307.7 ir		est pattern ability
both) the PMA or the PMD paths. When read as a zer detected a fault condition.	has detected a fault con- ro, bit 1.1.7 indicates that For 10 Gb/s operation, bi pocated in register 1.8 are as a one, a fault has been	dition on either th neither the PMA t 1.1.7 is set to a set to a one. For n detected and m	e transmit or receive nor the PMD has one when either of the 10PASS-TS or 2BASE- nore detailed	Chang registe	e definition of bit	s 1.307.0 throus indicated for e	igh 1.307.7 ir each supporte	n Table 45-65a T	est pattern ability
both) the PMA or the PMD paths. When read as a zer detected a fault condition. fault bits (1.8.11, 1.8.10) lo TL operations, when read a information is conveyed in SuggestedRemedy Add change instructions to	has detected a fault con- ro, bit 1.1.7 indicates that For 10 Gb/s operation, bi ocated in register 1.8 are as a one, a fault has beer 45.2.1.16, 45.2.1.39, 45.	dition on either th neither the PMA t 1.1.7 is set to a set to a one. For n detected and m 2.1.40, and 45.2.	ne transmit or receive nor the PMD has one when either of the 10PASS-TS or 2BASE- nore detailed 1.55.	Chang registe	e definition of bit r so that ability is corresponding ch SC Table 45 -	s 1.307.0 throus indicated for enanges in Claus	igh 1.307.7 ir each supporte	n Table 45-65a T ed permutation s 	est pattern ability
both) the PMA or the PMD paths. When read as a zer detected a fault condition. fault bits (1.8.11, 1.8.10) lo TL operations, when read a information is conveyed in SuggestedRemedy Add change instructions to Fault is a global PMA/PMD both) the PMA or the PMD paths. When read as a zer detected a fault condition.	has detected a fault con- ro, bit 1.1.7 indicates that For 10 Gb/s operation, bi- poted in register 1.8 are as a one, a fault has beer 45.2.1.16, 45.2.1.39, 45. make 45.2.1.2.1 say : variable. When read as has detected a fault con- ro, bit 1.1.7 indicates that For 10/40/100 Gb/s operation	dition on either th neither the PMA t 1.1.7 is set to a set to a one. For n detected and m 2.1.40, and 45.2. a one, bit 1.1.7 ir dition on either th neither the PMA ation, bit 1.1.7 is	ne transmit or receive nor the PMD has one when either of the 10PASS-TS or 2BASE- nore detailed 1.55. hdicates that either (or ne transmit or receive nor the PMD has set to a one when	Chang registe Make c C/ 45 Szczepane Comment T The Re	e definition of bit r so that ability is corresponding ch SC Table 45 - k, Andre <i>Type</i> E	 as 1.307.0 through the sindicated for the sindicated for	ngh 1.307.7 ir each supporte se 83. P39 HSZ Consult Status D	n Table 45-65a T ed permutation s 	est pattern ability eparately. # 93
both) the PMA or the PMD paths. When read as a zer detected a fault condition. fault bits (1.8.11, 1.8.10) lo TL operations, when read a information is conveyed in SuggestedRemedy Add change instructions to Fault is a global PMA/PMD both) the PMA or the PMD paths. When read as a zer	has detected a fault con- ro, bit 1.1.7 indicates that For 10 Gb/s operation, bi- poted in register 1.8 are as a one, a fault has beer 45.2.1.16, 45.2.1.39, 45. make 45.2.1.2.1 say : 0 variable. When read as has detected a fault con- ro, bit 1.1.7 indicates that For 10/40/100 Gb/s oper 11, 1.8.10) located in reg ns, when read as a one, a	dition on either th neither the PMA t 1.1.7 is set to a set to a one. For n detected and m 2.1.40, and 45.2. a one, bit 1.1.7 ir dition on either th neither the PMA ation, bit 1.1.7 is ister 1.8 are set to fault has been d	ne transmit or receive nor the PMD has one when either of the 10PASS-TS or 2BASE- nore detailed 1.55. ndicates that either (or ne transmit or receive nor the PMD has set to a one when o a one. For 10PASS- letected and more	Chang registe Make o Cl 45 Szczepane Comment T The Re The cla Suggested change	e definition of bit r so that ability is corresponding ch SC Table 45 - sk, Andre <i>Type</i> E egister names of ause references <i>Remedy</i> e name of 1.308	s 1.307.0 throus indicated for entropy of the sindicated for the sindicated foret for the sindicated for the sindicated f	igh 1.307.7 in each supporte se 83. P 39 HSZ Consult Status D 3 and 1.309 in ve testing con	n Table 45-65a T ed permutation s <i>L</i> 35 ing Ltd n this table are so	est pattern ability eparately. # 93
both) the PMA or the PMD paths. When read as a zer detected a fault condition. fault bits (1.8.11, 1.8.10) lo TL operations, when read a information is conveyed in SuggestedRemedy Add change instructions to Fault is a global PMA/PMD both) the PMA or the PMD paths. When read as a zer detected a fault condition. either of the fault bits (1.8. ⁻ TS or 2BASE-TL operation detailed information is com	has detected a fault con- ro, bit 1.1.7 indicates that For 10 Gb/s operation, bi- poted in register 1.8 are as a one, a fault has beer 45.2.1.16, 45.2.1.39, 45. make 45.2.1.2.1 say : 0 variable. When read as has detected a fault con- ro, bit 1.1.7 indicates that For 10/40/100 Gb/s oper 11, 1.8.10) located in reg ns, when read as a one, a	dition on either th neither the PMA t 1.1.7 is set to a set to a one. For n detected and m 2.1.40, and 45.2. a one, bit 1.1.7 ir dition on either th neither the PMA ation, bit 1.1.7 is ister 1.8 are set to fault has been d	ne transmit or receive nor the PMD has one when either of the 10PASS-TS or 2BASE- nore detailed 1.55. ndicates that either (or ne transmit or receive nor the PMD has set to a one when o a one. For 10PASS- letected and more	Chang registe Make of Cl 45 Szczepane Comment 7 The Re The cla Suggested change change	e definition of bit r so that ability is corresponding ch SC Table 45 - k, Andre <i>Type</i> E egister names of ause references <i>Remedy</i> e name of 1.308 e name of 1.309	 as 1.307.0 throus indicated for each anges in Claus anges in Claus Comment S registers 1.308 are correct. to "Square way to "PRBS patter Response S 	igh 1.307.7 in each supporte se 83. P39 HSZ Consult Status D 3 and 1.309 in we testing context testing context tatus W	n Table 45-65a T ed permutation s <i>L</i> 35 ing Ltd n this table are so	est pattern ability eparately. # 93

Draft 3.0	Comments
-----------	----------

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.96 P 62 L 6 # 94 Szczepanek, Andre HSZ Consulting Ltd Image: Construction of the second sec	C/ 83 SC 83.5.2 P 209 L 34 # 96 Braun, Ralf-Peter Deutsche Telekom AG
Comment Type T Comment Status A The name of this register and its bits is ambiguous as to the direction of the "square wave testing" that is being controlled. This sub-clause could be interpreted as indicating a	Comment Type T Comment Status A There is a numbering mismatch. The value of 4.2 in the second lane of the 4 Lane PMA Output does not correspond with
Indicate explicitly that square wave testing is a transmit direction pattern abilility only. Change name of register to "Tx Square wave testing control" here, the accompanying paragraph, and in Table 45-3. In the Description column of Table 45-65b change all instances of "square wave" to "transmit direction square wave"	The value of 4.2 in the second rate of the 4 Lane FMA Output does not correspond with the related 10 Lane PMA Input value. SuggestedRemedy Change the value from 4.2 to 4.4. Response Response Status CCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Response Response Status C	See suggested remedy and response to comment #476
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The register name does not need to fully define function of the register - that job is	C/ 83 SC 83.5.2 P 209 L 42 # 97 Braun, Ralf-Peter Deutsche Telekom AG 4 97
performed in Clause 83. Change the text of 45.2.1.96 to add clarity - from: From "The square wave testing control and status register is used for PHY types that	Comment Type T Comment Status A There is a numbering mismatch. The value of 4.1 in the second lane of the 4 Lane PMA Output does not correspond with the related 10 Lane PMA Input value.
implement square wave testing in the PMA."	SuggestedRemedy
To "The square wave testing control and status register is used for PHY types that implement transmit square wave testing in the PMA."	Change the value from 4.1 to 4.3. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
C/ 83 SC 83.5.2 P 209 L 25 # 95 Braun, Ralf-Peter Deutsche Telekom AG	See suggested remedy and response to comment #476
Comment Type T Comment Status A There is a numbering mismatch. The value of 4.3 in the second lane of the 4 Lane PMA Output does not correspond with	CI 83SC 83.5.2P 209L 51# 98Braun, Ralf-PeterDeutsche Telekom AGComment TypeEComment StatusD
the related 10 Lane PMA Input value.	There is a typo: "Onput"
SuggestedRemedy Change the value from 4.3 to 4.5.	SuggestedRemedy
Response Response Status C	Change to "Output".
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
	PROPOSED AGGEPT.

Draft 3.0 Comments	3	IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet com	ments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Latchman, Ryan	P 397	L 24	# 99	C/ 83B Latchman,	SC 83B.4.3 Ryan	P 407	L 37	# 102
and Figure 83B-7 incl SuggestedRemedy "Change sentense to:	Comment Status A ng sentence should be 5.1562 ude the loss associated with th "Figure 83B-5 ude the loss associated with th <i>Response Status</i> C	e HCB and MC	B at 5.5 GHz."""	statem Suggestea Add M Response ACCE	Dupling for both T bent IRemedy C15 AC coupling	Comment Status A TX and RX paths shall be I g for both Tx and Rx Response Status C	ocated in the mod	ule." needs a PICs
See sugggested reme Cl 83B SC 83B.4.3 Latchman, Ryan	P 407	L 6	# 100	section	e: AC coupling t n: 83B.2.1 present in modu			
Comment Type E Single ended output v interface SuggestedRemedy Remove MC1 Response ACCEPT.	Comment Status A oltage range is no longer in 83 Response Status C	B.2.1 since it is	an AC coupled	Suggested	<i>Type</i> E ve HC12 since th	P 408 Comment Status A his is covered in MC15 Response Status C	L 19	# 103
See comment 680	P 407	L 36	# 101	ACCE See su	PT. uggested remedy			
Latchman, Ryan Comment Type G "De-emphasis shall be SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A e off during jitter testing" should	l have a PICs s	statement	C/ 82 Marris, Art <i>Comment</i>		P190 Cadence D Comment Status A	L 12 resign Syste	# <u>104</u>
	sis off during jitter testing Response Status C			Suggested		tate am_invld_cnt is not w	itten correctly	
ACCEPT. See suggested remed Feature: De-emphasi section: 83B.2.1 value: off	ly s setting during module jitter e	valuation		Response ACCE		Response Status C		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 104

Page 22 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:27 AM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

X 82 SC 82.2.14 P181 L 14 # 105 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste	C/ 45 SC Table 45-3 P 39 L 16 # 108 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste
Comment Type E Comment Status D	Comment Type T Comment Status A
Should the 3 in BIP3 be a subscript?	The 802.3ba PCS has been designed to support speeds higher than 100G. Higher speeds
SuggestedRemedy	are likely to require more virtual and physical lanes but the register map does not allow any room for expansion.
Make the 3 in BIP3 a subscript.	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Please renumber the registers leaving a reserved space after each set of registers for virtual and physical lanes to allow room for future expansion.
Dupe of #460	Response Response Status C
2/82 SC 82.2.18.2.4 P185 L25 # 106	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Arris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste	This is remedied by comment #701
Comment Type T Comment Status A	C/ 83A SC 83A.2.1 P15 L277 # 109
This says ber_count is 20 bits but Clause 45 in 45.2.3.16a on page 75 line 5 says the counter is 22 bits.	Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type T Comment Status A
Please chack whether this counter is 20 or 22 bits and reconcile with Clause 45.	Figure 83A-2 has the caption "Definition of transmit and receive test points", yet the figure
If it is 22 bits also need to change 3.44.13:0 to 3.44.15:0 Also regardless of counter size add 3.44.?:0 to BER entry in Table 82-7.	presents compliance points. Is the "test point" and "complaince point" one and the same? so, why use two different terms ?
Response Response Status C	SuggestedRemedy
Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Dupe of #464	SuggestedRemedy Per comment, clarify whether "test point" and "complaince point" is one and the same or not.
ACCEPT. Dupe of #464	Per comment, clarify whether "test point" and "complaince point" is one and the same or
ACCEPT. Dupe of #464 2/ 45 SC 45.2.3.16a P73 L5 # 107	Per comment, clarify whether "test point" and "complaince point" is one and the same or not.
ACCEPT. Dupe of #464 2/ 45 SC 45.2.3.16a P73 L5 # 107	Per comment, clarify whether "test point" and "complaince point" is one and the same or not. Response Response Status C
ACCEPT. Dupe of #464 2/ 45 SC 45.2.3.16a P73 L5 # 107 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste	Per comment, clarify whether "test point" and "complaince point" is one and the same or not. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
ACCEPT. Dupe of #464 27 45 SC 45.2.3.16a P73 L5 # 107 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste Comment Type T Comment Status A Is the BER counter 22 or 20 bits? 82.2.18.2.4 says ber_count is 20 bits. Also if it is 22 bits then the description on line 53 on page 72 should be "Bits 21:6 of BER counter".	Per comment, clarify whether "test point" and "complaince point" is one and the same or not. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Rename figure to "Figure 83A-2 - Definition of transmit and receive compliance points" C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3 P47 L378 Image: 110
ACCEPT. Dupe of #464 2/ 45 SC 45.2.3.16a P73 L5 # 107 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste Comment Type T Comment Status A Is the BER counter 22 or 20 bits? 82.2.18.2.4 says ber_count is 20 bits. Also if it is 22 bits then the description on line 53 on page 72 should be "Bits 21:6 of BER	Per comment, clarify whether "test point" and "complaince point" is one and the same or not. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Rename figure to "Figure 83A-2 - Definition of transmit and receive compliance points" C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3 P47 L 378 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.
ACCEPT. Dupe of #464 2/ 45 SC 45.2.3.16a P73 L5 # 107 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste Comment Type T Comment Status A Is the BER counter 22 or 20 bits? 82.2.18.2.4 says ber_count is 20 bits. Also if it is 22 bits then the description on line 53 on page 72 should be "Bits 21:6 of BER counter". SuggestedRemedy Reconcile with Clause 82 and assuming it is 22 bits change: Bits 19:6 of BER counter	Per comment, clarify whether "test point" and "complaince point" is one and the same or not. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Rename figure to "Figure 83A-2 - Definition of transmit and receive compliance points" C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3 P47 L 378 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. Comment Type E Comment Status D Missing comma after "between components"
ACCEPT. Dupe of #464 2/ 45 SC 45.2.3.16a P73 L5 # 107 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste Comment Type T Comment Status A Is the BER counter 22 or 20 bits? 82.2.18.2.4 says ber_count is 20 bits. Also if it is 22 bits then the description on line 53 on page 72 should be "Bits 21:6 of BER counter". SuggestedRemedy Reconcile with Clause 82 and assuming it is 22 bits change: Bits 19:6 of BER counter to	Per comment, clarify whether "test point" and "complaince point" is one and the same or not. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Rename figure to "Figure 83A-2 - Definition of transmit and receive compliance points" C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3 P47 L378 # 110 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. Comment Type E Comment Status D
ACCEPT. Dupe of #464 27 45 SC 45.2.3.16a P73 L5 # 107 larris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste Comment Type T Comment Status A Is the BER counter 22 or 20 bits? 82.2.18.2.4 says ber_count is 20 bits. Also if it is 22 bits then the description on line 53 on page 72 should be "Bits 21:6 of BER counter". SuggestedRemedy Reconcile with Clause 82 and assuming it is 22 bits change: Bits 19:6 of BER counter to Bits 21:6 of BER counter	Per comment, clarify whether "test point" and "complaince point" is one and the same or not. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Rename figure to "Figure 83A-2 - Definition of transmit and receive compliance points" C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3 P47 L 378 # 110 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. Comment Type E Comment Status D Missing comma after "between components" SuggestedRemedy Per comment
ACCEPT. Dupe of #464 2/ 45 SC 45.2.3.16a P73 L5 # 107 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste Comment Type T Comment Status A Is the BER counter 22 or 20 bits? 82.2.18.2.4 says ber_count is 20 bits. Also if it is 22 bits then the description on line 53 on page 72 should be "Bits 21:6 of BER counter". SuggestedRemedy Reconcile with Clause 82 and assuming it is 22 bits change: Bits 19:6 of BER counter to	Per comment, clarify whether "test point" and "complaince point" is one and the same or not. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Rename figure to "Figure 83A-2 - Definition of transmit and receive compliance points" CI 83A SC 83A.3.3 P47 L 378 # 110 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. Comment Type E Comment Status D Missing comma after "between components" SuggestedRemedy
ACCEPT. Dupe of #464 2/ 45 SC 45.2.3.16a P73 L5 # 107 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste Comment Type T Comment Status A Is the BER counter 22 or 20 bits? 82.2.18.2.4 says ber_count is 20 bits. Also if it is 22 bits then the description on line 53 on page 72 should be "Bits 21:6 of BER counter". SuggestedRemedy Reconcile with Clause 82 and assuming it is 22 bits change: Bits 19:6 of BER counter to Bits 21:6 of BER counter Response Response Status C	Per comment, clarify whether "test point" and "complaince point" is one and the same or not. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Rename figure to "Figure 83A-2 - Definition of transmit and receive compliance points" CI 83A SC 83A.3.3 P47 L378 # 10 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. Comment Type E Comment Status D Missing comma after "between components" SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P80	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	nd 100Gb/s I	Ethernet com	iments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 83A SC 83A.7.2.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 40 ZTE Corp.	L 39 1	# 111	C/ 83A Hajduczeni	SC 83A.7.5 ia, Marek	P 7 ZTE Corp.	L 393	# 113
Comment Type T (1) "IEEE 802.3 Std. 802.3 Annex83A" - scrub the draf There is nothing like "IEEE 2008" - scrub the draft to m	t to make this designation Std 802.3-2007" - this mu	consistent acro ust be changed t	ss various clauses(2) o "IEEE Std 802.3-	Suggested	RC2, the BER	Comment Status A should read "10-12" and not "1	E-12"	
SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change to:	esponse Status C			Chang See co [Edito	PT IN PRINCIPL te to 10 supersci omment 587 r's note: This co er fields to 83A]		ce corrected cla	ause/subclause
IEEE Std 802.3ba-20xx See comment 393				C/ 83B Hajduczeni		P 49 ZTE Corp.	L 396	# 114
Cl 83A SC 83A.7.3 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T ((1) Item RATE has inconsis fact that "Leverages 64B/60 Support column for items R inapplicable? SuggestedRemedy	6B coding" got to do with	the data rate? (2) Why there is "N/A" in	This te as "chi Suggested Per co Response	83B-3 should harm is also used ip-to-module". U	Comment Status A ave a caption that reads "Chip- throughout the clause, even th se one designation consistent Response Status C _E.	ough before it v	
Per comment Response R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace "Leverages 64B/64 coding" with "10.3125Gb/s (nominal)" Remove N/A from support	esponse Status C 6B			C/ 83B Hajduczeni Comment It is sa points. Suggested Clarify	Type TR id in the text tha I do not see an <i>Remedy</i> where the said figures.	Ighout. P18 ZTE Corp. Comment Status A t Figure83B-5 and Figure 83B- y on these figures. compliance points are located Response Status W		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 115

Page 24 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:27 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P80	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	and 100Gb/s Ethernet of	comments	Sponsor ballot
C/ 83C SC 83C Hajduczenia, Marek	P1 ZTE Corp.	L 409	# 116	CI 83C SC 83C Hajduczenia, Marek	P1 L40 ZTE Corp.	9 # 117
Comment Type T Figures in these Annex Eliminate it or change t	Comment Status R contain caption with the word to read "Example of"?	"Example" whic	ch seems redundant.	5	Comment Status D tion are sparsely distributed. Tryi fitting two	figures per page.
SuggestedRemedy Per comment	·			SuggestedRemedy Per comment		
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status W EPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
				Editorial license.		

It is correct that having "Example" in the title of the Annex and in each of the figures is redundant, but it is safer to label each figure as an example so that anyone looking at the figure in isolation is aware that it is an illustrative example and not a required configuration. The list of examples is not exhaustive, and a valid implementation may not match any that are shown. Also, it was an agreement of the Task Force that one example (Figure 83-2) should go in the main body and others in an Annex. Figure 83-2 clearly needs to be labeled as an example, and keeping the titles of Annex 83C figures as is maintains consistency with the title of Figure 83-2.

The pagination of this text can be improved. At a minimum, the heading 83C.2 should be moved onto the same page as 83.C.2.1, and the size of the legend boxes on Figure 83C-2 can be reduced.

The opportunity to reduce the sparseness is limited given the template and style guidelines. Floating figures are not an option here since there is no text, and each figure needs to remain under the heading that describes it. There are 54 lines of text space available per page. A heading uses 3 lines. The various figure sizes are:

83C-1 - 24 lines 83C-2 - 28 lines

83C-3 - 25 lines

83C-4 - 25 lines

83C-5 - 29 lines

So no two Figures plus their headings will fit on a single page. The legends for the Figures are already at the smallest point size permitted. There is redundancy in the legends from one Figure from the next, but I don't find a precedent in the base text for having a separate, common legend that applies to multiple figures.

C/ 86A	SC 86A	.8.2.2	P 44	o 1	47	# 118	
Hajduczenia	, Marek		ZTE C	orp.			
Comment Ty	vpe T	Con	nment Status	R			393
IEEE St	d 802.3ba	a-20xx.) shou	d read "IEEE	Std 802.3-200	08.)"		

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Response

Response Status C

REJECT. [Editor's note: Clause/subclause numbers changed, page and line numbers reversed] IEEE Std 802.3ba-20xx is correct. See recently published amendments such as IEEE Std 802.3av-2009 Page 47. See also comment 393.

C/ 88 SC 88.3.1 P339 L6 # 119	C/ 88 SC 88.3.2 P 339 L 10 # 120
ajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.	Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.
omment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status R
in some of the clauses there are references to units of "BT" (bit times) and in some locations there are references to units of "bit times"(1) BT (bit times) used on 363/23,	The text in 88.3.2 is clear, but it is always better to have such skew requirements presented in the form a table.
29/41,(2) bit time used on 365/23, 365/26, 365/29, 365/33, 365/34, 365/39, 365/43, 134/4 225/4, 225/5, 237/27, 227/28, 237/31, 237/32,	^{3,} SuggestedRemedy
uggestedRemedy	Add a table with the skew requirements into all clauses which contain PMD definitions and contain similar textual description to 88.3.2
Use a consistent designation across clauses. The use of "BT" is suggested.	Response Response Status C
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's Note: Page changed from 6 to 339 and Line changed from 339 to 6] In clause 4 (29/41) the format used matches that used in NOTE 4 in the base standard. Likewise, in clause 4A (363/23) the format used matches that used in NOTE 1 to NOTE 3 in the base standard. Also, in clause 74 "BT" is used to be consistent with clause 74 in the base standard. The remainder of the draft uses "bit time".	
However, there is an inconsistency in whether the term contains a hyphen. In the base standard "bit time" has 335 ocurrences and "bit-time" has 10 ocurrences.	C/ 88 SC 88.6 P 343 L 47 # 121 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. ZTE Corp.
Change all ocurrences of "bit-time" to "bit time". Clause 81 - 2 instances Clause 82 - 1 instance Clause 84 - 1 instance Clause 85 - 1 instance Clause 86 - 3 instances Clause 87 - 2 instances Clause 88 - 2 instances	Comment Type T Comment Status A Change the text of the Note to read as follows: NOTE - There is no requirement to associate a particular electrical lane with a particular optical lane, as the PCS is capable of receiving lanes in any arrangement. Also, clarify what lanes are meant - are these PMD lanes or PCS lanes? SuggestedRemedy Per comment
	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: Page changed from 47 to 343 and Line changed from 343 to 47] These lanes are clearly not PCS lanes as there are 20 PCS lanes for 100GBASE-R.

These lanes are clearly not PCS lanes as there are 20 PCS lanes for 100GBASE-R. Change "NOTE-There is no requirement to modulate a particular electrical lane on to a particular optical lane, as the PCS is capable of receiving with the lanes in any arrangement." to "NOTE-There is no requirement to associate a particular electrical lane with a particular optical lane, as the PCS is capable of receiving lanes in any arrangement."

# 87 SC 87.6 P 313 L 38 # 122 ajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.	C/ 88 SC 88.7 P 344 L 2 # 124 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. 2 4 124
<i>omment Type</i> T <i>Comment Status</i> A Change the text of the Note to read as follows: NOTE - There is no requirement to associate a particular electrical lane with a particular optical lane, as the PCS is capable of receiving lanes in any arrangement. Also, clarify what lanes are meant - are these PMD lanes or PCS lanes?	Comment Type E Comment Status D considered compliant (e.g., a 100GBASELR4 PMD operating at 12.5km meets the operating range requirement of 2m to 10km). change to read"considered compliant, e.g., a 100GBASELR4 PMD operating at 12.5km meets the operating range requirement of 2m to 10km."
uggestedRemedy Per comment	SuggestedRemedy Per comment. No need to hide the example in braces.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: Page and line numbers reversed] For 40GBASE-LR4 the physical lanes have a one to one correspondence with the PCS lanes, so there is no need to distinguish between them.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. [Editor's note: Page changed from 2 to 344 and Line changed from 344 to 2] Putting the example in brackets makes the sentence easier to read. This is also the format used in the base standard (see 52.5)
Change "NOTE-There is no requirement to modulate a particular electrical lane on to a particular optical lane, as the PCS is capable of receiving with the lanes in any arrangement." to "NOTE-There is no requirement to associate a particular electrical lane with a particular optical lane, as the PCS is capable of receiving lanes in any arrangement."	C/ 87 SC 87.7.2 P 11 L 315 # 125 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. ZTE Corp.
see also comment 121 / 88 SC 88.5.8 P 342 L 43 # 123	Comment Type T Comment Status R Table 87-8 is missing a Type column, which would include information on whether the given value is max/min or otherwise. See e.g. tables in clause 86 or others for comparisonSimilar comment against Table 87-7, page 314/17
ajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. omment Type T Comment Status A	SuggestedRemedy Per comment
(1) Change the title of subclause 88.5.8 to read"PMD lane-by-lane transmit disable function (optional)."Comment applicable to 342/42, 228/15, 242/7, 285/32, 312/37, 342/43(2) Unify the call to "lane-by-lane". Some clauses use "lane by lane", some "lane-by-lane". Suggest to use "lane-by-lane" consistently. Scrub the draft as needed. uggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C REJECT. The description column clearly says whether the parameter is a max or min spec and this table only has one parameter for which there is both a minimum and maximum specification.
Per comment Pesponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's Note: Page changed from 43 to 342 and Line changed from 342 to 43] Change "lane by lane" to "lane-by-lane". Clause 86 - 3 instances Clause 87 - 2 instances Clause 88 - 3 instances	CI 87 SC 87.7.2 P1 L 314 # 126 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. ZTE Corp. Comment Type E Comment Status D is considered compliant (e.g., operating at 12.5km meets the operating range requirement of 2m to 10km).change to read"is considered compliant e.g., operating at 12.5km meets the operating range requirement of 2m to 10km." SuggestedRemedy Per comment, no need to hide the example in braces. Proposed Response Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft 3	.0 Comments		IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s	Etherr	net comr	ments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 87 Hajduczer	SC 87.8.5 nia, Marek	P 317 ZTE Corp.	L 53	# 127	<i>Cl</i> 86 Hajduczer		86.10.1 ek	P 296 ZTE Corp.	L 45	# 129
patter (see ∃ Suggeste no ne Response REJE [Edito	is as defined in 5: inchange to read Table 87-11) test <i>dRemedy</i> ed to repeat informe CT. In's note: Page nu isn't exactly as de	Comment Status R 2.9.5 for measurement with a 'OMA is as defined in 52.9.5 f pattern matuion included already in Ta <i>Response Status</i> C mber and line number reverse fined in 52.9.5, there is an ex	or measureme able 87-11 d]	nt with a square wave	denot Suggeste Clarify Response ACCE [Edito Move	igure 86 e lanes, d <i>Remed</i> what th PT IN F r's note: text beg	fibres, ca ly ne "4 or 10 PRINCIPLI Page and jinning p2	Comment Status A re the numbers (4 or 10) whi ble bundles etc.? " refers to on Figure 86-5 Response Status C E. d line numbers reversed] 97 line 24, "The fiber optic ca ne." to p296 line 35.		
2/ 86	SC 86.10.1 nia, Marek	P 297 ZTE Corp.	L3	# 128	C/ 86 Hajduczer		86.8.4.3 ek	P 293 ZTE Corp.	L 22	# 130
Comment Table place	<i>Type</i> ER 86-13 is located the anchor in the ems with Figure 8	Comment Status A inside of the text block, cutting proper location and set the o 6-4, page 294/48; Figure 86-2	, phan sentence	es accordingly. Similar	patter (see⊺ Suggeste	is as dei nchange Table 86 dRemed	e to read " -12) test p ly		for measureme	
Suggeste	dRemedy						peat inforr	natuion included already in T	able 86-12	
Response ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPL				Want	PT IN F to be su	ire the rea	Response Status C E. [Editor's note: Page and I der realises that 8+8 is mea aragraph "See 86.8.2 for test	nt, rather than a	any other square wave
	rently the "numbe	d line numbers reversed] r of orphan lines" control does	n't correct this	as expected. Fix by	<i>Cl</i> 86 Hajduczei		86.8.2 ek	P 290 ZTE Corp.	L 33	# 131
					Comment Such		T (as 86-10)	Comment Status A should be also included in the	he copper PHY	Cl85 (clauses, which for no

which for now contain only textual description of what the test points are and where they are located.

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Response

Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: Page and line numbers reversed]

Test points for back-plane are adequately defined in 84.7.1 which references the base text in 71.6.1.

Under 85.7.1 Link block diagram create table of entries summarizing textual description of test points.

Comment ID # 131

Draft 3.0 Commer	ts	IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ai	nd 100Gb/s I	Ethern	et con	nments		Sponsor ballot
Cl 86 SC 86.10. Hajduczenia, Marek	2.1 P297 ZTE Corp.	L 38	# 132	C/ 86 Hajduczeni	SC 8 ia, Mare	-	Р 282 ZTE Corp.	L 44	# 134
	Comment Status D within the 40GBASESR4 or 10 fiber used for the 40GBASES			not ap	GBASE- ply.chan	ge to re	Comment Status R ne highest-numbered six of the te ad "For 40GBASE-SR4, the high of ten lane-by-lane transmit disab	nest six lane-	-by-lane transmit disable
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				Suggested Per co	<i>Remedy</i> mment	/			
Proposed Response REJECT. This comment was	Response Status Z	er.		Bits ar	e not sig	gnals. N	Response Status C te: Page and line numbers revers lot sure if control variables are or eed not even exist. No need to i	r not. It's mo	
The commenter has The proposed chan to be met at the tim	[Editor's note: Page and line numbers reversed] The commenter has withdrawn this comment. The proposed change is not an improvement as it implies that the requirements only have to be met at the time of installation. The current text was inserted by comment 519 against draft 1.0				GBASE	k T -SR4, tř	P 283 ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> R he highest-numbered six of the te		
Cl 86 SC 86.4 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T	P 282 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R	L 31	# 133	signals Suggested	s from th	ie pool o	'For 40GBASE-SR4, the highest of ten lane-by-lane signal detect s		
Why is 'Transmit di Table 86-3? Similar reason, please state SuggestedRemedy	sable 9" separated from "Transr question about PMD signal dete it in the form of a Note under th	ect in Table 86-4		Response REJEC	CT. [Edi		Response Status C te: Page and line numbers revers nent 134.	sed]	
"Transmit disable 9	Response Status C and line numbers reversed] is separated from "Transmit dis bit 1.9.10 not 1.9.1 (bit ordering		mit disable 0" to show						

Page 29 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:28 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s	and 100Gb/s Ethernet co	omments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 86 SC 86.7.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 288 ZTE Corp.	L1 # 1 <u>36</u>	C/ 86 SC 86.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 280 ZTE Corp.	L7	# 138
and some 'or'. Why is 'o	Comment Status A to 40GBASE-SR4 / 100GBASE- or used in case of definition of pa n Table 86-8 suggests the use of			Comment Status R v and conventions, references, d enced as [B1], [B2], etc.)" - refer se standard.		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment			SuggestedRemedy per comment			
Response	Response Status C		Response	Response Status C		
Clause contains two sp			This provides refere read standards from	note: Page and line numbers rev nce to important material once in the front. The front is thousand ntions, definitions and abbreviati	n the clause, for ds of pages awa	y in a different file.
"Table 86-6-40GBASE- 86-8-40GBASE-SR4 ar 40GBASE-SR4 and 10 40GBASE-SR4 and 40	nd 100GBASE-SR10 optical rece DGBASE-SR10 illustrative link po	al transmit characteristics", "Table ver characteristics", "86.7.4 wer budget", "Table 86-9- ver budget" and first sentences of	Cl 86 SC 86.1 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T These two PMDs ar there are different d SuggestedRemedy	P 279 ZTE Corp. Comment Status A e very similar strike this one o efinitions of PMDs.	L 28 ut. They are diff	# <u>139</u> erent after all, since
C/ 86 SC 86.5.7	P 285	L 26 # 137	Per comment			
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		Response	Response Status C		
the terms the "variable	is set" and "vartiable is reset", wh consistently in the draft. There a	o zero". It is more common to use ich means that it is set to one or e multiple locations where there is		and line numbers reversed] ar, differing only in number of lan	es. But the sen	tence is not necessary.
SuggestedRemedy Per comment						
Response	Response Status C					

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[Editor's note: Page and line numbers reversed]

Including "to zero" is explicit. Draft has "set to zero" 12 times, "reset to zero" once, "reset to all zeros" 10 times. 45.5.3.7 has "clears to zero" twice. "reset" is used as an operation ("PHY reset") or even a Boolean variable. Base standard doesn't seem consistent.

For a counter, use "reset to all zeros" and for a single bit, use "set to zero", throughout 802.3ba.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P80)2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s Etherne	et comi	ments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 86 SC 86.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 279 ZTE Corp.	L 30	# 140	C/ 83A SC 83 Hajduczenia, Marek		P 14 ZTE Corp.	L 376	# 142
	Comment Status R four identical lanes, while 1000 where there are four or ten item and out clearly.			item e)"Shared "Ethernet block SuggestedRemedy Either clarify wh	s" ???	Comment Status A nality with other 40 Gb/s or 10		
Table 86-1 tells the rea draft is unambiguous. confuse the PMD imple	Response Status C add line numbers reversed] ader the number of lanes and t The PMD connects to the PM ementer with mention of PCS I y clause and the PMA clause.	A, not the PCS.	There is no need to	,	ared fun	Response Status W E. actionality with other 40 Gb/s of and intent is covered in d) "sh		
uggestedRemedy	P 279 ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> R ing from copper clauses 84 and able 86-1 to clauses 84 and 85		# 141 Cl84 Cl85		ER hany bla	P0 ZTE Corp. Comment Status D nk pages. Please remove the	L 0	# <u>143</u>
Response REJECT. [Editor's not For 84: such a table is described in Clauses 6 For 85: requested table	Response Status C e: Page and line numbers reve not applicable for back-plane	ersed] and in any case iter entries are a	addressed in	The document i Hence blank pa	was WIT is config ages are	Response Status Z THDRAWN by the commenter jured to start new chapters (C inserted at the end of a Claus d page), so a printed docume	lauses) with or se or Annex to	start the new page to

right.

C/ 85 SC 85.11.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 37 ZTE Corp.	L 269	# 144	Cl 85 SC 85.7.8 Hajduczenia, Marek	3 P 23 ZTE Corp.	L 242	# 147
Comment Type TR This comment serves a XXXXX-X-XX"	Comment Status A as a reminder to insert proper	IEC reference nu	umber instead of "IEC		Comment Status A de is selected, transmission cha ssion" Similar comment applies		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				SuggestedRemedy Per comment			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status W E.			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
See comment#544.				C/ 85 SC 85.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 29 ZTE Corp.	L 235	# 148
C/ 85 SC 85.7.6 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 51 ZTE Corp.	L 241	# 145	Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
not reflect that (1) Char (Optional)"(2) Change of	lauses, which clearly describe nge caption 85.7.6 to read "Gl caption 85.7.7 to read "PMD la	obal PMD transm	nit disable function	throughout the 802 SuggestedRemedy	.o standards.		
caption 85.7.10 to read to read "PMD receive fa transmit fault function (function (Optional)"(8) (function (Optional)"(9) (function (Optional)"(10)	caption 85.7.9 to read "PMD_1 I "PMD transmit fault function ault function (Optional)"(6) Ch Optional)"(7) Change caption Change caption 84.7.6 to read Change caption 84.7.7 to read	fault function (Op (Optional)"(5) Ch ange caption 84. 84.7.11 to read ' d "Global PMD tra	ational)"(4) Change nange caption 85.7.11 7.10 to read "PMD 'PMD receive fault ansmit disable	Per comment. Response ACCEPT. Cl 84 SC 84.11 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T	Response Status C .4.1 P233 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R	L 34	# 149
caption 85.7.10 to read to read "PMD receive fa transmit fault function (function (Optional)"(8) function (Optional)"(9) function (Optional)"(10)	caption 85.7.9 to read "PMD_1 I "PMD transmit fault function ault function (Optional)"(6) Ch Optional)"(7) Change caption Change caption 84.7.6 to read Change caption 84.7.7 to read	fault function (Op (Optional)"(5) Ch ange caption 84. 84.7.11 to read ' d "Global PMD tra	ational)"(4) Change nange caption 85.7.11 7.10 to read "PMD 'PMD receive fault ansmit disable	Response ACCEPT. Cl 84 SC 84.11 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T	.4.1 P233 ZTE Corp.		
caption 85.7.10 to read to read "PMD receive fa transmit fault function (function (Optional)"(8) (function (Optional)"(9) (function (Optional)"(10) SuggestedRemedy	caption 85.7.9 to read "PMD_1 I "PMD transmit fault function ault function (Optional)"(6) Ch Optional)"(7) Change caption Change caption 84.7.6 to read Change caption 84.7.7 to read	fault function (Op (Optional)"(5) Ch ange caption 84. 84.7.11 to read ' d "Global PMD tra	ational)"(4) Change nange caption 85.7.11 7.10 to read "PMD 'PMD receive fault ansmit disable	Response ACCEPT. Cl 84 SC 84.11 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T	.4.1 P233 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R		
caption 85.7.10 to read to read "PMD receive fa transmit fault function (function (Optional)"(8) (function (Optional)"(9) (function (Optional)"(10) SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response REJECT.	caption 85.7.9 to read "PMD_1 I "PMD transmit fault function ault function (Optional)"(6) Ch Optional)"(7) Change caption Change caption 84.7.6 to read Change caption 84.7.7 to read) Change caption	fault function (Op (Optional)"(5) Ch ange caption 84. 84.7.11 to read ' d "Global PMD tra d "PMD lane-by-l	ational)"(4) Change hange caption 85.7.11 7.10 to read "PMD 'PMD receive fault ansmit disable ane transmit disable	Response ACCEPT. Cl 84 SC 84.11 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T There is no need to SuggestedRemedy	.4.1 P233 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R		
caption 85.7.10 to read to read "PMD receive fa transmit fault function (function (Optional)"(8) (function (Optional)"(9) (function (Optional)"(10) SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response REJECT.	Caption 85.7.9 to read "PMD_1 "PMD transmit fault function ault function (Optional)"(6) Ch Optional)"(7) Change caption Change caption 84.7.6 to read Change caption 84.7.7 to read) Change caption <i>Response Status</i> C	fault function (Op (Optional)"(5) Ch ange caption 84. 84.7.11 to read ' d "Global PMD tra d "PMD lane-by-l	ational)"(4) Change hange caption 85.7.11 7.10 to read "PMD 'PMD receive fault ansmit disable ane transmit disable	Response ACCEPT. Cl 84 SC 84.11 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T There is no need to SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Response REJECT.	.4.1 P233 ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> R o say "is used" all the time in Tab	ble 84.11.4.1, 84.	
caption 85.7.10 to read to read "PMD receive fa transmit fault function (function (Optional)"(8) of function (Optional)"(9) of function (Optional)"(10) SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response REJECT. The subclauses contain C/ 85 SC 85.7.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T	Caption 85.7.9 to read "PMD_1 "PMD transmit fault function ault function (Optional)"(6) Ch Optional)"(7) Change caption Change caption 84.7.6 to read Change caption 84.7.7 to read) Change caption <i>Response Status</i> C In the requirements (e.g. option P45	fault function (Op (Optional)"(5) Ch ange caption 84. 84.7.11 to read ' d "Global PMD tr d "PMD lane-by-l mal or mandatory	otional)"(4) Change hange caption 85.7.11 7.10 to read "PMD 'PMD receive fault ansmit disable ane transmit disable).	Response ACCEPT. Cl 84 SC 84.11 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T There is no need to SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Response REJECT.	.4.1 P233 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R o say "is used" all the time in Tat Response Status C	ble 84.11.4.1, 84.	
caption 85.7.10 to read to read "PMD receive fa transmit fault function (function (Optional)"(8) of function (Optional)"(9) of function (Optional)"(10) SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response REJECT. The subclauses contain Cl 85 SC 85.7.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T	caption 85.7.9 to read "PMD_1 I "PMD transmit fault function ault function (Optional)"(6) Ch Optional)"(7) Change caption Change caption 84.7.6 to read Change caption 84.7.7 to read) Change caption <i>Response Status</i> C n the requirements (e.g. option <i>P</i> 45 ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> A	fault function (Op (Optional)"(5) Ch ange caption 84. 84.7.11 to read ' d "Global PMD tr d "PMD lane-by-l mal or mandatory	otional)"(4) Change hange caption 85.7.11 7.10 to read "PMD 'PMD receive fault ansmit disable ane transmit disable).	Response ACCEPT. Cl 84 SC 84.11 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T There is no need to SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Response REJECT.	.4.1 P233 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R o say "is used" all the time in Tat Response Status C	ble 84.11.4.1, 84.	

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 32 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:28 AM

Draft 3.0 Co	omments		IEEE P80	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	a 100Gb/s	Ethernet con	iments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 85 So Hajduczenia, Ma	C 85.7.1 arek	P 46 ZTE Corp.	L 240	# 150	C/ 84 Hajduczer	SC 84.7.9 nia, Marek	Р 228 ZTE Corp.	L 49	# 153
Comment Type In caption o		omment Status A hat is the 'half link'? Do y	ou mean that on	ly one link direction is	Comment If the	51	Comment Status R ented, PMD_fault is the logica	I OR of PMD_re	ceive_fault,
illustrated?					PMD_	transmit_fault, a	and any other implementation	specific fault.	
SuggestedRem Per comme	ent				opera	tion on PMD_red	MDIO is implemented, PMD_f ceive_fault, PMD_transmit_fau changes to 85.7.9 PMD_fault f	It, and any othe	r implementation
Page 240, I	N PRINCIPLE.	sponse Status C			Suggeste			anonon, page 1	,
	E-CR4 or 100GB	ASE-CR10 link is illustra	ated in Figure 85	2."	Response REJE		Response Status C		
To:" A 40GBASI	E-CR4 or 100GB	ASE-CR10 link in one di	rection is illustra	ted in Figure 85-2."	This v	vording is used i	n 802.3-2008. There is no nee	d to use differen	it wording in 802.3ba.
C/ 84 S(Hajduczenia, Ma	C 84.8.1.1 arek	P 229 ZTE Corp.	L 37	# 151	C/ 83 Hajduczer	SC 83.6 nia, Marek	P 26 ZTE Corp.	L 214	# 154
	est fixture as 100 ange to read "The	omment Status A GBASE-KR shall be used a test fixture defined for 7			Suggeste	83-4 is cut on p	Comment Status A age 216		
SuggestedRem	-				Response		Response Status W		
Per comme Response ACCEPT.		esponse Status C				PT IN PRINCIP	•		
C/ 84 S(Hajduczenia, Ma	C 84.7.8 arek	Р 228 ZTE Corp.	L 46	# 152					
network." -	s that "Placing a i	omment Status R network port into loopban network disrupted in suc							
0	-	etwork port into loopbac	k mode can be c	isruptive to a network					
Response REJECT.		esponse Status C							
	na is used in 802	3-2008 There is no neg	ad to use differer	t wording in 802 3ba					
This phrash	ny is used in 602	.3-2008. There is no nee	eu io use amerer	n worunny in 602.308.					
				T/technical E/editorial G/g SE STATUS: O/open W/w		ed U/unsatisfie	d Z/withdrawn		Page 33 of 2

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 33 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:28 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments	6	IEEE P80	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	id 100Gb/s Ethernet o	comments		Sponsor ball		
C/ 83 SC 83.5.10 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 29 ZTE Corp.	L 214	# 155	Cl 83 SC 83.1 . Hajduczenia, Marek	1 P14 ZTE Corp.	L 201	# 157		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type E	Comment Status D				
Note that PRBS9 is intended to be checked by external test gear, and no PRBS9 checking is provided within the PMA.change to "Note that PRBS9 is intended to be checked by an external test gear, and no PRBS9 checking function is provided within the PMA."				Physical Layers us PMA defined in thi	sing the PMA defined here.change is Clause".	e to read"Physic	al Layers using the		
-	The PRESS checking function i	s provided within		SuggestedRemedy					
SuggestedRemedy				Per comment					
Per comment				Proposed Response	Response Status W				
Response	Response Status C			PROPOSED ACC	EPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Note that the actual change is to page 201 line 14 rather than page 14 line 201.					
Change: "Note that PRBS9 is in is provided within the to	ntended to be checked by exter PMA."	nal test gear, ar	nd no PRBS9 checking	Cl 83 SC 83.1. Hajduczenia, Marek	1 P22 ZTE Corp.	L 201	# 158		
"Note that PRBS9 is in function is provided w	ntended to be checked by exter thin the PMA." nange is page 214 line 29.	nal test gear, ar	d no PRBS9 checking	service interfaces	Comment Status A faces for other PMDs are defined for other PMDs are defined in an a	abstractly. chang abstract manner	ge to read "PMD ".		
C/ 83 SC 83.1.1	P10	L 201	# 156	SuggestedRemedy Per comment.					
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.	2201	" 100	Response	Response Status C				
Comment Type T	Comment Status R			ACCEPT IN PRIN	•				
	- remove - this is unnecessary	since the trans	mission rate can be		al comment applies to page 201 li	ne 22 rather tha	n page 22 line 201.		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment					actually a clause that specifically a data that specifically a data with any particular PMD, replace		act PMD service		
Response	Response Status C			"The PMD service	interfaces for other PMDs are del	fined abstractly"			
REJECT.				with		abstractly			
	oth 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s PHYs ansmission rate of 40Gb/s and	the 100GBASE		"The PMD service to 80.3.1"	interfaces for other PMDs are det	fined in an abstra	act manner according		

Draft 3.0 (Comments
-------------	----------

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 29 ZTE Corp.	L 201	# 159	<i>CI</i> 83 Hajduczer	SC 83.1.4 ia, Marek	Р 50 ZTE Corp.	L 201	# 161	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment	<i>Түре</i> т	Comment Status A			
Text similar to first block of this subclause is also used in other clauses - why is there is a need for new text to be invented in this clase? Use something similar in the lines of introductory text in clause 87 or 86.				What is a "PMA context" ? Usually this clasue is called in the lines " Positioning of PMA within the IEEE 802.3 architecture" or something alike. What context do you mean? Make this title mean actually something - otherwise there is no need for it.					
SuggestedRemedy				Suggestee	Remedy				
Per comment.				Per co	omment.				
Response	Response Status C			Response		Response Status C			
ACCEPT IN PRINC	IPLE.			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.			
(PMA shown shaded	the relationships among the 40 d), the Ethernet MAC and recon System Interconnection (OSI) re	ciliation layers, tl		to	je: Context" sublayer positio	ning"			
with:				C/ 83	SC 83.1.3	P34	L 202	# 162	
"Figure 83-1 shows the relationship of the PMA sublayer (shown shaded) with other sublayers to the ISO Open System Interconnection (OSI) reference model."				Hajduczer	ia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			
sublayers to the ISC	Open System Interconnection	(OSI) reference	model."	Comment	Type T	Comment Status R			
	PMA is to adapt the PCS Lanes lanes and to optionally provide				confusing, unle	umbers, p and q. In other place ess one set of illustrative numb			
as it is redundant wi	P 46	L 201	# 160	illustra such r	numbers, please	.g. 'm' and 'n'. See Figure 83-3 put the in italics, to make sure background text. Otherwise it	as an example. That they actual	Also, when using lly can be	
as it is redundant wi		L 201	# [160	illustra such r	numbers, please juished from the	.g. 'm' and 'n'. See Figure 83-3 put the in italics, to make sure	as an example. That they actual	Also, when using lly can be	
as it is redundant wi C/ 83 SC 83.1.3 łajduczenia, Marek	P 46	L 201	# 160	illustra such r disting <i>Suggested</i>	numbers, please juished from the	.g. 'm' and 'n'. See Figure 83-3 put the in italics, to make sure	as an example. That they actual	Also, when using lly can be	
as it is redundant wi 2/ 83 SC 83.1.3 lajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T	<i>P</i>46 ZTE Corp.	-		illustra such r disting <i>Suggested</i>	numbers, please juished from the <i>IRemedy</i> omment.	.g. 'm' and 'n'. See Figure 83-3 put the in italics, to make sure	as an example. That they actual	Also, when using lly can be	
as it is redundant wi 83 SC 83.1.3 lajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T What kind of functio SuggestedRemedy	P 46 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R	-		illustra such r disting Suggested Per co	numbers, please juished from the <i>IRemedy</i> omment.	.g. 'm' and 'n'. See Figure 83-3 put the in italics, to make sure background text. Otherwise it	as an example. That they actual	Also, when using lly can be	
as it is redundant wi Cl 83 SC 83.1.3 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T What kind of functio SuggestedRemedy Per comment	P 46 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R n is "tolerate Skew Variation" ?	-		illustra such r disting Suggested Per co Response REJE	numbers, please juished from the <i>IRemedy</i> omment. CT.	.g. 'm' and 'n'. See Figure 83-3 put the in italics, to make sure background text. Otherwise it	3 as an example. e that they actua is very hard to read to	Also, when using lly can be ead.	
as it is redundant wi C/ 83 SC 83.1.3 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T What kind of functio SuggestedRemedy Per comment	P 46 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R	-		illustra such r disting Suggested Per co Response REJE The us m and	numbers, please juished from the <i>Remedy</i> omment. CT. Se of m, n, p and n are used con	.g. 'm' and 'n'. See Figure 83-3 put the in italics, to make sure background text. Otherwise it <i>Response Status</i> C	3 as an example. e that they actual is very hard to re- iterations early i tion of bit level n	Also, when using lly can be ead. In the project. nultiplexing in a single	
as it is redundant wi Cl 83 SC 83.1.3 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T What kind of functio SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response REJECT. As with other PMA of	P46 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R n is "tolerate Skew Variation" ? Response Status C capabilities in the list, there is a	This is a requien	nent for PMA.	illustra such r disting Suggested Per co Response REJE The us m and directi	numbers, please juished from the <i>Remedy</i> omment. CT. Se of m, n, p and n are used con	.g. 'm' and 'n'. See Figure 83-3 put the in italics, to make sure background text. Otherwise it <i>Response Status</i> C d q was arrived at over several sistently in the generic descrip	3 as an example. e that they actual is very hard to re- iterations early i tion of bit level n	Also, when using lly can be ead. In the project. nultiplexing in a single	
as it is redundant wi Cl 83 SC 83.1.3 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T What kind of functio SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response REJECT. As with other PMA of (page 201 line 46) w PMA which combine	P 46 ZTE Corp. Comment Status R n is "tolerate Skew Variation" ? Response Status C	This is a requien terse description in later clauses. I nes onto the san	nent for PMA. in the indicated place In 83.5.3 you find "Any ne output lane must	illustra such r disting Suggestee Per co Response REJE The us directi output p and transn	numbers, please juished from the <i>Remedy</i> omment. CT. se of m, n, p and n are used con on of transmissi lanes. q are used cons nission, where p	.g. 'm' and 'n'. See Figure 83-3 put the in italics, to make sure background text. Otherwise it <i>Response Status</i> C d q was arrived at over several sistently in the generic descrip	as an example. that they actua is very hard to re- iterations early i tion of bit level n input lanes and r ggregate PMA w	Also, when using lly can be ead. In the project. nultiplexing in a single n is the number of	

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 83 SC 83.1.4 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 35 ZTE Corp.	L 203	# 163	C/ 82 SC 82.7.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	<i>P</i> 196 ZTE Corp.	L 6	# 165
PMA lanes. The term 'la	Comment Status R build also have PMLs, and als ne' is used extensivelt in the uses are used. In that case, es.	se clauses as w	ell, without clear	XGE), 82.7.6.1 (item into separate entries	Comment Status A s the "XLGMII/CGMII compatibi s SM7, SM9, SM10, SM11), 82 for 40G and 100G interfaces, fr Otherwise, it is not clear which	.7.6.3 (item TIN or an implemen	11) should be separated ter to be able to mark
Per comment.				Per comment			
The term used in the tex lanes are input or output	Response Status C nment that there is a probler t is PCSL which is defined ir t lanes of a sublayer or interfa- of these lanes is comprised en to represent this.	n 1.5. Other type ace lanes and a	es of logical or physical re clear from the	Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI #1 - Change: compatibility interfac to: logical interface #2 - Break out the ite Corrected page and	e ms by speed. Also correct cond	ditional mandate	ory status for entries.
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			C/ 82 SC 82.7.4.		L1	# 166
Comment Type T Title for Clause 83 shoul 40GBASE-R and 100GB SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A Id read "83. Physical Medium BASE-R"	n Attachment (P	MA) sublayer, type	Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E	ZTE Corp. Comment Status D S2, figure should be Figure(2) T		
Per comment Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy Per comment			

Draft 3.0 Commer	its	IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s E	Ethernet com	ments			Sponsor ballot
C/ 82 SC 82.6 Hajduczenia, Marek	P189 ZTE Corp.	L1	# 167	<i>Cl</i> 82 Hajduczeni	SC 82.2.18.2 . a, Marek		P 185 E Corp.	L 22	# 170
consistently in the s SuggestedRemedy	on under which this variable is so			counte time" > time"(3 test_pa	is is always rese r. When the rece "When the rece) "16-bit counter	eiver is in normal r	his counter node, error node, this 8 er is in test	red_block_count 8-bit counter cou t-pattern mode, t	counts once for each nts once for each
Response	Response Status W			Suggested	Remedy				
REJECT. When it is true is de	fined in the variable definition. T	his behavior is (consistent with other	Per cor	nment				
	machines within the standard.			Response		Response Stat	us C		
Note: Corrected the	page to 189 line 1.			ACCEF	PT.				
C/ 82 SC 82.2.1		L 20	# 168	Note: C	Corrected the page	ge to 185 line 22.			
lajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			CI 82	SC 82.2.18.2.	.4	P185	L34	# 171
Comment Type T	Comment Status A it". Scrub the draft accordingly. S	Similarly "64b"	should be "61-bit"	Hajduczeni	a, Marek	ZT	E Corp.		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment		Similarly, 040		Comment T the cur help.		Comment Stat block window - ho		alue set? Perhap	s a reference would
Response	Response Status C			Suggested	Remedv				
ACCEPT.	,			Per co	•				
Make this change in	clause 82, related to comment a	¥203.		Response		Response Stat	us C		
Note: Corrected the	page to 185 line 20.			REJEC					
C/ 82 SC 82.2.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.	L 54	# 169	http://g	ne numbers are	groups/802/3/ba/p		•	08.pdf rs do not appear in the
	Comment Status A but 'sync header', which has beer this new term is used in severa			Note: C	Corrected the page	ge to 185 line 34.			
SuggestedRemedy Per comment.									
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C								
Note: Corrected the	page to 185 line 54.								

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P80	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet con	nments		Sponsor ballot	
C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 186 ZTE Corp.	L 22	# 172	<i>Cl</i> 82 SC 82.6 Hajduczenia, Marek		P188 ZTE Corp.	L 21	# 175	
Comment Type T Comm 1.25ms is used and in some othe use one base unit consistently.	nent Status A er locations, the sar	ne value is refer	red to as "1250us" -		ort for the Auto-N	Comment Status A legotiation process defined in atement altogether if it is mar		ndatory why not	
SuggestedRemedy Per comment.				Suggested Per co	dRemedy omment.		-		
Response Respon ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change all instances to 1.25 ms. Note: Corrected the page to 186				Chang "The f CR4 F	EPT IN PRINCIP ge: following require	ments apply to a PCS used w SE-CR10 PMD. Support for th			
CI 82 SC 82.2.18.3 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T Comm as specified in these state diagra	P186 ZTE Corp. ment Status A ams. > "as specified	L 34	# 173	to: "The f CR4 F define	following require PMD or 100GBA ed in Clause 73 i	ments apply to a PCS used w SE-CR10 PMD where suppor s mandatory."	t for the Auto-Ne		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response Respor	nse Status C			C/ 82 Hajduczer	Corrected the passed of SC 82.2.18.	statement immediately follows age to 188 line 21. 3 P186 ZTE Corp.	L10	# 176	
ACCEPT. Note: Corrected the page to 186	line 34.	L3	# [474	Comment PCS I 100GI	<i>Type</i> T ane the markers BASE-R or Tabl	Comment Status A must match each other and a e 823 for 40GBASE-Rchang	e to read "PCS I	ane, the markers must	
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corp.	L 3	# 174			ible values specified in Table natch each other after the ma			
Comment Type E Comm in many locations, the term "mod word "mode" is used, it should be "Loopback mode" and "loopback uniform across all clauses.	e preceded with 'the	, which it is not	in most cases. Also	Response	omment	Response Status C LE.			
SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Proposed Response Respor PROPOSED ACCEPT.	nse Status W			100GI	given PCS lane, BASE-R or Tabl GBASE-R.	the markers must match eac e 82-3	n other and an e	ntry from Table 82-2 for	
Note: Corrected the page to 188	line 3.			Note:	Corrected the pa	age to 186 line 10.			

Draft 3.0 Comr	Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a					nd 100Gb/s Ethernet comments				
C/ 82 SC 82 Hajduczenia, Marek	2.2.18.3	P 186 ZTE Corp.	L11	# 177	<i>Cl</i> 82 Hajduczen	SC 82.2.3 iia, Marek	P 169 ZTE Corp.	L 48	# 180	
Note that the BI each other or th the markers who 822 for 100GE	IP3 and BIP7 fiel tableschange en matching mai	to "Note that the BIP	3 and BIP7 field r to possible val	hen making a match to Is are excluded from ues specified in Table	chang	elationship of blo e to "The relation unctions "	Comment Status A ock bit positions to XLGMII/C onship of block bit positions r			
SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response REJECT. Text is correct a Note: Corrected		nse Status C			Per co Response ACCE C/ 82 Hajduczen	PT. SC 82.2.3	Response Status C P169 ZTE Corp.	L 52	# [<u>181</u>	
,	T Comm ed by the rules ir	P169 ZTE Corp. ment Status A " to "defined in" nse Status C	L 35	# <mark>178</mark>	Comment Note 6 PMDs Suggested Per cc Response REJE0 The fc	<i>Type</i> T S on page 169 s , so it is also po <i>Remedy</i> pomment CT.	Comment Status R hould be rewritten. It is clear ssible to define clearly what Response Status C e example, which is sufficie or future pmd or interface.	the run lengths an	e for individual PMD.	
improve the tran what transmissi characteristics of SuggestedRemedy	T Comm	es are improved ? Wi re improved ?		# 179 rred across the link - h that "transmission	Correc	cted the page to	169.			
Response	Respo	nse Status C								

The subsequent sentences explain and elaborate on this text.

Comment ID # 181

Page 39 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:28 AM

	SC 82.2.3	P170	L1	# 182	C/ 82	SC 82	P171	L1	# 184
ajduczen	ia, Marek	ZTE Corp.			Hajduczen	ia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
omment	Туре Т	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		
		2.3.3 for information on how b			Why is	s Figure 82-4 ar	nd Figure 82-5 before Figure 8	2-3 ? Please pu	t them in a correct ord
mappe	ed. Note that the	e sync header is generated by 3 data octets. 82.2.3.3 contain	the encoder and information on	d bypasses the	Suggested	Remedy			
control	characters are	mapped (into what??). Note t	hat sync header	s are generated by the	Per co	omment			
		bypass the scrambler"Also a racters are mapped " - it is no			Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
	clarify	ilacters are mapped - it is no	it clear what they	are mapped into.	PROP	OSED ACCEP	•		
ggested	Remedy				C/ 82	SC 82	P165	L1	# 185
Per co	mment				Hajduczen		ZTE Corp.	LI	# 165
sponse		Response Status C			•		Comment Status A		
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.			Comment		ntrol character" "control octet" i	a not consiston	t they are used
Chang							ise use just one term consister		
"See 8 to:	2.2.3.3 for infor	mation on how blocks contain	ing control chara	acters are mapped."			ata portion, the word "characte		
	2.2.3.3 for infor	mation on how blocks contain	ing control chara	acters are mapped into	Suggested	Remedy			
	blocks."		0		00	omment.			
			L 1	"	_				
32	SC 82	P171	L	# 183	Response		Response Status C		
		P 171 ZTE Corp.	<i>L</i> 1	# 183	,	PT IN PRINCIF	Response Status C		
duczen	ia, Marek	ZTE Corp.	Li	# 183	, ACCE There	PT IN PRINCIP	PLE. use of " control octet", so chai	nge:	
duczen mment	ia, Marek <i>Type</i> T	ZTE Corp. Comment Status A			, ACCE There "All oth	PT IN PRINCIP is only a single her characters a	PLE. use of " control octet", so char are control octets and	-	
duczen <i>nment</i> This co	ia, Marek <i>Type</i> T omment is abou	ZTE Corp. Comment Status A It Figure 82-4. (1) It would be I	beneficial to add	64B/66B decoder into	ACCE There "All oth are tra	PT IN PRINCIP is only a single her characters a	PLE. use of " control octet", so chai	-	
duczen mment This co this fig showir	ia, Marek <i>Type</i> T pomment is abou ure, since only ng "inst:IS_UNI	ZTE Corp. Comment Status A It Figure 82-4. (1) It would be l descramber is shown, but deo FDATA_3.indication or inst:IS_	beneficial to add coder is not show _UNITDATA_19.	64B/66B decoder into vn at all(2) instead of indication", show	ACCE There "All ot! are tra To: "All ot!	PT IN PRINCIF is only a single her characters a insferred with the her characters a	PLE. use of " control octet", so char are control octets and he corresponding TXC or RXC are control characters and are	bit set to one"	the corresponding T.
duczen mment This co this fig showir "inst:IS	ia, Marek <i>Type</i> T pmment is abou- ure, since only ng "inst:IS_UNIT S_UNITDATA_1	ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> A It Figure 82-4. (1) It would be l descramber is shown, but dec FDATA_3.indication or inst:IS_ 9.indication (for 100GBASE-F	beneficial to add coder is not shov _UNITDATA_19. R) inst:IS_UNITD	64B/66B decoder into vn at all(2) instead of indication", show DATA_3.indication (for	ACCE There "All ot! are tra To: "All ot!	PT IN PRINCIF is only a single her characters a insferred with the her characters a	PLE. use of " control octet", so char are control octets and he corresponding TXC or RXC	bit set to one"	the corresponding T2
duczen mment This co this fig showir "inst:IS 40GBA	ia, Marek <i>Type</i> T comment is abou- ure, since only ig "inst:IS_UNIT 5_UNITDATA_1 ASE-R)".(3) The	ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> A It Figure 82-4. (1) It would be l descramber is shown, but dee IDATA_3.indication or inst:IS_ 9.indication (for 100GBASE-F ere is a text field saying "Input	beneficial to add coder is not show _UNITDATA_19. R) inst:IS_UNITD to decoder funct	64B/66B decoder into vn at all(2) instead of indication", show DATA_3.indication (for tion" but there is no	ACCE There "All ot! are tra To: "All ot!	PT IN PRINCIF is only a single her characters a insferred with the her characters a	PLE. use of " control octet", so char are control octets and he corresponding TXC or RXC are control characters and are Page 170 L49.	bit set to one"	
duczen nment This co this fig showir "inst:IS 40GB/ indicat It woul	ia, Marek <i>Type</i> T comment is abou- ure, since only ig "inst:IS_UNIT b_UNITDATA_1 ASE-R)".(3) The ion of where the d be beneficial	ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> A at Figure 82-4. (1) It would be l descramber is shown, but dee TDATA_3.indication or inst:IS_ 9.indication (for 100GBASE-F ere is a text field saying "Input the decoder function isSimilar co to add 64B/66B encoder into t	beneficial to add coder is not show _UNITDATA_19. R) inst:IS_UNITD to decoder funct omment about Fi this figure, since	64B/66B decoder into vn at all(2) instead of indication", show DATA_3.indication (for tion" but there is no igure 82-3, page 173(1) only descramber is	ACCE There "All oth are tra To: "All oth or RX0 C/ 82	PT IN PRINCIP is only a single her characters a insferred with the her characters a C bit set to one SC 82.2.3.3	PLE. use of " control octet", so char are control octets and he corresponding TXC or RXC are control characters and are Page 170 L49.	bit set to one" transferred with	the corresponding T
mment This co this fig showir "inst:IS 40GBA indicat It woul shown	ia, Marek <i>Type</i> T comment is abou- ure, since only ig "inst:IS_UNIT b_UNITDATA_1 ASE-R)".(3) The ion of where the d be beneficial , but encoder is	ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> A at Figure 82-4. (1) It would be l descramber is shown, but dee TDATA_3.indication or inst:IS_ 9.indication (for 100GBASE-F ere is a text field saying "Input te decoder function isSimilar co to add 64B/66B encoder into t a not shown at all.(2) There is a	beneficial to add coder is not show _UNITDATA_19. R) inst:IS_UNITD to decoder funct comment about Fi this figure, since a text field saying	64B/66B decoder into vn at all(2) instead of indication", show DATA_3.indication (for tion" but there is no igure 82-3, page 173(1) only descramber is	ACCE There "All ott are tra To: "All ott or RX(C/ 82 Hajduczen	PT IN PRINCIP is only a single her characters a sinsferred with the her characters a bit set to one SC 82.2.3.3 ia, Marek	PLE. use of " control octet", so char are control octets and he corresponding TXC or RXC are control characters and are ' Page 170 L49. <i>P</i> 172 ZTE Corp.	bit set to one" transferred with	
duczen mment This co this fig showir "inst:IS 40GBA indicat It woul shown functio	ia, Marek <i>Type</i> T promment is abou- ure, since only g "inst:IS_UNITS_UNITS_UNITDATA_1 ASE-R)".(3) The ion of where the d be beneficial , but encoder is n" but there is r	ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> A at Figure 82-4. (1) It would be l descramber is shown, but dee TDATA_3.indication or inst:IS_ 9.indication (for 100GBASE-F ere is a text field saying "Input the decoder function isSimilar co to add 64B/66B encoder into t	beneficial to add coder is not show _UNITDATA_19. R) inst:IS_UNITD to decoder funct comment about Fi this figure, since a text field saying	64B/66B decoder into vn at all(2) instead of indication", show DATA_3.indication (for tion" but there is no igure 82-3, page 173(1) only descramber is	ACCE There "All ott are tra To: "All ott or RX0 C/ 82 Hajduczen Comment	PT IN PRINCIP is only a single her characters a insferred with the her characters a bit set to one SC 82.2.3.3 ia, Marek Type T	PLE. use of " control octet", so char are control octets and he corresponding TXC or RXC are control characters and are Page 170 L49. <i>P</i> 172 ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> R	bit set to one" transferred with	# <u>186</u>
duczen nment This cc this fig showir "inst:IS 40GBA indicat It woul shown functio ggested	ia, Marek <i>Type</i> T promment is abou- ure, since only ig "inst:IS_UNITS_UNITS_UNITDATA_1 S_UNITDATA_1 ASE-R)".(3) The ion of where the d be beneficial , but encoder is n" but there is r <i>Remedy</i>	ZTE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> A at Figure 82-4. (1) It would be l descramber is shown, but dee TDATA_3.indication or inst:IS_ 9.indication (for 100GBASE-F ere is a text field saying "Input te decoder function isSimilar co to add 64B/66B encoder into t a not shown at all.(2) There is a	beneficial to add coder is not show _UNITDATA_19. R) inst:IS_UNITD to decoder funct comment about Fi this figure, since a text field saying	64B/66B decoder into vn at all(2) instead of indication", show DATA_3.indication (for tion" but there is no igure 82-3, page 173(1) only descramber is	ACCE There "All ott are tra To: "All ott or RX0 C/ 82 Hajduczen Comment In Figu	PT IN PRINCIP is only a single her characters a insferred with the her characters a bit set to one SC 82.2.3.3 ia, Marek Type T	PLE. use of " control octet", so char are control octets and he corresponding TXC or RXC are control characters and are ' Page 170 L49. <i>P</i> 172 <i>Z</i> TE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> R does the "Input data" mean? is	bit set to one" transferred with	# <u>186</u>
duczen mment This co this fig showir "inst:IS 40GBA indicat It woul shown functio gested Per co	ia, Marek <i>Type</i> T promment is abou- ure, since only g "inst:IS_UNITS_UNITS_UNITDATA_1 ASE-R)".(3) The ion of where the d be beneficial , but encoder is n" but there is r	ZTE Corp. Comment Status A It Figure 82-4. (1) It would be a descramber is shown, but ded TDATA_3.indication or inst:IS_ 9.indication (for 100GBASEF) rer is a text field saying "Input te decoder function isSimilar co to add 64B/66B encoder into the not shown at all.(2) There is a no indication of where the encoder	beneficial to add coder is not show _UNITDATA_19. R) inst:IS_UNITD to decoder funct comment about Fi this figure, since a text field saying	64B/66B decoder into vn at all(2) instead of indication", show DATA_3.indication (for tion" but there is no igure 82-3, page 173(1) only descramber is	ACCE There "All ott are tra To: "All ott or RX0 C/ 82 Hajduczen Comment In Figu	PT IN PRINCIP is only a single her characters a insferred with the her characters a bit set to one SC 82.2.3.3 ia, Marek Type T ure 82-5, what c rticular MII type	PLE. use of " control octet", so char are control octets and he corresponding TXC or RXC are control characters and are ' Page 170 L49. <i>P</i> 172 <i>Z</i> TE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> R does the "Input data" mean? is	bit set to one" transferred with	# <u>186</u>
duczen mment This co this fig showir "inst:IS 40GBA indicat It woul shown functio gested Per co ponse	ia, Marek <i>Type</i> T pumment is abou- ure, since only ig "inst:IS_UNIT S_UNITDATA_1 ASE-R)".(3) The ion of where the d be beneficial , but encoder is n" but there is r <i>Remedy</i> mment	ZTE Corp. Comment Status A It Figure 82-4. (1) It would be I descramber is shown, but ded TDATA_3.indication or inst:IS_ 9.indication (for 100GBASE-F ere is a text field saying "Input a decoder function isSimilar co to add 64B/66B encoder into t not shown at all.(2) There is a no indication of where the enco Response Status C	beneficial to add coder is not show _UNITDATA_19. R) inst:IS_UNITD to decoder funct comment about Fi this figure, since a text field saying	64B/66B decoder into vn at all(2) instead of indication", show DATA_3.indication (for tion" but there is no igure 82-3, page 173(1) only descramber is	ACCE There "All off are tra To: "All off or RX0 <i>CI</i> 82 Hajduczen <i>Comment</i> In Figu the pa	PT IN PRINCIP is only a single her characters a characters a bit set to one" SC 82.2.3.3 ia, Marek Type T ure 82-5, what or rticular MII type IRemedy	PLE. use of " control octet", so char are control octets and he corresponding TXC or RXC are control characters and are ' Page 170 L49. <i>P</i> 172 <i>Z</i> TE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> R does the "Input data" mean? is	bit set to one" transferred with <i>L</i> 3 this the "xGMII	# 186
duczen nment This co this fig showir "inst:IS 40GBA indicat It woul shown functio gested Per co ponse ACCE	ia, Marek <i>Type</i> T pmment is abou- ure, since only ig "inst:IS_UNIT S_UNITDATA_1 ASE-R)".(3) The ion of where the d be beneficial , but encoder is n" but there is r <i>Remedy</i> mment PT IN PRINCIP	ZTE Corp. Comment Status A at Figure 82-4. (1) It would be I descramber is shown, but ded TDATA_3.indication or inst:IS_ 9.indication (for 100GBASE-F ere is a text field saying "Input e decoder function isSimilar co to add 64B/66B encoder into t inot shown at all.(2) There is a no indication of where the enco Response Status C LE.	beneficial to add coder is not show _UNITDATA_19. R) inst:IS_UNITD to decoder funct omment about Fi this figure, since a text field saying oder function is	64B/66B decoder into vn at all(2) instead of indication", show DATA_3.indication (for tion" but there is no igure 82-3, page 173(1) only descramber is g "Output of encoder	ACCE There "All oth are tra To: "All oth or RX0 C/ 82 Hajduczen Comment In Figu the pa Suggested Please	PT IN PRINCIP is only a single her characters a sinsferred with the her characters a bit set to one SC 82.2.3.3 ia, Marek Type T ure 82-5, what of rticular MII type Remedy e consider chan	PLE. use of " control octet", so char are control octets and he corresponding TXC or RXC are control characters and are ' Page 170 L49. <i>P</i> 172 <i>Z</i> TE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> R does the "Input data" mean? is a interface ??	bit set to one" transferred with <i>L</i> 3 this the "xGMII	# 186 data" as received fro
duczen mment This co this fig showir "inst:IS 40GBA indicat It woul shown functio ggested Per co sponse ACCE	ia, Marek <i>Type</i> T pmment is abou- ure, since only ig "inst:IS_UNIT S_UNITDATA_1 ASE-R)".(3) The ion of where the d be beneficial , but encoder is n" but there is r <i>Remedy</i> mment PT IN PRINCIP	ZTE Corp. Comment Status A It Figure 82-4. (1) It would be I descramber is shown, but ded TDATA_3.indication or inst:IS_ 9.indication (for 100GBASE-F ere is a text field saying "Input a decoder function isSimilar co to add 64B/66B encoder into t not shown at all.(2) There is a no indication of where the enco Response Status C	beneficial to add coder is not show _UNITDATA_19. R) inst:IS_UNITD to decoder funct omment about Fi this figure, since a text field saying oder function is	64B/66B decoder into vn at all(2) instead of indication", show DATA_3.indication (for tion" but there is no igure 82-3, page 173(1) only descramber is g "Output of encoder	ACCE There "All off are tra To: "All off or RX0 <i>CI</i> 82 Hajduczen <i>Comment</i> In Figu the pa	PT IN PRINCIP is only a single her characters a insferred with the her characters a bit set to one" SC 82.2.3.3 ia, Marek Type T ure 82-5, what of rticular MII type Remedy e consider chan	PLE. use of " control octet", so char are control octets and he corresponding TXC or RXC are control characters and are ' Page 170 L49. <i>P</i> 172 <i>Z</i> TE Corp. <i>Comment Status</i> R does the "Input data" mean? is e interface ??	bit set to one" transferred with <i>L</i> 3 this the "xGMII	# 186

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

For #3, 2nd #1 and 2nd #2, see response to #1 above.

Draft 3.0 Comment	ts	IEEE P80)2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet com	ments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 82 SC 82.2.3. Hajduczenia, Marek	3 P172 ZTE Corp.	L 3 1	# 187	C/ 82 Hajduczen	SC 82.2.3.4 iia, Marek	P 172 ZTE Corp.	L 5 4	# 190
	Comment Status R should be modified to a shall sta nooding ever takes place in a con				ain the Hamming	<i>Comment Status</i> A distance: 0x00, 0x2D, 0x33 ance: 0x00, 0x2D, 0x33 and		e to "maintain the
SuggestedRemedy Per comment.				Suggested per co	<i>dRemedy</i> mment			
appropriate PICS (C3	Response Status C nall statement covering the codir 3-C5). was to ensure that future revisio			There Chang "There mainta	PT IN PRINCIPL is no required ha ge: a are four unused	amming distance, though the		r.clarify as follows:
C/ 82 SC 82.2.3. 4 Hajduczenia, Marek	4 P172 ZTE Corp.	L 41	# 188		e are four unused ain this Hamming	l values that g distance: 0x00, 0x2D, 0x33	and 0x66."	
SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Response REJECT.	me control characters can be us Response Status C tences explain the relatioinship a e in Table 82-1.	·		contro contai contai Suggested	e block type field I character conta ns an invalid valu ns a value not inc	Comment Status A contains an invalid value (on ins a value not in Table 82 ue (one not included in Figure cluded in Table 821."	1.change to read	l "b) The block type field
C/ 82 SC 82.2.3. 4 Hajduczenia, Marek	4 <i>P</i> 172 ZTE Corp.	L 46	# 189	Response ACCE		Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy Please provide a refe Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF Change: "The 40GBASE-R an characters into a 7-bi	nd 100GBASE-R PCS encode ea	defined.	control					
To: "The 40GBASE-R an characters into a 7-bi	nd 100GBASE-R PCS encode ea it control code"	ach of the other	control					
YPE: TR/technical requ	ired ER/editorial required GR/g	eneral required	T/technical E/editorial G/o	reneral				Dama 44 af 20

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 191

Page 41 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:28 AM

Draft 3.0 Co	omments
--------------	---------

i 82 SC 82.2.3.6 ajduczenia, Marek	6 P 174 ZTE Corp.	L 27	# 192	<i>Cl</i> 82 Hajduczen	SC 82.2.6 ia, Marek	P 176 ZTE Corp.	L 24	# 194
omment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment		Comment Status R		
(1) Table 821 conta used in the same cla either Idle, idle or idle	ins definition of control codes. I use? If so, please make it consi character is used. Is this the s ing? Be consistent at least acro	istent. (2) in sub ame ? If so, wh	esequent sections, y multiply names for	(1) In I and 20 clarific	Figure 82-6, it is) for 40GBASE- ation on this poi ution function"	not clear howe much is "n" in R and 100GBASE-R respectiv nt. (2) also change caption of	ely? Add a com	ment to the figure with
uggestedRemedy				••	mment			
Per comment.				Response		Response Status C		
esponse ACCEPT IN PRINCI	Response Status C PLE.			, REJE(CT.	.6 clearly states how many is	٦.	
,	omment #189, for #2 scrub clau			<i>Cl</i> 82 Hajduczen	SC 82.2.7 ia, Marek	P 176 ZTE Corp.	L 51	# 195
82 SC 82.2.3. ajduczenia, Marek	7 P174 ZTE Corp.	L 48	# 193	Comment	Type T	Comment Status A		
interface names)? (2 the "should read "In b page 175" and shall d sets shall be deleted.	d with Clause 49 apart from the) In 82.2.3.10, line 26, page 175 oth the 64B/66B encoder and d lelete only one of the two."shoul "(4) in 82.2.3.9, line 21, page 1 "Signal ordered_sets shall not	"For both the e ecoder, the"(3) d read "and one 75"Signal order	ncoder and decoder, in 82.2.3.9, line 20, e of the two ordered ed_sets are not deleted	Response ACCE #1 - fix	mment PT IN PRINCIP the text break.	<i>Response Status</i> C E. t the 'function' is required.		
esponse	Response Status C			CI 82	SC 82.2.7	P176	L 31	# 196
ACCEPT IN PRINCI	•			Hajduczen	ia, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
#1 - changes are ma#2 - Make this chang#3 - Change to:	de due to the 8B alignment com		gnment in clause 49.	interru Suggested	e "They interrup pt any data tran	Comment Status A t any transfer that is already o sfer that is already in progress		d "Such blocks
				Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C E.		
				"These		to: upt any data transfer that is alr be inserted into all PCS lanes		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft 3.0 Comments	5	IEEE P80)2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s	Ethernet con	nments		Sponsor ballot			
<i>Cl</i> 82 SC 82.2.7 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 176 ZTE Corp.	L 33	# 197	<i>Cl</i> 82 Hajducze	SC 82.2.7 nia, Marek	P 176 ZTE Corp.	L 54	# [199			
Comment Type T Comment Status R periodically deleting IPG from the XLGMII/CGMII data stream this is the only location where IPG delection function is mentioned at all. Some more details would be more than welcome					Comment Type T Comment Status A data before descrambling is performed. change to read "data lanes before descram performed. "						
SuggestedRemedy				Suggeste per co	omment						
Per comment Response REJECT. Not sure what details v insertion and deletion C/ 82 SC 82.2.7 Hajduczenia, Marek	Response Status C would help out here. There are is discussed. P176 ZTE Corp.	other areas of t	the draft where idle # 198	Chan marke re-aliq To: marke	EPT IN PRINCIP ge: ers are not scrar gn all of the data ers are not scrar ew the PCS lane	Response Status C LE. nbled in order to allow the rece before descrambling is perform nbled in order to allow the rece s and reassemble the aggrega	ned. iver to find the	alignment markers,			
PCS.change to read "a	Comment Status A kers are removed before deco and the alignment markers are			C/ 82	SC 82.2.4 nia, Marek	P 175 ZTE Corp.	L 33	# 200			
performed in the receiv SuggestedRemedy	ve PCS."				xLGMII/CGMII d	Comment Status A ata transfer is encoded into ea	0	e to read "One			
Per comment						ansfer is encoded into one 66-	bit block."				
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Suggeste per ce	dRemedy omment						
Note: Corrected the lin	ne to 36.			Response ACCE		Response Status C					

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

CI 82	SC 82.2.4	1	P 175	L 39	# 201	C/ 82	SC 82.2.18.2	P 182	L 6	# 203
Hajduczen	ia, Marek		ZTE Corp.			Hajduczeni	a, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
Comment	Type TR	Commei	nt Status R			Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status A		
to hand to acco make s alignm Suggested	dling clock c ommodate th sure that the ent marker.	ompensation. Id the insertion of the re is enough idle	lles or sequence o le 66b alignment n	rdered sets are narkers.This me uent frames to s	ent markers, in addition removed, if necessary, ans that MAC must send once in a while an	differer them c variable mean " using a numbe	nt style of definit onsistent.(2) To e type information 'Boolean flag" ? an equation if ne r of bits is used	st the whole subclause 82.2 ion, which impairs reading a simplify analysis of state di- on and its size as well. (3) W (4) definitio of am_status is eded(5) in am_valid - who is as an adjective, it shoul dbe ch occurences(7) "66b" shou	nd complicates a agrams, it would /hat is "Boolean ess than readab this "we" ??(6) hyphenated e.g	analysis - please make be nice to include indication" ? Do you le - please consider general comment: wher . 66-bit variable. Please
Response		Response	e Status W			Suggested	Remedy			
REJEC						Per cor	mment			
			e minimum IPG in G for P802.3ba.	table 4-2. In ad	dition subclause	Response		Response Status W		
	•	<u> </u>				ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPI	.E.		
C/ 82	SC 82.2.	5	P175	L 50	# 202	1 Mak		riables consistent, not "Boo	oon indication"	"Booloon" only
ajduczen	ia, Marek		ZTE Corp.				an variable".		ean muication,	Boolean, only
omment	Туре Т	Commei	nt Status R				essary informat	ion is included.		
					e scramblerchange to	3 - See	e #1 ange to:			
read "t	o the scram	oler used in 10G	BASE-R, see 49.2	2.6 for details."				at is true when all PCS lane	s are in am lock	and false when at least
Suggested	Remedy					one PC	CS lane is not in	am_lock."		
per co	mment							ng deleted by comment #35	9	
Response		Response	e Status C					roughout clause 82 nroughout clause 82, similar	to comment #20)3.
REJEC										
Corrre	ct as is.					C/ 82	SC 82.2.18.2		L 23	# 204
						Hajduczeni	a, Marek	ZTE Corp.		
						Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
							oler" - it is not cl	ne scrambler > should read ear what these sync bits are		
						Suggested	Remedy			
						per cor				
						Response		Response Status C		
						•	PT IN PRINCIPI	,		
						7.00LI				
						Change	e to:			

Draft 3.0 Commen	its	IEEE P80)2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet con	nments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 82 SC 82.2.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	8.2.3 P184 ZTE Corp.	L 40	# 205	<i>Cl</i> 82 Hajduczen	SC 82.2.7 ia, Marek	P 178 ZTE Corp.	L3	# 208
	Comment Status A acter is one containing a control cters are specified in Table 821		n Table 821.change to		51	Comment Status A is added only to column 2 and ing".	should be also	added to column 4,
SuggestedRemedy Table 82-1 defines of	clearly what they are composed	of. No need to re	edefine. Per comment.	Suggested Per co	<i>IRemedy</i> mment			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCE		Response Status C		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.7 Hajduczenia, Marek	P177 ZTE Corp.	L 32	# 206	<i>Cl</i> 82 Hajduczen	SC 82.2.8 ia, Marek	P 178 ZTE Corp.	L 50	# 209
Comment Type T Change caption of F reflect what is prese SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Response REJECT. Format is accurate.	Comment Status R Figure 82-9 to read "Alignment m Inted in the figure. Response Status C	arker structure"	- this seems to better	fast de to upd field is accura is only Consid	BIP field is add etermination of t ate error counte added to each tate and fast dete used to update dering that BIP f	Comment Status A ed to each PCS Lane alignmer he bit error ratio of a given PC ers, no state machines use this PCS Lane alignment marker of error counters. No state mach ields are quite spaced apart, the erms of efficiency.	S Lane. This inf information."sh n positions 3 ai on a given PCS ines use this in	formation is only used nould read as"A BIP nd 7. This allows & Lane. This information formation." (2)
CI 82 SC 82.2.7	P 177	L 42	# 207	Suggested Per co	<i>Remedy</i> mment			
Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T	ZTE Corp. Comment Status A			Response	PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C		
(1) It would help if th is used in Figure 82 "After the alignment	e example shown in ine 42 was 9. Similar comment about exam markers are added, the data is are inserted, data is sent to PMA	uple on page 179 sent to the PMA	9, line 36(2) In line 44,	For #1 A PCS accura used to	change to: lane BIP field ate and fast mea o update error o	s carried in each PCS Lane al asure of the bit error ratio of a g ounters, no state machines us provement in the comment. No	given PCS Lane e this information	e. This information is on.
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status C IPLE.			#2 - Ni	o suggested Im	orovement in the comment. No	change made.	

#1 - I think this would be more confusing since octets are send lsb to msb. Leave as is.

#2 - Change to:

"After alignment markers are inserted, data is sent to the PMA or FEC sublayer adjacent to the PCS"

2 SC 82.2.8 P 179 L 2 # 210 uczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. ment Type T Comment Status A The BIP3 field is a bit interleaved parity calculation.change to read "The BIP3 field contains he result of a bit interleaved parity calculation." Here and the status of the BIP3 field contains for the BIP3 field	C/ 82 SC 82.2.10 P180 L3 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. Comment Type T Comment Status A (1) line 3: "The PCS shall generate and detect a scrambled idle test patter shall have the ability to generate and detect a scrambled idle test pattern	# 213
The BIP3 field is a bit interleaved parity calculation.change to read "The BIP3 field contains	(1) line 3: "The PCS shall generate and detect a scrambled idle test path	
gestedRemedy	scrambled idle pattern is selected," > "When a scrambled idle pattern is	n."(2) line 6: "Wher
Per comment	"and deskew the PCS lanes." > "and deskew individual PCS lanes."	
ponse Response Status C	SuggestedRemedy Per comment	
ACCEPT.	Response Response Status C	
2 SC 82.2.8 P179 L12 # 211	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
uczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.	 #1,2 - implement #3 - correct as is, you can't deskew individual lane in isolation. 	
ment Type T Comment Status A		
Fable 82-4 probably represents "BIP3 bit assignment". Also, it is not clear what these assigned 66b word bits" are ? There is no clear description how BIP3 and BIP7 is	C/ 82 SC 82.2.10 P180 L15 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. ZTE	# 214
calculated - suggest to provide a clear example for this end.	Comment Type T Comment Status A	
gestedRemedy	Provide a reference to the described functionality.	
Per comment	SuggestedRemedy	
bonse Response Status C	Per comment	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C	
Change the title of 82-4 to: BIP3 bit assignments The paragraph that refers to this figure gives the details of the BIP calculations, no change n the description is needed.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. From: When the transmit channel is operating in test-pattern mode, the encode distributed to the PCS Lanes as in normal operation.	ed bit stream is
•	— То:	and this and a second to
2 SC 82.2.8 P 179 L 44 # 212 uczenia, Marek ZTE Corp.	When the transmit channel is operating in test-pattern mode, the encode distributed to the PCS Lanes as in normal operation (see 82.2.6).	ed dit stream is
<i>ment Type</i> T <i>Comment Status</i> R Lines 44 - 54 contain description of what is shown in Figure 82-3 and 82-4. Why have it in		
nere? It occupies a lot of space, and does not bring anything new to the specifications.		
gestedRemedy		

Per comment

Response

REJECT.

I believe it adds to clarifying exact bit ordering.

Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 214

Page 46 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:28 AM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 82 SC 82.2.12 P 180 L 27 # 215 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corp. ZTE Corp. <td>C/ 45 P 85 L 50 # 217 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited</td>	C/ 45 P 85 L 50 # 217 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited
Comment Type T Comment Status A (1) "PCS lane deskew" > "PCS lane deskew process"(2) in line 29: "Once the receiver has PCS lane lock on each PCS lane (4 or 20 lanes), then the process of deskewing the" > "Once the receiver achieves PCS lane lock on all PCS lanes (4 or 20 lanes, for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R, respectively), the process of deskewing "(3) in line 31: " After alignment marker lock" > " After the alignment marker lock" > " After the alignment marker lock" > " After the alignment marker lock" > " is achieved, then any lane to lane skew can be removed as shown in the PCS deskew state diagram in Figure 8212." > "is achieved, then any the intra-lane skew between any two PCS lanes	Comment Type E Comment Status D No line at the bottom of the table. SuggestedRemedy Add line to bottom of table as per other tables split over pages Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
can be removed as shown in Figure 8212." uggestedRemedy Per comment	C/ 81 P160 L 51 # 218 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited 4
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. #1 Correct as is, would be incosistent if this change was made. #2:Change to: "Once the receiver achieves PCS lane lock on all PCS lanes (4 lanes for 40GBASE-R or 20 lanes for 100GBASE-R), the process of deskewing" #3: Correct as is. #4 Change to: "is achieved, then all inter-lane skew is removed as shown in the PCS deskew state	Comment Type E Comment Status D The line at the bottom of the table is thinner than usual. SuggestedRemedy Thicken the line at bottom of table Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
diagram in Figure 8212." # 45 SC 45 P 54 L 39	C/ 82 SC 82 P 174 L 25 # 219 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited Image: Constraint of the second
urner, Edward J Gnodal Limited omment Type E Comment Status D Table 45-59a. No line at the bottom of the table. uggestedRemedy Add line to bottom of table as per other tables split over pages	Comment Type E Comment Status D Table 82-1. All lines are the same thickness. SuggestedRemedy Use thicker lines for the table border and around the title cells, as per tables in the other clauses.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Draft 3.0 Comments	IEEE P80	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments			Sponsor ballot	
CI 82 SC 82 P 178 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited	L 6	# 220	<i>Cl</i> 82 Turner, E	SC 82 dward J	P 180 Gnodal Limited	L 42	# 223
Comment Type E Comment Status D Table 82-2. All lines are the same thickness.			Comment Table	51	Comment Status D are the same thickness.		
SuggestedRemedy Use thicker lines for the table border and around the tit clauses.	le cells, as pe	r tables in the other			he table border and around the tit	le cells, as per	tables in the other
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.			•	l Response POSED ACCEP	Response Status W		
C/ 82 SC 82 P178 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited	L 35	# 221	<i>CI</i> 82 Turner, E	SC 82 dward J	P 187 Gnodal Limited	L10	# 224
Comment Type E Comment Status D Table 82-3. All lines are the same thickness.			Comment Table		Comment Status D are the same thickness.		
SuggestedRemedy Use thicker lines for the table border and around the tit clauses.	le cells, as pe	r tables in the other	00		he table border and around the tit	le cells, as per	tables in the other
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				l Response POSED ACCEP	Response Status W T.		
C/ 82 SC 82 P179 Furner, Edward J Gnodal Limited	L15	# 222	<i>CI</i> 82 Turner, E	SC 82 dward J	P 187 Gnodal Limited	L 29	# 225
Comment Type E Comment Status D Table 82-4. All lines are the same thickness.			<i>Comment</i> Table	51	Comment Status D are the same thickness.		
SuggestedRemedy Use thicker lines for the table border and around the tit clauses.	le cells, as pe	r tables in the other			he table border and around the tit	le cells, as per	tables in the other
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				l Response POSED ACCEP	Response Status W T.		

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P80)2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments			Sponsor ballot	
C/ 82 SC 82 Turner, Edward J	P196 Gnodal Limited	L 4	# 226	<i>Cl</i> 82 Turner, E	SC 82 dward J	P 198 Gnodal Limited	L 35	# 229
Comment Type E Table line thickness of	Comment Status D PICS table is not same as in ot	her clauses.		Commen Table	51	Comment Status D of PICS tables is not same as in c	ther clauses.	
SuggestedRemedy Use thicker lines for the clauses.	e table border and around the tit	le cells, as pe	r tables in the other	Use t		the table border and around the tit tables in this subsection.	le cells, as per	tables in the other
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			,	l Response POSED ACCEF	Response Status W		
C/ 82 SC 82 Turner, Edward J	P 196 Gnodal Limited	L 25	# 227	<i>Cl</i> 83 Turner, E	SC 83 dward J	P 216 Gnodal Limited	L 49	# 230
Comment Type E Table line thickness of	Comment Status D PICS tables is not same as in c	ther clauses.		Commen Table		Comment Status D at the bottom of the table.		
SuggestedRemedy Use thicker lines for the clauses. Apply to all tak	e table border and around the tit oles in this subsection.	le cells, as pe	r tables in the other	Add I	edRemedy line to bottom of d Response	f table as per other tables split ove Response Status W	r pages	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			•	POSED ACCER	,		
C/ 82 SC 82 Turner, Edward J	P 198 Gnodal Limited	L 4	# 228	<i>Cl</i> 83 Turner, E	SC 83 dward J	P 219 Gnodal Limited	L 3	# 231
Comment Type E	Comment Status D PICS tables is not same as in c	ther clauses.		Commen Table	51	Comment Status D of PICS table is not the same as i	n other clauses	S.
SuggestedRemedy Use thicker lines for the	e table border and around the tit		r tables in the other	Use t the ta	able, as per tabl	e table border and around the title es in the other clauses. Apply to F		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			•	<i>l Response</i> POSED ACCEF	Response Status W		

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 84 SC 84	P226	L 47	# 232	C/ 85 SC 85	P256	L7	# 235		
Furner, Edward J	Gnodal Limited		# 232	Turner, Edward J	Gnodal Limited	<i>L</i> I	# 200		
Comment Type E Table 84-3. No line at	Comment Status D the bottom of the table.			Comment Type E Table 85-8. Thin line	Comment Status D under title cells.				
SuggestedRemedy Add line to bottom of ta	able as per other tables split o	ver pages		SuggestedRemedy Use a thicker line un	der the title cells, as per tables in	other clauses			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W				
breaks across multiple	ed using the 802.3ba template pages, the last row on a page able is continued on the next p	does not have		C/ 85 SC 85 Turner, Edward J	P 257 Gnodal Limited	L16	# 236		
To override this behav	our: In the Table Designer, or Sheet Only" tick box until it is	n the Ruling tat		Comment Type E Table 85-9. Thin line	Comment Status D under title cells.				
	ards, such tables do have a lin ext page has "(continued)" at th			SuggestedRemedy Use a thicker line un Proposed Response	der the title cells, as per tables in Response Status W	other clauses			
The editors will review table style.	this across all the Clauses in a	802.3ba and ac	lopt the appropriate	PROPOSED ACCER					
C/ 85 SC 85 Jurner, Edward J	P 238 Gnodal Limited	L 54	# 233	<i>Cl</i> 85 SC 85 Turner, Edward J	P 261 Gnodal Limited	L 20	# 237		
Comment Type E Table 85-3. No line at	Comment Status D the bottom of the table.			Comment Type E Table 85-10. Thin lin	Comment Status D e under title cells.				
SuggestedRemedy Add line to bottom of ta	able as per other tables split o	ver pages		SuggestedRemedy Use a thicker line un	der the title cells, as per tables in	other clauses			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCER	Response Status W PT.				
C/ 85 SC 85 Turner, Edward J	P 247 Gnodal Limited	L 22	# 234	C/ 85 SC 85 Turner, Edward J	P 265 Gnodal Limited	L 37	# 238		
<i>Comment Type</i> E Table 85-5. Thin line u					Comment Type E Comment Status D Table 85-11. Thin line under title cells.				
SuggestedRemedy	er the title cells, as per tables i	n other clauses		SuggestedRemedy Use a thicker line un	der the title cells, as per tables in	other clauses			
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft 3.0 Comments	IEEE P802.3	Bba D3.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s E	thernet com	iments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 85 SC 85 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited	L 5	# 239	<i>CI</i> 83A Turner, Edw	SC 83A.7.3 /ard J	P 392 Gnodal Limited	L 4	# 242
Comment Type E Comment Status D Thin line under title cells.			Comment T Table lin		<i>Comment Status</i> D nd style of PICS table is not sam	e as in other	r clauses.
SuggestedRemedy Use a thicker line under the title cells, as per PICS table Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	es in other clause	25	as per t Proposed R	cker lines for the ables in the oth	e table border and around the tit her clauses. Also apply to other f <i>Response Status</i> W		
CI 87 P 324 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited	L10	# 240		s note: This con	nment is against 83A.7.3, hence	corrected cl	lause/subclause number
Comment Type E Comment Status D Table 87-13. Thick vertical line between cells. SuggestedRemedy Use a thin vertical line between cells, as per tables in o Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	ther clauses		SuggestedF	ype E ne thickness ar Remedy	P 407 Gnodal Limited Comment Status D nd style of PICS table is not sam e table border and around the tit		
C/ 88 SC 88.8.10 P 351 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited	L 19	# 241		ables in the oth	ner clauses. Also apply to other I Response Status W		
Comment Type E Comment Status D Table 88-13. Thick vertical line between cells. SuggestedRemedy Use a thin vertical line between cells, as per tables in o	ther clauses		See sug			corrected cl	lause/subclause number
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. [Editor's note: Subclause changed from 88 to 88.8.10] See also comments 244 and 240			SuggestedF Use a th Proposed R	ype E 6A-7. Thick ver <i>Remedy</i> hin vertical line <i>Response</i>	 B.6 P 437 Gnodal Limited Comment Status D rtical line between cells. between cells, as per tables in c Response Status W [Editor's note: Clause/subclau 		

Draft 3.0 Comments	IEEE P8	302.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s Ethernet comments	Sponsor ballot
C/ 82 P195 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited	L 43	# 245	Cl 85 SC 85 P 245 L 18 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited	# 248
Comment Type E Comment Status D PICS table does not have space above Date of statem	ient		Comment Type E Comment Status D The apostrophe on assembly's is a sans-serif type, whereas the style serif type with a tail.	elsewhere is to use a
SuggestedRemedy Other PICS Protocol summary tables seem to have a this revision, some have a space and some dont. You summary tables consistent, though the base edition se	may want to	make all PICS	SuggestedRemedy Use serif apostrophe. Also on page 246 at line 38, and page 339 at li	ne 30.
in the formatting. Proposed Response Response Status W			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
PROPOSED ACCEPT. Add a space to make it consistent with other clauses.			C/ 85 SC 85 P 248 L 18 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited	# 249
CI 85 SC 85 P 237 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited Comment Type E Comment Status No space between the and 100GBASE-CR10 SuggestedRemedy Add a space between the and 100GBASE-CR10 Proposed Response Response Status	L 30	# <u>246</u>	Comment Type E Comment Status D The quote marks are a sans-serif type, whereas the style elsewhere i with a tail. SuggestedRemedy Use serif quote marks. Also at lines 22 and 25 on the same page. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	s to use a serif type
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	L7	# 247	C/ 87 P 324 L 53 Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited	# 250
Turner, Edward J Gnodal Limited Comment Type E Comment Status			Comment Type E Comment Status D Single quote marks are used, whereas elsewhere double quote mark	s are used.
Comment Type E Comment Status D No space between Clause and 85 SuggestedRemedy Add a space between Clause and 85 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. W			 SuggestedRemedy Use double quote marks. Also at line 54 on the same page, and on p and 16. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. 	age 325 at lines 15

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 85 SC 85 Furner, Edward J	P 255 Gnodal Limited	L 9	# 251	<i>Cl</i> 85 SC 85 Turner, Edward J	P 269 Gnodal Limite	L 37	# 254
Comment Type E The referenced section	Comment Status D 86.8.8.2 does not exist.			Comment Type E There are two references	Comment Status A to IEC XXXXX-X-XX		
SuggestedRemedy Replace with 86.8.2.				SuggestedRemedy Replace with a valid refer	rence.		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to commer	Response Status C 		
2/ 45 SC 45 Jurner, Edward J	P82 Gnodal Limited	L 9	# 252	C/ 01 SC 1.3 Thompson, Michael	P 25 Pentair Electro	L18 onic Pac	# 255
Comment Type E Table 45-114a. The tab for lane 0.	Comment Status D le title incorrectly says it is for la	anes 0 and 1,	but it is only actually	Comment Type E	Comment Status A of this standard available.		
SuggestedRemedy Replace with Table 45-	114a-BIP error counter, lane 0 r	egister bit de	finitions.	SuggestedRemedy IEC 61280-1-4:2009			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C		
C/ 85 SC 85	Р 266 Gnodal Limited	L 28	# 253	See response to commer			
Turner, Edward J Comment Type E style-2 has a lower case	Gnodal Limited Comment Status D e s whereas elsewhere it has an	uppercase s	5.	C/ A SC A Young, George Comment Type E	P 361 AT&T Comment Status D	L10	# 256
SuggestedRemedy Capitalise the s.				51	709 reference document to	be as specified	by ITU-T
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			00 ,	ference to read "Interfaces	for the Optical 1	Fransport Network
				Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		

C/ 86 SC 86.10.2.2.1 P297 L50 # 257	CI 82 SC 82.2.7 P176 L48 # 259					
Cobb, Terry CommScope Solutions	Trowbridge, Stephen ALCATEL-LUCENT					
Comment TypeTComment StatusASRreachBy using low loss connectors the distance for OM3 can be increased to 125m and OM4 to 150m. This requires no changes to anything else in the document and essentially comes free. These low loss connectors are available from many manufactures.SRreach	Comment Type TR Comment Status A In Figure 82-7, "PCS lane n" should be "PCS lane n-1" SuggestedRemedy					
SuggestedRemedy Change 86.10.2.2.1 Connection insertion loss to read: The operating link distances in the tables is based on an allocation of 1.5 dB total connection and splice loss. For example, this allocation supports two connections, each	per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT.					
with an insertion loss of 0.75 dB. However, the loss of a single connection shall not exceed 0.75 dB. Connections with lower loss characteristics may be used provided the requirements of	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.87 P 58 L 38 # 260 Trowbridge, Stephen ALCATEL-LUCENT Image: Comparison of the second					
Table 86-14 are met. By reducing the connection and splice loss from 1.5 dB to 1.0 dB the operating distance for OM3 can be extended to 120 meters and the operating distance for OM4 can be extended to 150 meters.	Comment Type E Comment Status D "multi-lane PCS" is OK, but "multi-lane PHY" is problematic since future PHYs may no always be multiple physical lanes. SuggestedRemedy Either change "multi-lane PHY" to "multi-lane PCS", or change to "multi-PCS lane PHY Same issue with 41.2.1.88, page 59, line 16.					
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 349.						
C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 31 L 9 # 258 Trowbridge, Stephen ALCATEL-LUCENT End End	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
Comment Type E Comment Status D P802.3ba PMDs are all comprised of multiple physical lanes and multiple PCS lanes.	As this is a PMA/PMD MMD, it needs to be specified that PCS lanes are intended.					
Future interfaces, e.g. under investigation by the 40Gb/s Ethernet Single-mode Fibre PMD Study Group, may not be multiple physical lanes but will still be multiple PCS lanes	change to "multi-PCS lane PHY"					
SuggestedRemedy Change "40 Gb/s multi-lane 64B/66B" to "40 Gb/s multi-PCS lane 64B/66B" and "100 Gb/s multi-lane 64B/66B" to "100 Gb/s multi-PCS lane 64B/66B". Same change in sub-clause 30.3.2.1.3 lines 18-19	locations: p.58, I.38 p.59, I.16; I.27; I.40; I.53 p.60, I.7; I.16; I.25					
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.89 Trowbridge, Stephen Stephen Stephen	P 59 ALCATEL-LU	L 27 CENT	# 261	C/ 81 SC 81 Trowbridge, Stepher		P 141 ALCATEL-LU	L 49 JCENT	# 264
SuggestedRemedy Change "multi-lane BASE PHYs" to multi-PCS lane 40-41 (same page), sub- lines 7-8 (p60), sub-calus 26 (p60).	Comment Status D ane basis, this text applies E-R PHYs" to "multi-PCS la PHYs" on the following line clause 45.2.1.91 lines 53-5 se 45.2.1.93 lines 16-17 (pc Response Status W	ne BASE-R PH e. Also sub-clau 4(same page), :	Ys" and "multi-lane ise 45.2.1.90 on lines sub-clause 45.2.1.92	The words "mul lanes, or PMD is RS extends furt SuggestedRemedy Change "The R encodings of the	anes. Us her dowr S adapts e PHYs" of the PC	Comment Status D enerally refer to multiple Pring this term in the context of the stack than it does. The bit serial protocols of t to "The RS adapts the bit s S service interface" Response Status W	of the RS make	s it sound as though the nulti-lane serial
SuggestedRemedy Change "Non Multi-lane I issue lines 34, 42 same p	P91 ALCATEL-LU Comment Status D lanes and not physical lane BASE-R device" to "Non m bage Response Status W	S	# 262	It would help to interface of PMI SuggestedRemedy	n E clarify th D lanes) the data cCEPT II	-	described here (\	C C
PHYs with multiple PCS SuggestedRemedy Change "multi-lane PHYs	P122 ALCATEL-LU Comment Status D s may not be serial for a lor lanes, even if they eventua s" to "multi-PCS lane PHYs Response Status W	ng time, the app Ily do not have i						

C/ 82 SC 82.2.11	P180 L22	# 266 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.11.5
Trowbridge, Stephen	ALCATEL-LUCENT	Trowbridge, Stephen

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status A

In the Rx direction, while the incoming lanes of the generic service interface correspond to PCS lanes, they have not been identified as a particular PCS lane at the point of the lane lock or alignment marker lock processes. The lane numbering with respect to the status variables that go with these processes will, in general, be different than the lane numbering for PCSLs (e.g., by the time you count BIP-8 errors, you know which PCSL is which). The two sets of lane numbers could be confusing, and it would be better not to refer to incoming lanes of the generic service interface which have not yet been identified as a particular PCSL as PCSLs.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the name of the "PCS lane lock" process to simply the "Lane lock" process, and the name of the "PCS alignment marker lock" process to simply the "Alignment marker lock" process (many places in the text plus the actual state diagrams Fig 82-10, 82-11, variables, and MDIO status registers). Before lanes can be identified as PCSLs, they are service interface lanes. Note that Figure 82-2 appears to be OK as it simply says "LANE BLOCK SYNC" and "ALIGNMENT LOCK" without referring to them as PCSLs. The MDIO register names for alignment seem OK since they are not called PCSLs until they are locked and aligned. The individual lane lock variables are just called "Lane lock". A note should be inserted to alert readers that the Rx service interface lane numbering and PCSL lane numbering may be different. A mapping variable between service interface lanes and the PCSLs received on them could be introduced.

Response

Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Make the changes as proposed in gustlin_04_0110.

CI 45	SC 45.2.3.11.5	P 69	L 42	# 267
Trowbridge	e, Stephen	ALCATEL-LU	JCENT	

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The management clause needs to change to align with a corresponding comment to clause 82 to reflect the fact that during the block lock and alignment marker lock processes, these are just service interface lanes and which PCSL may be received over them are unknown.

SugaestedRemedv

Check that the description of lane <x> lock and lane <x> aligned do not imply that these are PCSLs rather than service interface lanes. Add new lane_mapping<x> status variable corresponding to clause 82 change to indicate which PCSL is received on each service interface lane.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Scrub clause 74 for "PCS lane" and change to either "lane" or "lane of the service interface" as appropriate.

In table 74-1 and subclause 74.8.4.1 and 74.8.4.2 explicitly state that counters are for lanes of the service interface. (see comment 266)

No change is required in 45.2.3.11.5 as it uses "receive lane" and references the appropriate section in Clause 82.

Change 45.2.1.89 and 45.2.1.90 and 45.2.1.97 to explicitly state that counters and registers are for lanes of the service interface.

In 45.2.3.37 and 45.2.3.38 explicitly state that BIP counters are PCS lanes.

A mapping register is added by comments #749 & #750.

C/ 83B	SC 83B.1	P 396	L 49	# 268
Trowbridge	, Stephen	ALCATE	L-LUCENT	

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The title "Figure 83B-3 Chip-Module loss budget " does not indicate the reference frequency

SuggestedRemedy

Change title to: "Figure 83B-3 Chip-Module loss budget at 5.15625 GHz"

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

See suggested remedy

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P80	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s E	thernet com	iments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Trowbridge, Stephen	P 398 ALCATEL-LUC	L 41 ENT	# 269	Cl 83B Trowbridge	SC 83B.2 Stephen	P 399 ALCATEL-LUC	L 36 CENT	# 272
The title "Figure 83B-5 Ch reference frequency. SuggestedRemedy Change title to: "Figure 83	Comment Status A p-module compliance point B-5 Chip-module compliance			equality value. Suggestedl	ntence "MCB P equation 83B- Remedy	Comment Status A CB up to 2.1dB" reflects the M 4. Therefore, the MCB loss va PCB targeted to 2.1dB"		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status W B-5 Chip-module HCB inse	rtion loss budg	jet at 5.15625 GHz"			Response Status W E.		
C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Trowbridge, Stephen Comment Type ER	P 399 ALCATEL-LUC Comment Status A	L 47 ENT	# 270	See co C/ 83B Trowbridge	nment 853 SC 83B.2 Stephen	P 397 ALCATEL-LUC	L 27 CENT	# 273
The title "Figure 83B-7 Ch reference frequency. SuggestedRemedy change title to: "Figure 83I	p-module compliance point 3-7 Chip-module complianc Response Status W			and the normat <i>Suggestedl</i> Change	ntence "The eff reference inse ve. Remedy e to: "The effect	Comment Status A ects of differences between the rtion should be accounted for c of the difference between the loss are to be accounted in th	in the measure	ments." is not of an actual HCB and
change title to: "Figure 83	3-7 Chip-module with MCB	insertion loss l	budget at 5.15625 GHz"	Response ACCEF	т	Response Status W		
C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Trowbridge, Stephen	P 398 ALCATEL-LUC	L 29 ENT	# 271		ggested remed	y		
The sentence "HCB PCB i equality equation 83B-3. T value. SuggestedRemedy Change title to: "HCB PCE	Comment Status A up to 2.1dB" reflects the HC herefore, the HCB loss valu targeted to 2.1dB" Response Status W			See co	nment 274			

Draft 3.0 Comments	3	IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments					Sponsor ballot	
Cl 83B SC 83B.2 Trowbridge, Stephen	P 398 ALCATEL-LUC	L 49 CENT	# 274	<i>Cl</i> 80 Muller, Shir	SC 80.4	P135 Sun Microsys	L 5 stems	# 276
	Comment Status A fects of differences between the ertion should be accounted for i			RS and the val	lay constraint, MAC Control, ues in pause_c	Comment Status A expressed in bit times, for the is incorrect and does not corr juanta and absolute time in ns value used elsewhere in the	respond to s. It is	
Change to: "The effect	t of the difference between the n loss are to be accounted in th			Suggested Relace	<i>Remedy</i> "10240" with "	16384".		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Response ACCEF	PT.	Response Status W		
See suggested remed	ly				sponse to com			
See comment 273.	P418	L 25	# 275	<i>Cl</i> 81 Muller, Shir	SC 81.1.4	P 142 Sun Microsys	L 49 stems	# 277
Trowbridge, Stephen	ALCATEL-LUC		" 213	Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
Comment Type ER The title "Figure 85A- reference frequency. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A 1- Illustration channel insertion	loss budget" " doe	es not indicate the	by a sh inconsi use the expres	all statement s stent with mos e exact absolut sed in ns. Sinc	mate value in a table that is c eems to be inappropriate. It is t of the other clauses that cho e time values for the delay co e this value is well defined, is	s also se to nstraints there	
	e 85A-1- Illustration channel ins	sertion loss budge	t at 5.15625 GHz"			recise value should not be use	ed?	
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status W			Suggested Replac	-	409.6" and "~246" with "245.7	6".	
		hundred in illustration		Response		Response Status C		
0	nge: The channel insertion loss tion loss budget at 5.15625 GH	0	0		PT IN PRINCIP ate of #447, see	LE. e resolution of #447.		

Change title to: "Figure 85A-1- Illustration channel insertion loss budget at 5.15625 GHz" In Figure 85A-1-change: 1.28 dB to 1.26 dB

Sponsor ballot

C/ 81 SC 81.3.4.2	P158 L11	# 278	C/ 83 SC 83.5.4	P211	L 21	# 280
Muller, Shimon	Sun Microsystems		Muller, Shimon	Sun Microsy	rstems	
Comment Type ER Comment It seems that the entire Link Faul copied from clause 46 (with the re except for the state diagram itself Saving trees is a good thing. How too important to be scattered arou in different portions of the standar would greatly help "making it easy relevant specification" (from our 5 relevant state diagrams were in o	elevant modifications), f. vever, state diagrams are und and be referenced to rd, 35 clauses apart. It y for the reader to select 5-criteria) if all the		was used to get from Furthermore: The use of an appro by a shall statemen inconsistent with mo use the exact absol expressed in ns. Sim	Comment Status A R PMA I am wondering what ro n 102.4ns to ~104ns? oximate value in a table that is t seems to be inappropriate. It ost of the other clauses that ch ute time values for the delay co nee this value is well defined, is precise value should not be us	covered is also ose to onstraints s there	
SuggestedRemedy Copy the Link Faul Signaling state to the end of this subclause. Also, change all references from Figure 48-9. Response ACCEPT.	0 0		Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Dup 477			// [D01
Duplicate of #75.			C/ 84 SC 84.7.4 Muller, Shimon	P 227 Sun Microsy	L 38 vstems	# <u>2</u> 81
C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3 Muller, Shimon Comment Type ER Comm	P 190 L 13 Sun Microsystems ent Status A	# 279	Comment Type E SIGNAL_DETECT	Comment Status D s set to OK only when training		
The am_invld_cnt variable assign			,	petween "Upon" and "completion	on".	
seems to be garbled. SuggestedRemedy Replace "am" and "nvld_cnt <= 0	" with "am_invld_cnt <= 0".		Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE.		
	use Status W		Upon successful co	mpletion of training on all lanes	s, SIGNAL_DETE	CT shall be set to OK.

see also comment 282 against Clause 85

Sponsor ballot

C/ 85 SC 85.7.4 Muller, Shimon	P 241 Sun Microsyste	L 30	# 282	<i>Cl</i> 82 Dawe, Pier	SC 82.2.1	7 P18 Indep		L 33	# 285
		ens		,					
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment		Comment Status			
SIGNAL_DETECT is set	to OK only when training is	successful.				2 comment 69, "There a			
SuggestedRemedy Insert "successful" betwee	en "Upon" and "completion"			which very g	is meant by B bod in service	nals: errored blocks and ER for the purposes of c at marginal and good B	omplian ERs, we	ce. As the error expect in-servi	red block counter is not ce monitoring to use
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			apply i Also it	n compliance seems that th		ed idle si necker w	ignal as in serv ill typically cour	ice." nt 2 for an isolated error
C/ 01 SC 1.3	P 25	L 20	# 283			P checker will count 1. F loss statistics.	or isolate	ed enois, the b	
Dawe, Piers J G	Independant			Note th		e to the PCS operation	vould be	a simplification	n, and option 1 below
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Suggested	0				
	EC 61280-1-4:2009 Fibre op eneral communication subsy			Option accord	1: no change ing to 82.2.14	to silicon: Add text to 8 is the preferred measu es the BER for complian	e for BE	R." At 82.2.14 I	
SuggestedRemedy at line 20. Date the referent tidies up. Remove editor	ence, leave the 2003 referen s note at line 23	ce for Cl.68 us	e until maintenance	Option expect "Wher	2: To bring the defacto defacto de operating in s	e definition of BER in so efinition of errors in serv scrambled idle test patte	rambled ce, it wo rn, the te	idle test patter uld be desirable est-pattern error	e to change: r counter counts blocks
Response	Response Status C				mismatch. An ounter."	y mismatch indicates ar	error an	id shall increme	ent the test-pattern
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE				to					
See response to comme	nt #394			errors	according to 8	scrambled idle test patte 2.2.14.". quential changes to wo		•	r counter counts BIP
C/ 80 SC 80.1.5	P 128	L 33	# 284	Response	may be conse	Response Status	-	1000 40.	
Dawe, Piers J G	Independant				PT IN PRINCI		C		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A				-		w to dete	ermine a BER f	rom the scrambled idle
A NOTE is not part of the	e standard. Table 80-2 needs e Table 44-1, Table 56-2 and			Comment #461 has more clearly defined how to determine a BER from the scra error counters, with these changes the BER derived from scrambled idles or BIP basically equivelant for error rates of interest. So no need to favor one over the o					
SuggestedRemedy									
Remove informative NO	E, add table note as for tabl	es mentioned.							
Response	Response Status C								
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Make the text "O = Optio	,								

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 82 SC 82.	2.18.2.2 P183	L12	# 286	C/ 83	SC 83.5.10	P213	L 24	# 288
Dawe, Piers J G	Independa		" 200	Dawe, Pie		Independant		" <u>2</u> 30
Comment Type T To future-proof th and 40G lanes, r that unlike KR, C MTTFPA must b issue than a drop SuggestedRemedy Find the MTTFP, CRn, 25G lanes, ber_cnt limit. Response REJECT.	R Comment Status R he PCS, repeat the error propag not just example (not worst) KR CRn is for multi-vendor use, not e VERY good indeed. A packet	ation analysis for v error propagation s just for closed syst falsely accepted is ervative estimates f hange the hi_ber li	statistics. Remember ems, and "adequate" a much more serious for error propagation, for mit by changing the	Comment Askin very c to tes confo Suggester Chan "To av gener offset to "To av betwe zero,	Type T g for something to lifficult to implement t for. However, ra rmance test. dRemedy ge void correlated cre ated on each lane of 20 000 UI betw void correlated cre en the PRBS31 s or no greater thar	Comment Status R b be random is not a good ide ent a true random number gen ndomness is not the point, an be generated from independ veen the PRBS31 sequence of posstalk, it is highly recommen equence on any lane and any n would be the case if the PRI dependent, random seeds."	ded that the PF lent, random se on any lane and ded that the ch y other lane is la	y difficult or impossible there is no "shall" so no RBS31 patterns seds or at a minimum d any other lane." ance that the offset ess than 20 000 UI is
C/ 82 SC 82. Dawe, Piers J G	2.18.3 P186 Independa	L 8 ant	# 287	Response REJE		Response Status C		
Comment Type T Here, each PCS PCS manipulates	Comment Status A lane carries a stream of bits (lik s it.	te the PMA), it's no	t yet "data" before the	comp	elling an impleme	r and simple, and no develop ntation which selects seeds b d make the text more difficult	ased on a proc	ess of chance. The
SuggestedRemedy								
Change "receive PCS lane".	d data stream for a given PCS	ane" to "received b	it stream for a given					
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEPT.								

Sponsor ballot

C/ 83	SC 83.5.10	P 213	L 41	# 289
Dawe, Pier	rs J G	Independant		

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Draft provides PRBS31 testing options that are preferred to scrambled idle testing or BIP counting Ethernet-encoded signal for several reasons, e.g. provides controlled overstress, factories have the PRBS31-aware BERTs already. Need to run the SAME (factory-compatible) pattern in complete hosts to assure signal integrity in situ. Desirable to count errors in test equipment and host, not just take module's word for it. To support multi-lane PRBS31 properly in a variety of scenarios, should generate per physical lane and check per PCS lane.

SuggestedRemedy

In the paragraphs beginning line 40 and top of page 214, change "lane" or "lanes" to "PCS lane" or PCS lanes". Change "Ln9_PRBS_TX_test_err_counter count" to

"Ln19_PRBS_TX_test_err_counter count" and "Ln9_PRBS_RX_test_err_counter count" to "Ln19_PRBS_RX_test_err_counter count".

Delete "Note that bit multiplexing of per-lane PRBS31 may produce a signal which is not meaningful for downstream sublayers."

Provide 20 PRBS31 error counters in each direction, one per PCS lane.

Add informative NOTE explaining that a 10G, 20G or 40G PRBS31 contains PCS lanes with PRBS31s with much more than 20,000 UI offset.

Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

With the relaxed text for PRBS31 error checking which only requires counting of one error in a 1000-bit sliding window, there is nothing to preclude an implementation which checks the PRBS31 pattern using parallel checkers, whether at the granularity of a PCS lane or any other convenient divisor. However, keeping the error counts on a PCS lane basis rather than a physical lane basis hampers the usefulness of the test as there is no fixed association of PCS lanes to physical lanes at a given PMA input or output, so one would lose the visibility of which lane was experiencing errors. Confusion may also be introduced by calling these PCS lanes, as there are no lane markers in the PRBS31 pattern to identify which PCS lane is which. So unlike any other part of the text where a PCS lane is identified by a unique lane marker telling you which PCS lane it is, these would not really be PCS lanes, but 20 arbitrary bins at the bit rate of a PCS lane uncorrelated with the physical lanes.

C/ 83	SC 83.5.7	P 212	L11	# 290
Dawe, Pie	ers J G	Independant		

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Draft says "Other inputs to the SIL may include the status of clock and data recovery on the lanes from the service interface below the PMA (where the interface to is physically instantiated)" This interface is almost certain to be instantiated, even if inside an IC, and whether it is or not, the status of clock and data recovery could (should) be taken into account.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "(where the interface to is physically instantiated)"

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The proposal can be accepted, deleting "(where the interface is physically instantiatiated)", but not for the reason given by the commentor.

It is a reasonable simplification of the text given that the sentence begins "Other inputs to the SIL MAY include ...", and the case where you would likely include CDR is for a physically instantiated interface.

It is extremely unlikely that you would have CDR if this interface is buried inside of a chip it is simpler to multiply or divide the clock recovered at the edge of the chip as necessary.

C/ 84 SC 84.2 Dawe, Piers J G	P 224 Independant	L 42	# 291
Comment Type E Missing space in =FAIL	Comment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy Insert space			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		

C/ 84 SC 84.2	P224 L42	# 292	C/ 85 SC 85.8.3	P244 L10	# 294
Dawe, Piers J G	Independant		Dawe, Piers J G	Independant	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Comment Type TR	Comment Status R	

The 40GBASE-KR4 service interface should be like the 10GBASE-KR service interface. For 40GBASE-KR4, draft says "When SIGNAL DETECT=FAIL, the

IS_UNITDATA_i.indication parameters are undefined, but consequent actions interpret IS UNITDATA i.indication as a logic zero." The 10GBASE-KR PMD utilizes the PMD service interface defined in 52.1.1. 52.1.1.3.1 says simply "When SIGNAL DETECT = FAIL, PMD_UNITDATA.indication(rx_bit) is undefined.". Note that there is no specification for consequent actions; this is deliberate, as the "consequent actions" includes a CDR, which needs transitions. There is no requirement for squelch. (Editorial: should have been "a zero" not "a logic zero".)

SugaestedRemedv

Delete "but consequent actions interpret

IS UNITDATA i.indication as a logic zero" here and in 85.2. There is another comment for the optical PMDs.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

This comment also affects Clause 85

C/ 85	SC 85.7.1	P 240	L19	# 293
Dawe, Pi	ers J G	Independant		

Comment Type **T** Comment Status R

Draft says "The cable assembly test fixture of Figure 85-12 or its functional equivalent, is required". Elsewhere in 802.3, "functional" is used to represent something more high level, or digital e.g. "4.1 Functional model of the MAC method" and "85.13.4.1 PMD Functional specifications". Here, we need electrical equivalence. Also, if you use the words "is required", do you need a PICS?

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The cable assembly test fixture of Figure 85-12 or its functional equivalent, is required"." to "The cable assembly test fixture of Figure 85-12 or its equivalent, is used", or to "The cable assembly test fixture of Figure 85-12 or its electrical equivalent, is used". Similarly in 85.8.3.4, 85.8.3.5, 85.10.8.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Consistent with 70.7.1.1 and 54.6.3.1 Test fixtures use of "functional equivalent".

Dawe, Piers J G		Independant
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R

Draft has a table row "Unit interval nominal 85.8.3.8 96.969697 ps". No other 10G/lane PMD has a similar row. However many digits you add, it will never be correct because 1000/10.3125 is a recurring decimal.

SugaestedRemedv

Delete the row, here and in Table 85-6. Delete "The corresponding unit interval is nominally 96.969697 ps." in 85.8.3.8. If you think that not all your readers know what a unit interval is, as it's the same for Tx and Rx, add a sentence at 85.8, "The 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 PMDs use NRZ signaling at nominally 10.3125 GBd on each lane, for which the unit interval is approximately 96.97 ps."

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

Unit interval nominal provided in other clauses in base document e.g., 47, 54, Your suggested remedy provides information in text rather than table.

CI 85	SC 85.8.4.2	P 253	L 3	# 295	Cl 85	SC 85.8.4.3	P 253	L 28	# 297
Dawe, Pie	ers J G	Independant			Dawe, Pie	ers J G	Independant		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		
		ce tolerance tests shall be imp		5	This s	subclause is a pa	art of Receiver interference tole	erance test at T	P3
		ent to implement tests, only rea tence more, e.g. "To be comp			Suggestee	dRemedy			
tolera	nce shall satisfy th	ne requirements of 85.8.4.3 to should be 85.8.4.2.1 . Also, p	85.8.4.3.4 with	the parameters given		mber to 85.8.4.2 1.2.4, 85.8.4.3.4	.1, 85.8.4.3.1 to 85.8.4.2.2, 85 to 85.8.4.2.5.	.8.4.3.2 to 85.8	.4.2.3, 85.8.4.3.3 to
the ta	ble.				Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
uggeste	dRemedy				PROF	POSED ACCEPT	· 「.		
		nterference tolerance tests sha							
		arameters summarized in Tak			Cl 85	SC 85.10.7	P 260	L 29	# 298
		ce tolerance of each lane shall ding to the methods of 85.8.4.		•	Dawe, Pie	ers J G	Independant		
or:					Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
		olerance tests is defined by th en in Table 85-7." and delete t		35.8.4.3 to 85.8.4.3.4		some text to expection needs	plain what this is all about. I've correction.	made the com	ment technical in case
Response)	Response Status W			Suggester	dRemedv			
ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPL				Insert voltag	text: Integrated le that would be	crosstalk noise <sigma_x> is a generated by all disturber tran nd and far-end ICNs by calcula</sigma_x>	smitters with m	aximum slew rate. It is

Change "The receiver interference tolerance tests shall be implemented using the receiver interference tolerance parameters summarized in Table 85-7."

To"The receiver interference tolerance of each lane shall comply with the parameters of Table 85-7 when implemented using both the receiver interference tolerance test 1 and test 2."

C/ 85	SC 8	5.8.4.2	P 2	53	L12	# 296
Dawe, Pie	ers J G		Indep	endant		
Comment Root-		E	Comment Status	D		
Suggeste Pleas	,		re root sign.			
Proposed PROI	,		Response Status N PRINCIPLE.	W		

Follow style guide.

fourth-order receiver response, as follows. *Response Response Status* **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add text before paragraph page 260, line 30:"In order to limit multiple disturber crosstalk noise at a receiver the cable assembly integrated crosstalk noise (ICN) is specified in relationship to the measured insertion loss. ICN is calculated from the MDFEXT and MDNEXT.

end and far-end crosstalk losses, assuming a second-order transmitter response and a

Add text after paragraph page 260, line 30:"The RMS crosstalk noise is characterized at the output of a specified receive filter utilizing a specified transmitter waveform and the measured multiple disturber crosstalk transfer functions. The transmitter and receiver filters are defined in Equation (85-28) and Equation (85-29) as weighting functions to the multiple disturber crosstalk in Equation (85-30) and Equation (85-31)."

	Deep	1.50	# [222	01.00	<u> </u>	Doop	140	# 004
C/ 85 SC 85.10.7 Dawe, Piers J G	P260 Independant	L 53	# 299	<i>Cl</i> 86 Dawe, Pier	SC 86.8.3.2 s J G	P 292 Independant	L16	# 301
Comment Type TR Is the factor of 2 corr	Comment Status R ect here?				agrams, J9, and	Comment Status A if it matters, J2 and AC comm		
SuggestedRemedy Check, correct if nec	essary			Suggested	Remedy	y crosstalk is included. We fo	0	
Response REJECT. Factor of two is corre	Response Status W		Add text here, at 86.8.3.3, 86A.5.3.1 to make this clear. Note that 87 and 88 reference 86.8.3.2. Proposed text here: "Whether optical or electrical, all co-propagating and counter- propagating lanes are active, using one of patterns 3, 5, or a valid 40GBASE-R or					
C/ 86 SC 86.8.4.7 Dawe, Piers J G	7 P 295 Independant	L 23	# 300	asynch At 86.8	nronous to those 3.3.3, "J2 Jitter a	The input lanes of the item und being output." and J9 jitter are specified with ve, using one of patterns 3, 5,	all co-propagati	ing and counter-
irrespective of the nu	Comment Status A vide the same BER performance mber of lanes. It doesn't matter iments for 87 and 88, and for 86	how the errors		R sign		es of the item under test are r		
SuggestedRemedy		Α.		ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	.E.		
SuggestedRemedy Between d and e, insert new bullet "The aggregate BER of the PMD receiver is the average of the BER of all receive lanes at the same receive OMA." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					ms, all co-propa s, using one of	first paragraph in 86.8.3.2, "\ gating and counter-propagatir patterns 3, 5, or a valid 40GB, under test are receiving signa	ng lanes are acti ASE-R or 100G	ive as crosstalk BASE-R signal. The
See response to com	iment 342			counte 40GBA	r-propagating la ASE-R or 100GB	J2 Jitter and J9 Jitter are spea nes active as crosstalk source ASE-R signal. The input lane pronous to those being output	es, using one of s of the item un	patterns 3, 5, or a vali
				<i>Cl</i> 86 Dawe, Pier	SC 86.10.3.2 s J G	P 299 Independant	L 52	# 302
				Comment In the j	51	Comment Status D have "optical lanes" twice but	t here we have '	"optical signal lanes".
				Suaaested	Remedv			-

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "signal".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 87 SC 87.2 Dawe, Piers J G	P 308 Independant	L 42	# 303	<i>Cl</i> 87 Dawe, Pie		87.8.11	P 320 Independant	L17	# 305
40GBASE-LR4, draft parameters are under IS_UNITDATA_i.indio SIGNAL_DETECT = there is no specificati actions" includes a C (Editorial: should hav SuggestedRemedy Delete "but conseque	Comment Status A service interface should be like t says "When SIGNAL_DETECT fined, but consequent actions in cation as a logic zero." while 52 FAIL, PMD_UNITDATA.indicati on for consequent actions; this DR, which needs transitions. The been "a zero" not "a logic zero" ent actions interpret cation as a logic zero" here and <i>Response Status</i> C	Γ=FAIL, the IS_ hterpret .1.1.3.1 says s ion(rx_bit) is un is deliberate, a here is no requ o".)	_UNITDATA_i.indication imply "When idefined.". Note that is the "consequent irement for squelch.	irrespilanes. Suggested In the is defi not ur sectio opera PMD the str aggre stress	MD shou ective of See oth dRemed second ned with ider test n in ope tion.". At receiver ressed rr gate BEI ed recei	the numb her comme y paragraph the trans also in op ration on a t the end c is the ave ecciver se R does no iver sensit	Comment Status A e the same BER performan- per of lanes. It doesn't matter ent for 86.8.4.7 and 86A.5.3 n of 87.8.11 change "For ea mit section in operation on peration." to "The BER of ea all four lanes and with the re- of the first paragraph of 87.8 rage of the BER of all recei- institvity (OMA) specified in t exceed 10^-12". In Table- ivity (OMA), delete "each la A) entries in both tables.	r how the errors .8.1. ch lane, the stre all four lanes an ch lane is define ceive lanes not .11 insert "The ve lanes at the s Table 87-8, a co 87-8 and Table	essed receiver sensitivity d with the receive lanes ed with the transmit under test also in aggregate BER of the same receive OMA. At ompliant receiver's 88-8, entries for
Cl 87 SC 87.7.1 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T TDP limit seems dem SuggestedRemedy	Response Status C PLE.		# 304	See re Cl 87 Dawe, Pie Comment "the d Suggestee	PT IN P essponse SC & rs J G Type ata rate" dRemed ge to "the	ly			# 306

Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar					d 100Gb/s Ethernet comments				
C/ 87 SC 87.8.11.1 Dawe, Piers J G	P 320 Independant	L 48	# 307	<i>CI 87</i> Dawe, Pie	SC 87.8.11.2 ers J G	P 323 Independant	L15	# 310	
Comment Type T C Too many "should"s allow u	omment Status A			<i>Comment</i> Too m	<i>Type</i> T nany "should"s allo	Comment Status A w uncertainty.			
SuggestedRemedy Change "should be less thar the 0.25 UI.	n 0.25 UI" to "should be le	ss than 0.25 U	I". Consider reducing		ge "should result"				
	the 10-12 points."			Chang "The r shrink to "The r	PT IN PRINCIPLI ge this sentence a resulting stressed age."	Response Status C E. s modified by comment 794 f eye conformance signal shou eye conformance signal is rec	ld have at least (·	
"data dependent effects sho test pattern it's not data. SuggestedRemedy Change to "pattern depende	P320 Independant comment Status A uld be minimal, and short			PROF	Туре Е	P 323 Independant Comment Status D Response Status W	L 34	# <u>311</u>	
ACCEPT. 7/ 87 SC 87.8.11.2 awe, Piers J G	P 323 Independant	L1	# 309	C/ 88 Dawe, Pie Comment	Туре Е	P 344 Independant Comment Status D	L8	# <u>3</u> 12	
Comment Type T C The fraction of VECP create SuggestedRemedy Change "should be created"		ortant effect on	SRS stress.	Suggestee Chang	dRemedy ge title	.R4 operating range" yet table Response Status W	e covers 100GBA	ASE-ER4 also.	
	sponse Status C			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the title of Table 88-6 from "100GBASE-LR4 operating range" to and 100GBASE-ER4 operating ranges"					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 312

Page 67 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:29 AM

Draft 3.0 Comm	ients	IEEE P80	J2.30a D3.0 40Gb/s and	1 100GD/S I	tnernet c	omments			Sponsor ballot
C/ 83A SC 83/ Dawe, Piers J G	A.1 P375 Independant	L 52	# 313	<i>Cl</i> 83A Dawe, Pier	SC 83A.1 s J G		P 375 Independant	L 52	# 314
Comment TypeTRComment StatusRWe should not call part of the receiver a "transmitter" or part of the transmitter a "receiver", if we can avoid it.According to 83.3, a PMA has TX and RX directions, each of which has an input and an output. nAUI is intended to connect PMAs, e.g. one in the host and one in a module.					<i>Type</i> T notice any " 3 is electrica <i>Remedy</i> "functional a	functional requii I.	nt Status A rements" in Anne	x 83B: coding, s	skew and such are in
Therefore nAUI must connect a (host) TX (transmitter) output to a (module) transmitter input, and a (module) RX (receiver) output to a (host) receiver input. 83B used to use, and 86A uses, the terms host output, module input, module output, host input, according to resolution of D2.0 comment 470:				Response ACCE			e Status C		
(down the stack,	NCIPLE. Need to avoid using "rece PMA to MDI) or "transmit" or "trans			See su	iggested rem	nedy. Annex 83	A/B are predomir	nantly electrical	specs
of Table 86-6 ch host electrical ou This is compatib 83A-2 shows two compatible termi Note this probler Also compare Cl	using the terms host, module, input ange "PPI electrical transmit signal atput specifications at TP1a" ' le with 83 and the rest of 802.3ba et o "Transmitter"s and two "Receiver"s	output specifica xcept 83A and n s, one for each c 5. and "receiver" fo	tions at TP1a" to "nPPI ow 83B. But Figure direction. This isn't or the ports of the ICs.	becaus Suggested	Type ER to small in Fi se the charts Remedy	<i>Commer</i> gures (6.5 or 7 in 83A have be	P 377 Independant <i>nt Status</i> A pt, should not be ren shrunk.		# <u>315</u> pt). This may be
"transmit eye ma "transmit signal" 83A. In Table 83 Consider changii appropriate. Cha	hitter" to "driver", "Transmit Complia tsk" and "Transmitter Eye Mask" to to ""signal" or "output signal", "trans A-2, delete "Receiver" before "eye r ng "XLAUI/CAUI receiver" to "XLAU nge "Figure 83A-2Definition of tran befinition of test points".	"driver eye masl smit jitter" to "dri mask", five times I/CAUI compone	k" or just "eye mask", ver jitter" throughout s including table note. ent receiver" where		PT IN PRINC	, CIPLE.	e Status W -3, 83A-4, 83A-1≀	4	
Response REJECT.	Response Status W								

XLAUI / CAUI Component Transmitter and Receiver is different from 83.3 "TX and Rx Directions" and is clearly shown in 83A-2.

See comment 328.

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Dawe, Piers J G Independant Comment Type ER Comment Status A Too many gratuitous capitals. This is an ER comment because we are unlikely to catch them all in one cycle. C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.1 P 380 Comment Type ER Comment Status A Dawe, Piers J G Independant	L 46	# 317
Too many gratuitous capitals. This is an ER comment because we are unlikely to catch Dawe, Piers J G Independant		011
SuggestedRemedy Should not put whole sentences in figures, especially if i Scrub the draft, all clauses and annexes. Should use regular text.	normative - even if F	Figure 47-3 did.
- SuggestedRemedy		
Response Status W Move the contenes in square brackets from Eigure 93A	5 to line 15.	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Nove the sentence in square brackets non right of same of the sentence in square brackets non right of same of the sentence in square brackets non right of same of the sentence in square brackets non right of the sentence in		
Editorial licence given to change similar capitalization in 83A & 83B PROPOSED ACCEPT.		
Table 83A-1:		
"Maximum Differential Output Voltage, peak-to-peak" to "Maximum differential output See suggested remedy. See comment 370 voltage, peak-to-peak"		
"Minimum De-emphasis" to "Minimum de-emphasis"		
"Maximum De-emphasis" to "Maximum de-emphasis"		
"Maximum Termination Mismatch at 1MHz" to "Maximum termination mismatch at 1MHz" "Maximum Output AC Common Mode Voltage, RMS" to "Maximum output AC common		
mode voltage, RMS"		
"Minimum Output Rise and Fall time (20% to 80%)" to "Minimum output rise and fall time		
(20% to 80%)" "Maximum Total Jitter" to "Maximum total jitter"		
"Maximum Deterministic Jitter" to "Maximum deterministic jitter"		
"bTotal jitter measurement methodology defined in 83A.5"		
"cDeterministic jitter measurement methodology defined in 83A.5" "d Transmitter eye mask illustrated in Figure 83A-8"		
Table 83A-2 "Maximum Input AC Common Mode Voltage, RMS" to "Maximum input AC common mode		
voltage, RMS"		
"Minimum Input Rise and Fall Time (20% to 80%)" to "Minimum input rise and fall time		
(20% to 80%)" "Minimum deterministic input jitter tolerance"		
Table 83B-2		
"Minimum Module differential input return loss" to "Minimum module differential input return loss"		
Table 83B-3		
"Minimum De-emphasis" to "Minimum de-emphasis" "Maximum De-emphasis" to "Maximum de-emphasis"		
"Maximum Termination Mismatch at 1 MHz" to "Maximum termination mismatch at 1 MHz"		
"Maximum Total Jitter" to "Maximum total jitter" "Maximum Datarministic littar" to "Maximum datarministic iitter"		
"Maximum Deterministic Jitter" to "Maximum deterministic jitter"		
Table 83B-5		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Dawe, Piers J G Independant
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A "Vtx-demph" should be replaced with "VMA" in 83A and 83B. "Vtx-demph" is a bad metric for four reasons: If using a sampling scope, a measurement at a point in time is slower than a measuremen over a time window. A measurement at a point in time is degraded by signal and instrument noise (hence need averaging, which makes the measurement even slower). A measurement at a point in time is degraded by waveform roughness caused by e.g. reflections (averaging over repeated measurements doesn't fix this). This metric does the same job as the already well-established VMA, so it adds clutter for no benefit. Also, draft says "Amplitude measurements are taken at the center of the respective UI" yet Figure 83A-5 implies that "Maximum absolute output", "Minimum absolute output" and "Differential peak-to-peak amplitude" are taken from the extremes of the waveform irrespective of the UI. And, the number of waveforms to average is not a proper item of specification: measurement accuracy is something for the implementer to trade off against guard-bands
and other cost considerations.
At line 10, replace "Amplitude measurements are taken using an average of at least 16 waveforms and taken at the center of the respective UI using a square wave test pattern as defined in 83.5.10." with either: "Differential peak-to-peak amplitude is defined by an average over the central 20% of the first UI of each half of the square wave test pattern defined in 83.5.10. VMA is defined in 86A.5.3.5." if the UI matters, or: "VMA is defined in 86A.5.3.5." if the UI doesn't matter for differential peak-to-peak amplitude, as in Figure 83A-5. Replace "Vtx-demph" with "VMA" throughout (6 occurrences in all). If we want to give guidance on averaging, add "NOTEIt is recommended that at least 16 waveforms be averaged for an emphasis measurement."
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. At line 10, replace "Amplitude measurements are taken using an average of at least 16 waveforms and taken at the center of the respective UI using a square wave test pattern as defined in 83.5.10." with : "VMA is defined in 86A.5.3.5." Replace Vtx-demph with VMA in table 83A-1, equation 83A-3, equation 83A-4, figure 85A-1

Draft 3.0 Comments	3	IEEE P80)2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	and 100Gb/s	Ethernet com	ments		Sponsor ballot
<i>Cl</i> 83A <i>SC</i> 83A.3.4 . Dawe, Piers J G	2 P 384 Independant	L11	# 320	C/ 83A Dawe, Pier	SC 83A.3.4.5 rs J G	P 386 Independant	L 26	# 322
Comment Type T Draft says "the far-end	Comment Status A	er mention of fa	ar-end eye.	Comment AC-co		Comment Status D AC-coupled has a hyphen or r	ot, this isn't a	compound adjective)
SuggestedRemedy Change to "the eye m	ask".			Suggested Chang		g, three times here, once in 83	A.3.1, about 7	times in 85
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Proposed PROP	Response OSED REJECT.	Response Status W		
See suggested remed	у			AC-co	upling is used in	802.3ap		
<i>Cl</i> 83A SC 83A.3.4 . Dawe, Piers J G	4 P385 Independant	L 27	# 321	<i>Cl</i> 83A Dawe, Pier	SC 83A.3.4.6 rs J G	<i>P</i> 386 Independant	L 38	# 323
SuggestedRemedy Change "For frequence return loss shall meet	Comment Status R bintless equation and graph. ies from 10 MHz to 11.1 GHz, of the requirements defined in Tal is given in Equation (834-8) ar	ole 83A-2. Diffe	erential to common	input, link (e.	w frequency jitte so there is no ma g. in a module). e PMDs, both 10	Comment Status R r tolerance is the same for a reargin for the small amount of e We also have to check that th OG-lane and 25G-lane. Here is	extra LF jitter a ne nAUI LF jitte	dded by CDRs in the er specs are compatible

return loss shall meet the requirements defined in Table 83A-2. Differential to common mode input return loss is given in Equation (83A-8) and is illustrated in Figure 83A-11." to "From 10 MHz to 11.1 GHz, the differential to common mode input return loss shall comply with the limit shown in Table 83A-2." In Table 83A-2, change "Differential input return loss" to "Differential input return loss (min) and change "see Equation (83A-8)" to "15". Delete Equation 83A-8. Either delete "Differential to common mode input return loss is given in Equation (83A-8) and is illustrated in Figure 83A-11." and the figure, or change to "The limit for differential to common mode input return loss is illustrated in Figure 83A-10." and show the -SCD11 line on figure 83A-10.

Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

For consistancy with other return loss specifications, it is best to represent the differential to common mode input return loss as an equation with a graph, and reference that equation in Table 83A-2 (even if it is a fixed value)

SuggestedRemedy Change the corner frequency for a nAUI interface on the transmit side (towards the line) from 4 MHz to 2 MHz. Also in 83B.

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

PMD jitter requirements are verified at the PMD level. Jitter tolerance for PMDs are also defined in PMD sections. nAUI interface defines associated tolerance requirements.

<i>CI</i> 83A SC 83A.5 Dawe, Piers J G	P3 Indep	89 endant	L 4	# 324
Comment Type E 0 Volts -3dB	Comment Status	D		
SuggestedRemedy 0 V (I think: as on line 1	4) -3 space dB			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status	w		
See suggested remedy: replace "0 Volts" with "0 replace "-3dB" with "-3 c	V"			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 324

Page 71 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:30 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet comments	Sponsor ballo
CI 83A SC 83A.5.1 P 389 L 13 # 325 Dawe, Piers J G Independant	C/ 83A SC 83A.5.1 P 389 L 36 Dawe, Piers J G Independant	# 327
Comment Type T Comment Status A "The data pattern": if it's a test pattern it's not data. (Ethernet frames are data, idle is not.)	Comment Type T Comment Status A As we are going to allow scrambled idles as well as PRBS31,	
SuggestedRemedy Delete "data".	SuggestedRemedy Remove "PRBS31" from Figure 83A-15 and Figure 83B-10. Update PIC	CS 83A.7.6 EM1.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
change "The data pattern" to "The test pattern"	Remove "PRBS31" from Figure 83A-15, 83B-10	
C/ 83A SC 83A.5.2 P 389 L 24 # 326 Dawe, Piers J G Independant Independant Independant	Update PICS 83A.7.6 EM1 value to read PRBS31 or scrambled idle	
Comment Type ER Comment Status A If by "peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" you mean dual-Dirac Deterministic Jitter, it definitely isn't peak-to-peak, it's related to intercept points that have nothing to do with peaks. And if not, what do you mean?		
SuggestedRemedy		
Either change "peak-to-peak deterministic jitter" to "dual-Dirac Deterministic Jitter" (with capitals) twice here, three times in 83B.5.5, or, better, use a more meaningful jitter metric.		
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
Add statement after the first sentence: "Applied jitter is measured using the methodology described in Annex 48B.3"		
Peak-to-peak deterministic jitter is used in ap (CL72), 47, 85.		

		-								
C/ 83B	SC 83B.1	P396	L 43	# 328	C/ 83B		83B.1	P 397	L 7	# 329
awe, Pie	ers J G	Independant			Dawe, Pie	's J G		Independant		
omment		Comment Status A			Comment		TR	Comment Status A		
if we c This p	can avoid it. Rea proposed remed	art of the receiver a "transmitter ason per another comment. y, for 83B, follows 86A for conn	·		should			support 0.87 dB connector loss er connector than 86A or 85 do		
related items. In addition, the specs in 83B don't relate to the XLAUI/CAUI component but to the host or		Suggested	lRemed	'y						
modul	le input or outpu							e and in Figure 83B-3. Conside s budget the same.	r reducing the	host insertion loss by
	dRemedy				Response			Response Status W		
and 8		nge "Transmitter" to "Driver", two	ice, and once e	ach in Figure 838-5	ACCE	PT IN P	RINCIPL	.E.		
In 83E jitter" 1	3.2.1, change "T to "module outp	ransmit de-emphasis" to "Modeut jitter".			See co	omment	: 851			
	ole 83B-3, delete	e "Transmitter" before "eye mas PICS 83B 4 3	sk", five times ir	ncluding table note, and	C/ 83B	SC 8	83B.2	P 397	L 26	# 330
		e "Receiver" before "eye mask"	, five times incl	uding table note, and	Dawe, Pie	rs J G		Independant		
	nore times in the			tala	Comment	Type	TR	Comment Status A		
		ceiver Tolerance" to "83B.2.3 He ange "XLAUI / CAUI	ost input signal	tolerance.	"HCB test fixture PCB insertion loss": what's a "HCB test fixture"? Something to test the					
receiv	er" to "XLAUI /	CAUI host input".						o improve clarity and consiste		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		nother comment, change 83B.4	.4 PICS HC12 f	rom "Receiver AC	Suggested	Remed	'y			
	-	ut AC coupling".			Chang	e "The	reference	e HCB test fixture PCB insertio	n loss" to "The	e reference differentia
esponse		Response Status W			insertion loss of the HCB, excluding the module connector". Next line, change "test to "HCB". Similarly for MCB.					ne, change "test fixture
	PT IN PRINCIP	d corresponding text to describe	e figure lables v	vhere appropriate			illarly for			
	der 86A-8 as in		e ngare labiee i		Response			Response Status W		
Label			•	ted with an edition	ACCE	PT IN P	RINCIPL	.E.		
	neters in Tables	and 83B-7 with input and output	t points associa	tted with specification				e HCB test fixture PCB insertio CB PCB". Next line, change "te		
Align i names	0	smit de-emphasis" and "transr	nitter jitter" in 8	3B.2.1 with these				e MCB test fixture PCB insertio CB PCB". Next line, change "te		
Align ı	naming of eye n	nask parameters in Table 83B-	3 with these na	mes	inserti	11 1035 (
Align ı	naming of eye n	nask parameters in Table 83B-	5 with these na	mes						
Chang	ge the title of "83	3B.2.3 Receiver Tolerance" in li	ine with these n	ames						

Change the labelling of the rightmost box in figure 83B-10 in line with these names

If it isn't deleted by another comment, change the naming of 83B.4.4 PICS HC12 in line with these names.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P802	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	I 100Gb/s E	thernet com	iments		Sponsor ballo
C/ 83B SC 83B.2 Dawe, Piers J G	P 397 Independant	L 32	# 331	<i>Cl</i> 83B Dawe, Pier	SC 83B.2 s J G	P 398 Independant	L 52	# 333
Comment Type T Comment Status A The compliance board losses should be specified down to 10 MHz as in 86A. SuggestedRemedy			Comment Type TR Comment Status R The MCB loss for nAUI B is 0.92 dB while the MCB for PPI is 0.67 dB a implementation e.g. QSFP socket may be capable of either nAUI B or n CRn). It would be an advantage if the same MCB could be used with all				B or nPPI (and possibly	
For equations 83B-3 and 83E 0.01 GHz. Consider similar of			cy range from 0.25 to	Suggested	Remedy			
	sponse Status C			If feasi	ble, reduce the	nAUI B MCB reference loss to	wards the nPI	PI reference loss.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Response		Response Status W		
See comment#870				REJEC	CT.			
C/ 83B SC 83B.2	P 397	L 32	# 332			will impact multiple parameter molement this change.	s. The comm	nenter has not provided a
Dawe, Piers J G	Independant			C/ 83B	SC 83B.2.2	P 403	L 49	# 334
	omment Status A			Dawe, Pier		Independant		
The reference HCB test fixtu	re PCB insertion loss sho	uld ha a cmootl						
86A-4, with between 1.26 dB PCB) at 5.15625 GHz. This i achievable.	(like the 86A HCB) and 2	2.1 dB (max los:	s for 83B module		able really is for	Comment Status A host electrical output, it's poin	ting at the wro	ong mask diagram.
86A-4, with between 1.26 dB PCB) at 5.15625 GHz. This i achievable.	(like the 86A HCB) and 2	2.1 dB (max los:	s for 83B module	If this ta Suggested	able really is for <i>Remedy</i>	host electrical output, it's poin	U U	ong mask diagram.
86A-4, with between 1.26 dB PCB) at 5.15625 GHz. This i	(like the 86A HCB) and 2 s a TR in case there is de ation 86A-4. E.g. with 1.8	2.1 dB (max los: elay in finding w	s for 83B module hat HCB loss is	lf this ta Suggested Chango Response	able really is for <i>Remedy</i> e "Figure 83A-9	host electrical output, it's poin " to "Figure 83A-8", and add a <i>Response Status</i> C	U U	ong mask diagram.
86A-4, with between 1.26 dB PCB) at 5.15625 GHz. This i achievable. SuggestedRemedy Use a scaled version of equa be: 0.0143 + 0.4291 * sqrt(f)	(like the 86A HCB) and 2 s a TR in case there is de ation 86A-4. E.g. with 1.8	2.1 dB (max los: elay in finding w	s for 83B module hat HCB loss is	lf this ta Suggested Chango Response	able really is for <i>Remedy</i>	host electrical output, it's poin " to "Figure 83A-8", and add a <i>Response Status</i> C	U U	ong mask diagram.
86A-4, with between 1.26 dB PCB) at 5.15625 GHz. This i achievable. SuggestedRemedy Use a scaled version of equa be: 0.0143 + 0.4291 * sqrt(f)	(like the 86A HCB) and 2 s a TR in case there is de ation 86A-4. E.g. with 1.8 + 0.1573 * f	2.1 dB (max los: elay in finding w	s for 83B module hat HCB loss is	If this to Suggested Chango Response ACCEF	able really is for <i>Remedy</i> e "Figure 83A-9 PT IN PRINCIPI	host electrical output, it's poin " to "Figure 83A-8", and add a <i>Response Status</i> C	full stop.	ong mask diagram.
86A-4, with between 1.26 dB PCB) at 5.15625 GHz. This i achievable. SuggestedRemedy Use a scaled version of equa be: 0.0143 + 0.4291 * sqrt(f) Response Res ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 591	(like the 86A HCB) and 2 s a TR in case there is de ation 86A-4. E.g. with 1.8 + 0.1573 * f	2.1 dB (max los: elay in finding w	s for 83B module hat HCB loss is	If this to Suggested Chango Response ACCEF	able really is for <i>Remedy</i> e "Figure 83A-9 PT IN PRINCIPL ve note. Referen SC 85A.4	* host electrical output, it's poin " to "Figure 83A-8", and add a <i>Response Status</i> C _E.	full stop.	ong mask diagram. # <mark>335</mark>
86A-4, with between 1.26 dB PCB) at 5.15625 GHz. This i achievable. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Use a scaled version of equa be: 0.0143 + 0.4291 * sqrt(f) <i>esponse</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	(like the 86A HCB) and 2 s a TR in case there is de ation 86A-4. E.g. with 1.8 + 0.1573 * f sponse Status W	2.1 dB (max los: elay in finding w dB loss at 5.150	s for 83B module hat HCB loss is	If this to Suggested Change Response ACCER Remov C/ 85A Dawe, Pier Comment	able really is for <i>Remedy</i> e "Figure 83A-9 PT IN PRINCIPI ve note. Referen SC 85A.4 s J G	 host electrical output, it's poin " to "Figure 83A-8", and add a Response Status C LE. nce to subclause includes figur P416 Independant Comment Status D 	full stop. e 83A-8	
86A-4, with between 1.26 dB PCB) at 5.15625 GHz. This i achievable. uggestedRemedy Use a scaled version of equa be: 0.0143 + 0.4291 * sqrt(f) esponse Re: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 591 (discussion)	(like the 86A HCB) and 2 s a TR in case there is de ation 86A-4. E.g. with 1.8 + 0.1573 * f sponse Status W	2.1 dB (max los: elay in finding w dB loss at 5.150	s for 83B module hat HCB loss is	If this to Suggested Change Response ACCER Remov C/ 85A Dawe, Pier Comment	able really is for <i>Remedy</i> e "Figure 83A-9 PT IN PRINCIPL re note. Referent SC 85A.4 s J G <i>Type</i> E sed wordsmithin	 host electrical output, it's poin " to "Figure 83A-8", and add a Response Status C LE. nce to subclause includes figur P416 Independant Comment Status D 	full stop. e 83A-8	
86A-4, with between 1.26 dB PCB) at 5.15625 GHz. This i achievable. SuggestedRemedy Use a scaled version of equa be: 0.0143 + 0.4291 * sqrt(f) Response Re: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 591 (discussion)	(like the 86A HCB) and 2 s a TR in case there is de ation 86A-4. E.g. with 1.8 + 0.1573 * f sponse Status W	2.1 dB (max los: elay in finding w dB loss at 5.150	s for 83B module hat HCB loss is	If this to Suggested, Change Response ACCEF Remov C/ 85A Dawe, Pier Comment To Propos Suggested, Change	able really is for <i>Remedy</i> e "Figure 83A-9 PT IN PRINCIPL re note. Referent SC 85A.4 s J G <i>Type</i> E sed wordsmithin <i>Remedy</i> e "Based on 85.	 host electrical output, it's poin " to "Figure 83A-8", and add a Response Status C LE. nce to subclause includes figur P416 Independant Comment Status D 	full stop. re 83A-8 <i>L</i> 30 2 or TP3 to TI	# <u>335</u> P5 and" to "With the

C/ 85A SC 85A.4 P 416 L 30 # 336 Dawe, Piers J G Independant Independat	C/ 86A P 421 L 6 # 338 Dawe, Piers J G Independant				
Comment Type T Comment Status A Draft says "an assumed connector loss of 1.74 dB". I thought the allowed connector loss was 0.87 dB. If a single mated connection had that much loss, wouldn't there be a problem with its reflections? Also, text is not clear whether this is the loss of one mated connection, or, as in the rest of this paragraph, the sum of Tx side and Rx side losses. SuggestedRemedy Either change "an assumed connector loss of 1.74 dB" to "an assumed loss of ? dB for two MDI connectors" or (preferred) "an assumed loss of ? dB per MDI connector". Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "Based on 85.8.3.4 insertion loss TP0 to TP2 or TP3 to TP5 and an assumed connector loss of 1.74 dB" To: "Based on 85.8.3.4 insertion loss TP0 to TP2 or TP3 to TP5 and an assumed mated connector loss of 1.74 dB" Host PCB loss = 3.5dB	Comment Type ER Comment Status R Cl1 We call the MDI, MDI, whatever data rate it supports and however many lanes it has. We don't call it nMDI. SuggestedRemedy Change "nPPI" to "PPI" throughout. Response Response Status U REJECT. Originally the same name (PPI) was used for both 40G (4-lane) and 100G (10-lane). In response to comment 537 against draft 2.0, XLPPI and CPPI were introduced, and in addition, PPI was renamed to nPPI when referring to either or both. Comment 63 against D 2.2 proposed to change nPPI back to PPI throughout, but this was not agreed. Response said "This term was inserted in response to comment 537 against draft 2.0. The n represents "C" or "XL" which describes the rate of operation supported by the interface and not the number of lanes." There is precedent in the base standard. Figure 1-1 uses a similar term to nPPI with "xMII" which collectively refers to different speed MII interfaces				
TF PCB 1.26 dB TF 0 toTP2 = 6.5= [3.5]+ [Mated connector IL]+[1.26] [Mated connector IL] =6.5-[3.5]-[1.26] Mated connector IL=1.74 dB Resolve with comment#335.	C/ 86A SC 86A.5.1.1.2 P 428 L 25 # 339 Dawe, Piers J G Independant Independant Independant Comment Type T Comment Status A The minimum loss limit for mated HCB and MCB is generally more than the reference HCE and MCB losses, excluding the connector. If a connector has very little loss at some frequency, this is an unwanted constraint that would force the compliance board maker to				
Cl 85A SC 85A.4 P416 L33 # 337 Dawe, Piers J G Independant Comment Type E Comment Status D Missing closing bracket SuggestedRemedy the MDI host receptacle) are determined Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	In Equation 86A-6, change - 0.109 + 0.654 f + 0.12f dB to -0.11 + 0.46 f + 0.16f dB Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In Equation 86A-6, change - 0.109 + 0.654 sqrt(f) + 0.12f dB to -0.11 + 0.46 sqrt(f) + 0.16f dB Make the equivalent change to equation 85-35.				

C/ 86A SC 86A.5.1.1.2 P 429 L 44 # 340 Dawe, Piers J G Independant Independat Independat Independat	C/ 86A SC 86A.5.3.8.1 P 433 L 42 # 342 Dawe, Piers J G Independant Independant Independant Independant
Comment Type TR Comment Status A In SFP+ and previously in 86A, HCB-MCB crosstalk was controlled up to 15 GHz. Now 86A refers to 85.10.9.3 with a different methodology and new numbers. In D2.3 we agreed to adjust the frequency limits to suit 86A's purposes. But we still need to see how the new limits compare with the old, and if they are tight enough for 86A compliance boards.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Any PMD should provide the same BER performance at the MAC-PLS service interface irrespective of the number of lanes. It doesn't matter how the errors are divided among the lanes. See two other comments for 86, 87 and 88. SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Compare the ICN specs in Table 85-11 in 0.01 to 15 GHz with the crosstalk spectral limits in D2.2 Figure 86A-6. If appropriate, provide ICN specs specifically for 86A with suitable	Change "Compliance is defined at an error ratio of 10-12." to "Compliance is defined at an aggregate BER (the average of the BER of each lane at the same OMA), of 10-12.". In Table 86A-4, delete "each lane".
limits. <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The frequency range has been modified to 0.01 to 12 GHz by comment 383. No evidence has been provided to indicate that the limits in Table 85-11 are inappropriate.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement the changes shown in dawe_01_0110
CI 86A SC 86A.5.3.8 P 433 L 33 # 341 Dawe, Piers J G Independant Comment Type E Comment Status A HIST Terminology Ferminology HIST HIST	In addition, in 87.8.6.4 and 88.8.5.4 change "(transmit and receive), and each lane is tested individually using an optical filter to separate the lane under test from the others." to "(transmit and receive), each lane is tested individually using an optical filter to separate the lane under test from the others, and the BER of 1 x 1012 is for the lane under test on its own." Add to the end of the first paragraph of 87.8.11 "The BER is required to be met for the lane under test on its own."
SuggestedRemedy Check that "Host electrical receiver signal tolerance" has the same name throughout Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 86A.5.3.8 change "Host electrical receiver signal tolerance" to "Host input signal tolerance", twice Update PICS to match. See also comment 382	Cl 86A SC 86A.5.3.8.3 P435 L1 # 343 Dawe, Piers J G Independant Independant Comment Type E Comment Status D Apparent blank line SuggestedRemedy Remove any blank line or reduce white space in figure. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. V

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Cl 86A SC 86A.6 P 437 L 41 # 344 Dawe, Piers J G Independant Independat	C/ 80 SC 80.2.3 P128 L 9 # 346 Nikolich, Paul YAS Broadband Ventu YAS Broadband Ventu YAS				
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A				
Originally there was a 0.5 dB limit at low frequencies to make life easier for those doing the measurement. It then got scaled up when it should have remained at 0.5 dB and the	The Forward Error Correction sublayer is an optional for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R copper and backplane PHYs. This may cause interoperability problems.				
frequency break point (presently 200 MHz) moved down.	SuggestedRemedy				
SuggestedRemedy Change 0.682 to 0.5, and 0.2 to 0.11 (twice). If there is an equivalent limit in 85 or 85A (I didn't find it), change that similarly.	The above FEC sublayer for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R copper and backplane PHYs should either be made mandatory or removed to eliminate potential interoperability problems.				
Response Response Status C	Response Response Status W				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
In equation 86A-15, change 0.682 to 0.5, and 0.2 to 0.11 (twice).	The 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 PMDs will meet the BER requirements of 1E-12				
C/ 86A SC 86A.6 P 438 L 26 # 345 Dawe, Piers J G Independant Independant Independant Independant	without the use of the optional FEC sublayer. The optional FEC sublayer can be used to achieve better BER performance over 1E-12, if desired, or to increase the performance on a broader set of backplane channels. Auto-negotiation of FEC will prevent inter-operability problems since the FEC function is enabled on the link only if both the link partners				
Comment Type TR Comment Status A					
The recommended minimum of 0 dB for the host PCB, connector and HCB, between 10 MHz and 1 GHz, is both harmful and unnecessary. Below 2.5 GHz it is less than the HCB loss alone. It is difficult to imagine that the host PCB and connector have gain!	advertise FEC ability and at least one of the link partners requests to enable the FEC function.				
At 10 MHz the HCB reference loss is 0.041 while at 1 GHz it is about 0.42 dB. If the PCB loss is like the MCB loss but scaled to 3 dB at 7 GHz it would be 0.06 dB at 10 MHz and	Provide a explanation for copper PHYs in 74.1 as follows: Change line 13 in 74.1 as follows: "The 10GBASE-KR and 40GBASE-KR4 PHYs described in Clause 72 and Clause 84				
0.79 dB at 1 GHz. With practical measurement uncertainty, it would be difficult to show					

0.79 dB at 1 GHz. With practical measurement uncertainty, it would be difficult to show compliance at 10 MHz (trying to measure 0.1 dB), and pointless (gain of host PCB, connector and HCB unlikely to be 1.2 dB) at 1 GHz. If the intention of the minimum loss spec is to damp reflections, the return loss specs are tighter at lower frequencies so a low frequency spec is not necessary.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the row "0 0.01 <= f <= 1". Consider changing from -0.5 + 0.5f, 1 to 7 GHz, to -0.22 + 0.46f, 0.01 to 7 GHz.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete the row "0 $0.01 \le f \le 1$ ". Change from -0.5 + 0.5f, 1 to 7 GHz, to -0.22 + 0.46f, 0.01 to 7 GHz.

"The 10GBASE-KR and 40GBASE-KR4 PHYs described in Clause 72 and Clause 84 optionally use the FEC sublayer to increase the performance on a broader set of backplane channels than are defined in Clause 69."

Insert the following after line 13 in 74.1:

"The 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 PHYs described in Clause 85 optionally use the FEC sublayer to improve the BER performance beyond 10^-12."

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 88 SC 88.11.3	P354	L 45	# 347	CI 86	SC	86.1	P 279	L 20	# 349
Nikolich, Paul	YAS Broadba	ind Ventu		Kolesar, P	aul		CommScope	Solutions	
Perhaps it is defined reference for a "conn SuggestedRemedy Add a definition or ap Response REJECT. [Editor's note: Subcla The term "connectori has been used in five	Comment Status R include the following:a) Connec elsewhere in the 802.3 Standa ectorized fiber pigtail". propriate references for a "con <i>Response Status</i> W use changed from "88.11.3 Me zed fiber pigtail" is readily under clauses of the base standard	rd, but I could n inectorized fiber edium Depende erstandable with (52, 53, 58, 59,	ot find a definition or a [•] pigtail." nt Inter" to "88.11.3"] nout further definition. It	utilizin dB, th that ac addres Suggested Chang "0.5 to "0.5 to Response	perating g preve e upper ccepting ssed in <i>Remea</i> ge 100 for 120 for	lant low-l end of th subseque ly r OM3 or r OM3 or	Comment Status A an be increased without chan loss connection technology. F he ranges can increase to 120 ment produces ripple effects ent comments. 125 for OM4" 150 for OM4". Response Status C	or a connection m for OM3 an	n loss allocation of 1.0 d 150 m for OM4. Note
Cl 00 SC 0 Nikolich, Paul Comment Type G This is only a note re They "must be satisfi	av-2009 without further explan <i>P</i> YAS Broadba <i>Comment Status</i> A garding my two earlier commen ed'but they are logged as "no an't figure out how to change th	<i>L</i> and Ventu nts: ot required to be		Make A stra If the o A 3 re loss	change w poll o draft is r aches: * aches: *	f the sub- nodified 100m OM	LE. wn in anslow_07_0110 -task force was taken: to have 150m reach over OM /13, 125m OM4 1.5 dB connec /13, 150m OM4 1.0 dB connec	tor loss, 150m	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. There is no action to be taken. The other two comments #346, #347 from the commenter have been classified as TR comments (must be satisfied).				Do yo A chai B mak Result A 11 B 2 A vote	u suppo nging th e no ch of the s u suppo	ort: e draft fo ange to t sub-task	the sub-task force was taken or 150m over OM4 with 1.0 dE the draft (125m over OM4 wit force was taken: g the changes as shown in ar	max connecto n 1.5 dB max c	onnector loss)

Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s Ethernet comments	Sponsor ballot
C/ 86 SC 86.7.4 P 289 L 7 # 350 Kolesar, Paul CommScope Solutions CommScope	C/ 86 SC 86.10.2.2.1 P 297 L 50 Kolesar, Paul CommScope Solutions	# 353
Comment Type T Comment Status A SRreach *** Comment submitted with the file 41772900024-d3_0_comment_Table86-9.xls attached *** Table 86-9 can be modified to illustrate the power budget for the proposed longer operating	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Modify the text to recognize the addition of the proposed 1.0 dB insert connection and splice loss. This comment also harmonizes the text w used in Table 86-13 by replacing "maximum link distance" with "maxin distances".	ith the description
distances of 120 m on OM3 and 150 m on OM4. SuggestedRemedy See attached replacement table. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 349.	SuggestedRemedy Change: "The maximum link distance is based on an allocation of 1.5 dB total loss. For example, this allocation supports two connections, each with 0.75 dB." to "The maximum operating distances are based on allocations of 1.0 df connection and splice loss. For example, these allocations support tw	n an insertion loss of B or 1.5 dB total
Cl 86 SC 86.7.4 P 289 L7 # 351 Kolesar, Paul CommScope Solutions Comment Type E Comment Status D Table title contains error for 100G. Table title contains error for 100G. E Comment Status D	with an insertion loss of 0.5 dB or 0.75 dB respectively." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 349.	
SuggestedRemedy Change "40GBASE-SR10" to "100GBASE-SR10".	C/ 86 SC 86.7.4 P 289 L 3 Abbott, John Corning Inc.	# 354
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Comment Type TR Comment Status R 1.Table 86-9 p. 289 (see also Tables 86-6, 86-7, 86-8). The 802.3ba s only an illustrative power budget but an illustrative link model similar t http://ieee802.org/3/ae/public/index.html. The link needs to satisfy bot ISI requirements and these depend on more parameters than what is in Table 86-9. The illustrative link model gives a set of common basel ensures all link calculations have a common consensus root. The refe	o 802.3ae models on th power penalty and explicitly mentioned ine assumptions and
*** Comment submitted with the file 41773000024-d3_0_comment_Table86-13.xls attached *** Table 86-13 should be modified to show channel characteristics for both the 1.5 dB and 1.0 dB connection loss cases. Providing both cases carries the legacy 1.5 dB loss case while simultaneously defining the lower loss 1.0 dB case that offers enhanced distance capability	illustrative link model can be in an annex to clause 86 or in the same SuggestedRemedy add an illustrative consensus link model which meets both power and requirements. Response Response Status W	section at Table 86-9.
in trade. SuggestedRemedy Change Table 86-13 as proposed in the attached file "d3_0_comment_Table86-13.xls". Response Response C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 349.	REJECT. The link model used in the 10GbE project was incomplete as it only ir impairments, and there have been no improved models made publicly 10GbE did not put its model (or include a reference to it) in the standa introduction of newer specification methodologies essential for low co 10G/lane, the Ethernet link model becomes only one input to a specif engineering judgement and, one hopes, measurement as other inputs power-limited and more jitter-limited than 802.3ae optical links. Note PMDs don't have an accessible link model at all.	y available . ard. With the st implementation at ication developed with s. SRn links are less

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 354

Page 79 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:30 AM

C/ 86 SC 86.7.2 P 287 L7 # 355	C/ 86 SC 86.10.1 P297 L23 # 357
Abbott, John Corning Inc.	Kolesar, Paul CommScope Solutions
Comment Type TR Comment Status R also line 33(footnote) Clause 86 Table 86-6 p.287 (transmit characteristics) RMS spectral width. Footnote a. "RMS spectral width is the standard deviation of the spectrum". 850nm VCSELs have a line spectrum which is not well described by an RMS value; the use of an RMS value in link calculations gives a different estimate of pulse spreading. See for example www.finisar.com/download_nC3xpBOptical%20Modes%20In%20VCSELs.pdf If the RMS value is sufficiently pessimistic the target length should be increased or the extra margin somehow noted. If the RMS value is too optimistic other changes need to be made. suggestedRemedy augment historical link model calculations to account for individual lines in VCSEL spectrum. Response Response Status W REJECT. As the reference says, MTM spectral "width" is measured per FOTP-127 which is widely adopted and uses the RMS method. The model is not invalidated by discrete lines, and pessimism is adjusted for by using a k	Kolesal, Padi Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status A The second edition of IEC 61280-4-1 has been published for several months. As indicated in the editor's note, the referenced test should be harmonized with this new edition. However, the directions in the editor's note do not capture the changes completely nor in the most concise way. This is remedied in the proposed change. SuggestedRemedy Change "Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with IEC 61280-4-1/Method 2 or IEC 61280-4-1/Method 3." to "Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with the methods for cabling configuration A of IEC 61280-4-1." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with IEC 61280-4-1/Method 3." to Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with the methods for cabling configuration A of IEC 61280-4-1." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with IEC 61280-4-1/Method 2 or IEC 61280-4-1/Method 3." to
factor much less than 1. Cl 86 SC 86.1 P 279 L 20 # 356 Abbott, John Corning Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status A SRreach Table 86-1 p.279 The 0.5 to 100m operating range is too broad and should be divided into SRreach SRreach	 "Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with IEC 61280-4-1:2009." Also remove the editor's note. Also add "IEC 61280-4-1:2009" (not to be confused with IEC 61280-1-4:2009) to the list of additional references to be inserted in clause 1.3.
2 PMDs, a 0.5 to ~75m for computer interconnects and a ~75m to 150m range for data centers (both with OM3). The 802.3ae length is 300m and supports 150-250m lengths in data centers. The 802.3ba uses MM fiber to take up shorter lengths previously using copper - a distinct PMD and the specific applications for OM3 and OM4 fiber warrant 2 PMDs.	Note- this will leave a reference to IEC 61280-4-1:2003 in the amended standard as referred to by subclause 68.8
SuggestedRemedy Organize SR into two PMDs as similar as possible but allowing one to focus on lengths currently used for optical fiber in the data center and the other to focus on HPC applications.	
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The reach objective over MMF is "at least 100 m". With this objective, two MMF PMDs at each MAC rate are not required. However, the maximum reach of 40/100GBASE-SR4/SR10 has been changed to 150m over OM4 See response to comment 349	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 80	SC 80	P 125	L1	#	358
Kolesar, Pau	ıl	CommSc	ope Solutions		

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status R

The PMDs defined in P802.3ba do not fulfill the PAR or the Five Criteria of 802.3. Specifically, as stated in section 5.4 of the PAR, the Purpose of Proposed Standard: "The project is to provide for the interconnection of equipment satisfying the distance requirements of the intended applications." Further, as stated in section 5.5, the Need for the Project: "The project is necessary to provide a solution for applications that have been demonstrated to need bandwidth beyond the existing capabilities. These include data center..." Data center backbone reach requirements have been repeatedly shown to extend to at least 200 meters per independent contributions kolesar 01 0906, swanson 01 1106. and flatman 01 0108. However, the maximum reach of the PMDs aimed at the data center, specifically -CR4/-CR10 and -SR4/-SR10, is presently stated as 125 meters, 75 meters shy of the need. While the commenter acknowledges the need for optimized solutions, the present optimization for lowest cost, which sacrifices sufficient coverage, is far from optimal. This is due to the huge increase in relative cost for the defined singlemode fiber based PMDs compared to the cost of extended reach -SR4/-SR10 PMDs that can address this reach, as shown in contributions jewell 01 0508 and kolesar 01 0908. Furthermore, without a cost effective solution that covers the vast majority of the reach requirements of the application space, this project does not satisfy the Broad Market Potential requirement for balanced cost, as the single-mode fiber based PMDs erect a market barrier when positioned as data center solutions rather than as the metro solutions for which they are optimal. Therefore PMDs that cost effectively support 200 meters must be defined to fulfill the PAR and satisfy the Broad Market Potential balanced cost criteria.

SuggestedRemedy

Adopt the proposal of contribution kolesar_05_0509 for an informative annex that defines a test for selecting 200-meter-capable PMDs from the production runs of -SR4/-SR10 PMDs. as detailed in contribution kolesar 04 0509 with appropriate editorial adjustments induced by clause 86 evolution since draft 2.0, the draft upon which these contributions were submitted.

Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

The adopted objectives for the project include "at least 100m over OM3 MMF" for operation at 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s. The MMF objectives have remained unchanged since their approval, approval of the project's 5 Criteria responses, and the PAR. Based on materials detailed below, it has been the consensus of the Task Force that the selected solutions (40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10) meet the stated PAR (http://www.ieee802.org/3/ba/PAR/par_0308.pdf) and 5 Criteria responses (http://www.ieee802.org/3/ba/PAR/P802.3ba 5C 0908.pdf). Presentations relevant to this topic reviewed by the Task Force and the "40G/100G Extended Reach (>100m) over Parallel Multimode Fiber Ad Hoc" were: http://www.ieee802.org/3/hssg/public/sep06/kolesar 01 0906.pdf http://www.ieee802.org/3/hssg/public/nov06/pepeljugoski_01_1106.pdf http://www.ieee802.org/3/hssg/public/nov06/steinberger_01_1106.pdf http://www.ieee802.org/3/hssg/public/nov06/swanson 01 1106.pdf

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

http:// http:// http:// http:// Note t SR4 a A stra Do yo kolesa Resul Yes 1		blic/mar08/kolesar_01 blic/sep08/flatman_01 blic/sep08/kolesar_01 blic/AdHoc/MMF-Read nent 349 against D 3.0 r OM4 fiber to 150m as taken:	_0308.pdf _0908.pdf _0908.pdf ch/swanson_xr 0 has changed	the reach of 40GBASE-					
No 21	No 21								
Absta	Abstain 17								
CI 82	SC 82.2.18.2.2	P 182	L 45	# 359					
Frazier, H	oward M	Broadcom							
<u> </u>									

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Colloquial language "Note that we do not know which marker to expect on which PCS lane."

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the sentence. The information is already conveyed by the text of 82.2.1, page 169 line 10.

Response	Response Status	w
	ricoponido otatad	

ACCEPT.

C/ 86	SC 86.5.1	P 283	L 4	# 360
Frazier, How	ard M	Broadcom		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The diagram appears to include a 4 input AND gate producing SIGNAL DETECT, and could be interpreted to mean that Ln-1 is not included in the SIGNAL DETECT function.

SuggestedRemedy

Show a 4 input AND gate, or place an ellipsis between the 2nd and last inputs.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Show a 4 input AND gate

Page 81 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:30 AM

Draft 3.0 Comme	nts	IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	l 100Gb/s	Ethernet com	nments			Sponsor ballot
C/ 86 SC 86.8.1 Frazier, Howard M	P290 Broadcom	L1	# 361	<i>Cl</i> 86 Frazier, H	SC 86.10.3.2 oward M		P 299 Broadcom	L 50	# 364
	Comment Status A re are numerous right angled arror em to add little value.	ws that clutter t	he diagram, are difficult			Comment St of at least 10 or		is vague and th	nere is no justification
SuggestedRemedy Delete the right and	gled arrows.			Suggestee Repla		ged in two rows o	f at least 10 p	positions."	
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Add legend to diag the test stimulus is Cl 86 SC 86.8.3	ram clarifying that the right angle applied.	d arrows indica	te the direction in which	Chang "arran to	EPT IN PRINCIPI ge iged in two rows	Response Sta LE. of at least 10 or ⁻ of 10 or 12 positi	12 positions."		
Frazier, Howard M Comment Type TR Why does the word parallel sentence of SuggestedRemedy Delete "normative". Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Delete "The normative"	Response Status W	ntence of this s		C/ 86A Frazier, He Comment Why is return Suggestee	SC 86A.4.1. oward M Type TR s it necessary to loss does not va dRemedy e the plot of Diffe	1 E Comment St	P 423 Broadcom atus R n Figure 86A- y, and thus do n-mode input	oes not need to	# 365 o common-mode input be plotted.
SuggestedRemedy	Broadcom Comment Status A is zero, TDP(i) = 0." is redundant.	L 39	# <mark>363 </mark>	progre chart) <i>Cl</i> 86A Frazier, He	ess his design. 1	The line costs not	thing and take P 423 Broadcom		assess the spec and nce it is not on its own # <u>366</u>
Replace with "Othe <i>Response</i> ACCEPT.	erwise TDP(i) = 0." <i>Response Status</i> W			Suggestee Use s Response ACCE	ndication of the "o dRemedy hading to indicat		' in Figure 86. region.	A-1 is ambiguou	JS.

C/ 01 SC 1.5 P27 L32 # 367	C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3 P379 L46 # 369
Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation	Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation
Comment Type E Comment Status D	Comment Type E Comment Status D
[Editor's note: Comment 52 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0] LSB and MSB don't denote proper names. This was nearly right in an earlier draft. SuggestedRemedy	[Editor's note: Comment 6 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0] In table 83A-1, note a, "Rise/Fall time measurement methodology defined in 83A.3.3.2", is redundant with the entry, "83A.3.3.2", in the Subclause Reference column and can be
Change "Least Significant Bit" to "least significant bit", change "Most Significant Bit" to	deleted. SuggestedRemedy
"most significant bit". Proposed Response Response Status W	In table 83A-1, delete note "a Rise/Fall time measurement methodology defined in 83A.3.3.2".
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W
See response to comment # 396	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
C/ 83A SC 83A.2.1 P377 L23 # 368	See suggested remedy
anga, Ilango Intel Corporation	C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.1 P380 L14 # 370
omment Type T Comment Status A	Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation
against D 3.0] The frequency range for insertion loss in 83A & 83B is from 0.25 GHz to 11.1 GHz, while for 85 it's from 0.05 GHz to 11.1 GHz and for 86A it's from 0.01 GHz to 11.1 GHz. Unless there are good technical reasons for the differences in the low frequency range limit, thes should be consistent. Since scrambled data has significant low frequency content, it seer prudent to set the insertion loss frequency range limit to the lowest practical point to guar against unexpected loss of low frequency content. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> For equations 85-14, 83A-1, 83A-2, 83A-9, 83B-1, 83B-2, 83B-3, 83B-4, 86A -4, 86A-5, 86A-6, 86A-7, 86A-15 & 86A-16 change the lower limit of the frequency range to 0.01 GH	 define "de-emphasis": Equation 83A-3 does, as stated two sentences earlier. Also, should not put whole sentences in figures, especially if normative. That's what text is for. SuggestedRemedy Change to: "See Figure 83A-5 for an illustration of absolute driver output voltage limits, and definition
esponse Response Status C	sides of the differential signal pair for lane i (i = 0, 1, 2, 3 for XLAUI. For CAUI i = 0.9)." Remove the sentence in square brackets from Figure 83A-5.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W
See comment#870	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change to: "See Figure 83A-5 for an illustration of absolute driver output voltage limits, definition of differential peak to peak amplitude, and definition of the parameters used to calculate de-emphasis. SLi <p> and SLi<n> are the positive and negative sides of the differential signal pair for lane i (i = 0, 1, 2, 3 for XLAUI. For CAUI i = 0:9)." Remove the sentence in square brackets from Figure 83A-5.</n></p>
	see comment 317

C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.4 P382 L3 # 371	C/ 83A SC 83A.5.1 P389 L16 # 373
Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation	Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation
Comment Type E Comment Status D [Editor's note: Comment 7 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0] In the first sentence, the phrase, "For frequencies from 10 MHz to 11.1 GHz,", is redundant with the content of eq. 83A-6 and should be deleted. SuggestedRemedy Change from, "For frequencies from 10 MHz to 11.1 GHz, common mode output return loss" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. O O	Comment Type E Comment Status D [Editor's note: Comment 13 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0] The text, "All XLAUI/CAUI channels shall be active during transmit jitter testing to ensure any channel-channel crosstalk is included in the jitter evaluation." uses the term 'channel' where the term 'lane' is more appropriate. For example, in 802.3ba context, the four lanes of XLAUI form one channel. See also 83a.5.2 line 31 and 83b.2.3 page 404 line 6. SuggestedRemedy Change from, "All XLAUI/CAUI channels shall be active during transmit jitter testing to ensure any channel-channel crosstalk is included in the jitter evaluation." to "All XLAUI/CAUI lanes shall be active during transmit jitter
See suggested remedy Cl 83A SC 83A.3.4.3 P 384 L 37 # 372 Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status D	testing to ensure any lane-lane crosstalk is included in the jitter evaluation." Repeat/apply in 83a.5.2 line 31 and 83b.2.3 page 404 line 6. <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
[Editor's note: Comment 9 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0] The phrase, "For frequencies from 10 MHz to 11.1 GHz, ", is redundant with the content of eq. 83a-7 and should be deleted.	see comment 881
SuggestedRemedy	
Change from, "For frequencies from 10 MHz to 11.1 GHz, differential input return loss" to "Differential input return loss"	
Proposed Response Response Status W	
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	

C/ 83A SC 83A.5.1	P389 L12	# 374	C/ 83B SC 83B.2.3	P403	L 50	# 376
Ganga, Ilango	Intel Corporation	" 014	Ganga, Ilango	Intel Corporat		
	ent Status A		Comment Type E	Comment Status A		
[Editor's note: Comment 12 again against D 3.0] The text states., "The data pattern Chevid act sither a stars 2 acts	n for jitter measurements shall b	e test pattern PRBS31.".	against D 3.0]	16 against D 2.3 was agree Ily specifed as peak-to-pea		-
Should not either pattern 3, patter also 83a.5.2 line 32 and 83b.2.3		ffic be acceptable? See	SuggestedRemedy			
uggestedRemedy			Change, " and 0.15 UI = 1E-12".	peak-to-peak random jitter	' to "and 0.15 UI	random jitter for BER
Change from, "The data pattern f "Pattern 3, Pattern 5, see Table 8 measurements." Repeat/apply in	36-11, or valid XLAUI/CAUI signa	I shall be used for jitter	Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C		
Response Respon ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve comment to ensure cons 83A.5:	nse Status C sistancy between 83A and 83B		jitter for BER of 10^-12". Add the following senten	peak-to-peak random jitter' ce to 83A.5: J for BER 10^-12. (last sent		random
Change from, "The data pattern f To: "The data pattern for jitter measu scrambled idle in 82.2.10." Change from, "A PRBS31 pattern to The PRBS31 test pattern in 83.5. evaluating XLAUI/CAUI jitter toler Add PICS for Jitter Tolerance Pat	n shall be the PRBS31 te n shall be used for evaluating XL .10 or scrambled idle in 82.2.10 s rance.	st pattern in 83.5.10 or AUI/CAUI jitter tolerance."	against D 3.0]	P 270 Intel Corporat Comment Status D 64 against D 2.3 was agree re we have MDNEXT subso o_loss. 85A uses IL a lot.	ed to be resubm	-
Change 83B.2.3 to the following: The recommended pattern for ev. 82.2.10 or PRBS31 test pattern in		nce is scrambled idle in	Insertion_loss and IL with	o use simply "MDNEXT" to SDD21 (and flip the sign)		
C/ 83A SC 83A.5.2 Ganga, Ilango	P389 L30 Intel Corporation	# 375	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN Replace subscripted loss MDFEXT_loss(f).	Response Status W NPRINCIPLE. a for MDNEXT and MDFEX	T with _loss e.g.	, MDNEXT_loss(f) and
Comment Type E Comme [Editor's note: Comment 3 agains against D 3.0] Please spell out +.	ent Status D st D 2.3 was agreed to be resubn	nitted by the Editor				
SuggestedRemedy Change, " jitter of the filter stres stress plus limiter and random jitt		o " jitter of the filter				
	nse Status W					

C/ 85	SC 85.10.10	3 P 259	L 42	# 378	CI 85	SC 85.10.7	P260	L 46	# 379
Ganga, Il		Intel Corporatio			Ganga, Ila		Intel Corpora		
Commen	t Type T	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		
agair Repe Draft sum powe NEX indivi sum	nst D 3.0] eating D2.2 comm says "Multiple Dis of the individual N er of the four or ter T loss values". Th idual NEXTs, but a of the individual ir	sturber Near-End Crosstalk (M EXT losses." and "MDNEXT lo n individual pair-to-pair differer ese statements are not correc as equation 85-26 shows, "MD overses of "NEXT losses".	IDNEXT) loss is oss is determine ntial t: MDNEXT is th DNEXT loss" is th	specified as the power ed by summing the ne power sum of the ne inverse of the power	again What and fa Is wha the st Other	st D 3.0] does "Fast Fou all time Tft" mea at follows "Note yle guide allows editorial issues the equation a	that" a NOTE, i.e. informative it, it's ambiguous and should	ely proportional and not part of be avoided.	to the 20% to 80% rise the standard? Although
	power sum of the n is not what we w	individual NEXT losses would ant.	be dominated b	y the weakest NEXT,	Suggeste	-			
Мур		s change "NEXT loss" to "NEX brings the signs in line with C		KT loss" to "MDNEXT",		ne the weight at ency fn are give	each frequency fn using" to "T n by" (or add "here lines for W		and Wft at each
Char pair-1 To: "	EPT IN PRINCIPL nge "MDNEXT loss to-pair differential MDNEXT loss is c	Response Status C .E. s is determined by summing th NEXT loss values using Equat letermined from the four or ter g Equation (85-26)."	tion (85-26)."		Note inverse const referent to "wher fnt is fft is in fr, the and th fnt= 2 fft= 25	that the 3 dB trasely proportiona ant of proportiona ant of proportio ence receiver bar in GHz and is g n GHz and is g n GHz and is g e reference rece he other equation (36.5 / Tht (85-net) (36.5 / Tft (85-net))		Fast Fourier tra Ill times Tnt and 0.2365). In addit z." z, le 85-10.	d Tft respectively. The tion, fr is the 3 dB
					Response	9	Response Status C		
					ACCE	EPT IN PRINCI	PLE.		

See response comment#890

C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.7 P 251 L 48 # 380 Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation Intel Corporation	C/ 86 SC 86.7.3 P 288 L 33 # 381 Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation
Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status A [Editor's note: Comment 63 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0] "The effects of differences should be accounted for" is too weak: needs to be required not just recommended. Compare text at 86A.5.1.1. If we were not trying to move to Sponsor ballot this would be a TR. SuggestedRemedy Change "The effects of differences between the insertion loss of an actual test fixture and the reference insertion loss should be accounted for in the measurements." to "Any differences between the insertion loss of an actual test fixture and the reference insertion loss are accounted for in the measurements." Similarly in 85.10.8 and 83B.2 (twice). Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "The effects of differences between the insertion loss of an actual test fixture and the reference for in the measurements." To: "The differences between the insertion loss of an actual test fixture and the reference insertion loss are to be accounted for in the measurements." To: "The differences between the insertion loss of an actual test fixture and the reference insertion loss are to be accounted for in the measurements." Similarly in 85.10.8.	Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status A [Editor's note: Comment 71 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0] "Receiver jitter tolerance signal level in OMA, each lane" (shown as "Max" in D2.3) is used in 86.8.4.8 "as in 68.6.11, with the following differences: à b) The parameters of the signal are specified in Table 86-8" 68.6.11 says " the power in OMA at the receiver is adjusted, using the optical attenuator, to be equal to the stressed sensitivity in OMA, also given in Table 68-5, and a BER of better than 10-12 shall be achieved." So, we are to adjust the power in OMA to any value we like as long as it doesn't exceed the -5.4 limit in Table 86-8. So the spec is arbitrary and uncertain: a tester can make anything fail by setting the OMA low enough. Note this is unlike stressed sensitivity which is a property of the receiver under test not of the test rig. It's more like an eye mask, which is also fixed. If we were not trying to move to Sponsor ballot this would be a TR. SuggestedRemedy Change the row "Receiver jitter tolerance signal level in OMA, each lane Max -5.4 dBm" and below "Conditions of receiver jitter tolerance test:", insert a new row Signal level in OMA - 5.4 dBm" Keep the footnote, but change "This is a test of the optical receiver's ability" to "Jitter tolerance defines the optical receiver's ability" Another remedy would be to change "Receiver jitter tolerance signal level in OMA" to "Receiver jitter tolerance in OMA" and modify 86

C/ 86A SC 86A.4.2 P 425 L 19 # 382 Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation	Cl 86A SC 86A.5.1.1.2 P 429 L 44 # 383 Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation
Comment Type T Comment Status A HIST [Editor's note: Comment 75 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0] BER is a criterion of tolerance, not a metric of it. It's already stated in 86A.5.3.8.6 and is the same for the whole project so should not be repeated here. Note comment on related issue against 86.7.3 Table 86-8. Also, per D2.0 comment 470: 'ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Need to avoid using "receive" or "receiver" on the transmit path (down the stack, PMA to MDI) or "transmit" or "transmitter" on the receive path (up the stack, MDI to PMA). Change names using the terms host, module, input and output.' SuggestedRemedy In Table 86A-4, change "Receiver signal tolerance, each lane (BER) - 10-12" to	Comment Type T Comment Status A [Editor's note: Comment 74 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0] In SFP+ and previously in 86A, HCB-MCB crosstalk was controlled up to 15 GHz. Now 86A refers to 85.10.9.3 which does not control above 10 GHz. HCB-MCB crosstalk needs to be controlled to a frequency higher than product crosstalk (affects J9, eye, Qsq) according to the roll-off of the aggressor signal. Qsq is observed in a 12 GHz bandwidth. Also, every other spec in 86A starts at 10 MHz not 50 MHz. SuggestedRemedy Define an appropriate upper end of the frequency range for HCB-MCB crosstalk (for Annex 86A purposes). Define the lower end at 10 MHz (for Annex 86A purposes). C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C Change "The limits on integrated crosstalk noise of the mated HCB and MCB are specified
"Host input signal tolerance, each lane, per conditions below" In footnote b, change "host receiver (see 86A.5.3.8)." to "host input (see 86A.5.3.8)." (it happens that the host input is a receiver input but we resolved to use "input" and "output" in D2.0 comment 470). Make the cross-reference into a proper link. In Table 86A-6 and 86A.5.3.8 consider changing "receiver tolerance" to input tolerance" as appropriate. <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i></i>	in 85.10.9.3." to "The limits on integrated crosstalk noise of the mated HCB and MCB are specified in 85.10.9.3 with the exception that the frequency range is 0.01 GHz to 12 GHz." <i>Cl</i> 85 SC 85.8.3.5 <i>P</i> 251 <i>L</i> 20 # <u>384</u> Ganga, Ilango Intel Corporation <i>Comment Type</i> T <i>Comment Status</i> A [Editor's note: Comment 29 against D 2.3 was agreed to be resubmitted by the Editor against D 3.0] Fig 85-5 state transmitter test fixture on the left dotted line show TP2/Tp3 test fixture. TP3 is a reciver test point how could it be called transmitter test fixtrue! <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Please repalce the figure showing MCB-HCB mated pair, you borrow fig 86-3 but with CL85 test point on it
	Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See respose to comment#831

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 85 SC 85.	8.4.3	P 253	L 37	# 385	CI 85	SC 85.8.4.3		L 27	# 388
Ganga, Ilango		Intel Corporati	on		Ganga, Ila	ngo	Intel Corpor	ation	
comment Type T	- Comme	ent Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
against D 3.0]	Ū	st D 2.3 was agree It you have to read		tted by the Editor a before you know what	again: How i	st D 3.0] s someone supp	ent 35 against D 2.3 was agr nose to know what this state int LUT in figure 85-7"!		
SuggestedRemedy					Suggestee	dRemedy			
Please provide to	est setup definitio	n in the same sect	lion		This s	ection require m	ore clear write up and more	deatil picture	
Response	Respons	se Status C			Response		Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRI See response to						PT IN PRINCIP			
C/ 85 SC 85. Ganga, Ilango	8.4.3	P 253 Intel Corporati	L 39 on	# 386					
SuggestedRemedy	·	d why n=4, 10,? or CR10 cable as							
Response	Respons	se Status C							
ACCEPT IN PRI See response to	, NCIPLE.								
C/ 85 SC 85. Ganga, Ilango	8.4.3	P 253 Intel Corporati	L 39 on	# 387					
Comment Type T	- Comme	ent Status A							
against D 3.0]		st D 2.3 was agree vuld be done with o		tted by the Editor a the left, open, short,					
SuggestedRemedy									
,	s terminated to 50	ohms							
Response	Respons	se Status C							
ACCEPT IN PRI									
See comment#7	81								

See comment#781.

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.12a	P 48	L 3	# 389	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1	P 38	L37	# 390
Law, David	1	3Com			Law, Dav	id	3Com		

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The editing instruction states 'Insert 45.2.1.12a (before 45.2.1.12 as numbered in 802.3-2008. renumbered to 45.2.1.13 by P802.3av/D3.4) for 40G/100G extended abilities'. Subclause 45.2.1.12 in IEEE Std 802.3-2008, renumbered to be 45.2.1.13 in IEEE Std 802.3av-2009, is titled '10P/2B PMA/PMD control register (Register 1.30)'. Hence following this instruction would result in the subclause order as follows: 45.2.1.11 10G-EPON PMA/PMD ability register (Register 1.12) 45.2.1.12 PMA/PMD package identifier (Registers 1.14 and 1.15) 45.2.1.12a 40G/100G PMA/PMD extended ability register (Register 1.13) 45.2.1.13 10P/2B PMA/PMD control register (Register 1.30) I don't believe that this is correct as it would be normal to have the subclause for Register 1.13 after register 1.11 but before 1.14 and 1.15. Based on this suggest that this new subclause, and its subclauses should be placed after 45.2.1.11 and number under 45.2.1.11a. Also I believe the editing instruction should be extended to cover the subclauses of this new subclause and references to existing standards should use the full designation.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the new subclauses be numbered as follows: 45.2.1.11a 40G/100G PMA/PMD extended ability register (Register 1.13) 45.2.1.11a.1 PMA remote loopback ability (1.13.15) 45.2.1.11a.2 100GBASE-ER4 ability (1.13.11) 45.2.1.11a.3 100GBASE-LR4 ability (1.13.10) 45.2.1.11a.4 100GBASE-SR10 ability (1.13.9) 45.2.1.11a.5 100GBASE-CR10 ability (1.13.8) 45.2.1.11a.6 40GBASE-LR4 ability (1.13.3) 45.2.1.11a.7 40GBASE-SR4 ability (1.13.2) 45.2.1.11a.8 40GBASE-CR4 ability (1.13.1) 45.2.1.11a.9 40GBASE-KR4 ability (1.13.0) Suggest that the editing instruction should read 'Insert new subclauses 45.2.1.11a and 45.2.1.11a.1 through 45.2.1.11a.9 after existing subclause 45.2.1.11.11 (this subclause was renumbered by IEEE Std 802.3av).'

Response Status W

Response

ACCEPT.

/ 45	SC 45.2.1	P 38	L 37	# 390
aw, David		3Com		

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Register 1.12 is called the '10G-EPON PMA/PMD ability register', see IEEE Std 802.3av-2009 subclause 45.2.1.11 (page 20).

During my check of the changes made by this draft to the previous approved standards it became apparent that this register name was not correctly reflected in this table in the changes in IEEE Std 802.3av-2009 (see IEEE Std 802.3av-2009 page 17). If the IEEE P802.3ba project is uncomfortable about making this change I'm happy to submit it as a maintenance request.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text 'P2MP ability register' to read '10G-EPON PMA/PMD ability register'.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

The change will be shown as a change to 802.3-2008 as modified by 802.3av-2009.

C/01 SC 1								
	P1	L	# 391	CI 00	SC 0	Р	L	# 393
Booth, Brad	AMCC			Anslow, P	eter	Nortel Net	works	
draft standard has chos in 802.3 - they have cho was done in 802.3z and	Comment Status R o use a nomenclature that do sen to us C and K to indicate osen to use S, L and E to indi 802.3ae. This creates confu uture enhancements to the 4	e media types - s dicate reach inst fusion with the no	imilar to previous uses ead of wavelengths as omenclature and may	stand publis	e first page of f ard (on lines 3 hed amendme ct in both place	Comment Status A the PICS Proformas there ar 7 and 45). This should be "IE nts such as IEEE Std 802.3a s.	EE Std 802.3ba-2	0xx". See recently
5	or S to mean short waveleng or L to mean long wavelengt	U (Page	159 for Clause (83A, 406 for)	81, 195 for Clause 82, 218 Annex 83B, 440 for Annex 86 <i>Response Status</i> C		for Clause 85, 391 for
0	or E to be Z and to mean op	timized long way	velength (1310nm).	ACCE		Response Status		
Response	Response Status W							
REJECT.	adopted by the Task Force i	in May 2008 (co	a motion #2) Tha	<i>CI</i> 01 Anslow, P	SC 1.3 eter	P 25 Nortel Netv	L 23 works	# 394
plenary. The Task Force has dis including the evolution of discuss the consistency	was presented to the WG by scussed the nomenclature ex of PHY naming conventions v issue; during the discussior n 10M to 10G and that the ba	xtensively during (see law_01_07 ns it was pointed	the WG ballot phase 09). The task force did d out the nomenclature	/// Editor <i>Suggeste</i>	Ed 2.0 of IEC webstore.iec.c 's note. dRemedy	Comment Status A 61280-1-4 is now published h/webstore/webstore.nsf/artr	num/043535) upda	
letter(s) to identify differ	ent characteristics.			Response	5	Response Status C		
type definition (for e.g. "	loyed by P802.3ba is clearly '100GBASE-CR10") includes ers are not used to distinguis	s the characteris	stics/attributes of the	ACCE Note-	PT.	a reference to IEC 61280-1-4	4:2003 in the base	standard as referred to
	_	L	# 392	by cla				
	P			CI 01	CC 4 3	D 9E	1 46	# 205
nslow, Peter	Nortel Networ	rks		C/ 01 Anslow P	SC 1.3	P 25 Nortel Net	L 45 works	# 395
slow, Peter	Nortel Networ Comment Status D			Anslow, P	eter	Nortel Net	-	# 395
nslow, Peter o <i>mment Type</i> E The draft is inconsistent AC-coupled".	Nortel Networ		oled" or "AC-coupling or	Anslow, P <i>Comment</i> If this	eter <i>Type</i> T IEC document	Nortel Netw Comment Status D is going to be published in t	works time for 802.3ba to	
nslow, Peter omment Type E The draft is inconsistent AC-coupled". uggestedRemedy	Nortel Networ Comment Status D t on whether to use "AC cou	pling or AC coup		Anslow, P Comment If this must	eter <i>Type</i> T IEC document be going throu	Nortel Net	works time for 802.3ba to	
nslow, Peter omment Type E The draft is inconsistent AC-coupled". uggestedRemedy The response to comme	Nortel Networ Comment Status D	pling or AC coup		Anslow, P Comment If this must Suggester Either	eter <i>Type</i> T IEC document be going throu <i>dRemedy</i> change Editor	Nortel Netw Comment Status D is going to be published in t gh the IEC balloting process	works time for 802.3ba to already.	reference it, then it
nslow, Peter omment Type E The draft is inconsistent AC-coupled". uggestedRemedy The response to comme	Nortel Networ Comment Status D t on whether to use "AC coup ent 470 against D 2.0 agreed Response Status W	pling or AC coup		Anslow, P Comment If this must Suggester Either publis	eter <i>Type</i> T IEC document be going throu <i>dRemedy</i> change Editor	Nortel Netw Comment Status D is going to be published in t gh the IEC balloting process	works time for 802.3ba to already.	reference it, then it
nslow, Peter comment Type E The draft is inconsistent AC-coupled". suggestedRemedy The response to comme proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I	Nortel Networ Comment Status D t on whether to use "AC coup ent 470 against D 2.0 agreed Response Status W	upling or AC coup d to use "AC cou		Anslow, P Comment If this must Suggester Either publis Proposed	eter <i>Type</i> T IEC document be going throu <i>dRemedy</i> change Editor hing date or re <i>Response</i>	Nortel Netw <i>Comment Status</i> D is going to be published in t gh the IEC balloting process 's note to give details of IEC move Editor's note entirely.	works time for 802.3ba to already.	reference it, then it

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft 3.0 Comments	S	IEEE P80	02.3ba D3.0 40G	b/s and 1
C/ 01 SC 1.5	P 27	L 30	# 396	
Anslow, Peter	Nortel Netwo	rks		- A
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			(
in subclause 3.2 are s name. Also, in the ba the expansions non-c (e.g. XAUI, XGMII) it a	standard (Annex B) of the 200 shown with the first letters not se standard subclause 1.5 m apitalised. Using the abbrevia appears that DIC, LSB and M abbreviations defined by the IT	capitalised excepts tof the abbrevi tions in the base SB should be sho	pt where it is a prope ations are shown with standard as a guide own non-capitalised.	r h g

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "deficit idle count", "least significant bit" and "most significant bit"

Proposed Response	Response Status	W	
-------------------	-----------------	---	--

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 30	SC 30.3.2.1.5

P31

Nortel Networks

Comment Status A Comment Type т

Since P802.3av is now an approved amendment, the draft should refer to that rather than P802.3av Draft 3.4.

L 50

397

398

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "as modified by IEEE Std 802.3av-2009" (Is this the correct format?) Make this change here and throughout clause 45 (12 instances)

Response	Response Status	С
----------	-----------------	---

ACCEPT.

Anslow, Peter

C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.1.2	P 32	L 31
Anslow, P	eter	Nortel Network	s

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The "10G PCS Control 2" register has been re-named to the "PCS Control 2" register

SuggestedRemedy

Change "10G PCS Control 2" to "PCS Control 2". Also the reference is duplicated at the end of the sentence, so do not add "and the PCS control 2 register specified in 45.2.3.6"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45	SC	45.2.1	P	88	L 43	# 399
Anslow, P	eter		Norte	el Networks		
Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status	D		
			51 have been re-na ppears in Tables 7			the previous name
Suggested	dReme	dy				
Chang (4 plac		BASE-KR	PMD" to "BASE-R	PMD" in Tab	ole 72-2 (2 pl	laces) and Table 72
Proposed PROP	•	nse REJECT.	Response Status	w		
chang	je.		Clause 72. It is not gainst 802.3az coul	·	ng the clause	e for this editorial
C/ 45		45.2.1	P:		L 22	# 400
Anslow, P		43.2.1		el Networks	L Z Z	# 400
		_				
Comment This s		T 267 through	Comment Status h 275" but it should		nrough 1.275)"
Suggested	dReme	dy				
Make In Tab	equival	lent change 3 change "	275" to "1.267 thro e elsewhere in Tabl 3.83 through 89" to ge "Registers 3.91	e 45-3 (3 mc 3.83 throug	gh 3.89"	s) ers 3.91 through 3.10
Response	ł		Response Status	C	-	-
ACCE	PT IN I	PRINCIPLE		-		
	ge the for ent #70		e range as sugges	ed, note tha	t the number	rs change according
<i>CI</i> 45 Anslow, P		45.2.1.7.4	P4 Norte	14 el Networks	L 29	# 401
Commont	Туре	Е	Comment Status	-		
Comment "the 4	0GBAS	E-KR4 PM	Ds is given" should	be the 400	DASE-NR4	PMD is given"
"the 4 Suggestee Chang	dRemed ge "PMI	<i>dy</i> Ds" to "PMI	Ds is given" should D" here and also fo nges in 45.2.1.7.5			-

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 401

Page 92 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:30 AM

Sponsor ballot

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.77 P 50 L 6 # 402 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Anslow, Peter Anslow, Peter	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.95 P 61 L 10 # 405 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Vortel Networks Vort
Comment Type E Comment Status D "." missing after "the PMDs described in Clause 72, 84 or 85"	Comment Type E Comment Status D Make the title of Table 45-65a consistent with the others in clause 45 by adding "bit
SuggestedRemedy Add "." after "the PMDs described in Clause 72, 84 or 85" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	definitions" SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Table 45-65a to "Test pattern ability register bit definitions" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.85.2 P 57 L 28 # 403 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.96 P 62 L 8 # 406 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Comment Type E Comment Status D The name used in Table 45-62 (and elsewhere) is "BASE-R FEC error indication ability" but the title of 45.2.1.85.2 is "BASE-R error indication ability". This is an error in going from the base standard to the draft.	Comment Type E Comment Status D The title of Table 4565b is "Square wave testing control and status" but the register name elsewhere is "square wave testing control"
SuggestedRemedy Change the title of 45.2.1.85.2 to include "FEC" in normal font. Proposed Response Response Status W	SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Table 4565b to "Square wave testing control register bit definitions". Also on line 8 change "The square wave testing control and status register is used" to "The square wave testing control register is used".
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status D The change instruction "Insert 45.2.1.91-94 for multi-lane coefficient exchange:" is not in	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.96 P 62 L 47 # 407 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
accordance with the style manual. See 14.2 e) "Dashes should never be used because they can be misconstrued for subtraction signs." SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type T Comment Status A This says "Lanes for which a square wave pattern is not enabled pass through data as normal." But in testing, we want to be able to have scrambled idles or PRBS31 on the othe lanes. Similar comment submitted against 83.5.10
Change to "Insert 45.2.1.91 through 45.2.1.94 for multi-lane coefficient exchange:"	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Change "Lanes for which a square wave pattern is not enabled pass through data as normal." to "Lanes for which a square wave pattern is not enabled act as determined by other registers."
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P802	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s	Ethernet comn	nents		Sponsor ballot
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.97 Anslow, Peter	P63 Nortel Networks	L10	# 408	<i>Cl</i> 45 Anslow, Pe	SC 45.2.3.6.1	P 67 Nortel Networks	L 38	# 411
elsewhere is "PRBS pa SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D 5c is "PRBS pattern testing cont ttern testing control" e 4565c to "PRBS pattern test Response Status W			Suggested	le of 45.2.3.6.1 ind <i>Remedy</i> ge "(3.7.1:0)" to "(3	Comment Status A cludes "(3.7.1:0)". This should 8.7.2:0)". Show the "1" in strike Response Status C	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
SuggestedRemedy	P63 Nortel Networks Comment Status D 0 have if bits 6 and 7 are both a graph to state that "If neither of the Response Status W		# 409	Suggested In the	<i>Type</i> T gister name in the <i>IRemedy</i> title change "BASI ASE-T PCS status	P 68 Nortel Networks Comment Status A e title of 45.2.3.11 does not ma E-R PCS and 10GBASE-T PC 1 register" (show the first "PC Response Status C	atch that used o	ister" to "BASE-R and
PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.4.4 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T Refers to bit 1.4.3 whic SuggestedRemedy Change "bit 1.4.3" to "b Response	P67 Nortel Networks <i>Comment Status</i> A h should be bit 3.4.3 in two plac	L10 es.	# 410	Cl 45 Anslow, Pe Comment The te square Suggested Add a	SC 45.2.3.15 eter <i>Type</i> E ext "or may function e wave test pattern	P71 Nortel Networks Comment Status D n as defined for BASE-R PRB ns" is missing a full stop after SE-R" on line 24 Response Status W	S9, PRBS31, p	# 413

Draft 3.0 Comments	IEEE P80	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet com	ments		Sponsor ballot
	71 L29 tel Networks	# 414	<i>Cl</i> 45 Anslow, P	SC 45.2.3.37 eter	P82 Nortel Networks	L 8	# 417
Comment Type E Comment Status The editing instruction is "Insert 45.2.3.15. for naming as Scrambled idles do not feature	1a before 45.2.3.15.1 for n	aming:" but this is not			Comment Status D 14a is "BIP error counter, lanes () and 1 register I	oit definitions" but
SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to "Insert 45		5.1:"		ge the title of Tab	le 45114a from "BIP error coun r counter, lane 0 register bit defir		1 register bit
Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT.	s W		Proposed	Response POSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
Anslow, Peter Nort	72 L 53 tel Networks	# <u>415</u>	<i>Cl</i> 45 Anslow, P	SC 45.2.7.12 eter	P83 Nortel Networks	L 42	# 418
Comment Type T Comment Statu. This is the upper 16 bits of a 22 bit counte response to comment 217 against D 2.2)		of BER counter" (see	<i>Comment</i> The d	51	Comment Status D .48.2 has changed, but is not sho	own with underli	ne
SuggestedRemedy Change "Bits 19:6 of BER counter" to "Bits	s 21:6 of BER counter"		Suggestee Show	2	X4" in underline font		
Response Response Status ACCEPT.	s C			Response POSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
	82 L1 tel Networks	# 416	<i>Cl</i> 45 Anslow, P	SC 45.5.3.2 eter	P85 Nortel Networks	L 15	# 419
Comment Type E Comment Statue The highest subclause added by IEEE Std absent.		5 so 45.2.3.36 will be		ence to 45.2.1.1.4	Comment Status D I is shown blue even though that nce should be 45.2.1.1.4a	subclause is in	the draft. Also
SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to "Insert af subclauses accordingly.	ter 45.2.3.35 (inserted by .	" and re-number		the reference in '	ALB to 45.2.1.1.4 black and mal and make it a link. (Would this b		
Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT.	s W		Proposed	Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		,
			Chang	ge as suggested.			

Also change LLB to RLB in this and 4 other instances.

Comment ID # 419

Page 95 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:31 AM

Draft 3.0 Commer	nts	IEEE P802	3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet com	ments		Sponsor ballot
<i>Cl</i> 45 SC 45.5.3 Anslow, Peter	.2 P86 Nortel Networks	L 13	# 420	<i>Cl</i> 45 Anslow, P	SC 45.5.3.3	P87 Nortel Networks	L16	# 423
Comment Type E In item *FEC-R, "Im R FEC"	Comment Status D plementation of 10GBASE-R FEC	should be "Imp	lementation of BASE-	Comment In MM Suggeste	123 the PMA/PMI	Comment Status A D type is selected using bits 5:0 r	not 4:0	
SuggestedRemedy Change "Implement	ation of 10GBASE-R FEC" to "Imp	lementation of E	BASE-R FEC"	00	ge "PMA/PMD typ	be is selected using bits 4:0" to "	PMA/PMD ty	be is selected using
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE.			Response ACCE		Response Status C		
Strikethrough "10G'				<i>Cl</i> 45 Anslow, P	SC 45.5.3.3	P87 Nortel Networks	L 22	# 424
Cl 45 SC 45.5.3 Anslow, Peter	.2 P86 Nortel Networks	L 28	# 421	Comment		Comment Status D		
Comment Type T The PICS has entrie SuggestedRemedy Add a PICS entry fo	Comment Status A es for MMD 8 through 10. What abo or MMD 11	out MMD 11?		shoul Suggeste	d never be used b	rows and also to conform to the s because they can be misconstrue " to "to bits 10:1"		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			'	Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
C/ 45 SC 45.5.3 Anslow, Peter	.3 P87 Nortel Networks	L 3	# 422	Note	that base text is "	1 - 4"		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			C/ 45	SC 45.5.3.7	P 90	L 9	# 425
•••	1M19a through MM19d should be 4	5.2.1.1.4a and i	it is bit 1 not 0.	Anslow, P		Nortel Networks		
SuggestedRemedy Change the subclau from "when bit 0 is s "PMA transmit data	use to 45.2.1.1.4a for MM19a throu set to a one" to "when bit 1 is set to is returned on receive path when it	gh MM19d. Also a one" and cha n remote loopba	o change MM19a Inge MM19b from		base document l " but this register er	Comment Status A RM35 is "Writes to 10GBASE-R has been re-named to "BASE-R		
	transmit path when in remote loopt	ack"		00	,	5 with the correct register name.		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCE		Response Status C		

Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet comments	Sponsor ballot
CI 45 SC 45.5.3.7 P 90 L 10 # 426 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Image: Comparison of the second seco	Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.7 P 90 L 46 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	# 429
Comment Type E Comment Status D The name of the "BASE-R PCS and 10GBASE-T PCS status" registers is wrong in 3 places SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type T Comment Status A RM50b says "Register bit 3.44.15 set to 1" but bit 3.44.15 is part of the to Table 45-96a	e counter according
In RM36, RM37 and RM38 correct the name of the register to be "BASE-R PCS and 10GBASE-T PCS status" 1 or 2 registers. (3 places)	SuggestedRemedy Remove RM50b	
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
Change to "BASE-R and 10GBASE-T PCS"	C/ 45 SC 45.5.3.7 P 91 L 3 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	# 430
Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.7 P90 L 31 # 427	Comment Type E Comment Status D	
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status A RM42 says "BER counter holds at all ones at overflow" but this is only true if the BER high	RM50f through RM50j concern the Errored blocks high order counter, should be 45.2.3.16b rather than 45.2.3.16a	so the subclause
order counter, 3.44 (see 45.2.3.16a) is not implemented. Also applies to RM43	SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause for RM50f through RM50j to 45.2.3.16b	
SuggestedRemedy Change "XCR:M" to "CR:M". Make the same change to RM43 for the Errored Blocks counter.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
Response Response Status C		# 404
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.7 P 91 L 47 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	# 431
Because the BER and errored blocks high order counters are optional, this will become !RM50a:M and !RM50f:M respectively.	Comment Type T Comment Status A RM52I says "Counters reset on read to 3.80 through 3.89 or PCS rese	st" but the BID error
CI 45 SC 45.5.3.7 P90 L44 # 428	counters are 3.90 through 3.109	
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	SuggestedRemedy	
Comment Type T Comment Status A RM50a is shown as XCR:O but implementing the BER high order counter is mandatory for 40/100G (45.2.3.16a)	Change "read to 3.80 through 3.89 or" to "read to 3.90 through 3.109 case "L" is difficult to distinguish from the number "1" so consider cha (miss out this letter).	
SuggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C	
Change *XCR on Page 89, line 20 to be "Implementation of 40/100GBASE-R PCS" only. Remove "10CR:M"	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Call out both CR: and XCR: where currently we have XCR: In RM50a and RM50f make the Status CR:O XCR:M	Change as suggested. Change reference to "RM52m"	
Response Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
For RM50a and RM50f, change to CR:O, 40CR:M, 100CR:M		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 431

Page 97 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:31 AM

	6	IEEE P802	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s	Ethernet com	ments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 73 SC 73 Anslow, Peter	P 99 Nortel Networks	L1	# 432	Cl 73 Anslow, Pe	SC 73.6.4	P101 Nortel Network	L 7 (S	# 435
Comment Type E The clause title is diffe	Comment Status D erent from the base standard, but	this is not show	wn.	<i>Comment</i> The ch	51	Comment Status D says Table 73-4 but the table	heading is 73-2	
	ion before the clause title, show " sembly" in underline font. <i>Response Status</i> W Г.	Ethernet" in str	ikethrough and show	Proposed	e the title of the	Response Status W		
CI 73 SC 73.3 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E "10GBASE-KR" was c with underline font.	P99 Nortel Networks Comment Status D on the list of PHYs in the base doo	L 53 cument so this	# 433		<i>Type</i> E diting instruction '	P101 Nortel Network Comment Status D "Insert extra paragraph and ch editing instructions - one for e	nange last senten	# 436
SuggestedRemedy Show "10GBASE-KR" Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			insert Proposed	IRemedy le editing instruct new editing instru	ion to "Insert extra paragraph uction "Change last paragraph Response Status W	as second to last	paragraph" and

CI 74 SC 74.5.1 P 111 L 29 # 438 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Image: Comparison of the second secon	C/ 74 SC 74.8 P 121 L 6 # 441 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Nortel Networks Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Comment Type E Comment Status D Clause 49 is not in the draft so it should be shown blue	Comment Type E Comment Status D The change instruction says Table 74-2 but the table heading is 74-1
SuggestedRemedy Make the reference to clause 49 dark blue	SuggestedRemedy Change the title of the table to be 74-2
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
C/ 74 SC 74.5.1.1.2 P 111 L 50 # 439 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Anslow, Peter Anslow, Peter </td <td>C/ 74 SC 74.8 P121 L 26 # 442 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Image: Comparison of the second se</td>	C/ 74 SC 74.8 P121 L 26 # 442 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Image: Comparison of the second se
Comment Type E Comment Status D The editing instruction for 74.5 is "Replace" and therefore changes with respect to the base document are not shown. D	Comment Type E Comment Status D The "i" in FEC_corrected_blocks_counter_i is a variable, so it should be in italic font. Also applies to FEC_uncorrected_blocks_counter_i . Also in 74.8.4.1 and 74.8.4.2
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Remove "speed" and show "rate" in normal font.	Change the "i" to italic in both variables. Also applies to 74.8.4.1 and 74.8.4.2
	Change the "i" to italic in both variables. Also applies to 74.8.4.1 and 74.8.4.2 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 74 SC 74.7.3 P114 L29 # 440	Proposed Response Response Status W
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 74 SC 74.7.3 P114 L29 # 440 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. also see comment 895 C/ 74 SC 74.11 P124 L2 # 443
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 74 SC 74.7.3 P114 L29 # 440 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. also see comment 895 Cl 74 SC 74.11 P124 L2 # 443 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status D There are two other places on the first page of the PICS that the clause title appears and
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. P114 L 29 # 440 Cl 74 SC 74.7.3 P114 L 29 # 440 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Porter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status D The editing instruction is "Delete the last redundant paragraph of 74.7.3:". Does this mean that there are other redundant paragraphs that should not be deleted?	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. also see comment 895 Cl 74 SC 74.11 P124 L2 # 443 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status D There are two other places on the first page of the PICS that the clause title appears and therefore needs to be changed. SC
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 74 SC 74.7.3 P114 L29 # 440 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status D The editing instruction is "Delete the last redundant paragraph of 74.7.3:". Does this mean that there are other redundant paragraphs that should not be deleted? SuggestedRemedy Change editing instruction to "Delete the last paragraph of 74.7.3 as it is redundant:"	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. also see comment 895 Cl 74 SC 74.11 P124 L2 # 443 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status D There are two other places on the first page of the PICS that the clause title appears and
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. P114 L29 # 440 Cl 74 SC 74.7.3 P114 L29 # 440 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Pomment Type E Comment Status D The editing instruction is "Delete the last redundant paragraph of 74.7.3:". Does this mean that there are other redundant paragraphs that should not be deleted? SuggestedRemedy Change editing instruction to "Delete the last paragraph of 74.7.3 as it is redundant:" Proposed Response Response Status W	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. also see comment 895 Cl 74 SC 74.11 P124 L2 # 443 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks # 443 Comment Type E Comment Status D There are two other places on the first page of the PICS that the clause title appears and therefore needs to be changed. SuggestedRemedy Show the changes to the clause title in all three places on the first page of the PICS that it

Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar					d 100Gb/s Ethernet comments				
C/ 74 SC 74.11.1 Anslow, Peter	P124 Nortel Networks	L 20	# 444	<i>Cl</i> 81 Anslow, P	SC 81.1.4 eter	P142 Nortel Networks	L 48	# 447	
Comment Type E The references in the sub dark blue.	Comment Status D clause and value/comment co	olumns should e	either be links or in	Comment The N Suggestee	laximum (ns) va	Comment Status A lues in Table 80-3 should match	the values in Ta	ble 81-1	
SuggestedRemedy Change the references fo dark blue	r 74.8.2, 74.8.3, 74.8.3.1 in to	links and make	9 74.8.4, 51, 74.7.4.1	Since 246" t	the exact values o "245.76"	s are fairly simple, change "tilde 4	410" to "409.6" a	and change "tilde	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Response ACCE		Response Status C			
C/ 80 SC 80.4 Anslow, Peter	P134 Nortel Networks	L 51	# 445	C/ 81 Anslow, P Comment		P 159 Nortel Networks Comment Status D	L 2	# 448	
Comment Type E Since P802.3bb was appr SuggestedRemedy Remove Editor's note if pe	Comment Status D roved in December 2009 can to possible.	his Editors' not	e be removed?	Suggestee Chang	dRemedy ge "and Media Ir	81.4 should contain the clause 8 dependent Interface (XLGMII/CC and 100 Gb/s operation"		ledia Independent	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W PRINCIPLE.			•	Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			
Detete the Editor's note.	P135	L5	# 446	<i>Cl</i> 81 Anslow, P	SC 81.4.3 eter	P160 Nortel Networks	L12	# 449	
Anslow, Peter <i>Comment Type</i> T Comment 275 against D 2	Comment Status A 2.1 increased the delay for the ta. However the Maximum in	MAC Control/I		Media Suggestee Chang	tle of 81.4.3 is "F Independent In <i>dRemedy</i> ge title to "PICS	Comment Status D PICS proforma Tables for Recond terface" which is incorrect.		-	
0	oit times for 40G MAC, RS, ar Response Status C	nd MAC Contro	to 16384	Proposed	ace for 40 Gb/s a <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEPT	and 100 Gb/s operation" Response Status W			

Draft 3.0 Comments	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments				Sponsor ballot		
C/ 81 SC 81.4.3.1 Anslow, Peter	P160 Nortel Networks	L 24	# 450	<i>Cl</i> 82 Anslow, Pe	SC 82.2.3.3	P172 Nortel Networks	L33	# 453
Comment Type T Subclause 81.1.4 says "round-trip delay" is not SuggestedRemedy Change "round-trip dela Response ACCEPT.		lays at one er	nd of the link" so	Suggested Chang 40GBA PCS fr	ICS" is a sublaye Remedy e "The mapping ASE-R PCS bloc om being mappe	Comment Status A er and hence cannot be "mappe of 40GBASE-R PCS into OPU3 ks into OPU3 specified". Also c ed" to "may prevent 40GBASE-I been added to the Bibliography Response Status C	3 specified" to hange "may R PCS block	prevent 40GBASE-R s from being mapped".
Cl 82 SC 82.1.4.1 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E This says "The PMA or SuggestedRemedy Change "defined in 83. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W	L 31 I in 83.2" but i	# 451	TxB<1 This bi anothe	SC 82.2.3.2 eter <i>Type</i> T ire 82-3 the bits 31> and similarly t numbering wou	P173 Nortel Networks Comment Status A of inst:IS_UNITDATA_1.reques y for inst:IS_UNITDATA_3.requ uld be appropriate for a serial ini priate where the lanes are sent	t are shown a est, inst:IS_L terface where	JNITDATA_19.request. e one block is sent after
and RXC <n> SuggestedRemedy</n>	P167 Nortel Networks Comment Status D ad "RXCn" is different from that u s <n> and "RXCn" to RXC<n> Response Status W</n></n>	L 48 used elsewher	# 452	Response ACCEI Renum CI 82 Anslow, Pe Comment	nber all blocks to PT IN PRINCIPL aber only figure 8 SC 82.2.3.2 eter <i>Type</i> E 82-3 appears or	be from TxB<0> to TxB<65> in Response Status C E. 32-4, 82-3 is ok as is and correla P 173 Nortel Networks Comment Status D n Page 173 after both Figures 8	ates with sec	tion 82.2.9. # <mark>455</mark>

PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dupe of #827.

Comment ID # 455

Page 101 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:31 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P802.3	3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet com	ments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 82 SC 82.2.6 Anslow, Peter	P176 Nortel Networks	L 5	# 456	<i>Cl</i> 82 Anslow, P	SC 82.2.14 Peter	P181 Nortel Networks	L 12	# 459
Comment Type E This references just Anr	Comment Status D nex 83A for XLAUI/CAUI			<i>Comment</i> There	51	Comment Status A counter registers 3.90 through 3.	.109	
SuggestedRemedy Change "(see Annex 83	A)" to "(see Annex 83A and Anr	nex 83B)"		Suggeste Chan	-) through 3.99)" to "(registers 3.9	00 through 3.109)"
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W				EPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E. gister changes to 3.200-3.219.		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.7 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E	P 176 Nortel Networks Comment Status D	L 48	# 457	C/ 82 Anslow, P	SC 82.2.14 Peter	P181 Nortel Networks	L14	# 460
In Figure 82-7 the lane r	narkers are numbered from 0 to contrast to Figure 82-8 where t		S lanes are	Comment BIP3	<i>Type</i> E should have a sub	Comment Status D oscripted "3"		
	ne highest numbered PCS lane	from "PCS Lane	n" to "PCS Lane n-	Suggeste Chan	dRemedy ge the 3 in BIP3 to	o be a subscript.		
1" Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dupe of #259.	Response Status W			PROF	Response POSED ACCEPT. cate of #105	Response Status W		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.10 Anslow, Peter	P180 Nortel Networks	L12	# 458					
Comment Type E This says "is from the B 3.42.3 is a bit, not a regi	Comment Status D ASE-R PCS test-pattern control ister.	register (registe	r 3.42.3)". But					
SuggestedRemedy Change "(register 3.42.3	3)" to "(bit 3.42.3)". Make the eq	uivalent change	on Page 181 line 44					
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W							

C/ 82 SC 82.2.17 P181 L 38 # 461 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.2 P 182 L 30 # 463 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Comment Type T Comment Status A This says "the scrambled idle test-pattern checker observes the output from the descrambler", but But according to Figure 82-4, the sync bits bypass the descrambler. So, are the sync bits checked for errors or not? To make this checker and the BIP checker cover the same bits we should explicitly include the sync bits. Also the relationship between this count and BER is not obvious. See associated presentation anslow_04_0110. SuggestedRemedy Change "the scrambled idle test-pattern checker observes the output from the descrambler. When the output of the descrambler is the all idle pattern, a match is detected." to "the scrambled idle test-pattern checker observes the sync header and the output from the descrambler. When the sync header and the output of the descrambler, the all idle pattern, a match is detected." add at the end of this paragraph, "Because of the error multiplication characteristics of the descrambler, the incoming bit error ratio can be estimated by dividing the 66-bit block error ratio by a factor of 124." Also, add at the end of 82.2.14: "The incoming bit error ratio can be estimated by dividing the 108-bit block error ratio by a factor of 1081 344."	Comment Type E Comment Status D In "am_lock <x>" and also "where x=0:3 for 40GBASE-R and x=0:19 for 100GBASE-R" x is a variable and so should be in italic font. Also applies to other instances of <x>. Also, in "am_lock<x>" the font of "<x>" is Arial 8 pt (Should be Times New Roman 10 pt). SuggestedRemedy show "x" in italic font. 8 instances on this page, 4 instances in Table 82-7, 8 instances in Figure 82-10, 7 instances in Figure 82-11, use correct base font for "<x>" in "am_lock<x>" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.4 P185 L 25 # 464 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status A This is now a 22 bit counter (see response to comment 217 against D 2.2). Note that there Source of the status to comment 217 against D 2.2). Note that there</x></x></x></x></x></x>
Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See anslow_04_0110. C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.1 P182 L 18 # 462 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	is another comment to correct Table 45-96a SuggestedRemedy Change "A 20-bit counter that counts" to "A 22-bit counter that counts" and change "and 3.44.13:0" to "and 3.44.15:0" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Dupe of #106
Comment Type E Comment Status D the other instances of "Local Fault ordered set" in this subclause have an underscore between "ordered" and "set" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Local Fault ordered set" to "Local Fault ordered_set" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. V	Cl 82 SC 82.2.18.2.4 P185 L 31 # 465 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Mortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status A This is now a 22 bit counter (see 45.2.3.16b). Note that there is another comment to correct Table 82-7 SuggestedRemedy Change "8-bit counter." to "A 22-bit counter." and change "MDIO register bits 3.33.7:0." to "MDIO register bits 3.33.7:0 and 3.45.13:0" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.

C/ 82 SC 82 Anslow, Peter	2.3.1	P187 Nortel Networl	L 13 <s< th=""><th># 466</th><th><i>Cl</i> 82 Anslow, P</th><th>SC 82.4</th><th>P188 Nortel Networ</th><th>L 3 ks</th><th># 468</th></s<>	# 466	<i>Cl</i> 82 Anslow, P	SC 82.4	P188 Nortel Networ	L 3 ks	# 468
	he MDIO regis	mment Status A sters and variables in T	ables 82-6 and	82-7 do not match	registe	ays "The PCS ser is set to a log	Comment Status A shall be placed in Loopback mo ic one.", which is different from	n the style used	l in subclause 82.2.17
In Table 82-7: Change the nar places) Change "10/40, 10GBASE-T re Change "10/40, T PCS high BE Change "Multi-I PCS alignment Change "Multi-I PCS alignment Change "Multi-I alignment statu Change "10/40, 10GBASE-T PC Change "BIP et	ol register 1" to me of register /100GBASE-R ceive link statu /100GBASE-R R" ane BASE-R F status 1 and 2 ane BASE-R F status 3 and 4 ane BASE-R F s1 register" /100GBASE-R CS status 2 reg rror counters"	and 10GBASE-T PCS PCS alignment status r 2 registers" PCS alignment status r	0GBASE-T PC ive link status" t high BER" to " egister 1 and 2" egister 3 and 4" egister 1" to "M status 2 register ane x" (MDIO st	o "BASE-R and BASE-R and 10GBASE- to "Multi-lane BASE-R to "Multi-lane BASE-R ulti-lane BASE-R PCS er" to "BASE-R and atus variable column)	which Suggested Chang Loopb a one. Response ACCE C/ 82 Anslow, Pe Comment The lin the us Suggested Chang Proposed	is more helpful IRemedy le to "If a Claus ack mode when " PT. SC 82.7 eter Type E ne thicknesses ual style. (Thick IRemedy le line thickness	(even though Table 82.6 provi the 45 MDIO is implemented, the in the Loopback bit from the PC <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 195 Nortel Networ <i>Comment Status</i> D of the Tables in the PICS secti is round the outer edge and bet ses per the usual style. <i>Response Status</i> W	des this inform en the PCS sha S control 1 reg <i>L</i> 1 ks on of clause 82	ation). all be placed in ister (bit 3.0.14) is set to # 469 2 are not according to
Response ACCEPT IN PF Implement even comment #748	Res RINCIPLE. rything except	o "3.90 through 3.109" <i>ponse Status</i> C the last statement, the o "3.200 through 3.219	_	r has been changed by		<i>Type</i> E le of subclause	P195 Nortel Networ Comment Status D 82.7 should contain the clause		# 470
The ber_count The errored_blo SuggestedRemedy	T Coluses bits 13:8 bck_count use	P187 Nortel Netword mment Status A of register 3.33, but als s bits 7:0 of register 3.3 e existing rows to reflect	so bits 0:15 of m 33, but also bits	0:13 of register 3.45	"Physi the sa Proposed	e "Physical Co cal Coding Sub me change on	Response Status W		
Response ACCEPT.		ponse Status C							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 82 SC 82.7.3 P 196 L 11 # 471 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	Cl 83 SC 83.5.1 P 207 L 45 # 474 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A
The format of "O.1" is explained in 21.6.2: "O. <n> optional field/function, but at least one the group of options labeled by the same numeral <n> is required". But in this case, then is only one PICS entry labelled with "O.1" so it doesn't make sense. SuggestedRemedy</n></n>	e of This says "If the interface between the PMA client and the PMA is physically instantiated
Either change another PICS entry to "0.1" or make this one "0"	SuggestedRemedy
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Keep the PMA as is (0.1), but add a FEC entry also as 0.1.	Change "is physically instantiated (XLAUI/CAUI), the PMA shall" to "is physically instantiated as XLAUI/CAUI, the PMA shall". Also on line 47 change "physically instantiate (XLAUI/CAUI or nPPI), the PMA shall" to "physically instantiated as XLAUI/CAUI or nPPI, the PMA shall".
C/ 82 SC 82.7.6.1 P 199 L 7 # 472 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C
Comment Type T Comment Status A	C/ 83 SC 83.5.2 P208 L17 # 475
PICS entries SM1 and SM2 are both shown as "M" which implies that both 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R must be implemented. Also applies to SM4, SM5, SM8, SM9.	
uggestedRemedy Change the PICS by adding 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R as options (*PCS40, *PCS to match the PMA format) in the "Major capabilities/options" table (see 88.12.3 *LR4, *E	
for example). Then make PICS entries that are 40GBASE-R specific start with "PCS40:" and those for 100GBASE-R start with "PCS100:". e.g. SM1 would be PCS40:M. Applies SM1, SM2, SM4, SM5, SM8, SM9. Response Response Status C	Change "output lanes If bit" to "output lanes. If bit"
for example). Then make PICS entries that are 40GBASE-R specific start with "PCS40:" and those for 100GBASE-R start with "PCS100:". e.g. SM1 would be PCS40:M. Applies SM1, SM2, SM4, SM5, SM8, SM9.	Change "output lanes.If bit" to "output lanes. If bit" s to Proposed Response Response Status W
for example). Then make PICS entries that are 40GBASE-R specific start with "PCS40:" and those for 100GBASE-R start with "PCS100:". e.g. SM1 would be PCS40:M. Applies SM1, SM2, SM4, SM5, SM8, SM9. esponse Response Status C ACCEPT.	Change "output lanes.If bit" to "output lanes. If bit" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
for example). Then make PICS entries that are 40GBASE-R specific start with "PCS40:" and those for 100GBASE-R start with "PCS100:". e.g. SM1 would be PCS40:M. Applies SM1, SM2, SM4, SM5, SM8, SM9. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	" Change "output lanes. If bit" to "output lanes. If bit" " Change "output lanes. If bit" Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 83 SC 83.5.2 P209 L 26 # 476
for example). Then make PICS entries that are 40GBASE-R specific start with "PCS40:" and those for 100GBASE-R start with "PCS100:". e.g. SM1 would be PCS40:M. Applies SM1, SM2, SM4, SM5, SM8, SM9. <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. 83 SC 83.1.1 P201 L20 # 473	" Change "output lanes. If bit" to "output lanes. If bit" Sto Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT. P209 L26 # 476 C/ 83 SC 83.5.2 P209 L26 # 476 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status A In Figure 83-6, the second output lane from the left contains bits 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3, but the preceding states have bits 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5 A
for example). Then make PICS entries that are 40GBASE-R specific start with "PCS40:" and those for 100GBASE-R start with "PCS100:". e.g. SM1 would be PCS40:M. Applies SM1, SM2, SM4, SM5, SM8, SM9. esponse Response Status C ACCEPT. 7 83 SC 83.1.1 P 201 L 20 # 473 nslow, Peter Nortel Networks comment Type T Comment Status A This says "The physical instantiation of the PMD service interfaces for 40GBASE-SR4 a	The second output lanes. If bit to "output lanes. If bit" Change "output lanes. If bit" Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 83 SC 83.5.2 P209 L26 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Status A In Figure 83-6, the second output lane from the left contains bits 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3, but the preceding stages have bits 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5 SuggestedRemedy In Figure 83-6, in the second output lane from the left change 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3, to 4.3, 4.4
for example). Then make PICS entries that are 40GBASE-R specific start with "PCS40:" and those for 100GBASE-R start with "PCS100:". e.g. SM1 would be PCS40:M. Applies SM1, SM2, SM4, SM5, SM8, SM9. <i>Tesponse Response Status</i> C ACCEPT. 83 SC 83.1.1 P 201 L 20 # 473 nslow, Peter Nortel Networks <i>Tomment Type</i> T <i>Comment Status</i> A This says "The physical instantiation of the PMD service interfaces for 40GBASE-SR4 a 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs, known as XLPPI and CPPI, are defined in Annex 86A." But, XLPPI and CPPI are optional.	" Change "output lanes.If bit" to "output lanes. If bit" Sto Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. P209 L 26 # 476 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Proment Type T Comment Status A In Figure 83-6, the second output lane from the left contains bits 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3, but the preceding stages have bits 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5 SuggestedRemedy In Figure 83-6, in the second output lane from the left change 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3, to 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5
for example). Then make PICS entries that are 40GBASE-R specific start with "PCS40:" and those for 100GBASE-R start with "PCS100:". e.g. SM1 would be PCS40:M. Applies SM1, SM2, SM4, SM5, SM8, SM9. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. 83 SC 83.1.1 P201 L20 # 473 mslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status A This says "The physical instantiation of the PMD service interfaces for 40GBASE-SR4 a 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs, known as XLPPI and CPPI, are defined in Annex 86A." But,	" Change "output lanes.If bit" to "output lanes. If bit" Sto Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT. P209 L26 # 476 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Percent Status Anslow, Peter Comment Type T Comment Status A In Figure 83-6, the second output lane from the left contains bits 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3, but the preceding stages have bits 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5 SuggestedRemedy In Figure 83-6, in the second output lane from the left change 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3, to 4.3, 4.4

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 105 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:31 AM

Draft 3.0 Comm	ents		IEEE P80	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet con	nments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 83 SC 83.4 Anslow, Peter	5.4	P211 Nortel Networks	L 21	# 477	<i>Cl</i> 83 Anslow, P	SC 83.5.8	P212 Nortel Networks	L 28	# 480
<i>Comment Type</i> T The Maximum (n		nt Status A 80-3 should match	the values in T	able 83-1	Comment This s		Comment Status A le through register 1.8.0". But 1.8	3.0 is a bit, n	ot a register.
SuggestedRemedy					Suggeste	dRemedy			
to 92.16	-		104" to "102.4"	and change "tilde 92"	to "(b		ble through bit 1.8.0". Also chang 2.1.1.4)." on line 31. Make equival		
Response ACCEPT.	Response	e Status C			Response ACCE)	Response Status C		
C/ 83 SC 83.4 Anslow, Peter	5.6	P 212 Nortel Networks	L 2	# 478	<i>Cl</i> 83 Anslow, P	SC 83.5.10	P 213 Nortel Networks	L10	# 481
physical instantia SR10 PMDs" but		Parallel Physical li ervice interface for are optional.			1.307	says "accessible is called the Te	Comment Status A through the PRBS pattern testing st pattern ability register.	; control and	status (", but register
physical instantia SR10 PMDs" but SuggestedRemedy	tion of the PMD se XLPPI and CPPI	ervice interface for a are optional.	40GBASE-SR4	and 100GBASE-		is called the Te		control and	status (", but register
Change "(XLPPI physical instantia Response	tion of "	ysical instantiation	of" to "(XLPPI a	and CPPI), an optional	throug	gh the Test patte	nrough the PRBS pattern testing of ern ability register (" comment proposing to change th		·
ACCEPT.	Response				Response ACCE		Response Status C	-	
C/ 83 SC 83. Anslow, Peter	5.7	P212 Nortel Networks	L11	# 479	<i>Cl</i> 83 Anslow, P	SC 83.5.10	P213 Nortel Networks	L 22	# 482
Comment Type E		t Status D			Comment		Comment Status A		
SuggestedRemedy Change to "(whe	re the interface is	y instantiated)" doe		96	The v "PRB instar	ariables "PRBS_ S_TX_check_en	_TX_gen_enable", "PRBS_RX_ge able", "PRBS_RX_check_enable t match the variable names in Tab	" used on pa	
Proposed Response	,	e Status W			_ Suggeste	•			
	CEPT IN PRINCIP	t removed by comr	nent #290		Since	the variables us bles in Table 83-	ed elsewhere in the clause are "F 2 to match those used in the text. a is missing from the variable nam	Also, on Pag	
					Response ACCE		Response Status C		

Draft 3.0 Comments	3	IEEE P80	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s	Ethernet com	iments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 83 SC 83.5.10 Anslow, Peter	P 214 Nortel Networks	L 6	# 483	<i>Cl</i> 83 Anslow, I	SC 83.5.10 Peter	P 214 Nortel Networks	L 38	# 485
Ln9_PRBS_RX_test_	Comment Status D error counters Ln0_PRBS_RX_tes error_counter in count, per lane, e _RX_test_error_counter"			name	says "are accessi	Comment Status A ble through square wave testing testing control and status" regis		
SuggestedRemedy Delete "in"					edRemedy nge to "are access	sible through the square wave te	sting control	and status register"
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W			Respons ACC	e EPT.	Response Status C		
C/ 83 SC 83.5.10	P214	L 36	# 484	Note	- reconcile with a	ny register name/number chang	e from Hugh	
Anslow, Peter	Nortel Networks			CI 83	SC 83.5.10	P 214	L 42	# 486
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Anslow, I	Peter	Nortel Networks		
Register 1.307 is the 1.307.12	'Test pattern ability" register. Also	o, the "Square	wave test ability" bit is	Commen	t Type T	Comment Status A		
"is accessible through	e through the square wave testing the Test pattern ability register, b ave test ability bit 1.307.12" Response Status C			from to ha test p be P	the bit multiplexin we scrambled idle pattern is disabled RBS31 or PRBS9	which square wave is not enabled of operations described in 83.5.2 or PRBS31 on the other lanes of for all lanes", the behaviour is of and may not be "normal opera imilar comment submitted again	2." But in test a. Also, "when determined b tion performi	ting, we want to be able n transmit square wave y other registers (may
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	•			Suggeste	edRemedy	-		
Change "is accessible through to:	the square wave testing pattern the Square wave test ability bit 1		1.307.15"	norm patte disat	al data resulting f rns as determined bled for all lanes, t ribed in 83.5.2 or	entences to "Lanes for which squ from the bit multiplexing operation d by other registers. When trans the PMA will perform normal oper transmit test patterns as determ <i>Response Status</i> C	ons described mit square w eration perfor	d in 83.5.2 or test vave test pattern is ming bit multiplexing as
Per comment 743, rec	gister 1.307 changes to 1.1500.				EPT.	Response Status		
				<i>Cl</i> 83 Anslow, I	SC 83.6 Peter	P 215 Nortel Networks	L 5	# 487
				Commen Table	51	Comment Status D are explained here but Table 83	-4 is not	
				•••	edRemedy "Mapping of MDIC	Counter to PMA counters is sh	own in Table	834."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 487

Page 107 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:31 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments	3	IEEE P802	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s	Ethernet cor	nments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 83 SC 83.6 Anslow, Peter	P 215 Nortel Networks	L14	# 488	C/ 83 Anslow, F	SC 83.6 Peter	P216 Nortel Networks	L 32	# 491
Comment Type T The column for "PMA/ names. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A PMD register name" in Table 83-2	2 does not con	tain the register	name	83-4. In the col	Comment Status D umn for "MDIO status variable" th nd these are counters rather than IA/PMD register name" the names	status varia	bles.
•• •	MD control 1" for register 1.0, "PR ting control" for 1.308.	BS pattern tes	ting control" for 1.309	Suggeste Chan	-	Error counter, lane x" and change	the columr	heading to "MDIO
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C					ames to "PRBS Tx pattern testing ounter, lane x"	g error coun	ter, lane x" or "PRBS Rx
C/ 83 SC 83.6 Anslow, Peter	P215 Nortel Networks	L 21	# 489	'	Response POSED ACCEP	Response Status W T.		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			C/ 83	SC 83.7	P 218	L 2	# 492
	Imn for "MDIO status variable" TX		etc. don't match the	Anslow, F	eter	Nortel Networks		
SuggestedRemedy Change TX to Tx (2 pl	ad these are primarily control varia		the column heading	Comment The ti Suggeste	tle of subclause	Comment Status D 83.7 should contain the clause 83	3 title.	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT				Chan 100G 100G	ge "sublayer, 40 BASE-R" Also,	GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R" to at line 6 change "PMA Interface s hysical Medium Attachment (PMA	ublayer, 400	BASE-R and
Change the entry in th "MDIO variable"	e column heading of Table 83-2 f	rom "MDIO sta	atus variable" to	Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
	RX to Rx throughout clauses 83 a	ind 85.		PRO	POSED ACCEP	, T IN PRINCIPLE.		
Note that the original of implementing commer	change to TX RX had been an uni nt #285 of D2.1.	ntended conse	equence of			ges the title of clause 83 from "40 OGBASE-R", so the title on line 2		
C/ 83 SC 83.6 Anslow, Peter	P 216 Nortel Networks	L16	# 490			IA Interface sublayer, 40GBASE-I PMA) sublayer, type 40GBASER		
Comment Type E Table 83-3. In the colu names in clause 45.	Comment Status D Imn for "MDIO status variable" TX	Cetc. and RX e	etc. don't match the					
SuggestedRemedy Change TX to Tx (2 pl	aces) and change RX to Rx (2 pla	aces).						
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W							

See #489

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 108 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:31 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P802	.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	l 100Gb/s E	Etherne	t com	ments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 83 SC 83.7.3 Anslow, Peter	P219 Nortel Networks	L 5	# 493	<i>CI</i> 83 Anslow, Pe	SC 83 ter	.7.3	P220 Nortel Networks	L 24	# 496
this PICS to apply. Use field/function, but at lear required".	Comment Status A A100 are shown as optional, but e the format of "O.1" as explained ast one of the group of options la	d in 21.6.2: "O.<	n> optional	instanti Hence as som	m "PPI" ti ated PMI this is ina thing ot	D servio appropr	Comment Status A us column contains "SP2SP5:M ce interface" not "PMD service ir iate since the PMD service inter n nPPI.	terface inst	tantiated as nPPI".
SuggestedRemedy Show them both as O: Response ACCEPT.	1 Response Status C			or crea Response	SP2SP5 i	o be "Pl	correctly to define skew requirer MD service interface instantiated <i>Response Status</i> C E.	,	er remove this PICS item
C/ 83 SC 83.7.3 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E	P 219 Nortel Networks Comment Status D	L 5	# 494	*PPI F	MD servi	ice inte	ge 220 below SP2SP5: rface instantiated as nPPI O Y change the name of PICS "PPI		
	subclause column should be links STREAM, LANES_DOWNSTRE Response Status W			0,	25 should SC 83	remain	tus of this PICS from "SP2SP5: a as is since S4-S6 on the follow P221 Nortel Networks		
C/ 83 SC 83.7.3 Anslow, Peter	P219 Nortel Networks	L 36	# 495	Comment T Six pla symbol	ces in the	E e Value	Comment Status D /Comment column use "<=" rath	er than the	less than or equal to
Comment Type T The skew requirement SuggestedRemedy Change subclause to 8 Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status C			Proposed F	e "<=" wi	9	ess than or equal to symbol (Ctr <i>Response Status</i> W	-q #)	

Overtaken by events. This particular line in the PICS is deleted by comment #623.

	SC 84.1	P 223	L 20	# 498		nange 86-1 to more c
Anslow, Pete	r	Nortel Networ	'ks		clauses so that Tabl	e 86-2 becomes 86-7
PCS. Als	84-1, the order o applies to cla	Comment Status A of clauses is confusing as 2 ause 85 Table 85-1	KLAUI is shown I	petween XLGMII and	with the multimode f	es the 40GBASE-SR4
		e order that they appear in th 1	e stack in Figure	e 84-1. Do the	MDI and optionally t	appropriate PMA as o the management fu ce defined in Clause
Response		Response Status C				
ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	•				xcept clause 86 to be as the xxx PMD [for 8
		d as a technical comment.			forming a complete shown in Table 8x-1	hysical Layer, a PN , to the medium throus ccessible through the
RS	order in Table	984-1:			equivalent."	
XLGMII PCS FEC PMA					appropriate; making	ne of the relevant PM the first sentences: s the 40GBASE-KR4
XLAUI					This slaves energifie	
AN					MDI) and the baseb	s the 40GBASE-CR4 and medium.
Make the	equivalent alt	eration to Table 85-1			This clause specifie	s the 40GBASE-LR4
Remove	the row for Cla	use 86 from Table 86-2 and	re-format as pe	r Table 85-1		s the 100GBASE-LR
		be changed because a PHY			with the single-mode	e fiber medium.
Physical "In order	Layer does. Fo to form a com	or a similar reason it would b plete PHY" in 84.1.	e an improveme	nt to change the text	C/ 84 SC 84.1 Anslow, Peter	No
	itle of Table 84 /sical Layer) c	I-1 from: lauses associated with the 4	0GBASE-KR4 P	MD	Comment Type E Clause 73 is no long	<i>Comment Stat</i> ger called "Auto-Nego
	Layer clauses	associated with the 40GBAS	SE-KR4 PMD		SuggestedRemedy	,
	itle of Table 88 Laver clauses	5-1 to: associated with the 40GBA	SE-CR4 and 100	GBASE-CR10 PMDs	Since the full title ma	ay be too long, chang is per Table 85-1. Sa
Change t	itle of Table 86				Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Statu PT.
-	itle of Table 87					

Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD

Change title of Table 88-1 to: Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4 PMDs

closely match that of the other P802.3ba PMD 5-1.

R4 PMD and the 100GBASE-SR10 PMD together en forming a complete Physical Layer, a PMD shall as shown in Table 86-1, to the medium through the t functions that are accessible through the se 45, or equivalent."

begin:

85-88: together with the yyy medium]. When PMD shall be connected to the appropriate PMA as rough the MDI and to the management functions he management interface defined in Clause 45, or

MD/s and yyy refers to the medium where A PMD.

R4 PMD and the 100GBASE-CR10 PMD (including

R4 PMD together with the single-mode fiber medium.

R4 PMD and the 100GBASE-ER4 PMD together

C/ 84	SC 84.1	P 223	L 26	# 499
Anslow, P	eter	Nortel Networks		

tatus D

gotiation for Backplane Ethernet"

nge "Auto-Negotiation for Backplane Ethernet" to Same issue on Page 232, line 12.

atus W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 499

Page 110 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:31 AM

Draft	3.0	Comments
-------	-----	----------

C/ 84 SC 84.1 Anslow, Peter	P 224 Nortel Networ	L 42 ks	# 500	<i>CI</i> 84 Anslow, P	SC 84.7.5 Peter	P 227 Nortel Netwo	L 50 rks	# 502
Comment Type T This says "IS_UNITDATA (2 places)	Comment Status A	be "PMD:IS_U	NITDATA_i.indication"		ighout the draft v	Comment Status D we have used n to denote the e. Same issue in correspond		
the same change in claus Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The commenter intended	i.indication" to "PMD:IS_U e 45, Page 237, line 9 <i>Response Status</i> C to say Clause 85 rather th ted and also in Clause 85,	an 45 in the su	ggested remedy.	PMD_ same Proposed PROF also s	ge "each PMD_s _signal_detect_i	3	id show both "i"'s	
Clause 45. Likewise, not Same issue in Tables 85- SuggestedRemedy In the MDIO variable colu change "Global PMD Rec "PMD signal detect x" to ' In the PMA/PMD register 1 register", change "Trans "Status x register" to "PM to "PMD receive signal detects"	mns, change "Transmit dis eive signal detect" to "Glo PMD receive signal detect name columns, change "C smit disable register" to "P A/PMD status x register", o etect register". Make equive	to not all match atch with the na sable x" to "PMI bal PMD receiv t x" Control 1 registe MD transmit dis change "Receiv	ames in Clause 45. D transmit disable x", e signal detect", change er" to PMA/PMD control sable register", change re signal detect register"	Cl 84 Anslow, P Comment This s speci voltag not as Suggeste Chan speci	SC 84.7.6 Peter # <i>Type</i> T says "and does n fied in Table 72-6 ge (max.)" and "E s clear as it shou <i>dRemedy</i> ge "and does no fied in Table 72-6 it voltage with TX e	P228 Nortel Netwo Comment Status A ot exceed the maximum diffe 5.". Since Table 72-6 contain Differential peak-to-peak outp Id be which limit applies. Sar t exceed the maximum differe 5." to "and does not exceed t disabled specified in Table 7 <i>Response Status</i> C	erential peak-to-p s both "Differenti ut voltage (max.) ne issue on line i ential peak-to-pe ne maximum diff	al peak-to-peak output with TX disabled" it is 23. ak output voltage erential peak-to-peak
Response ACCEPT. This comment also affect	Response Status C s Clause 85			Suggeste In "Th font. / Proposed	t Type E bles should be in dRemedy	_disable_i function (where i r 24 and 26 <i>Response Status</i> W		# 504

C/ 84 SC 84.7.8 P 228 L 38 # 505 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	C/ 84 SC 84.7.10 P 229 L 9 # 506 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Comment Type T Comment Status A This says "Control of the loopback function is specified in 45.2.1.1.4". But 45.2.1.1.4 is "PMA local loopback" not PMD loopback. Same issue in 85.7.8	Comment Type E Comment Status D The bit defined in 45.2.1.7.4 is called "Transmit fault". Also, 45.2.1.7.4 should be a link. Same issue in 85.7.10
SuggestedRemedy Either explain that the loopback function is in the co-located PMA or provide a separate control function. Also, 45.2.1.1.4 should be a link. Apply the same change in 85.7.8 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make 45.2.1.1.4 a link.	SuggestedRemedy Change "mapped to the PMD_transmit_fault bit" to "mapped to the Transmit fault bit". Also make 45.2.1.7.4 a link. Make the same changes in 85.7.10 Page 242, line 50 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. This comment also affects Clause 85
In 45.2.1.1.4 change: "The local loopback function is mandatory for the 1000BASE-KX, 10GBASE-KR, and 10GBASE-X port type and optional for all other port types," to: "The local loopback function is mandatory for the 1000BASE-KX, 10GBASE-KR, 10GBASE-X, 40GBASE-KR4, 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 port types and optional for all other port types," In 84.7.8 change: "Loopback mode shall be provided for the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD by the transmitters and receivers of a device as a test function to the device." to: "Local loopback shall be provided by the adjacent PMA (see 83.5.8) for the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD as a test function to the device."	Cl 84 SC 84.7.11 P 229 L 17 # 507 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Image: Second
In 85.7.8 change: "Loopback mode shall be provided for the 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 PMDs by the transmitters and receivers of a device as a test function to the device." to: "Local loopback mode shall be provided by the adjacent PMA (see 83.5.8) for the 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 PMDs as a test function to the device." In clause 83 make local loopback mandatory for the PMA next to the PMD for 40GBASE- KR4, 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 with editorial license.	C/ 84 SC 84.8.2 P 229 L 42 # 508 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Nortel Networks # 508 Comment Type T Comment Status A This says "Receiver electrical characteristics at TP4 for 40GBASE-KR4 shall be the same as 10GBASE-KR, as detailed in 72.7.1.1 through 72.7.2.5.". But 72.7.1.1 is for the transmitter. Receiver characteristics start at 72.7.2.1 SuggestedRemedy
Change the clause 83 PICS to make local loopback mandatory for the PMA next to the PMD for 40GBASE-KR4, 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10.	Change "as detailed in 72.7.1.1 through 72.7.2.5." to "as detailed in 72.7.2.1 through 72.7.2.5." Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P802	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s Ethern	Sponsor ballot			
C/ 84 SC 84.11.4.1 Anslow, Peter	P233 Nortel Networks	L 21	# 509	Cl 84 SC 8 Anslow, Peter	84.11.4.2	P233 Nortel Networks	L 49	# 512
Comment Type E 45.2.1.9.5 is an externa	Comment Status D al reference so it should be dark	blue		<i>Comment Type</i> MF3 says "Se PMD receive		Comment Status A ransmit_fault as specified in 45.	2.1.7.5." This	s should be
SuggestedRemedy Make it dark blue				SuggestedRemed	_			
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Change "Sets 45.2.1.7.4 in M		nsmit_fault" to "Sets PMD_recei d be links.	ive_fault". Als	so 45.2.1.7.5 and
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Response		Response Status C		
C/ 84 SC 84.11.4.1	P 233 Nortel Networks	L 21	# 510	ACCEPT.				
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			CI 85 SC 8 Anslow, Peter	85.2	P 236 Nortel Networks	L 44	# 513
FS7 Value/Comment sa suggestedRemedy	ays "Set to FAIL". When should	it be set to FAI	IL"	Comment Type Missing "."	E	Comment Status D		
Change "Set to FAIL" to Response ACCEPT.	o "Set to FAIL on reset" Response Status C			SuggestedRemed Change "defin Proposed Respon	ed in 80.3	8" to "defined in 80.3." Response Status W		
X 84 SC 84.11.4.1	P 233 Nortel Networks	L 29	# 511	PROPOSED				
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			CI 85 SC 8 Anslow, Peter	85.7.9	P 242 Nortel Networks	L 39	# 514
This says "Requiremen requirements, only one	ts of 84.7.6, 84.7.7 and Table 72 of which must be met.	2-6". But Table	72-6 contains many	Comment Type	E manned to	Comment Status D pregister bit 1.1.7 as listed in". 1	1 7 is bit 7 (of register 1 1
SuggestedRemedy		Clinte II De environ		SuggestedRemed			.1.7 15 DIL 7 C	
84.7.7"	of 84.7.6, 84.7.7 and Table 72-	6" to "Requirer	nents of 84.7.6,	00		egister bit 1.1.7 as listed in" to "	is mapped to	bit 1.1.7 as listed in"
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Proposed Respon PROPOSED		Response Status WIN PRINCIPLE.		
				Ensure consis		register bit is used elsewhere as on.	bit in registe	er (see 45.2.3.15)

C/ 85 SC 85.8.3 P 244 L 22 # 515 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	C/ 85 SC 85.8.3 P 244 L 32 # 518 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Nortel Networks Model Networks Nortel Networks
Comment Type E Comment Status D The "Value" for the Transmiter DC amplitude is "> 0.34 min, 0.6 max". Since the value 0.34	Comment Type T Comment Status A
is "min", the inclusion of ">" is confusing. Likewise, ">0.63*Transmitter DC amplitude" is a different style from the rest of the table for no good reason.	For the "Far-end transmit output noise (max.)" limits it would be better to point to equations 85-2 and 85-3 than give values of 2 and 1 mV
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy Change "2" to "See Equation (852)" and "1" to "See Equation (853)"
Change "> 0.34 min, 0.6 max" to " "0.34 min, 0.6 max". Correct spelling of transmitter in Parameter column. Also, change "Linear fit pulse" to "Linear fit pulse (min)" and change ">0.63*Transmitter DC amplitude" to "0.63*Transmitter DC amplitude".	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Proposed Response Response Status W	In Table 85-4 add to parameter Far-end Tx output noise next to 2 See Equation (85-2) and add next to 1 See Equation (85-3)
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "> 0.34 min, 0.6 max" to " "0.34 min, 0.6 max". Correct spelling of transmitter in Parameter column. Also, change "Linear fit pulse" to "Linear fit pulse (min)" and change ">0.63*Transmitter DC amplitude" greater than or equal to "0.63*Transmitter DC amplitude".	C/ 85 SC 85.8.3 P 244 L 43 # 519 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Cl 85 SC 85.8.3 P244 L26 # 516 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status D	Comment Type E Comment Status D M is a variable, so should be in italic SuggestedRemedy
"p" and "e" are variables, so should be in italic font SuggestedRemedy	Change "M" to italic font Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Show "p" and "e" in italic font. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	C/ 85 SC 85.8.3 P 244 L 47 # 520 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks SC SC
C/ 85 SC 85.8.3 P 244 L 27 # 517 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Figure 1000 Figure 1000	Comment Type E Comment Status D "83A.5.1" and "83.5.10" should be links
Comment Type T Comment Status A	SuggestedRemedy Make "83A.5.1" and "83.5.10" links and black
This doesn't say whether the "normalized error(linear fit), "e"" of 0.037 is max or min SuggestedRemedy Change "normalized error(linear fit), "e"" to "max normalized error(linear fit), "e""	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P802.	3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	mments			Sponsor ballot
C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.1 Anslow, Peter	P245 Nortel Networks	L 3	# 521	<i>Cl</i> 85 Anslow, P	SC 85.8.3.	3	P 247 Nortel Networks	L3	# 524
Comment Type T Use naming as per damb	Comment Status A prosia_01_0909.pdf			Comment "83.5.		<i>Comment</i> a link. Also on lin			
5	return loss, in dB," to "The diffe 39 change "The differential retu <i>Response Status</i> C			Proposed		c and black. Also <i>Response S</i> PT.			
	2015	/ 05	" [500	<i>Cl</i> 85 Anslow, P	SC 85.8.3.	3	P247 Nortel Networks	L 39	# 525
Cl 85 SC 85.8.3.2 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E "PRBS-31" should be "P	P 245 Nortel Networks Comment Status D RBS31"	L 35	# 522	Comment In "sa Suggester	<i>Type</i> E mpled pulse pi dRemedy	Comment -	e a subscript.		
SuggestedRemedy Change "PRBS-31" to "F Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	PRBS31" Response Status W			Proposed PROF	Response POSED ACCEF		Status W E. In sampled puls		ubscript in subclause.
				C/ 85 Anslow, P	SC 85.8.3.	3.1	P248 Nortel Networks	L1	# 526
C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.3 Anslow, Peter	P 246 Nortel Networks	L 33	# 523	Comment	Туре Т	Comment	Status A		
<i>Comment Type</i> E In "c(n)", n is a variable,	Comment Status D so should be in italic font. Also, as a variable? k would be a bet ere.			"(c(0)- "(c(0)- appea))+c(1)+c(-1))"	y give 1.29 +/-10%	‰ and 2.57 +/-	10% at the same time?
SuggestedRemedy				Suggestee		s should have di	fferent equations.		
good reason to use "k" o alternatively i).	italic (6 places) and also on Pa nly in d1), change to "c(k)" thro			Response ACCE		Response S PLE.			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W								

Draft 3.0 Comments

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.3.3 P 248 L 22 # 527 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Nortel Networks Nortel Networks Nortel Networks	C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.7 P 251 L 51 # 530 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Comment Type E Comment Status D In "c(1)" the "c" should be italic.	Comment Type T Comment Status A 85.8.3.7 starts "The reference test fixture printed circuit board insertion loss is given in
SuggestedRemedy In "c(1)" make the "c" italic. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Equation (8516)", so this is a reference loss, not a maximum loss. SuggestedRemedy In equation 85-16, change the variable "ILtfmax(f)" to "ILtf(f)" (2 places) and also change " the maximim test fixture insertion loss at frequency f" to "is the reference test fixture insertion loss at frequency f"
C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.3.5 P 248 L 45 # 528 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Voltage Voltage </td <td>Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment#833.</td>	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment#833.
Comment Type E Comment Status D In "y(k)" the "k" should be italic. SuggestedRemedy In "y(k)" make the "k" italic. Do the same on Page 249 lines 21 and 30 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Cl 85 SC 85.8.4 P 252 L 22 # 531 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Support Support
CI 85 SC 85.8.3.3.5 P 248 L 46 # 529 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status D	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add "or better" after 10-12
In "M-by-N" the "-by-" should not be italic as it is not a variable. SuggestedRemedy In "M-by-N" make the "-by-" appear in normal font. Do the same on Page 249, lines 6, 15 and 47. Proposed Response Response Status W	Cl 85 SC 85.8.4 P252 L32 # 532 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status A Use naming as per dambrosia_01_0909.pdf SuggestedRemedy

1/28/2010 6:42:32 AM

					-					
C/ 85 Anslow, Pe	SC 85.8.4	P 252 Nortel Networks	L 32	# 533	<i>Cl</i> 85 Anslow, Pe	SC 85.8.4.3. ter		255 tel Networks	L 9	# 536
	as a value of "10 d	Comment Status A B max from 50 MHz to 10000) MHz" so a va	lue of say 20 dB would	Comment T The ref	51	Comment Statu 2 does not exist.	is A		
Suggestedl Change	e "10 dB max fron	n 50 MHz to 10000 MHz" to " space (Ctrl Space) between Response Status C		50 MHz to 10 GHz".	Suggested Chang Response ACCEF	e "86.8.8.2" to "a	86.8.2" and make it Response Statu			
ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLE sponse to comme	,			<i>Cl</i> 85 Anslow, Pe	SC 85.10.5		259 tel Networks	L 42	# 537
	<i>Type</i> E luse 85.8.4.2 says	P 253 Nortel Networks Comment Status A that the test "shall" be done, the test (which are not subcl	but does not i		Comment Comm directe change	<i>Type</i> T ent 65 against D d proposed resp is are needed to	Comment Statu	be re-submitt changes again a: another com	st Page 259 lir	
using ["] <i>Response</i> ACCEF		nented using" to "shall be imp <i>Response Status</i> C			is speci specific specific summi individu line 9 c the ind	ion to the chang ified as the power ed using the ind ed as the power ng the power of ial FEXT losses hange "is speci vidual NEXT", c	ver sum of the indivi ividual NEXT losses sum of the individu the three or nine MDFEXT loss is of fied as the power s on line 14 change "s	idual NEXT los s". On Page 2 ual FEXT losse " to "MDFEXT determined fro um of the indiv specified as th	sses" to "(MDN 60 line 11, cha es. MDFEXT lo loss is specifi m the three or vidual NEXT" to	ange ^f MDFEXT loss is bass is determined by ied using the nine" on Page 419 o "is specified using
C/ 85	SC 85.8.4.3.2	P 254	L 27	# 535		to "specified us	ing the individual F			
Anslow, Per	eter	Nortel Networks	•		Response	T IN PRINCIPL	Response Statu	s C		
there and Suggested	ays "The MDNEXT are two points labe Remedy	Comment Status A is measured from points HT lled "LUT" in Figure 85-7. ed "LUT" is meant. Response Status C	x to point LUT	in Figure 857." but	On line losses' On Pag FEXT l	42 change "(M to "(MDNEXT) ge 260 line 11, c osses. MDFEXT	DNEXT) loss is spe loss is determined change "MDFEXT lo T loss is determined	using the indiv oss is specifie d by summing	vidual NEXT lo d as the power the power of tl	r sum of the individual he three or nine" to
ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLE					XT loss is deter ined from the th	rmined using the ind aree or nine"	dividual FEXT	losses. MDFE	XT loss is
See res	sponse to comme	nt#695					ange "is specified and and and and and and and and and an	as the power s	um of the indiv	vidual NEXT" to "is
						14 change "spe ne individual FE	ecified as the power	sum of the in	dividual FEXT'	to "determined
COMMENT		ER/editorial required GR/ge atched A/accepted R/rejecte				d U/unsatisfied	d Z/withdrawn	Comment ID	# 537	Page 117 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:3

C/ 85 SC 85.10.5 P 259 L 48 # 538 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	C/ 85 SC 85.10.9.1 P 263 L 47 # 541 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Comment Type T Comment Status A Equations 85-26 and 85-27 should show the units as dB	Comment Type E Comment Status D In equation 85-36 the brackets in "(dB)" should not be in italic font.
SuggestedRemedy Add the units "dB" to equations 85-26 and 85-27.	SuggestedRemedy Change "(dB)" to all normal font.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
C/ 85 SC 85.10.5 P 260 L 4 # 539 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks 539	C/ 85 SC 85.11 P 266 L 22 # 542 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks 542
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A
In equation 85-26, "NLi(f) is the power of the NEXT loss at frequency f of pair combination i, in dB,". What is the meaning of "the power of" here? Isn't NLi(f) simply the NEXT loss? If some manipulation of the loss is implied, then it should be explicit in the equation. Also applies to equation 85-27	This says "is coupled to the cable assembly, as per 85.8, by the MDI." but 85.8 is "MDI Electrical specifications for 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10" not a definition of the cable assembly.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change "NLi(f) is the power of the NEXT loss at frequency f of pair combination i, in dB," to	Change "the cable assembly, as per 85.8," to "the cable assembly, as per 85.10,".
"NLi(f) is the NEXT loss at frequency f of pair combination i, in dB," Make equivalent change to equation 85-27	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
Response Response Status C	
ACCEPT.	C/ 85 SC 85.11.3 P 269 L 42 # 543 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
C/ 85 SC 85.10.8 P 263 L 31 # 540 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Secure of Secure 1 Secure 2 Secure 1 Secure 2 Secure 2<	Comment Type T Comment Status A It would be more logical for the subclause on "100GBASE-CR10 MDI AC-Coupling" to be sub-clause of 85.11.2
Comment Type T Comment Status A	SuggestedRemedy
Equation 85-34 defines a reference loss, not a maximum so the variable name shouldn't be "ILcatfmax"	Since 85.11.3 is 100GBASE-CR10 specific, make it subclause of 85.11.2.1
SuggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C
In Equation 85-34 change "ILcatfmax" to "ILcatf" (2 places). Also in Figure 85-12 use the same variable name instead of "IL_CATF"	ACCEPT. Move 85.11.3 under 85.11.2. as subclause 85.11.2.1
Response Response Status C	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In Equation 85-34 change "ILcatfmax" to "ILcatfref" (2 places). Also in Figure 85-12 use the same variable name instead of "IL_CATF"	

Draft 3.0 Co	omments		IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s	Ethern	net comr	nents		Sponsor ballot
CI 85 SC Anslow, Peter	85.11.2	P 269 Nortel Networks	L 37	# 544	<i>Cl</i> 85 Anslow, P		85.13.4.1	P274 Nortel Networks	L 21	# 547
reference it, SuggestedReme Either chang note to claus alternative re	cument for this then it must be edy ge "IEC XXXXX- se 1.5 giving the eference. (2 place	,	oting process ument numbe	already. r and add an editor's	Suggester Chang Proposed	ays "Fo dRemed ge "For Respor	<i>dy</i> positive dif	Comment Status D lifferential voltage corresponds ferential voltage" to "A positiv Response Status W	_	age"
	PRINCIPLE.	esponse Status C	,		<i>Cl</i> 85 Anslow, P		85.13.4.1	P 274 Nortel Networks	L 24	# 548
Add expecte	ed publication da	Remove IEC XXXXX-X-XX ate in editors note. Docume	ent is publicly		Comment In PF	7 "via P	T MD_SIGN/	Comment Status A AL.indication (SIGNAL_DETEC ⁻ tion (SIGNAL_DETECT)"	Γ)" should be "via	
Anslow, Peter Comment Type Whether or r relevance to	not the XLGMI	P 273 Nortel Networks comment Status A or CGMII are supported or Also, the other PMDs in the			Suggestee	dRemeo ge "via I e	dy	IAL.indication" to "via PMD:IS_{	SIGNAL.indication	ין
interface" to	"XLGMI" and "(CGMII" PICS items. (If not CGMI interface" to "CGMII			<i>CI</i> 85 Anslow, P <i>Comment</i>	eter <i>Type</i>	85.13.4.1 E	P 274 Nortel Networks Comment Status D	L37	# 549
Response ACCEPT IN "CGMII"		esponse Status C hange: "XLGMII interface"	to XLGMII an	d "CGMI interface" to	Suggestee	dRemed	dy	ne transmitters to" should be	"Allows each lan	e transmitter to"
CI 85 SC Anslow, Peter	85.13.4	P 273 Nortel Networks	L16	# 546	Proposed PROF	•	nse ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
100GBASE-	" PICS entries ir R PCS" are bot	Comment Status A Indicate that "Support of 400 h mandatory for a given de		S" and "Support of	CI 85 Anslow, P Comment	eter	85.13.4.1 E	P 274 Nortel Networks Comment Status D	L 46	# 550
in 86.11.4.1.	h "PCS" entries . "Compatible w	and both "PMA" entries wi ith 40GBASER or 100GB			Suggestee	dRemed		r2.6.10" should be dark blue		
	Re PRINCIPLE. se comment#80	esponse Status C 7.			Proposed	Respor		Response Status W		

Page 119 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:32 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.2 Anslow, Peter	P 275 Nortel Networks	L17	# 551	C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.5 Anslow, Peter	P 277 Nortel Networks	L 30	# 555
Comment Type E In MF4 and MF5, "45.2.1	Comment Status D .7.4" and "45.2.1.7.5" should b	e links.		Comment Type T In CA10 the reference t	<i>Comment Status</i> A o "85.10.9" should be "85.10.10	n	
SuggestedRemedy Make "45.2.1.7.4" and "4	5.2.1.7.5" links.			SuggestedRemedy In CA10 change "85.10	.9" to "85.10.10"		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.3	P 276 Nortel Networks	L 10	# 552	C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.5 Anslow, Peter	P 277 Nortel Networks	L 34	# 556
	Comment Status D and "Equation (85-2)" should b	be links.			Comment Status A 17 and MDC1 through MDC3 a entation must support all conne		
SuggestedRemedy Make "Equation (85-1)" a	and "Equation (85-2)" links.			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			CR10 connectors and r	4C2" and "*CR10C" PICS entrie nake them optional. (see *PMA- 2 through CA17 and MDC1 thro	10 and *PMA	100 in 83.7.3 or Cl 88
C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.3	P 276 Nortel Networks	L12	# 553	Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL See response commen			
	<i>Comment Status</i> A 85.8.3.7" should be "85.8.3.6"			C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.5 Anslow. Peter	P 277 Nortel Networks	L 42	# 557
In DS3 the reference to " SuggestedRemedy	85.8.3.7" should be "85.8.3.6"			Cl 85 SC 85.13.4.5 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T	P 277 Nortel Networks Comment Status A	L 42	# 557
In DS3 the reference to " SuggestedRemedy In DS3 change "85.8.3.7"	85.8.3.7" should be "85.8.3.6" " to "85.8.3.6"			Anslow, Peter <i>Comment Type</i> T Item CA16 has a Value	Nortel Networks <i>Comment Status</i> A /Comment of "40GBASE-CR4 S		
In DS3 the reference to " SuggestedRemedy In DS3 change "85.8.3.7" Response	85.8.3.7" should be "85.8.3.6"			Anslow, Peter <i>Comment Type</i> T Item CA16 has a Value is for a CR10 connector	Nortel Networks <i>Comment Status</i> A /Comment of "40GBASE-CR4 S		
In DS3 the reference to " SuggestedRemedy In DS3 change "85.8.3.7" Response ACCEPT.	85.8.3.7" should be "85.8.3.6" " to "85.8.3.6" <i>Response Status</i> C			Anslow, Peter <i>Comment Type</i> T Item CA16 has a Value is for a CR10 connector <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	Nortel Networks <i>Comment Status</i> A /Comment of "40GBASE-CR4 S		
In DS3 the reference to " SuggestedRemedy In DS3 change "85.8.3.7 Response	85.8.3.7" should be "85.8.3.6" " to "85.8.3.6"	L 26	# 554	Anslow, Peter Comment Type T Item CA16 has a Value is for a CR10 connector SuggestedRemedy Change to "100GBASE Response	Nortel Networks Comment Status A /Comment of "40GBASE-CR4 S		-
In DS3 the reference to " SuggestedRemedy In DS3 change "85.8.3.7" Response ACCEPT. Cl 85 SC 85.13.4.5 Inslow, Peter Comment Type T	85.8.3.7" should be "85.8.3.6" " to "85.8.3.6" <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 277			Anslow, Peter <i>Comment Type</i> T Item CA16 has a Value is for a CR10 connector <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change to "100GBASE	Nortel Networks Comment Status A /Comment of "40GBASE-CR4 S -CR10 plug (SFF-8642 plug)"		-
In DS3 the reference to " SuggestedRemedy In DS3 change "85.8.3.7" Response ACCEPT. Cl 85 SC 85.13.4.5 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T In CA9 "Mated test fixture crosstalk noise" SuggestedRemedy	85.8.3.7" should be "85.8.3.6" " to "85.8.3.6" <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 277 Nortel Networks <i>Comment Status</i> A	ted test fixtures	s integrated	Anslow, Peter Comment Type T Item CA16 has a Value is for a CR10 connector SuggestedRemedy Change to "100GBASE Response	Nortel Networks Comment Status A /Comment of "40GBASE-CR4 S -CR10 plug (SFF-8642 plug)"		-

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P80	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	l 100Gb/s	Ethernet com	ments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.6 Anslow, Peter	P 278 Nortel Networks	L11	# 558	C/ 86 Anslow, F	SC 86.10.1 Peter	P 297 Nortel N		# 561
receptacle. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A BBASE-CR10 plug (SFF-8642 p C-CR10 receptacle (SFF-8642 re Response Status C	0,	IDI is defined to be a	Suggeste Chan Proposed	dRemedy ge to "As defined <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEPT	Comment Status E e 86.10.3," "86.10.1" sh in 86.10.1" and make " <i>Response Status</i> V IN PRINCIPLE. Delete	ould be a link and "c 86.10.1" a link V	clause" is not required. 6.10.3" a link (86.10.1 was
	P282 Nortel Networks Comment Status A 4 the MDIO variable names do to to all of the register names mate	not all match t		http://	t <i>Type</i> T Ed 2.0 of IEC 61	P 297 Nortel N Comment Status A 280-4-1 is now publish webstore/webstore.nsf/a	letworks A ed (See	# <u>562</u>
SuggestedRemedy In the MDIO variable co disable", change "Trans "Fault", change "PMD s In the PMA/PMD registe 1 register", change "Tra "Status x register" to "P	blumns, change "Global transmi smit disable x" to "PMD transmi signal detect x" to "PMD receive er name columns, change "Con ansmit disable register" to "PML PMA/PMD status x register", cha detect register". Make equivale	t disable x", ch signal detect htrol 1 register transmit disa ange "Receive	ange "Local fault" to x" to PMA/PMD control ble register", change signal detect register"	Suggeste Chan subcl add a Response	<i>dRemedy</i> ge the text to refe ause 68.8 contair dated reference		Method 2." This will here and in 1.3.	
Response ACCEPT. See also comment 501	Response Status C							

 C/
 86
 SC
 86.8.4.7
 P 295
 L 27
 # 560

 Anslow, Peter
 Nortel Networks
 Vortel Networks
 Vort

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The response to comment 190 against Draft 2.2 to insert exception f in subclause 86.8.4.8 has incorrectly been applied to subclause 86.8.4.7 instead

SuggestedRemedy

Move exception f) "The mode-conditioning patch cord suitable for 62.5/125 um fiber is not used." from subclause 86.8.4.7 to subclause 86.8.4.8

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 562

Page 121 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:32 AM

Draft 3.0 Comment	6	IEEE P802	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s Et	hernet cor	nments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 86 SC 86.11.3	P 302 Nortel Networks	L15	# 563	CI 87 Anslow, Pete	SC 87.1 r	P 307 Nortel Networks	L 13	# 565
Comment Type T Items *TP1 and *TP4 be with an electrical in to list "Annex 86A" in SuggestedRemedy Remove "Annex 86A" Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF Remove "Annex 86A' under TP4, create two *PIT nPPI Tx interfact Yes/No	Comment Status A are for when compliance points T interface other than that defined in the Value /Comments for these it from *TP1 and *TP4 <i>Response Status</i> C PLE. from *TP1 and *TP4, o major options, se 86.1 Uses XLPPI or CPPI ho ce 86.1 Uses XLPPI or CPPI mo	Annex 86A, seems.	o it is not appropriate see 86A) TP1:O	Comment Ty Since cla clauses" SuggestedR Change Response ACCEPT Commen "Physica C/ 87 Anslow, Pete Comment Ty	be E luse 87 has a seems inapp amedy title to "Claus "IN PRINCIF I Layer claus SC 87.8.1 r be E	Comment Status A a single PMD type, the title of Ta propriate. ses associated with the 40GBAS Response Status C	uble 87-1 "PMD E-LR4 PMD" E-LR4 PMD" <i>L</i> 49	0 type and associated # <u>566</u>
C/ 86 SC 86.11.4 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T	-	L 18	# 564	Proposed Re	'82.2.11" to "	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy Change "86.10.3.1" to		er than 86.10.	3. 1	C/ 87 Anslow, Pete		P 317 Nortel Networks	L 22	# <u>5</u> 67
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			penalty o Table 88 SuggestedR	87-11 the ite alibration" do -11 emedy	Comment Status A ms "Calibration of OMA for rece o not have an entry in the "Relat 3.11" Also applies to Table 88-1	ed subclause"	
				Response ACCEPT		Response Status C		

Page 122 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:32 AM

C/ 87 SC 87.8.6.4 Anslow, Peter	P 319 Nortel Network	L 28 s	# 568	C/ 88 SC 88.8.10 Anslow, Peter	D P 351 Nortel Networ	L 24 ks	# 571
	Comment Status A of TDP and SRS in clauses 8 should be measured for the bit es together.	,		Comment Type T "per the methods of king_01_0709.pdf SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A 52.9.9.3." should be "per the m	ethods of 87.8.	11.2." as in
using an optical filter to receive), each lane is t test from the others, ar	4 change "(transmit and receiv o separate the lane under test ested individually using an opt nd the BER of 1 x 1012 is for	from the other ical filter to se the lane unde	s." to "(transmit and parate the lane under r test on its own." Add to	Change "per the me Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI	thods of 52.9.9.3." to "per the m <i>Response Status</i> C PLE. en removed by comment 790	nethods of 87.8.	11.2."
test on its own." Add an additional exce on its own". Insert an a below 1 x 1012 for the	agraph of 87.8.11 "The BER is ption in 86.8.4.4 "f) The BER o Idditional exception in 86.8.4.7 e lane under test on its own".	of 1 x 1012 is	for the lane under test	CI 88 SC 88.12.4 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E	4.5 P 359 Nortel Networ Comment Status D ause should be 88.8.10 not 88.		# 572
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL See response to comm	nent 342	/ 00	"	SuggestedRemedy Change 88.8.9 to 88 Proposed Response	.8.10 Response Status W	0.9	
Cl 87 SC 87.8.7 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E "Table" twice in "given	P 319 Nortel Network Comment Status D in Table Table 877"	L 33 s	# 569	PROPOSED ACCEI See also comment 6 C/ 83A SC 83A.1 Anslow, Peter		L 2	# 573
SuggestedRemedy Change to "given in Ta Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Comment Type E This says "The XLAI printed circuit board characteristic imped	Comment Status D JI/CAUI allows interconnect dis including one connector, see 8 ance to be 100 ohms.	tances of appro	
uggestedRemedy	P 332 Nortel Network Comment Status D BASE-LR4" should be "type 40 SE-LR4" to "type 40GBASE-LI Response Status W	GBASE-LR4"	# <u>570</u>	SuggestedRemedy Change the reference Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEI See suggested Rem	Response Status W PT.		

		2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	I TOUGD/S EI	nemet com	nents		Sponsor ballo
83ASC 83A.2.1P 377slow, PeterNortel Networks	L 50	# 574	C/ 83A Anslow, Pete	SC 83A.3.3 er	P 379 Nortel Network	L 18 s	# 577
<i>mment Type</i> E <i>Comment Status</i> D The title of Figure 83A-3 "Insertion loss between Tran Transmitter" would be better with the order reversed.				, "Signaling rate	Comment Status D e per lane (range)" has a subc b itself. This is not helpful	lause referenc	e of 83A.3.3. In other
ggestedRemedy Change to "Insertion loss between Transmitter and Tr	ansmit Complia	nce Point"	SuggestedRo Replace	•	"-" (em dash). Do the same ir	Table 83A-2.	
pposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.			Proposed Re PROPO	esponse SED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
See suggested remedy			See sug	gested rememo	dy.		
83ASC 83A.2.2P 378slow, PeterNortel Networks	L 2	# 575			gnaling rate per lane (range) r gnaling rate per lane (range) r		
mment Type E Comment Status D The text "between the Receiver and the Receive Com the order reversed.(direction of signal flow) D	pliance Point" w	vould be better with	C/ 83A Anslow, Pete	SC 83A.3.3.1 er	P 379 Nortel Network	L 29 s	# 578
ggestedRemedy Change to "between the Receive Compliance Point a	nd the Receiver	n	Comment Ty "1MHz" s	rpe E should be "1 M	Comment Status D Hz"		
pposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.			SuggestedRe Change	e <i>medy</i> "1MHz" to "1 N	Hz"		
See suggested remedy			Proposed Re PROPO	esponse SED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
83A SC 83A.3.3 P 379 slow, Peter Nortel Networks	L 12	# 576	See sug	gested remedy			
<i>mment Type</i> E <i>Comment Status</i> D This is the only instance of the spelling "signalling" in	the draft (79 ins	stances of "signaling")					
ggestedRemedy Change to "signaling"							
pposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.							
See suggested remedy							

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P80)2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s I	Ethernet com	ments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.1 Anslow, Peter	P 379 Nortel Networks	L 49	# 579	<i>Cl</i> 83A Anslow, Pe	SC 83A.3.3.4	P 382 Nortel Networks	L 5	# 581
directed proposed respo exists with note d which other tables. SuggestedRemedy Delete note d from Tabl	Comment Status A 2.3 was agreed to be re-submit onse was "accept" which would i is not needed now that subcla le 83A-1, notes a and c from T er lines), note b from Table 83	l delete note a luse 83A.3.3.5 able 83A-2, no	. A similar situation is referenced. Also for te c from Table 83B-3	Suggested Chang line 30 Proposed I	e" should be "inc <i>Remedy</i> e "include" to "inc	cludes". Make the same change Response Status W	on Page 384	line 40 and Page 385
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status C ⊏			See su	ggested remedy	,		
It would appear notes a This applies to: Table 83A-1 notes a, b, c, d Table 83A-2 notes a, b, c Table 83B-3 notes a,b,c Table 83B-5 notes a, b	re redundant due to reference	to sections. T	herefore delete notes	Suggested Chang Proposed I	<i>Type</i> E is only one temp <i>Remedy</i> e "templates" to	Nortel Networks <i>Comment Status</i> D late for this. "template" <i>Response Status</i> W	L 48	# <u>582</u>
83A1 with respect to g SuggestedRemedy Change to "The single-e 83A1 with respect to g Proposed Response	P 380 Nortel Networks Comment Status D output voltage range shall be to ground." is not very clear. ended output voltage shall be v ground."	between the ra		Time". Suggested	<i>Type</i> T iver does not hav These are chara <i>Remedy</i> e to "Input AC Co	P 383 Nortel Networks Comment Status A we an "Input AC Common Mode acteristics of an applied signal. ommon Mode Voltage tolerance Response Status C	-	
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				ACCEI	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.		
See suggested remedy				0		nput AC common mode voltage	tolerance, RM	IS" and
				"Minim	um input rise an	d fall time tolerance"		

Draft 3.0	Comments
-----------	----------

C/ 83A SC 83A.7.3 P 392 L 5 # 584 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	C/ 83A SC 83A.7.4 P 392 L 43 # 586 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks 586
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A
Annex 83A contains no requirements for Skew or Skew Variation, so Item "NOL" should	Items TC8, TC9, RC3, RC4 contain "S-parameters" rather than return loss.
not mention skew. There should be requirements for Skew and Skew variation for SP1 if this is the lowest	SuggestedRemedy
XLAUI/CAUI and SP6 if this is the highest.	In items TC8, TC9, RC3 change "S-parameters" to "return loss" in RC4 change "Differential
SuggestedRemedy	Common Mode Input Conversion S-parameters" to "Differential to common mode input return loss"
Delete "Total and dynamic generation within limits, maximum Dynamic-Skew can be	Response Response Status C
tolerated". Add a skew requirements subclause that just points to clause 83 for the skew requirements.	ACCEPT.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	See suggested remedy
	C/ 83A SC 83A.7.5 P393 L8 # 587
Section 83A.1.2 (which is the sub clause referenced) points to clause 83.	Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Replace "Total and dynamic generation within limits, maximum Dynamic-Skew can be tolerated" with	Comment Type E Comment Status D This is the only instance of "1E-12" in the draft
with "see Clause 83"	SuggestedRemedy
C/ 83A SC 83A.7.4 P392 L36 # 585	Change to 10 superscript -12
C/ 83A SC 83A.7.4 P 392 L 36 # 585 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	Proposed Response Response Status W
Comment Type T Comment Status R	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Item TC6 "Maximum Termination Mismatch" references subclause 83A.3.3.3 which is	See suggested remedy
"Differential output return loss"	C/ 83B SC 83B.1 P 395 L 16 # 588
SuggestedRemedy	Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Change to "83A.3.3"	Comment Type E Comment Status D
Response Response Status C	"applications which leverage XLAUI / CAUI" is not easy to understand.
REJECT. 83.3.3 contains shall statement for mismatch.	SuggestedRemedy Change to "applications which use the XLAUI / CAUI interface"
	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. See suggested remedy

C/ 83B SC 83B.1 P 396 L 42 # 589 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	C/ 83B SC 83B.2 P 397 L 32 # 591 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Comment Type E Comment Status D In Figure 83B-3 it would be helpful to put arrow heads on the lines that terminate on the connector. This means that for the Figures that are derived from this Figure (Figures 83B-5 and 83B-7) when only one side or the other is visible, there will still be arrows on both top and bottom lines. Secondly, the top line is a different thickness from the bottom one. Also, this figure should be drawn in native Framemaker in order to make future modification much easier and to make Figures 83B-5 and 83B-7 (which are derived from it) more consistent. For example in Figure 83B-5 the small arrow head is still visible above the HCB, the fonts are different, etc.	Comment Type T Comment Status A Equation 83B-2 is for the reference HCB test fixture PCB insertion loss. This should be a smooth curve as per Equation 83B-3 for the MCB and have 2.1 dB loss at 5.15625 GHz SuggestedRemedy Use a scaled version of equation 86A-4 with chosen loss at 5.15625 GHz. This would be: 0.017 + 0.5 * sqrt(f) + 0.1836 * f for 2.1 dB at 5.15625 GHz. Response Response Status C
SuggestedRemedy	ACCEPT.
Add two arrow heads, make the lines the same thickness, drawn in Framemaker and propagate these changes to Figures 83B-5 and 83B-7.	See suggested remedy. Change figure 83B-4
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	C/ 83B SC 83B.2 P 399 L 36 # 592 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
See suggested remedy	Comment Type T Comment Status A In Figure 83B-7 the HCB is labelled "Up to 1dB", but there is no maximum HCB loss value.
C/ 83B SC 83B.2 P 397 L 20 # 590 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status A This says "The chip-module XLAUI / CAUI interface specifies compliance points around the module connector as depicted in Figure 83B5 and Figure 83B7." but these figures do not show any compliance points. SuggestedRemedy Label the compliance points. Label the compliance points.	SuggestedRemedy Change to "MCB PCB = 1 dB" where the "=" is an approximately equals as used in Table 80-4. Do the same thing for Figure 83B-5 for the appropriate reference loss. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 853
Response Response Status C	C/ 83B SC 83B.2.1 P 400 L 14 # 593 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 328	Comment Type E Comment Status D In Table 83B-2 "Minimum Module differential input return loss", Module should have a lower case m SuggestedRemedy Change to module Proposed Response Response Status W
	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
	See suggested remedy

IEE	E P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 1	100Gb/s Et	hernet com	nents		Sponsor ballot
P407 L5 Nortel Networks		C/ 85A Anslow, Pete	SC 85A.3 er	P 416 Nortel Networks	L 22	# 597
he PICS entry	here is this requirement in	"-10 max comply v SuggestedRe Change	x from 0.01 to 1 vith the style m <i>emedy</i> "from 0.01 to 1	anual. 1.1 GHz" to "from 0.01 GHz to 1		11.1 GHz" to
atus C		,	,	,		
		See resp	onse to comm	ent#700		
Nortel Networks	# 595	Comment Ty There is SuggestedR Change Proposed Re PROPO value of	pe E a close bracke emedy "Equation (85A esponse SED ACCEPT the sum of the	-1)." to "Equation (85A-1))." <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. Close bracket a insertion losses from TP0 to the	after receptacle (
lortel Networks a <i>tus</i> A -peak output voltage (r	# 596 nax.) with TX disabled" refers besn't seem very helpful. It	Anslow, Pete Comment Ty In Equat SuggestedRe Change Proposed Re	pe E ion 85A-1 "(0.3 emedy "(0.30)" to "(0.3 esponse	, c	L 37 ro.	# <u>599</u>
	P407 L 5 lortel Networks atus A utput voltage range. W he PICS entry htus C P408 L 18 lortel Networks atus A Present". Where is this he PICS entry htus C P415 L 28 lortel Networks atus A P415 L 28 lortel Networks atus A satus A speak output voltage (resole function". This do noce as Table 85-4	P407L5# 594 aute Networksaute Aatput voltage range. Where is this requirement inan PICS entryaute CP408L18# 595 ortel Networksaute APresent". Where is this requirement in Annex 83B?resent". Where is this requirement in Annex 83B?P415L28# 596 P415L28# 596 ortel Networksaute AP415L28# 596 ortel Networksaute Apeak output voltage (max.) with TX disabled" refers sable function". This doesn't seem very helpful. It nee as Table 85-4	P407L5# 594 C/ 85ANortel NetworksAnslow, Peteratus A	P407L5# 594 lortel Networksatus Autput voltage range. Where is this requirement inhe PICS entrytrus CP408L18P408L18bortel Networksatus APresent". Where is this requirement in Annex 83B?he PICS entrytrus CP415L28P415L28peak output voltage (max.) with TX disabled" refers sable function". This doesn't seem very helpful. It nce as Table 85-4Cl 85ASC 85A.3Anslow, PeterComment TypeComment	Index NetworksAnslow, PeterNortel Networksatus A	P407L5# 594ortel Networks A atiput voltage range. Where is this requirement inthe PICS entrytutus CP408L18P408L18 A P408L18 B P408L18 B P407L18 B P408L18 B Proposed ResponseResponse Status DThere is a close bracket missing from the od line 33SuggestedRemedyChange "Equation (85A-1)." to the MDI host receptacle)P415L28P415L28Cl 85ASC 85A.4P416L37

ACCEPT.

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P802	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet commer	ts		Sponsor ballot
C/ 85A SC 85A.4 Anslow, Peter	P416 Nortel Networks	L 44	# 600	C/ 85A SC 85A.7 Anslow, Peter	P 418 Nortel Networks	L 40 s	# 603
In the where section of Equ				Comment Type T C Equation 85A-5 should have	omment Status A e units of "(dB)"		
transmitter and receiver PC SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy Add "(dB)". Also, "ILCh(f)" s	hould be in the where sec	ction.	
Change to "ILPCB(f) is the i equivalent change for "mini				Response Re	esponse Status C		
Proposed Response Re	esponse Status W			ACCEPT.			
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				C/ 86A SC 86A.1	P 421	L 23	# 604
C/ 85A SC 85A.4	P 416	L 46	# 601	Anslow, Peter	Nortel Networks	S	
Anslow, Peter	Nortel Networks			Comment Type E C	omment Status D		
Comment Type E C In the where section of Equ	<i>Comment Status</i> D ation 85A-1, "b1" should be	in italic font.		This says "86A.4 contains th receive side". But the text of "module to host"			
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change "b1" to italic				Change to "86A.4 contains t			
Proposed Response Re PROPOSED ACCEPT.	esponse Status W			side) and then module to ho specify the transmit side and specify the host to module (d receive side respectively	y of the nPPI" t	to "86A.4.1 and 86A.4.2
C/ 85A SC 85A.5 Anslow, Peter	P 417 Nortel Networks	L 40	# 602	Proposed Response Re PROPOSED ACCEPT.	esponse Status W		

Comment Type	Е	Comment Status	D
Equation 85A-	4 sta	arts with a spurious "("	

SuggestedRemedy

Change "(ILCh(f)" to "ILCh(f)"

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type T Comment Status A

SC 86A.4.2

86A-4 has parameter "Single ended input voltage" but note a says "The single ended input voltage tolerance is ..."

Nortel Networks

P425

L11

605

SuggestedRemedy

make the note consistent with the parameter.

Response

C/ 86A

Anslow, Peter

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "The single ended input voltage tolerance is the allowable range of the instantaneous input signals" to "The host is required to tolerate (work correctly with) input signals with instantaneous voltages anywhere in the specified range.".

Response Status C

Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3	3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments	Sponsor ballot
C/ 86A SC 86A.5.1.1.2 P 430 L 7 # 6 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	06 C/ 86A SC 86A.5.3.8.2 P 434 L 2 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	# 609
Comment Type E Comment Status D In Figure 86A-5 the label "Differential to common-mode mode conversion loss to HCB or MCB" has "mode" twice which does not match the parameter name SuggestedRemedy Change to "Differential to common-mode conversion loss looking in to HCB or M Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change to "Differential to common-mode conversion loss looking into HCB or MCB"	ACB" SuggestedRemedy Delete one "looking" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. de	
C/ 86A SC 86A.5.3.8 P433 L 35 # 6	C/ 00 SC 0 P23 L47 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc	# 610
Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status D "86A.5.3.8.1" and "86A.5.3.8.6" should be links SuggestedRemedy Make them links. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Comment Type E Comment Status D listing of projects that ran in parallel with IEEE P802.3ba are incompleted. IEEE P802.3az is also modifying clauses that IEEE P802.3ba SuggestedRemedy Add reference to IEEE P802.3az in editor's note. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Update the Editor's note on page 23 to reflect the current parallel projeted	a is modifying.
C/ 86A SC 86A.5.3.8.1 P433 L40 # 6 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status D "at the Rx host (PMA) compliance point" is unclear		olo. c.g., 1 002.002
SuggestedRemedy Change to "at the host input (PMA) compliance point" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.		

Comment ID # 610

Page 130 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:32 AM

Sponsor ballot

C/00 SC 0 P L # 611	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.81 P53 L37 # 613						
Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc	Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc						
Comment Type ER Comment Status A Global - Plots of insertion loss, return loss, crosstalk limits are inconsistent. Some plots do not indicate where the pass regions are, but others do and use various terminologies to indicate where the acceptable region is - "Acceptable Region", "Recommended Region", "Pass Region", "Compliant Region" uggestedRemedy	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Believe there is a typo causing conflict between register address in Table 45-3 (Register address 1.286) and statement in 45.2.1.81 (A copy of this register may be implemented a address 1.268 to assist PHY access for devices using postread-increment-address access for a multi-lane PCS. If implemented, all accesses to the copy shall have identical behavior as the original register.) SuggestedRemedy						
Be consistent on all graphs regarding whether a pass region will be indicated. If the pass region is to be indicated, then use consistent terminology to indicate that region.	Believe that table is correct. Change register address in 45.2.1.81 to 1.286.						
Response C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.						
Add or change labels to all charts illustrating limits except for clause 69B:	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.82 P 54 L 4 # 614 Dambrosia. John Force 10 Networks Inc						
"Meets equation constraints" A straw poll of the Task force was taken:	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Believe there is a typo causing conflict between register address in Table 45-3 (Register						
A Remove all "Region" labels except in 69B B Add "Region" labels to all charts illustrating limits C No changes to the draft A 2 B 12	address 1.296) and statement in 45.2.1.82 (A copy of this register may be implemented a address 1.269 to assist PHY access for devices using postread- increment-address access for a multi-lane PCS. If implemented, all accesses to the copy shall have identical behavior as the original register.)						
C 2	SuggestedRemedy Believe that table is correct. Change register address in 45.2.1.82 to 1.296.						
I 45 SC 45.2.1.80 P 53 L 17 # 612 ambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc omment Type TR Comment Status A	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.						
Believe there is a typo causing conflict between register address in Table 45-3 (Register address 1.276) and statement in 45.2.1.80 (A copy of this register may be implemented at address 1.267 to assist PHY access for devices using postread-	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.79 P 52 L 50 # 615 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Networks Inc </td						
increment-address access for a multi-lane PCS.) uggestedRemedy	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Shall statement does not include corresponding pic statement.						
Believe that table is correct. Change register address in 45.2.1.80 to 1.276.	SuggestedRemedy						
esponse Response Status C	add corresponding pic statement						
ACCEPT.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
	Other locations in Clause 45 that refer to copies of registers do not have "shall" (and therefore do not have a PICS entry). Make this location consistent - delete the word "shall						

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	l 100Gb/s	Ethernet com	nments			Sponsor ballo
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.80 Dambrosia, John	P 53 Force 10 Netw	L18 orks Inc	# 616	CI 45 Dambrosia	SC 45.2.1.6	.1	P 43 Force 10 Net	L10 works Inc	# 619
Shall statement does not in SuggestedRemedy add corresponding pic state		statement.		100GE and 40 Suggested	atements relate BASE-SR10, 10 DGBASE-KR4 P	0GBASE-CR10 MA / PMD not	tation of 100GE 0, 40GBASE-L included	BASE-ER4, 1000 R4, 40GBASE-S	GBASE-LR4, R4, 40GBASECR4,
Other locations in Clause 4 therefore do not have a PIC				ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP e MM23 to refe	LE.		Note that this is a	an error in 802.3av-
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.81 Dambrosia, John Comment Type TR (Shall statement does not ir	P53 Force 10 Netw Comment Status A nclude corresponding pic		# <u>6</u> 17	To:	PMA/PMD type		-		
SuggestedRemedy add corresponding pic state Response R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ement Response Status C			Cl 82 Dambrosia Comment	Туре Е	Comment	P 196 Force 10 Net Status D		# 620
Other locations in Clause 4 therefore do not have a PIC				Suggested	C3 and C4 shou <i>IRemedy</i> ⁷ subclause # to		2.3.3, not 82.2.	3	
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.82 Dambrosia, John Comment Type TR (Shall statement does not in	P 54 Force 10 Netw Comment Status A nclude corresponding pic		# <u>618</u>	Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEP1	Response	Status W		
SuggestedRemedy add corresponding pic state	ement								
Response R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C								
Other locations in Clause 4 therefore do not have a PIC									

C/ 82 SC 82.2.11	P180	L 20	# 621	C/ 83	SC 83.7.3	P219	L36	# 623
Dambrosia, John	F Tou Force 10 Netw		# 021	Dambrosia,		F 219 Force 10 N		# 623
	Comment Status A atement for this text - It sha nitives by concatenating the		diactions of each		lauses 83.5.2	Comment Status A 2, items SKEW, USP1SP, D 2, statements in referenced s		5 do not have
primitive in order from	_0.indication to inst:IS_UNI			SuggestedR These P	e <i>medy</i> IC all seem re	elated to SKEW, and therefore subclauses in 83.5.3.x.		reference should be
SuggestedRemedy				Response		Response Status W		
add corresponding pic sta	atement			ACCEPT	IN PRINCIP	LE.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C ssary because the bit stream			Remove in 83.7.4		e SKEW, as this would just	be the aggregate	of PICS S1 through S9
To: The PCS forms 4 or 20 b	reams from the primitives b bit streams from the primitive	es by concatena	ating	confirm (have a " these ite	compliance w shall" stateme	adjacent physically instantia ith a particular requirement. ent in the text for these item ct. Change the subclause re	Consequently it s. However, the s	is not appropriate to ubclause reference for
CI 83 SC 83.7.3	P 219	L 5	# 622					
Dambrosia, John	Force 10 Netw	orks Inc						
	Comment Status R nd 83.1.4 - Items PMA40, Pl I do not have corresponding							
SuggestedRemedy add corresponding pic sta	atement							
Response REJECT.	Response Status C							
included in the PICS table implemented rather than	A100, LANES_UPSTREAM, e for the purpose of recordin to confirm compliance with propriate to have a "shall" s	ng which option a particular req	s have been uirement.					

Sponsor ballot

Cl 84 SC 84.1 P 223 L7 # 625 Dambrosia John Force 10 Networks Inc.
Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Comment Type TR Comment Status A The text states the following - "This clause specifies the 40GBASE-KR4 PMD. In order to form a complete PHY, the PMD shall be connected to the appropriate sublayers (see Tabl 841)" but the PIC in 84.11.3 inclues the XLGMII interface which is an optional interface but not a sublayer. however, the XLAUI does not have a PIC. SuggestedRemedy add appropriate pic for XLAUI Response Response Status ACCEPT.
C/ 83 SC 83.7.5 P 221 L 28 # 626 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc 626 Comment Type TR Comment Status R PIC statements for JTP1 and JTP2 have no corresponding SHALL statements
SuggestedRemedy add appropriate SHALL statements to 83.5.10 Response Response Status REJECT. The entries JTP1 and JTP2 are all included in the PICS table for the purpose of recording which options have been implemented rather than to confirm compliance with a particular requirement. Consequently it is not appropriate to have a "shall" statement in the text for these items.
Cl 84 SC 84.11.4.1 P 233 L 11 # 627 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Networks Inc Comment Type TR Comment Status A There is no corresponding "SHALL" statement for FS2 SuggestedRemedy add appropriate "shall" statement to 84.7.2 Response Response Status

Draft 3.0 Comments	s
--------------------	---

C/ 84	SC 84.7.4	P 227	L 41	# 628	C/ 85	SC 85.6	P 238	L 5	# 631	
Dambrosia	a, John	Force 10 Netw	orks Inc		Dambrosia	a, John	Force 10 Netw	vorks Inc		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R			
interfa	ace is implement	ding PIC for the second SHAL ted, then Global_PMD_signal_d			There 85.6.	is a PIC statem	ent for Item MD in 85.13.4, but	t no correspon	ding SHALL statement in	
set to the va (1.10.	alue of SIGNAL_	DETECT as described in 45.2.	1.9.5; and PMI	D_signal_detect_0	Suggestee add a	-	L statement to 85.6.			
		(1.10.2), PMD_signal_detect_	2 (1.10.3) and	PMD_signal_detect_3	Response		Response Status C			
set to trainin	4) shall be one or zero dep ng state diagram ure 72-5, returns		lane's signal_o	detect, as defined by the	been	ID entry is inclue implemented rate	ded in the PICS table for the putter ther than to confirm compliance appropriate to have a "shall" s	e with a particu	lar requirement.	
Suggestee	dRemedy						appropriate to have a shall s			
add a	ppropriate PIC to	o 84.11.4.1			C/ 85	SC 85.7.2	P 241	L 3	# 632	
Response	9	Response Status W			Dambrosia	a, John	Force 10 Netw	vorks Inc		
ACCE	PT.				Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			
Suggestee	or XLAUI but not				voltage of SL minus SL <n> (differential voltage) shall correspond to tx_bi 100GBASE-CR10 PMD Transmit function shall convert the ten bit streams re the PMD service interface messages PMD:IS_UNITDATA_0.request to PMD:IS_UNITDATA_9.request. A positive output voltage of SL minus SL (differential voltage) shall correspond to tx_bit = one." seems to justify the PF PICS in 85.13.4.1, but not the PF2 PIC</n>					
Response		Response Status C			Suggeste	dRemedv				
ACCE					00	2	statement to 85.7.2 in relation	to PF2		
					Response		Response Status C			
Cl 85 Dambrosia	SC 85.13.4 a, John	P 273 Force 10 Netw	L 30 orks Inc	# 630	ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.			
	• •	Comment Status A we and skew variation constrain	its, the values	comment should direct	Add s the M	entence at line DI, all according	o 241: The 40GBASE-CR4 PM 5 p 241"The four electrical sign to the transmit electrical speci o 241: The 100GBASE-CR10 F	al streams sha ifications in 85.	all then be delivered to	
Suggestee modify	-	t for DSC by adding "constrain	ts specified in a	85.5" at end of sentence	Add s	entence at line	3 p 241"The ten electrical signa to the transmit electrical speci	al streams shal	I then be delivered to	
Response ACCE		Response Status C								

Draft 3	3.0 Comments		IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s	Ethernet com	nments			Sponsor ballo
<i>Cl</i> 85 Dambros	SC 85.13.4.1 iia, John	P 274 Force 10 Netw	L 37 orks Inc	# 633	<i>Cl</i> 85 Dambrosi	SC 85.8.3.7 ia, John	ſ	P 251 Force 10 Net	L 32 works Inc	# 636
		<i>Comment Status</i> A D transmit disable function, n	ot lane by lane	transmit disable as		e is PIC DS4 with	Comment Sa no correspondir		atement	
	edRemedy	. 7 7			add F	dRemedy PIC				
Respons ACC		Response Status C				EPT IN PRINCIPI				
C/ 85 Dambros	SC 85.7.9 ia, John	P 242 Force 10 Netw	L 37 orks Inc	# 634	(85-1 To:"T	Ğ)."	t fixture printed c			s is given in Equation Il meet the values
	uldn't there be a SH	Comment Status A IALL statement defining PMD garding mapping to register b		esponding PIC, as well	<i>CI</i> 85 Dambrosi	SC 85.8.4.3.	4	P 255 Force 10 Net	L 9 works Inc	# 637
00	edRemedy corresponding PIC	S to 85.13.4.1 and SHALL st	atements in 85.	7.9	Comment Shall	<i>t Type</i> TR statement does r	Comment Sinnot include corre		statement.	
Respons ACC	e EPT IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status W E.			Suggeste add F	edRemedy PIC				
Table Table		fault function is mapped to re PMD fault function shall be m			Inser tolera	EPT IN PRINCIPI t PIC between RS ance,Subclause=8	S1 and RS2; reor 85.8.4.3, value/c	der list. Feat		10-12,
C/ 85	SC 85.7.5 ia, John	P 241 Force 10 Netw	L 46	# 635	statu	s=M, Support=Ye	es[].			
Commen	t Type TR	Comment Status A a SHALL statement for PIC		2						
Suggeste	edRemedy									
value the ra upda	e, where n represer	ows - When the MDIO is impl nts the lane number in ASE-CR4 and 0:9 for 100GB/ n		_ 0						
Respons		Response Status C								
۸۰۰	EDT									

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 637

Page 136 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:33 AM

C/ 85 SC 85.10.2 P 257 L7 # 638 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc	Cl 85 SC 85.13.4.5 P 277 L 19 # 640 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc
Comment Type TR Comment Status A The SHALL statement states - The maximum allowed values of the polynomial coefficients	Comment Type TR Comment Status A No SHALL statement for CA6, and it is not clear how EQ 85-16 fits into the requirement
a1, a2, and a4 of the fitted cable assembly insertion loss of each pair of the 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 shall meet the specifications summarized in Table 859 unless otherwise noted. The PIC value refers to Eq 85-19.	SuggestedRemedy Add SHALL statement and clarify relationship to EQ 85-16
SuggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Modify SHALL statement to include equation	
Response Response Status W	In CA6 delete:"and Equation (85-16)"
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change:"The maximum allowed values of the polynomial coefficients a1, a2, and a4 of the fitted cable assembly insertion loss of each pair of the 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-	Change:"The reference test fixture printed circuit board insertion loss is given in Equation (85-34)."
CR10 shall meet the specifications summarized in Table 85-9 unless otherwise noted." To:"The maximum allowed values of the polynomial coefficients a1, a2, and a4 of the fitted explore second by insertion loss of each pair of the 40CRASE CR4 and 40CRASE CR10 in	To:"The reference test fixture printed circuit board insertion loss shall meet the values determined using Equation (85- 34)."
cable assembly insertion loss of each pair of the 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 in Equation (85-19) and the maximum insertion loss at 5.15625 GHz shall meet the specifications summarized in Table 85-9 unless otherwise noted."	C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.5 P 277 L 37 # 641 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Force
C/ 85 SC 85.10.7 P 261 L 30 # 639 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc	Comment Type ER Comment Status A subclause reference should be to 85.11.1.1
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	SuggestedRemedy
SHALL statement is "The total integrated crosstalk RMS noise voltage shall meet the	correct subclause reference
values determined by Equation (8533) illustrated in Figure 8511." No PIC and the CA5 PIC does not refer to equation 85-33	Response Response Status W
SuggestedRemedy	ACCEPT.
modify CA5 to include equation 85-33	C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.5 P277 L41 # 642
Response Response Status C	Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In CA5 Change: "Equation (85-32)" To:"Equation (85-33)"	Comment Type ER Comment Status A subclause reference should be to 85.11.2.1
	SuggestedRemedy correct subclause reference
	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change:"85.11.1"

Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3b	a D3.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s	Ethernet com	ments			Sponsor ballot
Cl 85 SC 85.11.1.2 P 268 L 17 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc	# 643	<i>Cl</i> 85 Dambrosi	SC 85.84.3.2 a, John		P 254 Force 10 Net	L 23 works Inc	# 646
Comment Type ER Comment Status A Fig 85-19 and 85-20 are labeled the same thing		Comment 4 SH/	t <i>Type</i> TR ALL statements in	<i>Comment</i> 3 85.8.4.3.2 an		ith no correspon	ding PICS
SuggestedRemedy correct figure titles		Suggeste add P	•				
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment#806.			e EPT IN PRINCIPL r given licence to				
C/ 85 SC 85.13.4.5 P 277 L 44 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Comment Type ER Comment Status A CA17 subclause reference should be to 85.11.3 SuggestedRemedy Correct subclause reference Response Response Status W ACCEPT. Kesponse Kesponse	# 644	 (1)The minimum fitted insertion loss coefficients of the lane under test (LUT), derived usit the fitting procedure in 85.10.2, shall meet the test values in Table 85-7. (2)The RMS value of the integrated MDNEXT crosstalk noise, determined using Equation (85-30) through Equation (85-34), shall meet the test values in Table 85-7. (3)The pattern generator transmits data to the device under test. Its output amplitude shabe no more than 800 mV peak to peak differential when measured on an alternating one zero pattern. 					
Cl 85 SC 85.13.4.5 P 277 L 47 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Comment Type TR Comment Status A no corresponding SHALL statements to subclauses referenced for CA18 SuggestedRemedy add shall statements or clarify subclause references Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In CA18, delete 85.11.3, 85.11.1.1.1 In CA18, add reference 85.8.4.6.	# 645	the fit in 85. Cl 86 Dambrosi Comment PIC S corres functi Suggeste add S Response REJE have	ting procedure 10.2, shall meet t SC 86.11.4.1 a, John Type TR SF2 is in regards t sponding SHALL ons that may be a dRemedy SHALL statement. ST2 is include CT. SF2 is include	he test values Comment 3 o integration w statement - "A accessible thro Response S ded in the PIC d rather than t	in Table 85-7. P303 Force 10 Net Status R PMD is option rugh the mana Status C S table for the o confirm com	L 12 works Inc ent functions, but hally connected to gement interface purpose of recor pliance with a pa	o the management e defined in Clause 45." rding which options articular requirement.

clause 52 PICS.

C/ 86 SC 86. 1 Dambrosia, John	1.4.1	P 303 Force 10 Netv	L 14 works Inc	# 648	Cl 86 Dambrosia	SC 86.11.4 . a, John		04 L1	
Comment Type E values for D, SF3		nt Status D			<i>Comment</i> What	<i>Type</i> TR is the correspor	Comment Status	D	nere is one SHALL statement
SuggestedRemedy List values for D, Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC input conditions fr "SF" PICS. If it fi 25.6 ns). SR10, r "At SP3, less that "If measurable, let	SF3 - SF5 Response EPT IN PRINCIF r the PMD, not s s within 2 lines in ax 2048 BT (4 pa 54 ns, 600 ps. , s than 145 ns, 3 s or "See text" in	omething it can o the cell, insert "s ause_quanta, 20. At SP4, less thar 3.6 ns.". otherwise empty	control. Delete S SR4, max 1024 I 48 ns)." 134 ns, 3.4 ns. table cells. The	on at SP2 (TP1) are F3 and renumber other BT (2 pause_quanta, " re is no need to use the	that co Suggested add S Proposed REJE This c C/ 86 Dambrosia	orresponds to S dRemedy HALL statemen Response CT. comment was W SC 86.11.4 . a, John	M3 t <i>Response Status</i> ITHDRAWN by the cc 4 P3 Force	Z ommenter. 05 <i>L</i> 9 10 Networks Inc	# <u>651</u>
Cl 86 SC 86.4 Dambrosia, John Comment Type TI No corresponding SuggestedRemedy add SHALL state Response ACCEPT IN PRIN In 86.4 change "N	Commer SHALL statemen nent Response CIPLE.	e Status W	s referenced for S	# <u>649</u> SM1 variables is shown in	met, v Suggester Add re Proposed PROF have t only. given	OM3 the value of which is per limit dRemedy eference to limit Response POSED ACCEP their own PICS In 86.8.4.2, cha in Table 86-6 if	s given in Table 86-6 s being in Table 86-6 i <i>Response Status</i> T IN PRINCIPLE. Ave where necessary, so 8 nge "The average opti	hodology, but not n Value commen W rage power is use 6.8.4.2 should ad ical power of each tethods given in Il	ed in several tables, which Idress the test methodology n lane shall be within the limit EC 61280-1-1." to "Average
86-4." to "If MDIC variables shall be PMD status varia In 86.11.4.2 SM1 In addition, chang	is implemented, as shown in Tab bles shall be as s insert "See 86.4" e "86.11.4.3 Elec	the mapping of M le 86-3, and the r shown in Table 86 ' in Value/Comme ctrical and optical	IDIO control var napping of MDIC i-4." ent field. specifications fo	les is shown in Table iables to PMD control D status variables to or 40GBASE-SR4 or E-SR4 or 100GBASE-	Suggestee add S Response ACCE In 86.0	<i>Type</i> TR rresponding SH <i>dRemedy</i> HALL statemen ST IN PRINCIF 8.4.3, change "0	Force Comment Status ALL statement for SO t Response Status	10 Networks Inc A M4 W	defined"

C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.4 P 305 L 13 # 653 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc	C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.4 P 305 L 20 # 656 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Force
Comment Type TR Comment Status A No corresponding SHALL statement for SOM5	Comment Type TR Comment Status A No corresponding SHALL statement for SOM9 PIC
SuggestedRemedy add SHALL statement	SuggestedRemedy add SHALL statement
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 86.8.4.4 TDP, change "is as defined" to "shall be as defined". Make equivalent changes in 87 and 88.	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 86.8.4.8 Receiver jitter tolerance, change "is as defined" to "shall be as defined".
C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.4 P 305 L 15 # 654 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Networks	C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.5 P 305 L 32 # 657 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Networks Inc<
Comment Type E Comment Status D For SOM6 the value cited is for the test methology, but not the limits that are given in Table 86-12	Comment Type TR Comment Status A No corresponding SHALL statement for SES3 PIC
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
add reference to limits being in Table 86-12 in Value comment for SOM6	add SHALL statement Response Response Status W
add reference to limits being in Table 86-12 in Value comment for SOM6 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Extinction ratio is used both for transmitter and receiver (each has its own PICS), so 86.8.4.5 should address the test methodology only. In 86.8.4.5, change "Extinction ratio shall be within the limits given in Table 86-6 if measured using the methods specified in IEC 61280-2-2 using the test pattern defined in	
add reference to limits being in Table 86-12 in Value comment for SOM6 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Extinction ratio is used both for transmitter and receiver (each has its own PICS), so 86.8.4.5 should address the test methodology only. In 86.8.4.5, change "Extinction ratio shall be within the limits given in Table 86-6 if measured using the methods specified in IEC 61280-2-2 using the test pattern defined in Table 86-12." to "Extinction ratio is defined by the methods of IEC 61280-2-2, using the test pattern defined in Table 86-12."	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The "shall"s are in the referenced 52.11, so include a pointer to 52.11 in the PICS Value/Comment field. See 68.10.3.5 for precedent. Change "Complies with applicable local and national codes for the limitation of electromagnetic interference" to "As 52.11. Complies with codes for limitation of electromagnetic interference". C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.6 P 306 L 6 # 658
add reference to limits being in Table 86-12 in Value comment for SOM6 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Extinction ratio is used both for transmitter and receiver (each has its own PICS), so 86.8.4.5 should address the test methodology only. In 86.8.4.5, change "Extinction ratio shall be within the limits given in Table 86-6 if measured using the methods specified in IEC 61280-2-2 using the test pattern defined in Table 86-12." C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.4 P 305 L 18 # 655 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The "shall"s are in the referenced 52.11, so include a pointer to 52.11 in the PICS Value/Comment field. See 68.10.3.5 for precedent. Change "Complies with applicable local and national codes for the limitation of electromagnetic interference" to "As 52.11. Complies with codes for limitation of electromagnetic interference". C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.6 P 306 L 6 # 658
add reference to limits being in Table 86-12 in Value comment for SOM6 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Extinction ratio is used both for transmitter and receiver (each has its own PICS), so 86.8.4.5 should address the test methodology only. In 86.8.4.5, change "Extinction ratio shall be within the limits given in Table 86-6 if measured using the methods specified in IEC 61280-2-2 using the test pattern defined in Table 86-12." C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.4 P 305 L 18 # 655 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The "shall"s are in the referenced 52.11, so include a pointer to 52.11 in the PICS Value/Comment field. See 68.10.3.5 for precedent. Change "Complies with applicable local and national codes for the limitation of electromagnetic interference" to "As 52.11. Complies with codes for limitation of electromagnetic interference". C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.6 P 306 L 6 # 658 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Comment Type TR Comment Status A
add reference to limits being in Table 86-12 in Value comment for SOM6 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Extinction ratio is used both for transmitter and receiver (each has its own PICS), so 86.8.4.5 should address the test methodology only. In 86.8.4.5, change "Extinction ratio shall be within the limits given in Table 86-6 if measured using the methods specified in IEC 61280-2-2 using the test pattern defined in Table 86-12." C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.4 P 305 L 18 # 655 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Comment Type E Comment Status D For SOM8 the value cited is for the test methodology, but not the limits that are given in Table 86-8	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The "shall"s are in the referenced 52.11, so include a pointer to 52.11 in the PICS Value/Comment field. See 68.10.3.5 for precedent. Change "Complies with applicable local and national codes for the limitation of electromagnetic interference" to "As 52.11. Complies with codes for limitation of electromagnetic interference". C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.6 P 306 L 6 # 658 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Comment Type TR Comment Status A No corresponding SHALL statement for SOC1 PIC SuggestedRemedy
add reference to limits being in Table 86-12 in Value comment for SOM6 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Extinction ratio is used both for transmitter and receiver (each has its own PICS), so 86.8.4.5 should address the test methodology only. In 86.8.4.5, change "Extinction ratio shall be within the limits given in Table 86-6 if measured using the methods specified in IEC 61280-2-2 using the test pattern defined in Table 86-12." to "Extinction ratio is defined by the methods of IEC 61280-2-2, using the test pattern defined in Table 86-12." C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.4 P305 L18 # 655 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Comment Type E Comment Status D For SOM8 the value cited is for the test methodology, but not the limits that are given in	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The "shall"s are in the referenced 52.11, so include a pointer to 52.11 in the PICS Value/Comment field. See 68.10.3.5 for precedent. Change "Complies with applicable local and national codes for the limitation of electromagnetic interference" to "As 52.11. Complies with codes for limitation of electromagnetic interference". C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.6 P 306 L 6 # 658 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Comment Type TR Comment Status A No corresponding SHALL statement for SOC1 PIC SuggestedRemedy add SHALL statement

Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb	s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments Spor	Sponsor ballot
C/ 86 SC 86.11.4.6 P 306 L 18 # 659 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc	C/ 87 SC 87.12.4.4 P 334 L 15 # 6 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc	62
Comment Type ER Comment Status A Reference to subclause is incorrect, as it should be to 86.10.3.2.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A No corresponding SHALL statement for XLOM5	
SuggestedRemedy change subclause reference to 86.10.3.2.	SuggestedRemedy add shall statement	
Response Response Status W ACCEPT. Same as comment 564.	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 87.8.5 change "OMA is defined in" to "OMA shall be as defined in"	
C/ 87 SC 87.12.3 P 331 L 26 # 660 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Networks Inc	see also comment 668	
Comment Type TR Comment Status R No corresponding SHALL statement to MD PIC	C/ 87 SC 87.12.4.4 P 334 L 19 # 6 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Networks Inc <td>63</td>	63
SuggestedRemedy add SHALL statement	Comment Type TR Comment Status A No corresponding SHALL statement for XLOM7	
Response Response Status W REJECT. MD is included in the PICS to record which options have been implemented, rather than to confirm compliance with a particular requirement. Consequently it is not appropriate to have a shall statement in the text for this item. Consequently it is not appropriate to the text for this item. CI 87 SC 87.12.3 P 331 L 13 # 661 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Networks Inc	SuggestedRemedy add shall statement <i>Response Response Status</i> W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 87.8.8 change "The RIN measurement methodology is defined in" to "The RIN measurement methodology shall be as defined in". see also comment 669	
Comment Type TR Comment Status R No corresponding SHALL statements for XLTP1 and XLTP4 SuggestedRemedy add shall statements Response Response Status W REJECT. XLTP1 and XLTP4 are included in the PICS to record which options have been implemented, rather than to confirm compliance with a particular requirement. Consequently it is not appropriate to have a shall statement in the text for these items.	Cl 87 SC 87.12.4.6 P 335 L 8 # 6 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Comment Type TR Comment Status A No corresponding SHALL statement for XLOC2 SuggestedRemedy add shall statement Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The normative requirements on the channel are contained in Table 87-14 with a PICS entry XLOC1. Subclause 87.11.1 lists fibre types that meet these requirement	associated

Page 141 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:33 AM

1/28/2010 6:42:33 AM

Dambrosia, John Comment Type TR Cou No corresponding SHALL stat SuggestedRemedy add shall statements	Force 10 Networks Inc mment Status A tements for XLM1				
No corresponding SHALL stat SuggestedRemedy					
SuggestedRemedy					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 87.4 change "Mapping of M shown in Table 87-2. Mapping in Table 87-3" to "If the MDIO interface is im	g of MDIO status variables to PMD sta plemented, the mapping of MDIO cont	atus variables is shown trol variables to PMD			
see also comment 674					
C/ 88 SC 88.12.4.5	P359 L12	# 668			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		ined in"			
C/ 88 SC 88.12.4.5	P359 L18	# 669			
Dambrosia, John	Force 10 Networks Inc				
<i><i>³¹</i></i>					
SuggestedRemedy add shall statement					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 88.8.7 change "The RIN me	easurement methodology is as defined	d in" to "The RIN			
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 87.4 change "Mapping of M shown in Table 87-2. Mapping in Table 87-3" to "If the MDIO interface is impliced to the MDIO interface is impliced to the set of the MDIO status variables to PMD status statement <i>Response Resp</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 88.8.4 change "OMA is as to provide the statement <i>Response Resp</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 88.8.7 change "The RIN me	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 87.4 change "Mapping of MDIO control variables to PMD control shown in Table 87-2. Mapping of MDIO status variables to PMD status in Table 87-3". to "If the MDIO interface is implemented, the mapping of MDIO con- control variables shall be as shown in Table 87-2 and the mapping of variables to PMD status variables shall be as shown in Table 87-3". see also comment 674 <i>Cl</i> 88 SC 88.12.4.5 P 359 <i>L</i> 12 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc <i>Comment Type</i> TR <i>Comment Status</i> A No corresponding SHALL statements for COM4 <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> add shall statement <i>Response Response Status</i> W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 88.8.4 change "OMA is as defined in" to "OMA shall be as defined <i>Cl</i> 88 SC 88.12.4.5 P 359 <i>L</i> 18 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc <i>Comment Type</i> TR <i>Comment Status</i> A No corresponding SHALL statement for COM7 <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> add shall statement <i>Response</i> TR <i>Comment Status</i> A No corresponding SHALL statement for COM7 <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> add shall statement <i>Response Response Status</i> W			

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P80	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s	Ethernet com	ments			Sponsor ballot
C/ 88 SC 88.12.4.5 Dambrosia, John	P 359 Force 10 Netw	L 22 vorks Inc	# 670	<i>CI</i> 88 Dambrosi	SC 88.12.4.1 a, John		357 e 10 Netw	L 10 vorks Inc	# 673
Comment Type TR The subclause reference Receiver Sensitivity, i.e SuggestedRemedy correct subclause refere Response ACCEPT. See also comment 572. C/ 88 SC 88.12.4.7 Dambrosia, John Comment Type TR No corresponding SHAL	ence to 88.8.10 Response Status W P 360 Force 10 Netw Comment Status A	L8	wild be to Stressed	Suggeste Add s Response ACCE CF2 (of rec with a text fo Comr Physi to the	Arresponding SHA dRemedy hall statements EPT IN PRINCIPL Integration with m ording whether th requirement. Co or this item. nent 498 has mod cal Layer, a PMD medium through	anagement functio is option has been i nsequently it is not lified the second se	F1 and C W ms) is inclu mplement appropria ntence of to the app managen	uded in the PIC ted rather than te to have a "sl 88-1 to be "Wh propriate PMA nent functions	S table for the purpose to confirm compliance hall" statement in the nen forming a complete as shown in Table 88-1, that are optionally or equivalent "
	ents on the channel are cont clause 88.11.1 lists fibre type			C/ 88 Dambrosi Comment No co Suggeste	<i>Type</i> TR prresponding SHA			L 6 vorks Inc	# 674
Cl 88 SC 88.12.3 Dambrosia, John Comment Type TR No corresponding SHAL SuggestedRemedy add shall statements Response REJECT. The entries LR4, ER4, II of recording which optio a particular requirement the text for these items.	P356 Force 10 Netw Comment Status R L statements for LR4, ER4, Response Status C NS, CTP1, CTP4 are all inclu ns have been implemented r . Consequently it is not appr s no "shall" statement corres	INS, CTP1, CT uded in the PIC ather than to co ropriate to have	S table for the purpose onfirm compliance with a "shall" statement in	Add s Response ACCE In 88. Table 88-3.' to: "If the contro	hall statements PT IN PRINCIPL 4 change: "Mappi 88-2. Mapping of MDIO interface is of variables shall b	ng of MDIO control	variables bles to PM mapping e 88-2 and	ID status variab of MDIO contro d the mapping	of MDIO status

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P80	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s Ethernet comments					Sponsor ballot	
Cl 83A SC 83A Dambrosia, John	7.4	P 392 Force 10 Netw	L 43 orks Inc	# 675	<i>Cl</i> 83A Dambrosia	SC 83A.7.5 , John		P 393 prce 10 Net	L13 works Inc	# 678
Comment Type EI Features for TC8 equation is for Dif SuggestedRemedy change feature to Response ACCEPT. See comment 580	is "Differential O fferential Output D'"Differential Out Respons	Return Loss	s" which is not	correct. The referenced	Suggested change Response ACCEI	e for RC4 is not Remedy e feature to "Diff	Comment Sta correct - Different erential to commo Response Stat	ial Commo	·	nversion S-Parameters
	R Comme	P 392 Force 10 Netw ent Status A deb Output S-Para on Mode Output Re	meters" which i	# 676						
SuggestedRemedy		e Output Return Lo								
Response ACCEPT. See comment 58		se Status C								
Cl 83A SC 83A Dambrosia, John Comment Type El Feature for RC3 i for Differential Inp	R Comme	P 393 Force 10 Netw ent Status A ifferential Input S-P		# 677						
SuggestedRemedy Change feature to		ut Return Loss"								
Response ACCEPT. See comment 58		se Status C								

Sponsor ballot

/ 83A SC 83A.7.4 P392 L4 # 679	C/ 83B SC 83B.4.3 P407 L5 # 680
ambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc	Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc
omment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
No supporting SHALL statements for any PICS in 83A.7.3	The SHALL statement points to Tables 83B-2 and 83B-3, but then things are called out singularly in the PICS, and in some cases things that don't have a table entry have a
<i>lggestedRemedy</i> add shall statements for NOL, RATE, IO, INT	corresponding SHALL statement (MC1); entries in table with no corresponding PICS - module output signal, minimum module differential output return loss, various De-emphasis
sponse Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	entires in Table 83B-3; and different names - module input reflection should be minimum module differential input return loss).
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy
NOL: In 83A.1.2:	modify PIC to reflect SHALL statement - A module which uses XLAUI / CAUI to interface with a host shall meet the characteristics outlined in Table 83B2 and Table 83B3
Change "For 40 Gb/s applications, the data stream is presented in four lanes as described	Response Response Status W
in Clause 83. For 100 Gb/s applications, it is presented in ten lanes as described in Clause 83" to	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
"For 40 Gb/s applications, the data stream shall be presented in four lanes as described in Clause 83. For 100 Gb/s	Remove MC1 - MC13 and replace with the following:
applications, the data stream shall be presented in ten lanes as described in Clause 83" RATE In 83A.1.2 Change:	MC1: Feature: XLAUI / CAUI compliant module Subclause: 83B.2.1 Value: Meets requirements defined in 83B-2 and 83B-3
"The data is 64B/66B coded, resulting in a nominal rate of 10.3125 Gb/s for each lane in both 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s applications."	C/ 83B SC 83B.4.4 P407 L40 # 681
to	Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc
"Data is 64B/66B coded. The nominal signalling rate for each lane in both 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s applications shall be 10.3125 Gb/s."	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
ю	The SHALL statement points to Tables 83B-4 and 83B-5, but then things are called out singularly in the PICS, and there are conflicts- missing items, or names changed
In 83A.3	SuggestedRemedy
change: "The electrical characteristics for XLAUI/CAUI are specified in this section." to	modify PIC to reflect SHALL statement - A host which uses XLAUI / CAUI to interface with a module shall meet the characteristics outlined in Table
"The electrical characteristics for	83B4 and 83B5
XLAUI/CAUI shall meet the specifications defined in this section."	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
INT Remove (83A.4 is recommended)	Remove HC1 - HC12 and replace with the following:
	HC1: Feature: XLAUI / CAUI compliant host Subclause: 83B.2.2 Value: Meets requirements defined in 83B-4 and 83B-5

C/ 83B SC 83B.4.4 P 408 L 4 # 682 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Networks Inc	C/ 86A SC 86A.8.4.3 P 442 L 44 # 684 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc
Comment Type TR Comment Status A PIC HC12 has no corresponding SHALL statement	Comment Type TR Comment Status A missing shall statements for SEM2, SEM3, and SEM4
SuggestedRemedy add SHALL statement	SuggestedRemedy Add SHALL statement
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove HC12. AC coupling is located in the module. C/ 83B SC 83B.4. P 407 L # 683	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The shall statement for SEM2 is: Page 426 line 50 "If boards are used which do not match the specifications given, the measurement results for nPPI shall be corrected for the differences". No change needed
Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Comment Type TR Comment Status Missing Major capabilities / options subclause SuggestedRemedy add major capabilities / options PICS subclause Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	The shall statement for SEM3 is: Page 427 line 1 "with differential insertion loss outside the limits given in 86A.5.1.1.2, such boards shall not be used." Change "Individual insertion losses within spec" to "Individual insertion losses per 86A.5.1.1.2" The shall statement for SEM4 is: Page 427 line 1 "Boards that do not meet the specifications for mated HCB-MCB in 86A.5.1.1.2 shall not be used." Change "Mated HCB-MCB within spec" to "Mated HCB-MCB per 86A.5.1.1.2"
Add major capabilities / options PICs subclause with: NOL (number of lanes) RATE (data rate) (above two same as 83A) IO Feature: Meets chip-to-module XLAUI / CAUI electrical characteristics Subclause:83B.2 Value/comment: Supports host / module compliance points Add the following sentense to 83B.2" the module connector as depicted in Figure 83B-5 and Figure 83B-7. Chip-to-module devices shall meet the electrical characteristics defined in this section."	C/ 86A SC 86A.8.3 P441 L12 # 685 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Networks Inc Comment Type TR Comment Status R Missing shall statements for MO, HO, MD SuggestedRemedy add shall statements Response Status W REJECT. MO, HO and MD are included in the PICS table for the purpose of recording which options have been implemented rather than to confirm compliance with a particular requirement. Consequently it is not appropriate to have a "shall" statement in the text for this item. In the same way, there is no "shall" statement corresponding to SR, LR, ER, etc. in the clause 52 PICS.

C/ 86A SC 86A.8.4.1 P 441 L 31 # 686 Dambrosia, John Force 10 Networks Inc Force 10 Network	C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.3.1 P 248 L 1 # 688 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Missing shall statements for SF2, d, sf3, AND sf4. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type T Comment Status A Incorrect equation corresponding to the ratio 2.57 +/- 10% (in the numerator, subtract c(1 and not c(-1)).
add shall statements	SuggestedRemedy
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete SF2, as there are no PPI-specific management functions, and management can control the associated PMD or PMA. In 86A.1, change "The Delay and Skew requirements for nPPI are as in 86.3." to "The nPPI shall comply with the Delay, Skew and Skew Variation requirements in 86.3."	Change to "and the ratio (c(0)-c(1)+c(-1))/(c(0)+c(1)+c(-1)) is 2.57 +/- 10%." Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Change: "(c(0)+c(1)-c(-1))/ (c(0)+c(1)+c(-1)) is 2.57 +/-10%." To: " (c(0)-c(1)+c(-1))/(c(0)+c(1)+c(-1)) is 2.57 +/- 10%."
Delete SF4, as the PMD functional specifications in 86.5 do not place any requirements on the nPPI.	C/ 85 SC 85.10.2 P 257 L 13 # 689 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation
CI 85 SC 85.8.3 P 244 L 26 # 687 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A The caption for Table 85-9 states these are "example" maximum cable assembly insertion loss requirements. This does not appear to be an example, they are the actual requirements as stated in the preceding paragraph.
The rows corresponding to the "linear fit pulse" (circa line 24) and "min amplitudes(linear fit)" (circa line 27) are redundant and inconsistent. The appropriate requirement is that the peak amplitude of the linear fit pulse be no less than 0.63 times the estimated transmitter	SuggestedRemedy Delete the word "Example" from the caption.
DC amplitude (computed as stated in note b). SuggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Remove the row "min amplitudes(linear fit)" from Table 85-4. In 85.8.3.3 (page 247, line 13) remove the line "The peak value of the linear fit pulse from step 3, p, shall be greater than 240 mV."	Delete the word "Example" from the Table 85-9
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment#812 and #818	Add example to caption Figure 85-8.

Draft 3.0 Cor	nments
---------------	--------

<i>Cl</i> 85 SC 85.10.7 Healey, Adam	P 260 LSI Corporatio	L 46 m	# 690	<i>Cl</i> 85 Healey, Adar	SC 85.10.7 n	P 260 LSI Corpo	L 47 ration	# 692
Comment Type T The phrase "and Fa SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A ast Fourier transform (FFT)" d	oes not seem t	o fit.	line 32, fi	version factor (Comment Status A 0.2365 assumes that fnt is be units of MHz and Tab y lead to confusion.		
	to read "Note that -3 dB transn to the 20 to 80% rise and fall ti Response Status C			SuggestedRe	emedy	on factor is for fnt in units	of Hz and Tnt in ur	nits of seconds.
ACCEPT IN PRINCI Fast Fourier transforr	PLE. Change:"Note that the 3 df n (FFT) are inversely proportion the and fall times Tht and Tft res	nal	bandwidths fnt and	Response ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C .E.		
To:"Note that the 3 d	B transmit filter bandwidths fnt a be and fall times Tnt and Tft res P 260	and fft are inver	sely proportional # 691	Tnt fnt = To:"The Tnt fnt =	0.2365). constant of pro 0.2365; with T	of proportionality is 0.236 oportionality is 0.2365 (e.c nt in Hz and fnt in second	j. is)."	
lealey, Adam	LSI Corporatio	n		C/ 85 Healey, Adar	SC 85.11.2	P 269 LSI Corpo	L 37 ration	# 693
	Comment Status A eclare that sinc(x) is sin(pi*x) he normalized sinc function or r		ere is some ambiguity	Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status A ne 100GBASE-CR10 conr].
SuggestedRemedy Add a statement to th	is paragraph that defined sinc(x).			ne correct refe	rence or add an editor's n	ote that informs the	e reader when the
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIE	Response Status C PLE.			correct re Response	rerence is exp	bected to be added. Response Status C		
Page 260, line 35. Ac sinc(x)=sin(pi*x)/(p	Id sentence, "The sinc function i*x)."	is defined by			IN PRINCIPL			

CI 85 SC 85.8.4.3.2 P 254 L 254 # 694 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation	C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3.2 P 254 L 13 # 695 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation
Comment Type T Comment Status A This paragraph states that "the cable assembly test fixture lanes not under test are terminated in 100 Ohms differentially." In fact, it seems the other lanes are connected to aggressor transmitters either associated with pattern generators (FEXT) or the device under test (NEXT). This intended to be a requirement on the terminating impedance presented by those transmitters. If so, the established return loss specifications should be used in their place. SuggestedRemedy Remove this sentence. Supplement the requirements with the return loss requirement for the pattern generator (including far-end aggressors) as appropriate.	Comment Type T Comment Status A In Figure 85-7, the label "HTx" does not make it clear to the reader that this arrow correspond to the 4 (or 10) connectors to the near-end aggressors transmitters that are part of the device under test. SuggestedRemedy Update the figure and paragraph starting at line 27 to indicate HTx is the set of lanes that will be connected to 4 or 10 near-end aggressors corresponding to the transmitters of the device under test. Response Response Status C
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace:"The MDNEXT is measured from points HTx to point LUT in Figure 85-7."
See comment resolution#781 Delete page 254, line 5: The cable assembly test fixture lanes not under test are terminated in 100 O differentially.	In figure 85-7 change "LUT" at Tx to LUT_Tx and LUT at Rx to LUT_Rx. In Figure 85-6 change "LUT" at Tx to LUT_Tx. With:"The MDNEXT is measured from points host transmitters (HTx) to adjacent point LUT_Rx in Figure 85-7. HTx is the set of 4 or 10 transmit lanes of the device under test corresponding to the 4 or 10 near-end crosstalk disturbers." Update Figure 85-7 to indicate HTx is the set of 4 or 10 transmit lanes of the device under test corresponding to the 4 or 10 near-end crosstalk disturbers.
	C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3.3 P 254 L 43 # 696 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status A It should be made clear that the pattern generator (and aggressor) requirements apply at the test reference, or Pattern Generator Connection (PGC), as shown in Figure 85-6.
	SuggestedRemedy Add a statement at the beginning of 85.8.4.3.3 that states the requirements of this subclause are verified at the PGC.
	Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The requirements

Under sentence page 253 line 28 add "

of this subclause are verified at the pattern generator

connection (PGC) or test references in Figure 85-6 and Figure 85-7. The lanes under test (LUT) are illustrated in Figure 85-6 and Figure 85-7."

C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3.2 Healey, Adam	P 254 LSI Corporation	ג <i>ב39</i>	# 697	C/ 85A SC 85A Healey, Adam	A.2 P415 LSI Corpor	L 15 ration	# 699	
···· //·· =	ment Status A			Comment Type T				
Terminated in what impedance	? Also "host transmitte	er" should be p	lural.		nsmitter characteristics at TP0 a cteristics and as a result most o			
SuggestedRemedy					Ild be simpler to just reference (
Change last sentence to read " Ohms."	, and host transmitte	ers (HTx) and F	PGC terminated in 100	SuggestedRemedy				
Response Res	onse Status C			100GBASE-CR1 defined in 72.7.1	Transmitter electrical characteri 0 are the same as 10GBASE-KI 1 through 72.7.1.11. In addition xceed 30 mV RMS." Delete Tat	R transmitter chara , the common-mod	cteristics at TP1, as	
Change:"with no signal applied (HTx) and PGC terminated." To:"with no signal applied at PC Give editorial licence to clarify t	GC, and HTx and PGC	terminated in	,	Response REJECT.	Response Status C			
to Figure 85-7.				TP0 and TP5 are 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 test points. The purpose of Annex 85A is to provide information on parameters associated with test points TP0 and				
C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3.3 Healey, Adam	P 254 LSI Corporatior	ג ע 44	# 698		nsmitter characteristics at TP0.			
Comment Type T Com Rise and fall times are not defin	ment Status A ned in this clause. A re	ference should	d be provided.					
SuggestedRemedy Change sentence to read: "The 72.7.1.7, are 47 ps."	e rise and fall times of t	the pattern gen	nerator, as defined in					
Response Response Response	onse Status C							
The rise and fall times of the pa	attern generator are 47	' ps using the r	nethodology in 72.7.1.7.					

C/85A SC 8	5A.3	P 416	L 1	# 700	C/ 45	SC 45	5.2.1	P 39	L15	# 701
ealey, Adam		LSI Corporati	on		Barrass, F	lugh		Cisco System	ns, Inc.	
omment Type	т	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		
characteristics would be simple	and as a res ler to just ref je for SCD11		olicates a similate the exception	ar table in Clause 72. It	the 32 future comm	2,000 regis projects t ients deal	sters av that use	unters are packed in much m vailable. This may lead to pair more lanes. This comment to the particular registers, so it	nful and unnece will be reference	ssary renumbering in ed by specific other
uggestedRemedy	/				Suggester		draaaaa	of per-PCS-lane registers so	that thou start	on 100 houndarian ar
Change to read 100GBASE-CR In addition Diffe	d "Receiver R10 are the s erential to co	electrical characteristics a same as 10GBASE-KR, a ommon mode conversion	as defined in 72	2.7.2.2 through 72.7.2.5.	reserv lane r	, ve 200 reg	jister ac o that tl	dresses for future expansion hey start on 100 bounaries an	h. Change the ad	dresses of per-physi
from 50 MHz to		Delete Table 85A-2.			Response	!		Response Status C		
esponse	R	esponse Status C			ACCE	PT.				
ACCEPT IN PF	RINCIPLE.				CI 45	SC 45	521	P39	L16	# 702
					Barrass, H			Cisco System	-	102
In Table 85-6 a	and Table 85	A-2 for SCD11			Comment	0	т	Comment Status A	,	
	eter name: F D11"	rom "Differential to comr	mon mode		HB_0		registe	r BASE-R FEC corrected blo	ocks counter, lan	es 0 through 19
input return los		noue			Suggeste	dRemedy				
·		_			Chang	ge register	r addre	sses to 1.300 to 1.339, add a	row for Reserv	ed 1.340 to 1.699
In Table 85-6 c MHz to 10000 I		B max from 50			Response			Response Status C		
To:"10 min fron 10 GHz"					ACCE					
)			C/ 45	SC 45	5.2.1	P 39	L18	# 703
11.1 GHz"	change: - n) max from 0.01 to			Barrass, H	lugh		Cisco System	ns, Inc.	
To:"10 min from	m 10 MHz to				Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		
10 GHz"						3 Change ss as prop		r BASE-R FEC uncorrected I n HB_01	blocks counter,	lanes 0 through 19
					Suggestee Chang		r addre:	sses to 1.700 to 1.739, add a	row for Reserv	ed 1.740 to 1.1099
					Response	-		Response Status C		

Draft 3.0 Comments	IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments							Sponsor ballot	
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh	P 39 Cisco Systems	L 19 s, Inc.	# 704	<i>Cl</i> 45 Barrass,	SC 45.2.1 Hugh		' 39 co System	L 24 ns, Inc.	# 707
Comment Type T Reserved registers need SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A d to change according to HB_	_01		Commen HB_(HB_(6 Change registe	Comment Statu er BASE-R LP statu		ane 0 (copy) add	ress as proposed in
Change address range t	to 1.176 to 1.299 (move to th	e appropriate p	osition)	00	<i>dRemedy</i> ge register addre	ess to 1.1200			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Respons ACC		Response Statu	s C		
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T HB_04 Change register in HB_01 SuggestedRemedy Change register address Response	P 39 Cisco Systems Comment Status A BASE-R LP coefficient upda s to 1.1100 Response Status C		# 705	HB_(Suggeste	t <i>Type</i> T)7 Change registe)1 edRemedy	Cis <i>Comment Statu</i> er BASE-R LP statu	s report, l	ane 1 through 9 a	# <u>708</u> address as proposed in rved 1.1210 to 1.1299
ACCEPT.				Respons ACC		Response Statu	s C		
proposed in HB_01 SuggestedRemedy	P 39 Cisco Systems Comment Status A BASE-R LP coefficient upda ses to 1.1101 to 1.1109, add Response Status C	te, lane 1 throu	-	in HE Suggeste	t <i>Type</i> T 18 Change registe 5_01 td <i>Remedy</i> ge register addre	Cis <i>Comment Statu</i> er BASE-R LD coeft	icient upd		# 7 <u>09</u>) address as proposed

Draft 3.0 Comments	5	IEEE P80	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s Ethern	et comments			Sponsor ballo
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh	P 39 Cisco System	L 27 s, Inc.	# 710	C/ 45 SC 4 Barrass, Hugh	45.2.1	P 39 Cisco Syster	L 32 ns, Inc.	# 713
proposed in HB_01 SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A er BASE-R LD coefficient upda			Comment Type Reserved regi SuggestedRemed Delete reserve Response	sters need to cha y ed row 1.306	ange according to Hi	B_01	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			ACCEPT.				
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T HB_10 Change registe HB_01 SuggestedRemedy Change register addre Response ACCEPT.	P39 Cisco System Comment Status A er BASE-R LD status report, la ess to 1.1400 Response Status C		# 7 <u>11</u>	Barrass, Hugh Comment Type HB_12 Chang SuggestedRemed Change regist Response ACCEPT.	e register Test p y er address to 1.1	P39 Cisco Syster oment Status A attern ability address 500 onse Status C P39		# <u>714</u> HB_01 # 715
HB_01 SuggestedRemedy Change register addre	P 39 Cisco System Comment Status A er BASE-R LD status report, la	ne 1 through 9		Barrass, Hugh <i>Comment Type</i> HB_13 Chang <i>SuggestedRemed</i>	T Corr e register Square y er address to 1.1 <i>Resp</i>	Cisco Syster ment Status A e wave testing contro 501 onse Status C		-
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			change to reg	ister address 1.1	510 and change res	erved space acco	ordingly.

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P80	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet con	nments		Sponsor ballot
<i>Cl</i> 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh	P 39 Cisco System	L 35 s, Inc.	# 716	<i>Cl</i> 45 Barrass,	SC 45.2.1 Hugh	P 39 Cisco Syste	L 40 ems, Inc.	# 719
Comment Type T HB_14 Change register F	Comment Status A RBS pattern testing contro	l address as pro	pposed in HB_01	Commen Rese		Comment Status A ed to change according to H	HB_01	
SuggestedRemedy Change register address	to 1.1502			00	edRemedy nge address rang	e to 1.1710 to 1.32767		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C			Respons ACC		Response Status C		
Change register address	to 1.1501			CI 45	SC 45.2.3	P65	L 45	# 720
proposed in HB_01 SuggestedRemedy Change register addresse Response ACCEPT. CI 45 SC 45.2.1 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T HB_16 Change register P proposed in HB_01 SuggestedRemedy Change register addresse	P39 Cisco System: Comment Status A PRBS Tx error counters, lan es to 1.1600 to 1.1609, add Response Status C P39 Cisco System: Comment Status A PRBS Rx error counters, lan es to 1.1700 to 1.1709 Response Status C	a row for Rese <i>L</i> 38 s, Inc.	rved 1.1610 to 1.1699 # 718	HB_C Suggeste Chan Response ACC C/ 45 Barrass, Commen Rese Suggeste	t Type T 17 Change regist 17 Change regist 17 Change regist 19 Change register 10 Change regist 10 Cha	Cisco Syste Comment Status A er BIP error counters, lanes esses to 3.200 to 3.219 Response Status C P65 Cisco Syste Comment Status A eed to change according to H e to 3.83 to 3.199 Response Status C	0 through 19 add <i>L</i> 44 ems, Inc.	ress as proposed in # <u>721</u>
ACCEPT.				Suggeste	t Type T erved registers ne edRemedy nge address rang e	P65 Cisco Syste Comment Status A eed to change according to H e to 3.220 to 3.32768 Response Status C		# 722

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 154 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:34 AM

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

<i>Cl</i> 45 SC 45.2.1. Barrass, Hugh	89 P 59 Cisco Systen	L 23 ns, Inc.	# 723	<i>Cl</i> 45 <i>SC</i> 45.2.1.8 Barrass, Hugh		L 17 stems, Inc.	# 727
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A resses according to HB_02 resses to 1.300 to 1.339			wrong. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A ess according to HB_06. N	Note that the register	address is currently
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Change register addr Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
<i>Cl</i> 45 <i>SC</i> 45.2.1. Barrass, Hugh	90 P59 Cisco Systen	L 36 ns, Inc.	# 724	note that HB_06 is co	mment 707		
Comment Type T Change register add	Comment Status A resses according to HB_03			Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.9 Barrass, Hugh		L 5 stems, Inc.	# 728
SuggestedRemedy Change register add	resses to 1.700 to 1.739			Comment Type T Change register addr	Comment Status A esses according to HB_07	,	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy Change register addr	esses to 1.1201 to 1.1209		
<i>Cl</i> 45 <i>SC</i> 45.2.1 . Barrass, Hugh	79 P52 Cisco Systen	L 49 ns, Inc.	# 725	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A ress according to HB_04			C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.8 Barrass, Hugh		L 37 stems, Inc.	# 729
SuggestedRemedy Change register add Response	ress to 1.1100 Response Status C			wrong.	Comment Status A ess according to HB_08. N	Note that the register	address is currently
ACCEPT.				SuggestedRemedy Change register addr	ess to 1.1300		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1. Barrass, Hugh	91 P 59 Cisco System	L 51 ns, Inc.	# 726	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Comment Type T Change register add	Comment Status A resses according to HB_05			note that HB_08 is co	mment 709		
SuggestedRemedy Change register add	resses to 1.1101 to 1.1109						
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

/ 45 SC 45.2.1.93 arrass, Hugh	P 60	L14	# 730	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.95	Bat		
	Cisco Systems	s, Inc.	# [730	Barrass, Hugh	P 61 Cisco Systen	L 3 ns, Inc.	# 733
omment Type T C Change register addresses a	comment Status A according to HB_09			Comment Type T Change register addres	Comment Status A s according to HB_12		
uggestedRemedy Change register addresses t	to 1.1301 to 1.1309			SuggestedRemedy Change register addres	s to 1.1500 (multiple instand	ces)	
esponse Re ACCEPT.	esponse Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
/ 45 SC 45.2.1.82 arrass, Hugh	P 54 Cisco Systems	L 4 s, Inc.	# 731	Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.96 Barrass, Hugh	P 62 Cisco Systen	L 6 ns, Inc.	# 734
Change register address aco wrong. uggestedRemedy Change register address to	0 –	hat the register	address is currently	Comment Type T Change register addres SuggestedRemedy Change register addres Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	s to 1.1501 (multiple instand Response Status C	ces, note also re	ference in 45.2.1.95)
note that HB_10 is commen	t 711				s to 1.1510 (multiple instand		,
/ 45 SC 45.2.1.94 arrass, Hugh <i>omment Type</i> T C	P 60 Cisco Systems comment Status A	L 23 s, Inc.	# 732	Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.97 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T Change register addres	P63 Cisco Systen Comment Status A s according to HB_14	L 3 ns, Inc.	# 7 <u>35</u>
•	0 –			SuggestedRemedy	s to 1.1502 (multiple instand Response Status C	ces, note also re	ference in 45.2.1.95)
ACCEPT.				Change register addres	s to 1.1501 (multiple instand	ces, note also re	ference in 45.2.1.95)

Draft 3.0 Co	omments		IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s	Sponsor ballot				
<i>Cl</i> 45 SC Barrass, Hugh	C 45.2.1.98	P 63 Cisco Systems	L 49 s, Inc.	# 736	C/ 45 Barrass, ⊦	SC 45.2.3.38 lugh	P 82 Cisco Syst	L 21 ems, Inc.	# 740	
Comment Type Change reg	-	omment Status A according to HB_15			<i>Comment</i> Chan	51	Comment Status A ses according to HB_17			
SuggestedReme Change reg		to 1.1600 to 1.1609 (mul	tiple instances)		Suggestee Chang		ses to 3.201 to 1.219 (mu	Iltiple instances)		
Response ACCEPT.	Re	esponse Status C			Response ACCE		Response Status C			
CI 45 SC Barrass, Hugh	C 45.2.1.99	P 64 Cisco Systems	L 20 s, Inc.	# 737	<i>Cl</i> 74 Barrass, ⊦	SC 74.8 lugh	P 121 Cisco Syste	L 25 ems, Inc.	# 741	
Comment Type Change reg	-	omment Status A according to HB_16			Comment Chang	51	Comment Status A ses according to HB_02			
SuggestedReme Change reg		to 1.1700 to 1.1709 (mul	tiple instances)		Suggestee Chane	-	ses to 1.300 to 1.339. Als	o in 74.8.4.1, p.122		
Response ACCEPT.	Re	esponse Status C			Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.			
<i>Cl</i> 45 SC Barrass, Hugh	C 45.2.1	P 39 Cisco Systems	L 34 s, Inc.	# 738		egister addresses in Clause 45.	will be changed to match	any relevant registe	er address changes	
<i>Comment Type</i> The names		omment Status A 8 & 1.309 are reversed				HB_02 is commer		1.00	" 740	
SuggestedReme	edy				<i>CI</i> 74 Barrass, ⊦	SC 74.8 lugh	P 121 Cisco Syste	L 28 ems, Inc.	# 742	
pattern testi	ing control	nat 1.308 is Square wave	e testing contro	and 1.309 is PRBS	Comment Type T Comment Status A Change register addresses according to HB_03					
Response ACCEPT.	Re	sponse Status C			Suggester Chang		ses to 1.700 to 1.739. Als	o in 74.8.4.2, p.123		
CI 45 SC Barrass, Hugh	C 45.2.3.37	P 82 Cisco Systems	L 3 s, Inc.	# 739	Response ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.			
Comment Type Change reg		omment Status A cording to HB_17				egister addresses in Clause 45.	will be changed to match	any relevant registe	er address changes	
SuggestedReme Change reg		3.200 (multiple instances	5)		Note I	HB_03 is commer	nt 703			
Response ACCEPT.	Re	esponse Status C								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 742

Page 157 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:34 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments	EEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s Ethernet comme	Sponsor ballot	
C/ 83 SC 83.5.10 P 213 L Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems, Inc. Cisco Systems, Inc.	.11 # 743	C/ 83 SC 83.5.10 Barrass, Hugh	P 213 Cisco Systems,	L29 # 745
Comment Type T Comment Status A Change register addresses according to HB_12		Comment Type T Change register addresses	Comment Status A s according to HB_14	
SuggestedRemedy Change register addresses (currently 1.307) to 1.1500 - 7 p.216	nstances. Also in Table 83-3,	SuggestedRemedy Change register addresses p.215	s (currently 1.309) to 1.1502	- 12 instances. Also in Table 83-2,
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		Response F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C	
Note HB_12 is comment #714. Change 7 instances on page 213 of 1.307 to 1.1500.		Change register addresses p.215	s (currently 1.309) to 1.1501	- 12 instances. Also in Table 83-2,
Change 1 instance on page 214 of 1.307 to 1.1500 (note a bit 12 for this instance per comment 484)	lso that bit 15 should have been	note HB_14 is comment 7 Cl 83 SC 83.5.10 Barrass, Hugh	16 P 213 Cisco Systems, I	L 49 # 7 <u>46</u>
Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status A Change register addresses according to HB_13 SuggestedRemedy	39 # <u>744</u>	Change register addresses SuggestedRemedy Change register addresses	-	1600-1609. Also in Table 83-4, p.21
Change register addresses (currently 1.308) to 1.1501 - 2 p.215 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. HB_13 is comment 715. Change register addresses (currently 1.308) to 1.1510 - 2 p.215		Change register addresses SuggestedRemedy Change register addresses Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	s (currently 1.320 -219) to 1. Response Status C	L8 # 7 <u>47</u> Inc. 1700-1709. Also in Table 83-4, p.217
		Note that HB_16 is commo	ent #718. s to be changed are 1.320-32	29 (not 219)

Comment ID # 747

Page 158 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:34 AM

Response

lane number

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 82	SC 82.2.14	P180	L13	# 748	C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.39	P 82	L 27	# 750	
Barrass, H	Hugh	Cisco System	s, Inc.		Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems, Inc.					
Comment	t Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment Type T Comment Status A					
	ge register addre ntly wrong.	sses according to HB_17. No	te that the regis	er address range is	In accordance with comment HB_18, subclauses are required to define the PCS lane mapping registers.					
Suggeste	dRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy				
Chan	ge register addre	sses (currently 3.90-3.99) to 3	3.200-219. Also	in Table 82-7, p.187			39 - PCS lane mapping r		,	
Response	Э	Response Status W							wn in Table 114b. When	
ACCE	ACCEPT. See also #720 (AKA HB_17) and related is #459. 45 SC 45.2.3 P65 L46 # 749					the multi-lane PCS described in Clause 82 detects and locks the alignment smarker for PCS lane 0, the corresponding PMA service interface lane number is recorded in this register. The contents of the PCS lane mapping register, lane 0 is valid when the Lane 0 aligned bit (3.52.0) is set to one and is invalid otherwise the table has one entry: bits 3.400.5:0; name PCS mapping, lane 0; description PMS service interface lane number th				
Barrass, H	Hugh	Cisco System	s, Inc.		•		ther bits reserved.			
Comment	t Type T	Comment Status A			Response		Response Status C			
HB_1	8 It would be use	ful to include a set of PCS ma	apping registers	for debug purposes. In	ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPL	.E.			
each	order to make this simple to define and extend in the future, there should be a register for each PCS lane that contains the PMA service interface lane number after the lane is aligned.					Add subclause 45.2.3.39 - Lane mapping register 0 (Register 3.400) - The assignment of bits in the Lane mapping register 0 is shown in Table 114b. When the multi-lane PCS				
Suggeste	dRemedy				described in Clause 82 detects and locks the alignment marker for service interface lane 0 the detected PCS lane number is recorded in this register. The contents of the Lane					
3.419). Also add a rese	CS lane mapping registers, la rved row between 3.220 and 3 2767. This amends the resolu	3.399; the last r			ing register 0 is va	alid when the Lane 0 alio	•		
onany	ge io 0.420 io 0.5				0		han a star a star ta star da ha h first		0	

Create table 114b that has one entry with: bits 3.400.5:0; name Lane 0 mapping; description PCS lane received in service interface lane 0. Other bits reserved.

Response Status C

As per suggested remedy but call the registers "Lane mapping register x" where x is the

Sponsor ballot

CI 45	SC 45.2.3.40	P 82	L 28	# 751	CI 88	SC 8	3.6	P 343	L	# 753
Barrass, ⊢	Hugh	Cisco Syste	ems, Inc.		Karocki, P	iotr		TBD Polska		
Comment		Comment Status A			Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status R		
	cordance with con ing registers.	iment HB_18, subclauses	are required to de	fine the PCS lane	My kn		s too sn	nall to be sure, but 10 gigabit/		
Add s throug identio	gh 3.419) - The de cal to that describ	0 - PCS lane mapping reg finition of PCS lane mappi ed for lane 0 in 45.2.3.39. in register 3.402; etc.	ng registers, lane	s 1 through 19 is	nm. Now, in 100 Gb/s, E and L has same wavelenghts, and only difference is maximum distance and such parameters as sensitivity of receiver (table 88.8). But, if same wavelength, why E? I thought that E means extra long wavelength (at least in 10 Gb/s). <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>					
Response	9	Response Status C			Response			Response Status C		
3.419)) - The definition on the 0 in 45.2.3.39.	0 - Lane mapping register f Lane mapping registers The lane mapping for lane P186	1 through 19 is id	entical to that described	In the for Ion This n Ganga	40GBAS g wavele omenclat a_02_050	E and 1 ngth. ure was 8 and N	by means of a letter indicatin 00GBASE nomenclature as e adopted by the task force in lotion #2 in May 2008 minutes	xplained in 80 May 2008 (Se s).	1.4 the L does not stand e slide 8 of
C/ 8∠ Barrass, ⊦		Cisco Syste		# [752	Straw	Poll #1:		further discussed in July 200		•
	51	Comment Status A ment HB_18, it would be to poses.	useful to include a	set of PCS mapping	● Leav ● Char LR4-E	re the nor nge the n	nenclati	ed to indicate a preference be ure unchanged; ature to one of 100GBASE-LF	·	
Suggested	dRemedy				Result		Unchar	nged - 25, Change - 25		
		raph: When the PCS align						ed - 26, Change - 26		
locked	d PCS lane in the	the PMA service interfac appropriate PCS lane map Table 82-7 and PICS.			See al	so comm	ient 391			
Response	9	Response Status C								
	PT IN PRINCIPL	E. ition of comment #266.								
numb	er of the PCS lan priate lane mappi	nt marker lock process ac e received on a particular l ng register (3.400-3.419) s	ane of the PMA s	ervice interface in the						

C/ 45 SC 4	45.2.1.4.1a	P 42	L 24	# 754	C/ 85	SC a	85.8.3		P 244	L 26	# 755
Law, David		3Com			Misek, Bria	an			Avago Techn	ologies	
	reed with staff that				Comment Line n		TR be remov		t S <i>tatus</i> A -24 replaced thi	s	
inserted after a	an existing s an existing subclaus lause number][a thr	se - assuming it is		Where a subclause is new subclause it is	Suggested Remo		ly				
43.2.a and 43. and 43.2.1b. T 43.2.3 and 43.	Two subclauses add .2.4.	es between 43.2.1 led after the last s	and 43.2.2 would ubclause 43.2.2 w	be numbered 43.2.1a would be numbered			PRINCIPL to comm		Status C		
inserts before tapproach. Here	At the moment I note that IEEE P802.3ba isn't self consistent with itself in respect to inserts before first existing subclause - and I see IEEE P802.3az using a different approach. Here are three examples of inserts before the existing first paragraph where each time a different numbering approach has been used.					SC an	85.8.3.2		P 245 Avago Techn	L 27 ologies	# 756
each time a different numbering approach has been used. [1] IEEE P802.3ba/D3.0 using .1a then .1b 45.2.1.4 PMA/PMD speed ability (Register 1.4) 45.2.1.4.1a 100G capable (1.4.9) 45.2.1.4.1b 40G capable (1.4.8)					Comment Type ER Comment Status A Term ICN is too general, this is far-end integrated cross talk which is given the symbol sigma with subscript fx in the referenced section equation 85-31.						
45.2.1.4.1 10/1 [2] IEEE P802.	1G capable (1.4.7) 2.3ba/D3.0 using .1a				Suggested Chang		-	sigma with fo	k subscript.		
45.2.1.9.1a PM 45.2.1.9.2a PM	45.2.1.9 PMD receive signal detect register (Register 1.10) 45.2.1.9.1a PMD receive signal detect 9 (1.10.10) 45.2.1.9.2a PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9) [3] IEEE P802.3az/D2.2 using .a and .b					Response Response Status W ACCEPT.					
	2.3 Organizationally				<i>CI</i> 85 Misek, Bria		85.8.3.2		P 245 Avago Techn	L 35 ologies	# 757
 SuggestedRemedy Please use the approach agreed with staff in respect to inserts before existing first paragraph. Change '45.2.1.4.1a 100G capable (1.4.9)' to read '45.2.1.4.a 100G capable (1.4.9)'. Change '45.2.1.4.1b 40G capable (1.4.8)' to read '45.2.1.4.b 40G capable (1.4.8)'. Change '45.2.1.8.1a PMD transmit disable 9 (1.9.10)' to read '45.2.1.8.a PMD transmit disable 9 (1.9.10)'. Change '45.2.1.8.2a PMD transmit disable 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.9.5, 1.9.6, 1.9.7, 1.9.8, 1.9.9)' to read '45.2.1.8.b PMD transmit disable 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.9.5, 1.9.6, 1.9.7, 1.9.8, 1.9.9)'. Change '45.2.1.9.1a PMD receive signal detect 9 (1.10.10)' to read '45.2.1.9.a PMD receive signal detect 9 (1.10.10)'. Change '45.2.1.9.2a PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9)' to read '45.2.1.9.b PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9)' to read '45.2.1.9.b PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9)' to read '45.2.1.9.b PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9)' to read '45.2.1.9.b PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9)' to read '45.2.1.9.b PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9)' to read '45.2.1.9.b PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9)' to read '45.2.1.9.b PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9)' to read '45.2.1.9.b PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9)' to read '45.2.1.9.b PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9)' to read '45.2.1.9.b PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9)' to read '45.2.1.9.b PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (1.10.5, 1.10.6, 1.10.7, 1.10.8, 1.10.9)' to read '45.2.1.9.b PMD receive signal detect 4, 5, 6, 7,					must b Suggested	transmi be prese IRemed je "all of	ent. <i>ly</i> ther" to "a	o general and all co-propaga	t <i>Status</i> D d can lead to a n ating channels" <i>Status</i> W	reading that the	Near end transmitters
					PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change:"The reference lane of the transmitter under test sends a square wave test path while all other transmitter lanes send either scrambled idle or PRBS-31."						
1.10.8, 1.10.9) Change '45.2.3		idle test-pattern e								sends a square mbled idle or Pf	e wave test pattern wh RBS-31."
esponse ACCEPT.	Respon	se Status W									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s	and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments	Sponsor ballot	
C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.3 P 247 L 13 # 758 Misek, Brian Avago Technologies 4 758 1	Cl 85 SC 85.8.4.2 P 253 L 10 Misek, Brian Avago Technologies	# 761	
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Lines 13-16 have been superceded by Table 85-4 lines 22-24 and page 245 lines 44 and 45 SuggestedRemedy Remove Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment#818.	Comment Type E Comment Status D Test 1 and 2 are confusing. They are associated with long and short criplaces and called out as high and low loss. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change Test 1 to Low Loss and Tes 2 to High Loss Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. V	able channel in other	
C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.3.1 P 248 L 1 # 759 Misek, Brian Avago Technologies Avago Technologies # 759	Test 1 an Test 2 parameters unique to 85.8.4.2. C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.2 P 253 L 21	# 762	
Comment TypeERComment StatusAHow can 2 equations equal the same thing? $(c(0)+c(1)-c(-1))/(c(0)+c(1)+c(-1))$ is 1.29 and $(c(0)+c(1)-c(-1))/(c(0)+c(1)+c(-1))$ is 2.57	Misek, Brian Avago Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status A "-" is confusing and this is not MDNEXT but "sigma subscript nx"	-	
SuggestedRemedy One of these has a typo	SuggestedRemedy Remove "-" and change MDNEXT to "sigma subscript nx"		
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment#688	Response Response Status W ACCEPT.		
Cl 85 SC 85.8.3.4 P 250 L 22 # 760 Misek, Brian Avago Technologies 4 760 1	Cl 85 SC 85.10.9.3 P 265 L 27 Misek, Brian Avago Technologies	# 763	
Comment Type TR Comment Status D The minimum loss channel is missing. This loss makes sure the RL can be met with realistic host IC's It is present in 86A and as such should be present in 85 that share the same port. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type E Comment Status D This section could be helped by the use of "sigma nx" and "sigma fx" is entries. In addition the first 2 lines are new values not presented else variable presented to make sure on of the channels is not really bad? If so state introduction and give it a special "sigma" name. subscript of senx and	where. Are thes e that in the	
Add additional eqation by copying equation 86A-16 and adding the upper limit line that is represented by this eqation to Figure 85-4	SuggestedRemedy See comment suggestion		
Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add sentence below paragraph page 265 line 27" The mated test fixtu crosstalk RMS noise voltages for the single-disturber near-end crosstal single-disturber far-end crosstalk loss are determined using Equation (Equation (85-32) by substituting the single disturber near-end for the n end crosstalk loss and the single disturber far-end crosstalk loss for the far-end crosstalk loss."	alk loss and the (85-28) through nultiple disturber near-	

Page 162 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:35 AM

Draft 3.0 Comm	nents
----------------	-------

				-					
C/ 85 SC 85.10.9.	3 P 265	L35	# 764	C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.1.1	1 P34	L1	# 766	
/lisek, Brian	Avago Techno	ologies		Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems, Inc.					
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			
•	cation on the mated test fixture			There needs to be a management object that supports BIP errors.					
	(P. This would keep the QSFP	mated boards a	as clean as possible.	SuggestedRemedy					
SuggestedRemedy				Insert a	a new subclause	30.5.1.1.11a after 30.5.1.1	.11: aBIPErrorCo	unt - ATTRIBUTE -	
Add separate values f	for QSFP put same valuse as	place holder.				X: - A SEQUENCE of gen			
Proposed Response	Response Status Z					increment rate of 10 000 000 counts per second for			
REJECT.						AS: - For 40/100GBASE-I			
T L's second second second 100						rement for other PHY type			
	ITHDRAWN by the commente	H.				mber where N is the numb int of BIP errors for that P0			
CI 83A SC 83A.5.1	P 389	L15	# 765			ted during alignment mark			
Misek, Brian	Avago Techno	ologies		corres	oonding lane If	a Clause 45 MDIO Interfac	e to the PCS is p	resent, then this	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A					BIP error counters (see 45 (before aldleErrorCount).	.2.3.37 and 45.2.	3.38).; - also add the	
	ate can have de-emphasis.			_		,			
	····			Response		Response Status C			
SuggestedRemedy	a acting to "in defined any as	tting that gives	ontimal norfarmanaa"	ACCE	J.				
0 1	al setting" to "is defined any se	ung mat gives	opumai penormance						
Response	Response Status C								
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	PLE.								
"is defined by any set	ting that gives optimal perform	ance"							
	5 5 i l i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i								

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.82a P 54 L 12 # 767 .aw, David 3Com	C/ 85 SC 85.10.9.1 P 263 L 41 # 768 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom					
aw, David 3Com omment Type ER Comment Status A The editing instruction for subclause 45.2.1.82a reads 'Insert 45.2.1.82a and 45.2.1.82b for status register 2 & 3:' which doesn't make it totally clear where to place the new subclauses. According to the IEEE Standards Style Guide a letter subclause such as this is placed after the numbered so 45.2.1.82a would appear after 45.2.1.82. However looking at the register numbers it appears that these new subclauses should appear before 45.2.1.82. 45.2.1.81 10GBASE-KR LD status report register (Register 1.155) 45.2.1.82 BASE-R PMD status 2 register (Register 1.156) 45.2.1.82 1000BASE-KX control register (Register 1.160) 45.2.1.83 1000BASE-KX status register (Register 1.161) I also note that the subclauses of 45.2.1.82b statt at .5 as follows which I don't think is	Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status A mated test fixture is missing SCC and SCD specifications SuggestedRemedy CL 85 has now incorporated HCB and MCB from CL 86 but did not include SCC and SCE requirements. Please copy form 86A.5.1.1.2 Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add equation 86A-10 (SCD12/21) and Equation 86A-9 (SCC11/22)					
Task hole that the subclauses of 45.2.1.62b start at .5 as follows which i don't think is correct. 45.2.1.82b BASE-R PMD status 3 register (Register 1.157) 45.2.1.82b.5 Receiver status 8, 9 (1.157.0, 1.157.4) 45.2.1.82b.6 Frame lock 8, 9 (1.157.1, 1.157.5) 45.2.1.82b.7 Start-up protocol status 8, 9 (1.157.2, 1.157.6) 45.2.1.82b.8 Training failure 8, 9 (1.157.3, 1.157.7) <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Suggest the editorial instructions be changed to read 'Insert subclause 45.2.1.81a and 45.2.1.81b after subclause 45.2.1.81: Suggest that the subclauses be labelled as follows: 45.2.1.81a BASE-R PMD status 2 register (Register 1.156) 45.2.1.81a.1 Receiver status 4, 5, 6, 7 (1.156.0, 1.156.4, 1.156.8, 1.156.12) 45.2.1.81a.2 Frame lock 4, 5, 6, 7 (1.156.1, 1.156.5, 1.156.9, 1.156.13)	C/ 85 SC 85.10.8 P 262 L 25 # 769 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status R Document organization, it would a better fit to move 85.10.8 in to test fixture section SuggestedRemedy Move the section after 85.8.3.5 Response Response Status W REJECT. 85.8 is MDI electricals; 85.8.3.5 test fixture is for TP2 or TP3 testing. 85.10 is cable assembly characteristics; 85.10.8 test fixture is for the cable assembly.					
45.2.1.81a.3 Start-up protocol status 4, 5, 6, 7 (1.156.2, 1.156.6, 1.156.10, 1.156.14) 45.2.1.81a.4 Training failure 4, 5, 6, 7 (1.156.3, 1.156.7, 1.156.11, 1.156.15) 45.2.1.81b BASE-R PMD status 3 register (Register 1.157) 45.2.1.81b.1 Receiver status 8, 9 (1.157.0, 1.157.4) 45.2.1.81b.2 Frame lock 8, 9 (1.157.1, 1.157.5) 45.2.1.81b.3 Start-up protocol status 8, 9 (1.157.2, 1.157.6) 45.2.1.81b.4 Training failure 8, 9 (1.157.3, 1.157.7) <i>Response</i> Response Status W ACCEPT.	Cl 85 SC 85.10.9 P 262 L 21 # 770 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom Broadcom # 770 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Document organization, it would a better fit to move 85.10.9 in to test fixture section SuggestedRemedy Move the section after 85.8.3.5 Response Response Status W REJECT. See comment#769. In addition, 85.10.9 should follow after 85.10.8.					

Draft 3.0	Comments
-----------	----------

Sponsor ballot

<i>Cl</i> 85 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 85.8.3.5	P 251 Broadcom	L19	# 771	<i>Cl</i> 85 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 85.11.1.2.	1 P269 Broadcom	L 32	# 773
Comment Currer		Comment Status A fixtrue hangs in air				reorder lanes	Comment Status R but figure 85-12 shows sp		
Suggested Please with rf	e add host to the	left of the TP2/TP3 test fixture	e. Replace the I	DC blocks and scope	signal in	tegrity based or	necting lane 1 to lane one a QSFP and CXP connect vs connecting any host la	or pin out.	
Response		Response Status W			SuggestedR	emedy			
	PT IN PRINCIPL				as define	ed in Table 85-1	Style-1 40GBASE-CR4 M 2." to "Example Style-1 4 Table 85-12. Other wiring	OGBASE-CR4 MD	I connector contact
CI 85	SC 85.11.1.1	P 267	L 32	# 772			are not broken and the po		
Ghiasi, Ali		Broadcom			Response		Response Status U		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R			REJECT	. See response	comment#772.		
the MI signal	DI connector. Cor integrity based o	but figure 85-12 shows speci necting lane 1 to lane one of n QSFP and CXP connector	the the MDI co pin out.	uld compromise the	<i>Cl</i> 85 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 85.11.1.3	P 271 Broadcom	L 32	# 774
SI	CL85, CL86 allo	ws connecting any host lane	to module lane	or ease of flexibility and	Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status R		
as def assign	nt statement "The ined in Table 85- nment is shown in	Style-1 40GBASE-CR4 MDI 12." to "Example Style-1 40G Table 85-12. Other wiring as are not broken and the polarit	BASE-CR4 MD signment is acc	connector contact eptable as long as Tx	the MDI signal in	connector. Con tegrity based or	but figure 85-12 shows sp necting lane 1 to lane one n QSFP and CXP connect vs connecting any host la	e of the the MDI co or pin out.	ould compromise the
Response		•	y is maintailleu		SuggestedR	emedy			
•		Response Status U		entions: MDI contact	Current	statement "The	Style-1 40GBASE-CR4 M	IDI connector cont	tact assignment shall be

REJECT. MLD is independent of MDI source lane (SL) naming conventions; MDI contact assignments consistent with SFF-8436.

as defined in Table 85-12." to "Example Style-1 40GBASE-CR4 MDI connector contact assignment is shown in Table 85-12. Other wiring assignment is acceptable as long as Tx lane and Rx lane pairs are not broken and the polarity is maintained."

Response Response Status U

REJECT. See response to comment#772.

Draft 3.0 Co	omments
--------------	---------

Cl 85 SC 85.8.3 P 244 L 36 # 775 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom Broadcom Broadcom	C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3 P 253 L 38 # 777 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom				
Comment Type TR Comment Status A No test method is provided for DDJ	Comment Type TR Comment Status A FIg 85-6 defines LUT and PGC but you have to read the next section before you know what they are				
SuggestedRemedy Total jitter is measured with PRBS31 (pattern 3) at BER of 10-12. Data Dependent jitter is measured with PRBS9 based on method given in 85.8.3 with following definition DDJ=max(dt1, dt2,,dt256) - min(dt1, dt2,,dt256). Section 85.8.3 would need to be updated or the other option is to create a standalone section. Total jitter Euclider DD I = T = DD I	SuggestedRemedy Please provide test setup definition in the same section as well as definition of LUT and PGG in this section Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #696.				
Total Jitter Excluding DDJ = TJ - DDJ Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3 P 253 L 38 # 778 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom B				
Add subclause 85.8.3.8 for DDJ measurement per ghiasi_0x Editor given licence to implement editorial changes. Add reference to footnote (f) DDJ is measured with PRBS9 as specified in 83.8.3.8.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Test channel is measured from cable assembly test fixture to cable assembly test fixture and not to the middle of MDI				
In addition, change measurement bandwidth to at least 20 GHz.	SuggestedRemedy Please add 2nd digram showing test channel were it is used for calibration with cable right end terminated to cable assembly test fixture				
CI 85 SC 85.8.3.4 P 250 L 36 # 776 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status R CL 85A TP0 to TP2 definition require min loss why does CL85 does not require min channel loss? CL85A TP0 to TP2 definition require min loss why does CL85 does not require min loss	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In Figure 85-6 move label MDI over MDI. Extend hatched line to enclose Tx/Rx PCB, Rx Under Test and Tx. Label hatched rectangle "host under test".				
SuggestedRemedy Please add definition of CL86A6 min channel loss to this section	C/ 85 SC 85.84.3 P253 L 38 # 779 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom				
Response Response Status W REJECT.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A The cable assembly should be CR4/CR10 and not n pairs of Twinaxial cable n=4,10, etc				
Equation 86A-16 for IL min does not sufficiently characterize TP0-TP2 or TP3-TP5 insertion loss e.g., 0 dB @ 1 GHz,	SuggestedRemedy Replace with CR4/CR10 cable assembly				
~2.08 dB @ 5.15625 GHz. TP0 to TP2 = 2.08= [TxRx-PCB]+[Mated connector IL]+[TPTF/HCB IL] TP0 to TP2 = 2.08= [TxRx-PCB]+[Mated connector IL]+1.26 [TxRx-PCB]+[Mated connector IL]=0.82 dB In addition, the parameters at TP2 and TP3 measured includes affects of TxRxPCB IL therefore a normative minimum TxRxPCB IL is not required.	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: Figure 85-6 and Figure 85-7 n pair Twinaxial cable n=4,10,. To: cable assembly 4x or 10x consistent with Figure 85-2.				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet c							ments	Sponsor ballot	
<i>Cl</i> 85 SC 8 Ghiasi, Ali	5.84.3.2	P 254 Broadcom	L13	# 780	<i>Cl</i> 85 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 85.8.4.3.	B P254 Broadcom	L 45	# 783
51		ent Status A R4/CR10 and not n	pairs of Twinax	al cable n=4,10, etc	Comment T The ris	51	Comment Status A est patter not provided and	definition	
SuggestedRemedy Replace with C	/ CR4/CR10 cable as	ssembly			Suggested Rise ar		measured with pattern of 8	ones and 8 zero	s from 20-80%.
Response ACCEPT IN PI See response		nse Status W				PT IN PRINCIPL sponse to comm			
<i>Cl</i> 85 SC 8 Ghiasi, Ali	5.84.3	P 253 Broadcom	L 38	# 781	<i>Cl</i> 85 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 85.7.1	P 240 Broadcom	L 33	# 784
51	TR Comm sing load on the le	ent Status A			Comment T TP2 loc		Comment Status A ed on Fig 85-2 is not corre	ct	
SuggestedRemedy Please add loa		figure terminating a	all lanes		Suggested Please TP2		ture dotted below the curre	ent diagram and it	s output designated as
	, RINCIPLE. tences in commen		unain ata din 400	elen differentialle "	Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL mment#785.	Response Status W E.		
	5.84.3	receive lanes are te	L38	# 782	C/ 85	SC 85.7.1	P 240	L 33	# 785
Ghiasi, Ali	0.04.0	Broadcom	200	<i>π</i> 102	Ghiasi, Ali		Broadcom Comment Status A		
Comment Type	TR Comm	ent Status R			Comment TP3 Io	51	ed on Fig 85-2 is not corre	ct	
	prove if RX Under		e under test as w	rell as TX on the right	Suggested	Remedy	-		
SuggestedRemedy							e cable measured as meas fixture and designate TP3		le test fixture. Add doted
•	ent the suggestion				Response		Response Status W		
Response REJECT. Figure 85-7 pro					ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See resolution to comment#131- Under 85.7.1 Link block diagram create table of entries summarizing text test points.				ig textual description of
					Figure		clude suggested illustration otherwise, all transmitter n		

CI 82	SC 822.18.3	P 194	L 26	# 786	C/ 88	SC 88.8.8	P 350	L 45	# 788
Ghiasi. Ali		Broadcom			Ghiasi. Al	i	Broadcom		

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status R

A good packet may get corrupted if followed by a runt packet across these 2 blocks if aligned as such. Note a runt packet (including S and T) that is 9 octets or greater is not a problem. Also having a minimum of 15 C's between packets is not a problem either. The first 8 octets comprise RTYPE = T, the next 8 octets comprise RTYPE NEXT = E This causes Figure 82-15 to transition from RX D to RX E instead of RX T. In effect, a good packet would be corrupted.

SuggestedRemedv

A possible solution is to define a block format to Figure 82-5. "R" to cover the runt packet. This would prevent this block from being labeled as an invalid or error block. Figure 82-15 could be updated in the transition from RX D to RX T to include "R", R TYPE NEXT = (S + C + R)

see ghiasi_02_0110

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The state machine is optimized to prevent corrupted packets from entering the MAC, this is at the cost of a few corner cases which might drop what is possibly a good packet immediately after an error.

C/ 88	SC 88.8.5	P 350	L12	# 787
Ghiasi, Ali		Broadcom		

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status D

The CRU BW for the TDP measurement is defined to be 10 MHz and will result in higher power more complex receiver. The argument for having higher CRU BW is to filter power supply and VCO noise, but noise sources are not scaling when operation speed increased from 10.3125 to 25.7 Gigabud. So there is very little benefit of having higher CRU BW but a definite penalty. The 10 MHz burden will remain even in the case of future generations where ASIC/SerDes operate at 25 G with DFE receiver unless we require the CDR in the module to absorb the SJ with phase FIFO!

SuggestedRemedy

Propose to consider CRU BW 7 MHz instead of current 10 MHz. Higher CRU BW has very little benefit on the VCO noise and power supply noise but significant penalty on the receiver, see ghiasi 01 0110

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

CI 88	SC 88.8.8	P 350	L 45	# 788
Ghiasi, Ali		Broadcom		

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Transmitter eye diagram is measured CRU BW of 10 MHz will result to more complex higher power receiver implementations. D2.1 and comment 128 will result to more complex higher power receiver implementations. Increased CRU BW has very little benefit on the VCO noise. The 10 MHz burden will remain even in the case of future generations where ASIC/SerDes operate at 25 G with DFE receiver!

SuggestedRemedy

Propose CRU BW 7 MHz instead of current 10 MHz. Higher CRU BW has very little benefit on the VCO noise and power supply noise but significant penalty on the receiver, see ghiasi 01 0110

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Sponsor ballot

<i>CI</i> 88 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 88.8.10	P 351 Broadcom	L 21	# 789	<i>Cl</i> 88 <i>SC</i> 88 . Ghiasi, Ali	.8.10	P 351 Broadcom	L 23	# 790
Commont 7		Commont Status D			Commont Turno		Ctotup A		

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Current 10 MHz jitter tolerance corner frequency leads to higher power and complexity for the receiver. The CRU BW was increased by scaling CRU BW up by factor of 10.7/10.3125 from 10 GbE but the VCO noise and other power supply noise do not scale up. We are burdening the receiver for no clear benefit for the transmitter. The 10 MHz burden will remain even in the case of future generation where the ASIC/Serdses run at 25 G with DFE implementation!

SuggestedRemedv

Propose to consider corner frequency of 7 MHz instead of current 10 MHz and change 100 KHz to 70 KHz. Table 83-13 becomes: f<70 KHz not defined 70 KHz <f<=7 MHz 7*10^4/f + S - 0.05 7 MHz<f<10 S=0.05 (target value)

Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

The relative merits of 7 vs. 10 MHz corner frequencies depend on the implementation details of the clock extraction unit. Comments 127, 128 and 129 against D 2.2 proposed to change the corner frequency in Clause 88 from 10MHz to 7MHz and were disscussed by the Task Force Optical track during the Chicago meeting in September 2009. The result of a vote was:

The Task Force voted on whether to:

- A Leave the CRU corner frequency at 10 MHz and correct the formula in Table 88-13
- B Change the CRU corner frequency to 7 MHz in a consistent manner in clause 88

A 9

B 1

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Stress receiver sensitivity test for frequency greater than loop BW defines Si in the range of 0.05 UI to 0.15 UI. Defining the stress receiver sensitivity with so much slop means the test will not be consistent and higher amount of SJ will penalize the receiver for no good reason. Why do we need to carry this 10 years old legacy when test equipment where arcade and CL86A already take advantage of this?

SuggestedRemedy

propose to limit max SJ to 0.05 UI, Figure 86A-10 and Table 86-7 can be used as guide line. Table 88-13 then becomes: f<100 KHz Not defined 100 KHz<f<=10 MHz 5x10^5/f - 0.05 10 MHz<f<10 LB 0.05

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In Table 88-13: change "5x10^5/ f + S - 0.05" to "5x10^5/ f" also change "0.05 <= S <= 0.15" to "0.05" Remove footnote a

The procedure for stressed receiver sensitivity measurement in 87.8.11 has been modified by comment 794.

CI 00	SC 0		P 1	L 22	#	791
Ghiasi, Ali		Bro	oadcom			

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status A

Single mode objective was added late to the 802.3ba project per motion from barbieri 02 0308. Single mode 40GbE objective was added with broad market support from users, OEMs, and component suppliers. As a group however we failed to see early on that we need to extend nPPI so it can support 40Gbase-LR4.

The sheer size of the retimed interface forces the 40Gbase-LR4 into modules 4-10x the size of the QSFP module which is the choice for 40Gbase-SR4 PMD. The choices are to build a line card with high density and forgo single mode support or build a line card with <1/5 the aggregate BW possible with 40Gbase-SR4!

SuggestedRemedy

Extend the nPPI X4 to support 40Gbase-LR4, for detail implementation see comments on CL86 and 87 and king 01 0110

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comments #792 & #793

Comment ID # 791

Page 169 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:35 AM

	87.7.1	P314	L 30	# 792	C/ 86A	SC 86A.4.1	P 442	L 28	# 793			
Ghiasi, Ali		Broadcom			Ghiasi, Al	i	Broadcom					
Comment Type	TR (Comment Status A			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			LR4		
transmitter p together with	oower levels of h an increase o mment propose	E-LR4 module with an unr 40GBASE-LR4 are propo f the maximum TDP by 0. es to change the J2 and J	sed to be increa 3 dB.	ased by 0.3 dB,	J9 lim A rela	its of the XLPPI ted comment pri ing_01_0110.pd	BASE-LR4 module with an ur interface are proposed to be pooses to modify the optical p f	slightly changed		and		
SuggestedReme	ədy					•	e "J2 Jitter output" to "J2 Jitter	r output for 100	GBASE-R" and add	la		
Average lau Average lau Optical Mod Optical Mod Launch pow Transmitter RIN20OMA In Table 87-	ge launch powe nch power, eac nch power, eac lulation Amplitur lulation Amplitur rer in OMA minu and dispersion (max) from -12 8 change:	r (max) from 8.3 to 8.6 dB h lane (max) from 2.3 to 2 h lane (min) from -7 to -6. de (OMA), each lane (ma de (OMA), each lane (min) s TDP, each lane (min) fi penalty (TDP), each lane 8 to -130 dB/Hz om 3.3 to 3.6 dBm	2.6 dBm .7 dBm x) from 3.5 to 3 i) from -4 to -3.7 rom -4.8 to -4.5	7 dBm 5 dBm	In Tai add a Max. In Tai new r In Tai add a UI Ma See k	ble 86A-2 change new row for "J2 ble 86A-3 change ble 86A-3 change ble 86A-4 change new row above x. ing_01_0110 for	Jitter output for 40GBASE-R e "J2 Jitter tolerance" to "J2 Ji Jitter tolerance for 40GBASE e "J9 Jitter output" to "J9 Jitter Jitter output for 40GBASE-R e "J9 Jitter tolerance" to "J9 Ji for "J9 Jitter tolerance for 40G further details.	itter tolerance fo -R" at "TP1a" w r output for 100 " with a value of tter tolerance fo BASE-R" at "T	or 100GBASE-R" an ith a value of 0.17 GBASE-R" and add f 0.64 UI Max. or 100GBASE-R" an P4" with a value of	UI 1 a nd 0.64		
Average rec	eive power, ea	ch lane (max) from 2.3 to			Response		Response Status W					
		ch lane (min) from -13.7 to				PT IN PRINCIP	,					
Receiver se	nsitivity (OMA),	OMA) (max) from 3.5 to 3 each lane (max) from -9.	9 to -9.6 dBm				umber changed from 442]					
In Table 87- Power budg Allocation fo	9 change: et (for max TDF	y, each lane from 1.6 to 1. P) from 9 to 9.3 dB max TDP) from 2.3 to 2.6 urther details			J9 Jit	In Tables 86A-1 and 86A-2 change the J2 Jitter value from 0.18 to 0.17UI and change the J9 Jitter value from 0.26 to 0.29 UI In Tables 86A-3 and 86A-4 change the J2 Jitter value from 0.46 to 0.42 UI and change the						
		nment to modify the J2 an	d J9 values for	the XLPPI interfaces.	J9 Jit	J9 Jitter value from 0.62 to 0.65 UI						
Response ACCEPT IN	<i>R</i> PRINCIPLE.	esponse Status W					nex 86A to include 40GBASE A.1 to include 40GBASE-LR4	-LR4				
In Table 87- Transmitter		penalty (TDP), each lane	(max) from 2.3	to 2.6 dB	Do yo	u support:	-task force was taken: I 86A-2 change the J2 Jitter va	alue from 0.18 t	o 0.17UI			
	ceiver sensitivit	y (OMA), each lane (max) /, each lane from 1.6 to 1.		.6 dBm	B in T	B in Tables 86A-1 and 86A-2 leave the J2 Jitter value unchanged at 0.18UI Result: A 14						
	et (for max TDF	P) from 9 to 9.3 dB max TDP) from 2.3 to 2.6	dB									
Add a row to	o Table 87-1 to	show clause 86A as optic	onal.									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft 3	3.0 Co	mments
---------	--------	--------

Cl 87 S Ghiasi, Ali	SC 87.8.11.4	P 324 Broadcom	L 14	# 794	<i>Cl</i> 83A Ghiasi, Ali	SC 83A.5.2	P 389 Broadcom	L 38	# 795			
Comment Type	e TR	Comment Status A			Comment	Type T	Comment Status A					
0.05 UI to	0.15 UI. Definir	test for frequency greater ng the stress receiver sens	itivity with so m	uch slop means the test		ar what PCB tra the PCB!	ce stress means is this electr	ical or mechanio	cal stress or do I need			
will not be consistent and higher amount of SJ will penalize the receiver for no good reason. Why do we need to carry this 10 years old legacy when test equipment where arcade and CL86A already take advantage of this?						SuggestedRemedy Replace with "Frequency dependent attenuator *"						
		ntage of this?					icy dependent attenuator "" ople of Frequency dependent	attenuator				
SuggestedRen	-				Response		Response Status C					
propose to set SJ to 0.05 UI as illustrated by Figure 86A-10 and Table 86A-7						T IN PRINCIPI	,					
Response		Response Status C			AGGEI							
ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.				Replac with	e "function, a	nd PCB trace stress."					
In Table 87	-				"func	tion, and freque	ency-dependent attenuation".					
0		.05" to "2x10^5/ f"			Poplar	aa "Stragg ig th	on added using DCP					
also chang Remove fo	,	= 0.15" to "0.05"			Replance "Stress is then added using PCB trace or frequency-dependent attenuation which emulates PCB loss" with							
	procedure for a 110 with editori	stressed receiver sensitivity al licence	y measurement	in 87.8.11 as shown in	"Frequency-dependent attenuation is then added using PCB trace or frequency-dependen attenuation which emulates PCB loss"							
See also c	comment 790				Change Figure 83A-15 "PCB Trace Stress" to "Frequency-dependent attenuator"							
A straw po	ll of the sub-tas	sk force was taken:			See co	mment 796						
		ned in king_02_0110										
		"0.05 <= S <= 0.15" to "0.0	05 <= S <= 0.08	3"								
	e draft as it is											
Result A 5												
B 1												
C 0												

C 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments						Sponsor ballot	
<i>Cl</i> 83B <i>SC</i> 83B . Ghiasi, Ali	2.3 P404 Broadcom	L 20	# 796	C/ 83B SC 83B.2.1 Ghiasi, Ali	P 401 Broadcom	L 24	# 798
Comment Type T No clear what PCI to twist the PCB! SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A 3 trace stress means is this electr	ical or mechanic	al stress or do I need	SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A itical high freq attributes		
Replace with "Free	quency dependent attenuator *" example of Frequency dependent	attenuator		Change to linear scal Response	e Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Response Status C			ACCEPT. See suggested reme	dy		
with	n, and PCB trace stress."			see comment 799			
Replance "Stress trace or frequency with "Frequency depen attenuation which Change figure 83E	equency-dependent attenuation". is then added using PCB -dependent attenuation which em dent attenuation is then added us emulates PCB loss" 3-10 "PCB trace stress" to "Freque	ing PCB trace o ency-dependent	r frequency dependent attenuator"	Cl 83A SC 83A.3.4 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type T Log scale hide the cr SuggestedRemedy Change to linear scal Response	Broadcom Comment Status A itical high freq attributes	L 24	# <u>799</u>
C/ 83B SC 83B . Ghiasi, Ali	2.2 P403 Broadcom	L 24	# 797	ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C PLE.		
Comment Type T Log scale hide the	Comment Status A			Change plots 83A-6,	83A-7, 83A-10, 83A-11, 83A-14	4, 83B-8, 83B-9	to linear scale
SuggestedRemedy Change to linear s	cale			C/ 83A SC 83A.4 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type T	P 388 Broadcom Comment Status A	L 31	# 800
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				itical high freq attributes		
See suggested re	nedy			Change to linear scal	e		
See comment 799				Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	Response Status C		
				See comment 799			

C/ 85 SC 85.11.1 P 266 L 28 # [8 Chalupsky, David Intel Corporation	C/ 85 SC 85.13.1 P 272 L 7 # 804 Chalupsky, David Intel Corporation
Comment Type E Comment Status D typo: "style-2"	Comment Type E Comment Status D typo: "Clause85"
SuggestedRemedy replace "style-2" with "Style-2"	SuggestedRemedy Replace "Clause85" with "Clause 85"
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response comment#253	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response comment#247
C/ 85 SC 85.4 P 237 L 30 # [Chalupsky, David Intel Corporation	C/ 85 SC 85.13 P 272 L 3 # 805 02 Chalupsky, David Intel Corporation
Comment Type E Comment Status D typo: "the100GBASE-CR10"	Comment Type E Comment Status D Clause 85 PICS missing the copyright release
SuggestedRemedy add a space after "the"	SuggestedRemedy add footnote to 85.13 section title. See Clause 86 PICS (86.11.4) for an example of required footnote text and formatting
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response comment#246	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
C/ 80 SC 80.2.6 P129 L43 # [8 Chalupsky, David Intel Corporation	Add footnote to 85.13 section title: "Copyright release for PICS proformas: Users of this
Comment Type E Comment Status D sentence structure difficult to read.	C/ 85 SC 85.11.1.2 P 268 L 29 # 806 Chalupsky, David Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation
SuggestedRemedy replace "Clause 73 Auto-Negotiation is used by 40 Gb/s backplane PHY (40GE	Comment Type T Comment Status A ASE-KR4, Incorrect figure title. Fig 85-20 is the MDI receptacle, not the cable plug
see Clause 84) and, 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s copper PHYs (40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10, s 85)."	e Clause SuggestedRemedy replace Figure 85-20 title with "Example Style-2 MDI board receptacle"
with "Clause 73 Auto-Negotiation is used by the 40 Gb/s backplane PHY (40GI see Clause 84) and the	Response Response Status C
40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s copper PHYs (40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10, s 85)."	e Clause ACCEPT. Resolve with comment#643
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	

CI 85 SC 85 Chalupsky, David	.13.4	P 273 Intel Corporat	L 16 tion	# 807	<i>Cl</i> 85 Chalupsky	SC 85.13.4.6 , David	P 278 Intel Corpo	L 6 pration	# 808
Major capabilitie	es / options table rt of either PMD	nent Status A incorrectly implies t is optional; the relev			depen cable.	roblems with MDI dent upon PMD/M	Comment Status A PICs. 1) implies that all t IDI type. 2) use of CBL p edied by creating an Item	redicate is incorre	ect as this is for MDI, not
Add two rows to First row: Item = as 40GBASE-C Second row: Ite operate as 1000 Change Status i.e., 40GBASE- Response ACCEPT IN PR	= "*CR4"; Feature R4 PMD"; status m = "*CR10"; Fe BBASE-CR10 PM of the next four ro R PCS & PMA ar <i>Respor</i> INCIPLE.	i= "0.1" eature = "100GBASE MD"; status= "0.1" ows from "M" to "CF re "CR4:M"; 100GB, nse Status C	4 PMD"; Value/c E-CR10 PMD"; \ R4:M" and "CR1 ASE-R PCS & F	omment: "Can operate /alue/comment: "Can 0:M" as appropriate. PMA are "CR10:M"	First a "40GE Secor Value, Chang Repla Repla	vo rows to options dded row: Item = BASE-CR4 device d added row: Iten (comment: "40GB ge MDI connector ce Item MDC1 sta ce Item MDC2 sta	table (85.13.4) to indicat "*MDIST1"; Feature = "S uses Style-1 MDI"; statu n = "*MDIST2"; Feature = ASE-CR4 device uses St PICS table (85.13.4.6) S atus with "CR4*MDIST1:N atus with "CR4*MDIST2:N atus with "CR10:M"	tyle-1 MDI Conne s= "0.2" "Style-2 MDI Con yle-2 MDI"; status tatus columns to u	ctor"; Válue/comment: nnector"; s= "0.2"
First row: Item = as 40GBASE-C Second row: Ite operate as 1000 Change Status i.e., 40GBASE-	= "*CR4"; Feature R4 PMD"; status m = "*CR10"; Fe GBASE-CR10 PN of the next four ro R PCS & PMA ar	:= "O.1" eature = "100GBASE MD"; status= "O.1"	4 PMD"; Value/c E-CR10 PMD"; \ R4:M" and "CR1 ASE-R PCS & F	omment: "Can operate /alue/comment: "Can 0:M" as appropriate. PMA are "CR10:M"	Add tu First a "40GE Secor Value, Chang Repla Repla Repla	PT IN PRINCIPLE vo rows to options dded row: Item = AASE-CR4 device d added row: Iten (comment: "40GB ge MDI connector ce Item MDC1 sta ce Item MDC2 sta ce Item MDC3 sta	Response Status C =. table (85.13.4) to indicat "MDIST1"; Feature = "S uses Style-1 MDI"; statu n = "*MDIST2"; Feature = ASE-CR4 device uses St PICS table (85.13.4.6) S tus with "CR4*MDIST1:N tus with "CR4*MDIST2:N tus with "CR10:M"	tyle-1 MDI Conne s= "O.2" "Style-2 MDI Cor yle-2 MDI"; status atus columns to r "	ctor"; Value/comment: nnector"; s= "0.2" use dependencies.

In addition, editor to fill-in appropriate column references where applicable.

CI 85	SC 85.13.4.5	P 277	L 34	# 809	C/ 81
Chalupsk	y, David	Intel Corporation			Bennett,

Comment Type T Comment Status A

PICs requires cable assembly to have all three connector types. Also pin assignment PICs for cables do not have Status or Support fields. This can be remedied by creating an Item for each cable assembly type to be used as conditions in 85.13.4.5

SugaestedRemedv

Add three rows to options table (85.13.4) to indicate cable assembly type.

First added row: Item = "*CA401"; Feature = "40GBASE-CR4 Style-1 cable assembly"; Value/comment: "Cable assembly supports 40GBASE-CR4 Style-1"; status= "CBL:O.3" Second added row: Item = "*CA402": Feature = "40GBASE-CR4 Style-2 cable assembly": Value/comment: "Cable assembly supports 40GBASE-CR4 Style-2"; status= "CBL:O.3" Third added row: Item = "*CA100"; Feature = "100GBASE-CR10 cable assembly"; Value/comment: "Cable assembly supports 100GBASE-CR10": status= "CBL:0.3" Change cable assembly PICS table (85.13.4.5) to use appropriate predicate items in Status field.

Change the Status field for Items CA12 and CA13 to "CBL*CA401:M" Change the Status field for Items CA14 and CA15 to "CBL*CA402:M" Change the Status field for Items CA16 and CA17 to "CBL*CA100:M" Change Support field for CA13, CA15, and CA17 to match CA12 Support field. Option: The status "CBL*CA401:M" is redundant since CA401 only applies to CBL, thus you could drop the CBL predicate and only use CA401/CA402/CA100 in the above Status changes.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add three rows to options table (85.13.4) to indicate cable assembly type. First added row: Item = "*CA401": Feature = "40GBASE-CR4 Style-1 cable assembly": Value/comment: "Cable assembly supports 40GBASE-CR4 Style-1"; status= "CBL:O.3" Second added row: Item = "*CA402"; Feature = "40GBASE-CR4 Style-2 cable assembly"; Value/comment: "Cable assembly supports 40GBASE-CR4 Style-2": status= "CBL:O.3" Third added row: Item = "*CA100"; Feature = "100GBASE-CR10 cable assembly"; Value/comment: "Cable assembly supports 100GBASE-CR10"; status= "CBL:O.3" Change cable assembly PICS table (85.13.4.5) to use appropriate predicate items in Status field.

Change the Status field for Items CA12 and CA13 to "CBL*CA401:M" Change the Status field for Items CA14 and CA15 to "CBL*CA402:M" Change the Status field for Items CA16 and CA17 to "CBL*CA100:M" Change Support field for CA13, CA15, and CA17 to match CA12 Support field.

Editorial licence to implement option: The status "CBL*CA401:M" is redundant since CA401 only applies to CBL, thus you could drop the CBL predicate and only use CA401/CA402/CA100 in the above Status changes.

In addition, editor to fill-in appropriate column references where applicable.

C/ 81	SC 81.1.4	P142	L 49	# 810
Bennett, M	Michael	Lawrence Berkel	ey Na	

Comment Type T Comment Status A

What do the tildes mean in the Maximum (ns) column in Table 81-1? One use for a tilde is to mean approximately. If that is the case, how does one "meet the values specified in Table 81-1", specifically in the column using approximate values? Especially when the paragraph states the maximum cumulative delay shall meet the values specified in the table.

SuggestedRemedy

If the current use of tildes means approximately, then remove the tilde and use a maximum value. i.e. if the value is +/-10 ns then add 10 ns and it will be a maximum.

	PT IN PRINCIP omment #447.	Response Status C LE.		
CI 83	SC 83.5.4	P 211	L 21	# 811
Bennett, M	lichael	Lawrence Ber	rkeley Na	
	ning the tildes in	Comment Status A the Maximum (ns) means ap ified in Table 83-1".	proximately, it se	eems impossible to
Suggested remov	-	use maximum values in the N	/laximum (ns) co	lumn
Response ACCE Dup 4	PT IN PRINCIP 77	Response Status C LE.		
C/ 85	SC 85	P 244	L 26	# 812
Moore, Ch	arles	Avago Techn	ologies	
Comment min ar	51	Comment Status A t) spec of 0.24V conflicts with	Linear fit pulse	spec on line 23-24
Suggested delete	<i>IRemedy</i> min amplitude (linear fit) spec		
Response		Response Status W		

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

CI 85 SC 85	P 244	L 46	# 813	C/ 85	SC 85	P 245	L 35	# 815
Moore, Charles	Moore, Charles Avago Technologies							
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status A		
Deterministic jitter is	not specified so saying DCD is	considered par	t of it is meaningless		re wave test	t pattern" is not specified. The	spec could be o	calling for alternating 1s
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRe		WOIK		
in note 'e' delete "Dut	y Cycle Distortion is considered	d part of the det	erministic jitter	00	•			
distribution"				Change 6	/			
Response	Response Status C					the transmitter under test ser		
ACCEPT.						utive ones followed by five co idle or PRBS-31"	nsecutive zeros	, while all other lanes
	.			Response		Response Status W		
C/ 86A SC 86A.4.2	P 424	L 47	# 814	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIP			
Ghiasi, Ali	Broadcom			Change 6	-			
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		LR4	•	,	the transmitter under test ser	nds a square wa	ive test pattern as
			2.0	specified	n 83.5.10 wl	hile all other lanes send either	scrambled idle	or PRBS31"
	nary petrilla_01_0709 stated " nation of J2 (max = 0.46 UI) X ²	1 – 0 11 I II and	.19 (max = 0.63 LII)					
	at 0.716 UI. This is higher than			C/ 86A	SC 86A.4.2	P 425	L31	# 816
	n the downstream receiver. Re			Ghiasi, Ali		Broadcom		
from 0.63 UI to 0.62 l	JI to yield a max TJ estimate o hange was not to exceed TJ of	f 0.704 UI."		Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status A		LR4
J9=0.62 results in TJ	of 0.66 UI, this will increase co ementation more difficult due t	ost of the optics	and will make	At TP4, fo max TJ is	r the combir estimated a	nary petrilla_01_0709 stated " nation of J2 (max = 0.46 UI) X t 0.716 UI. This is higher than n the downstream receiver. Re	the expected 0.	.68 UI and may place

SuggestedRemedy

Keep J2 but increase J9 to 0.4. TJ 1E-12 depends on the jitter distribution but for the case of max DJ (32 ps) to hit J2 then TJ=0.7 UI.

Response Status W

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 793

SuggestedRemedy

Keep J2 but increase J9 to 0.4. TJ 1E-12 depends on the jitter distribution but for the case of max DJ (32 ps) to hit J2 then TJ=0.7 UI.

Response Status W

The premise for the change was not to exceed TJ of 0.7 UI but the current J2=0.46 and J9=0.62 results in TJ of 0.66 UI, this will increase cost of the optics and will make

100Gbase-SR10 implementation more difficult due to the X10 connector. Please set the

from 0.63 UI to 0.62 UI to yield a max TJ estimate of 0.704 UI."

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 793

specification to what was intended.

Draft 3.0 C	omments
-------------	---------

W 85 SC 85 P246 L50 # 817	Cl 85 SC 85 P 247 L 5 # 819
loore, Charles Avago Technologies	Moore, Charles Avago Technologies
omment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
Some explanation of the intent of the following procedure may make the procedure easier for the reader to understand	Step 3 is referenced elsewhere and should be as clear as possible. I think that its clarity can be improved.
uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change: "Instead the following process is defined for the verification of transmit equalizer performance at TP2."	Change: "Compute the linear fit to the captured waveform per 85.8.3.3.5" to:
to: "Instead the effective channel characteristic between the equalizer function and TP2 is determined and then equalized to measure the transmit equalizer function directly. The process below accomplishes this."	"Compute the linear fit to the captured waveform and the linear fit pulse response p(k) per 85.8.3.3.5." Make the same change to step 9 (line 35). Also in steps 10 and 11 (lines 37-39) change:
esponse Response Status C	"linear fit pulse, p," to:
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "Instead the following process is defined for the verification of transmit equalizer performance at TP2."	"linear fit pulse response, p(k)," and in notes b and c to Table 85-4, change: "linear fit pulse"
to: "The following process enables accurate characterization of the equalizer performance at TP2 by determining and correcting for the frequency dependent loss and phase shift of the	to: "linear fit pulse response p(k)"
signal path from the transmit function to TP2."	Response Response Status W ACCEPT.
% 85 SC 85 P 247 L 13 # 818 loore, Charles Avago Technologies	C/ 85 SC 85 P251 L9 # 820
	Moore, Charles Avago Technologies
Imment Type TR Comment Status A The peak value of the linear fit pulse is out of alignment with table 85-1 Image: Comment Status Image: Comment Sta	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
gestedRemedy	The text of 85.8.3.5 Test Fixture and Figure 85-5 Transmitter test fixture, are very unclear
Change :	SuggestedRemedy
"The peak value of the linear fit pulse from step 3, p, shall be greater than 240 mV."	Have 85.8.3.5 State:
to: "DC amplitude, the sum of linear fit pulse response, p(k), from step 3 divided by M from	"The test fixture shown in Figure 85-5 or its functional equivalent is required for all
step 3, shall be greater than 0.34V and no greater than 0.6V. The peak of the linear fit	Transmitter tests and for receiver return loss measurement. It shall consist of a plug connecting either to a 40-GBASE-CR4 or 100GBASE-CR10 MDI connector as appropriat and all necessary signals connected to RF connectors and all other signals terminated wi
pulse response from step 3 shall be greater than 0.63*DC amplitude."	100 Ohms differential. When mated with a cable assembly test fixture it shall meet the
esponse Response Status W	specifications of 85.10.0."
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change :	specifications of 85.10.9." I Will provide a suggested drawing.
esponse Response Status W	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 85 Moore, Ch	SC 85 narles	P 253 Avago Techn	L1 ologies	# 821	<i>CI</i> 45 Law, David	SC 45.2.3.16a	Р 72 3Com	L 42	# 824
Comment		Comment Status A	ologico		Comment		mment Status A		
fixture Suggested In 85.4 "Rece to: "Rece	e. The Calibration dRemedy 8.4.2, change: viver interference				betwee examp numbe New su 'Insert New su before	ered 43.2.1a and 43.2.1 ubclauses 45.2.3.16a a after 45.2.3.16 for high ubclauses 45.2.3.17a h 45.2.3.17 for PCS alig	should be labelled as uses between 43.2.1 b and not 43.2.2a an and 45.2.3.16b are pr order counters' whic nowever are preceded	Ilower numbere and 43.2.2 the ne d 43.2.2b. boceeded with the h meets the IEEI with the editing	d subclause][a-z] for ew subclauses would be editing instructions E Standards style guide instructions 'Insert
Response ACCE		Response Status C			45.2.3	esults in: .16 BASE-R PCS test-r			· 3.43)
C/ 85 Moore, Ch		P 25385 Avago Techn Comment Status A	L 4 ologies	# 822	45.2.3. 45.2.3. 45.2.3.	16a BER high order cc 16b Errored blocks hig 17a Multi-lane BASE-F 17b Multi-lane BASE-F	h order counter (Reg R PCS alignment stat R PCS alignment stat	ister 3.45) us 1 register (Re us 2 register (Re	gister 3.51)
Comment 85.8.4	51	e it clear that both tests must	pass		45.2.3	.17c Multi-lane BASE-F .17d Multi-lane BASE-F .17 10P/2B capability re	R PCS alignment stat		
"The r	ge The paragrap	h in 85.8.4.2 To: h both Test 1 (short channel) parameters listed in Table 85		ng channel) using the	45.2.3 I believ 45.2.3 45.2.3	18 10P/2B PCS contro ve to meet the IEEE Sta 16 BASE-R PCS test-p 16a BER high order co	ol register (Register 3 andards style guide t battern error counter bunter (Register 3.44)	his should actual register (Registe	
	PT IN PRINCIP				45.2.3. 45.2.3. 45.2.3.	16b Errored blocks hig 16c Multi-lane BASE-F 16d Multi-lane BASE-F 16e Multi-lane BASE-F	R PCS alignment stat R PCS alignment stat R PCS alignment stat	us 1 register (Re us 2 register (Re us 3 register (Re	gister 3.51) gister 3.52)
CI 00 Goergen,	SC 0 Joel	P Force 10 Net	L works Inc	# 823	45.2.3	.16f Multi-lane BASE-R .17 10P/2B capability re .18 10P/2B PCS contro	egister (3.60)		jister 3.53)
Comment	Type GR	Comment Status R			Suggested	Remedy			
Modul S <i>uggested</i>	le channel mode dRemedy	l is not production manufactur			read '4 Chang '45.2.3	5.2.3.16c Multi-lane B/ e subclauses '45.2.3.1 .16c.9'	ASE-R PCS alignmer 7a.1' through '45.2.3.	nt status 1 registe 17a.9' to read '45	
Response REJE		Response Status W			read '4 Chang	e 45.2.3.16d Multi-lane B/ e subclauses '45.2.3.1 .16d.12'.	ASE-R PCS alignmer	nt status 2 registe	er (Register 3.51)'.
Comm remec		ovided information on the exa	act nature of the	issue or a suggested	Chang read '4 Chang '45.2.3	e '45.2.3.17c Multi-lane 5.2.3.16e Multi-lane B/ e subclauses '45.2.3.1	ASE-R PCS alignmer 7c.1' through '45.2.3.	nt status 3 registe 17c.8' to read '45	5.2.3.16e.1' through

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

45.2.3.16 for 45.2.3.16c an after 45.2.3.1 Delete the ed	high order d 45.2.3.16 6 for high o iting instruc	uctions that precede subclau counters' to read 'Insert sub 6d, with their subclauses, aff order counters'. tion that currently precedes 6 alignment status:'.	clauses 45.2.3. er subclause 4	16a, 45.2.3.16b, 5.2.3.16:'.	Suggested	<i>ype</i> E 82-3 is a long w	QLo Comment Statu vay from here and is
Response ACCEPT.		Response Status W			Proposed F		Response Status
Law, David	45.2.3.37	P 82 3Com	L 8	# 825	C/ 85 Dudek, Mic	SC 85.7.1 hael	P QLo
		Comment Status D counter, lanes 0 and 1 regis the lane 0 register bit definit		s' appears to be in error	Comment 7 TP3 is		Comment Statu
SuggestedRemed	ly	-			Suggested	Remedy	
00		nould read 'BIP error counter	r, lanes 0 regist	er bit definitions'.	Replace in 85.8.		of the mated conne
Proposed Respon PROPOSED		Response Status W			Response		Response Status
PROPOSED	ACCEPT.				ACCEF	T IN PRINCIPI	LE.
Cl 82 SC Dudek, Michael		P 169 QLogic Corpo	L 45 ration	# 826	measu	e:" all receiver ements and tes tor TP3."	sts defined in 85.8.4
		Comment Status A have a high transition densit an random data.	y. It relies on th	e scrambler to provide	To:" all	receiver ements and tes	sts defined in 85.8.4
SuggestedRemed Delete has a		ion density and				s note: This cor	nment is against 85
Response ACCEPT. Earlier in the	same parag	Response Status C graph it is stated that the en	coding provides	sufficient transitions so			

the transition density statement is not needed.

CI 82	SC	82	P169	L 50	# 827
Dudek, Mi	chael		QLogic Cor	poration	
<i>Comment</i> Figure		E a long w	Comment Status D ay from here and is out of e	order.	
S <i>uggested</i> Put it i		,	e it closer		
Proposed PROP		nse ACCEPT	Response Status W		
CI 85	SC	85.7.1	P 240	L 9	# 828
Dudek, Mi	chael		QLogic Cor	poration	-
<i>Comment</i> TP3 is		TR the input	Comment Status A end of the mated connecto	r. It is at a specifie	ed loss from this point.
Suggested	Remea	ły			
Repla		nput end o	of the mated connector TP	3 with TP3 using t	he test fixture specified
in 85.8	5.3.5				
in 85.8 Response		PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.		

[Editor's note: This comment is against 85.7.1, hence corrected the subclause number field accordingly]

Draft 3.0 Commen	ts	IEEE P8	802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s E	thernet comm	ients		Sponsor ballo
7 85 SC 85.7.4 Judek, Michael	P 24 1 QLogic	1 L 35 Corporation	# 829	<i>Cl</i> 85 Dudek, Mic	SC 85.8.3.5	P 251 QLogic Corpora	L15 ation	# 831
described and then s SuggestedRemedy Move the information putting this very long Response REJECT. [Editor's note: This c accordingly] Global PMD signal d and PMD lane-by-lan	ne signal detect function t ane signal detect subclau	ine 35 on the lane by e lane by lane functio letect from 84.7.4 to 8 format. W 4, hence corrected the treated similarly acros	n refers back to this. 17.7.5. Also consider e subclause number field as clauses.	Suggested Add a b to the T vertical so that Response ACCEF	7. 5-5 is difficult to f Remedy ox labelled DUT t P2 or TP3 test fix line. Label this bo t is the highest lir T.	Comment Status A follow. to the left of the diagram with ture. Put a box around every ox Test Equipment. Move the he in the diagram. <i>Response Status</i> C nent is against 85.8.3.5, hence	thing to the rig abel for the li	ht of the TP2 or TP3 ne TP2 or TP3 higher
uggestedRemedy Replace "to be differ value measured prio	QLogic Comment Status is very difficult to follow. ence in the value measur r to"	Corporation A red to prior to" with "to	# 830					
Response ACCEPT. [Editor's note: This c field accordingly]	Response Status (omment is against 85.8.3	-	the subclause number					

IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 85 SC 85.8.3.6 Dudek, Michael	P 251 QLogic Corpor	L 33	# 832	<i>CI</i> 85 Dudek, Mic	SC 85.8.3. hael	7	P 251 QLogic Corp	L 51 oration	# 833
	•	lation				Commont	•	oration	
	nt Status A		test fotoms for the days	Comment 7		Comment			
I don't think it is feasible to get 15de the connector and I don't think refer			test fixture including	i ne ins	sention loss is	now reference no	ot maximum.		
		ie 72 noipo.		Suggestedl	Remedy				
SuggestedRemedy Change "test fixture shall" to "test fit								hake the same ch cription on this lin	ange on line 4 page ie.
sentence with "The test fixture when in 85.10.8 meet the impedance requ				Response		Response S	Status C		
	e Status C			ACCEF	PT.				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.									
[Editor's note: This comment is aga field accordingly]	ainst 85.8.3.6, her	nce updated the	subclause number		s note: This c cordingly]	omment is again	st 85.8.3.7, he	ence updated the	subclause number
Delete:" The differential load imped depicted in Figure 85-5	lance applied to th	he transmitter ou	utput of the test fixture	C/ 85	SC 85.8.4		P 252	L33	# 834
				Dudek, Mic	hael		QLogic Corp	oration	
shall be 100 Ŏ." Change:" The differential return loss			,	Comment 7 The SC	Type TR		Status A		tial to common mode
shall be 100 Ŏ." Change:" The differential return loss To:"The differential return loss, in d	B, of the test fixtu		,	Comment 7 The SC return I	<i>Type</i> TR CD11 line is al loss should be	wrong. (SCD11	Status A		tial to common mode
shall be 100 Ŏ." Change:" The differential return loss	B, of the test fixtu		,	Comment 7 The SC return I Suggested	<i>Type</i> TR CD11 line is al loss should be <i>Remedy</i>	l wrong. (SCD11 min not max.	Status A shouldn't be -	+10, and differen	
shall be 100 O." Change:" The differential return loss To:"The differential return loss, in d shall meet the requirements of 85.1 Delete:"The test	B, of the test fixtu I0.9.2."	ure is specified in	n a mated state and	Comment 7 The SC return I Suggestedl Change	<i>Type</i> TR CD11 line is al loss should be <i>Remedy</i>	l wrong. (SCD11 min not max. Differential to Co	Status A shouldn't be - mmon mode r		
shall be 100 O." Change:" The differential return loss To:"The differential return loss, in d shall meet the requirements of 85.1 Delete:"The test fixture impedance is equivalent to th	B, of the test fixtu 10.9.2." he test fixture imp	ure is specified in bedance specifie	n a mated state and d in 72.7.1.2."	Comment 1 The SC return I Suggested Change Response	<i>Type</i> TR CD11 line is al loss should be <i>Remedy</i>	l wrong. (SCD11 min not max. Differential to Co <i>Response</i> S	Status A shouldn't be - mmon mode r	+10, and differen	
shall be 100 O." Change:" The differential return loss To:"The differential return loss, in d shall meet the requirements of 85.1 Delete:"The test	IB, of the test fixtu 10.9.2." he test fixture imp 85-5, or its functions exception of the re	ure is specified in bedance specifie onal equivalent, i eturn loss specifi	n a mated state and d in 72.7.1.2." is required for led in 85.8.3.6.	Comment 7 The SC return I Suggestedl Change Response ACCEF	Type TR CD11 line is al oss should be <i>Remedy</i> e this row to "I	l wrong. (SCD11 min not max. Differential to Co <i>Response</i> S PLE.	Status A shouldn't be - mmon mode r	+10, and differen	

Draft 3.0 Comment	ts	IEEE P8	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s	Sponsor ballot		
<i>Cl</i> 85 SC 85.8.4. Dudek, Michael	3.2 P 254 QLogic Corpo	L 36 ration	# 835	<i>Cl</i> 85 Dudek, M	SC 85.8.4.3.4 ichael	P255 L1 QLogic Corporation	1 # 838
Comment Type E poor English	Comment Status D			<i>Comment</i> No m		Comment Status A what amplitude the Tx channels shou	uld be at.
SuggestedRemedy replace "each the" w	ith "each of the"			Suggeste insert	•	plitude" between"PRBS31" and "with	n
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W			Response ACCE	ept in principl	Response Status C E.	
[Editor's note: This c field accordingly]	omment is against 85.8.4.3.2, h	ence updated t	he subclause number		r's note: This com accordingly]	nment is against 85.8.4.3.4, hence up	odated the subclause number
C/ 85 SC 85 Dudek, Michael	P 254 QLogic Corpo	L 39 ration	# 836	Chan		ion turned off (preset condition)." pliant amplitude and equalization turr	ned off (preset condition).
Comment Type E poor English	Comment Status D			C/ 85 Dudek, M	SC 85.10.8	P 262 L3 QLogic Corporation	· · ·
SuggestedRemedy replace "at pattern" v	vith "at the pattern"			Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF See comment#697.	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE.			Suggeste	dRemedy	tfmax to ILcatfref here and on line 39	
[Editor's note: This c field accordingly]	omment is against 85.8.4.3.2, h	ence updated t	he subclause number		EPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.	
C/ 85 SC 85.8.4.3 Dudek, Michael	3.2 P 254 QLogic Corpo	L 39 ration	# <u>8</u> 37	[Edito	comment#540. r's note: This com dingly]	nment is against 85.10.8, hence upda	ted the subclause number field
Comment Type E poor English	Comment Status D			40001	angly]		
SuggestedRemedy replace "and host" w	ith "and with the host"						
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE.						
See response comm	ent#697.						
[Editor's note: This c field accordingly]	omment is against 85.8.4.3.2, h	ence updated t	he subclause number				

Comment ID # 839

Draft 3.0 Comments		IEEE P80	2.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s E	thernet cor	nments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 86 SC 86.7.1 Dudek, Michael	P 287 QLogic Corpo	L 34 pration	# 840	C/ 87 Dudek, Micl	SC 87.7.1 nael	P 314 QLogic Corp	L 54 poration	# 842
Comment Type T C The footnote appears to be difference between Min OM SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	ratio for the ey Remedy	Comment Status R ve mask is not defined. transmitter eye mask definitio	on Footnote to s	ay "The eve mack is
Change the footnote to say	"TDP<0.7dB esponse Status C				at a 5 e-5 hit			ay The eye mask is
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In footnote b to Table 86-6, [Editor's note: This commer	· change "TDP < 1 dB" to		ıbclause number field	REJEC	note: This co	mment is against 87.7.1, her	nce updated the s	subclause number field
accordingly]	P311	L 41				on and methodology is references the 5 e-5 hit ratio.	enced from 87.1.	1. It points to section
<i>Cl</i> 87 <i>SC</i> 87.5.4 Dudek, Michael	P 311 QLogic Corpo		# 841	CI 87	SC 87.7.2	P 315	L 43	# 843
Comment Type T C There is no reference to the SuggestedRemedy	comment Status A signal detect requireme	ents			ype TR	QLogic Corp Comment Status A sed in this clause appears to name.		J2 used in clause 86. J2
Insert at the end of the first Response Re ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	sentence. "that meet the esponse Status C	e requirements of	table 87-4"	Suggested Change	-	jitter to J2 throughout this cla	ause.	
[Editor's note: This commer accordingly] Table 87-4 does not place r SIGNAL_DETECT function.	equirements on the optic			the sub	clause numbe	Response Status W LE. [Editor's note: This comr r field accordingly]		
Change "SIGNAL_DETECT all four lanes." to "SIGNAL_ signals on all four lanes. Th	DETECT shall be a glob	al indicator of the	e presence of optical		-	note e, change "Stressed ey nges in 87.8.11.2, 87.8.11.3 a		sed eye J2 Jitter"
according to the conditions			ter shan be generated			note f, change "Stressed eye	-	-
See also comment 846				ln 86.8.	4.7 change "J	2 and J9 specifications" to "J	2 Jitter and J9 Jit	tter specifications"

Comment ID # 843

Page 183 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:36 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments	IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments						IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments						
Cl 87 SC 87.8.7 Dudek, Michael	P 319 QLogic Corpor	L 33 ation	# 844	<i>Cl</i> 88 Dudek, M	SC 88.5.4 ichael	C	P341 Logic Corpo	L 46 pration	# 846				
Comment Type E Two "tables"	Comment Status D			Comment There	51	Comment State		ents					
SuggestedRemedy delete one				00	<i>dRemedy</i> at the end of th	e first sentence. "t	hat meet th	e requirements c	of table 88-4"				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. subclause number field C/ 87 SC 87.8.11.2 Dudek, Michael	P 323	L 26	8.7, hence updated the # 845	[Edito Table	EPT IN PRINCIP	use changed from place requirements	88 to 88.5.4		ather on the				
Comment Type T What wavelength the ad	QLogic Corpor Comment Status A djacent channels are set to is			all fou signa	ur lanes." to "SIG Is on all four land	SNAL_DETECT sh	all be a glol e SIGNAL_	bal indicator of th	nce of optical signals on ne presence of optical eter shall be generated				
SuggestedRemedy Change to "set to the re	equired OMA and wavelength	as described"		See a	also comment 84	1							
field accordingly]	iment is against 87.8.11.2, he iired OMA as described" to "s												

C/ 88 SC 88.8.5.2 P 349 L 30 # 847 Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation 4	CI 83A SC 83A.3.3.1 P 380 L 25 # 848 Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation					
Comment Type TR Comment Status A DGD is an important channel characteristic for longer fibers and the test channel DGD is not specified thereby potentially leading to varying test results.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A This is actually in 83A. "x is max rise/fall time in ps" is not explicit. (I don't know what it means!!)					
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy					
Add an extra column to table 88-12. DGD(max). Value to be 8ps for both lengths.	With one potential meaning change to "x is the rise or fall time in ps whichever is larger"					
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
[Editor's note: Subclause changed from 88 to 88.8.5.2] Table 88-12 defines a channel for transmitter compliance testing. DGD is a parameter of the optical channel which is converted in to a penalty by the optical receiver. Consequently, it is inappropriate and very difficult to apply the maximum link DGD reproducibly to the channel for a transmitter compliance requirement. Long fibres are	change to "x is the rise or fall time (whichever is larger) in ps" See comment 854					
specified for maximum mean DGD (usually in ps per sqrt(km)) rather than maximum DGD which is theoretically unbounded. From the curves on slides 5 and 8 of anslow_04_1108.pdf a maximum DGD of 3 ps gives a penalty below 0.1 dB. Using a peak to mean value of 3.75 (to give 2.6 sec/year above the	[Editor's note: This comment is against 83A.3.3.1, hence corrected clause/subclause number fields to 83A]					
"peak"), gives a requirement of 0.8 ps maximum mean DGD. This value can be achieved using a fibre of length 60 km and a mean DGD coefficient of 0.1 ps per sqrt(km) which is readily obtainable.	CI 83A SC 83A.3.4 P 383 L 36 # 849 Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation 49					
Add a new column to Table 88-12 for the "maximum mean DGD" with a value of 0.8 ps for both 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4. Also add a new paragraph at the end of 88.8.5.2: "The mean DGD of the channel is to be	Comment Type T Comment Status A This is actually 83A Ac common mode voltage and input rise and fall times are not characteristics of the receiver they are properties of the signal that the receiver must tolerate.					
less than the value specified in Table 88-12."	SuggestedRemedy Add "tolerance" to the parameters AC common mode voltage and input rise and fall time"					
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
	See comment 583					

[Editor's note: This comment is against 83A.3.4, hence corrected clause/subclause number fields to 83A]

C/ 83A SC 83A.4 P387 L23 # 850	C/ 83B SC 83B.1 P397 L10 # 851
Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation	Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation
Comment Type E Comment Status D	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
This is actually 83A . Poor English	This is actually 83B. The connector loss is unnecessarily restrictive and tighter than
SuggestedRemedy	CR4/10 and nppi. The loss budget for 83A is 12.38 dB and there isn't a good reason why the 83B loss budget should be this much smaller. This budget alone would allow a
Change "an Xlaui" to "a Xlaui"	connector loss of 2.38 dB however that would be a horrible connector and probably worse
Proposed Response Response Status W	than we should consider using.
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy
See suggested remedy	Change the max connector loss to 1.74 dB (same as assumed worst case in 85A.4). If this is accepted also change the connector loss from "up to 0.5dB" to "up to 1.74dB" in Figure
	83B-5. I am not suggesting a change to figure 83B-7 because the connector there is on the
[Editor's note: This comment is against 83A.4, hence corrected clause/subclause number	MCB and a better quality connector should be used for this piece of test equipment.
fields to 83A]	Response Response Status U
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Additional detail required on 83A loss budget.
	Modify the following sentence in 83A.4: "This section describes recommended characteristics which are used to describe an XLAUI/CAUI channel."
	to :This section describes recommended characteristics which are used to characterize an XLAUI/CAUI channel as shown in Figure 83A-2."
	modify figure 83A-2 which shows channel from transmitter to receiver (full length bi- directional arrow, move compliance points towards middle).
	Commenter is encouraged to suggest additional information on loss budgeting in 83B in the next cycle
	[Editor's note: This comment is against 83B.1, hence corrected clause/subclause number fields to 83B]

Draft 3.	0 Comments		IEEE P80	02.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s l	Ethernet com	iments		Sponsor ballo
Cl 83B Dudek, Mid	SC 83B.2	P 398 QLogic Corpor	L 30 ration	# 852	C/ 83B Dudek, Mid	SC 83B.2.1	P 402 QLogic Corpor	L 9 ation	# 854
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		
	actually 83B The	e HCB now has a reference lo	oss. It shouldn't	say "Up to" for the		actually in 83B.	"x is max rise/fall time in ps" i	s not explicit. (I	don't know what it
Suggested	Remedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Delete	"Up to" for the H	CB PCB.			With o	ne potential mea	aning change to "x is the rise o	r fall time in ps	whichever is larger"
Response		Response Status C			Response		Response Status C		
ACCE					ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI	_E.		
See su	uggested remedy				change	e to "x is the rise	e or fall time (which ever is larg	er) in ps"	
-	's note: This com to 83B]	ment is against 83B.2, hence	e corrected clau	se/subclause number	•	's note: This cor o 83B]	nment is against 83B.2.1, hen	ce corrected cl	ause/subclause numbe
C/ 83B	SC 83B.2	P399	L 36	# 853	C/ 83B	SC 83B.2.3	P 404	L13	# 855
Dudek, Mie	chael	QLogic Corpor	ation		Dudek, Mic		QLogic Corpor	-	# 000
Comment	51	Comment Status A			Comment		Comment Status A		
This is MCB F		The MCB now has a reference	e loss. It should	in't say "Up to" for the			The figure doesn't show the co	orrect eve mas	k and doesn't give the
Suggested						inates to be use			t and decont give the
00	"Up to" for the N	CB PCB.			Suggested	Remedy			
Response		Response Status C				ce "defined in fig d Y2 given in Ta	ure 83A-9" with "illustrated in f ble 83B-3"	igure 83A-8 wi	th the values for X1, X2
ACCE	PI.				Response		Response Status C		
See su	uggested remedy				ACCE	PT.			
-		ment is against 83B.2, hence	e corrected clau	se/subclause number	See su	uggested remedy	y		
neids i	to 83B]				C/ 85A	SC 85A.2	P 415	L 40	# <u>8</u> 56
					Dudek, Mic	chael	QLogic Corpor	ation	
					Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
					This is	actually 85A. C	larification of the Jitter parame	ter test method	d would be helpful here
					Suggested	Remedy			
						otnote c to the " asis off".	max output jitter" row. Footnot	e c to say "Jitte	er is measured with
					Response		Response Status C		

C/ 85A SC 85A.4 Dudek, Michael	P 416 QLogic Corpo	L 35 pration	# 857	<i>CI</i> 85A Dudek, Mic	SC 85A.4 hael	P 417 QLogic Corj	L 5 poration	# 859
Comment Type ER This is actually 85A It w end of the paragraph at SuggestedRemedy Move the sentence.	Comment Status A buld be less confusing if the line 35.	e sentence at lin	e 53 were added at the	end of Suggested	actually 85A It the paragraph	Comment Status A would be less confusing if th at line 5	ne sentence at lir	ne 15 were added at the
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEI		Response Status C		
	f the paragraph at line 35.:" er differential controlled imp naximum insertion loss. P416 QLogic Corpo Comment Status A	bedance printed			s note: This co o 85A] SC 85A.4 shael	e minimum insertion loss mment is against 85A.4, her P 417 QLogic Cor <i>Comment Status</i> A	L13	use/subclause number # <u>860</u>
This is actually 85A ILp					51	pcb is not the minimum		
SuggestedRemedy delete "maximum". Add	a row that defines ILpcbma	ıx		Suggested Chang	<i>Remedy</i> e ILpcb to ILpc	bmin		
5	Response Status C n insertion loss for the trans for the transmitter and rece		iver PCB"	Response ACCEI [Editor fields t	s note: This co	Response Status C mment is against 85A.4, her	nce corrected cla	use/subclause number

[Editor's note: This comment is against 85A.4, hence corrected clause/subclause number fields to 85A]

C/ 85A SC 85A.5 P417 L32	# 861	C/ 85A	SC 85A.5	P 417	L 38	# 862
Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation		Dudek, Micl	hael	QLogic Corpo	oration	
Comment Type T Comment Status A This is actually 85A ILca is not the maximum SuggestedRemedy		loss coi	actually 85A T	Comment Status A his doesn't make sense. Whe is the maximum host lost bei s?		
delete "maximum". Also delete the row on line 48 as this quantity is alrea Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: This comment is against 85A.5, hence corrected clause/su fields to 85A]		SuggestedRemedy Add a normative minimum cable loss requirement to table 85-9 and change the title to "Cable assembly insertion loss characteristics. Add one row. Minimimum insertion loss 5.156 3.0dB. Then use this minimimum insertion loss and the minimum host loss (instead of max) in the equation.				
Page 417, line 32 for ILCh(f) delete maximum Page 417, line 48 for ILCh(f) delete minimum. Page 417, starting line 49 delete ILCamax(f), ILHost(f), ILMatedTF(f)		[Editor's fields to Resolve Add par insertion length. is deter The cha host change Equation To:"The cable as (85A-4) Change To: ILC In Equa delete " In Equa delete "	o 85A] e with commer ragraph page - in losses for in The maximum mined using E annel insertion annel is deterr ation 85A-4 Ch e: "The minimu on (85A-4). e channel inse issembly and a)." e: ILCh(f) is the	mment is against 85A.5, hend	information on c m 0.5 m to 7 m i ciated with the 7 m topology and or fixture loss. een TP1 and TF P5 representative termined using loss between TF	hannel n m topology a maximum 24 is determined using ve of 0.5 m Equation 21 and TP4 .

In Table 85-8-Cable assembly differential characteristics summary add Minimum insertion loss at 5.15625 GHz 3 dB.

Comment ID # 862

Draft 3.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s ar	0Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments					
C/ 85A SC 85A.6 P 418 L 31 # 863 Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation QLogic Corporation P 418 P 418	C/ 86A SC 86A.4.2 P 425 L 19 Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation	# 865				
Comment Type T Comment Status A This is actually 85A The wording is strange. "Determined using equation" sounds like a mathematical certitude.	Comment Type T Comment Status A This is actually 86A. The parameter name doesn't match the spec. The receiver have to tolerate an incoming signal BER of 1e-12.					
SuggestedRemedy Replace "is determined using equation". With "is recommended to meet equation".	SuggestedRemedy Change the parameter name to Bit Error Rate each lane.					
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change:"The return loss of each lane of the 40GBASE-CR4 or 100GBASE-CR10 channel is determined using Equation (85-25)." To:"The return loss of each lane of the 40GBASE-CR4 or 100GBASE-CR10 channel is	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 382 [Editor's note: This comment is against 86A.4.2, hence corrected claus number fields to 86A] C/ 86A SC 86A.4.2 P425 L 25	e/subclause				
recommended to meet the values determined using Equation (85-25)." [Editor's note: This comment is against 85A.6, hence corrected clause/subclause number fields to 85A]	Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status A This is actually 86A. The jitter values are now in a signal description see longer "tolerance"	ction. They are no				
C/ 85A SC 85A.7 P 419 L 1 # 864 Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation 4 <td< td=""><td>SuggestedRemedy Delete "tolerance" 3 places.</td><td></td></td<>	SuggestedRemedy Delete "tolerance" 3 places.					
Comment Type TR Comment Status A This is actually 85A. You can't have a shall statement in an informative clause. SuggestedRemedy Replace "shall be" with "is recommended to be" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. [Editor's note: This comment is against 85A.7, hence corrected clause/subclause number fields to 85A]	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: This comment is against 86A.4 clause/subclause number fields to 86A] As suggested remedy. Also, a "86A.5.3.8" a link.					

2/ 86A SC 86A.4.2 P425 L35 # 867	Cl 85 SC 85.8.4.2 P253 L13 # 869
Oudek, Michael QLogic Corporation	Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A
This is actually 86A. The section on the set-up of the test (86A.5.3.8.5) refers to this table for the rise/fall times and amplitudes of the calibration crosstalk signal.	Testing with a short cable rather than the intermediate cable used in test 1 is likely to be more stressful.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Add rows to the end of this table. Crosstalk calibration signal amplitude TP1 700mV. Crosstalk calibration signal transition times(20-80) TP1 34ps.	Replace the Test 1 values for a1, a2, and a4 with 1.2, 0.021,0.02 and change the calibrated far end crosstalk for test 1 to 10mV (value comes from 85-33) Also (similar to
Response Response Status C	another comment) add a minimum cable attenuation of 3dB at Nyquist to table 85-9.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C
[Editor's note: This comment is against 86A.4.2, hence corrected clause/subclause number fields to 86A] Add rows to the end of this table (numbers come from Y2 and transition time in Table 86A- 1):	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: This comment is against 85.8.4.2, hence updated the subclause number field accordingly]
Crosstalk calibration signal VMA TP1a 700 mV Crosstalk calibration signal transition times, 20% to 80% TP1a 28 ps. Add to TP1a Parameter in Table 86A-5 "module receiver compliance crosstalk signal calibration"	Change the calibrated far-end crosstalk value for test 1 to 6.3 mV RMS. Discussion.
Calibration	
	1. The test channel insertion loss is the maximum host insertion loss [TP0-TP2] plus the
C/ 86A SC 86A.6 P438 L34 # 868	1. The test channel insertion loss is the maximum host insertion loss [TP0-TP2] plus the cable assembly loss [TP1-TP4] minus one mated test fixture [TP1-TP2]. Therefore, the
	cable assembly loss [TP1-TP4] minus one mated test fixture [TP1-TP2]. Therefore, the cable assembly insertion loss is then the [test channel insertion loss]-[max. host insertion
udek, Michael QLogic Corporation	 cable assembly loss [TP1-TP4] minus one mated test fixture [TP1-TP2]. Therefore, the cable assembly insertion loss is then the [test channel insertion loss]-[max. host insertion loss]+[mated test fixture insertion loss] or [test channel insertion loss] - 3.7 dB. 2. For the test 1 values of a1, a2, and a4, the cable assembly insertion loss is 9.7 - 3.7 = dB. Referring to Equation (85-33), the maximum ICN allowed for such a cable assembly = 12.4-0.45*6 = 9.7 mV RMS. This is very close to the point of worst signal-to-noise ratio for
Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status A This is actually 86A. In context where this is following immediately after the loss equation for the Host PCB, connector and HCB it would clarify the statement to add "or HCB after "without connector"	 cable assembly loss [TP1-TP4] minus one mated test fixture [TP1-TP2]. Therefore, the cable assembly insertion loss is then the [test channel insertion loss]-[max. host insertion loss]+[mated test fixture insertion loss] or [test channel insertion loss] - 3.7 dB. 2. For the test 1 values of a1, a2, and a4, the cable assembly insertion loss is 9.7 - 3.7 = dB. Referring to Equation (85-33), the maximum ICN allowed for such a cable assembly is sertion.
Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status A This is actually 86A. In context where this is following immediately after the loss equation for the Host PCB, connector and HCB it would clarify the statement to add "or HCB after	 cable assembly loss [TP1-TP4] minus one mated test fixture [TP1-TP2]. Therefore, the cable assembly insertion loss is then the [test channel insertion loss]-[max. host insertion loss]+[mated test fixture insertion loss] or [test channel insertion loss] - 3.7 dB. 2. For the test 1 values of a1, a2, and a4, the cable assembly insertion loss is 9.7 - 3.7 = dB. Referring to Equation (85-33), the maximum ICN allowed for such a cable assembly = 12.4-0.45*6 = 9.7 mV RMS. This is very close to the point of worst signal-to-noise ratio for the noise dominated case (i.e. the largest insertion loss allowed for the maximum ICN value).
Oudek, Michael QLogic Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status A This is actually 86A. In context where this is following immediately after the loss equation for the Host PCB, connector and HCB it would clarify the statement to add "or HCB after "without connector" SuggestedRemedy	 cable assembly loss [TP1-TP4] minus one mated test fixture [TP1-TP2]. Therefore, the cable assembly insertion loss is then the [test channel insertion loss]-[max. host insertion loss]+[mated test fixture insertion loss] or [test channel insertion loss] - 3.7 dB. 2. For the test 1 values of a1, a2, and a4, the cable assembly insertion loss is 9.7 - 3.7 = dB. Referring to Equation (85-33), the maximum ICN allowed for such a cable assembly = 12.4-0.45*6 = 9.7 mV RMS. This is very close to the point of worst signal-to-noise ratio for the noise dominated case (i.e. the largest insertion loss allowed for the maximum ICN

5. Including the allowance for transmitter output noise from 85.8.3.2, the final value for the calibrated far-end crosstalk should be sqrt($5.98^2 + 2^2$) = 6.3 mV RMS.

C/ 85	SC 85.8.3.4	P 250	L 20	# 870
Petrilla, Joh	n	Avago Technol	ogies	

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The low frequency end of the range for insertion loss in 85 is 0.05 GHz (Eqs 85-14, 85-16, 85-20, 85-23, 85-24, 85-34, 85-35, 85-36, 85-37) in 83A is 0.25 GHz (Eqs 83A-1, 83A-2, 83A-9), in 83B is 0.25 GHz (Eqs 83B-1, 83B-2, 83B-3, 83B-4), in 85A is 0.05 GHz (85A-1, 85A-2, 85A-3, 85A-4, 85A-5) and in 86A is 0.01 GHz (86A-4, 86A-5, 86A-6, 86A-7, 86A-15, 86A-16). Since scrambled data has low frequency content it seems prudent to set the insertion loss frequency requirements to the lowest practical level to guard against undesired loss of low frequency content.

SuggestedRemedy

Set the low frequency end of the range for insertion loss in 85 from 0.05 GHz to 0.01 GHz (Eqs 85-14, 85-16, 85-20, 85-23, 85-24, 85-34, 85-35, 85-36, 85-37) in 83A from 0.25 GHz to 0.01 GHz (Eqs 83A-1, 83A-2, 83A-9), in 83B from 0.25 GHz to 0.01 GHz (Eqs 83B-1, 83B-2, 83B-3, 83B-4), and in 85A from 0.05 GHz to 0.01 GHz (85A-1, 85A-2, 85A-3, 85A-4, 85A-5).

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change min frequency to 10 MHz: 85-14-Host IL-TPO-TP2-TP3-TP5 - min 10 MHz 85-16-IL TF MAX - min 10 MHz 85-17-Rx RL - min 10 MHz 85-34-IL CATF - min 10 MHz 85-35-IL mated test fixture - min 10 MHz 85-36-IL mated test fixture - min 10 MHz 85-37-RL mated test fixture - min 10 MHz 85A-1-IL TxRx-PCB max - min 10 MHz 85A-2-IL TxRx-PCB min - min 10 MHz

In 83A

Discussion straw poll joint copper/optical track: A: Change lower frequency of cable assemblies to 10 MHz

B: Leave lower frequency of cable assemblies at 50 MHz

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

result	:			
A:7 B:8				
C/ 86	SC 86.7.2	P 287	L 20	# 871
Petrilla, Jo	ohn	Avago Techn	ologies	

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **A**

In table 86-6, the existing TDP value was based on different TP4 output criteria (J2 & J9), than the currently proposed TJ(BER=1E-12) = 0.70 UI. To reduce inconsistencies among the requirements, the ref receiver in the TDP test should have the same output criteria as that intended at TP4 for an operating link.

SuggestedRemedy

In table 86-8 change the value for TDP from 3.7 to 3.6.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In table 86-8 change the value for TDP from 3.7 to 3.6.

In Table 86-9 change:

Power budget (for maximum TDP) from 8.3 to 8.2 dB

Change the Allocation for penalties (for maximum TDP) for OM3 from 6.4 to 6.3dB. Comment 349 has changed the Allocation for penalties (for maximum TDP) for OM4 to 6.5dB. Change the Allocation for penalties (for maximum TDP) as modified by comment 349 from 6.5 to 6.4dB.

CI 86	SC	86.7.2	P 287	L 28	# 872			
Petrilla, J	ohn		Avago Techno	ologies				
Commen	t Type	т	Comment Status A					
	In Table 86-6, the existing Y2 coordinate yields a mask that is not well matched with currently expected worst case Tx output contours.							
Suggeste	dReme	dy						
In Ta	ble 86-6	change	the Y2 coordinate from 0.33 to	0.35				

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment ID # 872

Page 192 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:36 AM

C/ 86	SC 86.7.3	P 288	L 29	# 873
Petrilla, Joł	ท	Avago Techn	ologies	

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

In Table 86-8 the values of J2 and J9 have been found difficult to simultaneously meet as called for in 86.8.4.7. This appears due to the lengthly DDJ distribution tails that occurs with a PRBS31 or similarly long-run-lenght, richly-structured test patterns after passing through a VCSEL and inducing VECP. In these cases a significant portion of the peak-to-peak DDJ in the signal is not included in J2 but is included in J9. This was not fully appreciated when the existing J2 and J9 values were proposed for the SRS condition. The J2 and J9 values for the SRS test should be changed to reflect actual operating conditions as well as being more readily implemented. The existing J2 and J9 values are based on a dual-Dirac - Gaussian combination where peak-to-peak DJ equals dual-Dirac DJ of 0.274 UI, RJ(@1E-12) = 0.229 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.498 UI. The proposed new values are based on an approximate binominal - Gaussion combination where peak-to-peak DJ \sim 0.330 UI, RJ(@1E-12) \sim 0.225 UI and TJ(@1E-12) \sim 0.502 UI.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 86-8, change the value of J2 from 0.35 to 0.3.

Response	Response Status	С	
AGOEDT			

ACCEPT.

C/ 86	SC 86.8.3.3	P 292	L16	# 874
Petrilla, Johr	า	Avago Technolo	gies	-

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The existing eye diagram definition does not mention the other signal lanes and measurements may be made neglecting these sources of potential crosstalk. There's a similar lack of mention of activating potential crosstalk sources in 86A.5.3.6. Fortunately 86A.5.3.6 refers to 86.8.3.2 and an appropriate remedy for 86.8.3.2 will carry over to 86A.5.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert at the end of the first paragraph in 86.8.3.2, "Whether electrical or optical eye diagrams, all co-propagating and counter-propagating signal lanes in the channel are active as crosstalk sources, using one of patterns 3, 5, or valid 40GBASE-R or 100GBASE-R signals. The input lanes of the item under test are receiving signals that are asynchronous to those being output."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 301.

CI 86	SC 86.8.3.3	P 292	L 44	# 875
Petrilla, Johr	1	Avago Techno	logies	

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The existing jitter definitions for J2 and J9 do not mention the other signal lanes and measurements may be made neglecting these sources of potential crosstalk.

SuggestedRemedy

For J2 and J9, insert into 86.8.3.3, "All co-propagating and counter-propagating signal lanes in the channel are active as crosstalk sources, using one of patterns 3, 5, or valid 40GBASE-R4or 100GBASE-R signals. The input lanes of the item under test are receiving signals that are asynchronous to those being output."

Response	Response Status	С
reoponoo	Nesponse Status	

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 301.

CI 86	SC 86.8.4.4	P 293	L 28	# 876
Petrilla,	John	Avago Techn	ologies	

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The existing TDP definition refers to 52.9.10 with a list of exceptions. Unfortunately, 52.9.10 can be readily interpreted to yield an understanding that the illustrated test setup in Figure 52-12 is compulsory. For example, the Test Procedure (52.9.10.4) starts with the sentence, "To measure the transmitter and dispersion penalty (TDP) the following procedure shall be used." Then item a) of the procedure declares, "Configure the test equipment as described above and illustrated in Figure 52-12." Since test setups or block diagrams are examples or references but not compulsory, another exception should be added to the list to clarify this issue.

SuggestedRemedy

Add to the list of exceptions, "f) The test setup illustrated in Figure 52-12 is for example and not compulsory.

Response

nse Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add to the list of exceptions, f) The test setup illustrated in Figure 52-12 shows the reference method. Other measurement implementations may be used with suitable calibration.

Draft 3.0 Comments

Sponsor ballot

<i>CI</i> 86 Petrilla, Jo	SC 86.8.4.4	P 293 Avago Techn	L 34	# 877	<i>Cl</i> 83A Petrilla, Jol	SC 83A.3.4.		86 o Techn	L28	# 880
		Ũ	lologies				C		ologies	
GHz) Suggeste	n d), a reference r of the total link pe <i>dRemedy</i> n d), change the r	Comment Status A receiver bandwidth of 6.1 Gh nalties between the test cas eference receiver bandwidth Response Status C	se and the worst	case link at max reach.	include 83B m couplir perforr	eclaration that 'A ed on both ends odule since 83E ng on both ends nance. The solu		the rece nk when pling in i nave littles better a	an 83A received modules for both e utility and may addressed in 83/	r is connected to an Tx and Rx paths. AC- likely degrade signal A than 83B since the
ACCE	PT.				Suggested	Remedy				
<i>Cl</i> 86 Petrilla, Jo	SC 86.8.4.7	P 295 Avago Techn	L 27 hologies	# 878	specifi receive	cation unless ex	es of this specification	se." to ".	AC-coupling is c	purposes of this onsidered part of the g with modules defined
Comment Item f	<i>Type</i> TR) belongs in 86.8.4	Comment Status A 4.8			Response ACCEI	PT.	Response Status	С		
Suggeste Move	dRemedy item f) from 86.8.	4.7 to 86.8.4.8.				iggested remed	у			
Response	9	Response Status C			C/ 83A	SC 83A.5.1	P3	89	L16	# 881
ACCE					Petrilla, Jol	hn	Avag	o Techn	ologies	
Same	as comment 560	•			Comment	Type ER	Comment Status	Α		
<i>Cl</i> 83A Petrilla, Jo	SC 83A.3.4.4 phn	P 385 Avago Techn	L 39 ologies	# 879	transm	it jitter testing to	ne paragraph, "All XL o ensure any channel vord 'channel' where	-channe	I crosstalk is incl	uded in the jitter
Comment	Type E	Comment Status D			Suggested	Remedy				
the pa	aragraph and can dRemedy	graph, "f is the frequency in be deleted. e paragraph, "f is the freque		dant with the first line of	any ch shall b	annel-channel o		n the jitte	er evaluation." to	ter testing to ensure "All XLAUI/CAUI lanes crosstalk is included in
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			Response ACCE	PT.	Response Status	w		
-		from 10 MHz to 11.1 GHz,"	' from the first lir	ne of the paragraph	See su	iggested remed	у			
Add fi	requency bound to	the equation:								
0.01	= f = 11.1									
0.01 =	- 1 = 11.1									

Sponsor ballot

C/ 83A SC 83A.5.2	P 389	L 29	# 882	C/ 83B SC 83B.2.3		L 3	# 884
Petrilla, John	Avago Techn	ologies		Petrilla, John	Avago Techn	ologies	
Comment Type ER C	Comment Status A			Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		
There should not be any inf	erences that test setups	s and block diag	ams are compulsory.	The requirement, "sh	all be conducted with a stress	ed input signal w	which is comprised of at
SuggestedRemedy					-peak deterministic jitter" is op		
Change "Figure 83A15 de 83A15 depicts a XLAUI/C/			est setup." to "Figure	source definition has	clause 52, very problematic. E led to more careful definitions where values are used, or Tal	, e.g. SFF-8431	where target values are
Response Re	esponse Status W			are used.			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				SuggestedRemedy			
change (line 21 pg 389): Th minimum receiver eye masl 83A-2.		erance test setup	shall meet the	least 0.25 UI peak-to-	e conducted with a stressed ir -peak deterministic jitter" to comprised of 0.25 UI peak-to-p	shall be conduc	cted with a stressed
to:		004 45 an ita fu	e stievel e suivelent eksell	Response	Response Status W		
The XLAUI/CAUI jitter tolera meet the minimum receiver	1 0		nctional equivalent shall	ACCEPT.			
C/ 83B SC 83B.2.1 Petrilla, John	P 402	L1	# 883	See suggested reme	dy		
etilia, John	Avago Techn	lologies		C/ 83B SC 83B.2.3	<i>P</i> 40 4	L11	# 885
	Comment Status D			Petrilla, John	Avago Techn	ologies	
Please try to pull note c into	page 401.			Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		
SuggestedRemedy				21	any inferences that test setups	and block diagr	rams are compulsorv.
Please try to pull note c into	page 401.				,	5	
	esponse Status W				83B10 depicts the XLAUI / C		nce test setup." to
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P	RINCIPLE.			8 1	cts a XLAUI / CAUI jitter tolera	nce test setup."	
Remove comment c (points subclause reference.	to Figure 83A-8-Transr	mitter Eye Mask)	which is covered in	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
				See suggested reme	dy		

C/ 86A SC 86A.4.2	P424 L45	# 886	C/ 86A SC 86A.5.3.3	P432 L1	# 888
Petrilla, John	Avago Technologies		Petrilla, John	Avago Technologies	

LR4

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The values of J2 and J9 are not well-aligned with the currently proposed TP4 output TJ(BER=1E-12) = 0.70 UI target. It also appears that lengthly DDJ distribution tails occur with a PRBS31 or similarly long-run-lenght, richly-structured test patterns after passing through a VCSEL and inducing VECP. In these cases a significant portion of the peak-to-peak DDJ in the signal is not included in J2 but is included in J9. This was not fully appreciated when the existing J2 and J9 values were proposed for TP4. Further, there's interest in adjusting nPPI requirements to accommodate 40GBASE-LR4 in small footprint form factors. The J2 and J9 values for TP4 should be changed to reflect expected jitter distributions and reasonably accommodate LR4. The existing J2 and J9 values are based on a dual-Dirac - Gaussian combination where peak-to-peak DJ equals dual-Dirac DJ of 0.328 UI, RJ(@1E-12) = 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) = 0.661 UI. The proposed new values are based on an approximate binominal - Gaussion combination where peak-to-peak DJ \sim 0.362 UI, RJ(@1E-12) \sim 0.332 UI and TJ(@1E-12) \sim 0.694 UI. This also applies to J2 and J9 jitter tolerance requirements in Table 86A-4.

SuggestedRemedy

In Tables 86A-3 and 86A-4 change J2 from 0.46 to 0.42 and J9 from 0.62 to 0.65.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 793

C/ 86A SC 86A.4.2

atrilla John

P**425** L Avago Technologies

L33

887

Petrilla, John

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Table 86A-4 declares a DDPWS tolerance for the host input. Unfortunately, DDPWS is only defined for PRBS9 which appears to have little relevance to the actual signal seen at this interface. Since this requirement appears to provide little utility and will likely add burden to the implementer, it should be dropped.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 86A-4, delete the DDPWS row.

Response

Response Status C

REJECT. DDPWS is one of the most important specs in the table. It is a key indicator of a receivable signal, and a set DDPWS enforces consistency among signal tolerance testers. The use of PRBS9 puts the measurement at a near optimum statistical significance.

Comment Type T Comment Status R

The definition for transition time measurements calls for observation through a 12 GHz low pass filter. To ease the burden on implementers, this requirement should be harmonized with that in 83A.5, "The signal waveform, eye, and jitter may be measured using a receiver with an equivalent minimum -3dB bandwidth of at least 18 GHz." This also applies to 86A.5.3.4, 86A.5.3.5 and 86A.5.3.6.

SuggestedRemedy

Change from, "the waveform is observed through a 12 GHz low pass filter response." to "the waveform is observed using a receiver with an equivalent minimum -3dB bandwidth of at least 18 GHz." Repeat in 86A.5.3.4, 86A.5.3.5 and 86A.5.3.6.

Response Response Status C

REJECT. It is not appropriate to measure a waveform in a bandwidth very different from the bandwidth that will be used in service. It is wrong to measure a noise (86A.5.3.5) in the wrong bandwidth. For DDPWS and transition time, the implementer can easily measure in a too-wide bandwidth and correct in software; the opposite is not accurate.

CI 86 S	C 86	P 279	L1	# 889
Maki, Jeffery		Juniper Netwo	orks, Inc.	
Comment Type	e T	Comment Status A		LR4

The specification for 40GBASE-SR4 should be updated to align with any required change in 40GBASE-LR4 such that a common host implementation can be made.

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 793

C/ 31B SC 31B.4.3	P366 L10	# 890	CI 74 SC 74.6	P113 L49	# 892
Ganga, Ilango	Intel Corporation		Ganga, Ilango	Intel Corporation	

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status A

This comment is related to changes needed to 31B.4.3 Major Capabilities/Options table in base document due to insertion of new speeds after 100Mb/s. The last row of table currently states *MIIc at operating speeds above 100Mb/s, however actually MIIc is for 1000Mb/s and MIId has been added for 10Gb/s other than 10GBASE-T and MIIe for 10Gb/s for 10GBASE-T. The last two options have been added/corrected by 802.3-2008-Cor1 in 31B.4.6 however these options have not been added to 31B.4.3. Add the missing options to table in 31B.4.3. The fix is needed to be consistent with the new options MIIf and MIIg added for 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s by 802.3ba

SuggestedRemedy

Change 31B.4.3 last row of table as follows:

*MIIc At operating speeds (strikethrough: above 100 Mb/s) of 1000 Mb/s 31B.4.3 Insert the following two rows to the end of table: {Item} *MIId {Feature} At operating speeds of 10 Gb/s with PHY types other than 10GBASE-T {Subclause} 31B.3.7 {Status} Optional {Item} *MIIe {Feature} At operating speeds of 10 Gb/s with PHY types of 10GBASE-T {Subclause} 31B.3.7 {Status} Optional

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

CI 74

SC 74.5.2

P113 L17

Ganga, Ilango

Intel Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status D

For better clarity Change "one per lane" to one per PCS lane" to be consistent with description in other places

SuggestedRemedy

Change "one per lane" to one per PCS lane"

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Make the description of delay constraints for 40Gb/s, 100Gb/s consistent with definition in other 40/100G clauses (for e.g. see 82.5). Also add reference to definition in 80.4.

SuggestedRemedy

Change sentence to read as follows: "The maximum delay contributed by the 40GBASE-R FEC (sum of transmit and receive delays at one end of the link) shall be no more than 24576 BT (or 48 pause quanta or 614.4 ns)". Change sentence to read as follows: "The maximum delay contributed by the 100GBASE-R FEC (sum of transmit and receive delays at one end of the link) shall be no more than 122880 BT (or 240 pause guanta or 1228.8 ns). Also add the following sentence to end of this subclause: A description of overall system delay constraints and the definitions for bit-times and pause guanta can be found in 80.4 and its references. Make similar change to 10Gb/s as well to be consistent with the 40 and 100G text. Also the first paragraph of 74.6 could be deleted.

Response Response Status C	Response	Response Status	С
----------------------------	----------	-----------------	---

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

As per suggested remedy but not deleting the first paragraph of 74.6 because this is from the base standard.

CI 74	SC 74.7.4.1.2	P115	L13	# 893
Ganga, Ilan	igo	Intel Corporation		

Comment Type **T** Comment Status A

The Reverse gear box function is applicable to both PCS to FEC interface and the PMA to FEC interface when FEC is implemented in a PHY chip, so update the description accordingly.

SuggestedRemedy

Change sentence to read as follows: "...and the 1-bit wide lane

of the 40GBASE-R or 100GBASE-R PCS to FEC interface (or PMA to FEC interface)". Also change the next sentence as follows: "It receives the 1-bit stream from the FEC service

interface (or PMA service interface) and ... " In addition insert the following to the end of sentence in line 18: (or PMA:IS_UNITDATA_i.request primitive). Alternative to the above suggested remedy suitable description could be added to the last paragraph of 74.7.4.1.2 as follows: Insert a sentence to last paragraph: The Reverse gear box function is also applicable to PMA service interface when FEC sublayer is implemented with physical instantiation of PMA service interface for connecting to PCS sublayer (see Annex 83A).

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the first of the two suggested remedies.

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

891

Comment ID # 893

Page 197 of 200 1/28/2010 6:42:37 AM

Draft 3.0 Comments	3	IEEE F	2802.3ba D3.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s Et	nernet com	nments		Sponsor ballot
C/ 74 SC 74.7.4.5. Ganga, Ilango	1 P119 Intel Corp	L6 pration	# 894	<i>Cl 74</i> Ganga, Ilang	SC 74.11.5	P124 Intel Corporation	L 37	# 896
Comment Type ER Change "10GBASE-K text SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A R PHY" to "10GBASE-R F	'HY" to be consite	ent with definition in base		3 for Reverse 3b/s. The cur	Comment Status A gear box function needs to be u rent option is for physical instant		
	R PHY" to "10GBASE-R P	'HY"			-	for 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s option	6	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT		Response Status C		
see also comment 34 Cl 74 SC 74.8 Ganga, Ilango	P 121 Intel Corp	L26	# 895			function operates in the same m 2.11."	anner as the	e lane block sync
FEC_uncorrected_blo including 74.8.4.1 & 7	Comment Status D variables FEC_corrected_ cks_counter_i. Make this of 4.8.4.2 and if applicable to 3 for 40Gb/s and i=0 to 19	change to all insta corresponding s	ances of this variable ections in Clause 45. Also	lane bloc and add FE3a, Re	k sync functio PICS FE3a werse Gear E	function, if implemented, shall op on defined in 82.2.11." Box function, 74.7.4.1.2, Reverse ts of 82.2.11, if implemented:M		
As per comment				CI 00	SC 0	Р	L	# 897
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT also see comment 442					be ER d update the	Intel Corporation Comment Status A subclause numbering style for r	ew subclaus	
	<u>-</u>				Clauses 45,	able to this amendment. Especia 73, 74 etc.,	ally the new	subclauses inserted by
				SuggestedRe Update tl		style for inserted subclauses if	applicable to	o 802.3ba
				Response		Response Status C		
				ACCEPT	IN PRINCIP	LE.		

							•
C/ 84 SC 84.7.5 Ganga, Ilango	P 227 Intel Corporatio	L 50 n	# 898	C/ 00 SC Ganga, Ilango	0	P Intel Corporation	L # 900
change n to italics in variate variable. Similarly change i uses n and the other variate SuggestedRemedy	to italics in variable PMD_	transmit_disat	ole_i. Why one variable	3 through 80 SuggestedReme)-5. edy	Comment Status A ling the use of notes embedded in t tes in Tables (if applicable) as per l	
As per comment Proposed Response ROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Response ACCEPT IN As per IEEE	-	Response Status C LE. nual section 15.4:	
also see comment 502	P	L	# 899	"A note to a	table is in	formative. A footnote to a table is n determining whether information sh	
Check for style regarding the diagram figures, for examp SuggestedRemedy	le Figures 80-1 through 80	d NOTE2 emb -5 and 82-1, 8	3-1 etc.,	other values state diagra functions otl put into the	in lanes 1 m allows f ner than lir text above	NOTE, delete the first sentence and I to 3 not shown in this table are re- uture standardization of reserved S hk fault indications." In the table, and reword to fit in.	served. The link fault signaling
Update the notes embedde Response R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ed in the figures (if applicat Response Status C	ole) as per IEE	E style requirements.		88: Table	 -4 delete the NOTE. on first page of PICS: Change NOT 	TES 1, 2, 3 to NOTE 1, NOTE 2,
As per the IEEE Style man "A note to a figure is inform be kept in mind when deter footnote."	native. A footnote to a figur						
Notes in Figures 80-1 throu hence the NOTE(s) in figur		3-1 and 88-2 a	re informative and				
In Fig 82-10 and Fig 82-11 In Fig 83-5, change footnot In Fig 83-6, change colon t Under Figures 85-2 and 85	te numbering from 1,2,3 to o em dash after the word N	a,b,c NOTE					

C/ 84	SC 84.7.2	P 226	L 38	# 901
Ganga, Ilango		Intel Corporation		

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The control function variables used in table 84-3 need to be defined in the corresponding subclause in Clause 84. The control function description in 84.7.12 refers to control function in Clause 72. However Clause 72 is applicable to single lane. So description to be added to 84.7.12 to state that the corresponding variables defined for single lane is enumerated to mutiple lanes. For example rx_trained variable is enumerated to rx_trained_0 through rx_trained_3. Variable names with proper enumeration to be defined in Clause 80 so this can be mapped to registers in Clause 45.

SuggestedRemedy

Provide description of variables in appropriate subclaue(s) in Clause 84.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add the following paragraphs at the end of 84.7.12:

"The variables rx_trained_i, frame_lock_i, training_i and training_failure_i (where i goes from 0 to 3) report status for each lane and are equivalent to rx_trained, frame_lock, training and training_failure as defined in 72.6.10.3.1.

If the MDIO interface is implemented, then this function shall map these variables to the appropriate bits in the BASE-R PMD status register (Register 1.151) as specified in 45.2.1.78."

also add appropriate PICS entry