

**P802.15.4, Draft 13
Summary Report**

Comment Status
 CommentType Response Status

| | | | | | |
|--|-------|----------|-------------|------------|------------------|
| # 377 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 5.1.1 | TF / X / W | GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL |
| Why is backoff counter decrementing irrespective of channel conditions? Measuring CCA for a small time unit (phy-slot) and decrementing t | | | | | |
| # 379 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 5.1.1 | TF / X / W | GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL |
| if the backoff timer is arbitrary, how does the next transmission supposed to sync up with the slotted CSMA/CA timings | | | | | |
| # 380 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 5.1.1 | TF / X / W | GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL |
| These lines seem to provide a means to higher layers using which they can indicate tx-immediate or abort a packet. since this retry-limit is a | | | | | |
| # 381 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 5.2.1 | TF / X / W | GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL |
| | | | | | |
| # 382 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 5.2.2.1 | TF / X / W | GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL |
| if NC is snoozing how do non-NC-capable DEVs detect the presence of NC | | | | | |
| # 387 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 5.4 | TF / X / W | GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL |
| this clause also assumes that there are no GTS-alloc/dealloc related transactions over the air initiated/terminated-at MAC. How do GTS re-a | | | | | |
| # 388 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 5.5 | TF / X / W | GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL |
| DCS: How does the NC know the channel condition at DEVs to decide to change the channel? How does it communicate the decision to the | | | | | |
| # 389 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 5.5 | TF / X / W | GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL |
| DCS: What is the timeout for DEVs to start searching for the missing NC? How does a DEV distinguish the conditoins among (a) bad chann | | | | | |
| # 390 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 5.6 | TF / X / W | GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL |
| How does the "macMAXHandshakeWaitDuration" work in GTS? | | | | | |
| # 391 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 5.6.1 | TF / X / W | GUBBI, RAJUGOPAL |
| This clause does an attempt to describe the ack-timeout procedure. If what is needed already exists in an understood format, especially wit | | | | | |
| # 447 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 7.1.2.15 | 1 / A / W | Gutierrez, Jose |
| Page 70:<CR>Section 7.1.2.15.1: How does the MAC knows where to search? the network ID in the PIB -> then state it.<CR><CR>On line | | | | | |
| # 451 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC Table 52 | 1 / A / W | Gutierrez, Jose |
| Table 52: Why do I need to track the beacon? I mean it is good to know you are in sync (and when you are not) but this can be a function in | | | | | |
| # 469 | CI 07 | MAC EDIT | SC 7.5.2 | E / X / W | Gutierrez, Jose |
| Recommend to add a flow diagram for Sections 7.5.2.1 and 7.5.2.2 | | | | | |
| # 479 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC | 1 / X / W | Gutierrez, Jose |
| | | | | | |
| # 480 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC | / | Gutierrez, Jose |
| HOW A SHORT ADDRESS IS ALLOCATED? | | | | | |
| # 482 | | | | 1 / X / W | |
| Table 26: In TxOptions: What is the meaning of "transmit in the current GTS"? | | | | | |
| # 483 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 7.1.2.6 | 1 / X / W | Gutierrez, Jose |
| Page 63 and 64: The GTS Reallocation looks like garbage collection. I would like to eliminate this functionality and leave it for the upper laye | | | | | |
| # 484 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 7.5.4.2 | 1 / X / W | Gutierrez, Jose |
| Why the upper layers have to do a confirmation of the GTS reallocation?Can we leave the reallocation for the upper layers? | | | | | |
| # 485 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 7.5.4.1 | 1 / X / W | Gutierrez, Jose |
| What is the protocol for a NC to setup a GTS? How does a node request a GTS? | | | | | |

| | | | | CommentType | Comment Status | | |
|--|-------|----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | | Response Status | |
| # 535 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC Table 44 | 1 / X / W | | | Jamieson, Phil |
| The ChannelList parameter talks about a list of channels from the list of available PHY channels. How will this be done? Do we refer to the | | | | | | | |
| # 564 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 7.5.2.4 | 1 / X / W | | | Jamieson, Phil |
| Editorials - see remedy.<CR><CR>Paragraph 2, the synchronization "as described above" probably needs to be spelled out - synchronisatic | | | | | | | |
| # 588 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 1.2.7 | TF / X / W | | | Kinney, Patrick |
| The reallocation of GTSs is a good idea but I cannot understand how the mechanism's stated in this section will work. Specifically how will | | | | | | | |
| # 591 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 5.2.2 | TF / X / W | | | Kinney, Patrick |
| I did not find any description of the mechanism for resolving duplicate network id's. I understand the network search but it may not find a ne | | | | | | | |
| # 592 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 5.5 | TF / X / W | | | Kinney, Patrick |
| Dynamic Channel Selection is a good feature (very good for coexistence) but is not described in detail | | | | | | | |
| # 593 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 5.2.3 | TF / X / W | | | Kinney, Patrick |
| In Network Synchronization, there really is no description of the procedure to attach and join a network. Specifically I believe that logical ad | | | | | | | |
| # 594 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 5.2.3 | TF / X / W | | | Kinney, Patrick |
| In Network Synchronization, there really is no description of the procedure to attach and join a network. Specifically, how is authorization c | | | | | | | |
| # 642 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC Table 68 | 1 / A / W | | | Shepherd, Nick |
| macBeaconTxTime: What unit does this use, eg seconds? What time is returned? Absolute time from w hen? Who is responsible for keepi | | | | | | | |
| # 644 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 5.4.1 | 1 / X / W | | | Shepherd, Nick |
| This explanation of allocating a GTS is not complete. Is it possible to allocate the complete frame to GTSs, leaving no contention period? Sh | | | | | | | |
| # 646 | CI 07 | MAC TECH | SC 5.5 | 1 / X / W | | | Shepherd, Nick |
| This clause specifies that a clear channel is detected by use of the MLME-ED Energy Detection method, in conflict with clause 6.8.10 | | | | | | | |