

**IEEE P802.15**  
**Wireless Personal Area Networks**

Project	IEEE P802.15 Working Group for Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPANs)	
Title	<b>TG3 SB1 comment resolution</b>	
Date Submitted	[15 January, 2003]	
Source	[James P. K. Gilb] [Apparent Technologies] [15373 Innovation Drive, #210, San Diego, CA 92129]	Voice: [858-485-6401] Fax: [858-485-6406] E-mail: [gilb@ieee.org]
Re:	[]	
Abstract	[This document is a record of comment resolutions for SB1.]	
Purpose	[To provide a record of the comment resolution for SB1.]	
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist the IEEE P802.15. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.	
Release	The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution becomes the property of IEEE and may be made publicly available by P802.15.	

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

## 1. Comment resolution in Ft. Lauderdale

Wednesday, 15 January 2003

Meeting called to order at 8:00 am EST.

CID 275 - Table, MKS to provide text by Thursday morning on how to use it to limit max allocated async slots in a superframe.

CID 353 - (add to existing resolution) add the following section:

### 8.2.6.3 Dependent PNC termination of a dependent piconet

After stopping piconet operations for its own piconet {xref 8.2.6}, a child PNC shall inform its parent PNC that it no longer requires channel time for child piconet operations by sending the parent PNC a channel status request command terminating the CTA used for the child piconet.

After stopping piconet operations for its own piconet {xref 8.2.6}, a neighbor PNC shall inform its parent PNC that it no longer requires channel time for neighbor piconet operations by sending a disassociation request command to the parent PNC. Upon receiving a disassociation request command from a neighbor PNC, a parent PNC shall remove the CTA used by the neighbor piconet.

CID 328 - Suggest accept in principle:

### 7.2.1.7 More data

The More Data bit shall be set to 0 if the DEV will not use the rest of the channel time in that CTA, {xref 8.4.4.1}. The More Data bit shall be set to 1 when the PNC is sending an extended beacon, {xref 8.6.2}. In all other cases it shall be set to 1.

### New paragraph in 8.4.4.1:

The More Data bit is set to 1 to indicate that the source DEV could be sending more frames in the CTA. In order to save power at the destination DEV, a source DEV may indicate that it will not use the remaining time in the current CTA by setting the More Data bit to 0. The source DEV may retransmit a frame with More Data set to 0 if an ACK is expected but not received. If the destination DEV receives a frame with the More Data bit set to 0 with ACK policy set to Imm-ACK or Dly-ACK, it should continue to listen for an implementation specific time after sending the ACK to make sure that the source DEV is not going to retransmit the frame because it did not receive the ACK. The source DEV may choose to send a zero length frame with the More Data bit set to zero when it has no more frames to send in a CTA.

The More Data bit shall be ignored by the destination for all frames sent in the CAP.

CID 81 - ACCEPT.

CID 4 - Table, John Sarallo to write more text to include that the child PNC needs to change its superframe duration as well.

CID 213 - REJECT. The PAN environment is very dynamic. DEVs move in and out of coverage as a normal course of operation. Unlike the Aps in 802.11, the PNC may also be moving and so it may move out of range of DEVs in the piconet. Even in the case where the PNC does not handover, DEVs will occasionally lose contact with the piconet. The 802.15.3 standard is designed to provide recovery mechanisms for the times that the DEVs lose contact with the piconet, e.g. scanning for new piconet, the ability to automatically start a piconet if the PNC disappears, fast association time using BSID and PNID, the requirement for a

channel scan prior to starting a new piconet. The association timeout period is used by both the PNC and DEV to detect when they have lost contact.

In the case of a home, the user is allowed to designate a DEV to be the PNC via the Des-Mode bit in the capability field. Thus the user is able select a central DEV with sufficient range and power to be the PNC and force it not to handover responsibilities.

CID 352 - Table, JPKG to provide MSCs for fixes.

CID 430 - REJECT. The DME already knows the mapping between DEVID and MAC address, in fact it is the DME and FCSL that map MAC addresses into DEVIDs, not the MAC or MLME. The other proposed parameters are not used by the DME. The handovder countdown is a local timing requirement of the MAC. The number of CTRBs is not passed to the DME because the CTRBs are used only by the MAC/MLME, 8.5.1.1 and 8.5.2.1. The number of SPS sets is only used by the MAC/MLME and is not used by the DME, 8.13.

CID 433- REJECT. The MSC in figure 98 shows that the MLME-PNC-HANDOVER.indncation is only used at the beginning and end of the handover process. At the beginning of the handover, the NmbrHndOvrBcns and the DEVInfoSet are not known by the new PNC. At the end of the handover process, the NmbrHndOvrBcns has no meaning and the DEVInfoSet has already been passed to the new PNC. If the .indication says that the handover process has been canceled, then neither of these parameters are required either.

CID 436 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add NewPNCDEVID and NewPNCDEVAddress, the Handover-Countdown is a timing parameter local to the MAC/MLME and doesn't have significance here.

CsourceID 177 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add three more octets be to the left end of figure 41, with these parameters:|MaxTxPwr|MaxCTRBs|MaxAssociatedDEVs| Also modify figure 39 so that the PNC capabilities field length is now four octets and the Length field of the IE is increased from 2 to 5.

CID 176 - ACCEPT.

CID 465 - ACCEPT. See also CID 453.

CID 468 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Rephrase the definition as follows: 'The Sequence Number field specifies the number of frames that have been sent prior to this frame by this DEV in the response to the request. Thus the first frame has a Sequence Number of 0 while the last frame has a Sequence Number equal one less than the Total Number of Frames.'

CID 139 - Table, JPKG to provide MSCs for fixes. See also CID 352.

CID 215 - Table, JPKG to provide MSCs for fixes. See also CID 352 and 215.

CID 603 - ACCEPT.

CID 1 - Table, JPKG to provide MSCs for fixes. See also CID 352 and 215.

Meeting recessed at 10:05 EST.

Meeting called order at 1:04 pm EST.

CID 672 Comment:

"Undesirable specification: The Aloha access algorithm defined in this subclause is undesirable in two folds: (1) The "binary backoff" nature of the contention algorithm, i.e., doubling the contention

1 window after an inferred collision, in a PAN would unnecessarily increase the access latency, as an  
2 inferred collision could be a result of a non-collision event such as interference or bad channeling.  
3 Also, the backoff has a memory which could spread over a large number of superframes, and hence  
4 does not allow the PNC to adapt the CW to load changes for optimal channel throughput and access  
5 latency. Instead, re-randomizing the backoffs without doubling the CW among contending DEVs in  
6 every superframe would be more effective in avoiding collision, especially considering the generally  
7 low DEV population in a PAN, and hence in improving channel throughput and access delay. (2)  
8 Potentially each contending DEV may have to buffer a large number of MCTA definitions as  
9 announced in the beacon, and determine which of those MCTAs may be used for an initial transmis-  
10 sion, a retransmission, and a retransmission again, ..., of a command frame, all within the same  
11 superframe. This would certainly increase the implementation cost."

12  
13 Remedy:

14 "(1) The number "a" should not be individual functions of retransmission attempts by contending  
15 DEVs. Instead, it should be a parameter whose value is updated and announced by the PNC in each  
16 beacon. To this effect, add two 1-octet subfields to the Piconet Synchronization Parameters field for  
17 encoding "a", one for use with Association MCTAs and one for use with Open MCTAs. "a" may be  
18 called Association CW exponent and Open CW exponent, respectively. Eliminate the first branch of  
19 Equation (1) and the condition in the second branch. Each contending DEV shall redraw a backoff  
20 after receiving a beacon using the "a" value contained in that beacon, even if the previous backoff  
21 has not expired (and hence the DEV did not transmit in the previous superframe). A DEV shall  
22 regenerate a backoff for a retransmission within the same superframe using the same "a" value as in  
23 the initial transmission.

24  
25 (2) Add a statement to limit the number of MCTAs (for each type, Association or Open) that may be  
26 used by any given DEV to two within each superframe. That is, only one retransmission is allowed  
27 by each DEV following a failed transmission in the same superframe."

28  
29 Proposed Response:

30 REJECT. The Slotted Aloha backoff algorithm is well documented in the literature. Just as an asso-  
31 ciating DEV won't know the difference between a collision and interference, the PNC likely won't be  
32 able to tell the difference between a collision and interference either. In this case, the PNC won't  
33 know what value to set for the exponent of the back-off window, "a". Also, the suggested Remedy  
34 does not specify what algorithm the PNC will use to determine the parameter "a".

35  
36 Resolution is to reject as indicated above.

37  
38 CID 675 Comment:

39  
40 "Incorrect specification in lines 13-16, page 183."

41  
42 SuggRemedy:

43 "Change "broadcast or unassigned" to "Association or Open". Delete "the open or association  
44 MCTA with the number  $r$ ". Change "ACK" to "Imm-ACK". Delete the last statement "After  
45 receiving" if "a", and hence the "backoff", is to be updated every superframe, as suggested earlier by  
46 this ballot." 47

48 Response:

49 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE:

50 The comment that "broadcast or unassigned" should be changed to open or association. The rest of  
51 the suggested Remedy is not appropriate because it is based on a rejected suggestion from CID 672.

52  
53  
54 CID 338 - Table, Dan Baily to provide references for Ntru.

- CID 19 - Table, wait for email ballot so the entire BRC can weigh in with their opinions. 1
- CID 374 - REJECT. This subject is appropriate for a follow-on PAR when there is more experience with a standard. This is an efficiency issue only. 2
- CID 349 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace the sentence with 'At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards listed below.' 3
- CID 347 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change '1' to '1.0', change 'SEC 1: Standards for Efficient Cryptography' to be 'Standards for Efficient Cryptography, SEC 1:' 4
- CID 350 - Rene to provide new suggested definitions by January 22 via email. authentication, authentic data, integrity code, key establishment, key management, key transport, nonce, symmetric key. Clarify that any changes in the definitions will not impact the draft. 5
- CID 425 - Dan Bailey to submit new MLME-SECID-UPDATE.confirm 6
- CID 14 - Where do we prohibit using distribute key (or request key) to distribute a management key. 7
- CID 362 - Table and solve with fragmentation field update if necessary. 8
- CID 363 - Withdrawn, 15 January 2003. 9
- CID 364 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add 'RSA X.509' and 'ECC X.509' above 'X.509'. 10
- CID 361 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add a field '80 bit security required' with the definition 'If the 80-bit security required bit is set to 1, the security manager shall only authenticate DEVs with a security suite that is stated to provide at least 80-bit security in Table 96 while it operates as the security manager.' Add a column to table 96 with title 'At least 80 bit claimed security' and put X's in all of the columns. 11
- CID 24 - Table, Singer to provide paragraph by Jan 20. 12
- CID 25 - Withdrawn, 15 January 2003. 13
- CID 26 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add a sentence to the end of 9.2.9: 'A DEV shall reject any SECID that it receives where the first octet does not contain the correct DEVID as described above.' 14
- Meeting recessed at 3:00 pm, EST. 15
- Meeting called to order at 4:34 pm EST. 16
- CID 372 - REJECT. There is no reference in the draft for scalable security suites. The working group felt strongly that certificates should be optional, not required, based on the application space that 802.15.3 is addressing. 17
- CID 371 - Dan/Ari to provide references for claimed security levels and independent review, due Jan 20. 18
- CID 365 - REJECT. The extra 8 octets over the air have an inconsequential effect on the overall throughput of the piconet because they are sent infrequently. Furthermore, there are techniques to efficiently store these in memory. 19

CID 367 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove the field 'Security suite' from 'Verification Info Type field'. Add a new fields to the 'Verification Info Type field', 'OID Length' and 'OID' with the definitions 'The OID indicates the security suite of the ACL information, {xref 10.2.1}.' and 'The OID length is the length of the OID.' Add these definitions to 7.5.2.1 where they are missing as well.

CID 152 - Suggest REJECT. Using the MIFS instead of the SIFS with no-ACK frames can provide an improvement in the throughput of 8%. One of the key applications of 802.15.3 is streaming applications such as music and video which typically would be sent with a no-ACK policy. At 55 Mb/s this is equivalent to 4.4 Mb/s, almost enough for an additional SDTV stream. This does require that the receiver process unload its input queue somewhat faster, but this can be handled in hardware.

CID 154 - Suggest REJECT.

CID 369 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete 'Certificate chain URL' from page 147, line 15.

CID 86 - (also 19, 371, ) Table, resolve with email ballot.

CID 85 - Need Dan to specify something (ITU-T) that says you can use X.509 for Ntru.

Meeting recessed at 5:54 pm EST.

## 1.1 Tuesday, 14 January 2003

Meeting called to order at 8:12 am EST.

CID 572 - ACCEPT.

CID 573 - ACCEPT

CID 478 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Using 'rate' would be confusing with data rate. Rename "CTR interval type" to "CTA Rate Type" and "CTR Interval" to "CTA Rate" throughout the draft.

CID 651 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Rename CFP to CTAP - channel time allocation period.

CID 652 - REJECT. The proposed text is too restrictive. A DEV may have data pending for stream index 5 that is lower priority than stream index 3. The DEV would want to send data from stream index 3 in a CTA assigned to stream index 5 to improve the performance of its highest priority applications.

CID 326 - ACCEPT.

CID 69 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'of type other than data' to be 'of any type'

CID 664 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. After the sentence on line 51, add to the paragraph. "However, it is possible that the target DEV will not be receiving during the CTA if it is in a power save mode, {xref 8.13} or if it is not receiving multicast traffic, {xref 6.3.19.1}"

CID 666 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'If the PNC ... additional channel time.' to be 'If the source DEV requires additional channel time it will need to use the stream modification procedure, 8.5.1.2.'

CID 278 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace the sentence in D15p181L30-31 by "In any individual super-frame, the PNC may allocate more time for a dynamic CTA than the amount indicated in the channel time response command."

CID 672 - Table, WMS to consider, possible reject.	1
	2
CID 675 - Table pending resolution of 672.	3
	4
CID 144 - ACCEPT.	5
	6
CID 817 - ACCEPT. The parameter will be deleted as indicated in CID 144.	7
	8
CID 571 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. It is possible that the asynchronous request will not replace the previous requests. This is described in 8.5.2.1 and should have been cross-referenced here. Add a cross-reference to 8.5.2.1 after 'all previous asynchronous requests'	9
	10
	11
	12
CID 124 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Use 'group' and 'individual', change throughout the draft to match.	13
	14
CID 274 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace the paragraph with 'The target ID list type field shall be set to 0 for group allocation requests and shall be set to 1 for individual asynchronous allocation requests, {xref 8.5.2.1}.'	15
	16
	17
	18
CID 701 - ACCEPT.	19
	20
CID 702 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. After "superframe" add ", with any such CTA again announced by multiple CTA blocks that overlap in time but have different DestIDs.'	21
	22
	23
CID 704 - ACCEPT.	24
	25
CID 486 - REJECT. The participation of the PNC DME is not required to respond to this command as required by the draft standard. Thus the .indication and .response primitives are not required in this instance.	26
	27
	28
CID 488 - REJECT. The participation of the PNC DME is not required to respond to this command as required by the draft standard. Thus the .indication and .response primitives are not required in this instance.	29
	30
	31
CID 484 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The probe command is always sent as a peer-to-peer command (i.e. as a 'side-stream'). If a DEV sends a probe to the PNC, the PNC responds with information about itself, not with information about another DEV. The only way to find probe information about a DEV is to send the probe command directly to the DEV. Therefore, the TargetID in this MLME will become the DestID in the first probe command frame that is sent.	32
	33
	34
	35
	36
	37
CID 482 - ACCEPT	38
	39
CID 483 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the "Valid range" of "ResultCode" as follows: RESPONSE_RECEIVED, TIMEOUT. Change the corresponding "Description" to "Indicates if the request has received a response or timed out."	40
	41
	42
	43
CID 487 - ACCEPT.	44
	45
CID 489 - ACCEPT.	46
	47
CID 657 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. On page 179, line 52 at the end of the paragraph add 'Dynamic CTAs may be used for both asynchronous and isochronous streams.'	48
	49
	50
CID 820 - ACCEPT. Also delete from the PICS.	51
	52
CID 199 - ACCEPT.	53
	54

- CID 245 - ACCEPT. 1
- CID 270 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add an xref to the paragraph, change 'the requested priority,' to be 'the requested priority {xref Annex A.1.2.1},' 2  
3  
4  
5
- CID 301 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change bullet text from: 6  
7
- "The available number of TUs field shall be set to a value less than the minimum number of TUs requested." 8  
9
- to: 10
- "The available number of TUs field shall be set to the number of TUs that the PNC had available for allocation to this request." 11  
12  
13
- Meeting recessed 10:00 am EST 14
- Meeting called to order at 10:30 am EST. 15  
16
- CID 690 - ACCEPT. 17  
18  
19
- CID 312 - REJECT. The scheduler, including the allocation of left over time in the superframe is out of the scope of this standard. Implementers are free to create scheduling algorithms that best meet their combination of price and performance for their application. 20  
21  
22  
23
- CID 246 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'is in a power save mode, if the CTR type or 24  
25
- CTR interval is modified.' to be 'is in a power save mode. The PNC shall announce the modification of all streams where the CTR type or CTR interval is modified.' 26  
27  
28
- CID 200 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 246. 29  
30
- CID 247 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete the sentence, there is text in 8.13 now that handles this issue. 31  
32
- CID 691 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In figures 114, 115 and 116, Change "ACK" to "Imm-ACK" (2 occurrences in each figure). Delete "with ResultCode = ???" in each of these three figures. 33  
34  
35
- On page 183, line 8, change "presence" to "reception" and change 'association frame" to "Association Request command". 36  
37  
38
- CID 697 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In figures 117 and 118, Change "ACK" to "Imm-ACK" (2 occurrences in each figure). Delete "with ResultCode = ???" in each of these two figures. Add 'with Reason Code = success" to the channel time response command arrow in figure 117. 39  
40  
41  
42
- CID 699 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "ACK" to "Imm-ACK" in both figures. Change "SUCCESS" to "RESPONSE\_RECEIVED" in each of these two figures. Ed. Note coordinate this code with new clause 6 name. 43  
44  
45  
46
- CID 150 - REJECT. The open and association MCTAs were added to handle two concerns, the first was that new PHYs may not support efficient CCA detection. In this case, slotted aloha provides a contention access method that provides for the needs of the piconet. Another reason to used slotted aloha is that under certain conditions, it can be more efficient than using the CAP. Adding a new contention method to the MAC when a PHY group has been formed is probably not the best venue. At this time, the TG has many members who have expertise in the MAC available to review draft. In the future, when a new PHY is down-selected, there may not be as many people available who have the experience and knowledge of the TG3 MAC to be able to add a new contention method. Adding slotted aloha does not add much, if any complexity, the DEV needs 47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54



the random number generator and exponential increasing backoff for any contention based method. The DEV is already required to be able to send frames and look to see if it gets an ACK. Depending on the parameters used for either the CAP or the open and association MCTAs, the power usage may actually be lower using MCTAs for the DEVs in the piconet than using the CAP. MCTAs have an advantage over the CAP in that they can be put into multiple locations in the superframe allowing the PNC to potentially use the time more efficiently.

CID 151 - REJECT. The open and association MCTAs were added to handle two concerns, the first was that new PHYs may not support efficient CCA detection. In this case, slotted aloha provides a contention access method that provides for the needs of the piconet. Another reason to use slotted aloha is that under certain conditions, it can be more efficient than using the CAP. Adding a new contention method to the MAC when a PHY group has been formed is probably not the best venue. At this time, the TG has many members who have expertise in the MAC available to review draft. In the future, when a new PHY is down-selected, there may not be as many people available who have the experience and knowledge of the TG3 MAC to be able to add a new contention method. Adding slotted aloha does not add much, if any complexity, the DEV needs the random number generator and exponential increasing backoff for any contention based method. The DEV is already required to be able to send frames and look to see if it gets an ACK. Depending on the parameters used for either the CAP or the open and association MCTAs, the power usage may actually be lower using MCTAs for the DEVs in the piconet than using the CAP. MCTAs have an advantage over the CAP in that they can be put into multiple locations in the superframe allowing the PNC to potentially use the time more efficiently.

CID 204 - Table, ADH to communicate with KO to see if this allocates slots too often. Plus, are we overloading CTRRespTime which only has to do with the PNC's current loading for channel time request. If the PNC is efficient, then it will take up a lot of time in the superframe for MCTAs.

CID 254 - Table, resolve with CID 204.

CID 490 - REJECT. The participation of the PNC DME is not required to respond to this command as required by the draft standard. Thus the .indication primitive is not required in this instance.

CID 241 - ACCEPT.

CID 242 - ACCEPT.

CID 201 - ACCEPT.

CID 202 - ACCEPT.

CID 252 - ACCEPT.

CID 251 - ACCEPT.

CID 203 - ACCEPT.

CID 119 - ACCEPT.

CID 700 - ACCEPT.

CID 474 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change all CTR references to be "CTRq" to avoid confusion. If the response command needs an acronym, it will be 'CTRsp'.

CID 275 - Table, JS to figure out what MR meant.

CID 121 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. After line 50 on page 152, add a paragraph that says 'For isochronous requests, the minimum number of TUs and the desired number of TUs are the number of TUs per CTR interval requested by the DEV. In the case of a super-rate allocation, it is the number of TUs requested in each superframe. In the case of a sub-rate allocation it is the number of TUs requested in each of the sub-rate superframes. For example, a request for a minimum number of TUs of 4 with a sub-rate CTR interval of 4 indicates that the DEV is requesting 4 TUs every fourth superframe.'

CID 677 - Table, WMS to propose solution.

CID 678 - REJECT. The DEVs need to have time to switch between transmit and receive between CTAs. A MIFS is not necessarily enough time to do this, therefore the SIFS time is required which is equal to the greater of the the TX/RX turnaround and the RX/TX turnaround times.

CID 679 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The equation is confusing because it is missing parentheses. It should read:

$$\text{MaxDrift} = [\text{clock accuracy (ppm)}/1\text{e}6]*\text{interval}$$

A number in ppm is divided by 1e6 to get its fractional equivalent, thus 100 ppm is equal to 0.0001. The drift for a 10 ms interval with 100 ppm accuracy is 10 us.

Add parentheses to the equation to emphasize that the interval is multiplied by the fractional clock accuracy.

Recessed at 12:06 pm EDT.

Meeting called to order at 1:13 pm EDT

CID 45 - Tabled, Bain to work on it.

CID 682 - Tabled, WMS to suggest solution, resolve with CID 677

CID 684 - ACCEPT.

CID 49 - Tabled, WMS to suggest solution, resolve with CID 677

CID 120 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. On page 72, line 25, delete 'and a beacon containing the requested stream modification.'

CID 574 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. On page 153, line 18, add 'In the case of a super-rate allocation, it is the number of TUs assigned in each superframe. In the case of a sub-rate allocation it is the number of TUs assigned in each of the sub-rate superframes.'

CID 329 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'super-rate' to be 'super-rate or subrate'

CID 353 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. On page 15, line 36 add 'A child piconet ends its piconet with the shut-down procedure and then uses the stream termination command to release the resources in the parent piconet. When the child PNC shuts down its piconet, it is not required to leave the parent piconet.'

CID 209 - REJECT. The child piconet is a full member of the parent piconet and is able to communicate to other DEVs in the piconet. The neighbor, on the other hand, only communicates with the PNC and may not be a full 802.15.3 DEV, i.e. it could be an entity from another network that wants to request quiet time to share the channel. In addition, the neighbor could be a DEV that is not able to authenticate with the parent PNC, but would like to coordinate the channel resources to avoid collision. Wherever possible, the draft will be

updated to use dependent piconet and a single description when discussing similarities of child and neighbor piconets.

CID 614 - ACCEPT

CID 208 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'If the piconet is not 802.15.3 compliant, it shall' to be 'If the network operated by the neighbor PNC is not an 802.15.3 piconet, the neighbor PNC shall ...'

CID 715 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. On page 199, line 30 change 'Fragmentation is performed ... stream or asynchronous data.' to be 'Fragmentation may be performed at the transmitting DEV on each MSDU.' On line 31 change 'commands' to be 'commands, i.e. MCDUs.'. On page 199, line 34 delete 'for any reason and all the retransmissions shall obey the original fragmentation threshold of the MSDU/MCDU.' Change 'aMin-FragmentSize' to be {xref pMinFragmentSize}.

CID 355 - Tabled, RS to provide more detailed information.

CID 292 - ACCEPT.

CID 528 - Table, J. Barr to work on it. If a DEV receives a frame from an unassociated DEV it may ignore the frame and may ACK the frame if the ACK policy is set to Imm-ACK. If authentication is required and a DEV receives a frame from an unauthenticated DEV, it shall ignore the frame and may ACK the frame if the ACK policy is set to Imm-ACK. If a DEV receives a frame from a PNID other than the PNID of the piconet with which the DEV is synchronized, it shall ignore the received frame.

CID 530 - ACCEPT

CID 357 - JS, WMS and KO to consider changing? What are the arguments to keep it this way?

Meeting recessed at 3:02 pm EST

Meeting called to order at 3:44 pm EST.

CID 174 - Table, ADH to present text.

CID 359 - Withdrawn, 14 January 2003.

CID 117 - WMS to ask the commenter.

CID 358 - Withdrawn, 14 January 2003.

CID 227 - Table, resolve with the other comment about putting the BSID up front (WMS?)

CID 325 - Withdrawn, 14 January 2003.

CID 360 - REJECT. This information is already passed to DEVs in the authentication process in the authentication response command. While it allows the DEV to know before it joins what is the level of security, this provides only part of the information that the DEV needs when selecting a piconet.

CID 240 - REJECT. While it is true that flipping the figure may be easier to read, it would be the only figure in the entire draft with octet 0 on the right.

CID 549 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete 'consists of a single command block and'

CID 550 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "Rename "Data" to "Data Payload" whenever it references the "Data" field of a Data frame."

CID 536 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'payload field' to 'Frame Payload field' in this subclause, 2 places lines 35, 37.

CID 356 - Table, ADH to look for rewritten text.

CID 531 - REJECT. Requiring the PNC to monitor all of the frames sent between devices is not feasible. Also, the use of the bits by the PNC is not clearly defined.

CID 551 - ACCEPT.

CID 328 - Table, WMS to describe how this can optional or used with a null data frame once last data frame has been sent.

CID 78 - ACCEPT.

CID 152 - JPKG to write REJECT.

CID 145 - ACCEPT.

CID 517 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'MaxAssociations' to be 'MaxAssociatedDEVs' to match the name in 7.5.1.1. Also change this name in 6.3.5 as well.

CID 147 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add to this section 'For each stream, all MSDUs that do not use Dly-ACK policy shall be transmitted in the order that they were received from the FCSSL. This implies that it is possible that MSDUs from different streams will be transmitted in a different order than they were received from the FCSSL. MSDUs that use Dly-ACK policy may be transmitted out of order by the MAC.'

CID 137 - ACCEPT.

CID 136 - ACCEPT.

CID 519 - ACCEPT.

CID 520 - ACCEPT.

CID 522 - ACCEPT.

CID 521 - ACCEPT.

Recessed at 5:33 pm EST.

Called to order at 6:58 pm EST.

CID 524 -ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "Change "MSDU" to "MPDU" and "media" to "medium". Change 'If the StreamIndex for the request is not assigned to the DEV as a stream source,' to be 'If the StreamIndex for the request does not correspond to an existing stream with the DEV as the source.'

CID 597 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'SUCCESS' to be 'COMPLETED' in the figure and in the text.

CID 54 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the description from 'Data rate in Mb/s.' to be 'PHY dependent index of the data rate' Add a note to the PHY section that this corresponds to the value that goes in the PHY header.

CID 148 - ACCEPT.

CID 129 - Table, JPKG to bring data.

CID 825 - ACCEPT.

CID 826 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change  $x^{15}$  to be  $x^{14}$  in table 126. Let  $n=15$  in the xinit matrix and map  $x_{(n-1)}$  to  $x_{14}$ , etc. in the text.

CID 133 - ACCEPT.

CID 313 - Table, James will provide new numbers for EVM that are 5 dB relaxed and are more in line with 802.11a.

CID 134 - ACCEPT.

CID 281 - Table, JPKG to bring back result.

CID 132 - Table, same as CID 281.

CID 282 - Table, same as CID 282.

CID 280 - ACCEPT.

CID 130 - ACCEPT.

CID 131 - JPKG to check for efficiency.

CID 53 - Table, JPKG to suggest clause 11 text, don't need PIB

CID 50 - ACCEPT.

CID 55 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete the PHYPIB\_Range from the table.

CID 153 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make a table of all of the pZZZYyy parameters and their values, this will follow the format of table 65 in clause 8.

CID 594 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "non zero value" to "than 0 or 1", This command returns a list of all the DEVs who are members of a particular PS set. It does not indicate that they are in a PS mode. The PS status IE(s) in the beacon contain the lists of the DEVs that are in PS mode for each of the sets. A DEV shall first join a set before it can change to either SPS or PSPS mode. Thus a DEV can be a member of a set but not be in a power save mode.

CID 59 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete 'or SPS mode,' because SPS DEVs do not make a special effort to hear beacon announcements.

CID 309 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'subsequent' to be 'consecutive', 2 places, change the third dashed list items on line 43 from 'If the DEV is in SPS mode, the IEs shall be sent in mMinBeaconInfoRepeat subsequent SPS set wake beacons.' to be 'If the DEV is in SPS mode, the first IE announcement shall be made in one of the DEV's SPS set wake beacons.'

CID 249 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 309. This resolution removes the requirement that the PNC align the announcements to the SPS DEV's wake beacons. Instead it aligns it with one and sends the rest in the following beacons.

CID 248 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 309.

CID 774 - ACCEPT.

CID 560 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The PCTM IE is placed in the beacon until the HIBERNATE DEV either a) responds to the IE with a PS mode change command or b) the ATP of the DEV expires and the PNC disassociates the DEV. Thus the DEV will either respond or it will be removed from the piconet.

CID 799 - REJECT. This standard only has positive acknowledgement, there is not an negative acknowledgement. Thus any acknowledgement is a positive one.

CID 806 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The PCTM IE is placed in the beacon until the HIBERNATE DEV either a) responds to the IE with a PS mode change command or b) the ATP of the DEV expires and the PNC disassociates the DEV. Thus the DEV will either respond or it will be removed from the piconet.

CID 559 - REJECT. The PCTM bit is not used for PSPS DEVs because they listen to all of the system wake beacons and the beacons that follow any missed system wake beacons.

CID 777 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Following line 51 on page 215, add 'The PNC uses the wake beacon interval information from all participating PSPS DEVs to determine the system wake beacon interval. The actual system wake beacon interval may not correspond to any of the PSPS DEVs desired wake beacon interval.'

CID 778 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 777.

CID 771 - Change "A DEV that is in SPS mode may have multiple wake beacons" to "A DEV in SPS mode may be in multiple SPS sets and therefore may have multiple wake beacons because each of those SPS sets may have its own wake beacon."

CID 127 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 310

CID 250 - ACCEPT.

CID 793 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'field to 'PS' and shall request that the PNC terminate the stream, 8.5.1.3.' to be 'field to 'PS'. The DEV shall also send a Channel Time Request command to terminate the stream, {xref 8.5.1.3}.'

CID 789 - REJECT. The sentence does not add any specifications (no shalls, may or shoulds). This sentence was added to clarify the purpose of the MCTA and its length. It is intended as an aid to the implementers but does not place any restrictions on them.

CID 791 - ACCEPT.

CID 797 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'wake CTAs' to be 'CTAs'

Skip to Probe.

CID 480 - REJECT. The Probe command that is sent by the MLME-PROBE.response primitive can also contain a request for information. Therefore the .response command needs these two parameters.

CID 156 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete the parameter and the paragraph on page 203, lines 40-47, 'To accommodate ... describe above.'

CID 143 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 156.

CID 67 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 156.

CID 315 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 156.

CID 379 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 156.

CID 257 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 156.

CID 229 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 156.

CID 219 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 156.

CID 93 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 156.

CID 243 - ACCEPT.

CID 496 - REJECT. The remote piconet description set corresponds to the data that is passed in the Remote Scan Response command. Some of the data (beginning with SuperframeDuration) is not passed in the command and so cannot be passed up by the primitive.

CID 497 - REJECT. The remote piconet description set corresponds to the data that is passed in the Remote Scan Response command. Some of the data (beginning with SuperframeDuration) is not passed in the command and so cannot be passed up by the primitive.

CID 499 - REJECT. The DME controls the scan process and it happens after it receives the the MLME-REMOTE-SCAN.indication primitive as illustrated in Figure 131.

CID 500 - ACCEPT.

CID 498 - REJECT. The scan has not yet been performed when this primitive is issued, see Figure 131, so these parameters are not yet available.

CID 582 - REJECT. The purpose of the remote scan request is to determine the level of potential interference on the current channel and other channels without disturbing the coordination function of the PNC. It also gives the PNC a longer 'reach' in finding out who might be the potential interferers. The PNC does not need this additional information to be able to determine the interference levels. This information is included in the scan process because the DEV might join one of the piconets that it finds.

Meeting recessed at 9:58 pm EDT. T = 225, E = 378

## 1.2 Monday, 13 January 2003

Meeting called to order at 1:14 pm EST.

PM/SPS-4 comments

CID 253 - Accept

CID 230 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 253	1
	2
CID 258 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 253	3
	4
CID 94 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 253	5
	6
CID 316 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 253	7
	8
CID 157 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 253	9
	10
CID 220 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 253	11
	12
CID 380 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 253	13
	14
PM/SPS-4	15
	16
CID 83 - Accept in principle, Delete item MLF 23.3 from Table E.4. In item MLF 23.2 Table E.4, remove "& - FD3" Remove item FD3 from Table E.1.	17
	18
	19
CID 84 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 83.	20
	21
CID 259 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 83.	22
	23
CID 317 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 83.	24
	25
CID 381 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 83.	26
	27
CID 221 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 83.	28
	29
CID 95 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 83.	30
	31
CID 231 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 83.	32
	33
CID 158 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 83.	34
	35
Misc PS issues:	36
	37
CID 780 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The terms power management and power save were used interchangeably but this is confusing. The TG has agreed to change all the occurrences of 'power management' to be 'power save' for consistency.	38
	39
	40
	41
CID 295 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add the CWB IE to the table with entries: 'shall ignore' for all three entries.	42
	43
	44
CID 296 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add the CWB IE to the table with entries: 'shall not request', 'shall not request', 'shall not send', 'shall not send'	45
	46
	47
CID 293 - Accept.	48
	49
CID 128 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 293.	50
	51
CID 122 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the description to "The wake beacon interval is the number of superframes, including the current one, between wake beacons, {xref 8.13}. For example, a wake beacon interval of 8 indicates that the DEV is requesting a wake beacon every 8th beacon, {xref Figure 137}."	52
	53
	54



CID 44 - Accept.	1
	2
CID 311 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 44.	3
	4
CID 123 - Accept	5
	6
CID 310 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add a reason code to 7.5.7.2 "Unique Wake Beacon Interval required." Add to 8.13.2.1 "The PNC may require that all PS sets have a unique Wake Beacon Interval. For example, the PNC may reject a request to create a PS set with a Wake Beacon Interval of 4 if there is a PS set that already has this value. If the DEV requires this Wake Beacon Interval, it may join the existing PS set."	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
CID 509 - Table: Do we rename PS mode as PM mode? Or do we use another name? DEV Mode? (DM)	12
	13
CID 511 - Table: Rename some of the parameters? Resolve after CID 509.	14
	15
CID 586 - Table: Resolve after CID 509	16
	17
CID 503 - Accept	18
	19
CID 818 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "For a piconet that has pseudo-static CTAs, NbrOfChangeBeacons shall be at least four." to be "For a piconet that has pseudo-static CTAs, NbrOfChangeBeacons shall be at least {xref mMaxLostBeacons}."	20
	21
	22
	23
CID 753 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The CTA location does not change relative to the beacon and so the CTA does not change (CTAs only have meaning measured relative to the beacon). The location of the psuedo-static CTA relative to previous beacons will change, but the source and destination DEVs will be informed prior to that by the piconet parameter change IE. If there are pseudo-static CTAs, the piconet parameter IE will be sent at least mMaxLostBeacons prior to the change. Thus, even if the DEVs miss some of the announcements, they will either a) hear at least one of them or b) miss all but hear the first beacon with the new superframe duration. To clarify this, change "A PNC shall not change pseudo-static CTAs" to be "A PNC shall not change either the pseudo-static CTAs or the pseudo-static CTA blocks"	24
	25
	26
	27
	28
	29
	30
	31
	32
CID 71 - Table, resolution will be to add an MLME-PICONET-PARM-CHANGE.indicate that goes up to the other DEVs in the piconet after the change occurs. Add this to Figure 134. Change text in 10.3 to reflect the fact that the change of BSID value in the PIB occurs after the MLME-PICONET-PARM-CHANGE.request. Pass up the BSID, PNID, Channel index and superframe duration. Note: the BSID will become a read-only attribute. Need text for this.	33
	34
	35
	36
	37
	38
Recessed at 3:47 pm EST for potential TG3 official business.	39
	40
Called to order for comment resolution at 3:50 pm EST.	41
	42
CID 510: Jay to check all of the xrefs to make sure that they point to the correct location. Due Tuesday afternoon at 3:30 pm.	43
	44
	45
CID 513: REJECT. The participation of the PNC DME is not required to respond to this command as required by the draft standard. Thus the .indication and .response primitives are not required in this instance.	46
	47
	48
CID 43: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE: "Add NumberOfPiconets to describe how many PiconetDescriptionSet fields are specified. Add a parameter for the "NumberOfPSStructureSet" to specify how many PSStructureSet fields are specified. Add needs a NumberOfDEVInfoFields, 'type: integer, valid range: 2 to mMaxNumValidDEVs', add mMaxNumValidDEVs to table 64 with a value of 256-3-10 = 243, add text to 7.2.3 'The maximum number of valid DEVs, mMaxNumValidDEVs includes the PNC and the NbrIDs but not the reserved IDs, the BcstID, McstID or the UnassocID.', Add to 7.5.4.2, page 145, line 20, change 'broadcast	49
	50
	51
	52
	53
	54

and multicast ID.' to be 'the BcstID, the UnassocID, the McstID or the reserved IDs, {xref 7.2.3}.' in 8.3.3, change 'In addition, the PNC shall send the piconet information for each of the DEVs that are a member of the piconet at least once every mBroadcastDEVInfoDuration via a PNC information command.' to be 'In addition, the PNC shall send the piconet information for each of the DEVs once every mBroadcastDEVInfoDuration via a PNC information command. When the PNC broadcasts this command, the PNC shall include all DEVs that are associated in the piconet, including the DEV personality of the PNC, as well as an entry for the PNCID.', in 8.2.3, page 164 line 38 following 'to the chosen PNC capable DEV.' add 'In the PNC information command, the PNC shall include all DEVs that are associated in the piconet, including the DEV personality of the PNC, as well as an entry for the PNCID.' and a re-definition of the DEV InfoSet as follows:

Name: Piconet Description Set

Type: Set of PiconetDescriptions as defined in Table 6.

Valid Range: a set containing zero or more instances of a PiconetDescription

Description: The PiconetDescriptionSet is returned to indicate the results of the scan request.

Name: DEVInfoSet

Type: A set of DEVInfo fields as defined in {xref 7.5.4.2}.

Valid Range: a set containing 3 to mMaxNumValidDEV instances of fixed length DEVInfo fields.

Description: The DEVInfoSet is returned to indicate the results of a PNCInfo request.

Name: ACLRecordSet

Type: A set of ACLRecords as defined in {xref 7.5.4.4}

Valid Range: a set containing 0 or more instances of variable length ACLRecords. The maximum number of instances depends on the size of the records, {xref pMaxFrameSize} and the length of the secure command security fields, {xref 7.3.3.2}

Description: The ACLRecordSet is returned to indicate the results of a ACLInfo request."

CID 514: REJECT. The participation of the PNC DME is not required to respond to this command as required by the draft standard. Thus the .indication and .response primitives are not required in this instance.

CID 515: REJECT. The participation of the PNC DME is not required to respond to this command as required by the draft standard. Thus the .indication primitive is not required in this instance.

CID 516: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace the first sentence with 'The DME is informed of the PS mode change to ACTIVE.'

CID 588: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'PS mode' to be 'SPS and/or PSPS mode' and change this in figure 144, also on page 216 line 4, page 217 line 19 and page 281, line 13.

CID 593: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "number PS set structures" to "number of current PS sets", and "The PS set structure" to "Each PS set structure". Change 'Number of supported PS sets' to be 'Maximum Supported PS Sets' in Figure 92 and the following text. Also replace where it occurs in clause 8. Add a new field, "Number of Current PS Sets" with definition, "The Number of Current PS Sets field is a count of the number of PS set structures in this command as well as the number of currently active PS sets in the piconet.'

Recessed for dinner at 5:30 pm EST.

Meeting called to order at 6:41 pm EST

CID 824 - ACCEPT. Renumber 18.x as 17.x and update the rest of the numbers in the table accordingly.

CID 138 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 298.

CID 298 - ACCEPT	1
	2
CID 719 - ACCEPT	3
	4
CID 394 - PM renaming, table resolve after CID 509	5
	6
CID 388 - Table, is there another way to do this.	7
	8
CID 91 - Table, Gilb to write interoperability text	9
	10
CID 154 - Table, Reject using old text, JPKG to do this.	11
	12
CID 237 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add parameter to MLME-CREATE-ASIE.request:"ASIE-index", integer type, range is application specific, definition: 'Used to uniquely identify an ASIE.'	13
	14
	15
CID 168 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 237.	16
	17
CID 238 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add parameter to MLME-CREATE-ASIE.confirm: "ASIE-index" (note type, range and definition defined in CID 237.)	18
	19
	20
CID 169 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 238.	21
	22
CID 170 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add the ASIE index to the MLME's as indicated in CIDs 237 and 238.	23
	24
CID 173 - Withdrawn, 13 January 2003.	25
	26
CID 816 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This field is no longer used (and hasn't existed for at least 3 drafts). Delete the sentences "If the application data identifier field was set to "0" in the request, the MAC shall assign a new application data identifier that is different from that assigned to other current ASIEs. The "0" value application data identifier shall not be assigned to any ASIE. If the requested application data identifier belongs to an existing ASIE, the MAC shall modify the persistence of that ASIE, and reply with the same application data identifier in the indicate. If the repeat field an existing ASIE is set to "0", the PNC shall terminate the existing ASIE."	27
	28
	29
	30
	31
	32
	33
	34
CID 297 - ACCEPT.	35
	36
CID 125 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 297.	37
	38
CID 401 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 297.	39
	40
CID 403 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. After a DEV gains membership in the piconet, i.e. after it associates if authentication is not required or after it authenticates if authentication is required, the PNC broadcasts the PNC info command that contains not only the DEVID and DEV addresses of every DEV in the piconet, it also contains their capabilities. The complete list of DEVs in the piconet might make the beacon too long, so the standard uses the broadcast of the PNC info command, which can be fragmented, to communicate the list of DEVs in the piconet. This is described in 8.3.3. No change is required for the draft because this functionality is already provided.	41
	42
	43
	44
	45
	46
	47
	48
CID 404 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "Change the "Valid range" of "ResultCode" as follows: SUCCESS, TIMEOUT. Change the corresponding "Description" to 'Indicates if the primitive completed successfully or timed out.' In line 47, change "the result of the attempted association" to 'the reason why the attempted association failed as indicated in the association response command or indicates that the association was successful.'	49
	50
	51
	52
	53
	54

CID 406 - REJECT. The list of active DEVs in the piconet is passed to the DME via the MLME-PNC-INFO.confirm, see also the resolution of CID 403. This MLME is used to notify DEVs that are already in the piconet that a new DEV has joined. The DEVs that are already in the piconet should already have the membership information, if not they can request in a directed frame from the PNC using the PNC Info Request command.

CID 555 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This IE is only used to notify the existing members of the piconet about a new member that has just joined. DEVs that join the piconet after this DEV will find out about the existing DEVs in the piconet when the PNC broadcasts the PNC Info command after the new DEV joins the piconet. See also the resolution of CID 403. No change required for the draft since the requested capability is provided by the PNC Info command.

CID 453 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In Figure 49 change "Capabilities" to "Overall Capabilities" and in lines 14-15 change "The capabilities" to "the Overall Capabilities"

CID 627 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the name to mAssocRespConfirmTime which is defined in 8.15, Table 64.

CID 629 - REJECT. The PNC info command provides the requested functionality as described in 8.3.3. Thus the DEV association IE does not need to be expanded. See also the resolution of CID 403.

CID 75 - ACCEPT.

CID 630 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'ack with' to 'Imm-ACK with'. (2 places) The association IE is sufficient for this process as the PNC info command will be used to update the new DEV with the complete membership in the piconet as described in 8.3.3. See also the resolution of CID 403.

CID 634 - REJECT. The association IE serves two purposes. The first is to tell other DEVs in the piconet that a new DEV has joined. The second, perhaps more important purpose is that this IE is used to complete the association process for the requesting DEV. When the DEV receives this IE in the beacon, it knows that it has successfully associated.

CID 643 - ACCEPT.

CID 642 - REJECT. DEVs that remain associated already know the members of the piconet (or they can find out by requesting this information from the PNC with the PNC info command). They do need to know when a DEV is disassociated and the association IE provides this information.

CID 644 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "ack" and "ACK" to "Imm-ACK", and "ASSOCIATE-INFO" to "ASSOCIATION-INFO" As indicated in the resolution of CID 642, the association IE is sufficient to inform the DEVs in the piconet that a DEV has disassociated from the piconet. See also the resolution of CID 403.

CID 42 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Define mAssocRespConfirmTime to be  $4 * mMaxSuperframeDuration$ .

CID 314 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 42.

CID 142 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 42.

CID 378 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 42.

CID 256 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 42.

CID 218 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 42.

CID 155 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 42.	1
	2
CID 228 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 42.	3
	4
CID 92 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 42.	5
	6
CID 712 - REJECT. The source DEV finds out information about the CTA in channel time request process. Some of the information is sent by the source to the PNC with the channel time request command and some of the information is passed back by the PNC to the source DEV with the channel time response command. The only DEV not involved in the negotiation is the destination and so it is the only intended target of this information element.	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
CID 77 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'If the CAP is present in the superframe, ...' to be 'If the CAP is present in the superframe and the PNC allows data in the CAP, ...'.	13
	14
	15
CID 146 - ACCEPT.	16
	17
CID 279 - ACCEPT.	18
	19
CID 291 - ACCEPT.	20
	21
CID 126 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 291.	22
	23
CID 277 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 291.	24
	25
CID 650 - ACCEPT. See also CID 291.	26
	27
CID 493 - REJECT. The MAC/MLME does not perform any measurements, rather the DME responds via MLME-CHANNEL-STATUS.response primitive with the numbers that it has been collecting over a previous measurement window size.	28
	29
	30
	31
CID 492 - REJECT. These parameters are not coming from the requestor, rather the DME is keeping track of the channel status so that it can compute channel time requests and to determine which PHY data rates to use.	32
	33
	34
	35
CID 554 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change to 'The stream index, 7.2.5, indicates the stream corresponding to the channel time allocation.'	36
	37
	38
CID 561 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "about certain characteristics of the CTAs" to "of certain characteristics of a CTA". An allocated CTA would be an allocated channel time allocation, which would be redundant.	39
	40
	41
	42
CID 476 - Tabled, M. Schrader to write a definition for SPS and ACTIVE CTAs	43
	44
<b>1.2.1 Waking up HIBERNATE mode DEVS</b>	45
	46
PM/Wakeup CID 262, CID 98, CID 384, CID 224, CID 234, CID 320, CID 161, CID 99, CID 235, CID 385, CID 321, CID 225, CID 162, CID 263, CID 255, CID 260, CID 382, CID 318, CID 96, CID 222, CID 232, CID 159, CID 97, CID 319, CID 261, CID 160, CID 233, CID 223, CID 383, CID 100, CID 386, CID 322, CID 163, CID 236, CID 226	47
	48
	49
	50
	51
Allow DEV to request CTAs with HIBERNATE DEV. PNC allows or rejects and responds with the channel time response command but doesn't allocate until the HIBERNATE DEV changes mode to ACTIVE. If it	52
	53
	54

accepted, use Reason Code “Success, target DEV in HIBERNATE mode” When the DEV wakes up, begin allocating the CTAs as normal with a CTA status IE to notify people.

## 2. Text for resolutions

Attempt at merged text for requesting channel time with either an SPS DEV or a HIBERNATE DEV.

### 8.5.1.1

(new text)

If the target DEV is in either SPS or HIBERNATE mode and the PNC grants the channel time request, the PNC shall set the Reason Code in the Channel Time Response command to “Success, DEV in PS mode.” The PNC shall place the PCTM IE in the beacon with a bit set for the target DEV, 7.4.8.

If the Target DEV is in HIBERNATE mode, when it receives a beacon with its bit set in the PCTM IE, it shall send a PS mode change command to the PNC. If the DEV wants to remain in HIBERNATE mode it shall set the PS mode field in the PS mode change command to ‘HIBERNATE’. The PNC shall then terminate the stream, 8.5.1.3.

If the HIBERNATE DEV wishes to listen to the new allocation, it shall set the PS mode field in the PS mode change command to ‘ACTIVE’. The PNC shall then begin allocating the channel time in the beacon for the stream.

If the PNC does not receive the PS change command from the HIBERNATE DEV within a timeout determined by the PNC, the PNC shall terminate the channel time request, 8.5.1.3, and unset the HIBERNATE DEV’s bit in the PCTM IE.

If the Target DEV is SPS mode, when it receives a beacon with its bit set in the PCTM IE, it shall send a PS mode change command to the PNC. In the SPS DEV’s next wake superframe the PNC shall provide, a CTA with the SPS DEV as the source and the PNC as the destination that is long enough to handle a PS change command and a channel time request command with 4 isochronous CTRBs. This allows the SPS DEV to request a change to one of the current channel time allocations, to request new channel time or to request that a channel time allocation be terminated.

The SPS DEV shall use this CTA to send a PS mode change command to the PNC. On receipt of the PS change command, the PNC shall begin inserting the CTAs for the granted channel time into the first beacon after the SPS DEV’s next wake beacon. If the PS mode change command indicated that the DEV is switching to ACTIVE mode, the PNC shall no longer set the bits for the DEV in the PS status IEs. If the DEV did not wish to be switched to ACTIVE mode, it shall use the PS mode change command to continue in SPS mode by setting the PS mode field to ‘PS’ and shall request that the PNC terminate the stream, 8.5.1.3.

If the PNC does not receive the PS change command from the SPS DEV, it shall allocate channel time for the DEV in up to 3 additional wake beacons. If the PNC does not receive the PS change command from the SPS DEV in any of these beacons, it shall give up and terminate the channel time request, 8.5.1.3.

### 8.5.2.1

(new text)

### 3. Status summary

#### 3.1 Status at opening of Ft. Lauderdale

**Table 1—Ballot resolution at opening of Ft. Lauderdale meeting**

Type	SB1
T (technical)	447
E (editorial)	379
Total	826

#### 3.2 Running status at Ft. Lauderdale

**Table 2—Ballot resolution at opening of Ft. Lauderdale meeting**

Type	SB1	10 pm, 1/13/03	10 pm, 1/14/03	6 pm, 1/15/03	10 pm, 1/16/03
T (technical)	447	361	225		
E (editorial)	379	378	378		
Total remaining	826	739	603		
Total resolved/day	N/A	87	136		

#### 3.3 Status at closing in Ft. Lauderdale

**Table 3—Ballot resolution as of close of Ft. Lauderdale meeting**

Type	SB1	SB1 (after resolution)	Unresolved as of 17 January, 2002
T (technical)	447		
E (editorial)	379		
Total	826		

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54