25G

Р SC 0 # 119 C/ 00 L Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

C/ 00

P 20

L 20

34

Motion 32 at the 802.3 March plenary meeting in Berlin approved modifying the P802.3bg

"Define a single 25 Gb/s PHY supporting operation on the link segment"

Therefore the 802.3bq draft is not fit to proceed to sponsor group ballot until the 25GBASE-T PHY is included.

SuggestedRemedy

Include support for the 25GBASE-T PHY

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

objectives to include:

Motion to approve PAR changes was inadvertently missed at the March Plenary. If PAR changes are approved, 25GBASE-T PHY will be added, if they are not, the objective will be out of scope of the PAR and be deleted.

Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type ER Comment Status A ΕZ

Established 802.3 practice is that amendments do not re-number the sublauses in the base document. This is particularly important for sections like 1.4 and Clause 45 which are modified by multiple amendments simultaneously. Any re-numbering that is required is then performed by the next revision project (such as the current 802.3bx).

Also, there were multiple comments against P802.3bq D2.0 which proposed to remove the "renumber" text and were ACCEPT. Examples are:

#158 45.2.1.12.9a #170 45.2.3.7.5a #173 45.2.3.9.4a #177 45.2.7.10.4a and 45.2.7.10.4b #182 45.2.7.11.7a #187 45.2.7.13.4a

SC 0

However, these comments were not implemented correctly and the "re-number" text remains in the draft despite the correct use of "a" subclause numbers to avoid the need for this.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove re-numbering and implement the comments noted above.

For 1.4.72a change the editing instruction to: "Insert the 40GBASE-T definition into the list after 1.4.72 40GBASE-SR4 as follows:"

For 1.4.278a change the editing instruction to: "Insert the MultiGBASE-T definition into the list after 1.4.278 multiport device as follows:"

For 45.2.1.12.9a change the editing instruction to: "Insert 45.2.1.12.9a after 45.2.1.12.9 as follows:"

For 45.2.3.7.5a change the editing instruction to: "Insert 45.2.3.7.5a after 45.2.3.7.5 as follows:" For 45.2.3.9.4a change the editing instruction to: "Insert 45.2.3.9.4a after 45.2.3.9.4 as follows:"

For 45.2.7.10.4a and 45.2.7.10.4b change the editing instruction to: "Insert 45.2.7.10.4a.

45.2.7.10.4b, and 45.2.7.10.4c after 45.2.7.10.4 as follows:"

For 45.2.7.11.7a change the editing instruction to: "Insert 45.2.7.11.7a, and 45.2.7.11.7b after 45.2.7.11.7 as follows:"

For 45.2.7.13.4a change the editing instruction to: "Insert 45.2.7.13.4a after 45.2.7.13.4 as follows:"

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT. (Dup of comment 75)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 00 SC 0

Page 1 of 26 7/15/2015 5:46:32 PM

SC 0 # 75 C/ 00 P 20 L 20 C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 30 # 42 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. HESS, DAVE CORD DATA Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A Cabling Editing instruction should not re-number clauses or definitions when inserted as an "a" heading Include a new definition for "Category 8" balanced copper cabling similar to and consistent with number the other balanced copper cabling types.

"Insert definition and re-number remaining definitions." (1.4.72a P20 L20, 1.4.278a P20, L25) "Insert new clause after 45.2.1.12.9 and re-number remaining clauses." (45.2.1.12.9a P34 L4, 45.2.3.7.5a P38 L38, 45.2.3.9.4a P39 L16, 45.2.7.10.41 P45 L3, 45.2.7.11.7a P47 L13, 45.2.7.13.4a P48 L16)

SuggestedRemedy

delete "and re-number remaining definitions" (2 instances, 1.4.72a P20 L20, 1.4.278a P20, L25) delete "and re-number remaining clauses" (6 instances, 45.2.1.12.9a P34 L4, 45.2.3.7.5a P38 L38, 45.2.3.9.4a P39 L16, 45.2.7.10.41 P45 L3, 45.2.7.11.7a P47 L13, 45.2.7.13.4a P48 L1)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT. (Dup of comment 34)

 CI 00 SC 0
 P 59
 L 13
 # 35

 Anslow, Pete
 Ciena

 Comment Type
 ER
 Comment Status A
 EZ

Comment i-31 against the revision project 802.3bx D3.0 has removed the text "CSMA/CD" from clauses for 10G and above since they are all full duplex.

The suggested remedy follows the changes made in response to comment i-31

SuggestedRemedy

In Figure 80-1 change: "LAN CSMA/CD AYERS" on three levels (note missing "L") to: "ETHERNET LAYERS" on two levels.

In Figure 81-1 and Figure 113-1 change: "LAN CSMA/CD LAYERS" on three levels to: "ETHERNET LAYERS" on two levels.

In the titles of Figure 81-1 and Figure 113-1, change: "the IEEE 802.3 CSMA/CD LAN model" to "the IEEE 802.3 Ethernet model"

In 113.1.1 change: "IEEE 802.3 CSMA/CD LAN model" to "the IEEE 802.3 Ethernet model" and also change "the IEEE 802.3 (CSMA/CD) MAC" to "the IEEE 802.3 MAC"

Response Status W

ACCEPT.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the following new definitions into the list, in alphanumerical order:

1.4.x Category 8 balanced cabling: Balanced 100 Ù cables and associated connecting hardware whose transmission characteristics are specified up to 2,000 MHz (i.e., cabling components meet the performance specified in ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1). In addition to the requirements outlined in ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1, IEEE 802.3 Clause 14, Clause 23, Clause 25, Clause 40, Clause 55, and Clause 113 specify additional requirements for this cabling when used with 10BASE-T, 100BASE-T, 10GBASE-T, and 40GBASE-T.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Category 8 balanced cabling: Balanced 100 Ω cables and associated connecting hardware whose transmission characteristics are specified up to 2,000 MHz (i.e., cabling components that meet the Category 8.1 or Category 8.2 requirements specified in ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3 or Category 8 specified in ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1). In addition to the requirements outlined in ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3 and ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1, IEEE 802.3 Clause 14, Clause 23, Clause 25, Clause 40, Clause 55, and Clause 113 specify additional requirements for this cabling when used with 10BASE-T, 100BASE-T, 10GBASE-T, and 40GBASE-T.

C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 30 # 41 CORD DATA

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Include a new definition for "Class II" balanced copper cabling, similar to and consistent with the other balanced copper cabling types.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the following new definitions into the list, in alphanumerical order:

1.4.x Class II / Category 8.2 balanced cabling: Balanced 100 Ù cables and associated connecting hardware whose transmission characteristics are specified up to 2,000 MHz (i.e., cabling components meet the performance specified in ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3). In addition to the requirements outlined in ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3, IEEE 802.3 Clause 14, Clause 23, Clause 25, Clause 40, Clause 55, and Clause 113 specify additional requirements for this cabling when used with 10BASE-T, 100BASE-T, 10GBASE-T, and 40GBASE-T.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Use comment#42 for response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **01** SC **1.4**

Page 2 of 26 7/15/2015 5:46:32 PM

Cablina

SC 1.4 # 40 C/ 01 P 20 L 30 C/ 01 SC 1.4.278a P 20 L 27 # 36 HESS, DAVE CORD DATA Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type ER Comment Status A Cabling Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Include a new definition for "Class I" balanced copper cabling, similar to and consistent with the In the definition for MultiGBASE-T: other balanced copper cabling types. "1000Mbps" should be "1000 Mb/s" "Clause 55" should be "IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 55" and "Clause 55 should be a cross-SuggestedRemedy Insert the following new definitions into the list, in alphanumerical order: "Clause 113" should be "IEEE Std 802.3. Clause 113" and "Clause 113 should be a crossreference. 1.4.x Class I / Category 8.1 balanced cabling: Balanced 100 Ù cables and associated SuggestedRemedy connecting hardware whose transmission characteristics are specified up to 2,000 MHz (i.e., cabling components meet the performance specified in ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3). In addition Change: "1000Mbps" to "1000 Mb/s" to the requirements outlined in ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3, IEEE 802.3 Clause 14, Clause 23, Change: "Clause 55" to "IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 55" and make "Clause 55" a cross-reference. Clause 25. Clause 40. Clause 55, and Clause 113 specify additional requirements for this Change: "Clause 113" to "IEEE Std 802.3. Clause 113" and make "Clause 113" a crosscabling when used with 10BASE-T, 100BASE-T, 10GBASE-T, and 40GBASE-T. reference. Response Response Response Status W Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. (Dup of 43) Use comment#42 as response P 20 C/ 01 SC 1.4.72a L 23 # 76 C/ 01 SC 1.4.278a P 20 L 27 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status A Cabling Comment Status A PMA/PMD Comment Type References to category 8, Class I and Class II are incomplete and imprecise. The MultiGBASE-T PHYs do not have PMD sublayers. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "category 8. Class I. or Class II balanced copper cabling." to Change "Ethernet PCS/PMA/PMDs" to "Ethernet PHYs" "TIA category 8, ISO/IEC Class I, or ISO/IEC Class II balanced copper cabling." Alternatively "Ethernet PCS/PMAs" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "category 8, Class I, or Class II balanced copper cabling." to Change to "Ethernet PCS/PMAs" "ANSI/TIA Category 8, ISO/IEC Class I, or ISO/IEC Class II balanced copper cabling." C/ 01 SC 1.4.278a P 20 L 27 # 43 C/ 01 SC 1.5 P 20 L 32 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Zimmerman. George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type Ε Comment Type E Comment Status A Fditorial - Not FZ "at speeds in excess of 1000Mbps" - wrong speed format Editorial note that 1.5 is a placeholder is no longer needed since there is now an abbreviation in the section. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to "at speeds in excess of 1000 Mb/s" Delete editor's note. (Lines 32-36) Also, replace "Clause 55" with "see IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 55" and "Clause 113" with "see Response Response Status C IEEE Std 802.3. Clause 113" ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. (Dup of 36)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **01** SC **1.5**

Page 3 of 26

7/15/2015 5:46:32 PM

SC 1.5 # 79 C/ 01 P 20 L 37 C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 65 L 9 # 37 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Editing instruction references definitions, should be abbreviations in Clause 1.5 "cabling systebbms" should be "cabling systems" SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change "Insert the following new definitions into the definitions list, in alphanumeric order:" Change "cabling systebbms" to "cabling systems" to "Insert the following new abbreviations into the abbreviations list, in alphanumeric order:" Response Response Status C Response Status C Response ACCEPT. ACCEPT. SC 113.1.1 P 66 C/ 113 L 1 C/ 113 SC 113 P 96 L 5 # 90 Anslow, Pete Ciena Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ "Clause 81" should be a cross-reference (line 1) Extraneous period after colon, and figure comes mid-sentence separating equations from "Annex 83B" should have character tag "External" applied (line 2) descriptive text: "Clause 82" should have character tag "External" applied (line 3) "This implements the scrambler polynomial:8." (figure comes here, then equation 113-1) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make "Clause 81" a cross-reference (line 1) delete . after colon & footnote. Move Figure 113-11 so it does not disrupt sentence flow. Apply character tag "External" to "Annex 83B" (line 2) Apply character tag "External" to "Clause 82" (line 3) Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 65 L # 9 C/ 113 SC 113.1.1 P 66 L 4 # 85 APM Brown, Matt Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Subclause 113.1 does not define all of the mandatory and optional sublayers required for a complete physical layer as is done for all 10GBASE-R, 40GBASE-R, and 100GBASE-R PHYs. Figure 113-1 references CSMA/CD, align with IEEE Std. 802.3bx D3p1, Replace "LAN An example is Table 84-1 for 40GBASE-KR4. Such a table is helpful to identify the related CSMA/CD" with "ETHERNET" in upper part of figure, and in figure title on line 30. layers and interfaces that are relevant to 40GBASE-T but not defined in the Clause 113 such SuggestedRemedy as the XLGMII (81), RS (81), XLAUI (83A, optional), 40GBASE-R PCS (82, optional, but red'd Replace "LAN CSMA/CD LAYERS" with "ETHERNET LAYERS" in Figure 113-1 (line 4) for XLAUI) and 40GBASE-R PMA (83, optional, but reg'd for XLAUI). Replace "CSMA/CD LAN" with "Ethernet" in figure title on line 30 SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Add a table "Physical Laver clauses associated with the 40GBASE-T PCS/PMA" list the ACCEPT. Dup with 35 "associated clauses" and indicate "optional" or "mandatory" for each.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Response Status W

Add the following on page 65, line 17, after "Clause 45, or equivalent." (same paragraph) "Please refer to Table 80-2 for associated sublayers and options for assembling a 40Gb/s

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

system with the 40GBASE-T PHY."

C/ 113 SC 113.1.1 Page 4 of 26 7/15/2015 5:46:32 PM

EΖ

 CI 113
 SC 113.1.2
 P 69
 L 8
 # 39

 Anslow, Pete
 Ciena

 Comment Type
 E
 Comment Status
 A
 EZ

Comment i-85 against the revision project 802.3bx D3.0 has changed the expansion of XLGMII from "40 Gigabit Media Independent Interface" to "40 Gb/s Media Independent Interface"

SuggestedRemedy

In Figure 113-3, Figure 113-4, and Figure 113-5 change "FORTY GIGABIT MEDIA" to "40 Gb/s MEDIA"

In 113.1.2.1 (page 70, line3) change "...a Forty Gigabit Media Independent..." to "...a 40 Gb/s Media Independent..."

In 113.2 a) change "Forty Gigabit Media Independent Interface" to "40 Gb/s Media Independent Interface"

In 113.3.1 change "40 Gigabit Media Independent Interface" to "40 Gb/s Media Independent Interface"

Response Status C

Ε

ACCEPT.

Comment Type

Comment Status A

The PICS proforma should start at the top of a new page.

The text in 113.12 and the tables in 113.12.1.1 and 113.12.1.2 should be based on those in the 802.3 template.

SuggestedRemedy

In the paragraph designer, set the heading for 113.12 to Start: Top of Page as per the 802.3 template.

Change text in 113.12 and the tables in 113.12.1.1 and 113.12.1.2 to be based on those in the 802.3 template.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.3.2.2.11 P 91 L 42 # 89 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

PCS

For 40Gb/s start characters can only occur at the start of a 65B block: "Block type field values implicitly encode an /S/ as the fifth or first character of the block."

Similarly for ordered sets on page 92, lines 6 & 7 (113.3.2.2.13)

"Block type field values implicitly encode an /O/ as the first or fifth character of the block."

Note this will need to be augmented to differentiate 25G and 40G operation if 25GBASE-T is added

SuggestedRemedy

Add "for 40 Gb/s transmission" and delete "fifth or" so it reads, "For 40 Gb/s transmission, block type field values implicitly encode an /S/ as the first character of the block."

and similarly, in 113.3,2,2,13 (P92 L6-7)

"For 40 Gb/s transmission, block type field values implicitly encode an /O/ as the first character of the block."

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 92 L 52 # 2 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 95 L 3 # 3 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status R PCS Comment Type TR Comment Status R In Figure 113-10 Example one lists the first row as Control block, has a header for 2nd control The transcoding process causes all 64b blocks to be able to land in all 8 locations of the transcoded word. This adds complexitity that isn't necessary. block but lists D1-D7 in the data section of the block. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Convert the 2nd row of Example 1 in Figure 113-10 from from D1-D7 to C0-C7 Change the transcoder to move the first Control block to position 0 and bump all data blocks from position 0 to the first Control block down by 1 value. This means location 0 must have an Response Response Status W 8:1 mux, but the other 7 only need a 2:1 (previous or normal). REJECT. The second row correctly contains data blocks D1 through D7 according to the encoding rules ie. 0 - 0001 xxxx C0-C7 Control (original location 4) described. Example 1 has 2 control codes, 0x1E and 0x78. The first control code 0x1E is, according to 1 - Data block (original location 0) table 113-9 is followed by 8 7-bit C-code control characters (C0 through C7) which are depicted 2 - Data block (original location 1) in Example 1's first row in Figure 113-10. The second control code 0x78 is a start-of-frame 3 - Data block (original location 2) delimiter followed by 7 data bytes, D1 through D7, also shown correctly in Example 1's 2nd row 4 - Data block (original location 3) 5 - Control block in Figure 113-10. 6 - Control block C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.19 P 97 L3 # 91 7 - Data block Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status A IEEE style manual - spell out isolated numbers less than ten. Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy REJECT. replace "2 random fill bits" with "two random fill bits" Commenter does not provide sufficient detailed remedy for text Response Response Status C P 94 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 L 1 # 11 ACCEPT. Anslow, Pete Ciena C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.8 P 90 L 34 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies In the title of Table 113-3, "Translation" should be "translation" Comment Status A Comment Type SuggestedRemedy Figure 113-9 shows the list of 64b/65b Block formats but the note below it then says ignore Change "Translation" to "translation' some of these they're not right. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Remove the illegal rows from Figure 113-9 and update control code 0x4B to have Z characters instead of C. Remove the NOTE listing the exceptions that are now part of the table.

Response

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.8

If Clause 113 adds 25G with 32b algined block encodings then create a new Figure to show the

valid block formats for the 25G. One Figure for 40g and another for 25g.

Response Status W

Page 6 of 26 7/15/2015 5:46:32 PM

PCS

EΖ

PCS

C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.8 P 90 L 34 # 10 C/ 113 SC 113.3.4 P 105 L 1 Anslow, Pete Ciena Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type ER Comment Status A PCS Comment Type Comment Status A The note under Figure 113-9 was the subject of comment #126 against D2.0 which was Frame version of Figure 113-14 is now available, see 802.3bz D0p1, without strikeout. "ACCEPT". However, the Suggested Remedy was not implemented correctly. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace Figure 113-14 with frame version from 802.3bz D0p1 without strikeout marks. Change "Note" to "NOTE" Delete editors note. Change: Response Response Status C "For 40Gbps Transmission, 64 bit alignment ..." to: ACCEPT. "For 40 Gb/s transmission, 64-bit alignment ..." Response Response Status W C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.2.1 P 109 L 23 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 4, note to be deleted Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. C/ 113 SC 113.3.4 P 104 L 15 # 122 Comment Type Comment Status A Peter, Wu Marvell Semiconductor Comment Status A

LATE

I agree with Brett on this and we should remove optional periodic training sequence. See Comment #93 on D2.0

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

See comment #93 on D2.0

т

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Presentations:

McClellan 3bg 01 0715.pdf

Feyh_3bq_01_0715.pdf

Add (P 104 L14) Editor's note (to be removed prior to Sponsor Ballot) - Concern has been raised that the periodically resetting training sequence (PTS) implementation and text have not been adequately investigated. Experts are encouraged to carefully validate the proposed PTS text and, if necessary, comment on when the scope of the ballot opens again (e.g., if 25G is added).

40 Gb/s ordered sets are constrained to by aligned with the 8 byte boundary in XLGMII, hence there can only be one local fault or link interruption ordered set in an XLGMII word, not two as stated:

(Line 23, LBLOCK_R) "72 bit vector to be sent to the XLGMII interface containing two Local Fault ordered sets.'

(Line 26, LBLOCK T) "65 bit vector to be sent to the 512B/513B transcoder and block-LDPC framer containing two Local Fault ordered sets."

(Line 40, UBLOCK R) "72 bit vector to be sent to the XLGMII containing two Link Interruption ordered sets."

Note - these will need to be augmented if 25G is added to have both usages (two and one set).

SugaestedRemedy

change "two" to "a" in lines 23, 26 and 40, and "sets" to "set" to read as:

"containing a Local Fault ordered set" (L 23 & 26), and "containing a Link Interruption ordered set" (L40).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.2.1 Page 7 of 26 7/15/2015 5:46:32 PM

86

92

EΖ

PCS

PCS

PCS

87 C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.2.2 P 109 L 53

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

description of Ifer timer interval of 125usec inconsistent with definition of Ifer timer on page 111 line 45 (125/4 usec)

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "(nominally 125 us for 40GBASE-T, indicating a bit error ratio > 10^-4)" (so that Ifer_timer definition on page 111 becomes the single, controlling reference). also, delete descriptive reference on 113.3.7.2 P 113, L34 "(nominally 125/4 is for 40GBASET)"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.2.4 P 112 L 37 # 93

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Text incorrectly describes valid 10G control codes, not 40G, and includes invalid 0x2D, 0x55. 0x33, and 0x66 invalid 40G block types, and invalid 40G use of 0x4B block code with control characters:

line 34:

- "C: The vector contains a data/ctrl header of 1 and one of the following:
- a) A block type field of 0x1E and eight valid control characters other than /E/ and /LI/;
- b) A block type field of 0x2D or 0x4B, a valid O code, and four valid control characters:
- c) A block type field of 0x55 and two valid O codes.
- S: The vector contains a data/ctrl header of 1 and one of the following:
- a) A block type field of 0x33 and four valid control characters;
- b) A block type field of 0x66 and a valid O code;
- c) A block type field of 0x78."

Note - these will have to be added back in if 25GBASE-T is added, but need language separating out their 40G use from their 25G use.

SuggestedRemedy

Under "C" (line 37)

Replace item b - "b) A block type field of 0x2D or 0x4B, a valid O code, and four valid control

"b) A block type field of 0x4B, a valid O code, and zeros, as shown in Figure 82-4." delete line 39, item "c" (block type field of 0x55...)

Under "S" (line 40):

delete items (a) & (b) (lines 40 & 41) and relabel (c) as (a) to read:

- "S; The vector contains a data/ctrl header of 1 and one of the following:
- a) A block type field of 0x78."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.2.4 P 113 L 19 # 80

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

text incorrectly describes valid 10G control codes, not 40G, and includes invalid two ordered set block types, and invalid 40G use of 0x4B block code (one ordered set with 4 control characters), and describes S as being able to occur on fifth position (invalid block types 0x33,

- "C; The vector contains one of the following:
- a) eight valid control characters other than /O/, /S/, /T/, /E/, and /LI/;
- b) one valid ordered set and four valid control characters other than /O/. /S/ and /T/:

Comment Status A

c) two valid ordered sets.

Comment Type T

S: The vector contains an /S/ in its first or fifth character, any characters before the S character are valid control characters other than /O/, /S/ and /T/ or form a valid ordered set, and all characters following the /S/ are data characters."

Also, on lines 40-42: "A valid ordered set consists of a valid /O/ character in the first or fifth characters and data characters in the three characters following the /O/."

Note - these will have to be added back in if 25GBASE-T is added, but need language separating out their 40G use from their 25G use.

SuggestedRemedy

Under value "C":

(line 21) replace item (b) with "b) one valid ordered set followed by four data bytes and zeros as shown in Figure 82-4 for block code 0x4B."

(line 22) delete item (c): "c) two valid ordered sets."

Under value "S":

(line 23), delete "or fifth" to read, "The vector contains an /S/ in its first character,"

On lines 40-42, delete "or fifth" and change "characters" to "character" to read, "A valid ordered

consists of a valid /O/ character in the first character and data characters in the three characters following the /O/."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.2.4 Page 8 of 26 7/15/2015 5:46:32 PM

PCS

C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.2.5 P 114 L 7 # 120 C/ 113 SC 113.5.3 P 153 L 27 # 12 George, Zimmerman CME Consulting, Inc. Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A LATE Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ tx lpdc frame cnt and rx ldpc frame cnt should be reset every 4 quiet reset periods because Comment i-54 against the Revision project D3.0 has changed all instances in 802.3 of "AC that is the period of the 4 channel cycle. This appears to have been changed to 6 by mistake in coupling" to "AC-coupling" Also applies to PICS item PME18 the lengthening of other periods SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change "lpi_gr_time x 6." To "lpi_gr_time x 4." On lines 7 & 12 Change "AC coupling" to "AC-coupling" on Page 153, line 27 and also on Page 189, line 37 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.4 P 117 L 37 C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.3 P 156 L 17 # 111 Moffitt, Bryan Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies CommScope Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type T Ε Comment Status D Clamp Test In figure 113-17 there is an extra "+" on the exit for TX E state going to target C Splitting some technical detail between this clause and the Annex creates confusion, and new technical information is available suggesting a change in source control. Change the paragraph SuggestedRemedy to move all technical detail to the Annex. Remove the extranenous + SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C replace with: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. An 80 MHz to 2000 MHz test can be made using the cable clamp described in Annex 113A, 30 Clean up exits to states TX_E and TX_T to make clear what goes with what: meter plug-terminated cabling that meets the requirements of 113.7, suitable broadband Replace "(T TYPE(tx raw) = C+LII) +" with "T TYPE(tx raw) = (C + LII)" and move next to ferrites, and a common ground reference plane for this test equipment and the equipment target C out of state TX E. under test. A controlled sine wave that is stepped across the entire frequency range is used to Move "T TYPE(tx raw) = (E+D+T)" associated with exit from state TX T to the left, abutting its generate the external electromagnetic field and corresponding shield current. exit from state TX T. and Proposed Response Response Status Z Move "T_TYPE(tx_raw) = D" down so that it is clear that it is associated with target D out of REJECT. state TX E. C/ 113 SC 113.5. P 157 L 29 # 81 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Comment Status A F7 C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.3 P 156 L 21 # 13 Comment Type E Anslow, Pete Ciena Extraneous "bb" at end of line. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ SuggestedRemedy There should be a (non-breaking) space between a number and its unit. delete "bb" after period on line 29. 6dBm should be 6 dBm Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. (Dup of 14) Change "6dBm" to "6 dBm" where the space is non-breaking (Ctrl space) Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.3 Page 9 of 26 7/15/2015 5:46:32 PM

C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.4 P 157 L 29 # 14 C/ 113 SC 113.7.1 P 162 L 45 # 72 Anslow, Pete Ciena Swanson, Steve Corning Incorporated Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Table 113-1 does not contain balanced cabling as mentioned. (Table 113-1 is on page 91 and spurious "bb" in "test.bb" contains control codes) SuggestedRemedy delete "bb" at the end of the subclause SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Give the Table 113-1 on page 163 a different number and reference that Table on line 45 on ACCEPT. (Dup of 81) page 162 Response Response Status W C/ 113 SC 113.6.1.1 P 158 L 2 # 15 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Ciena Anslow, Pete Change Table 113-1 on page 163 to be next in sequence (Table 113-20), confirm cross reference points to correct table, and renumber remaining tables. Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type E "Clause 45" should be a cross-reference C/ 113 SC 113.7.2.3 P 164 # 71 L 16 SuggestedRemedy Swanson, Steve Corning Incorporated Make "Clause 45" a cross-reference Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Response Response Status C There is an error in formula 113-14 ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Change frequency from "1<=f<=40" to "10<=f<=40" C/ 113 SC 113.6.1.2 P 159 L 39 # 88 Response Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Response Status C ACCEPT. Comment Status A Comment Type T Short Reach Table 113-18: short reach mode bit in autoneg page needs extension to 40G, and doesn't C/ 113 SC 113.7.3.1 P 169 L 28 # 73 currently agree with clause 45 register. Swanson, Steve Corning Incorporated SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A Cabling Change "10GBASE-T PHY short reach mode" to "PHY short reach mode" The text "When the computed PSANEXT value at a certain frequency exceeds 75 dB, the Response Response Status C PSANEXT result at that frequency is for information only." is not clear. ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Using the formula 113-28. PSANEXT values below 464MHz will be above 75 dB: are these not PASS/FAIL criteria? If so, it seems the formula 113-28 could be simplified and reduced to the frequency range 464MHz-2000MHz. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "When the computed PSANEXT value at a certain frequency exceeds 75 dB, the PSANEXT result at that

frequency is for information only."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 113 SC 113.7.3.1

To: "When the computed PSANEXT values are greater than 75 dB they shall revert to 75 dB."

Page 10 of 26 7/15/2015 5:46:32 PM

Cl 113 SC 113.7.4 P170 L 26 # 82

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Short Reach

Cabling

These two paragraphs belong in the PMA section, not in the link segment specifications as it is a test and mode of PMA performance, similar to that in 113.5.4.1 or 113.5.4.4:

"In short reach mode (indicating operation over a short reach link segment) while receiving data from a transmitter compliant with specifications in 113.5.3 (whether or not in short reach mode), through a short reach link segment meeting the requirements of 113.7.4, a receiver shall operate with a frame error ratio less than 9.6 x 10~10 for 800 octet frames with minimum IPG or greater than 799 octet IPG (e.g., operate with a BER less than 10~12).

The PHY short reach register setting 1.131.0 indicates whether the PHY is operating in the short reach mode."

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the two paragraphs (lines 26 - 33) from 113.7.4

Add clause 113.5.4.5 Short reach mode after 113.5.4.4 with the following text (the same two paragraphs, just reversed in order):

"The PHY short reach register setting 1.131.0 indicates whether the PHY is operating in the short reach mode.

In short reach mode (indicating operation over a short reach link segment) while receiving data from a transmitter compliant with specifications in 113.5.3 (whether or not in short reach mode), through a short reach link segment meeting the requirements of 113.7.4, a receiver shall operate with a frame error ratio less than 9.6 x 10~10 for 800 octet frames with minimum IPG or greater than 799 octet IPG (e.g., operate with a BER less than 10~12)."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 113 SC 113.7.4.1 P170 L50 # 116

Shariff, Masood CommScope

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Equation 113-32 is not correct since it is using $0.00065 \times \text{sqrt}(f)$ instead of $0.00065 \times f$ in the upper frequency range.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the upper frequency from 1000 to 2000 MHz

Also for the range $500 < f \le 2000$ the formula should be

 $0.00649 \times \text{sart}(f) + 0.000605 \times f$

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.7.4.1 P 170 L 52 # 74

Swanson, Steve Corning Incorporated

Comment Type T Comment Status A Cabling

There is an inconsistency in the formulas 113-31 and 113-32

SuggestedRemedy

Parameter B in formula 113-32 is only defined up to 1000MHz but insertion loss using parameter B is defined from 1 to 2000 MHz in formula 113-31.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment#116

C/ 113 SC 113.7.4.3.4 P 173 L 20 # 114

Shariff, Masood CommScope

Comment Type T Comment Status A Cabling

Equation 113-37 is using the wrong length adjustment term

SuggestedRemedy

Channge 5/24 with 24/5 in the equation to harmonize with TIA-568-C.2-1 draft 3.12

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.7.4.3.5 P174 L 10 # 115

Shariff, Masood CommScope

Comment Type T Comment Status A Cabling

Equation 113-39 is using the wrong length correction term

SuggestedRemedy

Channge 5/24 with 24/5 in the equation to harmonize with TIA-568-C.2-1 draft 3.12

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

16 SC 5.3.4 C/ 113 SC 113.7.5 P 176 L 9 C/ 113 P 154 L 44 # 70 Anslow, Pete Ciena Klempa, Michael **UNH IOL** Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ On line 9 "cstalk" should be "crosstalk" I believe either the Transmitter PSD limits (113-11) or the figure (113-38) is wrong, the Upper On line 12 "following:ros" should be "following:" last limit is -126 dBm/Hz while the figure looks more like -116. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy On line 9 change "cstalk" to "crosstalk" Make them agree, either way. On line 12 change "following:ros" to "following:" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 69 ACCEPT. (Dup of 83) C/ 113 SC 5.3.4 P 155 L 27 # 69 C/ 113 SC 113.7.5 P 176 L 9 # 83 Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Figure 113-38 doesn't seem to reflect the Upper PSD values described in Eq (113-11). typo "cstalk" should be "crosstalk", and the "ros" ended up on line 12 where it shouldn't be. Specifically, from 7160-12000 MHz the upper limit is defined as -126 dBm/Hz but the figure shows a value closer to -116 dBm/Hz. SuggestedRemedy line 9: replace "cstalk" with "crosstalk" SuggestedRemedy line 12: delete "ros" Re-draw Figure 113-38 to accurately represent the Upper PSD and Lower PSD limits defined Response Response Status C in Eq (113-11) and (113-12). ACCEPT. (Dup of 16) Response Response Status C. C/ 113 SC 113.8.2.2 P 234 L 51 # 84 ACCEPT. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. C/ 113A SC 113A P 199 L 11 # 110 Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial - Not EZ Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Editor's note has done its job of attracting notice. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Clamp test SuggestedRemedy There are now several different versions of cable clamp and the details shown only apply to one Delete editor's note. of them. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. change line to: This annex describes an example of a cable clamp and a representative methodology that should be used in the rejection of Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 113A SC 113A.1 P 201 L 5 # 112

Moffitt, Bryan CommScope

Comment Type T Comment Status A Clamp test

Clamp data needs updating.

SuggestedRemedy

The electrical parameters of the clamp measured between the source connections and without installed cabling are as follows:

- a) Insertion loss: < 3 dB below 1000 MHz and < 25 dB below 2000MHz
- b) Return loss: > 3 dB below 1000 MHz and > 1 dB below 2000 MHz

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 94

C/ 113A SC 113A.3 P 201 L 11 # 113

Moffitt, Bryan CommScope

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Clamp test

Cable clamp validation and 113A.4 Test Setup should be modified based on new information to be presented, including additional instructions for testing unshielded cabling that can be used by 802.3bz

SuggestedRemedy

To be presented

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment 94

C/ 113A SC 113A.3 P 201 L 16 # 94

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Clamp test

Annex 113A describes test configurations and methods - it should be generic so it can be used with multiple PHYs. Examples of the references for 40GBASE-T should be given.

SuggestedRemedy

P201 L16: Change "uses cabling that meets the requirements of Clause 113.7." to "uses cabling that meets the requirements of the link segment for the PHY under test, e.g., Clause 113.7 for 40GBASE-T."

In 113A.4:

P202 L48: Change "An up to 30-meters of cabling that meets the specification of Clause 113.7 is connected between two 40GBASE-T PHYs and inserted into the cable clamp. The cable should be terminated on each end with an MDI connector plug specified in Clause 113.8.1." to "An up to the maximum specified length of cabling that meets the link segment specification for the PHY under test, e.g., Clause 113.7 for 40GBASE-T, is connected between two such PHYs and inserted into the cable clamp. The cable should be terminated on each end with an MDI connector plug specified for the MDI of the PHY under test, e.g., Clause 113.8.1 for 40GBASE-T."

P202 L53 - replace "40GBASE-T" with "PHY"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accept edits and revised text in 8023-113a cibula a r1.pdf for annex 113A.

Comment Status R

Cl 28 SC 28.3.1 P21 L10 # 44

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

"40GigT; represents that the 40GBASE-T PMA is the signal source." - please align with 802.3bx, comment i-61 and i-60 (http://www.ieee802.org/3/bx/comments/P8023-D3p0-

Comments Final byID.pdf)

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Comment Type TR

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

10GBASE-T PHYs do not include PMD, and neither does 40GBASE-T.

Comments i-60 and i-61 on 802.3bx were with regard to clause 73 autoneg, not clause 28 autoneg, where the BASE-T PHYs are.

PMA/PMD

C/ 30

Cl 28 SC 28.5.3 P 21 L 34 # 121 George, Zimmerman CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A

SC 30.5.1.1.24

"see 45.2.1.79.2 and 55.4.5.1 and 113.4.5.4" - missing serial comma, unnecesary "and"

P 28

Bright House Networks

L 33

SugaestedRemedy

Hajduczenia, Marek

Change to "see 45.2.1.79.2, 55.4.5.1, and 113.4.5.4" with proper editorial markup

Comment Status A

Similar change in 30.5.1.1.25

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 31 L 21 # 19 Anslow. Pete Ciena

MultiG

46

EΖ

The register names for registers 1.133 through 1.144 are shown in Table 45-3 as changing from starting "10GBASE-T" to "MultiGBASE-T".

However, the register names in the defining subclauses 45.2.1.66 through 45.2.1.77 do not start with "10GBASE-T", and are not modified in the current draft.

To fix this issue, either:

- a) the register names in Table 45-3 should remain as shown and the register names in 45.2.1.66 through 45.2.1.77 changed to start "MultiGBASE-T"
- b) the register names in Table 45-3 should be shown as having "10GBASE-T" in strikethrough font to make them the same as in the defining subclauses.

Option a) has the merit of making the PHYs that use these registers clear, which it would otherwise not be.

SuggestedRemedy

a) leave the register names in Table 45-3 as they are and the change the register names in 45.2.1.66 through 45.2.1.77 to start "MultiGBASE-T" (preferred)

or

EΖ

b) change the register names in Table 45-3 to start with "10GBASE-T" in strikethrough font to make them the same as in the defining subclauses.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Taking option (b) - see comment 95

The registers in question could be used by more backplane and optical PHYs as they develop more advanced link monitoring capabilities.

LATE Alignment with 802.3bz - Use of the *40G and *10G options is replaced with *MG in 802.3bz because all the MultiGBASE-T family PHYs require the same thing in these PICS, an extension of the link fail inhibit timer beyond what 1000BASE-T and lower speeds use.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "insert row" editing instruction and text (lines 30-37) and replace with editing instruction: "Change row for *10G in clause 28.5.3 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Change row to show *10G in strikeout. *MG in underline in first column, then strikeout "Implementation supports a 10GBASE-T PHY". replacing with "Implementation supports a member of the MultiGBASE-T PHY Family (See Clause 1.4)" in column 2, and referencing Clauses 55 and 113 (as cross references) in column 3.

In 28.5.4.8, item SD10, P22, L10-11, replace "Status" column with strikeout "!10G:M" underline "!MG:M"

In 28.5.4.8, item SD11, P22, L13-14, replace "Status" column with strikeout "10G:M" underline "MG:M"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete "insert row" editing instruction and text (lines 30-37) and replace with editing instruction: "Change row for *10G in clause 28.5.3 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Change row to show *10G in strikeout, *MG in underline in first column, then strikeout "Implementation supports a 10GBASE-T PHY", replacing with "Implementation supports a member of the MultiGBASE-T PHY set (See Clause 1.4)" in column 2, and referencing Clauses 55 and 113 (as cross references) in column 3.

In 28.5.4.8, item SD10, P22, L10-11, replace "Status" column with strikeout "!10G:M" underline "!MG:M"

In 28.5.4.8, item SD11, P22, L13-14, replace "Status" column with strikeout "10G:M" underline "MG:M"

Change definition of MultiGBASE-T (page 20, L 27):

1.4.278a MultiGBASE-T: PHYs that belong to the set of specific BASE-T Ethernet PCS/PMAs at speeds in excess of 1000Mb/s, including 10GBASE-T (Clause 55) and 40GBASE-T (Clause 113)

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.22 P 28 L 19 # 45 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks**

Comment Type Comment Status A

"see 945.2.1.69" - not sure we have 945 Clauses :)

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "9"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1

Page 14 of 26 7/15/2015 5:46:32 PM

95 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 31 L 22 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 32 L 14 # 118 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste Comment Type ER Comment Status A MultiG Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Table 45-3 register names for Register 1.133 through 1.144 (SNR operating margin, minimum "1 0 0 1 1 0 = 40GBASE-T PMA/PMD" needs to be underlined because it is added text margin, and RX Signal power registers) do not agree with names of registers in referenced SugaestedRemedy subclauses (subclauses 45.2.1.66 through 45.2.1.77 do not include "10G" and hence don't underline "1 0 0 1 1 0 = 40GBASE-T PMA/PMD" need the change to MultiG). This defect exists in the base standard and the revision draft. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change names for Registers 1.133 through 1.144 in Table 45-3 to delete "10GBASE-T" from the name, as is in the base standard for the subclauses 45.2.1.66 though 45.2.1.77. Do not Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 32 L 14 # 101 add MultiGBASE-T to these names in 802.3bg. CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Response Response Status C Comment Type Comment Status A PMA/PMD ACCEPT. Table 45-7 incorrectly lists 40GBASE-T PMA/PMD Should be simply PMA, as 40GBASE-T The registers in question could be used by more backplane and optical PHYs as they develop does not have a PMD (10GBASE-T is listed in the same table as just PMA) more advanced link monitoring capabilities. SugaestedRemedy Cl 45 P 34 # 102 SC 45.2.1.12.9a L 8 Delete "/PMD" from line 14 entry for 40GBASE-T to read: "40GBASE-T PMA" Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Response Response Status C Comment Type E Comment Status A PMA/PMD ACCEPT. text incorrectly calls out 40GBASE-T PMA/PMD type Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 32 L 5 # 20 SuggestedRemedy Anslow, Pete Ciena Change lines 8 and 11 (2 instances), deleting "/PMD" to read "40GBASE-T PMA type" Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Response Response Status C Comment i-51 against 802.3bx D3.0 has changed "reserved for future use" to "reserved" in ACCEPT. Table 45-7. The inserted "1 0 0 1 1 0 = 40GBASE-T PMA/PMD" should be underlined. Cl 45 SC 45 2 1 6 P 32 / 1 The row "1 0 1 1 1 1 = reserved for future use" should be "1 0 1 1 1 1 = 100GBASE-SR4 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** PMA/PMD" Comment Status A F7 Comment Type SuggestedRemedy Table 45–7 contains just one marked change in row "1 0 0 1 1 x1 = reserved for future use" - it Change "reserved for future use" to "reserved" (2 instances as the third will be removed). seems that row "1 0 0 1 1 0 = 40GBASE-T PMA/PMD" should be also marke din underlined, Show "1 0 0 1 1 0 = 40GBASE-T PMA/PMD" in underline font. since it is the one being added? Change "1 0 1 1 1 1 = reserved for future use" to "1 0 1 1 1 1 = 100GBASE-SR4 PMA/PMD" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Per comment ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Response Status W

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.6** Page 15 of 26 7/15/2015 5:46:32 PM

Cl 45 Cl 45 P 34 SC 45.2.1.62 P 34 L 16 # 48 SC 45.2.1.64 L 50 # 99 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A ΕZ Font mess: added text "MultiG" is in smaller font than the remainder of the "BASE-T" type - 10/40GBASE-T SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy check the size of the newly added text "MultiG" and make sure it is the same size and style (T. Replace 10G/40BASE-T with 10/40GBASE-T. Text) as the remainder of the text - this applies to the whole Clause 45 - there are multiple Response Response Status C locations where the same font size misalignment is present. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status W Replace 10G/40BASE-T with MultiGBASE-T in the title of the register and editor to search for ACCEPT. and correct any other references to this register's name. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.64.1 P 35 L 6 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.62 P 34 L 16 # 49 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε Space missing in "negotiation process. The 10GBASE-T" The text as modified is: "The assignments of bits in the MultiGBASE-T status register is shown in Table 45-54." SuggestedRemedy Per comment The use of the word "assignments" is almost unique within Section 4. There are: 135 instances of "the assignment of bits in" Response Response Status C 1 instance of "the assignments of bits in" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Add space and use underline marking. Change "assignments" to "assignment" by showing "assignments" in strikethrough font and C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.1.2 P 37 L 23 # 50 "assignment" underlined. **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek Response Response Status C Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 ACCEPT. Editorial improvement for "10GBASE-R or 10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T PCS" for consistency Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.64 P 34 L 45 with the remainder of the text Ciena Anslow. Pete SugaestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Change to "10GBASE-R, 10GBASE-T, or 40GBASE-T PCS" with proper editorial markup Ε In "MultiGBASE-T TX power backoff", the space between MultiGBASE-T and TX is shown Cimilar change needed in Table 45–128. Table 45–129 underlined. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Remove the underline Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.13 P 39 L 33 # 52 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type the second "the" not needed in "the BASE-R, 10GBASE-T, or the 40GBASE-T " SuggestedRemedy Change to "the BASE-R, 10GBASE-T, or 40GBASE-T" Similar change in: 45.2.3.14, page 41, line 17 45.2.3.14.1, page 41, line 41 45.2.3.14.1, page 41, line 43 45.2.3.14.2, page 42, line 5 SugaestedRemedy 45.2.3.14.2, page 42, line 7 several PICS in 45.5.3.7 Response Response Status C Response ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.13.4 P 40 L 45 # 53 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A After the changes, the new sentence does not read correctly: "This bit is a direct reflection of C/ 45 the state of the hi lfer variable in the 10GBASE-T and Hajduczenia, Marek 40GBASE-T 64B/65B state diagrams and is defined in 55.3.6.1 and 113.3.6.2.2." Comment Type SuggestedRemedy Suggest to change to (changes shown in >><<): "This bit is a direct reflection of the state of the hi Ifer variable in the 10GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T 64B/65B state diagrams, defined in SuggestedRemedy

55.3.6.1 and 113.3.6.2.2 >> for 10GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T, respectively << ". Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 P 41 SC 45.2.3.14 L 14 # 54

Bright House Networks

ER Comment Status A Editorial - Not EZ

Inconsistent changes: in 45.2.3.14, the text in line 14 reads "A PCS device that does not implement BASE-R, 10GBASE-T, and 40GBASE-T shall return a zero for all bits in the BASE-R and MultiGBASE-T PCS status 2 register." but a similar text in 45.2.3.13 reads "A PCS device that does not implement BASE-R. 10GBASE-T. or 40GBASE-T shall return a zero for all bits in the BASE-R and

MultiGBASE-T PCS status 1 register"

Note that "and" in the first case was carried over and placed in front of "40GBASE-T and in the second case it was converted into "or" placed in front of "40GBASE-T"

I belive the change done in 45.2.3.14 is correct (a PCS device not implementing any of the PHYs, hence "and") and 45.2.3.13 needs to be corrected (change "or" to "and")

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change 45.2.3.13 to read "A PCS device that implements neither BASE-R, 10GBASE-T nor 40GBASE-T shall..."

Change 45.2.3.14 to read "A PCS device that implements neither BASE-R. 10GBASE-T. nor 40GBASE-T shall ..."

SC 45.2.3.7 P 38 L 33 # 51

Bright House Networks

Comment Status A

The row with definition of register 3.8.6 should be shown in underline - it is new content

Per comment

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editing instruction for new row is an "insert" instruction, hence no underline, rewrite editing instruction as two instructions to make this clear:

"Change the reserved row in Table 45-124 as shown below, and (line break)

Insert new row for name and description for bit 3.8.6 below it as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"

EΖ

EΖ

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9 P 39 L 6 # 98

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Table 45-125 title does not match register name in clause nor in title of table in 802.3bx D3p1

SuggestedRemedy

Change title to match 802.3bx D3p1 & clause header:
Insert "control and" so table title reads: "EEE control and capability bit definitions"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Tiajuudzeilia, Marek Diigitti louse Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status A 10G Maintenance

Markup of changes to bit 7.32.2 in Table 45–207 is confusing.

Content in 802.3bx, D3.1

1 = Local device requests that link partner reset PMA training PRBS every frame

0 = Local device requests that link partner run PMA training PRBS continuously

Content in 802.3bq, D2.1

Value always 0

0 = Local device requests that link partner run PMA training PRBS continuously

SuggestedRemedy

It seems that this change affects the operation of 10GBASE-T for some reason, but it is not clear why this change was made at all. This project does spec changes to add 40GBASE-T only.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This text was added in response to maintenance request 1266, to delete a broken and so-far unused mode of operation in 10GBASE-T. See comments 59 & 63 for resolution.

 CI 45
 SC 45.2.7.10
 P 44
 L 21
 # 23

 Anslow, Pete
 Ciena

 Comment Type
 TR
 Comment Status A
 10G Maintenance

The description for bit 7.32.2 starts "Value always 0" in normal font and "1 = Local device requests that link partner reset PMA training PRBS every frame" in strikethrough font." This makes no sense as the base standard has the latter text, but not the former.

Also, 45.2.7.10.5 which defines this bit has had the bit name changed and then the existing definition text replaced with "This bit is not defined for 10GBASE-T but reserved for future use."

Similar set of issues with bit 7.33.9 except: "Value always 0" is underlined in Table 45-208; in 45.2.7.11.7 the base title is incorrect (should be Link partner, not LP); and the definition in 45.2.7.11.7 is not in strikethrough font.

SuggestedRemedy

Either:

a) Change the name of the bit to start "10GBASE-T" and reinstate the definition in 45.2.7.10.5. or

b) Change the bit to be reserved by changing the Name in Table 45-207 from "LD PMA training reset request" to "Reserved" and showing all of the existing Description text in strikethrough with "Value always 0" in underline and changing R/W to RO. Also delete 45.2.7.10.5 entirely.

Option b) seems to be beyond the scope for the P802.3bq project unless there is a maintenance request I have missed.

Make an equivalent set of changes for bit 7.33.9.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This text was added in response to maintenance request 1266, to delete a broken and so-far unused mode of operation in 10GBASE-T. It is desired to preclude this bit from future assignment. See comments 59 & 63 for resolution.

Cl 45 P 44 # 55 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.10 L 44 SC 45.2.7.10.4c P 45 L 18 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type ER Comment Status A Editorial - not EZ Comment Type Comment Status A Given that this project is adding 40GBASE-T, I would assume that row with bits 7.32.11, The definition of bit 7.32.4 should come before the definition for bit 7.32.3 7.32.4, 7.32.3 should be shown in underline - these are new bits, taken out from reserved space SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Swap the order of the definitions for bits 7.32.3 and 7.32.4 Per comment Response Response Status C Response Response Status W ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. New rows are insert instructions, so now underline. Rewrite editing instruction to make this C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.10.4c P 45 L 24 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Change the title, the reserved row, and the name and description of bits 7.32.0, 7.32.1, 7.32.2 in Table 45-207 and Comment Type Comment Status A (line break) Remove editorial note - if other projects indeed need to use this register, they will do:) with or Insert rows for bits 7.32.11, 7.32.4 and 7.32.3 above and below the reserved row, respectively without permission from this TF as follows (unchanged rows not shown): SuggestedRemedy C/ 45 # 24 SC 45.2.7.10 P 45 L 1 Per comment Anslow, Pete Ciena Response Response Status C Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ ACCEPT. The text "aR/W = Read/Write, RO = Read only" should be a footnote to Table 45-207 and hence on the same page as the table SuggestedRemedy Fix the footnote. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10 P 45 L 1 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Footnote to Table 45–207 somehow got moved to next page.

Beat on Frame, make sure footnote is attached to table and now allowed to move to next page

Response Status C

SuggestedRemedy

on its own.

Response ACCEPT. # 25

58

Editorial - Not EZ

ΕZ

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10.5 P 45 L 31 # 59

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status A 10G Maintenance

If this bit is indeed reserved for future use, then in Table 45–207, it should be marked as reserved and not as "10GBASE-T LD PMA training reset request"

SuggestedRemedy

If this is what needs to be done for 10GBASE-T, mark the row for bit 7.32.2 as Reserved, and do not give any name indicating it is used by 10GBASE-T.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This text was added in response to maintenance request 1266, to delete a broken and so-far unused mode of operation in 10GBASE-T. It is desired to preclude this bit from future assignment. See comment 63 for related resolution

Change description of bit 7.32.2 in Table 45-207 to read:

"Function deprecated - Value always 0"

(Delete (strikeout) "0 = Local device requests that link partner run PMA training PRBS continuously")

Replace the (entire) text of 45.2.7.10.5 to read:

"Bit 7.32.2 is deprecated. Prior to July 2015 this bit was used to control an optional periodic training sequence for 10GBASE-T training. The value of this bit should always be set to zero, indicating the local device expects link partner to run PMA

training PRBS continuously through every PMA training frame. For a 10GBASE-T PHY, the value of one in bit 7.32.2 is reserved."

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10.7 P 45 L 42 # 60

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

No editorial markup in line 42

SuggestedRemedy

Underline: "10GBASE-T "

Response Status W

ACCEPT. (Dup of 26)

C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.10.7 P 45 L 42 # 26

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

The added "10GBASE-T" in the title of 45.2.7.10.7 should be underlined

SuggestedRemedy

Underline "10GBASE-T"

Response Status C

ACCEPT. (Dup of 60)

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11 P 46 L 1 # 61

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type ER Comment Status A Editorial - Not EZ

MIssing editorial markup in Table 45–208. Rows with bits 7.33.8 and 7.33.2 are newly added.

SuggestedRemedy

Underline content in row with bits 7.33.8 and 7.33.2

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Rewrite editing instruction to show two instructions - change and insert

Change the title, the reserved row, and the names and descriptions for bits 7.33.9, 7.33.1 and 7.33.0 in

Table 45-208 and

(line break)

F7

Insert row for bit 7.33.8 before the reserved row, and bit 7.33.2 after reserved row as follows (unchanged rows not shown):

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11 P 46 L 15 # 27
Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

In Table 45-208, "Value always 0, writes ignored" has been changed to "Value always 0" in the base standard.

The reserved bits in this row are "7.33.8:2" in the base standard, so there should be a "2" in strikeout font.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Value always 0, writes ignored" to "Value always 0"

Show "8:2" in strikeout and "7:3" underlined

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

F7

Cl 45 # 62 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11 P 46 L 16 SC 45.2.7.11.8a P 47 L 37 # 18 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A ΕZ Multiple tables, including Table 45–208 and Table 45–207, are not aligned with P802.3bx, Comment #183 against D2.0 was not implemented correctly. D3.1. For example, Reserved bit 7.33.8:2 has description changed from "Value always 0, writes ignored" to "Value always 0" As the new subclause for "40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.33.0)" is being inserted after 45.2.7.11.8, which is the last level 5 subclause in 45.2.7.11, the new subclause number should SuggestedRemedy be 45.2.7.11.9 not 45.2.7.11.8a. This was correct in the Suggested Remedy of comment #183 Align tables in Clause 45 with P802.3bx, D3.1 (ACCEPT) Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change editing instruction to: "Insert 45.2.7.11.9 after 45.2.7.11.8 as follows:" Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.7 P 47 L 6 # 63 Response Response Status W Haiduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** ACCEPT. Comment Status A 10G Maintenance Comment Type TR Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.7 P 50 L 10 # 96 It is really odd to provide definition of a bit for 10GBASE_T use and then state that "This bit is not defined for 10GBASE-T but reserved for future use." CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A Editorial - Not EZ If this bit is indeed reserved for future use, then mark bit 7.32.9 as Reserved in Table 45-208 PICS RM15 should not include 40GBASE-T as an exception case, since it already says "operating at 10Gb/s" Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This text was added in response to maintenance request 1266, to delete a broken and so-far Delete proposed change to RM15, to insert "or 40GBASE-T" unused mode of operation in 10GBASE-T. See comment 59 for a related resolution. Response Response Status C A value of 1 in this bit would likely be an error condition, the commenter is correct, this is a read-ACCEPT. only bit, giving the link partner's request. Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.7 P 50 L 13 # 97 In the description of bit 7.32.9 in Table 45-208, replace "Value always 0" with: "1 - Value not defined for 10GBASF-T" Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Status A Editorial - Not EZ Comment Type TR

Change inserted text of 45.2.7.11.7 (P47 L10) from "This bit is not defined for 10GBASE-T but reserved for future use."

to read:

"Bit 7.32.9 is deprecated. Prior to July 2015 this bit was used to report the link partner's request for an optional periodic training sequence for 10GBASE-T training. A value of one in this bit is reserved for a 10GBASE-T PHY, and bit 7.32.9 should always read zero."

Delete proposed changes to PICS RM16 to insert 40 Gb/s and to exclude 40GBASE-T.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

SuggestedRemedy

excepts 40GBASE-T.

Change to PICS RM16 incorrectly and inadvertently extends it to all 40Gb/s PHYs and yet

Cl 45 L 43 SC 55.3.4 SC 45.5.3.7 P 50 # 100 Cl 55 P 53 L 4 # 28 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A MultiG Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ RM40: usage of MultiGBASE-T is awkward, making it look like "MultiGBASE-T" is a single Editing instruction should be "Delete the second paragraph of 55.3.4 as shown:" PHY. Meaning is "does not support ANY MultiGBASE-T" SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change editing instruction to: insert "any" before last "MultiGBASE-T" to read: "Delete the second paragraph of 55.3.4 as shown" "Reads from BASE-R and MultiGBASE-T PCS status 2 register return zero for PCS that does Response Response Status C not support 10/40/100GBASE-R or any MultiGBASE-T" ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. P 53 Cl 55 SC 55.3.4 L 5 # 65 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.9 P 51 L 6 # 64 Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek Editorial instruction not precise enough. F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy Font size inconsistency in Feature column for AM51 Change "Delete text in 55.3.4 as shown:" to "Delete the second paragraph in 55.3.4 as shown SuggestedRemedy below:" Please align font format and size Similarly, instructions on page 54, line 39, change "Change 55.3.5.3 as shown:" to "Change the Response Response Status C first paragraph in 55.3.5.3 as shown below:" ACCEPT. Response Response Status C CI 55 SC 55.3.4 P 53 L 18 # 104 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comments 28 & 29 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting. Inc. C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.3 P 54 L 38 # 29 F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A Anslow. Pete Ciena A frame-drawn figure (see P802.3bz D0p1, Figure 126-11) is now available, insert & delete Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ editors note. Editing instruction should be "Change the first paragraph of 55.3.5.3 as shown:" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert frame figure from P802.3bz D0p1 Figure 126-11. Delete editor's note. Change editing instruction to: "Change the first paragraph of 55.3.5.3 as shown:" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.

P 54 L 45 Cl 55 SC 55.3.5.3 # 103 Cl 78 SC 78.5 P 58 L 9 # 105 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type ER Comment Status A 10G Maintenance Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ Strikeout inadvernently deleted the nominal operating mode for LPI. Only should delete the Table 78-5 is Table 78-4 in IEEE P802.3bx D3p1 reference to periodic reinitialization. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change editing instruction and table title from Table 78-5 to Table 78-4. Reinstate sentence: "The training sequence without periodic reinitialization described in 55.3.4 Response Response Status C shall be used during the LPI mode, with the scramblers free-running from PCS Reset.". striking out "without peridic reinitialization". ACCEPT. Response Response Status C C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P 59 L 28 # 106 ACCEPT. CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CI 55 SC 55.6.2 P 55 L 33 # 30 Comment Type Comment Status A PMA/PMD Anslow, Pete Ciena Figure 80-1: 40GBASE-T does not have a PMD, but one is shown, and mentioned in the descriptive text at line 50 in 80.1.4. Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Also in Figure 81-1 55.6.2 is not a paragraph SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete PMD from 40GBASE-T stack in Figures 80-1 and 81-1 In the editing instruction change "...bits in paragraph 55.6.2..." to "...bits in 55.6.2..." delete ", Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer" from line 50 (80.1.4) Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. CI 78 SC 78.5 P 58 L 3 # 66 C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P 59 L 9 # 31 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Anslow. Pete Ciena F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Comment Type T Comment Status A Inconsistenct changes: "10GBASE-T PHY and 40GBASE-T PHY" - in Clause 45, similar text Comment #196 against D2.0 was ACCEPT but has not been implemented correctly. was modified to read "10GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T PHY" As explained in the comment: "The point of the list in 80.1.3 is to define the locations where the data-path widths cannot be SuggestedRemedy changed by the implementation. Each element in the existing list states what the width at that Change "10GBASE-T PHY and 40GBASE-T PHY" to "10GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T PHY" location is." on page 58, line 3 and 5 The suggested remedy was: Change to: "k) The MDI as specified in Clause 113 for 40GBASE-T uses a 4 lane data path." Response Response Status C but the "uses a 4 lane data path." part (which is the point of having the item at all) is missing ACCEPT. from the draft. SuggestedRemedy Add "uses a 4 lane data path" to the end of item k) Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 Page 23 of 26 7/15/2015 5:46:32 PM

67 C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P 60 L 14 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks**

Comment Type ER Comment Status R Architecture

The value of wholesale replacement of Table 80-2 is questionable.

SuggestedRemedy

Show changes to existing Table 80-2 instead (new 40GBASE-T PCS/PMA, and new row for 40GBASE-T)

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

Other comments have been accepted in D2p1 to make more extensive changes, including. adding a column for Clause 28 Auto negotiation, which was overlooked and requires all rows in the table to be listed.

C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P 60 L 17 # 107 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Architecture

Table 80-2 shows 40GBASE-T using Clause 73 Auto-negotiation, should be Clause 28

SuggestedRemedy

Add column for Clause 28 Auto-negotiation to Table 80-2, Delete "M" in row for 40GBASE-T from column for Clause 73 Auto-negotiation, Add "M" in row for 40GBASE-T in new column for Clause 28 Auto-negotiation.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT. (Dup of comment 5, overlap with comment 7)

C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P 60 L 38 Brown, Matt APM

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

In Table 80-2 several columns in the 40GBASE-T appear to be incorrect.

40GBASE-T uses Clause 28 AN, not Clause 73.

Clause 81 RS is mandatory

Clause 83 PMA is optional (necessary when XLAUI is used)

Clause 83B XLAUI is not applicable since this is a module interface, unless this is implying that the PCS/PMA/PMD may reside on a module.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 80-2...

Add a new column for Clause 28 AN with "M" in the 40GBASE-T row and no text in the other

In the 40GBASE-T row and Clause 81 RS column put "M".

In the 40GBASE-T row and Clause 83 PMA column put "O".

In the 40GBASE-T row and Annex 83B XLAUI Column delete "O".

This table is getting a bit tight. Consider creating a new table for 40GBASE-T PHY or for the 40GBASE-KR4/CR4/T PHYs.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. (overlap with comments 107, 108 & 5)

Implement suggested remedy, EXCEPT, retain the "O" in the Clause 83B column to allow for module implementations

C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P 60 L 39 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies

In table 80-2 I believe the RS function is required for 40GBASE-T but XLGMII is optional

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Add an M under Clause 81 RS for the 40GBASE-T row into Table 80-2

Comment Status A

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT. (Dup of comment 107)

TR

Architecture

Architecture

108 SC P 19 C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P 60 L 39 Cl 99 L 46 # 109 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status A Architecture Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Table 80-2 should show mandatory RS for 40GBASE-T, and optional Clause 82 PCS to Include parallel projects IEEE P802.3bs, IEEE P802.3by and IEEE P802.3bz in note. correspond with XLAUI text in Clause 113 (see page 66 line 3) SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Include parallel projects IEEE P802.3bs, IEEE P802.3by and IEEE P802.3bz in note. Add "M" for 40GBASE-T in RS column, add "O" for Clause 82 PCS in 40GBASE-T row Response Response Status C Response Status C Response ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. (overlap with comment 7) Cl 99 SC P 2 L 6 # 117 C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P 60 L 45 # 68 Cadence Design Syste Marris, Arthur Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type Comment Status A Architecture make XLGMII non-breaking Note is not in correct format. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy make XLGMII non-breaking Change "Note--" to "NOTE-" and apply a correct style to it. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Note to be deleted if comment 7 is accepted, adding Clause 28 to table 80-2 Cl 99 SC P 3 L 20 # 32 Anslow, Pete Ciena C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P 65 L 32 # 8 APM Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Brown, Matt The introductory text provided by the IEEE 802.3 WG Chair has been changed. Comment Type TR Comment Status A Architecture The latest version can be found in the 802.3 FrameMaker template or in Section 1 of the There is an instruction to add the following next text as a note to Table 80-2. Revision project 802.3bx D3.1 "Note: —40GBASE-T uses Clause 28 Clause 28 Auto-negotiation and is defined relative to the SuggestedRemedy XLGMII interface." It is not clear what this means. I suspect it means that Clause 113 defines the PHY not the Update the introduction text (paragraphs 2, 3, and 4 on page 3 of the draft) to the latest version. physical laver. Response Response Status C However, in real system a complete physical layer is required and it is helpful to specify the entire phyical layer explicitly as is done for all other 40GBASE PHYs. ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Delete this note and indicate all relevant sublayers including the RS in Table 80-2.

Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Note will be deleted if comment 7 is accepted

Note that I have submitted another comment which partially addresses Table 80-2 in this regard.

SuggestedRemedy

Response

Cl 99 SC

SC # 33 CI 99 P 3 L 36 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ As the P802.3bq draft is not currently approved it is inappropriate to have text: "At the date of IEEE Std 802.3bq-2015 publication,..." Same issue on page 4, line 25 SuggestedRemedy Change "IEEE Std 802.3bq-2015" to "IEEE Std 802.3bq-201x" on page 3, line 36 and change "IEEE Std 802.3bqTM-2015" to "IEEE Std 802.3bqTM-201x" on page 4, line 25 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ A SC A P 193 L 1 APM Brown, Matt Comment Status A Editorial - Not EZ Comment Type ER There are no instructions to edit Annex A. SuggestedRemedy Delete Annex A.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response

Annex A will be removed by end of WG ballot if there are not edits to be made, per Editor's note already there.

Response Status W