

IEEE P802.15
Wireless Personal Area Networks

| | | |
|----------------|---|--|
| Project | IEEE P802.15 Working Group for Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPANs) | |
| Title | TG3 Draft D09 Modifications | |
| Date Submitted | [1 November, 2001] | |
| Source | [James P. K. Gilb] [Mobilian] [12707 High Bluff Dr., Suite 335, San Diego, CA 92130] | Voice: [858-436-2201] Fax: [858-436-2301] E-mail: [gilb@ieee.org] |
| Re: | [] | |
| Abstract | [This document contains information on some of the changes made to the draft standard D07 to produce D08.] | |
| Purpose | [To provide a record of some of the changes to the draft standard D08 to make D09.] | |
| Notice | This document has been prepared to assist the IEEE P802.15. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein. | |
| Release | The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution becomes the property of IEEE and may be made publicly available by P802.15. | |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

1. Issues in Austin

1.1 Resolution priorities

Do we add the end time to the CTA?

1.1.1 Quick issues?

- 221 - Is SIFS described correctly? (Guard time has already been approved, awaiting decision on CTA)
- 373 - Can we add these quickly? If not, simply list all that are required.
- 385 - Closed last night?
- 168 - This should be closed in D08 based on accepted proposal by ADH.
- 357 - Withdraw? Do new MSC's in clause 6 reflect this? - ADH
- 52 - This should be closed in D08 based on accepted proposal by ADH.
- 243 - Inform via what packet? Should, shall or may? For every packet received or only the first one?
For directed frames only?
- 312 - Resolve by adopting proposal by Schraeder?
- 383 - Resolved by 8.2.8?
- 256 - Field removed, should be closed
- 143 - Should be closed in D08, PNID offers no security
- 406 - MKS needs to indicate how to fix this.
- 354 - Should be ATP, right?
- 410 - Suggest change as indicated
- 398 - Accepted with document ??,
- 338 - Specific proposal or resolved with MTS? (WMS)
- 412 - If we accept proposal, what changes are required (WMS)?
- 248 - Change as indicated?
- 393 - Change as indicated?
- 415 - Is this in 01/476r2?
- 416 - Is this in 01/476r2?
- 418 - Is this in 01/476r2?
- 365 - What document will resolve this?
- 317 - Change as indicate?
- 421 - Adopt change? Is this in 01/476r2?
- 422 - Moot if we adopt 421.
- 413 - Change as indicated?
- 407 - Proposed task?
- 420 - Satisfied with D08 (JPKG)
- 376 - Should be closed in D08, changed to Device-ID rather than AD-AD (will be PNID in D09)
- 374 - Same as 376
- 277 - Delete since it will be handled with authentication frame type.
- 426 - Editorial, should be closed in D09.
- 286 - Should be closed in D09
- 417 - Need proposed solution from MKS
- 251 - Suggest delete sentence, not needed with new security method.

1.1.2 More difficult, but should be done in Austin

- 409 - Propose reject via channel time grant - WMS
- 170 - Priority management, is this resolved by changes made to D08? If not, when can we get proposal? Do we delete the current, broken section?
- 360 - Same as 170
- 358 - Is this editorial? If so we can do on conference call.

| | |
|--|----|
| — 36 - Add extra byte or so to MAC header? - MKS | 1 |
| — 408 - Delete as indicated? - WMS | 2 |
| — 423 - Adopt 802.11 description simplified for single SIFS? - JPKG | 3 |
| — 218 - Where does the bit go? | 4 |
| | 5 |
| 1.1.3 Solveable by email or conference call | 6 |
| | 7 |
| — 309 - Add definition of error codes? | 8 |
| — 387 - Editorial, need to make MLME command match frame format - ADH | 9 |
| — 395 - Editorial, need to make MLME command match frame format - ADH | 10 |
| — 389 - Same as 395 | 11 |
| — 335 - Editorial, diagram is a clarification of the normative text, will add when submitted - ADH | 12 |
| — 391 - Editorial, need to make MLME command match frame format - ADH | 13 |
| — 411 - Editorial, diagram is a clarification of the normative text - JPKG | 14 |
| — 11 - Editorial, might be fixed | 15 |
| — 334 - Editorial, change diagram if desired or submitted - JPKG | 16 |
| — 339 - Editorial, diagram is clarification of the normative text, will add when submitted. - ADH | 17 |
| — 379 - Editorial, need to make MLME command match frame format - ADH | 18 |
| — 377 - Editorial, need to make MLME command match frame format - ADH | 19 |
| — 378 - Editorial, need to make MLME command match frame format - ADH | 20 |
| — 380 - Editorial, need to make MLME command match frame format - ADH | 21 |
| — 381 - Editorial, need to make MLME command match frame format - ADH | 22 |
| — 328 - Editorial, change diagram when submitted - JPKG | 23 |
| — 392 - Editorial, need to make MLME command match frame format - ADH | 24 |
| — 388 - Editorial, need to make MLME command match frame format - ADH | 25 |
| — | 26 |
| | 27 |
| 1.1.4 Security/authentication issues | 28 |
| | 29 |
| — 69 | 30 |
| — 76 | 31 |
| — 27 | 32 |
| — 28 | 33 |
| — 41 | 34 |
| — 70 | 35 |
| — 101 | 36 |
| — 26 | 37 |
| — 29 | 38 |
| — 30 | 39 |
| — 31 | 40 |
| — 332 | 41 |
| — 233 | 42 |
| — 264 | 43 |
| — 302 | 44 |
| | 45 |
| | 46 |
| | 47 |
| | 48 |
| | 49 |
| | 50 |
| | 51 |
| | 52 |
| | 53 |
| | 54 |