The current containment model used in 802.3bc and 802.3at are different (802.3az has not made edits to that section yet). I believe that we need to decide which model to use for 802.3bc and make sure its adequately clear so that other 802.3 projects using LLDP can follow suite.

**Suggested Remedy**

Please use this comment as a placeholder for the discussion in the Maintenance TF.

**Response**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Based on the following motion that was passed during the joint IEEE 802.3at, IEEE 802.3az and IEEE P802.3bc, no change is required to IEEE P802.3bc.

**Move:**
- Affirm the containment model contained in IEEE P802.3bc D2.0 is the model used for LLDP related projects moving forward
- Request that IEEE P802.3at and IEEE P802.3az make the changes to their drafts to match above
- Copy the text from IEEE 802.1AB-2005 Section 5.2, items N and M to IEEE 802.3bc

M: D. Law S: H. Frazier
Technical (75%)  All: Y:15 N:0 A:6
Motion Passes

Regarding the statement: "Such containment is expected, but is outside the scope of this standard.” I do not agree with this statement. I believe that the containment for LLDP needs to be shown within this standard AND the LLDP MIBs need to have an established integral relationship with the established station management.

**Suggested Remedy**

Remove the referenced sentence
Add LLDP to the containment diagram
Make any other required changes to have the LLDP MIBs fully accessible via normal station management.

**Response**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #4.
Comment Type: ER  Comment Status: A

Repetitive use of LLPD where it seems that LLDP is intended. This first occurrence is in the heading of Table 30-5. And it occurs in the table-of-contents in the front matter since it occurs in headers. The following additional occurrences were found:
- Page 14, Line 3, still in Table 30-5
- Page 14, Line 44, Subclause 30.12.1
- Page 15, Line 12, Subclause 30.12.2
- Page 15, Line 18, Subclause 30.12.2.1
- Page 17, Line 44, Subclause 30.12.3
- Page 17, Line 49, Subclause 30.12.3.1

Suggested Remedy
- Change these occurrences from LLPD to LLDP

Response  Response Status: W
ACCEPT.

Comment Type: ER  Comment Status: A

Incorrect spelling of attribute: aldpXdot3RemPowerPairControllable
Also occurs in the following places:
- Page 19, Line 19, Subclause 30.12.3.1.8 (this instance leads to front matter table-of-contents having this attribute incorrect)
- Page 27, Line 43, Subclause 79.7.3, Table 78-7

Suggested Remedy
- Change from aldpXdot3RemPowerPairControllable to aLdpXdot3RemPowerPairControllable

Response  Response Status: W
ACCEPT.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Comment Status</th>
<th>Suggested Remedy</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Response Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cl 79</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>Incorrect self-reference to Clause 78. This also occurs in the following on Page 28, Line 38, Subclause 79.8.2.2, in table</td>
<td>Marris, Arthur</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl 79</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>Draft makes reference to 802.3-2002. There is no such document anymore. As the reference relates to 802.3, which this is an amendment to, the reference to 802.3 is obsolete.</td>
<td>Booth, Brad</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Response Status:**
- O/open
- W/written
- C/closed
- U/unsatisfied
- Z/withdrawn