Р C/ 00 SC 0 # 72 C/ 00 SC 0 P46 L3 # 31 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Remein, Duane Huawei Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Type I have been slow to realize this, but I now think ME (Management Entity) should be STA Several instances of number exceeding 3 digits exist without the proper separtor ".". For (station management entity) for consistency with Std 802.3. We shouldn't be defining a new example in this para there is 705 600 in 2 places which should apprear as 705,600 term. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Review the entire draft for large numbers and insert the comma as appropriate. Replace Management Entity and ME with station management entity and STA respecitively, Proposed Response Response Status O and modify surrounding text if required. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC Ρ Remein, Duane Huawei C/ 00 SC 0 P1 **L1** # 65 Comment Type Comment Status X **RMG** Consulting Grow, Robert "[-2k0, 2k0)" right paren should probably be a bracket Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Fix bad draft numbers on title page. per comment SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Make sure draft number in lines 1, 4, and 27 are all the FrameMaker draft number variable rather than text. Proposed Response Response Status O SC P C/ 114 1 # 60 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting SC 0 C/ 00 P116 **L1** # 50 Comment Type Comment Status X Remein. Duane Huawei I think we still have the specifications of TX PHD fields getting set by the state diagrams. As I understand it, we don't want TX PHD fields changes any point in Transmit Block Comment Status X Comment Type TR transmission, but rather only at start of a Transmit Block. For example, at that commit point, I count about 119 PICS statements between CI 114 & 115. However a search reveals 136 LOCPHD.RX.HDRSTATUS <- loc rcvr hdr lock would occur, not at the same time the state shall statements, each requireing a PICS statement. diagram variable changes. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Review the PICS for completeness and added PICS statements for any shall statement Clarify all text describing variable to PHD field mapping to indicate the PHD field is only without a PIC entry. updated at Transmit Block start. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 114 SC P70 L48 # 44

Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

rcvr\_clock\_lock is set/reset when "the clock has been properly recovered". Yet I see no quantitative statements to indicate when this has been acomplished. I would expect some jitter specification or at least some reference to the receive clock and how to determine it is properly aligned.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the necessary text and figures or point to where this specificaiton lives.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 114 SC 114 P37 L11 # 37

Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

SD precedence and conventions is not clearly stated.

SuggestedRemedy

Add Conventions subclause to 114.1 Overview

"Conventions

The notation used in the state diagrams in this clause follows the conventions in 21.5. Should there be a discrepancy between a state diagram and descriptive text, the state diagram prevails."

Add additional statements describing other conventions used in this clause (i.e, matlab conventions, etc.)

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 114 SC 114.1.1 P37 L33 # 39

Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Three letter acronym (TLA) not defined; "THP"

SuggestedRemedy

Ensure that every TLA used is defined once in the first instance in each clause (or use words, they never misconstrue and are all well defined).

TLAs that are rarely use (like ISI) need not be defined, they especially need to be defined twice and not used.

Use of TLAs should also make grammatical sense if they are expanded in a sentence. Use of partial TLA, such as "TP" pg 30 ln 14 "received with TH precoding" should be avoided, TP could mean "Toilet Paper" as it has not been defined, I hate to think what TP precoding means :-)

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 114 SC 114.2.1 P40 L15 # 23

Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"Transmit Blocks shall be transmitted continuously" but the material in 114.5 implies that this is not always the case.

SugaestedRemedy

Add "except when operting is low power mode as described in 114.5"

Update PICS accordingly

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 114 SC 114.2.1 P40 L44 # 24 C/ 114 SC 114.2.1.2 P43 L10 # 28 Remein. Duane Huawei Remein, Duane Huawei Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type F "An MLS generator is used ..." This para can be greatly simplified Text describing this figure indicates "28 payload data sub-blocks (numbered 0 through 27)". I must assume these are the CW blocks labled 0 to 223 in the figure? SuggestedRemedy Is the lower part of the figure (CW193-CW223) a continuation of the upper part? If so there is no indication of this in the text or figure. Change to read: The meaning of the large "PHS12", "S212" and "S1" blocks at the bottom of the figure "A separate instantiation of the MLS generator illustrated in Figure 114-7 is used to generate a binary pseudo-random sequence of 13,312 bits length, which is then mapped into escapes me, why are they here? If this is to indicate the prefix claimed to be shown (see pg 42 lin 48 "As shown at the bottom of Figure 114-4, the pilot S1 has a prefix and postfix" PAM256 symbols as shown in Figure 114–8. See 114.2.3.3.3 for a definition of S/P and B2D blocks. The symbols at the input of the power scaling block belong to the set {-255, -253, .... these should be labled 253, 255}." SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Align text and figure. Add key to figure indication the meaning of "S#". "CW#". "PHS#" Add prefix/postfix lables. C/ 114 SC 114.2.2.1 P44 L3 # 29 I would reccommend taking a more hierarchal approach to this figure (either top down or Remein, Duane Huawei bottom up) and modifying the text accordingly. As is it is very confusing. Comment Type TR Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Is there some really good reason not to use the CRC16 generator already defined in 55.4.2.5.13? C/ 114 SC 114.2.1 P40 L47 # 25 Also not typicall we refer to this as CRC16 not CRC-16 (fix in 21 places) Remein. Duane Huawei SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Reuse the CRC16 of 55.4.2.5.13. Strike most of the text here and include by reference. "Each pilot or header sub-block is composed of 160 symbols" Proposed Response Response Status O SugaestedRemedy should be "and" not "or" Each pilot and header sub-block is composed of 160 symbols C/ 114 SC 114.2.2.3 P44 L48 # 30 Remein, Duane Huawei Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Comment Status X Why are we imposing a requirement on a figure? P**41** # 26 C/ 114 SC 114.2.1 **L6** "The BCH encoder in Figure 114-9 shall systematically ..." Remein. Duane Huawei Not that the requirement to use BCH encoding is in 114.2.2.4 Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Stray words "Pilots data path: Change to: "The BCH encoder in Figure 114–9 systematically encodes 720 information bits into 896 SugaestedRemedy coded bits. Update PICS accordingly. Strike Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O

P**46** C/ 114 SC 114.2.3.1.1 L42 # 32 C/ 114 SC 114.3 Remein. Duane Huawei Pérez-Aranda, Rubén Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type TR Physical Data Block (PDB) or physical data block (PDB) as in 1.4.x. Pick one PCS and PMD sublayers. SuggestedRemedy per comment Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Change definition to: "link control C/ 114 SC 114.2.3.3.7 P59 L52 # 62 **RMG** Consulting Grow, Robert Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Typo P62,L51 P66.L31 SuggestedRemedy P66,L50 Change "is" to "as". P67.L40 Proposed Response Response Status O P68.L50 P69.L26 P72.L44 P73,L24 C/ 114 SC 114.3 P66 **L1** # 75 P76,L6 Pérez-Aranda. Rubén **KDPOF** P80 I 45 P82,L49 Comment Type T Comment Status X Move subclause "PHY TX control state diagram" ahead of the "PHY RX control state Proposed Response Response Status O diagram" to improve clarity. TX should be described before RX. SuggestedRemedy per comment

P**70** L52 # 76 **KDPOF** Comment Status X State variable link control is not well defined: variable that controls the connection between It is an state variable that enables and disables all the PMA functionalities and as a consequence, the functionalities of PCS and PMD. Variable that controls the PMA functional operation Values: DISABLE: prevent operation of PMA sublayer ENABLE: permit operation of PMA sublayer" Also modify accordingly the text regarding to link control in description of state diagrams:

Proposed Response

C/ 114 SC 114.3.1 P**62** L21 # 58 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

PHD.RX.REQ.THP.COEF transmission order is confusing. The field is described as 108 bits, so all 9 coefficients are in the same field. OAM is broken up into multiple 16 bit fields for the message, but placing 9 coefficients into a single field leads to confusion and it seems the index order of OAM registers and coefficient b(i) are different. In text the field is described as PHD.RX.REQ.THP.COEF[0:8] to me that says the first coefficient is b(0) and the ninth is b(8). But in the second paragraph of 114.3.1, the implied order in the field is b(8) first and b(0) last, when harmonizing the field transmission order specified in the sixth paragraph.

Table 114.2 uses a b(i) in indication 114.3.1 sixth paragraph indicates bit order for PHD transmission. It is lsb to msb of each field from top to bottom of Table 114-2

#### SuggestedRemedy

The first option and perhaps the cleanest is to split the coefficients into nine fields with b(8) first and b(0) ninth. The bit order description of page 62, line 21 could then be deleted.

If this isn't done, the description should be retained, but perhaps the line 21 COEF description should be moved to the sixth paragraph.

With either option, if line 21 properly describes transmission order, the collective name for coefficients or the field name if it remains a 108 bit field should be PHD.RX.REQ.THP.COEF[8:0] (not [0:8] as b(8) is in the MSBs of the field) to harmonize the bit orders in line 21 and line 36.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 114 P64 L23 SC 114.3.1 # 63

Grow. Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type Ε Comment Status X

Table 114.2 uses a b(i) in Description but b(k) in Valid values column for coefficient number. b(i) is used throughout text in the clause

SuggestedRemedy

Change "b(k)" in Valid values to "b(i)".

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.3.1 P**64** 

L4

# 71

Grow. Robert

RMG Consulting

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

PHD description could use some clarification. 114.3.1 talks about PHD fields and as does Table 114-2, yet column 1 of Table 114-2 has a heading of symbol.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change heading of column 1 heading of Table 114-2 to Field Name.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 114 SC 114.3.1 P**65** L18 # 59

Grow, Robert

RMG Consulting

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

To a member of the IEEE RAC, the OAM type field and registers look like a potentially confusing identifier. No values are specified in P802.3bv, nor is any reference provided where they are (or will be) defined. It isn't clear if values are to be standardized, vendor specified or locally administered. If standardized, at least a footnote indicating where things will be standardized should be added. If locally administered, that should be stated. If though it is vendor specified (e.g., by an auto manufacturer), the field should include a vendor identifier from a registry (i.e., OUI/CID).

#### SuggestedRemedy

Better define the field. The best approach for vendor assignment would be to use Std 802 protocol identifier format which uses (OUI/CID) to allow a vendor to create a unique protocol identifier.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 114 SC 114.3.2.1.1 P63 L27

Remein, Duane

Huawei

Comment Type Comment Status X TR

Variables in SD should be defined before presentation of the SD.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Add/move the formal definitions of all variables, conters, constants, etc. used in Fig 114-34 before the SD. Subsequent usage should reference the origional definition.

Proposed Response

C/ 114 SC 114.3.2.1.1 P63 L27 # 33 C/ 114 SC 114.3.2.1.1 Remein. Duane Huawei Remein, Duane Huawei Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Type ER CI 1.2 indicates SD states exit to the right, while many SD's also show exit conditions to the Variable names should not be hyphenated as in: bottom. This SD, Figure 114-34, has exit to top, right & bottom and state entrance from left, "the link partner (rcvr thp lock = OK)" top and bottom. We should strive for consistency. SuggestedRemedy This problem also applies to: Figure 114-37 <esc> n s in framemaker) SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Change all SD's so state entry is from top or left and exit is from right or bottom only

state and and INITIAL state (with exit pma reset = ON + link control neg ENABLE Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 114 SC 114.3.2.1.1 P63 L29 # 35 Remein, Duane Huawei

(preferrably use one, such as enter from top & exit from bottom, not both). Add a BEGIN

Comment Status X Comment Type TR

There appear to be a number of requirements (i.e., "shall " statements) that cannot be verified or confirmed. FOr example:

"The first stage is coarse timing recovery in PMARX\_TIMING\_COARSE, where symbol synchronization shall be performed using the a priori known pilot signal contained in the S1 sub-block at the beginning of each received Transmit Block (see Figure 114-4)." Generally requirements can be confirmed via some arbitrary testing. I don't see how this requirement can be tested.

SuggestedRemedy

Review all requriements for testability and remove any (i.e. convert to factual statements) that cannot be tested in a device offered for sale. Update PICS accordingly.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Change all variable names to non-hyphenating (place curser in variable name and type Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.3.2.1.5 P**69** L41 # 38 Remein, Duane Huawei Comment Type TR Comment Status X SD variables should have a declared type. Examples of declared type include Boolean, signed integer, Unsigned n-bit integer, n-bit counter, n-bit binary, array, ... (n is some positive integer). SuggestedRemedy Add TYPE: statement to all varaible definitions Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.4 P**78** L16 # 41 Remein, Duane Huawei Comment Type Comment Status X TR

I believe all register in CI 45 are accessable through MDIO not just those in clauses

P63

L47

# 36

SuggestedRemedy Strike the sentence

45.2.3.48 and 45.2.3.49.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 114 SC 114.4 P78 L4 # 40 C/ 114 SC 114.9.2 P**92 L1** Remein. Duane Huawei Pérez-Aranda. Rubén **KDPOF** Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Type Comment Status X What is the relationship between this OAM channel and Clause 57 Operations. For test modes 2 and 3, values of symbols should be 256 and -256, instead of 255 and -255 Administration, and Maintenance (OAM)? Given the similar terminology I would naturally to be precise, because the TX signal in normal operation (no test) will take -256 and will be assume they are somehow related but this is not clear. able to approach very close 256 depending on the implementation. The ER optical measurement will be more precise considering 256 instead of 255. SuggestedRemedy Please, pay attention that the error produced in ER measurement with definition in D1.2 (i.e. Add text clarifyin gthe relationship. If not related find some other term than OAM which 255) is 0.1 dB that probably will be below the accuracy of any experimental setup. already carries a specific meaning in 802.3 as defined in Cl 57. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Replace 255 with 256 in test modes 2 and 3. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.4.2 P**79** L9 # 42 Remein, Duane Huawei C/ 114 SC 114.9.4 P**92** L19 # 51 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Pérez-Aranda, Rubén **KDPOF** 802.3 has a long standing logical not operator and it is !~. Comment Type Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Round operation should be eliminated from eq. 114-24 because it can imply any kind of Change "the symbol ~ denotes logical not operator" to "the symbol "!" denotes logical not DAC resolution specification that should be up to the implementer. operator" and replace all "~" with "!" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Eliminate rounding from equation to avoid misunderstanding / confusion because it is not necessary. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.8 P90 L47 # 43 Remein. Duane Huawei Comment Status X Р Comment Type TR C/ 115 SC Clause 45 is optional and cannot be made mandatory by any other clause. Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies SuggestedRemedy Comment Status X Comment Type TR Change: In Clause 115 no required BER has been specified, so the required performance for the "Any PHY type using 1000BASE-H shall provide the management capabilities referenced in optics is not specified. this clause and further defined in Clause 45." SuggestedRemedy

specify required BER performance

Proposed Response

"The 1000GBASE-H PHY shall provide management capabilities described in this clause.

The optional MDIO capability described in Clause 45 defines several variables that provide control and status information for and about the PHY. If MDIO is implemented, it shall map MDIO control variables to PHY control and status variables as shown in Table 114-x." Provide a cross reference to all managable variables between CI 114 variable name and CI

45 register name/bits (for example see 82.3.1 Table 82–10, 83.6 Table 83–3, 84.6 Table 84-2&3 and others).

Proposed Response Status O

Р C/ 115 SC # 21 C/ 115 SC P104 L31 # 61 Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Status X Kind of conclusion on the assessment of Clause 115: The general state of Clause 115 for The change to continuous generation for a number of the primitives is wrong. We erred in the optical spec appears underspecified to enable the development of multi-vendor the resolution of D1.1 comment resolution for comments #392 and #393. The D1.1 text did interoperable devices. It probably will require a significant rewrite to bring it to a significantly though need improvement. While it is prudent for an implementation to use a continuous more complete level comparable to the 1G bi-directional specs in Clause 59. signal, the style for service primitives is to only signal changes in value as an event. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy rewrite Clause 115 to make it appropriate to support multi-vendor compatibility, similar to PMD\_TXPWR.request, PMD\_RXPWR.request, and PMD\_SDINH.request, should be Clause 59. Furthermore show test results that specification methodology is sufficient to generated only on a change in value of the parameter. For example: "The support multi-vendor compatibility. PMD\_TXPWR.request(tx\_pwr) is generated by the PCS transmitter whenever the value of tx\_pwr changes as specified by the state diagram of Figure 114-46 (see 114.5)." Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O Proposed Response Р C/ 115 SC # 7 Ρ C/ 115 SC 1152 Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X TR How many optical levels are there? In some places there seem to be 512 (-256 through 255) and others 513 (-256 through +256)? A definition of tx\_signal is not provided SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy resolve ambiguity by appropriate definitions and specifications create definition Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O P C/ 115 SC # 20 SC 115.2 C/ 115 Ρ Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X It's totally unclear if this optical configuration is not sensitive to reflections from the POF link In 115.2.1 tx signal is stated to be analog but it is also defined to be one of 512 discrete or whether it's very sensitive to reflections (as one would expect from the kind of multi-level values in Clause 114 signals used) and then how to limit penalties by appropriate specifications of maximum SuggestedRemedy discrete reflectance and receiver reflectance. fix ambiguity SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O resolve sensitivity to reflections or state that it is not relevant, supported by appropriate testing

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Р C/ 115 SC 115.3 # 5 C/ 115 SC 115.3.3 P107 L42 # 22 Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Takahashi, Satoshi POF promotion Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type ER Values for tx\_signal in 115.3.3 are not clear because of the following provided relation: a <= A minus sign is missing to "a" at the left side of the inequality. tx signal < a SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "a = < tx signal < a" to "-a = < tx signal < a". add a "minus" sign to the "left-hand" "a" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 115 SC 115.3.5 P108 L**52** C/ 115 SC 115.3.2 P107 L21 # 46 Tajima, Takayuki Yazaki Corporation Remein, Duane Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type TR Improper description in Receive condition at Table 115-2 It strikes me as odd that we imply that link type C is only for automotive use. Wouldn't these SuggestedRemedy work in planes, trains, boats, trucks and home attics too? Eliminate "is" before <-35 dBm or add "is" before >-29dBm. SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change "Automotive grade" to "Extended temperature grade" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 115 SC 115.3.5 P109 **L6** # 56 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting C/ 115 SC 115.3.3 P107 L38 # 77 Yazaki Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X Tajima, Takayuki Figure 115-2 – Power-on = FALSE is something that to me is imaginary. If there is no Comment Status X Comment Type E electrical power to the PMD, a state diagram implementation is incapable of making any It is not clear the symbol of "P" in the equation. state decisions. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Install " "(space) at the head of this equation. This should be rewritten as pmd reset or similar with pmd reset including a power on reset which typically keeps logic from going off and doing stuff until logic operability is assumed. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

Р Р C/ 115 SC 115.4 # C/ 115 SC 115.4 1 # 14 Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Only a single PMD 1000BASE-RH is given, but there are in fact 6 subtypes. It is general The receiver spec in Table 115-4 does not contain a maximum input power specification practice to make different PMD types for different power budgets. See for instance SuggestedRemedy 100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4, which are specified in a single clause in the same add maximum input power to Table 115-4 tables, with different columns. Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O create 6 PMDs Proposed Response Response Status O Р C/ 115 SC 115.4 Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Р C/ 115 SC 115.4 # 16 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies The receiver spec in Table 115-4 does not contain a damage threshold specification Comment Type TR Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy The receiver spec in Table 115-4 does not contain a spec for stressed receiver sensitivity add damage threshold to Table 115-4 with associated conditions. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy add spec for stress receiver sensitivity with appropriate testing conditions to Table 115-4 Р C/ 115 SC 115.4 Proposed Response Response Status O Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status X Ρ # 13 C/ 115 SC 115.4 In the transmitter spec in Table 115-3 the required signaling rate is not specified. Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status X add signaling rate to Table 115-3 The receiver spec in Table 115-4 does not contain a wavelength spec. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy add wavelength range to Table 115-4 Р SC 115.4 L C/ 115 # 17 Proposed Response Response Status O Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status X The link spec in Table 115-5 does not contain any maximum penalty, nor a maximum discrete reflectance. SuggestedRemedy add maximum penalty and maximum discrete reflectance to Table 115-5 Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 115 SC 115.4

Response Status O

Page 10 of 14 07/09/2015 18:57:20

Р Р C/ 115 SC 115.4 # 12 C/ 115 SC 115.4 Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The receiver spec in Table 115-4 does not contain any reflectance requirement. The transmitter spec in Table 115-3 does not provide "conventional" transmitter quality parameters, like TDP, which are normally used to ensure that the required distance can be SuggestedRemedy bridged with acceptable penalties, and eye mask (or similar) spec that quarantees sufficient add reflectance to Table 115-4 eve opening of the 16-level PAM16 signal under worst case (reflection) conditions. The commenter has been unable to find results of testing to check if the currently used Proposed Response Response Status O parameters "amplitude", "linearity" and "spectral width" are sufficient to support multi-vendor interoperability. SuggestedRemedy P SC 115.4 C/ 115 # 11 generate appropriate specification for multi-vendor compatibility Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type TR Comment Status X The receiver spec in Table 115-4 is only specified for different power levels, not associated with any performance requirement. Even a mobile phone will comply to it. SC 115.4 C/ 115 P109 L46 SuggestedRemedy Anslow. Pete Ciena generate specification for multi-vendor compatibility Comment Type TR Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Clause 115 should provide sufficient specifications to allow a transmitter from one manufacturer to interoperate with a receiver from another manufacturer. The requirements in 115.4 do not seem to be sufficient to achieve this. P C/ 115 SC 115.4 # 10 See attached presentation "anslow 3bv 01 0915" containing simulations of a transmitter Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies that is compliant with the specifications but a completely closed eve. Comment Type Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy The transmitter spec in Table 115-3 does not contain a parameter "Optical return loss Include sufficient specifications to adequately define the transmitter quality so that a receiver tolerance (max)" and "Transmitter reflectance (max)". manufacturer has some limit as to how bad the transmitted eve can be. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O add additional parameters Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 115 SC 115.4.1 P109 / 52 # 64 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Ε Comment Status X I think "normal inter-frame" frame should be normal operation. This also seems to be mostly redundant with the similar, but more correct phrase in parenthesis on page 110, line 39. SuggestedRemedy Delete the parenthetical expression on p.109, I.52.

Proposed Response

Р C/ 115 SC 115.4.1 P110 **L1** # 47 C/ 115 SC 115.5 1 # 18 Remein. Duane Huawei Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type TR TR It appears the there is an assumption regarding the linearity of the transmitter as you are The optical measurements clause 115.5 does not contain any performance related testing, using PAM-16 modulation. However there is nothing in the transmitter specification like TDP, with associated reference transmitters and receivers. regarding this. If I were to use a totally non-linear laser this scheme could not work. It does SuggestedRemedy not matter that such a device may not exist as you cannot predict the future. add performance related testing to Clause 115.5 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Add the required linearity specifications. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 115 SC 115.5 Ρ # 19 Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies C/ 115 SC 115.4.1 P110 **L1** # 79 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Tajima, Takayuki Yazaki Corporation The optical measurements clause 115.5 does not contain a worst case channel spec Comment Type E Comment Status X (115.4.3 is informative). Table 115-3 is located at the wrong position. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy add worst case channel spec to clause 115.5 Move Table 115-3 to the end of subsection. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 115 SC 115.5.8 P113 L35 # 53 C/ 115 SC 115.4.2 P110 L43 # 55 Brugarolas, Luis Miguel **KDPOF** Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Equation 115-4 is not correct There seem to be no specifications on the receiver at all other than it should absorb a SuggestedRemedy certain range of optical power. A brick would do that satisfactorily. Replace with: SuggestedRemedy  $RIN = 10*log10(Pn/(BW*loe^2*R))-G$ Provide a set of receiver specifications: Proposed Response Response Status O wavelength range

damage threshold

overload reflectance Proposed Response

receiver sensitivity (optical power for a given BER)

C/ 115 SC 115.6.1 P114 L31 # 48 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.48 P26 L8 # 67 Remein. Duane Huawei Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type TR This statement implies that the customer may not want to purchase your product if you don't Add a reference for register usage description. meet their specifications that may be above and beyond what IEEE specifies, which of SuggestedRemedy course is true but need not be stated. At end of first sentence add: (see 114.4.1). "All equipment subject to this clause may be additionally required to conform to applicable local, state, or national motor vehicle standards or as agreed to between the customer and Proposed Response Response Status O supplier." SuggestedRemedy Strike the statement Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.48.4 P27 L12 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X The subclause title for TXO TYPE appears to have been accidentally merged into the C/ 115 SC 115.6.2 P114 L36 # 49 preceding paragraph. Remein, Duane Huawei SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status X TXO TYPE (3.500.11:0) needs to be on its own line and a FrameMaker 5th level heading the statement below strike me as odd when I look at Table 115-1 and observe link types A style (H5). and B which are intended for "Consumer" and "Industrial" grade temperature ranges. Proposed Response Response Status O "The 1000BASE-RH PHY is designed to operate in the automotive environment" This is especially odd because as I recall the SG attempted to use home appplications as a C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.49 P27 L28 # 68 iustification for Braod Market Potential. Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting Clearly if a 1000BASE-H PHY is designed for automotive environment they will cost Comment Type E Comment Status X themselves out of other markets. Add a reference for register usage description. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Reframe the section so that it covers all intended markets At end of first sentence add: (see 114.4.3). Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

L7

# 45

P103

"it shall be integrated ..." but the only "it" I see is "the PMD and medium". Should I conclude

Huawei

Comment Status X

Response Status O

that the POF must come permanently attached to the PHY device?

C/ 115.1

Remein, Duane

Comment Type

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

SC 115.1

change "i"t to "the PMD"

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.51.14 P32 L9 # 73 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.54.2 P33 L34 # 70 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Add a reference for OAM support. Grammar. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy At end of first sentence add: (see 114.4). Change "are" to "is". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.52.1 P32 L36 # 69 CI 78 SC 78.2 P**35** L17 **RMG** Consulting Grow, Robert Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X Grammar. Bad editing instruction. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete superflous "in". Change "above" to "below". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O SC 45.2.3.54 SC P**1** C/ 45 P33 L**7** # 57 C/ 99 **L1** RMG Consulting Grow. Robert Maguire, Valerie Siemon Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Type E Comment Status X Is this really the way we want to define 1000BASE-H counters. It is common to clear a Variable link appears to be broken. IEEE P802.3by™/D1.1 should read IEEE counter like this on read. It then is the responsibility of the management software to keep a P802.3bv™/D1.2. aggregate count (by adding the value to the aggregate count). As defined, a read and write SuggestedRemedy are required and that results in potentially missing data counts. Repair broken variable link. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O I prefer self clearing counter to the counter that is reset as described here.

Proposed Response