

IEEE P802.3cd 50 Gb/s, 100 Gb/s, and 200 Gb/s Ethernet Procedure for conditional approval to forward a draft standard report

The following is the Clause 12 ‘Procedure for conditional approval to forward a draft standard’ report for IEEE P802.3cd 50 Gb/s, 100 Gb/s, and 200 Gb/s Ethernet which received conditional approval to proceed to RevCom submittal on the October 2018 IEEE 802 teleconference interim meeting.

a) Recirculation ballot is completed. Generally, the recirculation ballot and resolution should occur in accordance with the schedule presented at the time of conditional approval

The recirculation balloting is complete. The fifth recirculation ballots occurred essentially in accordance with the plan presented.

The schedule presented during the October 2018 IEEE 802 teleconference interim meeting when conditional approval was granted was:

5 th Sponsor recirculation ballot day one	19 th Sep 2018
5 th Sponsor recirculation ballot close date	4 th Oct 2018
IEEE P802.3cd comment resolution meeting	9 th Oct 2018

The actual date schedule was:

5 th Sponsor recirculation ballot day one	19 th Sep 2018
5 th Sponsor recirculation ballot close date	4 th Oct 2018
IEEE P802.3cd comment resolution meeting	9 th Oct 2018

b) After resolution of the recirculation ballot is completed, the approval percentage is at least 75% and there are no new valid DISAPPROVE votes.

The approval rate after resolution of the recirculation ballot is greater than 75% and there are no new disapprove votes.

The ballot results after resolution are:

142	Voters
124	Approve
2	Disapprove with comment
0	Disapprove without comment
5	Abstain
131	Ballots returned
92%	Response Rate (> 50% required)
3%	Abstain Rate (< 30% required)
98%	Approval Rate (>= 75% required)
2	Comments received

The first comment received reads ‘This draft meets all editorial requirements’. This CRG accepted this comment.

IEEE P802.3cd 50 Gb/s, 100 Gb/s, and 200 Gb/s Ethernet Procedure for conditional approval to forward a draft standard report

The second comment reads ‘As noted in previous comments, the combination of all penalties for the MMF PMDs, which is much higher than for SMF, is too high. See <http://ieee802.org/3/cm/public/adhoc/dawe_3cm_adhoc_01_092718.pdf>. Also the relation between measured TDECQ and penalties in service should be improved.’. The CRG Rejected this comment as a restatement of previous comments that have already been recirculated.

As stated in the third bullet of the section on ‘Reasons why a comment associated with a negative vote does not require recirculation’ of the ‘IEEE-SA Standards Board Working Guide for Submittal of Proposed Standards’ <<https://standards.ieee.org/about/sasb/revcom/revguide.html>> the ‘Comment is a restatement of a previous comment that has already been recirculated’.

Based on this the second comment does not require recirculation.

c) No technical changes, as determined by the WG Chair, were made as a result of the recirculation ballot.

No changes have been made.

d) No new valid DISAPPROVE comments on new issues that are not resolved to the satisfaction of the submitter from existing DISAPPROVE voters.

There are no new valid DISAPPROVE votes or comments on new issues.

There was one new valid DISAPPROVE comment submitted, but as noted above this comment was a restatement of previous comments that have already been recirculated, and therefore was not on a new issue.

e) If the WG Chair determines that there is a new invalid DISAPPROVE comment or vote, the WG Chair shall promptly provide details to the Sponsor.

There are no new invalid DISAPPROVE votes which require details to the Sponsor.

f) The WG Chair shall immediately report the results of the ballot to the Sponsor including: the date the ballot closed, vote tally and comments associated with any remaining disapproves (valid and invalid), the WG responses and the rationale for ruling any vote invalid.

Please see item (a) for the date the ballot closed and item (b) for the vote tally. There were no new disapproves votes.