

---

**IEEE P802.11 ad-hoc group**

---

**Response to 802.0 (ORRG) 94/16**  
**Informally provided to the ORRG on Wednesday 1 PM**

**Minutes of DS-PHY meetings**  
**November 7-11, 1994**  
**Hyatt Regency, Lake Tahoe, Nevada**

**Attendees**  
Paul Struhsaker\*, Aironet, DS-PHY Co-Chairman  
Tom Krueger, Seattle Silicon  
**November 9, 1994**

**SUBJECT: Response to 802.0 (ORRG) 94/16**

**FROM: 802.11 DS-PHY**

**The following comments represents the concensus of the DS-PHY group**

**Page 2: Issue: The chair has the authority but not the responsibility to speak for the working group.**

**Comments:**

The chair of any group or subgroup MUST represent the decision at the higher level. Furthermore, while the chair may have a position contrary to that voted by the group, he or she may not promote a position counter to that voted by the group in the formal meeting of the executive meeting. The chair MUST consider ALL group decisions as "directed" positions, vote the group direction and not undermine that decision with personal or business positions.

**Page 4: Issue: Removal of Working Group Chair**

**Comments:**

There is significant difficulty inherent in the vote to remove the working group chair in a meeting under the direction of that chair. The effort required to generate the recommended action and supporting rationale in written form requires "secret" or "off-line" meetings to produce. The forum for the chair to confront the evidence and argue their position is not stated.

**Page 6: Issue: Members should act as Individuals**

**Comments:**

The language should be included to allow 1;) a "one company one vote" rule when the group feels that "Block Voting" is perceived to be in evidence or detrimental to the administrative or technical progress of the group, or 2;) require a roll call vote with each members vote recorded in the minutes.

A motion to invoke "one company one vote" rule or roll call vote, would require 50% of the voting members. This approach would relieve those members who felt any commercial pressure in their voting.

**Page 10 Issue: Their is no clear definition of working groups.**

**Comments:** Concur with changes

**Page12: Issue: Rule change process not truly open to 802 membership**

**Comments:**

The recommended change does NOT truly open rule changes to the 802 membership. ALL rule changes are controlled by one third of the executive committee present at a vote on those changes.

