Р C/ 00 SC 0 # 80 C/ 01 SC 1.4 P20 L40 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type P802.3 has been approved. Looks like we failed to include definitions of our port types. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy If IEEE Std 802.3-2015 is published before the next draft, update instances of 802.3-201x Add: and 802.3-20xx with 802.3-2015. (Probably just headers and frontmatter.) 1.4.x 1000BASE-H: An IEEE 802.3 physical coding sublayer for 1000 Mb/s serial operation. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 114.) Proposed Response Response Status O 1.4.x 1000BASE-RHA: IEEE 802.3 PMD specifications for 1000 Mb/s serial transmission using red wavelength with optical budget tailored for home and other consumer application C/ 01 SC 1.3 P19 L18 # 82 requirements. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 114.) **RMG Consulting** Grow, Robert 1.4.x 1000BASE-RHB: IEEE 802.3 PMD specifications for 1000 Mb/s serial transmission Comment Type E Comment Status X using red wavelength with optical budget tailored for industrial application requirements. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 114.) P802.3bw has been approved by the SASB. SuggestedRemedy 1.4.x 1000BASE-RHC: IEEE 802.3 PMD specifications for 1000 Mb/s serial transmission using red wavelength with optical budget tailored for automotive application requirements. Update editing instruction and delete from list references included in IEEE Std 802.3bw-201x. The following differences should be retained and changes coordinated with P802.3bp: (See IEEE Std 802.3. Clause 114.) IEC CISPR 25:2009 (bw has an older reference)

> SC 1.4 C/ 01 P20 / 40 Dawe. Piers Mellanox

Response Status O

Comment Type E Comment Status X

These are people's names. One seems to have an extra letter. Inconsistent punctuation.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change bose, ray-chaudhurim hocquenghem to:

Bose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocquenghem

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEC 62215-3 (not included in bw)

Response Status O

Proposed Response

81

Proposed Response

C/ 01 SC 1.4 P**20** L41 # 17 C/ 01 SC 1.4 P21 L23 Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Status X Ε Comment Type "bose, ray-chaudhurim hocquenghem (BCH)" I note that IEEE Std 802.3bw includes a number of changes to add they PHY type to appropriate definitions, but we haven't SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove "m", add comma, and use upper-case for personal names: Add test for to describe 1000BASE-RH PHY types to: Bose, Ray-Chaudhuri, Hocquenghem (BCH) 1.4.193 End-of-Stream Delimiter (ESD): Proposed Response Response Status O 1.4.326 Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS): 1.4.327 Physical Layer entity (PHY): 1.4.328 Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublaver: C/ 01 SC 1.4 P**21 L1** # 88 1.4.353 receiver training: 1.4.390 Start-of-Stream Delimiter (SSD): Dawe. Piers Mellanox 1.4.393 symbol: Comment Type TR Comment Status X 1.4.394 symbol period: 1.4.395 symbol rate (SR): This "definition" of optical modulation amplitude is incorrect (read any of the clauses that use OMA), there is already a definition for OMA at 1.4.303, and this draft does not use the term Proposed Response Response Status O anvwav. SuggestedRemedy C/ 114 SC 114.1.2 P37 L46 Delete it. Dawe, Piers Mellanox Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type ER Comment Status X I do not want to pay CHF158 for ISO 80000-2 just so the clause can use gimmicky. SC 1.4 C/ 01 # 109 P21 L21 unnecessary notation that I don't want to read. It doesn't work - when the reader reads the gimmick he sees a typo. doesn't know that ISO 80000-2 explains it. **KDPOF** Ortiz Roio. David SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Delete reference to ISO 80000-2 here and in 1.3. Don't use arcane notation. It seems that OMA is not used in the current version of the document. Instead of [a, b), just write $a \le x \le b$. Define roundup and rounddown rather than using graphics that aren't even characters that I SugaestedRemedy could select and search for. Remove definition from the document.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Response Status O

83

98

C/ 114 SC 114.1.3 P37 L50 # 100 C/ 114 Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Type Comment Status X Т Obsolete terminology: the phrase "IEEE 802.3 CSMA/CD LAN Model" hasn't been used since Clause 40. SuggestedRemedy Update text and title of Figure 114-1 to match recent clauses. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 C/ 114 SC 114.1.3 P**37** L51 # 85 Dawe, Piers Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type T As this PHY doesn't do CSMA/CD, only full duplex, but does have an EEE option, SuggestedRemedy Should this refer to Annex 4A rather than Clause 4? Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.1.3 P38 13 # 86 Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Type T Comment Status X

Obsolete label. SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change LAN CSMA/CD LAYERS to ETHERNET LAYERS

Response Status O

Scrub the draft for any other obsolete features.

SC 114.1.5 P38 L51 # 113 Ortiz Roio. David **KDPOF** Comment Type E Comment Status X "GMII data streams" should be singular, like in title of 114.2.4.1 SuggestedRemedy Replace by "GMII data stream". Response Status O

SC 114.1.6 P39 L19 # 99 Mellanox

ER Comment Status X Gratuitous capitals. Text in figures, like anywhere else except layer diagrams, should be in normal mixed upper and lower case. This is a block diagram not a layer diagram.

SuggestedRemedy

Change PCS TRANSMIT, PMD TRANSMIT, PMD RECEIVE, PHY CONTROL, LINK MONITOR, PHD MONITOR, ADAPTIVE EQ ESTIMATOR, ADAPTIVE THP PROTOCOL. CLOCK RECOVERY, PHY QUALITY MONITOR, PCS RECEIVE, EQUALIZER to PCS transmit, PMD transmit, PMD receive, PHY control, Link monitor, PHD monitor, Adaptive equalization estimator, Adaptive THP protocol, Clock recovery, PHY quality monitor, PCS receive, Equalizer.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 114 SC 114.10.1 P111 L52 # 95

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Temperature isn't an interoperability issue and is usually not specified by 802.3 but by other specs: e.g.

95.9.6 Temperature, humidity, and handling

The optical link is expected to operate over a reasonable range of environmental conditions related to temperature, humidity, and physical handling (such as shock and vibration). Specific requirements and values for these parameters are considered to be beyond the scope of this standard.

SuggestedRemedy

Change

1000BASE-RHx implementations shall be declared as compliant over one of three complete ranges as specified in Table 114–14.

to:

The optical link is expected to operate over a reasonable range of environmental conditions related to temperature, humidity, and physical handling appropriate to the intended environment (e.g., automotive, industrial or home networking). A 1000BASE-RHx implementation may be declared as compliant over one of the three complete ranges specified in Table 114–14.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 114 SC 114.10.3 P112 L19 # 97

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"Environmental safety" - what's that?

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "Environment".

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 114 SC 114.10.4 P112 L38 # 92

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"shall ..., or as agreed to between the customer and the supplier" is unacceptable, because

this is a standard, not a procurement spec. There is no concept of customer or supplier, and no-one has the authority to vary the spec.

Many other clauses say e.g.

95.9.5 Electromagnetic emission

A system integrating a 100GBASE-SR4 PMD shall comply with applicable local and national codes for the limitation of electromagnetic interference.

Another automotive-oriented clause says:

96.9.2.2 Electromagnetic Compatibility

A system integrating the 100BASE-T1 PHY shall comply with all applicable local and national codes. In addition, the system may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference.

But this seems confused: the first sentence is wider than the subject of the subclause.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:

A system integrating the 1000BASE-RHx PHY shall comply with all applicable local and national codes for the limitation of electromagnetic interference. In addition, the system may need to comply with more stringent requirements as agreed upon between customer and supplier.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 114 SC 114.11 P113 L12 # 48

Tapia, Pablo KDPOF

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The Delay constraint is specified as the sum of Tx and Rx delays. This makes hard to determine if a given Tx (or Rx) implementation is compliant with the specification, because although it honors the delay constraint with a complementary Rx (or Tx) implementation it might violate the constraint with different Rx (or Tx) implementations.

SuggestedRemedy

Specify a delay constraint for Tx and a delay constraint for Rx.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 114 SC 114.12.1 P114 L13 # 75 C/ 114 SC 114.2.1 P40 L53 # 114 Takahashi. Satoshi POF promotion Ortiz Rojo, David **KDPOF** Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X "Clause 21" is written in green. Link to section 114.5. is broken. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Write it in black. Same for line 44. Fix link and change to section 114.4 Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.2 P40 L18 # 23 C/ 114 SC 114.2.1 P41 **L1** Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Gilarranz, Alejandra **KDPOF** Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X "which finally is decoded to produce the GMII receive data stream." Data sub-blocks can make reference to payload or header data sub-blocks. Sentence "GMII data stream is mapped into the data sub-blocks..." should be replaced by Change sentence order. "GMII data stream is mapped into the payload data sub-blocks..." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "which is finally decoded to produce the GMII receive data stream." Replace sentence as suggested in comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 114.2.1 C/ 114 P40 / 53 # 8 C/ 114 SC 114.2.2.1 P42 L41 # 119 Gilarranz, Alejandra **KDPOF** Ortiz Rojo, David **KDPOF** Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Cross reference to 114.5 at the end of the sentence does not work properly. The same comment applies for cross reference 114.2.3 in pag 64, lin 6. The matlab initialization of the MLS generator does not match with the description in the text above. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fix cross reference to transport to section 114.5 of the document. In the matlab description change the line: "r=[r zeros(1,25-length(r))];" with "r=[zeros(1,25-length(r))];" Proposed Response Response Status O length(r)) r];" Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 114 SC 114.2.3.4 P45 L41 # 24 C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.1.1 P48 L26 # 26 Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type Ε " mapped into 1792 PAM2 symbols ans scaled as follows" "Finally, the first CB is always shifted to the beginning of the PDB.CTRL." TYPO, change ans by and. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy It might be confusing. Replace by: " mapped into 1792 PAM2 symbols and scaled as follows" "Finally, the bytes within the PDB.CTRL are reordered as follows: 1) The first received CB from the GMII is transmitted in first place, Proposed Response Response Status O 2) followed by all the data bytes that were received before the first CB (if any). 3) followed by all the bytes that were received after the first CB." C/ 114 SC 114.2.3.4 P**45** L42 **KDPOF** Gilarranz, Alejandra Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X Typing error. SuggestedRemedy C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.1.1 P48 L4 Replace "ans" by "and". Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Comment Status X "CTRL<1:0> (CB<6:7>): This field encodes the content of..." SuggestedRemedy C/ 114 SC 114.2.3.4 P45 / 51 # 107 Assuming there is no order reversing of bits, CTRL<1:0> shall be mapped to CB<7:6>. Dawe. Piers Mellanox Change to: Comment Type T Comment Status X "CTRL<1:0> (CB<7:6>): This field encodes the content of..." What does "free counter" mean? The term doesn't appear anywhere in 802.3-2015. It looks Proposed Response Response Status O like this function divides the clock by two. SuggestedRemedy C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.1.1 P49 # 27 L51 Use whatever the usual term in 802.3 is. Also in Figure 114-12. Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X "The offset to the start of the first PDB in Transmit Block j+1 DELTA(j+1) can be calculated from the offset calculated for Transmit Block j DELTA(j) by using the following equation" SuggestedRemedy Redundant "calculated". Replace by: "The offset to the start of the first PDB in Transmit Block i+1 DELTA(i+1) can be calculated from the offset of Transmit Block j DELTA(j) by using the following equation" Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.3 P**52** L19 # 108 C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.3.3 P56 L45 # 28 Dawe. Piers Mellanox Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Т Z2 and RZ2 lattices? "The processing for the I and Q components are not equal." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy If this is relevant, use more accessible terminology, and/or explain it. If it isn't relevant. "The processing for the I and Q components is not equal." remove the jargon. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.3.3 P56 L47 C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.3.1 P**53** L42 Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Mendo, Carmen **KDPOF** Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "which sets 1 or -1 at the input to the last adder..." Typo: ".. to a MLCC codeword ..". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "which sets 1 or -1 at the input of the last adder..." Should read: ".. to an MLCC codeword .." (consistent with other occurrences and more Proposed Response Response Status O usual). Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.4 P61 L31 **KDPOF** Gilarranz, Alejandra C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.3.3 P**55** L44 # 51 Carlos, Sánchez de La Lama **KDPOF** Comment Type ER Comment Status X In figure 114-31. "k0" variable is not defined. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Confusing redaction: "The reset state of the counter is zero at the beginning of each

Confusing redaction: "The reset state of the counter is zero at the beginning of each codeword encoding. Since the counter is reset for each set of kQAM bits, it always starts at zero for each new codeword entering the mapper."

If the reset state is zero at the beggining of each codeword encoding, no need to clarify further.

SuggestedRemedy

Change those sentences to: "The reset state of the counter is zero. Since the counter is reset for each set of kQAM bits, it always starts at zero for each new codeword entering the mapper."

In any case, style should be consitent with page 56, line 39, so if changed here should be changed there as well.

Proposed Response Status O

Define or remove "k0" variable from figure 114-31.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 114 SC 114.2.4.4 P**62 L1** # 62 C/ 114 SC 114.3.1 P64 L32 # 55 Mendo. Carmen **KDPOF** Mendo, Carmen **KDPOF** Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X The interpretation of the formulas to get to the final expression and range for v (output to Typo: ".. for transmit and received OAM ..". THP) is confusing. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy For consistency, should read: ".. for transmit and receive OAM ..". Should clarify the interpretation / handling of intermediate step u, either in Figure 114-32 or Also on line 37 should read: "... transmit and receive PHD ..". in the text. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.3.1 P64 L45 # 120 C/ 114 SC 114.3 P64 **L1** # 103 Ortiz Rojo, David **KDPOF** Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X For proper operation of the receiver, it is neccessary that the REMPHD fields are available Figure 114-3 shows a block called "PMA" but the PMD service interface connects directly to before the end of the transmit block that carries the PHD (in general the transmitter the PCS, and the "PMA" block is connected to the PCS, OAM and EEE blocks and nothing anounces in a given PHD information relative to the next transmit block, for example, which else. So it's not really a PMA. THP coefficient set is going to be applied). This requirement is missing in this paragraph. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Rearrange the subclause headings so that the PCS control and monitor functions are in the Add a sentence indicating the requirement. For example the following sentence could be PCS. Suggest the THP function and its control could be in the PMA. Also, clock recovery is added: "The value of the REMPHD fields must be available before the end of the transmit typically in the PMA. block that carries them, so decoding and validation of the complete PHS must be completed between the end of the reception of PHS13 and the end of the transmit block." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.3.1 P64 L29 # 115 C/ 114 SC 114.3.1 P65 L20 Ortiz Rojo, David **KDPOF** Gilarranz, Alejandra **KDPOF** Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X "to advertise Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE) is supported by the implementation and enabled ... " could be rephrased by "to advertise that Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE) is In Table 114-3, for field PHD.TX.NEXT.THP.SETID "Valid values" column, the text for value supported by the implementation and that it is enabled..." 0 "no request for changing the THP coefficients is performed" should be replaced by "no request for applying the THP coefficientes received in PHD field PHD.RX.REQ.THP.COEF*" SuggestedRemedy (they can change or not). Per comment SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Replace text as suggested in comment. Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 114 SC 114.3.1 P66 **L6** # 10 C/ 114 SC 114.3.2.3 P**70** L36 # 57 Gilarranz, Alejandra **KDPOF** Mendo, Carmen **KDPOF** Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Е In Table 114-3, in PHD.RX.LINKMARGIN Description column the following idea is missing: Text format: avoid odd hyphenation of variables. "When the value is negative, this field reports the needed extra SNR with respect to min SuggestedRemedy SNR to provide loc_rcvr_status OK." Should keep variable names in one line. SuggestedRemedy Also on p.71, I.42. Insert text with the idea suggested in comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.3.2.3 P**70** L40 # 117 SC 114.3.2.1 P67 L9 C/ 114 # 56 Ortiz Rojo, David **KDPOF KDPOF** Mendo, Carmen Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type Е Comment Status X The sentence is describing functionality that is implemented in another state diagram. Expression: ".. not correct determined by CRC16 ..". Detailed description should be given only in the relevant state diagram and only the state variable should be refered. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Suggest: ".. not correct according to CRC16 ..". Change "When both link partners signal reliable payload data reception by asserting OK in Proposed Response Response Status O the PHD.RX.LINKSTATUS field, the bidirectional link is established (link status = OK) ..." by "Once bidirectional link is established (link status = OK) ..." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.3.2.3 P**69** L41 # 30 Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Comment Status X Comment Type E "the PHY receiver begins link establishment with recovering clock from the received signal." Change "with" to "by".

"the PHY receiver begins link establishment by recovering the clock from the received

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

signal."

Proposed Response

Cl 114 SC 114.3.2.4 P71 L1 # 121
Ortiz Roio, David KDPOF

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Current description of the PMA phy quality monitor SD and and link monitor SD do not guarantee that both link partners transition their link_status variables to link_status=OK simultaneously.

For example, when the local PHY loc_rcv_status variable went OK many transmit blocks before, the remote PHY will have already assigned its rem_rcv_status variable to OK many transmit blocks before. In this scenario, when the remote PHY receives a new_varn_est_event with a noise variance that is below the threshold, it will transition its internal loc_rcv_status variable to OK inmediately, and will transition to the link_status=OK inmediately. However the local phy have to wait to receive a frame with the REMPHD.RX.LINKSTATUS=OK, something, which implies that it will transition to the link status=OK with a minimum delay of about one transmit block.

However the link_status should transition to the OK value simultaneously in both link partners to ensure that both of them enable the GMII interface simultaneously, and also to quarantee a correct operation of EEE functionality.

SuggestedRemedy

To solve this issue the following changes must be introduced:

Modify the link monitor state diagram of figure 114-36 to ensure that the transition from LINK_DOWN to LINK_UP when rem_rcv_status goes to OK is delayed until a new rxblock event is received.

Modify the phy quality monitor diagram of figure 114-42 to ensure that there is a delay of two 'new_txblock_event' between the assignment of LOCPHD.RX.LINKSTATUS<=OK and the assignment of loc_rcv_status<=OK. This delay is only neccesary when the previous values of the variables is NOT OK.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 114 SC 114.3.3 P74 L6 # 104

Dawe. Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"This estimation ... is to be performed continuously in order to track the channel response variations." Needs more specification: how fast does it have to track, how deep could the variations be that it must track, how could this be tested?

SuggestedRemedy

Specify how fast it has to track, how deep the variations could be that it must track, and how this could be tested.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 114 SC 114.3.4.2 P78 L16 # 52

Mendo, Carmen KDPOF

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Should mention what happens in LPI mode.

From 114.4.2 it seems that during LPI the link margin info can be still updated using only the refresh periods: is this correct? Does the spec need to justify that this is sufficient for a good estimate?

SuggestedRemedy

Specify PHY quality assessment in LPI operation.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 114 SC 114.4.5 P85 L14 # 31

Tapia, Pablo KDPOF

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Equation 114-24 mixes variable names with constants. This might be confusing.

SuggestedRemedy

Follow the style chosen for equations 114-22 and 114-23, where the equation is expressed first with variable names, and then substituting them with their values.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 114 SC 114.5.1.2.3 P86 L17 # 106

Dawe. Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"The effect of receipt of this primitive is unspecified" - is, or should be - false. Standards where the client is out of the standard say this, but here there is no excuse. This PMD has only one possible client, which seems to be the PCS.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "The effect of receipt of this primitive by the client (the PCS) is specified in 114.x.y."

Similarly for 114.5.1.5.3.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 114 SC 114.5.1.3.2 P86 L40 # C/ 114 SC 114.5.2.4 P90 L28 # 32 Gilarranz, Alejandra **KDPOF** Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Comment Type Comment Type ER Comment Status X Е Comment Status X Cross reference in PMD TXPWR.request section to 114.8 makes reference to "Loopback Dot missing at the end of line: modes". "State variables are defined in 114.5.2.4.1" Also in page 87, line 14. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "State variables are defined in 114.5.2.4.1." Modify reference to point to 114.4.4 section. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.5.2.4.2 P91 **L9** # 16 SC 114.5.1.5.1 P87 C/ 114 L38 # 58 **KDPOF** Gilarranz, Alejandra **KDPOF** Mendo, Carmen Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X In figure 114-47, the transition conditions "aop tp3<-35dBm" and "aop tp3>-29dBm" does Typo: ".. then the PHY indicate link status=FAIL." not match with section text. They have been interchanged. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Place the mentioned conditions in the correct transitions in figure 114-47. Should read: "indicates" or "shall indicate". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.5.2.4.2 P91 **L9** # 33 C/ 114 SC 114.5.2.2 P**89** # 105 L7 Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X In figure 114-47 the average optical power thresholds are interchanged. It seems "affine" just means linear, here, but there is no need for such obfuscation. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy When passing from PMDDET FAIL to PMDDET OK the aop tp3 shall be higher than -Delete "affine". 29dBm, and analogously, when passing from PMDDET OK to PMDDET FAIL, the aop tp3 shall be smaller than -35 dBm. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 114 SC 114.5.3 P90 L53 # 101

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Some of the test mode patterns are quite complicated and would not be generated by a PMD. Also, the test modes may be controlled by the 1000BASE-H *PCS* control register. Other recent PHYs have the pattern generators in the PMA, but this PMA doesn't seem to do that sort of thing.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the test modes subclauses to the PCS section.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 114 SC 114.5.3.1 P91 L25 # [15]
Gilarranz, Aleiandra KDPOF

Comment Type T Comment Status X

It is not mentioned in section text how to align pdb data when transitioning from Test Mode 1 to normal mode.

SuggestedRemedy

Add text to make clear how to align payload data when transitioning from Test Mode 1 to normal mode.

Proposed Response Status O

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"On reception, after MLCC decoding and binary descrambler, a zero data sequence is expected with no errors."

SuggestedRemedy

"In absence of errors, a zero data sequence is expected after the binary descrambler in the receiver."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 114 SC 114.5.3.1 P91 L41 # 122
Ortiz Rojo, David KDPOF

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The description of test mode 1 in this paragraph suggests that test mode 1 may be entered dynamically. However a dynamic implementation of test mode 1, in which the mode can be entered and exited dynamically adds an uneeded extra complexity and cost to the implemenation. Moreover this dynamic functionality is not needed, as this is a test mode intended to be used in a controlled environment (as is also the case of the other test modes). Appart from this the requirement to clear the counter on any change of the link_status variable inhibits the capability of monitorning the errors that might happen around the link transitions in a debug environment, but does not add any value for a normal BER test, as the error counter can be cleared right after link establishment by simply reading the clause 45 register that holds the counter value.

SuggestedRemedy

Change lines from 41 to 50 to indicate that the operation in test mode 1 is static, that is, the transmitter only changes the operating mode from test mode 1 to normal mode after a resynchronization. Also remove requirement for counter clearing on changes of link_status variable.

To do this the lines 41 to 50 might be replaced by the following:

"The PCS shall announce to the link partner this test mode in the transmitted PHD using the field PHD.TX.NEXT.MODE (see 114.3.1). The operating mode of the transmitter encoded in the field PHD.TX.NEXT.MODE is selected at PMA reset, and does not change value unless a PMA reset takes place. The receiver must reconfigure to support the indicated operating mode, for normal operation (64B/65B decoder connected to the binary descrambler), or for BER test (counter connected to the binary descrambler)."

Line 52 to P92, line 2, eliminate from first stop.

In Pg. 33, change from line 23 to 25 "These bits are reset to all zeros \dots " to

"These bits are reset to all zeros when the counter is read. The counter is held at all ones in the case of overflow."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 114 SC 114.5.3.4 P**92** L18 # 35 C/ 114 SC 114.5.4.1 P**94** L # 79 Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Takahashi, Satoshi POF promotion Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Type Ε Comment Status X "For test mode 4 definition, let be g1 the sub-sequence composed by ..." Figure 114-48 and table 114-5 must be for segment type III (15m), Figure 114-50 and table Change sentence order. 114-7 are for segment type I (50m). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "For test mode 4 definition, let q1 be the sub-sequence composed by ..." Swap Figure 114-48 with 114-50. Swap table 114-5 with 114-7. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.5.4 P93 L23 # 102 C/ 114 SC 114.5.4.2 P95 L3 Dawe, Piers Mellanox **KDPOF** Gilarranz, Alejandra Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X There are normative PMD requirements before and after this subclause, which is confusing Typing error. "Link segment type I" should be replaced by "Link segment type II". for the user when considering compliance. Also in page 95, line 5. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Move the "Link segment characteristics" subclause to later, e.g. just before the MDI section as in other optical clauses. Replace text as suggested in comment. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.5.4.3 C/ 114 SC 114.5.4 P93 L40 # 91 P96 L3 # 13 **KDPOF** Dawe, Piers Mellanox Gilarranz, Alejandra Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Type TR Comment Status X Typing error. "Link segment type I" should be replaced by "Link segment type III". I don't think defining link segment attenuation without the insertion loss produced by inline connections is workable. Also in page 96, line 5. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either define link segment attenuation in the usual way, including inline connections, or Replace text as suggested in comment. forbid inline connections. If you allow them, you probably want some maximum loss for all Proposed Response Response Status O the inline connections in a link segment, because if the connection loss is very high, the

mode profile is probably not what you want.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 114

C/ 114 SC 114.5.5 P97 L11 # 74 C/ 114 SC 114.5.5.1 P97 L22 # 77 Takahashi. Satoshi POF promotion Takahashi, Satoshi POF promotion Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X "Receptical" is not generally used for this meaning. Temperature shall be specified because center wavelength depends on the ambient temperature. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "receptical" to "receptacle" "... the specifications at TP2 defined in Table 114-8 for all the temperature range defined in Proposed Response Response Status O Table 114-14 and ..." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.5.5 P97 L3 # 76 Takahashi, Satoshi POF promotion C/ 114 SC 114.5.5.1 P**98** L10 Comment Type E Comment Status X **KDPOF** Pérez-Aranda, Rubén "Receptical" is not generally used for this meaning. Comment Type TR Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Specifications for positive and negative output droop are wrong because a bug in the simulation used to calculate them. Change "receptical" to "receptacle" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Replace with correct values: DO+: min 0 dB, max 1.1 dB DO-: min -0.9 dB, max 0 dB C/ 114 SC 114.5.5 P**97 L6** Proposed Response Response Status O Takahashi, Satoshi POF promotion Comment Status X Comment Type E "Receptical" is not generally used for this meaning. C/ 114 SC 114.5.5.1 P99 L # 78 SuggestedRemedy Takahashi, Satoshi POF promotion Change "receptical" to "receptacle" Comment Type T Comment Status X Proposed Response Angle interval in Table 114-9 seems to be too narrow. Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Set coarse angle interval, e.g. 5 degree. Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 114 SC 114.5.5.3 P99 L21 # 90

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

This still needs a more specific receiver performance spec - just saying it should work with compliant transmitter and link segment is too open to interpretation. Especially as the link segment seems to exclude losses from inline connections, and the effect of reflections is not clear

SuggestedRemedy

Add stressed sensitivity specs and define an example way for testing performance at full stress. E.g. use POF of the length needed to create the minimum bandwidth from minimally compliant (slow) Tx and link segment, adjust test Tx power to receive power after minimum product Tx power and maximum link segment and connection losses. Use e.g. sinusoidal interferer at test Tx to emulate effect of reflections if necessary. Use jitter source at test Tx if necessary.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 114 SC 114.5.5.4 P100 L11 # 94

Dawe. Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

This heading says "Worst-case 1000BASE-RHx link power budget and penalties" but unlike nearly all other power budgets in optical clauses - it's just an optical loss budget, does not include any penalties such as ISI from link segment bandwidth, RIN, reflections. See 89.6.4 Comparison of power budget methodology - that clause includes some penalties in its power budget.

A power budget can be written for an equalising system too.

SuggestedRemedy

Either declare what the penalties are (you know the worst bandwidth, precoding method, FEC code and so on), or change the name to e.g. "optical loss budget".

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 114 SC 114.5.6.1 P100 L42 # 89

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

This isn't a test spec - understanding that, we don't write "X shall be measured" any more (last done in Clause 72) because there is no requirement to measure - just to comply with the spec for X (802.3 used to have a test spec but it was withdrawn - customers and suppliers can negotiate test strategies outside of 802.3 if they wish). See e.g.

58.7.2 Wavelength and spectral width measurements

The wavelength and spectral width (RMS) shall meet specifications according to TIA-455-127-A, under modulated conditions using a valid 100BASE-X signal.

95.8.2 Center wavelength and spectral width

The center wavelength and RMS spectral width of each optical lane shall be within the range given in Table 95–6 if measured per TIA/EIA-455-127-A or IEC 61280-1-3. The lane under test is modulated using one of the test patterns specified in Table 95–10.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise all five "shall be measured" so that the requirement applies to the thing to be measured, not to the action of measuring or testing.

Similarly for "shall be tested" in 114.10.4.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 114 SC 114.5.6.5 P101 L31 # 38
Tapia, Pablo KDPOF

• •

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Remove "at" in:

"P1 is measured at 15 ns after the rise-edge crossing of transmit signal with the average optical power level."

SuggestedRemedy

"P1 is measured 15 ns after the rise-edge crossing of transmit signal with the average optical power level."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 114 SC 114.5.6.6 P101 L51 # 39 C/ 114 SC 114.5.6.8 P102 L32 Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Comment Type Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Т Comment Status X "Pmax and Pmin are the maximum and minimum values that take the optical power signal at "The apparatus must have sufficient linearity so does not introduce any appreciable distortion in the measurement." Vague specification for apparatus distortion. change sentence order. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy "Pmax and Pmin are the maximum and minimum values that the optical power signal take at Quantify distortion specification. TP2." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.5.6.8 P102 L42 C/ 114 SC 114.5.6.7 P102 L10 # 41 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X When you have the captured waveform you can find AOP, rise and fall time, ER and Remove "at" in: transmitter overshoot from it and avoid several separate measurements with very untypical "P1 is measured at 15 ns after rise-edge AOP crossing and P0 at 15 ns after fall-edge AOP patterns. crossina" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Explain how to use this measurement to find AOP, rise and fall time, ER and transmitter "P1 is measured 15 ns after rise-edge AOP crossing and P0 15 ns after fall-edge AOP overshoot. Make test mode 3 optional. crossina" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.5.6.9 P103 L10 # 40 C/ 114 SC 114.5.6.7 P102 L9 Dawe. Piers Mellanox Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Need to define the jitter corner frequency: what jitter frequency is low enough that the Redundant measure -> measurements. receiver is expected to track it? See any recent optical clause, e.g. 95.8.8.3 J2 and J4 Jitter, "To measure ERmax, P1 and P0, measurements are taken where the envelope of the signal "J4 Jitter is defined using a clock recovery unit as in 95.8.7" which refers indirectly to is minimum." 86 8 3 2 A clock recovery unit (CRU) is used to trigger the oscilloscope for

SugaestedRemedy

"To estimate ERmax, P1 and P0, measurements are taken where the envelope of the signal is minimum."

Proposed Response Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

jitter and wander.

Add a similar clock recovery unit, or if a description with a common reference clock is preferred, state what low frequencies should be filtered out of the measurement.

mask measurements, as shown in Figure 52-9. It has a high-frequency corner bandwidth of

4 MHz and a slope of -20 dB/decade. The CRU tracks acceptable levels of low-frequency

Proposed Response Response Status O # 42

87

93

C/ 114 SC 114.7.1 P104 L36 # 116 C/ 114 SC 114.7.4.1 P106 L54 Ortiz Roio, David **KDPOF** Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Comment Type E Comment Type Comment Status X Е Comment Status X verb "perform" should be plural. "either local or remote PHY do not have OAM ability or it is disabled" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "perform" by "performs". "either local or remote PHY does not have OAM ability or it is disabled" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.7.2 P105 **L8** C/ 114 SC 114.7.4.2 P109 L17 # 118 Ortiz Rojo, David **KDPOF** Mendo, Carmen **KDPOF** Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X It is not clear which bits this paragraph is referring to. In Figure 114-52, conditions for transitions from state OAMTX PHYT WAIT are not exact. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy To solve the issue, the following sentence could be added to the beginning of the No misinterpretation seems possible, but it would be more clear if: - transition from OAMTX PHYT WAIT to OAMTX NEWMSG WAIT was labeled paragraph: "The status of the three possible outstanding OAM messages can be decoded from the values of the control bits of register 3.500. The table ..." "new rxphd event=TRUE * hdr_crc16 status=OK * rxphd_phyt = txphd_msqt" - transition from OAMTX PHYT WAIT to itself was labeled "new rxphd event=TRUE * Proposed Response Response Status O hdr crc16 status=OK * rxphd phyt != txphd msqt" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 114 SC 114.7.3 P106 L3 # 43 Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** C/ 114 SC 114.7.4.3 P109 L43 # 45 Comment Status X Comment Type E Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Table 114-12: Comment Type E Comment Status X It would be easier to understand if the order of columns in the table were: TXO REQ -> TXO MSGT -> TXO PHYT -> TXO MERT "Moreover, bits PHD.OAM.MERT and PHD.OAM.PHYT is also set to zero." following the chronological sequence of the OAM transmission protocol. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Moreover, bits PHD.OAM.MERT and PHD.OAM.PHYT are also set to zero." Change order of columns in table as suggested. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

Р C/ 114 SC 114.7.4.3 P109 L46 # 46 C/ 114 SC 5.2.2 1 Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Ε TR "Assuming that implementation of Equation (114-25) ideally linear" "Once the transmission and reception of PHD blocks is reliable" This part of the sentence should be removed. SuggestedRemedy The definition of ER is independent of whether the transmitter is assumed linear or not and "Once the transmission and reception of PHD blocks are reliable" the AOP is a measured value, not a calculated value. If the transmitter is not sufficiently linear then the calculated AOP is different from the measured AOP. Therefore ER and AOP Proposed Response Response Status O are determined by measurement and not by formulas 114-26 and 114-27 SuggestedRemedy C/ 114 SC 114.8 P110 L53 # 47 Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Е Comment Status X "Loopback modes support a MAC transmit-to-self that includes a selected portion of the C/ 114 SC 5.4 Ρ bidirectional 1000BASE-H link." Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status X "Loopback modes support a MAC transmit-to-itself that includes a selected portion of the bidirectional 1000BASE-H link." Link segment is a "classical" term. Need to correlate it to the recently (in optical clauses) introduced term "channel". Perhaps it should be done as in Clauses 87 and 88, where it is Proposed Response Response Status O stated "The fiber optic cabling model (channel) defined here is the same as a simplex fiber optic link segment. The term channel is used here for consistency with generic cabling standards." C/ 114 SC 114.8 P111 L10 # 54 SuggestedRemedy Mendo, Carmen **KDPOF** Comment Status X Comment Type Proposed Response Response Status O Clarify what happens on the TX paths in "PCS GMII level loopback" and "PCS PMD interface level loopback"? SuggestedRemedy Is this up to the implementer? It is specified for the line loopback...

Proposed Response

Response Status O

68

63

Р Р C/ 114 SC 5.4 # 65 C/ 114 SC 5.4.3 1 # 64 Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X The sentences "The PMD subject to this clause is for a plastic optical fiber cable with a Same as comment to Clause 114.5.4.1 multimode optical fiber IEC 60793-2-40 sub-category A4a.2. The cable is duplex." should be SuggestedRemedy phrased differently. Need to be changed to (or similar): "The fiber optic cable requirements are satisfied by cables containing IEC 60793-2-40 sub-Proposed Response Response Status O category A4a.2 (multimode plastic optical fiber). A connection is established by two fibers. one for each direction.". Please check 88.11.1 as a reference. SuggestedRemedy Р C/ 114 SC 5.5.1 Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type TR Comment Status X "A 1000BASE-RHx transmitter shall meet the specifications at TP2 defined in Table 114-8 and the mode power distribution (MPD) shall be higher than the lower bound limit defined in SC 5.4.1 P # 69 C/ 114 Table 114-9 per measurement techniques defined in 114.5.6. Specification for transmit MPD Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies is illustrated in Figure 114-51." Are the specified launching conditions indeed 1m after the transmitter? Comment Type TR Comment Status X It is not possible to judge the completeness of this specification before the information This intent of this clause is not clear. The figure clearly isn't "insertion loss", but probably indicated in the editor's note in Clause 114.5.6.8 has become available. intended to be "link segment transfer characteristics". What is the source of this information? How is it established that individual links meet this requirement? By SuggestedRemedy specification or measurement? Is this frequency response not launch dependent? Measurement would be required to be much better than 1/100 of a dB at 8 MHz. Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Р C/ 114 SC 5.5.3 # 66 Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Р C/ 114 SC 5.4.2 L # 70 Comment Type Comment Status X Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies "Under these conditions, a 1000BASE-RHx PHY shall be able to provide a BER less than 10-12", remove the words "be able to". Comment Type TR Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Same as to Clause 114.5.4.1 SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Р C/ 114 SC 5.5.3 # 72 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.48 P26 L17 # 49 Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Carlos. Sánchez de La Lama **KDPOF** Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Type T Comment Status X It is not clear/evident why the average optical power for reliable link establishment for In Table 45-161, bit 3.500.15 is R/W, but meaning is given only for write in the "1" value receiver RHC is -17dBm for segment type II and -18.5dBm for segment type III. Is this a result of link segments with different bandwidth? One would expect that a more narrow type SuggestedRemedy III would give a value higher than for type II and not lower Change description to: SuggestedRemedy 0 = OAM transmit registers available for a new message 1 = Transmission of OAM message pending; write as "1" to request transmission Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.48.5 P27 L18 Р # 18 C/ 114 SC 5.6.7 # 67 Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Modify "Based on the above definitions, the positive and negative output droops" to "Based "together with the TXO_DATAx bits are the OAM message payload" Change "are" to "form". on the above definitions, the positive output droop DO+ and the negative output droop DO-" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "together with the TXO DATAx bits form the OAM message payload" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.48.6 P27 L23 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P23 L29 # 84 KDPOF Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Gilarranz. Aleiandra Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Missing full stop at the end of the sentence. With the addition of multiple PHY types, we need to update some of the clause 30 attributes Also in subclause 45.2.3.49, page 27, line 32. and clause 45 specifications. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add full stop. Page 23. line 29, replace with 1000BASE-RHA Plastic optical fiber PHY as specified in Clause 114. Proposed Response Response Status O 1000BASE-RHB Plastic optical fiber PHY as specified in Clause 114. 1000BASE-RHC Plastic optical fiber PHY as specified in Clause 114.

Page 23, line 39, should be "for a 1000BASE-RHx PHY,".

Response Status O

Page 25. line 19. need three listings.

Proposed Response

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.49.1 P28 L15 # 14 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.50.2 P29 L23 # 59 Gilarranz, Alejandra **KDPOF** Mendo, Carmen **KDPOF** Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Type T Ε Comment Status X According to control state diagram in figure 114-53, RXO VAL bit is set to zero when the last Typo: Missing close-parentheses at the end of the line. register (3.517) containing the message is read, but only after a read of the first register SuggestedRemedy (3.510).Close paranthesis. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Replace string: "The bit is set to zero when the last register (3.517) containing the message is read." "The bit is set to zero when the last register (3.517) containing the message is read after a Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.50.2 P29 L23 read access to the first register (3.510)." Gilarranz, Alejandra **KDPOF** Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing parenthesis in "(no test mode is selected in 3.518.15:13." C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.49.3 P28 L26 # 19 SuggestedRemedy **KDPOF** Tapia, Pablo Add parenthesis. Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O "and together with the RXO_DATAx bits are the received OAM message payload." Change "are" to "form". C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.50.3 P**29** L30 SugaestedRemedy **KDPOF** Tapia, Pablo "and together with the RXO DATAx bits form the received OAM message payload." Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O "Changes of OAM enable value" SuggestedRemedy Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.50.2 P29 L23 # 20 "Changes in OAM enable value" **KDPOF** Tapia, Pablo Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type Ε "(no test mode is selected in 3.518.15:13." Closing parenthesis missing. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.50.4 P29 L36 # 110 SuggestedRemedy **KDPOF** Ortiz Rojo, David Change to: Comment Type E Comment Status X "(no test mode is selected in 3.518.15:13)." Reference to section 114.8 is incorrect. Wrong reference also appears in 45.2.3.51 sections Proposed Response Response Status O 9 through 11 on the same page. SuggestedRemedy Change it to 114.4 Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI **45** SC **45.2.3.50.4** Page 21 of 23 06/11/2015 13:44:40

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.50.4 P29 L38 # 22 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.51.8 P31 L26 # 111 Tapia, Pablo **KDPOF** Ortiz Rojo, David **KDPOF** Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X "Changes of EEE enable value" Reference to section 114.8 is incorrect. Wrong reference also appears in 45.2.3.51 sections 9 through 11 on the same page. It also appears on page 32, section 45.2.3.51.15, line 16. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Changes in EEE enable value" Change it to 114.4 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.51 P30 L22 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.51.9 P31 L28 # 112 Gilarranz, Alejandra **KDPOF** Ortiz Rojo, David **KDPOF** Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X In Table 45-164. Useless word "mode" in bit 3.519.6 description. Meaning of the bit is not fully clear, and the functionality is sometimes 'repeated or Also in page 30, line 23. generated' or 'received or generated'. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "Tx PCS is currently transmitting LPI mode" by "Tx PCS is currently transmitting Section 114.4 already gives the details of when LPI is generated on the GMII. For simplicity LPİ". and simmetry with 45.2.3.51.8 it would be best to replace "received or generated"/"repeated Proposed Response Response Status O or generated" by generated. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.51.3 P30 L54 # 60 Mendo, Carmen **KDPOF** Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.54 P33 L18 # 50 Comment Type T Comment Status X **KDPOF** Carlos. Sánchez de La Lama No mention to the bit being LL. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy No need to include legend for R/W as there are no R/W bits Table Detail the LL behavior, eq: This bit has latching-low behavior. After it is read, it is updated to 45-167. the current value of the link status variable. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Remove R/W legend.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl 99 SC P14 L15 # 36
Tapia, Pablo KDPOF

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"...and 1000BASE-RHC37"

Remove "37" at the end of the sentence.

SuggestedRemedy

"...and 1000BASE-RHC"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 99 SC P17 L1 # 37

Tapia, Pablo KDPOF

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"...and 1000BASE-RHC114"

Remove "114" at the end of the sentence.

SuggestedRemedy

"...and 1000BASE-RHC"

Proposed Response Response Status O