VLAN use case for in-vehicle network



Takumi Nomura, JASPAR, Honda Yoshihiro Ito, JASPAR, Nagoya Institute of Technology Takuto Yoshida, JASPAR, Denso Daijiro Yumoto, JASPAR, Nissan Hideki Goto, JASPAR, Toyota

JASPAR, General incorporated association



March 2025

1: Priority Control

 \rightarrow Originally, AUTOSAR wanted to use priority control, so it treated VLAN as an option for PCP.

2: Domain Isolation

 \rightarrow Since an in-vehicle network has several domains, such as Powertrain, Body, Chassis, Infotainment, and so on, it would be convenient if these domains and the time domain could be managed together using VLANs. (2024 November Plenary)

3: Security Measures

- \rightarrow VLAN can protect the in-car domain from cyber-attacks from the out-car domain.
- \rightarrow The next page will explain in detail.







VLANs are not a perfect solution, but a VLAN wall is necessary from the perspective of defense in depth.

- VLAN should separate the out-car domain to protect the in-car domain from cyber attacks.
- While VLAN is not a perfect security solution, the VLAN wall is necessary from a defense-in-depth perspective.
- only VLAN-tagged frames can be used in the In-vehicle network.
- If untagged frames are permitted, the above assumptions are violated.
- It won't immediately fail, but new security measures and justification will be needed.



- Since the purpose is to unify the specifications so as not to cause problems for suppliers, it is not an absolute requirement for car manufacturers to use VLANs.
- Therefore, in principle, we will follow the IEEE 802 standards for time synchronization protocols.
- However, because AUTOSAR allows VLANs as an option, measures to avoid specification discrepancies are necessary.
- JASPAR will make a document calling attention to this point and share it with the industry.

