C/ FM	SC FM	P 1	L 24	# 51	C/ 00	SC O	P 10	L 51	# 32
Immermar	n, George	CME Consulti	ing/ADI, APL G	o, Aquantia, BMW, Cisc	Kabra, Lok	tesh	Synopsys		
	302.3cg is in sta	Comment Status R andards association ballot, this 02.3cg-201x as well	s amendment w	<i>Bucket</i> Il likely be on 802.3-		51	Comment Status A w clause added in 802.3cm a ge 10	as done in Abstrac	Bucket of 802.3cd mentioned
uggestedF	Remedy				Suggestedl	Remedy			
		the list of amendments after 80 natter at page 10.	02.3bt-2018. Al	so add 802.3cg		e "Std 802.3-2 nendment add	018 and adds Physical" to "S s Physical"	8td 802.3-2018 an	d adds Clause 150.
esponse REJEC		Response Status C ot yet completed the standardi	zation process		Response ACCEF	PT.	Response Status C		
FM	SC FM	P 16	L 44	# 13	C/ 1	SC 1.3	P 17	L 4	# 21
			L 44	# 13	Hajduczeni	ia, Marek	Charter Co	mmunications	
awe, Piers o <i>mment T</i>		Mellanox Comment Status A		Bucket	Comment 7 No nor	<i>Type</i> E mative referen	Comment Status A		Bucket
modifie	d the same tex	endment projects running in pa tt and tables" but 802.3cd isn't - see other comments).			Suggestedi Remov	Remedy			
SuggestedF	Remedy				Response		Response Status C		
other IE		endments (e.g., IEEE Std 802.3		ts running in parallel	, ACCEF	PT IN PRINCI	LE.		
other IE (e.g., IE	EEE 802.3 ame			ts running in parallel	, ACCEF		LE.	L 4	# 1
other IE (e.g., IE Response ACCEP	EEE 802.3 ame EEE P802.3cn) PT IN PRINCIP	endments (e.g., IEEE Std 802.3 that modify the same text and <i>Response Status</i> C LE.		ts running in parallel	ACCEF See res C/ 1 Anslow, Pe	SC 1.3	PLE. ment #1. P 17 Ciena	L 4	# <u>1</u>
other IE (e.g., IE Response ACCEP Replace	EEE 802.3 ame EEE P802.3cn) PT IN PRINCIP e "IEEE P802.3	endments (e.g., IEEE Std 802.3 that modify the same text and <i>Response Status</i> C LE. 3cd" with "IEEE P802.3cn".	I tables.		C/ 1 Anslow, Pe	SC 1.3 SC 1.3 ete Type E	PLE. ment #1. P 17		# 1 Bucket
other IE (e.g., IE esponse ACCEP Replace	EEE 802.3 ame EEE P802.3cn) PT IN PRINCIP e "IEEE P802.3 SC 0	endments (e.g., IEEE Std 802.3 that modify the same text and <i>Response Status</i> C LE. 3cd" with "IEEE P802.3cn".		ets running in parallel # <u>31</u>	C/ 1 Anslow, Pe	sponse to corr SC 1.3 ete Type E normative refe	PLE. ment #1. P 17 Ciena Comment Status A		# 1Bucket
other IE (e.g., IE Response ACCEP Replace C/ 00 Kabra, Loke	EEE 802.3 ame EEE P802.3cn) PT IN PRINCIP e "IEEE P802.3 SC 0 esh	endments (e.g., IEEE Std 802.3 that modify the same text and <i>Response Status</i> C LE. 3cd" with "IEEE P802.3cn". <i>P</i> 2 Synopsys	I tables.	# 31	C/ 1 C/ 1 Anslow, Pe Comment 7 As no r Suggested	sponse to corr SC 1.3 ete Type E normative refe	PLE. ment #1. P 17 Ciena Comment Status A rences are being added, rem		# 1Bucket
other IE (e.g., IE ACCEP Replace C/ 00 Cabra, Loke	EEE 802.3 ame EEE P802.3cn) PT IN PRINCIP e "IEEE P802.3 SC 0 esh <i>Type</i> E ot mention new	endments (e.g., IEEE Std 802.3 that modify the same text and <i>Response Status</i> C LE. 3cd" with "IEEE P802.3cn".	L 1	# <u>31</u> Bucket	C/ 1 Anslow, Pe C/ 1 Anslow, Pe Comment 7 As no r Suggested/ Remov Response	sponse to com SC 1.3 ete Type E normative refe Remedy ve 1.3 from the	PLE. ment #1. P 17 Ciena Comment Status A rences are being added, rem		# <u>1</u> Bucket
other IE (e.g., IE Response ACCEP Replace 00 Cabra, Loke comment T Does no like 802 uggestedF Change	EEE 802.3 ame EEE P802.3cn) PT IN PRINCIP e "IEEE P802.3 SC 0 esh Type E ot mention new 2.3cd Remedy	endments (e.g., IEEE Std 802.3 that modify the same text and <i>Response Status</i> C LE. 3cd" with "IEEE P802.3cn". <i>P</i> 2 Synopsys <i>Comment Status</i> A v clause added in 802.3cm as	L 1	# <u>31</u> Bucket t of other specifications	C/ 1 Anslow, Pe Comment 7 As no r Suggestedi Remov	sponse to com SC 1.3 ete Type E normative refe Remedy ve 1.3 from the	PLE. ment #1. P17 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A rences are being added, rem draft		# <u>1</u> , Bucket
other IE (e.g., IE Response ACCEP Replace 00 Cabra, Loke comment T Does no like 802 uggestedF Change	EEE 802.3 ame EEE P802.3cn) PT IN PRINCIP e "IEEE P802.3 SC 0 esh Type E ot mention new 2.3cd Remedy e "Std 802.3-20	endments (e.g., IEEE Std 802.3 that modify the same text and <i>Response Status</i> C LE. 3cd" with "IEEE P802.3cn". <i>P</i> 2 Synopsys <i>Comment Status</i> A v clause added in 802.3cm as	L 1	# <u>31</u> Bucket t of other specifications	C/ 1 Anslow, Pe C/ 1 Anslow, Pe Comment 7 As no r Suggested/ Remov Response	sponse to com SC 1.3 ete Type E normative refe Remedy ve 1.3 from the	PLE. ment #1. P17 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A rences are being added, rem draft		# <u>1</u> Bucket

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 1 SC 1.3

C/ 1 SC 1.4	P 17	L 18	# 47		C/ 1	SC 1.5	P 17	L 25	# 22	
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Desi	gn Systems			Hajducze	enia, Marek	Charter Com	munications		-
	Comment Status R does not match the project object org/3/cm/Adopted_Objectives_N			Bucket	No ne	t <i>Type</i> E ew abbreviation	Comment Status A s			Bucket
SuggestedRemedy					00	dRemedy	here is anything that needs to l	he added		
No change to the tex chosen.	t is required. I would be curious	to know why a lo	onger reach wa	IS	Response	e	Response Status C			
Response REJECT.	Response Status C					EPT IN PRINCI response to con				
The comment does	not make a suggestion for a cha				C/ 1	SC 1.5	P 17	L 26	# 43	
	as chosen with OM4 cable in mi ion that supports 100 m of OM4				Marris, A	rthur	Cadence Des	sign Systems		
	pability was included in the base				Comment	tType E	Comment Status A			Bucket
C/ 1 SC 1.4.11	a P 17	L 16	# 45		Delet	e subcluase 1.5	5 as it makes no changes to the	e base standard.		
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Desi Comment Status A					dRemedy e subcluase 1.5	5			
explain why it is calle	a really rubbish nomenclature.	Choose somethi	ing better or at	least		e EPT IN PRINCI response to con				
SuggestedRemedy						•				
	at the end of 400GBASE-SR4.2 uses the same medium as 200G		e 4.2 nomencla	ture is	C/ 1	SC 1.5	P 17	L 26	# 2	
	transmission is actually over eig	ght fibres but in a	a bi-directional		Anslow, I		Ciena			
manner."					Comment	<i>,</i>	Comment Status A	4 5		Bucket
Response	Response Status C						ions are being added, remove	1.5		
	PLE. e recommends that definitions in are provided in the overview se			re	00	dRemedy ove 1.5 from the	e draft			
In the first paragraph 200GBASE-SR4. Th fiber pairs (eight indi	of 150.1, insert: "400GBASE-S e 4.2 nomenclature is used to ir vidual fibers) with the use of two dividual fiber."	R4.2 uses the sandicate that trans	ame media as smission is ove		Response ACCI		Response Status C			

C/ 1 SC 1.5

C/ 1 SC 1.5	P 17	L 29	# 33	C/1 SC 4	P 17	L 16	# 37
Kabra, Lokesh	Synopsys			Kochuparambil, Beth	Cisco Syst	ems, Inc.	
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		Bucket	Comment Type E	Comment Status R		Bucket
I did not find the t	erm "ABBR" anywhere in this draft	or 802.3cd		I don't see precede	nce for a x.110a and x.110b s	ubclause	
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Delete the line					ause numbering. ie: 1.4.111 a	and 1.4.112 (shiftin	ng the remaining
Response	Response Status C			subclause number	6,		
ACCEPT IN PRIN				Response	Response Status C		
See response to o	comment #2.			REJECT.	correct and in accordance with	the IEEE style ma	anual. The numbering
C/1 SC 1.5	P 17	L 29	# 50		amendment; the subclauses w		
Trowbridge, Steve	Nokia				an example, IEEE Std 802.3		1.4.72b for 200GBASE-
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		Bucket	DR4; this was rent	mbered as 1.4.83 in IEEE Std	802.3-2018.	
Left over instructi	ons for how to use the template re	main in the draft		C/ 45 SC 45.2.	1.6 <i>P</i> 19	L 24	# 34
			•				
				Kabra, Lokesh	Synopsys		
SuggestedRemedy Either remove the paragraph tag Act	e example and instructions "ABBR rList,ac]", or remove entirely clause	expanded versio	on [abbreviations use	Comment Type T reserved value of	Comment Status R 011110 can be used for SR4.2		
SuggestedRemedy Either remove the paragraph tag Ac not identify anythi	e example and instructions "ABBR rList,ac]", or remove entirely clause ng to be added or changed	expanded versio	on [abbreviations use	Comment Type T reserved value of value that may be	Comment Status R		Bucker
SuggestedRemedy Either remove the paragraph tag Aci not identify anythi Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	e example and instructions "ABBR rList,ac]", or remove entirely clause ng to be added or changed <i>Response Status</i> C	expanded versio	on [abbreviations use	Comment Type T reserved value of value that may be SuggestedRemedy Change "1011110 Unstrike line 19 "1	Comment Status R 011110 can be used for SR4.2 required for 100G serial modes = reserved" to "1011110 = 400 Ixxxxx = reserved"	GABSE-SR4.2 PN	up unnecessary reserved
SuggestedRemedy Either remove the paragraph tag Aci not identify anythi Response ACCEPT IN PRIN See responses to	e example and instructions "ABBR rList,ac]", or remove entirely clause ng to be added or changed <i>Response Status</i> C ICIPLE. comments #1 and #2.	expanded versic es 1.3 and 1.5 fr	on [abbreviations use om the draft which do	Comment Type T reserved value of value that may be SuggestedRemedy Change "1011110 Unstrike line 19 "1 Delete next 6 rows	Comment Status R 011110 can be used for SR4.2 required for 100G serial modes = reserved" to "1011110 = 400	GABSE-SR4.2 PN	up unnecessary reserved
SuggestedRemedy Either remove the paragraph tag Aci not identify anythi Response ACCEPT IN PRIN See responses to Cl 1 SC 1.5	e example and instructions "ABBR rList,ac]", or remove entirely clause ng to be added or changed <i>Response Status</i> C ICIPLE. comments #1 and #2. <i>P</i> 17	expanded versio	on [abbreviations use	Comment Type T reserved value of value that may be SuggestedRemedy Change "1011110 Unstrike line 19 "1 Delete next 6 rows Response	Comment Status R 011110 can be used for SR4.2 required for 100G serial modes = reserved" to "1011110 = 400 Ixxxxx = reserved"	GABSE-SR4.2 PN	up unnecessary reserved
SuggestedRemedy Either remove the paragraph tag Act not identify anythi Response ACCEPT IN PRIN See responses to C/ 1 SC 1.5 Lusted, Kent	e example and instructions "ABBR rList,ac]", or remove entirely clause ng to be added or changed <i>Response Status</i> C ICIPLE. comments #1 and #2. <i>P</i> 17 Intel	expanded versic es 1.3 and 1.5 fr	on [abbreviations use om the draft which do # 41	Comment Type T reserved value of value that may be SuggestedRemedy Change "1011110 Unstrike line 19 "1 Delete next 6 rows Response REJECT.	Comment Status R 011110 can be used for SR4.2 required for 100G serial modes = reserved" to "1011110 = 400 lxxxxx = reserved" "111xxxx = reserved" to "1100 Response Status C	9 9GABSE-SR4.2 PM 9000 = 400GBASE	IP unnecessary reserved MA/PMMD" E-SR4.2 PMA/PMD"
SuggestedRemedy Either remove the paragraph tag Aci- not identify anythi Response ACCEPT IN PRIN See responses to C/ 1 SC 1.5 Lusted, Kent Comment Type	e example and instructions "ABBR rList,ac]", or remove entirely clause ng to be added or changed <i>Response Status</i> C ICIPLE. comments #1 and #2. <i>P</i> 17 Intel R <i>Comment Status</i> A	expanded versic es 1.3 and 1.5 fr <i>L</i> 29	on [abbreviations use om the draft which do # 41 Bucket	Comment Type T reserved value of value that may be SuggestedRemedy Change "1011110 Unstrike line 19 "1 Delete next 6 rows Response REJECT. The value of 1011	Comment Status R 011110 can be used for SR4.2 required for 100G serial modes = reserved" to "1011110 = 400 lxxxxx = reserved" "111xxxx = reserved" to "1100 <i>Response Status</i> C 10 has been allocated to "400	9 9GABSE-SR4.2 PM 9000 = 400GBASE 9GBASE-CR4 PMA	A/PMD" so that the block
SuggestedRemedy Either remove the paragraph tag Act not identify anythi Response ACCEPT IN PRIN See responses to CI 1 SC 1.5 Lusted, Kent Comment Type EF The abbreviation	e example and instructions "ABBR rList,ac]", or remove entirely clause ng to be added or changed <i>Response Status</i> C ICIPLE. comments #1 and #2. <i>P</i> 17 Intel R <i>Comment Status</i> A "ABBR" is not used anywhere else	expanded versic es 1.3 and 1.5 fr <i>L</i> 29	on [abbreviations use om the draft which do # 41 Bucket	Comment Type T reserved value of value that may be SuggestedRemedy Change "1011110 Unstrike line 19 "1 Delete next 6 rows Response REJECT. The value of 10111 from 1011101 to 1 projects all completing	Comment Status R 011110 can be used for SR4.2 required for 100G serial modes = reserved" to "1011110 = 400 [xxxxx = reserved" "111xxxx = reserved" to "1100 <i>Response Status</i> C 10 has been allocated to "400 100100 will be in descending rete:	9 9GABSE-SR4.2 PM 9000 = 400GBASE 9GBASE-CR4 PMA	A/PMD" so that the block
SuggestedRemedy Either remove the paragraph tag Aci not identify anythi Response ACCEPT IN PRIN See responses to C/ 1 SC 1.5 Lusted, Kent Comment Type Eff The abbreviation i leftover from the fi	e example and instructions "ABBR rList,ac]", or remove entirely clause ng to be added or changed <i>Response Status</i> C ICIPLE. comments #1 and #2. <i>P</i> 17 Intel R <i>Comment Status</i> A	expanded versic es 1.3 and 1.5 fr <i>L</i> 29	on [abbreviations use om the draft which do # 41 Bucket	Comment Type T reserved value of value that may be SuggestedRemedy Change "1011110 Unstrike line 19 "1 Delete next 6 rows Response REJECT. The value of 10111 from 1011101 to 1 projects all comple 400GBASE-ZR PM	Comment Status R 011110 can be used for SR4.2 required for 100G serial modes = reserved" to "1011110 = 400 [xxxxx = reserved" "111xxxx = reserved" to "1100 <i>Response Status</i> C 10 has been allocated to "400 100100 will be in descending rete: IA/PMD	s)GABSE-SR4.2 PM)0000 = 400GBASE)GBASE-CR4 PMA	A/PMD" so that the block
SuggestedRemedy Either remove the paragraph tag Aci not identify anythi Response ACCEPT IN PRIN See responses to C/ 1 SC 1.5 Lusted, Kent Comment Type Eff The abbreviation leftover from the f SuggestedRemedy	e example and instructions "ABBR rList,ac]", or remove entirely clause ng to be added or changed <i>Response Status</i> C ICIPLE. comments #1 and #2. <i>P</i> 17 Intel R <i>Comment Status</i> A "ABBR" is not used anywhere else FrameMaker template.	expanded versions of the second secon	on [abbreviations use om the draft which do # <u>41</u> <i>Bucket</i> t. I suspect that it is	Comment Type T reserved value of value that may be SuggestedRemedy Change "1011110 Unstrike line 19 "1 Delete next 6 rows Response REJECT. The value of 10111 from 1011101 to 1 projects all completing	Comment Status R 011110 can be used for SR4.2 required for 100G serial modes = reserved" to "1011110 = 400 [xxxxx = reserved" "111xxxx = reserved" to "1100 <i>Response Status</i> C 10 has been allocated to "400 100100 will be in descending rete: IA/PMD	s)GABSE-SR4.2 PM)0000 = 400GBASE)GBASE-CR4 PMA	A/PMD" so that the block
SuggestedRemedy Either remove the paragraph tag Aci not identify anythi Response ACCEPT IN PRIN See responses to C/ 1 SC 1.5 Lusted, Kent Comment Type EF The abbreviation leftover from the F SuggestedRemedy Either define and	e example and instructions "ABBR rList,ac]", or remove entirely clause ng to be added or changed <i>Response Status</i> C ICIPLE. comments #1 and #2. <i>P</i> 17 Intel R <i>Comment Status</i> A "ABBR" is not used anywhere else FrameMaker template. use the abbreviation "ABBR" or re	expanded versions of the second secon	on [abbreviations use om the draft which do # <u>41</u> <i>Bucket</i> t. I suspect that it is	Comment Type T reserved value of value that may be SuggestedRemedy Change "1011110 Unstrike line 19 "1 Delete next 6 rows Response REJECT The value of 1011 from 1011101 to 1 projects all complet 400GBASE-ZR PN 400GBASE-LR4 400GBASE-LR4	Comment Status R 011110 can be used for SR4.2 required for 100G serial modes = reserved" to "1011110 = 400 Ixxxxx = reserved" "111xxxx = reserved" to "1100 <i>Response Status</i> C 10 has been allocated to "400 100100 will be in descending re te: IA/PMD MA/PMD	s)GABSE-SR4.2 PM)0000 = 400GBASE)GBASE-CR4 PMA	A/PMD" so that the block
SuggestedRemedy Either remove the paragraph tag Aci not identify anythi Response ACCEPT IN PRIN See responses to C/ 1 SC 1.5 Lusted, Kent Comment Type Eff The abbreviation leftover from the f SuggestedRemedy	e example and instructions "ABBR rList,ac]", or remove entirely clause ng to be added or changed <i>Response Status</i> C ICIPLE. comments #1 and #2. <i>P</i> 17 Intel R <i>Comment Status</i> A "ABBR" is not used anywhere else FrameMaker template. use the abbreviation "ABBR" or re <i>Response Status</i> C	expanded versions of the second secon	on [abbreviations use om the draft which do # <u>41</u> <i>Bucket</i> t. I suspect that it is	Comment Type T reserved value of value that may be SuggestedRemedy Change "1011110 Unstrike line 19 "1 Delete next 6 rows Response REJECT The value of 1011 from 1011101 to 1 projects all comple 400GBASE-ZR PM 400GBASE-LR4	Comment Status R 011110 can be used for SR4.3 required for 100G serial modes = reserved" to "1011110 = 400 Ixxxxx = reserved" "111xxxx = reserved" to "1100 <i>Response Status</i> C 10 has been allocated to "400 100100 will be in descending re te: IA/PMD MA/PMD	s)GABSE-SR4.2 PM)0000 = 400GBASE)GBASE-CR4 PMA	A/PMD" so that the block

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.6

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.21.1a	P 21	L 25	# 23	C/ 116	SC 116.2.	5	P 24	/ 44	# 16
Hajduczer		Charter Comr			Dawe, Pie		-	ellanox		
Comment	Туре Е С	omment Status A allowed on "/" character	to avoid breaking	<i>Bucket</i> g PMA/PMD across		n't the base to	<i>Comment Sta</i> ext in force, 802.3cd d sentence, it's the s	has altered		Bucket
Response ACCE	e locations in the drai Re PT IN PRINCIPLE.	it esponse Status C haracters in "Allow Line	Breaks After" fo	r Clause 45.	The 40 throug Or:	je the second DOGBASE-R F h Clause 124	sentence of the sec MDs and their corro , and in Clause	esponding n 138 and Cla	nedia are specifie ause 150.	ed in Clause 122
chose 1.4.11 400GE with re This te Suggested Chang 400 G fiber, V	Type T C HY doesn't have bidir a different descriptior 0a 400GBASE-SR4.2 BASE-R encoding ove each up to at least 150 ext should be consistent IRemedy Je b/s PHY using 400GE	: IEEE 802.3 Physical La r eight lanes on multimo) m. (See IEEE Std 802.	ayer specification de fiber in a bidi 3, Clause 150.) ght bidirectional	n for 400 Gb/s using rectional WDM format,	follows The 20 Clause corres 138 ar <i>Response</i> ACCE Replac "Chan follows The 20 Clause corres	s: DOGBASE-R F e 122, and Cla ponding medi nd Clause 150 PT IN PRINC ce the editing ge the second s: DOGBASE-R F e 122, and Cla	ause 136 through Cl a are specified in Cl I. <i>Response Sta</i> IPLE. Instruction with: I paragraph of 116.2 PMDs and their corre ause 136 through Cl a are specified in Cl	esponding n ause 138. T ause 122 th <i>tus</i> C .5 (as amer esponding n ause 138. T	nedia are specific he 400GBASE-F irough Clause 12 nded by IEEE Sto nedia are specific he 400GBASE-F	ed in Clause 121, and R PMDs and their A , and in Clause d 802.3cd-2018) as ed in Clause 121, R PMDs and their
	ctional WDM format, v	ASE-R encoding over e vith reach up to at least 1 esponse Status C			C/ 116 Hajduczer Comment	,	-		L 45 munications	# 24 Bucket
ACCE						51	e) contains now too		"s	DUCKEI
					Clause Response ACCE	je "Clause 124 e 150."	Response Sta		e 150." to "Claus	e 124, Clause 138, and

C/ 116 SC 116.2.5

C/ 130	SC 130.10.3.	1 P 40	L 20	# 20	C/ 138 SC 1	38.1	P 28	L 10	# 3
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quant	um		Anslow, Pete		Ciena		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R			Comment Type	E Comn	nent Status A		Bucke
installe		or 400GBASE-SR8, option tw it option B single row connect e noted.			need to be pre	sent in the draft.	g made to the seco	nd paragraph of	138.1, so it does not
Suggested	Remedv				SuggestedRemedy				
Add fo	llowing text, Two	p-row twelve fiber interface is teen-fiber interface is compa			"Change the fi		38.1, and change ∃ of 138.1 from the d		ollows:"
Response		Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			Response	Respo	nse Status C		
REJEC					ACCEPT.				
MPO (or 24f MPO) con	1b_0518 and kolesar_3cm_0 inector/interface is compatible : "Compatible w standard cat	e with structured	I cabling. From	C/ 138 SC 1		P 28	L 12	# 26
[QSFP	-DD] MSA".		••••		Hajduczenia, Mare		Charter Com	munications	
Furthe	rmore, both MDI	s are supported by the polari	ty of cabling sys	tems in TIA 568.3.	51		nent Status R		Bucke
C/ 138	SC 138.5.1	P 34	L 5	# 5	Lists of PHYs i again	in multiple location	ns - please avoid e	numerating all the	e PHYs over and over
					5				
,		Rockwell Auto	omation		SuggestedRemedy	,			
Comment	Туре Е	Rockwell Auto Comment Status A underlined as an insertion.	omation	Bucket	SuggestedRemedy Change repeat 400GBASE-SF	ted enumerations R8" indicatign all F	MDs to "Clause 13		00GBASE-SR4, and impler to maintain in
Comment 400GE Suggested	<i>Type</i> E BASE-SR8 is not	Comment Status A underlined as an insertion.	omation	Bucket	SuggestedRemedy Change repeat 400GBASE-SF the future - mu Response	ted enumerations R8" indicatign all F Itiple locations in	MDs to "Clause 13		
Comment 400GE Suggested Underl Response	Type E ASE-SR8 is not Remedy ine 400GBASE-3	Comment Status A underlined as an insertion.	omation	Bucket	SuggestedRemedy Change repeat 400GBASE-SF the future - mu Response REJECT.	ted enumerations R8" indicatign all F Itiple locations in	PMDs to "Clause 13 the draft nse Status U		
Comment 400GB Suggested Underl	Type E BASE-SR8 is not Remedy ine 400GBASE- PT.	Comment Status A underlined as an insertion. SR8.			SuggestedRemedy Change repeat 400GBASE-SF the future - mu Response REJECT.	ted enumerations R8" indicatign all F Itiple locations in <i>Respo</i> r on of the PMDs a	PMDs to "Clause 13 the draft nse Status U		
Comment 400GE Suggested Underl Response ACCE	Type E ASE-SR8 is not Remedy ine 400GBASE-3	Comment Status A underlined as an insertion. SR8.	omation	Bucket # 49	SuggestedRemedy Change repeat 400GBASE-SF the future - mu Response REJECT. The enumerati	ted enumerations R8" indicatign all F Itiple locations in <i>Respo</i> r on of the PMDs a	PMDs to "Clause 13 the draft nse Status U voids ambiguity. P 28	38 PMDs" - it is s	impler to maintain in
Comment 400GE Suggested Underl Response ACCEI	Type E BASE-SR8 is not Remedy ine 400GBASE- PT. SC 1	Comment Status A underlined as an insertion. SR8. Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy Change repeat 400GBASE-SF the future - mu Response REJECT. The enumerati	ted enumerations R8" indicatign all F Itiple locations in <i>Respo</i> on of the PMDs a 38.1	PMDs to "Clause 13 the draft nse Status U voids ambiguity. P 28	38 PMDs" - it is s	impler to maintain in
Comment 400GE Suggested Underl Response ACCEI CI 138 Peter, Stas	Type E BASE-SR8 is not Remedy ine 400GBASE- PT. SC 1 ssar	Comment Status A underlined as an insertion. SR8. Response Status C P			SuggestedRemedy Change repeat 400GBASE-SF the future - mu Response REJECT. The enumerati C/ 138 SC 1 Marris, Arthur Comment Type	ed enumerations R8" indicatign all F Itiple locations in <i>Respon</i> on of the PMDs a 38.1 E <i>Comn</i>	PMDs to "Clause 13 the draft <i>nse Status</i> U voids ambiguity. <i>P</i> 28 Cadence De	38 PMDs" - it is s <i>L</i> 13 sign Systems	impler to maintain in # 44 Bucke
Comment 400GE Suggested Underl Response ACCEI CI 138 Peter, Stas Comment	Type E BASE-SR8 is not Remedy ine 400GBASE- PT. SC 1 SSar Type E	Comment Status A underlined as an insertion. SR8. Response Status C P Huawei		# 49	SuggestedRemedy Change repeat 400GBASE-SF the future - mu Response REJECT. The enumerati C/ 138 SC 1 Marris, Arthur Comment Type	ted enumerations R8" indicatign all F Itiple locations in <i>Respon</i> on of the PMDs a 38.1 E <i>Comn</i> d the word "four".	PMDs to "Clause 13 the draft <i>nse Status</i> U voids ambiguity. <i>P</i> 28 Cadence De nent Status A	38 PMDs" - it is s <i>L</i> 13 sign Systems	impler to maintain in # 44 Bucke
Comment 400GE Suggested Underl Response ACCEI C/ 138 Peter, Stas Comment "Four"	Type E BASE-SR8 is not Remedy ine 400GBASE- PT. SC 1 Ssar Type E is new text and s	Comment Status A underlined as an insertion. SR8. Response Status C P Huawei Comment Status A		# 49	SuggestedRemedy Change repeat 400GBASE-SF the future - mu Response REJECT. The enumerati C/ 138 SC 1 Marris, Arthur Comment Type No need to add SuggestedRemedy	ted enumerations R8" indicatign all F Itiple locations in <i>Respon</i> on of the PMDs a 38.1 E <i>Comn</i> d the word "four".	PMDs to "Clause 13 the draft <i>nse Status</i> U voids ambiguity. <i>P</i> 28 Cadence De nent Status A	<i>L</i> 13 <i>L</i> 13 sign Systems u simply delete th	# 44 <i>Bucke</i> he word "three".
Comment 400GE Suggested Underl Response ACCEI C/ 138 Peter, Stas Comment "Four" Suggested	Type E BASE-SR8 is not Remedy ine 400GBASE- PT. SC 1 Ssar Type E is new text and s	Comment Status A cunderlined as an insertion. SR8. Response Status C P Huawei Comment Status A should be underlined		# 49	SuggestedRemedy Change repeat 400GBASE-SF the future - mu Response REJECT. The enumerati C/ 138 SC 1 Marris, Arthur Comment Type No need to add SuggestedRemedy	ed enumerations R8" indicatign all F Itiple locations in <i>Respon</i> on of the PMDs a 38.1 E <i>Comn</i> d the word "four". d "four" (which sh	PMDs to "Clause 13 the draft nse Status U voids ambiguity. P 28 Cadence De nent Status A It reads better if yo	<i>L</i> 13 <i>L</i> 13 sign Systems u simply delete th	# 44 <i>Bucke</i> he word "three".
Suggested Underl Response ACCEI CI 138 Peter, Stas Comment "Four" Suggested	Type E ASE-SR8 is not Remedy ine 400GBASE- PT. SC 1 Ssar Type E is new text and s Remedy	Comment Status A cunderlined as an insertion. SR8. Response Status C P Huawei Comment Status A should be underlined		# 49	SuggestedRemedy Change repeat 400GBASE-SF the future - mu Response REJECT. The enumerati C/ 138 SC 1 Marris, Arthur Comment Type No need to add SuggestedRemedy Delete the wor	ed enumerations R8" indicatign all F Itiple locations in <i>Respon</i> on of the PMDs a 38.1 E <i>Comn</i> d the word "four". d "four" (which sh	PMDs to "Clause 13 the draft nse Status U voids ambiguity. P 28 Cadence De nent Status A It reads better if yo	<i>L</i> 13 <i>L</i> 13 sign Systems u simply delete th	# 44 <i>Bucke</i> he word "three".

C/ 138 SC 138.1

C/ 138	SC 138.1	P 28	L 23	# 35	C/ 138	SC 138.3.1	P 32	L 23	# 36
Kabra, Loke		Synopsys			Kabra, Lokes		Synopsys		
Comment Ty Adding 4 except " Table 13	<i>pe</i> E 100GBASE-SR8 cd 117-RS" are exclsi 88-3 as-is for 200G	Comment Status R olumn to Table 138-3 does uive and duplicated for 200 and add another table for 3-1 & Table 138-2 for 50G	G & 400G. It r 400GBASE-S	nay be neater to retain R8. It will look logical as	Comment Ty Reference SuggestedRe	pe E e to 116.3 is ir	Comment Status A ncorrect for Delay Constraints	s. In 802.3cd, it i	Bucket s 116.4
4a" 0	"Table 138-2, or T	able 138-3" in line 19 to "	,			IN PRINCIPL "116.3" with "1			
		or 200G and add another T	able 138-4a to	r 400G;	C/ 138	SC 138.4	P 33	L 22	# 52
Response REJECT		Response Status C			Zimmerman,	George	CME Consult	ing/ADI, APL Gr	, Aquantia, BMW, Cisc
The tabl	es for 200GBASE	-SR4 and 400GBASE-SR8 nse to comment #11 again P 29			1.9.8 to 1	e transmit disa .9.1, which lea	Comment Status A bles are parameterized n-1 to aves the reader to guess whe n clause 45, but the whole pu	ether n-1 is fixed	at 1.9.8, or 0 at 1.9.1
Hajduczenia	, Marek	Charter Comm	nunications				aving to go back to clause 45		
Comment Ty "must" ir		Comment Status R otnote, we typically void thi	s word per styl	e guide	SuggestedRe Change '	emedy '1.9.8" to "1.9.ı	n"		
SuggestedR Change	-	"is expected to behave"			Response ACCEPT		Response Status C		
Response	F	Response Status C			C/ 138	SC 138.4	P 33	L 43	# 53
	is consistent with	the equivalent footnote in E 802.3 Maintenance.	earlier clauses	. The commenter may	Zimmerman, Comment Ty	George			, Aquantia, BMW, Cisc Bucket
C/ 138 Anslow, Pete		P 29 Ciena Comment Status A	L 21	# 4	1.10.8 to 1.10.1 (r	1.10.1, which note, these are	bles are parameterized n-1 to leaves the reader to guess w clear in clause 45, but the w om having to go back to claus	/hether n-1 is fix /hole purpose of	ed at 1.10.8, or 0 at
	, d 400 Gigabit Ethe	rnet is introduced" should	be "200 and 40	00 Gigabit Ethernet are	<i>SuggestedRe</i> Change '	emedy '1.10.8" to "1.1	0.n"		
SuggestedR show the	•	igh font and add "are" in ur	nderline font.		Response ACCEPT		Response Status C		
Response	F	Response Status C							
Replace	T IN PRINCIPLE. "200 and 400 Gig Ethernet are introd	abit Ethernet is introduced luced".	" with "200 Gig	abit Ethernet and 400					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 138 SC 138.4

C/ 138 SC 138.5	.1 <i>P</i> 34	L 13	# 27	C/ 138	SC 138.7.1	P 36	L 53	# 11
Hajduczenia, Marek	Charter Cor	nmunications		Dawe, Piers		Mellanox		
Comment Type T	Comment Status R		Bucket	Comment T	pe TR	Comment Status R		
to "four lanes (n=8 way, you do not ne SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response REJECT. Adopting the chang lanes would directl difficult as 50GBAS	follows: "four lanes, two lanes, two lanes (n=4), and one lane ed to replace the figure every ti <i>Response Status</i> C ge in the suggested remedy wor y apply to a single lane PMD. The SE-SR only has lane 0. Also, the	(n=2) per direction me a new PMD is uld mean that the his would make la ere is no expectati	n, respectively" - in thsi added. diagram showing three beling the three lanes	The trar and it sł PMDs w 802.3cd ps for th 13.2812 This is i slide 11	nould be poss ithout having chose 34 ps e slowest MI 5 GHz) after nconsistent. brown) show s, so there is	119. bec is intended to protect the re- sible to use a common equalize to carry an extra burden for jus as the slowest after a slow cha AF signal after a fast channel, e a slow channel - but still used 3 The survey results for MMF (da that actual transition times are room to correct the spec and s	r IC across all 5 st one or a few P annel (SMF claus equivalent to 36 34 ps for the slov awe_3cd_01b_0 e significantly fas	0G/lane PAM4 optical PMD types. ses) but also used 34 ps (observed in west signal in SRS. 518 slide 8 green and ter than these
Ŭ	han 8 will be added to this claus					enient to use the same bandwic use a different bandwidth, he ca		
138 SC 138.5		L 22	# 54	second	alternative in	the remedy.		
immerman, George		Iting/ADI, APL Gp	, Aquantia, BMW, Cisc			hasis to make a slow transmitte r too. If his transmitter is slow		
comment Type E	Comment Status A	,	Bucket			ave a problem with tightening t		
	R2 should be 100GBASE-SR2		hity - it's wrong in the	SuggestedR	emedy			
SuggestedRemedy Change "100GBSE	-SR2" to "100GBASE-SR2"					ansmitter transition time, for 40 5625 GHz to 22.4 GHz (twice) (
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			400GBA In 138.8 value sp limit of 3	SE-SR8, ma 10 Stressed ecified in Ta 4 ps for 400	smit characteristics, add a secc x 32 ps (not 34), and: receiver sensitivity, change "th ble 138-8" to "the transition time GBASE-SR8 to Table 138-9, Re d receiver sensitivity test.	e transition time e is no greater th	is no greater than the nan 34 ps", or add a
				Response		Response Status C		
				REJEC ⁻ This cor	-	ilar to comments #44 against D)1.0. #9 against l	D1.1 and #6 against

This comment is similar to comments #44 against D1.0, #9 against D1.1 and #6 against D1.2, which were rejected.

It is highly desirable to keep the per lane specifications for 400GBASE-SR8 identical to the other PMDs in Clause 138 and changing the transition time for 50GBASE-SR, 100GBASE-SR2, and 200GBASE-SR4 is out of scope for this project.

C/ 138 SC 138.7.1

C/ 138 SC 138.8.5	P 38	L 38	# 6	C/ 138	SC 138.8.5.1		L 45	# 9
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox			Dawe, Piers	5	Mellanox		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R			Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status R		
dawe_3cm_adhoc_01_ castro_3cm_01_0119: 0.45 dB) as well as 0.1 which is unreasonably SR4, so as not to pena	or both modal noise and mod 101118, castro_3cm_01_11 we need 0.1 to 0.2 dB for MN dB for MPN. The total penal high already. This should be lise good transmitters. 065*Pave may be on the low	18, pepeljugoski_ I (castro_3cm_0 Ities should be ke done with a form	_1_1104 and 1_0119 says 0.23 to ept below 4.6 dB, nula, as for 100GBASE-	precurs needed receive cursor a The fas problem In pract	or unless the si , the same trans (outside the TD and the (now -ve t channel can h n remains. ice, it seems th	er an 11.2 GHz BT4 filter with gnal is carefully pre-distorted smitter seen after a fast char DECQ spec limit) because the e) first precursor at the same ave less mode partition noise at TDECQ uses at least one	d. If it is, and a fe nnel, e.g. a short e 5-tap FFE can' e time. e but more moda	ourth post-cursor is t fibre, can be difficult to 't correct the fourth post al noise, but the
SuggestedRemedy					e remedies inclu	ude: t one precursor (tap 2 or 3 is	the largest) or	
R=sqrt(sigmaG^2 + sig where M = 0.0065Pave In 138.8.10 Stressed re that: the values of M in Equa	equation (138-1) is used in pla maS^2 - M^2) (138-1)	e new Eq. 138-1 ((as above) and say	Modify precurs Defining and low TDECQ reasona but it's i	TDECQ if tap 1 or that this weir g MMF TDECQ dispersion, not (fast) < TDECC able estimate of not likely that or	is the largest by adding an ir d transmitter would have on with fast and slow channels, ing that if tap 2 or 3 is the lan Q(slow), so no need to detern TDECQ(fast) from the datas ne would need to do that, as	nterferer represe a short link, or in the same spi rgest it can be as nine it. It should set of a TDECQ(rit as SMF with high ssumed that l be possible to make a
	M of Equation (138-1) is not			SuggestedF	Remedy			
D1.2, which were reject It is highly desirable to other PMDs in Clause	keep the per lane specification 138 and changing the TDECO	ons for 400GBAS Q definition for 50	E-SR8 identical to the OGBASE-SR,	Change largest "For 50 largest magnitu	e the fourth sent magnitude tap o GBASE-SR, 10 magnitude tap o ude tap coefficie	0GBASE-SR2, and 200GBA coefficient For 400GBASE	: change "Tap 1, SE-SR4, tap 1, t :-SR8, tap 2 or ta	tap 2, or tap 3, has the tap 2, or tap 3, has the
100GBASE-SR2, and 2	200GBASE-SR4 is out of sco	pe for this projec	Ct.	Response		Response Status U		
				D1.2, w It is hig other Pl 50GBA Limiting signal, v	mment is simila hich were rejec hly desirable to MDs and chang SE-SR, 100GB/ to at most thre when propagate	Ir to comments #42 against I ted. keep the per lane specificati ing the constraint on which t ASE-SR2, and 200GBASE-S re post-cursors in the referen ad through the TDECQ referen- cursor response at the receiv	ons for 400GBA ap can have the GR4 is out of sco ce equalizer me ence response, c	SE-SR8 identical to the largest magnitude for pe for this project. ans that the transmitted annot have a significant

penalty.

Insufficient evidence has been provided to justify a change.

C/ 138 SC 138.8.5.1

C/ 138	SC	138.8.5.1	P 38	L 45	# 8	C/ 138	SC	138.10.1	P 39	L 45	#
Dawe, Pie	ers		Mellanox			Dawe, Pier	rs		Mellanox		
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status R			Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status A		
		•	uld allow the same range of d for all, without all SMF equ				•	uld be impr cleanest.	roved. In the remedy, the s	tricken "and" is n	ot shown.

the MMF spec. 802.3cd chose a largest magnitude tap coefficient of at least 0.8 as a way of protecting the receiver from excessively peaky signals that abuse the receiver's dynamic range or resolution but don't benefit the transmitter implementer - however they did not implement it fully.

While SMF TDECQ is measured for both extremes of channel, MMF TDECQ is measured for the slow channel only. We could measure MMF TDECQ for the fast channel too. If not, we can read across to the other case we don't measure, recognising that a signal after the slow measurement channel looks less emphasised than what the receiver has to tolerate after a fast channel.

The reference equalizer's largest magnitude tap coefficient (0.8 for a fast channel) should be set consistently (as from the same transmitter) for the slow channel. The survey results for MMF (green points, slide 8, dawe_3cd_01b_0518) are all to the right of +0.5 dB (or tap strength about 1.1). Anyone using emphasis to make a slow transmitter look faster will start well to the right (large tap strength) and will not be concerned by this limit. This proposal is consistent with the SMF specs and still allows a strongly over-emphasised transmitter.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the fourth sentence in 138.8.5.1 as follows: change "Tap 1, tap 2, or tap 3, has the largest magnitude tap coefficient, which is constrained to be at least 0.8." to

"...constrained to be at least 0.8 for 50GBASE-SR, 100GBASE-SR2, and 200GBASE-SR4, and at least 0.85 for 400GBASE-SR8".

Note another comment relates to the same sentence.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment is similar to comments #41 against D1.0, #6 against D1.1 and #3 against D1.2, which were rejected.

It is highly desirable to keep the per lane specifications for 400GBASE-SR8 identical to the other PMDs and changing the constraint on the largest magnitude tap coefficient for 50GBASE-SR, 100GBASE-SR2, and 200GBASE-SR4 is out of scope for this project. In addition, VCSEL measurements to date have shown slightly higher TDECQ penalties than SMF transmitters due to low bandwidth, and the use of peaking can help to improve yield and reduce cost especially at process, temperature, and voltage corners. Increasing the minimum coefficient of the largest magnitude tap would reduce the flexibility for the transmitter design.

C/ 138	SC 138.10.1	P 3	9	L 45	#	17	
Dawe, Piers	S	Mella	nox				
Comment T	ype E	Comment Status	Α				Bucket
	g should be impr s the cleanest.	oved. In the remedy	y, the stricke	n "and" is	not shown.	The la	st
SuggestedF	Remedy						
Applies 100GB/	plies to 100GBA only to 100GBA	SE-SR2, 200GBAS SE-SR2, 200GBAS BASE-SR4, and 400	E-SR4, and 4	400GBASE	E-SR8. or		
Response		Response Status	С				
	PT IN PRINCIPLE e "Only applies" v	with "Applies only".					
C/ 138	SC 138.11.3	P 4	4	L 1	#	28	
Hajduczeni	a, Marek	Chart	er Communi	ications			
Comment T	ype E	Comment Status	R				Bucket
		he whole table, it is wn below under SR4	•	idicate in e	ditprial inst	ructions	to
SuggestedF	Remedy						
Per con	nment						
Response		Response Status	С				
REJEC							
Reprod	ucing the table a	voids ambiguity.					
C/ 138	SC 138.11.4.1	P 4	4	L 50	#	18	
Dawe, Piers	5	Mella	nox				
Comment T	ype E	Comment Status	Α				Bucket
Tidying	up, now the list	has four items in it.					
SuggestedF	Remedy						
Change Compa PMA to		SE-R or 100GBASE	E-R or 200GE	BASE-R or	400GBASI	E-R PC	S and
Compa PMA	tible with 50GBA	SE-R, 100GBASE-F	R, 200GBAS	E-R, or 40)GBASE-R	PCS a	nd
Response		Response Status	С				

ACCEPT.

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/ 138

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 138.11.4.1

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 C/

Page 9 of 15 30/05/2019 11:37:43

C/ 150 SC 8.9	P 59	L 27	# 48	C/ 150	SC 150.5.4	P 51	L 47	# 56
Peter, Stassar	Huawei			Zimmerm	ian, George	CME Consul	ting/ADI, APL G	p, Aquantia, BMW, Cisc
Comment Type E	Comment Status			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status R		
	iver sensitivity in Equation 15 9, even when it is not part of		nstead of dB. Similar in	gene exam	ate" The standard	are permitted by this star is actually implementations, suggest that somewhere and "permits" them	on-independent.	You're trying to give an
Replace "dB" by "	dBm"			Suggeste	•			
Response	Response Status				•	entations are permitted by	this standard in	ncluding
ACCEPT IN PRIN	ICIPLE.					erate" with "Implementa		
Replace "dB" with		and in the DOOD Dame	duality and the a	Response	e	Response Status C		
commenter is rec	9, the relevant text is not pre- ommended to pursue this ma r issue exists in Clauses 121	atter via IEEE 802.3 N	laintenance.		CT.	to make a change to the	draft. The curren	nt text is consistent with
C/ 150 SC 150.	.5.4 <i>P</i> 51	L 43	# 55	Straw				
Zimmerman, George	CME Co	onsulting/ADI, APL G	o, Aquantia, BMW, Cisc	Do yo Y: 4	ou accept the propos	ed response below?		
Comment Type T	Comment Status	1		N: 6				
an implementation "As an unavoidab SIGNAL_DETEC	ged by simply deleting "must n note. "should" or "is strong le consequence of the requir T parameter, must provide adequate marg	ly recommended' is a ements for the setting	appropriate. g of the	the o modu C/ 150	otical signal or implei lated optical signal." SC 150.5.5	P 52	to the average o	ptical power of the # 57
	T parameter is set to OK, and	d the inherent noise le	evel of the PMD		an, George		ting/ADI, APL G	p, Aquantia, BMW, Cisc
including the effect	cts of			Comment	• •	Comment Status R		
crosstalk, power s	supply noise, etc."					ne user nothing about the global signal detect func		
SuggestedRemedy						of the Signal Detect func		
Change "must pro referenced senter	ovide" to "provides" or, altern nce.	atively, Replace "mus	t" with "should" in the	stand	ard is implementatio	ests a list of implementat n independent and does	not "permit imple	ementations" but rather
Response	Response Status C					cal, and sometimes physi form to. Also, there is no		
	tent with the equivalent text i		commenter may	the d	escription of how MD	IO reports this when impl y, I can't say what the rec	emented. It sets	s no requirements on
pursue this matter	r via IEEE 802.3 Maintenanc	e.		Suggeste	dRemedy			
				stand	ard."	tations of the Signal Dete		2
						ference to requirements e ame or combine 150.5.5 v		
				Response	e /	Response Status C		
				REJE	CT.			

The text is consistent with multiple earlier clauses.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/ 150 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 150.5.5 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 10 of 15 30/05/2019 11:37:43

C/ 150 SC 150.6	P 53	L 23	# 30		C/ 150	SC 150.7.1	P 54	4 L	30	# 10
Ingham, Jonathan	Foxconn Inte	rconnect Techno	ology		Dawe, Pier	S	Mella	nox		
Comment Type E Typographical error.	Comment Status A			Bucket		nsition time sp		r transmit and		s, and too slow for this
SuggestedRemedy Replace "capble" wit	h "capable".					ASE-SR4.2 ch 3cm_01a_0119	annel which needs fas).	ter transmitter	s. See slid	des 6 and 7 of
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				and it s PMDs	hould be possi without having	ble to use a common e to carry an extra burde	equalizer IC ac en for the bidi s	cross all 50 spec.	asonably slow signals,)G/lane PAM4 optical es). Here, we have 34
C/ 150 SC 150.7.	I P 54	L 18	# 29				F signal after a fast ch			
Ingham, Jonathan	Foxconn Inte	rconnect Techno			13.281	25 GHz) after a	a slow channel - but 34	ps is used for	the slowe	st signal in SRS. This
	Comment Status A hity to allow component re-use i Di, with a goal of lowered cost.			.3,	11 brov transm this sp Also, it someo	vn) show that a itters for 150 m ec and still allov is more conve	have to be better than w plenty of margin for nient to use the same se a different bandwidt	are significantly n those for 100 measurement. bandwidth for	y faster tha) m, so the transition	an these numbers, and
dBm. In Table 150-7, char from -4.5 dBm to -4. In Table 150-7, char	nge "Average launch power, ear nge "Outer Optical Modulation A 2 dBm. nge "OMAouter - TDECQ, each nge "Average receive power, ea	mplitude (OMAo lane (min)" from	outer), each lan -5.9 dBm to -5	e (min)" 5.6 dBm.	Somec transiti time sp fail TD Suggested Either:	ne using emph on time shorter bec, he won't ha ECQ anyway b Remedy in 150.8.7, Tra	asis to make a slow tr. too. If his transmitter ave a problem with tigh ecause it's too slow. nsmitter transition time	is slow enoug itening the cur e, change 13.2	h to worry sor tap stro	about the transition
In Table 150-9, add	nge "Power budget (for max TD a row "Allocation to allow comp of 0.3 dB for all cable types.			outside	Or:	18 GHz (twice e 34 ps to 30 p)(same as 150.8.5, T s, and:	DECQ).		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI	Response Status C	ch lane (min)" fro	om -6.5 dBm to	-6.2	value s limit co	pecified in Tab		tion time is no	greater th	
from -4.5 dBm to -4. In Table 150-7, char In Table 150-8, char dBm. In Table 150-9, char	nge "Outer Optical Modulation A 2 dBm. nge "OMAouter - TDECQ, each nge "Average receive power, ea nge "Power budget (for max TD nge "Allocation for penalties (for	lane (min)" from ch lane (min)" fr ECQ)" from 6.6 (-5.9 dBm to -5 om -8.5 dBm to dB to 6.9 dB.	5.6 dBm. 5 -8.2	Response ACCEI	PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status	C		
	ired ER/editorial required GR dispatched A/accepted R/reje Subclause, page, line	u .		-		U/unsatisfied	Z/withdrawn	C/ 150 SC 150.7.1		Page 11 of 15 30/05/2019 11:37:4

C/ 150	SC 150.7.3	P 56	L7	# 3	9
Lingle, Rob	pert	OFS		-	

Comment Type T Comment Status A

An allocation for modal noise (MN) plus mode partition noise (MPN) penalties of 0.1 dB was assumed when preparing Clause 138, based on an analysis reiterated recently in king_3cm_01_0319.pdf, extrapolating data from pepeljugoski_01_0108.pdf to the case of PAM-4 signaling with KP4 FEC, obtaining an estimate of 0.08 dB for MN penalty. Relevant data on p13 for k=0.1 in the latter contribution was obscured by overlay of other data. The unobscured data from Pepeljugoski's earlier work, which has been shared with the Task Force, raises the estimate of MN penalty to 0.19 dB. The experimental work in sun_3cm_01a_0319.pdf argued for a MN penalty not greater than 0.25 dB. Taken together, these findings argue for raising the allocated penalty for MPN and MN from 0.1 to 0.3 dB.

SuggestedRemedy

Change two entries in Table 150-9 as follows. 1) Change Power budget from 6.6 to 6.8 dB. 2) Change the Allocation for Penalties from 4.6 to 4.8 dB. Make appropriate adjustments in Tables 150-7 and/or 150-8 to accommodate the increased allocation for penalties.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #29.

C/ 150	SC 150.7.3	P 56	L 14	# 38
Kolesar, F	Paul	CommScope		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Proper allocations for modal noise penalty have been the subject of several past comments and contributions. In king_3cm_01_0319 (King) a reference was made to simulation work done by Petar Pepeljugoski that provides insights to the magnitude of modal noise penalty (MNP) as a function of mode selective loss (MSL) and mode partition noise k factor (MPNk). However, the referenced graphical data for MPNk = 0.1 (i.e. the relevant value for VCSELs) was obscured by data at higher MPNk values. Fortunately, Petar subsequently provided the complete unobscured data for MPNk = 0.1 and gave permission to use it.

I fitted two trendline functions to the upper boundary of dense data to project towards 1.5 dB MSL. The exponential fit projected 0.08 dB and the 2nd order polynomial fit projected to 0.05 dB MNP at 1.5 dB MSL (i.e. the maximum connection loss allocation stated in the draft standard). Of these two, the 2hd order polynomial appears the more resonable, as the exponenetial curve accelerates too quickly. See related contribution from Kolesar.

Converting the 0.05 dB value to account for PAM4 signaling, FEC and link bandwidth as shown in King, results in a modal noise penalty allocation of 0.19 dB for 400GBASE-SR4.2. The current allocation for both modal noise and mode partition noise is 0.1 dB, and therefore deemed inadequate.

SuggestedRemedy

Increase the power budget by 0.2 dB from 6.6 dB to 6.8 dB by adjustments to Tx and/or Rx specifications. The allocation for penalties on page 56 line 18 should be commensurately increased by 0.2 dB from 4.6 to 4.8 dB. Note that these changes are proposed to an informative table, but are the result of changes, to be determined, in normative tables 150-7 and/or 150-8.

Response

e Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #29.

C/ 150 SC 150.7.3

C/ 150 SC 150.8.5 P 58 L 18 # 12	C/ 150 SC 150.8.5.1 P 58 L 28 # 14			
Dawe, Piers Mellanox	Dawe, Piers Mellanox			
Comment Type TR Comment Status A The 0.1 dB allocation for both modal noise and mode partition noise is too little. See dawe_3cm_adhoc_01_101118, castro_3cm_01_1118, pepeljugoski_1_1104 and castro_3cm_01_0119: we need 0.1 to 0.2 dB for MN (castro_3cm_01_0119 says 0.23 to 0.45 dB) as well as 0.2 to 0.4 dB for MPN. The total penalties should be kept below 4.6 dB, which is unreasonably high already. This should be done with a formula, as for 100GBASE-SR4, so as not to penalise good transmitters. This remedy keeps the 150 m reach for OM5, although the 100 m transmitters have to be slightly better than needed for 100 m on OM4. M = 0.0065*Pave may be on the low side: 100GBASE-SR4 has M2 = 0.0175*Pave. SuggestedRemedy Insert: Equation (150-1) is used in place of Equation (121-11). R=sqrt(sigmaG^2 + sigmaS^2 - M^2) (150-1) where M = 0.0065Pave In 150.8.10 Stressed receiver sensitivity, refer to the new Eq. 150-1 (as above) and say that: the value of M in Equation (150-1) is set to zero. (or, leave this section referring to Eq. 121-11 but to avoid confusion, add: NOTEThe parameter M of Equation (150-1) is not used.)	Comment TypeTRComment StatusREqualizing a signal after a 9 GHz BT4 filter with a 5-tap FFE needs at least one precursor unless the signal is carefully pre-distorted. If it is, and a fourth post-cursor is needed, the same transmitter seen after a fast channel, e.g. a short fibre, can be difficult to receive (outside the TDECQ spec limit) because the 5-tap FFE can't correct the fourth post-cursor and the (now -ve) first precursor at the same time. The fast channel can have less mode partition noise but more modal noise, but the problem remains. In practice, it seems that TDECQ uses at least one precursor for real MMF transmitters. Possible remedies include: Ensure there is at least one precursor (tap 2 or 3 is the largest), or Modify TDECQ if tap 1 is the largest by adding an interferer representing the uncorrected precursor that this weird transmitter would have on a short link, or Defining MMF TDECQ with fast and slow channels, in the same spirit as SMF with high and low dispersion, noting that if tap 2 or 3 is the largest it can be assumed that TDECQ(fast) < TDECQ(slow), so no need to determine it. It should be possible to make a reasonable estimate of TDECQ(fast) from the dataset of a TDECQ(slow) measurement, but it's not likely that one would need to do that, as noted above.SuggestedRemedyTo ensure that the transmitter is good enough for the intended range of channel bandwidths, change "Tap 1, tap 2, or tap 3, has" to "Tap 2 or tap 3 has".			
Reduce the limits for TDECQ and TDECQ-10log10(Ceq), from 4.5 dB to 4.3 dB (0.2 dB lower than the SECQ values, allowing for 0.3 dB MPN penalty with associated Pcross, including the 0.1 dB already in the draft budget). In the budget table 150-9, the power budget doesn't change, the allocation for penalties for 70 m and 100 m decrease from 4.6 to 4.5 dB and the additional insertion losses for 70 m and 100 m increase by 0.1 dB to 0.4, 0.3 dB. <i>Response Response Status</i> U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #29. The consensus was that 4.9 dB allocation for total penalties is acceptable for 400GBASE-SR4.2.	Response Response Status U REJECT. This comment is similar to comments #48 against D1.0, #14 against D1.1 and #9 against D1.2, which were rejected. Limiting to at most three post-cursors in the reference equalizer means that the transmitted signal, when propagated through the TDECQ reference response, cannot have a significant amount of fourth post-cursor response at the receiver without suffering higher TDECQ penalty. Insufficient evidence has been provided to justify a change. Straw poll Should a conditional TDECQ test with SECQ bandwidth be added to the draft? Y: 4 N: 6			

C/ 150 SC 150.8.5.1

C/ 150	SC 150.8.5.1	P 58	L 29	# 7
Dawe, Pier	S	Mellanox		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

All the PAM4 specs should allow the same range of over-emphasis so that a common equalizer IC can be used for all, without all their equalizers carrying a burden because of the bidi spec. 802.3cd chose a largest magnitude tap coefficient of at least 0.8 as a way of protecting the receiver from excessively peaky signals that abuse the receiver's dynamic range or resolution but don't benefit the transmitter implementer.

While SMF TDECQ is measured for both extremes of channel. MMF TDECQ is measured for the slow channel only. We could measure MMF TDECQ for the fast channel too. If not. we can read across, recognising that a signal after the slow measurement channel looks less emphasised than what the receiver has to tolerate after a fast channel.

The reference equalizer's largest magnitude tap coefficient (0.8 for a fast channel) should be set consistently (as from the same transmitter) for the slow channel. The survey results for MMF (green points, slide 8, dawe 3cd 01b 0518) are all to the right of +0.5 dB (or tap strength about 1.1); with the slower filter for 400GBASE-SR4.2 they will be further to the right (bigger again). Anyone using emphasis to make a slow transmitter look faster will start well to the right (large tap strength) and will not be concerned by this limit. This proposal is consistent with the SMF specs and still allows a strongly over-emphasised transmitter.

SuggestedRemedv

In "the largest magnitude tap coefficient, which is constrained to be at least 0.8", change 0.8 to 0.9.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In "the largest magnitude tap coefficient, which is constrained to be at least 0.8", change 0.8 to 0.85. This will restrict transmitters to have no more emphasis than 50GBASE-SR. 100GBASE-SR2. 200GBASE-SR4 and 400GBASE-SR8.

Straw poll: Do you agree with the change to 0.85?

Y: 10

N · 1

C/ 150	SC 150.8.8	P 59	L 13	# 40

Lusted. Kent

Comment Status A Comment Type ER

Bucket

The title of this subsection is RIN12OMA. However, the first sentence of the first paragraph references RIN. Is the name of the method RIN or RIN12OMA?

Intel

SuggestedRemedy

Consider changing the title of subsection 150.8.8 to be "Relative intensity noise (RIN)"

Response

Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In line 15, replace "RIN" with "RIN12OMA", where "12" is a subscript.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 150	SC 150.8.8	P 59	L 16	# 42
Lusted, Ke	ent	Intel		<u> </u>
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		Bucket

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The first list item "a" of exceptions to the methodology in 52.9.6 states that "the optical return loss is 12 dB". In IEEE 802.3-2018 Section 4 (page 638), the procedure in 52.9.6.2 references "optical return loss specified in Table 52-7 for 10GBASE-S. Table 52-12 for 10GBASE-L, and Table 52-16 for 10GBASE-E" which have an optical return loss limit of 12 dB.

This is confusing because the table values are already 12dB yet it is listed as an exception

SuggestedRemedv

Consider removing exception item "a" from the list

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace "shall be as defined by the measurement methodology of 52.9.6 with the following exceptions" with "shall be as defined by the measurement methodology of 52.9.6 using an optical return loss of 12 dB and with the following exceptions". Delete item (a) in the list and rename items (b) and (c) appropriately.

C/ 150	SC	150.8.10	P 6	0	L 50	# 46
Marris, Art	thur		Cade	ence Design S	Systems	
Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status	Α		Bucket
Minus	sign us	sing incorre	ct font.			
Suggested	Remed	ly				
-			e			

Remove the blue colour from the minus sign in: SECQ - 10log10(Ceg)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 150 SC 150.8.10 Page 14 of 15 30/05/2019 11:37:43

C/ 150	SC 150.8.10.	1 P 61	L 21	# 58
Zimmerma	an, George	CME Con	sulting/ADI, APL Gp	, Aquantia, BMW, Ciso
Comment	Type E	Comment Status A		Buck
"10 x l		hit, folding units into the v is the multiplication symb MHz.		
Suggested	dRemedy			
		x LB MHz" where x is the tween 10, x, LB, and MH		ol and there are
Response		Response Status C		
	EPT IN PRINCIPL ze "LB".	E.		
C/ 150	SC 150.10	P 62	L 42	# 19
Dudek, M	ike	Marvell		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		Buck
It is no	ot obvious what a	transceiver type is at this	point in the docum	ent.
Suggested	dRemedy			
		' to "opposite pair type" nal transceiver pair types	•	
Response		Response Status C		
	PT IN PRINCIPL	E. enlace "hidirectional trans	scoivore" with "TyPy	paire"

In line 38 and line 39, replace "bidirectional transceivers" with "TxRx pairs". In line 41 and line 42, replace "bidirectional transceiver" with "TxRx pair".

C/ 150 SC 150.10