

**IEEE 802.11**  
**Wireless Access Method and Physical Specification**

---

Title: **Clause 11 Comments Resolution**

Date: January 11, 1996

Author: Jim Renfro

Raytheon Company.  
1001 Boston Post Road  
Marlborough, MA 01752  
Telephone: (508)490-1934  
FAX: (508)490-1563  
email: James\_A\_Renfro@ccmail.ed.ray.com

Section 11 comments from Ballot on Draft Standard D2 (Vic Hayes, Chair, AT&T WCND)

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
---	------	-------	---	---	------------------------	-----------	----------------------

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
1	11 12	BD	T	N	Reduce the number of incompatible, non-Interoperable, mutually interfering PHYs specified for each single band to one.	I reiterate my objections to having multiple, mutually interfering, non-Interoperable, incompatible PHYs specified for a single Band. This is market death for 802.11 and represents failure to accomplish the goals set for 802.11. For more detailed comments, refer to my letter ballot comments on draft 1.	<p>Action: Comment should be dismissed on the following basis;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>. Counter to the effort and intents demonstrated within the establishment of IEEE 802.11 as an emerging standard to be used for Wireless LAN's implementation.</li> <li>. Counter to the approaches within IEEE 802 at large.</li> <li>. The FCC rulemakings provide definitions and technical specification for the use of both DS and FH PHY's.</li> <li>. DS and FH systems have been deployed and are planned to be deployed within the industry. Failing to produce a standard which provides the market with a consistent basis of quality, it will dampen any value IEEE 802.11 would have within the market place.</li> <li>. DS and FH PHY's provide different operating characteristics. Some of these, but not limited to, are:</li> </ul>
Section 11 comments from Ballot on Draft Standard D2					page 3		


s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11	HD a	e	N	11.10.	References to chapter 10 should be to chapter 11 in many points in the text.	Agreed 11/11/95. Editors to address
	11	mji	e		Fix fig, section etc number references from ch 10 reference to ch 11 reference		Agreed 11/11/95. Editors to address
2	11.1	MR o	t		Figure 11-1 differs from Figures 12-1 and figure 2-1. There is no equivalent in section 13. Use the figure 2-1 reference model..		Agreed to used reference figure 2-11
	11.1		e		Add descriptive material similar to other PHY's.	Both the DS and IR phys have descriptions of the advantages of the respective PHY's or potential limiations of there usage.	Not necessary for standard
	11.1.2 .3	MB	e		Title... Physical Medium Dependent Sublayer		accepted
	11.1.2 .3	mji	e		Physical Medium Dependent Sublayer	Fix typo	accepted
	11.1.2 .3	MR o	e		Correct spelling of Physical in Title.		accepted
	11.1.2 .3	PP	e		Correct spelling of "Physical" in header		accepted
	11.1.2 .3	WR	e		Add "P" to the begining if the clause name	Missing "P" in physical	accepted
	11.1.2 .3	ws	e		in header - "hysical"	spelling	accepted
	11.1.2 .3	ws	e		in Fig 11-1 - "managemen"	spelling	accepted
	11.2.1	PP	e		Word "define" should be "defined"		accepted
3	11.2.2	ZJ	T	N	Add DURATION to table 11-1, as a value between 0 and 32767	Duration information should be part of the PLCP header, not the MAC contents of the frame.	Rejected in full WG

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.2.2 9.3.4. 3 9.3.4. 4 12.2.6 13	BJa	E		The description of the service primitives and vector descriptions is not aligned for the different sections. Definition of the primitives and parameters that are common for the different Phy's must appear in section 9, while value definition that are Phy dependant must be defined in the respective sections.		accepted
3a	11.2.2 .3	ZJ	T	N	Add section. "The DURATION parameter has a value of 0 to 32767. This parameter is passed to the PHY for inclusion in the PLCP header, and is reported back to the MAC for each received frame."	Duration information should be part of the PLCP header, not the MAC contents of the frame. Since units communicating at lower speeds cannot receive the MAC contents of a frame transmitted at higher speed, but all stations can receive the PLCP header for all frames (in all PHYs), it is logical to move Duration to where everyone in the BSS can receive it (I don't care if it violates layer purity).	Rejected in full WG
4	11.2.3	ZJ	T	N	Add DURATION to table 11-2 as a value between 0 and 32767.	Duration information should be part of the PLCP header, not the MAC contents of the frame.	Rejected in full WG
5	11.2.3 .2	mji	t		The ANTSEL parameter is an optional parameter. Its value describes the antenna used by the PLCP to receive the current MPDU. The antenna value is defined as ANT1, ..., ANTn where n is the number of antennae supported by the PMD implementation.	This is optional, so why have it as part of the standard	Accepted 4-2-2

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
6	11.2.3 .3	mji	t	N	The Receive Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI) parameter has a value of 0 through RSSI Max. This parameter is an indication by the PHY sublayer the value of the energy observed on the antenna used to receive the current MPDU. RSSI shall be measured between the beginning of the start frame delimiter and the end of the PLCP Header error check. RSSI shall be measured between the beginning of the start frame delimiter and the end of the PLCP Header error check. RSSI is intended to be used in a relative manner. Absolute accuracy of the RSSI reading is not specified.	This is optional so it should not be in the standard. In some implementations this could represent a significant cost burden so I see no reason to require a additional cost burden	Ed and Jim to supply text once issue has been discussed in joint MAC-PHY group.
	11.2.3 .3	MB	e		Sentence 3 and 4 are the same. Delete one		accepted
	11.2.3 .3	ws	e		Missing CR after header		accepted
	11.2.3 .3	ws	e		Duplicate sentence - "Rssi shall be..."		accepted
	11.2.3 .3	DW	e		Delete the double text in this section.		accepted
7	11.2.3 .4	ZJ	T	N	Add section. "The DURATION parameter has a value of 0 to 32767, and corresponds to the contents of the Duration field in the PLCP header."	Duration information should be part of the PLCP header, not the MAC contents of the frame.	Rejected in full WG
	11.3	RJa	E		Several places still have references to section 10 which should be changed to section 11.	Need to verify all references.	accepted


s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.3.1 .1	DM	e		Change numbering to remove single subsections. There should always be more than 1 subsection.	If there is only one subsection then the subsection should become a section of the next higher level. The purpose of a subsection is to break a section down into more parts. If there is only one part then it doesn't warrant a subsection.	accepted
	11.3.1 .1	MB	e		6th sentence.... identified by a name <del>in</del> capital letters	Either delete or change the State diagrams of figures 11-7,11-9 et al	accepted
8	11.3.2	RJa	T	N	Need to specify order of transmission of fields. Our approach is slightly different than the MAC in that the PHY preamble is really transmitted as a serial bit stream and the MAC information is transmitted as a series of bytes (LSB First) over the air.		withdrawn
9	11.3.2 11.3.2 .2 (etc.)	ZJ	T	N	Change figures 11-3, 11-8, 11-10, 11-12 to include the 16-bit Duration field in the PLCP header.	Duration information should be part of the PLCP header, not the MAC contents of the frame.	Rejected in full WG
10	11.3.2 .1	SA	T	N	The CS/CCA state machine must indicate medium busy during the hop_time.		Voted down in joint MAC/PHY meeting.

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
11	11.3.2 .2.1	mji	t		The PLCP_PDU Length Word (PLW) is passed down from the MAC as a parameter within the PHY_TXSTART.request primitive in the transmitting station. The PLW represents the number of octets contained in the MPDU packet. Its valid states are 000h - 7FFh, representing counts of zero to 2047 octets. The PLW is transmitted LSB first and MSB last. The PLW is used by the receiving station <u>in combination with the 31/32 coding algorithm</u> to determine the last bit in the packet. <u>...in combination with the 32/33 coding algorithm ...</u>	The PLW is really not a length field. One needs to include the stuffing bits to determine the length, but this is done in the receiving dewhiting process.	accepted wit 32/33 instead of 32/31
12	11.3.2 .2.1 and 11.3.2 .2.2	YI	T		Add 1 bit to the PLCP_PDU length field in place of 1 reserve bit in the PLCP Signal Field. Extend the maximum PLCP_PDU length to 2312 or whatever the maximum MSDU.	It is rather sad to support 2047 octet Data Unit but not the remaining 265 octets of MSDU. This fix will allow the system to communicate the longest MSDU in one fragment as environment permits.	Add another bit to length field to expand it again. 11/8/95
13	11.3.2 .2.2	ZJ	T	N	Add section. "The 16-bit Duration field is used by the MAC for collision avoidance calculations. It contains a 15-bit integer value in the low order bits, and a pad bit (always 0) in the high order bit."	Duration information should be part of the PLCP header, not the MAC contents of the frame.	Rejected by full WG
	11.3.2 .2.2	mji	e		Reserved for length expansion	We don't know what the bit is reserved for at this point in time	accepted
	11.3.2 .3	MB	e		next to last sentence...and decoding method used is defined in Figures <del>10-7a</del> 11-7a and <del>10-11a</del> 11.11a		accepted

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.3.2.3	ws	e		second paragraph - "10-7" and "10-11"	wrong chapter #	accepted
	11.3.3	OM i	E		Figure 11-6: PLCP Top Level State Diagram	 <p>Adding the following primitives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PHY_TXEND.req</li> <li>• PHY_TXSTART.confirm</li> </ul> <p>Removing the following primitives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PHY_RXEND.ind (RXERROR=no_error)</li> </ul> <p>Because PHY_RXEND.ind (RXERROR=type) includes the above primitive.</p>	Not intended to show all primitives.

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
14	11.3.3 .1	MR o	T		<p>Figure 11 7-a:</p> <p>4 FSK bias suppression is not robust.</p> <p>(Due to the complexity of any possible change at this late date, this is not part of my NO vote)</p>	<p>This algorithm works for 2-FSK. For 4-FSK, the accumulated Bias can exceed the 2 FSK value by a substantial margin. The worst case occurs for a block of all +3 or -3, occuring when accum is about zero, followed by a large number of blocks of bias near zero.</p> <p>It is also possible to pass more than 90 consecutive 32 symbol blocks without traversing both the +3 and -3 states in the signalling alphabet. This potentially degrades data recovery.</p> <p>Mahany change at 11.6.1 reduces this concern.</p>	Withdrawn
	11.3.3 .1	MR o	E		<p>Change text to: The PLCP transmit procedure is invoked by the CS/CCA procedure immediately upon receiving a <i>PHY_TXSTART.request(TXVECTOR)</i> from the MAC layer. <del>The CSMA/CA protocol is performed by the MAC with the PHY PLCP in the CS/CCA procedure prior to executing the transmit procedure.</del></p>	<p>This allows transmit to be initiated from either CCA or receive states.</p>	Considered as technical.

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.3.3 .1	MR o	e		Figure 11 7-a, replace "see section 10.3.2.3" with "11.3.2.3"		accepted
15	11.3.3 .1.1	OM i	t			PHY_TXSTART.confirm and PHY_TXEND.confirm are not defined in Section 9.3.	Needs to be fixed in section 9. Section 9 problem.
	11.3.3 .1.1	MB	e		1st paragraph, 2nd sentence....ramp on the transmit power amplifier in a manner prescribed in Section <del>10.6</del> 11.6 3rd sentence...as defined in Section <del>10</del> 11.3.2.2 2nd paragraph, next to last sentence ...and described in Section <del>10</del> 11.3.2.3 3rd paragraph, 2nd sentence... prescribed in Section <del>10.6</del> 11.6		accepted
16	11.3.3 .1.2 11.3.3 .3.2	FMi	T	N	Delete the last sentence of the first paragraph in each section.	For the MAC to operate as defined, the PHY service primitives <u>must</u> have time significance, as specified in several comments to sections of clause 9. These time relationships must be supported for the service primitives by all PHYs.	Agreed to remove these sentences. 11/7/95
17	11.3.3 .1.2	DW	T		It should be made clear that the total length of the PLCP preamble and Header (PHY overhead) needs to include the max 20 usec rampup delay.	This is needed to assure a correct NAV operation in the MAC. In addition the question is what the actual rampup delay is, which will affect the NAV accuracy.	Comment does not apply to this section. Statement is some
	11.3.3 .1.2	MB	e		7th sentence ...within the time specified in section <del>10</del> 11.6.		accepted

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.3.3 .1.2	MR o	e		<p>Last Sentence, 3rd paragraph:</p> <p>The PLCP shall turn off the <u>transmitter power amplifier reducing the output energy to and</u> be less than the specified off-mode transmit power within the time specified in section 10.6. At the end of the power amplifier ramp down period, the PLCP shall switch the PMD circuitry from....</p>	Too specific.	accepted
	11.3.3 .1.2.	OM i	E		Figure 11-8: Transmit Timing	 <p>Adding the following primitives:          PHY_TXSTART.conf.          PHY_DATA.req (DATA)          PHY_DATA.conf          PHY_TXEND.req          PHY_TXEND.conf          PMD_DATA.req (TXD_UNIT)          PMD_DATA.req (TXD_UNIT)</p>	Not addressed

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
18	11.3.3 .2.1	mji	T	N	Upon exiting the CS/CCA procedure to receive a frame, the last indication of CS/CCA was BUSY. The indication remains BUSY when returning from the receive procedure until <del>the first CS/CCA assessment is performed and determines that the channel is IDLE</del> <u>the end of the fragment as predicted by the PLW.</u>	It's not clear to me what the "first CS/CCA assessment" is. From the next section, 11.3.3.2.2, it is clear that the timing for the PIFS,DIFS and the contention windows is "defined relative to the end the last bit of the last packet on the air." I believe the prevailing concept of CCA within the FH group is that after the "last bit" then under some conditions additional CCA detection processes will be undertaken. This, however, seems to violate the 11.3.3.2.2 concept. This additional CCA activity seems to lead to the conclusion that different STAs, in the areas will use a different time reference for PIFS, DIFS and contention windows and thus undercut the system timing plan. Therefore, make the system simple and more reliable as suggested	Resolved Per Dean submission 12-0-1 11/8/95

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
19	11.3.3 .2.1	mji	T	N	The TIME_REMAINING may be a non-zero value when returning from the receive procedure if a signal in the process of being received was lost prior to the end as positively indicated in the length field of a valid PLCP header. The countdown timer shall be set to the TIME_REMAINING and used to force the CS/CCA indication to remain in the BUSY state until the predicted end of the frame regardless of actual CS/CCA indications. However, if the CS/CCA procedure <u>has the ability to indicate</u> and indicates the start of a new frame within the countdown timer period, it is possible to transition to the receive procedure prior to the end of the countdown timer period. When a non-zero countdown timer reaches zero, the PLCP shall reset all relevant CS/CCA assessment timers to the state appropriate for the end of a complete received frame.	Without the indicated change we are requiring a receiver to recognize a strong signal overtaking an existing signal mid course. Thus, if while receiving one fragment, another fragment starts, and is strong enough to override the existing signal (and by how many dB???) the receiver should recognize this and extend the CCA time as indicated by the new PLW. Among the problems generated by this requirement is a form of the killer pattern problem.	Resolved by vote 6-0-5. 11/7/95 Accepted with underline
20	11.3.3 .2.1	mji	t		The MAC layer and exit to the receive procedure. The PLCP shall dwell and search for the SFD/PLCP header for a minimum period longer than the latest <u>possible</u> arrival time of the SFD/PLCP header. Indication of a busy channel does not necessarily lead to the successful reception of a frame.	Clarity	Additional text accepted 11/8/95
	11.3.3 .2.1	CP	e		change existing reference to 10.6.15..3 to 11.6.15.3	renumbering required	accepted

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.3.3 .2.1	MB	e		3rd & 4th sentence.....specified in section <del>10</del> 11.6.15.3. Section <del>10</del> 11.6.15.3 specifies detection performance...  end of 5th sentence .... specified in section <del>10</del> 11.6.15.3.  end of 1st paragraph, last sentence... specified in section <del>10</del> 11.6.15.3.		accepted

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.3.3 .2.1	MR o	e		<p>First Paragraph, change 10.6.5.3 to 11.6.5.3</p> <p>The carrier sense/clear channel assessment (CS/CCA) state machine is shown in Figure 11-9. The PLCP shall perform a CS/CCA assessment on a minimum of one antenna within a contention backoff slot time of 50 <math>\mu</math>s. The PLCP shall be capable of detecting within the slot time an FH PHY conformant signal which is received at the selected antenna up to 20 <math>\mu</math>s after the start of the slot time with the detection performance specified in section <del>11</del>11.6.15.3. Section <del>11</del>11.6.15.3 specifies detection performance with zero-one sync patterns and with random data patterns. If a start of a transmission is asynchronous with the BSS and arrives after the start of the slot but at least 16 usec prior to the end of the slot, the PLCP shall indicate a busy channel prior to the end of the slot time with the detection performance specified in section <del>11</del>11.6.15.3. The CCA indication immediately prior to transmission shall be performed on an antenna with essentially the same free space gain and gain pattern as the antenna to be used for transmission. The method of determining CS/CCA is unspecified except for the detection performance of a conformant method as specified in section <del>11</del>11.6.15.3.</p>		accepted

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.3.3 .2.1, 11.6.9 , 11.6.1 0, 11.7.2 .1, 11.8.2 .1.29	PP	E		References to Section 10 should be changed to Section 11		accepted
	11.3.3 .2.2	MB	e		First paragraph, last sentence....specified in <del>10.6</del> 11.6 (PMD)		accepted
	11.3.3 .2.2	MR o	e		Carrier Sense/Clear Channel Assessment State Timing  ..... The appropriate CS/CCA indication shall be generated prior to the end of each 50 $\mu$ s slot time with the performance specified in section <del>11.6</del> (PMD)."	Too specific.	accepted
	11.3.3 .3.1	RJa	E		Figure 11-11a does not really reflect 2 Mb/s operation. Should be updated to talk about stuff symbol of 00 or 10 and how to invert 4-ary symbols.		accepted

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
21	11.3.3 .3.1.	OM i	T	N	<p>Figure 11-11a: Data Whitener Decoding Procedure</p> <p>Data Whiter Decoding Algorithm:</p> <p>/* If stuff bit =1 = next block is inverted; 0 = not inverted */</p> <p>...</p> <p>If {[b(0)=1] then Invert [b(0), ..., b(N)]}; /* if invert bit=true */</p> <p>Descramble {b(0), ..., b(N)};</p> <p>...</p>	<p>/* If MSB of stuff bit=1=next block is inverted; 0=not inverted */</p> <p>...</p> <p>If {[b(0)=1 or b(0)=11] then Invert {b(1), ..., b(N)}}; /* if invert bit = true */</p> <p>Descramble {b(1), ..., b(N)};</p> <p>...</p>	Resolved 11/7/95. Text error agreed. Text to be provided.
22	11.4.2 .2	DW	T		<p>Why program Set, Pattern, Index to specify a channel rather than Index derived channel number only.</p> <p>Is a separate set, pattern defined for Scanning purposes?</p>		<p>First comment is correct and that is the way things are documented.</p> <p>Answer to question about scanning. Special scanning pattern was deleted because not required in MIB section</p>
23	11.4.3	DW	T		<p>An indication is somehow needed that the channel switching is completed, and the transmitter is available.</p> <p>This can be done by specifying that the PHY_CCA.indicate indicates Busy during the specified 224 usec switching time.</p>	Question is whether the 224 usec does also include the misalignment between the channel switching time between different stations in a BSS	Lack of guidance from the MAC group prevents ability to sufficiently address the problem. Problem is recognized and unresolved.
	11.4.3 .2	MB	e		3rd sentence.....as defined in section 40 11.3.3		accepted
	11.4.3 .2	ws	e		"MLMEto" to "MLME to"	typo	can't find

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.5.4 .3 11.5.5 .5 11.8.2	YI	E		Number of Antenna State is not consistent.		accepted
	11.5.5 .1 11.5.5 .2	YI	E		TXD_UNIT and RXD_UNIT should include 2 and 3 for High Rate Option?		rejected
24	11.5.5 .10	MR o	t	N	Delete Section:	Implementation Specific	Remove Synlock Indication. 11/7/95. 6-1-3
	11.5.5 .10	ws	e		In first sentence, "This primitive is a indication" should be "This primitive is an indication"	Grammar	accepted
25	11.5.5 .5	RJa	t		Should allow for more than 2 antennas.		Change to reflect the MIB table definition
	11.5.5 .7	MB	e		4th sentence.... The CHNL_ID parameter can be one of the following values-list listed in Table 11-11 or <del>10</del> 11-12		accepted
	11.6.	OM i	e		section 10.x.x	section 11.x.x	accepted

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
26	11.6.1 0	CP	T	N	CORRECTED TEXT:Fc is the average center frequency of the last 8 bits of the preamble SYNC field, measured as the deviation at the mid symbol. Mid symbol is defined as the point which is mid way between the zero crossings derived from a best fit to the last 8 bits of the SYNC field.	11.6.10 refers to the "unique word": this is not specified anywhere. The fig 11.3 shows the preamble consisting of of Sync and Start Frame Delimiter. Thus the last 8 bits are 10111101, which has a considerable centre frequency bias to the high frequency side. Further, "mid symbol" is not defined, and could be +/-1/4 bit if derived from midway between zero crossings.  NB: The minimum deviation of 110KHz is NOT consistent with the modulation in 11.7.2 - 4 level GFSK Modulation	Resolved 7-0-4. Accept text as shown. New text added. 11/7/95
	11.6.1 0	FK	E		Change text in third paragraph, last sentence to "...occupied bandwidth limits of 11.6.6"	Wrong section. The previous text stated "...limits of 10.6.6"	accepted
	11.6.1 0	MB	e		3rd paragraph, 1st sentence..... The peak deviation, as shown in Figure 11-15 below shall be .... 3rd paragraph, last sentence.....modulation is subject to the occupied bandwidth limits of 11.6.5		accepted
	11.6.1 0	RJa	E		Change Para 3 'peak frequency deviation' to 'minimum frequency deviation' to match figure. Or other way around is ok too.		accepted

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.6.1 0.	OM i	E		Fc is the average center frequency of the last 8 bits of the preamble prior to the unique word.	Fc is the average center frequency of the last 8 bits of the preamble prior to the start frame delemiter.	accepted
27	11.6.1 1	MR o	T	X	add "+/- 50ppm"  A compliant 802.11 FHSS PMD shall be capable of transmitting and receiving at a nominal data rate of 1.0 Mbps. +/-50ppm	Missing, Consistency with 11.7.3	Accepted Change. Add text 11/7/95. 11/7/95
28	11.6.1 1	CP	t		CORRECTED TEXT:A compliant 802.11 FHSS PMD shall be capable of transmitting and receiving at a nominal data rate of 1.0Mbps, +/- 50ppm	i) An accuracy is required to define the limits within which clock and data recovery should work.  ii) Proposed text produces consistency with the 2Mbps text	Accepted Change. Add text 11/7/95. 11/7/95
29	11.6.1 2	CP	T	N	A conformant PMD meets this requirement when the operating centre frequency is within +/-60KHz of the nominal centre frequency defined in 11.6.4.15	As written, there is no effective allowance for frequency drift: if the frequency has drifted to +50KHz, then the maximum variation from nominal becomes +10KHz rather than +60KHz	See Text removal and adds 8-0-5 11/7/95 <b>Delete</b> desired final. <b>After</b> +/-60kHx <b>replace</b> operating <b>with</b> nominal
	11.6.1 2	FK	E		Change text to "...channel frequency, as specified in section 11.6.3" and "...frequency as outlined in section 11.6.3"	Wrong section. The previous text stated "... 10.6.3"	accepted
	11.6.1 2	MB	e		1st sentence ..... as specified in section 11.6.3, is ..... last sentence ..... as outlined in section 11.6.3.		accepted

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
30	11.6.1 3	CP	t	N	At the end of this 19 $\mu$ sec, the amplitude of the signal shall be within 2dB of the power achieved during the period between the first bit of the PLCP header and the last symbol at the end of the frame.	Sections 11.6.13 and 11.6.14.1 (Nominal Frame Transmit Power) are inconsistent and mutually antagonistic.	Resolved, see text. 11/7/95. <b>Change:</b> "... the rf carrier shall be within the nominal transmit power level and ..." in section 11.6.13 <b>Change :</b> "When in the ... the start of the preamble SYNC field to the last symbol at the end of the frame." in section 11.6.14.1
31	11.6.1 3	RJa	t		Allow for 2 dB variation over frame in para. 11.6.14.1. Probably should change 2 dB to 1 dB here for consistency. Also, should change 'final' to 'nominal'		See comment 30
32	11.6.1 3	YI	T		change 1 dB to 2 dB	Isn't 1 dB too stringent a requirement while in Sections 11.6.14.1 and 11.6.14.6, 2 dB are specified.	See comment 30
33	11.6.1 4.3	mji	T	N	<del>Transmit Power Level Control</del> <del>If a conformant PMD implementation has the ability to transmit in a manner that results in the EIRP of the transmit signal exceeding the level of 100 mW, at least one level of transmit power control shall be implemented. This transmit power control shall be such that the level of the emission is reduced to a level at or below 100 mW under the influence of said power control.</del>	No algorithm is in place to indicate under what conditions the RF power should be above or below 100 mW. The spec merely requires that the cost of providing power control be assumed without the associated why and how etc. How would one test for this? Thus it seems reasonable that this specification be deleted or listed as an option.	Rejected. Power level control available via MIB table and Management entity. 11/7/95

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
34	11.6.1 4.4	CP	T	N	<p>The transmitted spectrum shall fit within a mask such that when operating with a receive to transmit duty cycle of 50% and a nominal transmit frame length of 400µsec, the power in the 1 MHz wide channel N represented by <math>N=M\pm 2</math> shall be -20dBm or -40dBc, whichever is the less, but with no requirement to be less than -70dBm. For those channels where <math>N\geq M\pm 3</math>, the power shall be -40dBm or -60dBc, whichever is the less, but with no requirement to be less than -70dBm. M is the actual transmitted centre frequency, and the channel N is separated therefrom by integer numbers of MHz. This measurement shall be made with a resolution bandwidth of 100KHz and a peak hold detector. Where the dwell time is less than 100msec, the measured measured peak shall be reduced by a correction factor applied to it of <math>C_{dB} = 20\log 100/t</math>, where t is the dwell time in msec, with C not exceeding 20dB.</p>	<p>The paragraph as worded is confusing . The addition of the peak Correction factor for dwell times less than 100ms brings this measurement into line with FCC measurement procedures for spurs outside the band.</p>	<p>Add to section 11.6.14.4 text as indicated. Withdrew second comment. <b>ADD:</b> M is the actual transmitted center frequency, and N a channel separated from it by integer numbers of MHz.</p>
35	11.6.1 4.4	FK	t		<p>Replace one additional paragraph: “Within the frequency band of 2.473 GHz to 2.495 GHz, two failures are permitted providing they are less than -50dBc.”</p>	<p>Address the Japanese requirements.</p>	<p>remove 2.4 to 2.4835 and replace with operation 11/8/95</p>

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
36	11.6.1 4.4	ws	e		The header "Channel" should be with the information that it is describing		accepted
37	11.6.1 4.6	CP	T	N	ADD: This paragraph is informative only	Without invasive testing, this is impossible to measure: it needs to be defined in terms of the turn around time from the receipt of a conformant signal addressed to the equipment, and the emission of an ACK signal.	Rejected 4-1-9
38	11.6.1 5	CP	T	N	DELETE the section "The signal leakage when receiving shall not exceed -45dBm peak in the operating frequency range" Add New Paragraph, make it easy <b>11.6.15.7 Receiver Radiation.</b> The signal leakage when receiving shall not exceed -50 dBm EIRP in the operating frequency range.	It is unclear as to what is meant by signal leakage - LO radiation, perhaps? - but in any case, regulatory requirements in Europe and Japan require - 47dBm above 1 GHz, and - 57dBm below 1 GHz.	Resolved. Add new paragraph. 11/7/95 <b>11.6.15.7 Receiver Radiation.</b> The signal leakage when receiving shall not exceed - 50 dBm EIRP in the operating frequency range.
39	11.6.1 5	RJa	t		Change -45 dBm to -50 dBm. Add New Paragraph, make it easy <b>11.6.15.7 Receiver Radiation.</b> The signal leakage when receiving shall not exceed -50 dBm EIRP in the operating frequency range.	Signal leakage from Rx side is 5 dB higher than allowed leakage from transmit side when it is turned off. Should just specify that all signal leakage when in Rx is at a level below -50 dBm.	Resolved. Add new paragraph. 11/7/95 <b>11.6.15.7 Receiver Radiation.</b> The signal leakage when receiving shall not exceed - 50 dBm EIRP in the operating frequency range.

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.6.1 5	SK y	e		Move the sentence "The signal leakage when receiving shall not exceed -45 dBm peak in the operating frequency range" to a new paragraph. Add New Paragraph, make it easy <b>11.6.15.7 Receiver Radiation.</b> The signal leakage when receiving shall not exceed -50 dBm EIRP in the operating frequency range.	The sentence does not fit into an introductory paragraph, since it seems to be meant as a requirement.	Resolved. Add new paragraph. 11/7/95 <b>11.6.15.7 Receiver Radiation.</b> The signal leakage when receiving shall not exceed -50 dBm EIRP in the operating frequency range.
	11.6.1 5	ws	e		"from the PLCP and the Receive PMD" should be "from the PLCP. The Receive PMD"	runon sentence	accepted
40	11.6.1 5.1	RJa	T	N	3 percent requirement appears to be based entirely upon BER of 10e-5. Does not seem to account for 33/32 expansion, Bit errors during PLCP header or missed detection of the PMD header. If detection probability is 0.99, the 3 percent requirement means that BER must be less than approx. 6.1e-6.		Resolved, Withdrawn by commentor
	11.6.1 5.1	CP	E		RENUMBER this para as 11.6.15.4	"the sensitivity', besides being loose terminology, has not been defined. Further, what is a "Conformant PMD Signal" - this has not been formally defined.	accepted
41	11.6.1 5.1, 11.6.1 5.4, 11.6.1 5.5	FK	T	N	Change "FER" to "BER".	Has not been accepted by the 802.11 committee. If motion to change "BER" to "FER" is accepted by 802.11 plenary, you can remove the "N" from the Part of NO vote section in this row.	Resolved at last meeting, concern withdrawn by editor

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
42	11.6.1 5.3	CP	T	N	The PMD shall detect and signal busy, during the CCA Assessment window with a probability of greater than 90%, an 802.11 compliant FH 1Mbps signal transmitting the PLCP header at a level of -80dBm. In the presence of an 802.11 compliant FH 1Mbps signal, modulated with random data, at a level of -65dBm, a busy signal shall be generated within the CCA Assessment window with a 70% probability of detection.	A requirement for detection of random 2Mbps is unrealistic, unless the randomness is defined.	Accept the document change. 12-1-0 11/7/95, Dean to supply text
43	11.6.1 5.3	YI	T		Remove the CCA detection requirement during random data.	To detect 2 and 4FSK during random data would require extra hardware yet the resulting CCA is performed at a degraded level. It is assumed that all stations in the same BSS are synchronized. Outside of the BSS, the stations will be hopping with different patterns, the chance of collision is already small. The added benefit due to random data CCA probably can not justify the cost to implement.	See comment 42

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
44	11.6.1 5.3	mji	T	N	<p>The PHY shall, in the presence of any 802.11 compliant FH PMD signal above - 85 dBm, signal busy with a 90% probability in detection of the preamble within the CCA assessment window. <del>The PHY shall, in the presence of any 802.11 compliant FH PMD signal above -65 dBm, signal busy with a 70% probability for detection of random data within the CCA assessment window.</del> This specification applies to a PMD operating with a nominal EIRP of &lt;100mW. A compliant PMD operating at a nominal output power greater than 100mW shall use the following equation to define the CCA threshold. Pt represents Transmit Power.</p>	<p>Not conducive to economic implementation Most implementors expressing opinions at 802.11 have indicated that they do not wish to defer to microwave ovens. In order to avoid this consequence, yet meet the requirements of pseudorandom CCA detection associated with the 802.11 FH PHY, requires a sophisticated detection device. This is especially difficult for the provider of baseline 1 Mb/s equipment. Please note that a 2 Mb/s, 4 level FSK signal present at the RF input to a receiver especially design for economical reception of a 1 Mb/s signal would typically provide no eye opening at the discriminator output. One might argue that the receiver could be designed to detect the presence of clock energy. This is true, but please note that an adjacent channel FH signal of either the 1 Mb/s or 2 Mb/s options would provide clock energy at the discriminator output. Thus the use of clock energy or eye opening detection for CCA purposes is not useful. The consequence is that providers of simple 1 Mb/s equipment are faced with the choice of either deferring to</p>	<p>Resolved due to prior comment. See comment 42</p>
Section 11 comments from Ballot on Draft Standard D2					page 27	Jim Renfro, PHY Group	

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
45	11.6.1 5.4	mji	T	N	<p>The PHY shall, in the presence of any 802.11 compliant FH PMD signal above - 85 dBm, signal busy with a 90% probability in detection of the preamble within the CCA assessment window. <del>The PHY shall, in the presence of any 802.11 compliant FH PMD signal above -65 dBm, signal busy with a 70% probability for detection of random data within the CCA assessment window.</del> This specification applies to a PMD operating with a nominal EIRP of &lt;100mW. A compliant PMD operating at a nominal output power greater than 100mW shall use the following equation to define the CCA threshold. Pt represents Transmit Power.</p>	<p>Ambiguous PIFS and DIFS timing Please refer to figure 6-13 of D2. Here, the reference for the DIFS and the PIFS is provided as the end of the fragment or packet occupying the channel as indicated. Without the recommended deletion, however, the CCA will not necessarily indicate clear channel at the end of the packet. Instead, the CCA mechanism must, if the initial signal is strong enough, examine the channel for additional time to determine if the channel is indeed clear. The time limitation is not indicated but it might be rather long compared to a contention window period. Thus, different radios monitoring the same RF channel at different points will have vastly different time references for CCA, depending on the design of the hardware and the actual levels of the RF signal monitored The vision depicted by fig 6-13 is thus not maintained</p>	<p>Resolved due to prior comment. See comment 42</p>

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
46	11.6.1 5.4	mji	T	N	<p>The PHY shall, in the presence of any 802.11 compliant FH PMD signal above - 85 dBm, signal busy with a 90% probability in detection of the preamble within the CCA assessment window. The PHY shall, in the presence of any 802.11 compliant FH PMD signal above -65 dBm, signal busy with a 70% probability for detection of random data within the CCA assessment window.</p> <p><u>If a strong signal, &gt;xyz dBm, has a predictable end by virtue of its length field, then the channel must be examined for xyz mSec after the predicted fragment end to determine if there exist a second compliant FH signal occupying the channel. If there is a second signal, then the CCA should continue to indicate busy until that signal falls below -65 dBm. CCA should indicate busy within xyz mSec of this occurrence.</u></p> <p>This specification applies to a PMD operating with a nominal EIRP of &lt;100mW. A compliant PMD operating at a nominal output power greater than 100mW shall use the following equation to define the CCA threshold. Pt represents Transmit Power.</p>	<p>If the random data aspect of the CCA criteria is to be maintained, then timing and signal level specification associated with it need to be addressed. This is a first pass at address what the issues might be</p>	<p>Withdrawn by commentor</p>

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
47	11.6.1 5.4	mji	T	N	The PHY shall, in the presence of any 802.11 compliant FH PMD signal above - 85 dBm, signal busy with a 90% probability in detection of the preamble within the CCA assessment window. <del>The PHY shall, in the presence of any 802.11 compliant FH PMD signal above -65 dBm, signal busy with a 70% probability for detection of random data within the CCA assessment window.</del> This specification applies to a PMD operating with a nominal EIRP of <100mW. A compliant PMD operating at a nominal output power greater than 100mW shall use the following equation to define the CCA threshold. Pt represents Transmit Power.	I know of no reason to believe that the random data section of this section leads to improved system performance. With a world full of non 802.11 devices I would think that the random data aspect actually degrades performance. If company X and company Y have proprietary systems that operate at 1 Mb/s then the 802.11 devices will defer to them but they will not necessarily defer to 802.11. The FCC provided for spread spectrum as the etiquette for system to share the band. overlaying CCA will not necessarily help	Withdrawn by commentor
48	11.6.1 5.4	RJa	T	N	3 percent requirement appears to be based entirely upon BER of 10e-5. Does not seem to account for 33/32 expansion, Bit errors during PLCP header or missed detection of the PMD header. If detection probability is 0.99, the 3 percent requirement means that BER must be less than approx. 6.1e-6.		Resolved by prior action. 11/7/95
	11.6.1 5.4	CP	E		RENUMBER as para 11.6.15.1 DELETE the term minimum	Removes anomaly of referring to an undefined parameter. Minimum receiver sensitivity is not defined - only "sensitivity" and "reference sensitivity"	accepted

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
49	11.6.1 5.7	mji	T	N	Inband Emissions During Receive Inband emissions during receive should be less than -70dBm	This is a new specification I propose because without it the standard is open to a self interference problem with poorly designed receiver equipment. This is an interoperability issue not a regulatory issue. The specification limit I propose is the same as the transmit spec we have for low power transmitters, and therefore a reasonable level to propose	Rejected 6-1-1, accepted as -50 in other section
50	11.6.1 6	CP	T	N	DELETE SECTION	This section is unclear. What is a type 1 range - the range of a host equipment? What about temperature build up inside a host equipment? Unless accurate definition of exactly what is meant, and the types of equipment - plug in, fixed, stand alone etc- are included, this section is superfluous.	Defer to Plenary Discussion
51	11.6.1 6	RJa	t		DS has no temp spec. IR has only 0 to 40 degrees C. Should either be common requirement for all PHYs or (better yet) be removed from standard. Each vendor is responsible to ensure that all requirements are satisfied over their advertised temp range.		Differred for full PHY consideration 11/8/95
	11.6.2	CP	e		Japan, Documents CHANGE to RCR33A	Latest issue of standard	accepted
	11.6.2	ws	e		First Paragraph - Regional and National are not supposed to be capitalized		accepted

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
52	11.6.3	mji	t		Upper limit = 2.40280 GHz	Typo to be fixed	Agree to text change
	11.6.3	CP	e		change table 11-9 to correct upper US frequency range to 2480MHz		accepted
	11.6.3	FK	E		Change the Upper Limit for the USA geographical location from 2.402 GHz to 2.480 GHz	If not changed, the US frequency band has only one 1 MHz channel.	accepted
	11.6.3	RJa	E		Table 11-9 still has incorrect upper limit for USA.		accepted
	11.6.3	ws	e		First sentence - "set of available set of" should be "set of available"		accepted
	11.6.3	ws	e		In the note to table 11-9, Regulatory Authorities should not be capitalized		accepted
53	11.6.3 , 11.6.4 , 11.6.5	FK	t		Change "USA" to "North America".	Be consistent with Section 11.6.2. Includes Canada.	Will change USA to North America
	11.6.4	MB	e		2nd sentence..... This is more fully defined in Tables 11-11 and 11-12 of Section 11.6.5		accepted
54	11.6.5	FK	t		Change "USA" to "North American" in Table 11-11.	Be consistent with Section 11.6.2. Includes Canada.	Will change USA to North America

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.6.6	MR o	E		<p>Remove “on the other hand” from sentence #2.</p> <p>Occupied channel bandwidth shall meet all applicable local geographic regulations for 1 MHz channel spacing. The rate at which the PMD entity will hop at is governed by the MAC. The hop rate, <del>on the other hand</del>, is a managed object with a maximum dwell time subject to local geographic regulations.</p>	clarity	accepted
55	11.6.7	FK	T		<p>Remove the last sentence “For the USA, Part 15.247 of the Rules of the FCC states that a PMD must visit at least 75 channels in a 30 second period:</p> <p>Number of Channels            75 (channels)                      2.5 hops                      ----- = -----                      -- = -----                      Total Dwell Time            30 (seconds)                      sec</p>	In the future, it is possible that the FCC can change the regulation. Therefore, let the reader determine the number of channels/total dwell time.	11.6.7 remove all sentence in 11.6.7 except for first sentence

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.6.7	MR o	E		Eliminate the sentence beginning with "For the USA..."  For the U.S.A., Part 15.247 of the Rules of the FCC states that a PMD must visit at least 75 channels in a 30 second period: $\frac{\text{Number of Channels}}{\text{Total Dwell Time}} = \frac{75 \text{ (channels)}}{30 \text{ (seconds)}} = 2.5 \text{ Hz}$	Country Specific,	New text for overall section, item tabled.
56	11.6.8	FK	t		Change "US" to "North America"	Be consistent with Section 11.6.2. Includes Canada.	Will change USA to North America
	11.6.8	FK	E		Change the text in first paragraph to "A frequency hopping pattern, Fx, consists of a permutation of all frequency channels defined in Tables 11-11 and 11-12."	Wrong section. The text currently indicates Tables 10-11 and 10-12.	accepted
	11.6.8	FK	E		Change text to "fx(I) = channel number (as defined in 11.6.4)..."	Wrong section. The text currently indicates section 10.6.4.	accepted
	11.6.8	FK	E		Change last sentence in section to "The channel numbers listed under each pattern refer to the actual frequency values listed in Tables 11-11 and 11-12."	Wrong section. The text currently indicates Tables 10-11 and 10-12.	accepted
	11.6.8	MB	e		2nd sentence.....of all frequency channels defined in Tables <del>10</del> 11-11 and <del>10</del> 11-12 After "Fx" equation where fx(i) = channel number ( as defined in <del>10</del> 11.6.4 ) for.... last sentence... to the actual frequency values listed in Tables <del>10</del> 11-11 and <del>10</del> 11-12		accepted

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.6.9	FK	E		Change the text to "Conformant PMD implementation of the FHSS shall limit the emissions that fall outside of the operating frequency range, defined in Table 11-9 in Section 11.6.3, to the local geographically applicable limits."	(1) Wrong section. The text currently indicates Section 10.6.3.  (2) Should specify better the definition of geographical. Put the word "local" in front of geographical"	accepted
	11.6.9	MB	e		..... defined in Table 11-9 of Section 11.6.3, to the...		accepted
57	11.7	mji	T	N	<del>11.7 FHSS Physical Medium Dependent Sublayer 2.0M Bit</del>  Delete all of section 11.7 if the CCA problem associated with the 2 MB/s option is not resolved	The D2 draft CCA requirement is not compatible with the presumption that the 2 Mb/s option will not add cost or reduce performance of the basic 1 Mb/s PHY. If we cannot agree to fix the random data aspect of the CCA then the 2 Mb/s option should be deleted. This would be an unfortunate move but one that would be necessary to meet the priority requirement of the 1 Mb/s FH PHY	Withdrawn by commentor, but supported by others.
	11.7.1	MB	e		1st sentence..... PMD as contained in section 11.6.		accepted

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
58	11.7.2	MR o	T	X	<p>The modulation error shall be less than +/- 15kHz at the mid symbol time for 4-GFSK, from the frequency deviations specified above, for a symbol surrounded by identical symbols, and less than +/-25 KHz for any symbol. The deviation is relative to the nominal center frequency of the RF carrier. For definition purposes, the nominal center frequency is the mid frequency between symbols 11 and 01. The nominal center frequency shall not vary greater than +/-210kHz/msec, from the <u>beginning of the PLCP PDU start to end to the end of the PLCP PDU of the 4GFSK data word.</u> H4, measured as a difference between the outmost frequencies, divided by 3, divided by 1 MHz, should have a minimum value of 0.140. The ratio h4/h2 will be 0.45+/-0.01. The peak to peak deviation h2 of the 2-GFSK is measured in the middle of 0000 and 1111 patterns encountered in the unique word in the PHY header. Symbols and terms used within this section are illustrated in the figure 11-16 below:</p>	<p>The current 10kHz/ms specification allows an accumulated frequency error of 1/2 the distance between adjacent signalling states over the duration of a full MPDU. The 10kHz drift in the draft is fine in the MPDU is limited to 400 octets. Since we have expanded the MPDU to 2047 octet, 10kHz/ms is too severe..</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. +/- 60kHz for 2M bit PHY</li> <li>2. Nominal should be changed to actual in the PMD section</li> <li>3. Rate of change or drift of center frequency.</li> </ol> <p><b>Add text to intro regarding:</b> that 1M bit requirements apply to 2M bit PMD when operating in 1M bit mode.</p> <p><b>Change</b> "... 4GFSK data word" to 4GFSK maximum length PLCP_PDU.</p> <p><b>Change</b> "nominal frequency" to "actual frequency".</p> <p><b>Add requirement:</b> Total peak-to-peak variation of less than 15 KHz over a maximum length PLCP_PDU.</p>

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.7.2	MR o	E		Revise Note following Table 11-14.  *Note: <u>These deviation values are measured using the center symbol of 7 consecutive symbols of the same value.</u> <del>The frequency deviations shown in the Table 11-14 are achieved by symbols being surrounded by identical symbols; in actual data stream.</del> The instantaneous deviation will vary due to Gaussian pulse shaping.	Clarity	Text updated
	11.7.2	OM i	E		The peak to peak deviation h2 of the 2-GFSK is measured in the middle of 0000 and 1111 patterns encountered in the unique word in the PHY header.	The peak to peak deviation h2 of the 2-GFSK is measured in the middle of 0000 and 1111 patterns encountered in the start frame delimiter in the PCLP preamble.	Text updated
	11.7.2 .1	DM	e		Change numbering to remove single subsections. There should always be more than 1 subsection.	If there is only one subsection then the subsection should become a section of the next higher level. The purpose of a subsection is to break a section down into more parts. If there is only one part then it doesn't warrant a subsection.	Rejected

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.7.2 .1	FK	e		<p>Change the text in first paragraph, first sentence to "...PHY, as described in 11.3.2."</p> <p>Change the text in third paragraph to "...to method in 11.3.2.3."</p> <p>Change the text in fifth paragraph, first sentence to "...defined in 11.3.2.3, figs. 11-5, 11-7a."</p>	Wrong section.	accepted
	11.7.2 .1	MB	e		<p>1st sentence....to 1 Mbit PHY as described in <del>10</del> 11.3.2</p> <p>3rd paragraph... The inputs are scrambled according to the method as described in <del>10</del> 11.3.2.3</p> <p>5th paragraph 2nd sentence... The bias suppression algorithm is defined in <del>10</del> 11.3.2.3, figs <del>10</del> 11-5, <del>10</del> 11-7a</p>		accepted
	11.7.2 .1	MR o	e		<p>First sentence:</p> <p>The <u>High Rate</u>HS FHSS PHY frame consists of PLCP preamble, PLCP header and PLCP_PDU. The PLCP preamble and PLCP header format are identical to 1 Mbit PHY, as</p>	consistency with other sections	accepted

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
59	11.7.2 .4	CP	T	N	NO PROPOSED TEXT AT THIS STAGE - DISCUSSION REQUIRED	The minimum value of $h_4$ is defined as 0.14. The $\pm 25$ KHz accuracy allowed for the symbol gives a maximum $h_4$ of 0.173, and since $h_4/h_2=0.45$ , this gives a minimum value for $h_2$ of 155 KHz. $h_2$ minimum is defined as 110 KHz in Sect 11.6.10, while by implication, the maximum value of $h_2$ is defined as 195 KHz, which conflicts with the statement in Sect 11.6.10. There is no definition of a 4 GFSK data word.	Text updated
60	11.7.3 .1	RJa	T	N	3 percent requirement appears to be based entirely upon BER of $10e-5$ . Does not seem to account for 33/32 expansion, Bit errors during PLCP header or missed detection of the PMD header. If detection probability is 0.99, the 3 percent requirement means that BER must be less than approx. $6.1e-6$ .		Withdrawn by commentor
	11.7.3 .1	CP	E		renumber as 11.7.3.2	"sensitivity" has not yet been defined - it is bad practice to refer to undefined parameters.	accepted

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
61	11.7.3 .2	RJa	T	N	3 percent requirement appears to be based entirely upon BER of 10e-5. Does not seem to account for 33/32 expansion, Bit errors during PLCP header or missed detection of the PMD header. If detection probability is 0.99, the 3 percent requirement means that BER must be less than approx. 6.1e-6.		Withdrawn by commentor
	11.7.3 .2	CP	E		renumber as 11.7.3.1	removes anomaly above The term "minimum" should be removed as this does not align with the definition given.	accepted
	11.7.3 .3	CP	E		DELETE SECTION	Section unnecessary. A 2Mbps PMD must operate at 1Mbps, and therefore the IMD performance has been specified in 11.6.15.5	withdrawn
	11.7.3 .3	FK	e		Change text in first sentence to "...sensitivity specified in section 11.7.15.4)."	Wrong section.	accepted

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.7.3 .3	MR o	E		<p>First sentence, replace -77 dBm with -72 dBm.</p> <p>Intermodulation protection (IMp) is defined as the ratio to -727 dBm of the minimum amplitude of one of the two equal level interfering signals at 4 and 8 MHz removed from center frequency, both on the same side of center frequency, that cause the FER of the receiver to be increased to 3% for MPDUs of 400 octets generated with pseudo random data, when the desired signal is -72 dBm</p>	error, -72 dBm for the high rate PHY	-77 dBm is correct as specification is written
62	11.7.3 .4	MB	t		Desensitization (Dp) is defined as the ratio to measured sensitivity of the minimum amplitude of an interfering signal that causes the FER at the output of the receiver to be increased to 3% for MPDUs of 400 octets generated with pseudo random data, when the desired signal is -72 -74 dBm( 3dB above the sensitivity specified in section 11.7.15.4 6)		Rejected -74dBm Fix reference.
	11.7.3 .4	CP	E		DELETE SECTION	Section unnecessary. A 2Mbps PMD must operate at 1Mbps, and therefore the Desensitisation performance has been specified in 11.6.15.6	Withdrawn by commentor
	11.8.2	MB	e		I would like to recommend that all of the PHY MIB Tables look the same. (ref: Tables 11-16;12-2 &13.4 (no table #))		all tables being updated
	11.8.2	MR o	E		Coordinate Formatting for Table 11-16 with other PHY's, eg. Table 12-2.		all tables being updated

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.8.2	YI	E		Replace 'all PHYs' with 'FH PHYs' in the Operational Behavior column of Table 11-16.	The parameters specified in this table only apply to FH PHY and do not apply to all PHYs.	accepted
	11.8.2 .1	DM	e		Change numbering to remove single subsections. There should always be more than 1 subsection.	If there is only one subsection then the subsection should become a section of the next higher level. The purpose of a subsection is to break a section down into more parts. If there is only one part then it doesn't warrant a subsection.	rejected
	11.8.2 .1.19	MB	e		2nd sentence. Specify the table..... The table 11-20 <del>below</del> shows the possible vales appearing in the list where N is a value < or = 255		accepted
	11.8.2 .1.2	MB	e		last sentence. Upon activation of the PLCP and PMD, the information in this list must be used to set the value of the aCurrent_Reg_Domain managed object.		accepted
	11.8.2 .1.21	MB	e		last sentence. Specify the table.... The table 11-22 below shows the possible values appearing in the list where N is a value < or = 255.		accepted
	11.8.2 .1.22	MB	e		2nd sentence. Specify the table..... The table 11-22 <del>below</del> shows the possible values appearing in the list		accepted
	11.8.2 .1.22	ws	e		"The value 02h defines the" should be "The value 02h indicates that the"	wrong word	accepted
	11.8.2 .1.23	MB	e		2nd sentence. Specify the table..... The table 11-23 <del>below</del> shows the possible values appearing in the list where N is a value < or = 255.		accepted

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.8.2 .1.24	ws	e		“The null terminate list” should be “The null terminated list”	typo	accepted
	11.8.2 .1.25	MB	e		last sentence. Specify the table.... The table 11-25 below shows the possible values appearing in the list		accepted
	11.8.2 .1.26	MR o	E		Delete:	This is implementation specific and of little meaning.	rejected
	11.8.2 .1.28	MB	e		4th sentence. See section <del>10.x</del> 11.6.15.3 for more details.		accepted
	11.8.2 .1.28	PP	E		Text “See section 10.x” should be changed to indicate correct section		accepted
	11.8.2 .1.29	MB	e		2nd sentence..... to the table shown in section <del>10.6</del> 11.6 concerning the Operating Channel Center Frequency		accepted
	11.8.2 .1.36	ws	e		“Contains 3 set of hopping” should be “Contains 3 sets of hoppin”	missing “s”	accepted
	11.8.2 .1.4	MB	e		2nd sentence.....the state of the channel with the accuracy specified in section <del>10.7.x</del> 11.6.15.3		accepted

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
63	11.8.2 .1.5	MR o	T	X	The aRxTx_Turnaround_Time for the FHSS PHY is defined as the time a station uses to place a valid symbol on the media from <u>"PHY TXSTART.request"</u> <del>the start of the slot. The start of the slot is that point in time when the MAC sublayer must start transmitting if it has something to send.</del> The aRxTx_Turnaround_Time is determined using the following equation. The aRxTx_Turnaround_Time for the FHSS PHY is defined as the time a station uses to place a valid symbol on the media from <u>"PHY TXSTART.request"</u>	ambiguous. PHY has not concept of start of slot	Resolved 11/8/95
64	11.8.2 .1.5	MB	t		The last sentence does not make any sense. "Stations can use less time but not less than 20 usec. ". Does that mean that stations can use more time? Or must each station meet a time of 20 usec.? I would vote for each station have the same turn around time specification of 20 usec. Each of the components that make up the turn around time can be more or less than the nominal value so long as the total of all components is 20 usec.	Clarification is needed.	Change not ess to not more in text.

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	11.8.2 .1.5	MR o	E		For the FHSS PHY, the aTx_PLCP_Delay is 1 usec., the aRxTx_Switch_Time is 10 usec., the aTxRamp_On_Time is 8 usec., and the aTx_RF_Delay is 1 usec, for a total of 20 usec. This is the maximum time for getting valid data on the media. Stations can use less time but not <u>more</u> less than 20 usec.	error	accepted
	11.8.2 .1.5	ws	e		“Stations can use less time but not less than 20 usec.” Should be “Stations can use less time for each component so long as the total is not less than 20 usec.”	confusing construction	accepted
65	11.8.2 .1.6	RJa	T	N	There may need to be two measures of delay within the transmitter. The delay as defined now is appropriate for Rx/Tx Turnaround. The MAC also uses the delay value to adjust the timestamp information they provide to the PHY so that time is referenced to the air interface. In this case, the delay must also include the delay through the whitening algorithm (at least 32 symbol times).		updated to add duration_factor to MIB
	11.8.2 .1.6	ws	e		“PLCP introduces to getting” should be “PLCP introduces in getting”	awkward	accepted
66	11.x, 12.x, 13.x	TM	T		There should be a method in the standard whereby the basic rate of the network is fixed (ie., all data, PLCP headers, and control packets are transferred at a 2 Mb/s rate)	This will allow for maximum system throughput (at the expense of cell size)	Although supported by Ed, this comment was rejected 7-1-0
	11.XX	ws	e		Throughout 11, the internal section numbers are wrong and the word Section is used to describe them		accepted

s	nbr.	init.	T	N	Corrected Text/Comment	Rationale	Disposition/Rebuttal
	Fig 11-7a	MB	e		change section reference next to Scramble {b(1), ...,b(N)} from 10.3.2.3 to 11.3.2.3		accepted