

IEEE P802.15
Wireless Personal Area Networks

Project	IEEE P802.15 Working Group for Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPANs)	
Title	TG3 SB1 comment resolution	
Date Submitted	[23 January, 2003]	
Source	[James P. K. Gilb] [Apparent Technologies] [15373 Innovation Drive, #210, San Diego, CA 92129]	Voice: [858-485-6401] Fax: [858-485-6406] E-mail: [gilb@ieee.org]
Re:	[]	
Abstract	[This document is a record of comment resolutions for SB1.]	
Purpose	[To provide a record of the comment resolution for SB1.]	
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist the IEEE P802.15. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.	
Release	The contributor acknowledges and accepts that this contribution becomes the property of IEEE and may be made publicly available by P802.15.	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

1. Conference calls

1.1 Thursday, 23 January 2003

Agenda

Roll call

Assign orphan CIDs 821, 576, 510, 172,

Resolve comments, CIDs 546, 528, 350

PM/PS mode naming: CIDs 394, 511, 586, 769, 477, 509

Fixes: CIDs 35, 47, 347, 342, 774, 59, 606, 820.

Adjourn.

CID 546 **Suggest reject.** The two fields 'max burst' and 'max frames' have two different uses. 'Max burst' indicates how many frames of the pMaxFrameSize length the destination can handle in one dly-ACK burst sequence. This value represents a buffering limitation in the destination DEV, i.e. what is the total storage capacity for data frame payloads that can be allotted before the destination MAC needs to get chance to process a burst. The destination may also be designed to arbitrate memory between different streams, e.g. every stream get a limited amount of memory, or every stream gets access to more memory for a limited time. The source DEV may send more frames than 'max burst' if their total frame body lengths are shorter than or equal to pMaxFrameSize * max burst. The 'max frames' field indicates another limitation in the destination DEV. The receiver function may only be able to store a certain amount of the 16 bit MPDU-IDs. There may also be a limitation of storage capacity for headers. These two limitations may also be per stream, totally, or any other implementation dependent limitation. A common application domain for 802.15.3 is low cost, low power, limited footprint devices with very limited amount of memory, so the protocol must provide a method to communicate such restrictions between the destination and source devices.

CID 528 (Barr) - Incorrect specification in line 17. Delete the last statement of the 3rd paragraph. **Suggest accept in principle.** "This text replaces the 3rd paragraph of clause 7 on page 107 lines 14-17:

"For a frame to be correctly received by the MAC it shall pass the frame check sequence, have a protocol revision supported by the MAC, have a DestID equal to DEVID, BcstID, McstID or when applicable PNCID, and have a PNID equal to the PNID of the piconet with which the DEV is synchronized. The MAC shall ACK all correctly received frames with ACK policy set to Imm-ACK and DestID is the DEVID or when applicable the PNCID. If a DEV correctly receives a frame from an unassociated DEV it may ignore the frame and may choose not to respond to the frame. If authentication is required and a DEV correctly receives a frame from an unauthenticated DEV, it shall ignore the frame and shall not respond to the frame."

CID 350 (Struik) - Incorporate proper security notions throughout the Draft, defined in line with well-established cryptographic practice. We give an example of improper usage: in Clause 3, Page 5, line 21, 'authentication' is confused with 'authorization', since 'authentication' refers to 'evidence as to the true source of information or the true identity of entities' (see, e.g., the Handbook of Applied Cryptography, or Slide 2 of 02/114r5), whereas 'authorization' refers to 'assurance that an entity may perform specific operations'. This improper/sloppy use of terminology leads to misleading claims regarding security services offered. The following terms in Clause 3 need more accurate definitions: authentication, authentic data, integrity code, key establishment, key management, key transport, nonce, symmetric key. I am - again - prepared to offer help, but this would assume flexibility and an open mind from the assistant security editor as well. Let us try again. **Suggest reject:** The definitions in clause 3 are sufficient for the purposes of this standard and their usage is consistent within the draft standard.

1.1.1 PM/PS naming

CID 394, 511, 586, 769, 477, 509

1.1.2 Fixes

CIDs 35, 47, 347, 342

CID 774 - "All other CTAs and intervals" What interval? CTR, SPS, beacon, ... I suggest "All other CTAs and unallocated time"

CID 59: 8.6.4, page 198, line 35: "If any DEV is in PSPS or SPS mode, the first IE announcement shall be made in a system wake beacon." Comment: SPS devices don't (necessarily) listen to system wake beacons. Resolution: delete "or SPS" from text. Problem: the original text describes the PNC policy. The PNC must decide somewhere to start putting the IE. Hibernation and SPS DEV may ignore the announcements made in the system wake beacon, but at least all DEVs should know where to look for such an announcement. A better solution: "If any DEV is in power save mode, the first IE announcement shall be made in a system wake beacon." This doesn't change any requirement on the DEVs, it is still only the PSPS DEVs (and ACTIVE of course) that shall listen, all others may.

CID 606: 8.2.3, page 165, line 23: "The parent PNC shall not hand over to a DEV that is currently operating as a dependent PNC." Comment: Remove restriction Resolution: Resolve as CID 139. Problem: CID 139, CID 215 and CID 352 deals with handover inside the dependent network, i.e. a dependent PNC handing over to a dependent DEV. The mentioned restriction has nothing to do with that. It says that the parent PNC cannot handover to the dependent PNC. This must still be true, because you have no way of merging the parent and dependent piconets. You will most likely get collisions in DEVID, StreamId, SPS Id, etc. A better solution: Leave this line as is. It is not related to the things you are trying to solve.

CID 820 8.5, page 187, line 23: "Each DEV shall support at least one isochronous stream." PICS, page 393, Table E.4: MLF13 - Isochronous stream in a dynamic CTA - at least one - 8.5 - M Comment: Get rid of requirement in 8.5 Resolution: ACCEPT. Also delete from the PICS. Problem: Deleting requirement on DEV is OK, the problem is that if we delete the MLF 13 in the PICS we have no requirement that the PNC can allocate a single stream! We have the requirement that PNC cal understand a CT request command, but not that it can allocate a stream. Better solution: Change PICS from M to FD2:M

1.1.3 Dependent handover

CID 352 (Gilb) - What happens in the event of a handover of the child PNC, where the new child PNC is not part of the parent piconet?

CID 139 (Gilb) - The current draft does not provide support by the Parent PNC for the handover of the dependent PNC to another DEV in the dependent piconet. For example, the DEV chosen for handover may not be a member of the parent piconet. It may not be possible, due to security or physical limitations, for the DEV to join the parent piconet. Fix handover of dependnet PNCs or delete dependnet networks from the draft.

CID 215 (Gilb) - Is PNC handover permitted within dependent piconets? If "yes," should not the handover procedure incorporate the parent piconet? If it weren't permitted, how would, e.g., the new PNC get apprised of the parent PNC's change, if one were to happen? So it would seem that some form of communicability requirement within the dependent piconet is required with the parent PNC ... Please clarify- either explicitly state that such behavior is permitted or forbidden & provide parental PNC approval if permitted.

CID 1 (Gilb) - This paragraph (clause) does not clearly specify how dependent piconets are handled during a PNC handover. Is CTRB information for a child piconet transferred to the new PNC? Is the new PNC obli-

1 gated to determine where the CTA for the child piconet should go even though CTA information is not trans-
2 ferred to the new PNC? Is CTRB information for a neighbor piconet transferred to the new PNC even though
3 the neighbor PNC is not a member of the piconet that is being handed over? Is the new PNC obligated to
4 determine where the CTA for a neighbor piconet should go even though CTA information is not transferred
5 to the new PNC?

6 7 **1.1.4 CIDs still pending**

8
9 CID 821 (??) Spec does not define what determines a "Lost Beacon". Is it just not receiving a beacon frame
10 type at the expected time? Or if data within the beacon is wrong or unexpected (such as PNID, DestID,
11 SrcID, Time Token), such that the beacon be ignored and lost beacon counter incremented? Some of this is
12 implied but not explicitly specified. Add table or text to describe which info within a beacon must be validi-
13 dated. Section 8.6.3, "Beacon Reception," would be a good location for such info.

14
15 CID 576 (??) - Ambiguous definition in lines 5-6: How would this command be responded when the DestID
16 is set to the BcstID? Describe the response or delete the statement.

17
18 CID 510 (??) Unspecific Valid range and Description in Tables 29 and 30. Replace "As defined in..." with
19 specific valid range or description."

20
21 CID 172 (??) - [PiconetService] Seems there is a need for an MLME-PICONET-SERVICES.indication/
22 response set of primitives. During association a DEV can set its PiconetServiceInquiry bit to request a list of
23 piconet services from the PNC. The response to the services request bit is independent of the association
24 response. Also I'm assuming that since the Services database is not managed by the MAC or MLME, that
25 the PNC DME or some other protocol layer needs to receive some sort of notification that a request for ser-
26 vices information has been received. Consequently, the current description of the piconet services function-
27 ality is incomplete and not acceptable. Add the missing MLME primitives regarding piconet services or
28 delete all references to piconet services. CID 721 (Odman) - Is the receiving MAC supposed to wait for any
29 missing frames? If so, for how long? For instance, the sender sent 5 consecutive frames, of which frame 1
30 was not received by the recipient but was discarded by the sender after its last transmission (due to exceeding
31 delay limit. Should the recipient hold all the received frames after frame 1 in waiting for frame 1? The issue
32 is resolved in a similar mechanism defined in the latest 802.11e draft, which introduces a field in the frame
33 requesting a Dly-ACK to indicate a Sequence Control value such that all frames with a smaller Sequence
34 Control value have been discarded by the sender and hence should not be awaited by the recipient. This
35 expedites the delivery of received frames to the upper layer in the case of missing frames at the recipient.
36 Resolve this synchronization issue.

37
38 CID 72 (Bain) - Other specifications of management attributes typically call out not only the static vs.
39 dynamic nature but also include the characteristic of "read", "write", and read/write. This standard should
40 apply this to all PIB tables in clauses 6 and 11. make requested change.

41
42 CID 91 (Gilb) - I have a problem with this standard. I believe 15.3 should have been completely interoper-
43 able with 15.1, 15.3 and 11b. Although it seems that 15.3 has put some effort towards that goal, it did not take
44 the last steps, which are essential. The result is that 802 is now sending quite a confused message to the mar-
45 ket. What device should the portable/mobile computer be equipped with? 11g? 15.1? 15.3? All of the above?
46 Neither? Does 802.15 have any roadmap towards some kind of unification? Despite of that, I voted
47 "approve", because I appreciate the effort put into the standard. However, I would like to see, or more
48 importantly, I want RevCom to see the group rebuttal, and I hope some effort towards a more interoperable
49 WPAN standard is going to be made. Make the change as requested.

50
51 CID 216 (Gilb) - The paragraph seems to assume behaviors of equipment which don't exist- and can't exist
52 without some kind of a PAR in 802.11. 802.11 AP's (not 11b AP's) do not have any optional or normative
53 ability to request neighbor piconet status. And, change the paragraph to "802.11 overlapping with
54 802.15.3..." Remove the paragraph. However, coexistence in timeCAN be accommodated if the INFORMA-

TION element that was approved (see 802.15.2 coexistence) is used by the 2.4GHz AP. Please state something to that effect.

CID 323 (Schrader) - Collecting channel status for each source DEV in the piconet will add a substantial burden to any simple DEV and it will provide questionable benefits. Any DEV using ImmACK or Del-ACK will know if the frames are getting through. A DEV should be able to respond that it doesn't provide channel response statistics. Add the following sentence: A measurement window size of zero indicates that the responding DEV does not provide channel status statistics

CID 476 (Schrader) - Ambiguous statement in lines 15-16: What is an "ACTIVE channel time allocation" and what is an "SPS (not just PS?) channel time allocation"? Clarify the ambiguity.

CID 204, CID 254 (Heberling) - [MCTA] We need a little better specification on how often MCTA are allocated to assure that the PNCRespTime can be met. Please add this new text, starting after the sentence beginning: "When MCTA are used...": "The PNC shall allocate MCTA assigned to a DEV, open MCTA or both. The frequency of assigned MCTA shall be at least CTRRespTime, as defined in the beacon. If only open MCTA are used, the PNC shall allocate at least one open MCTA per DEV and CTRRestTime. The PNC may reduce the MCTA allocation frequency for power save DEVs, and for DEVs requesting a longer interval between assigned MCTA using the CTR command, 7.5.5.1. Special rules power save DEVs is listed in 8.13.1, 8.13.2.2 and 8.13.3"

CID 275 (Schrader) - The CTRB's CTR interval field is currently unused for async requests. It should probably be put to use. A couple of possibilities are suggested below. Other uses may also be useful. 1) One possibility is that for async CTRBs, the CTR interval type field be required to be 0 (super-rate), and the CTR interval field be interpreted in the usual super-rate fashion. 2) Another possibility is to use the CTRB's CTR interval field to encode the maximum amount of time the requestor can use during any single superframe.

CID 677 (Shvodian) - Incorrect illustrations in Figure 107, Figure 108, and Figure 109. Change "SIFS" to "MIFS" in Figure 107 (3 occurrences). Delete "CTR time unit" (which does not necessarily cover a whole frame plus MIFS due to variable frame sizes) from all the three figures. Change "SIFS" to "MIFS" after "Frame 1" and "Frame 2", respectively, in Figure 109.

CID 357 (Shvodian) - One can save 1 byte in each MAC header, by encoding information for the Fragment Control Field differently. The current encoding is unnecessarily wasteful. Suggested remedy: The Frame Control Field consists of 3 bytes, including the last fragment number, say N (7 bits), the current fragment number, say i (7 bits). Obviously, one has $0 \leq i \leq N$. One uses the natural ordering of fragments: 0,1,2,3,...,N. Since, if a frame is lost in a stream, the whole stream is discarded, one can use the following more economical encoding for the Fragment Control Field: (a) Fragment number, say i (7 bits); (b) Indication as to whether a fragment is the first one (1 bit). Natural ordering of fragments: N,N-1, N-2, ..., 2, 1, 0. The 1-bit indicator (b above) indicates whether one is dealing with the first frame in a fragmented message or not. If so, one knows that the corresponding frame number is the highest one to expect. Then one just counts down. Note that the fragment number i now indicates the number of fragments one still has to receive. Adopting this encoding would save 6 bits compared to the current encoding. Moreover, one does not need to firmly know the total frame size in advance, only an estimate. So, accidental out-of-order receipt of the first fragment does not really hurt. The Frame Control Field (Clause 7.2.1) has 5 reserved bits. The Fragment Control Field (Clause 7.2.4, with my suggestion) would have 7 reserved bits. Combining both the frame and the fragment control field and pooling reserved bits would yield 12 reserved bits. It seems reasonable to cut down this number of reserved bits by 1 byte (12 @ 4 reserved bits), thus cutting down the total number of bytes that has to be communicated in EVERY frame header (thus in every frame) by 1, from 10 to 9 bytes. Suggested remedy: Change the draft in line with the more economical representation given above and adapt all impacted text. The Frame Control Field consists of 3 bytes, including the last fragment number, say N (7 bits), the current fragment number, say i (7 bits). Obviously, one has $0 \leq i \leq N$. One uses the natural ordering of fragments: 0,1,2,3,...,N. Since, if a frame is lost in a stream, the whole stream is discarded, one can use the following more economical encoding for the Fragment Control Field: (a) Fragment number, say i (7 bits); (b) Indica-

tion as to whether a fragment is the first one (1 bit). Natural ordering of fragments: N,N-1, N-2, ..., 2, 1, 0. The 1-bit indicator (b above) indicates whether one is dealing with the first frame in a fragmented message or not. If so, one knows that the corresponding frame number is the highest one to expect. Then one just counts down. Note that the fragment number *i* now indicates the number of fragments one still has to receive. Adopting this encoding would save 6 bits compared to the current encoding. Moreover, one does not need to firmly know the total frame size in advance, only an estimate. So, accidental out-of-order receipt of the first fragment does not really hurt. The Frame Control Field (Clause 7.2.1) has 5 reserved bits. The Fragment Control Field (Clause 7.2.4, with my suggestion) would have 7 reserved bits. Combining both the frame and the fragment control field and pooling reserved bits would yield 12 reserved bits. It seems reasonable to cut down this number of reserved bits by 1 byte (12 @ 4 reserved bits), thus cutting down the total number of bytes that has to be communicated in EVERY frame header (thus in every frame) by 1, from 10 to 9 bytes. Suggested remedy: Change the draft in line with the more economical representation given above and adapt all impacted text.

CID 174 (Heberling) - [FrmFrmt] Figure 7 (Frame payload) and Figure 8 (Secure payload) indicate two different types of payloads, yet only the secure payload is partially described. Add a definition for the frame payload field. Also, add information to the secure payload definition to clarify the difference between the Frame payload and the secure payload.

CID 313 (Gilb) - The low EVM values for the QAM modes will require very flat amplitude and group delay responses from the transmit filters - and hence greater cost. It seems likely that any demodulator that implements the QAM modes will include an equaliser quite capable of correcting moderate amounts of distortion in the transmitter anyway. Allow the ideal receiver used to measure these parameters to include an equaliser - perhaps also specify some larger EVM values for an unequalised measurement to keep some limits on the level of distortion allowed.

CID 53 (Gilb) - It is presumed that the DME should have the values of rates for the PHY to allow calculation of CTRs. The PHY-PIB should have a list of actual rates corresponding to the indexed data rate that the MAC relates to the PHY for each frame sent. make the requested changes.

CID 331 (Shvodian) - Early on, the power save text had a separate mode called reduced power save, when a DEV didn't listen to slots (excuse me, CTAs) that were not assigned to him. At the time we decided that DEVs only ever listen to slots that are explicitly assigned to them. I now believe there is a case where it would be beneficial to have DEVs that listen to all channel time regardless of the destination ID. Some have raised the issue of the ability to do statistical multiplexing between various streams effectively. There are some complicated ways to do this, but there is a simple way: have DEVs that are not power sensitive listen to all channel time, regardless of the assigned destination DevID. Add a capability bit to the PNC capabilities field (OK, this is not the best place for it but there are reserved bits) called "receive always." A DEV transmitting to another DEV that has the "receive always" bit set can send frames to that DEV in any CTA assigned to the transmitting DEV, regardless of the destination DEVID of the CTA.

CID 21 (Singer) - Impact of child/neighbor piconets on security needs further definition. Update clause 9.3.2 to detail that a child PNC is handled just like any other DEV and a neighbor PNC is allowed to send a subset of commands without security.

CID 299 (Bain) - The sentence "The association process does not wait for the piconet services command to complete." can result in problems. For example, if the association process completes before the PNC transmits the piconet services command, the newly associated dev would not receive the command because the command is addressed to the UnssocID and not the associated DEVs newly acquired DEVID. Change: "If the DEV sets the piconet services inquiry bit, the PNC shall send the piconet services command, 7.5.4.6, with DestID set to UnassocID. The association process does not wait for the piconet services command to complete." To: "If the DEV sets the piconet services inquiry bit, the PNC shall send the piconet services command, 7.5.4.6, with DestID set to UnassocID before it allocates a DEVID to the associating DEV via the association response command."

CID 425 (Singer) - Definition for MLME-SECID-UPDATE.confirm missing! Create a subclause to define the MLME-SECID-UPDATE.confirm primitive.

CID 14 (Singer) - The MAC currently has no PIB group for peer to peer relationships. Replicate Table 37 for peer to peer relationships.

1.2 Tuesday, 21 January 2003

Attendees: James Gilb, Jay Bain, Allen Heberling, Bill Shvodian, Ari Singer, Knut Odman, John Sarallo, Mark Schrader, John Barr.

Meeting called to order at 8:09 am PST.

- Schedule for future calls and volunteers to host.
- Editing instructions
- Review of status of CIDs that need to be written.
- CIDs 721, 323, 204, 254, 357, 331 and 299
 - - Assign responsible person
 - - Assign due date.
- Drafting schedule for sponsor re-circulation.

Schedule will be 23 Jan. 2003 - ADH, 28 Jan. 2003 John Barr, 30 Jan. 2003 Ari Singer, 4 Feb. 2003 John Barr, 6 Feb 2003 James Gilb.

Editing instructions: James will mail out editorial instructions, schedule and spreadsheet.

John Barr will look into how TG4 mapped 48 bit to 64 bit (CID 117).

Review status - All CIDs assigned.

CID 416 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add the parameter here and add the SECID field to the frame formats in figure 59, 60, 61, 62. The SECID should not be included in the request key command nor appear in the request key indication MLME. A DEV is asking for the latest symmetric key from the security manager (PNID for shared key or DEVID for peer-to-peer key) when it issues the request key command. It does not know the current SECID for the peer-to-peer or piconet shared key, only the management key for the relationship. Section 7.2.7.1 describes the SECID field and how a security manager communicates new values of that key:

"The SECID for management keys is communicated to a DEV in a successful authentication protocol by the security manager in the challenge request command 7.5.2.3. The SECID for data keys is communicated to a DEV by the security manager in a distribute key request command, 7.5.2.7, or a request key response command, 7.5.2.6."

The proper resolution of this comment should be:

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. A DEV is always requesting the current symmetric key associated with a security relationship when using the request key command. By sending a request key command to the TrgtID DEV, the security manager will know which relationship (TrgtID-OrigID) to reference for the key. The request key indication provides the OrigID and should also provide the TrgtID contained in the frame so the DEV can determine whether this message is for the piconet security manager or the peer security manager. Add TrgtID following the OrigID parameter in the request key indication MLME. The target DEV must send back the current SECID with the latest symmetric key. For the distribute key command, the originating security manager must designate the SECID value along with the symmetric key. To complete the protocol, the

receiving DEV needs to send back the SECID that it received. Add SECID field to the frame format in Figure 60, 61, and 62. On page 141, lines 38-39, remove the second sentence of the first paragraph of section 7.5.2.5, "The SECID is the unique identifier for the security relationship with which the distributed key is associated."

Accept.

The concern in CID 24 was that if a DEV fails to hear a beacon or chooses not to update its LastValidTimeToken when the key is changing, it will send a frame with a time token that doesn't match what other DEVs are using and the integrity code will fail. There is also the possibility of a DoS attack whereby an attacker inserts a beacon with a bogus SECID and a timetoken that is several seconds ahead of the current one. If it does this a few times, it might convince several devices that the time is far ahead of the actual time. When the device stops the attack, the beacon that the PNC is sending will have an "old" time token according to those devices and the beacon will be rejected until the time token catches up to the attacker's value (or the device gives up and disassociates). I think the following changes should solve the initial problem and make it so that the worst an attacker can do is trick a DEV into thinking the time token is aMaxTimeTokenChange - 1 away from the correct value.

Note that I also made a couple of additional fixes in the same area. I added a change in this text to point out that the beacon might be protected with the old piconet group data key, which will be the case after the PNC has sent the distribute key command but before the key is changed in the beacon (see 9.2.5). I also changed things so that the current time token is set when the device authenticates, not when it gets a valid beacon with a key it knows (this puts a time limit for the device to get a valid key within 65,000 superframes or so, but that shouldn't be a problem).

Otherwise, how can it verify the distribute key command? The risk is that an attacker might modify the time token in the beacon of the final authentication message, but if that time token value is integrity protected in the authentication protocol, the devices will detect it and the authentication will fail (which the attacker could cause anyway).

(1) Page 233, lines 13-28: Change "An associated device that has not yet authenticated to the PNC and received the piconet group data key shall accept all secure beacons and ignore the integrity code, SECID and secure frame counter. After the DEV has received the piconet group data key, 9.8.6, and verified the integrity code on a beacon, it shall set the LastValidTimeToken to be the time token in that beacon. When a DEV receives a secure beacon frame (a beacon with the SEC field in the frame control field set to 1), the DEV shall determine if the received time token is greater than the LastValidTimeToken and less than the LastValidTimeToken + aMaxTimeTokenChange. If not, the MLME shall return an MLME-SECURITY-ERROR.indication to the DME with the ReasonCode set to BAD-TIME-TOKEN and shall not perform any additional operations on the received beacon. The DEV shall also determine if the SECID matches the SECID of the piconet wide group data key stored in the MACPIB_DataKeyInfo field in the MAC PIB, see Table 37. If the SECID does not match, the DEV may set the LastValidTimeToken to the value in the beacon and send a key request command to the PNC to obtain the new piconet group data key. If both of these checks succeed, the DEV shall check the integrity code on the beacon using the piconet wide group data key. If this succeeds, the DEV shall accept the beacon and set the LastValidTimeToken to be the time token in the beacon."

to

"An associated device that has not yet authenticated to the PNC and received the piconet group data key shall accept all secure beacons and ignore the integrity code, SECID and secure frame counter. When the DEV has been authenticated, it shall set the LastValidTimeToken and CurrentTimeToken to be the time token in that beacon.

When a DEV receives a secure beacon frame (a beacon with the SEC field in the frame control field set to 1), the DEV shall determine if the received time token is greater than the CurrentTimeToken and less than the LastValidTimeToken + aMaxTimeTokenChange. If not, the MLME shall return an MLME-SECURITY-ERROR.indication to the DME with the ReasonCode set to BAD-TIME-TOKEN and shall not perform any additional operations on the received beacon. The DEV shall also determine if the SECID matches the SECID of the piconet-wide group data key stored in the MACPIB_DataKeyInfo field in the MAC PIB, see Table 37, or the SECID of a valid old piconet-wide group data key, see 9.2.5. If the SECID does not match, the DEV may set the CurrentTimeToken to the value in the beacon and send a key request command to the PNC to obtain the new piconet group data key. If both of these checks succeed, the DEV shall check the integrity code on the beacon using the piconet wide group data key. If this succeeds, the DEV shall accept the beacon and set the LastValidTimeToken and CurrentTimeToken to be the time token in the beacon."

(2) Pg. 232, line 49: Change "current time token" to "CurrentTimeToken."

This still doesn't solve the problem if the DEV fails to hear a particular time token. I'm not sure if a DEV can operate properly in a superframe in which it misses a beacon anyway, but if it can, we could also add the following change:

3) Pg. 233, line 28: Add the following to the end of this paragraph. "If the DEV is able to determine that it missed a beacon or that the beacon was corrupted and if CurrentTimeToken is less than LastValidTimeToken + aMaxTimeTokenChange - 1, the DEV should increment the CurrentTimeToken to maintain synchronization with other DEVs in the piconet."

We might also add a note for clarity (if desired) after the second sentence of the second paragraph in the above text that says "Note that if the time token sent by the PNC is greater than LastValidTimeToken + aMaxTimeTokenChange, the DEV will never recover the correct time token and will need to re-authenticate in the piconet."

Resolution is to accept the changes.

CIDs 721 - Knut Odman due 1/24

CID 323 - Mark Schrader

CID 204, 254 - Allen Heberling, due 1/28

CID 357 - Bill Shvodian due 1/27,

CID 331 - Bill Shvodian due 1/27

CID 299 - Jay Bain due 1/24

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

2. Comment resolution in Ft. Lauderdale

2.1 Thursday, 16 January 2003

Meeting called to order at 8:03 am EST

CID 152 - REJECT. Using the MIFS instead of the SIFS with no-ACK frames can provide an improvement in the throughput of 8%. One of the key applications of 802.15.3 is streaming applications such as music and video which typically would be sent with either a no-ACK or Dly-ACK policy. At 55 Mb/s this is equivalent to 4.4 Mb/s, almost enough for an additional SDTV stream. This does require that the receiver process unload its input queue somewhat faster, but this can be handled in hardware.

CID 154 - REJECT. The ASIE is intended to be included in the beacon as an announcement. A command cannot be sent in the beacon so the vendor specific command would not be applicable to solve this need. The ASIE was put in to enable new functionality for some DEVs without breaking compatibility for all DEVs. Since the TG cannot possibly foresee all uses that might be required, this is left to be defined by the vendors.

2.1.1 Dly-ACK

CID 544 - REJECT. The Max Burst refers to the size of the remaining buffer on the receiver, so therefore it would include frame 3 in the example. The Max Burst is re-negotiated each time Dly-ACK is used. In the example, if the buffer held 8 frames, after the first burst, 3 would be filed (frames 1, 3 and 5) and so the next Max Burst would be set to 5 instead of 8. If there no more space available, the DEV would set Max Burst would be equal to 1.

CID 545 - REJECT. While it would be clear to some implementers that this is for pMaxFrameSize, others may not make this interpretation. If it is obvious that these are all of pMaxFrameSize, then it doesn't change the specification to explicitly indicate that they are of that size here.

CID 546 REJECT. Two variables are needed, the total amount that can be sent as well as the number of frames that the destination DEV is able to handle. The number of frames is important because there are physical limitations in the Dly-ACK reception. The other reason is that there are physical limitations in the buffer implementation, e.g. addressing.

CID 333 - ACCEPT

CID 332 - ACCEPT. Resolve as indicated in CID 333.

CID 334 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The terms 'right' and 'left' are ambiguous. Change 'concatenation' to be 'concatenated as the lower order octets' and 'appending' with 'appending as the lower order octets'

CID 335 - REJECT. The proposed resolution (in document 03/046r1) only replaces the equation with a sentence. Either are correct, but the equation is less likely to lead to misinterpretation. Finally, first M octets is unambiguous whereas 'left' and 'right' are open to interpretation.

CID 336 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The terms 'right' and 'left' are ambiguous. Change 'concatenation' to be 'concatenated as the lower order octets'.

CID 337 - ACCEPT.

CID 340 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add text that indicates that the ACL will potentially contain more than 256 DEVs as you may want to keep track of DEVs that move in and out of the piconet.

CID 343 - Resolve in the same manner as other 'remove Ntru comments'	1
	2
CID 341 - REJECT. Annex C is an informative annex and information on the threat models is not required for proper implementation of the standard.	3
	4
	5
CID 342 - REJECT. Annex C is an informative annex to provide additional security considerations. They provide arguments that indicate why the present method was selected but are not part of the requirements for the standard. The security arguments are not required to ensure compliance with the standard.	6
	7
	8
	9
CID 39 - Withdrawn, 16 January 2003.	10
	11
CID 346 - REJECT. Annex C is an informatve annex. The analysis in Annex C is felt to be a proper analysis. The annex details the ways in which the present method differs from TLS and addresses those issues.	12
	13
	14
CID 20 - Withdrawn, 16 January 2003.	15
	16
CID 15 - Resolve with 'remove all security suites'	17
	18
CID 16 - ACCEPT.	19
	20
CID 409 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the "Valid range" of "ResultCode" as follows: COMPLETED, TIMEOUT. Change the corresponding "Description" to "Indicates if the authentication request has received a response or timed out."	21
	22
	23
	24
CID 410 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "After "there is no" add "authentication". Replace "shall be set to" with "is" (2 occurrences). Change "SUCCESS" to "COMPLETED".	25
	26
	27
CID 518 - REJECT. There are only two security modes defined in the draft, modes 0 and 1.	28
	29
CID 461 - ACCEPT.	30
	31
CID 330 - Resolve as indicated in 21.	32
	33
CID 47 - ACCEPT.	34
	35
CID 35 - ACCEPT.	36
	37
CID 345 - ACCEPT.	38
	39
CID 413 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "Replace "shall" with "is" (2 occurrences). Change "SUCCESS" to "COMPLETED"."	40
	41
	42
CID 416 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add the parameter here and add the SECID field to the frame formats in figure 59, 60, 61, 62.	43
	44
	45
CID 418 - ACCEPT.	46
	47
CID 415 - ACCEPT.	48
	49
CID 419 - ACCEPT.	50
	51
CID 420 - ACCEPT.	52
	53
CID 421 - ACCEPT.	54

CID 370 - REJECT. The request key response command will return only the key that was requested, see the resolution of CID 416. Freshness is ensure with the CCM nonce, Annex B.

CID 373 - REJECT. The DEVs know that they are sharing information with all of the DEVs in the piconet. If this is unacceptable, they can use peer-to-peer security. In some cases a group key for the piconet is sufficient security because only one entity will authorize access.

CID 27 - ACCEPT.

CID 13 - Withdrawn, 16 January 2003.

CID 444 - ACCEPT.

CID 399 - REJECT. The PNC is required in clause 8 to do a final scan prior to starting the piconet and so it may find all of the channels busy.

CID 400 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'If all of the channels for the PHY are occupied' to be 'If the requested channel is occupied'. The PNC is required to do a final scan before starting the piconet.

CID 305 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add text similar to below to clause 8.2.2, on page 163, around line 35 "When the piconet starts, the PNC allocates an additional DEVID to itself for the purposes of exchanging data with other DEVs that become members of the established piconet."

CID 601 - REJECT. The PNC may be required to scan multiple channels during the scan procedure. Thus selected channel may have not been scanned very recently and the new PNC could end up starting in a channel that has since become occupied. This takes a little longer but piconet startup is an infrequent event and scanning helps to prevent possible collision.

CID 41 - ACCEPT.

CID 566 - ACCEPT.

CID 764 - ACCEPT.

CID 767 - ACCEPT.

CID 508 - ACCEPT.

CID 56 - ACCEPT.

Meeting recessed at 10:04 am EST.

Meeting called to order at 10:34 am EST.

Removal of security suites.

What is required in the MAC?

- Symmetric key processing for payload protection
- Ability to ask the DME which DEVs are acceptable peers and members of a piconet.
- Ability to obtain and update symmetric keys.
- Provide security events to upper layer security manager.
- Processing of security events required to update how the MAC operates in a secure mode.

- Selective processing of commands and acceptance of frames based on security mode and state (which DEVs are authenticated). 1
- Definition of parameters used within the MAC that depend on a particular authentication security suite specification. 2
- Security command frames with undefined TLVs, auth, chal, dist key, req key. 3
- Add justification for why security related command frames are required in the MAC, e.g. request/distribute keys. 4
- Change ACL handover to be Security Information Handover. 5

What is not required in the MAC? 6

- ACL – The MAC should not maintain a separate list of authenticatable DEVs as this will be done in a higher layer. 7
- Any informative annex on possible security suites. 8
- Any protocol for authenticating peer or membership relationships. 9
- Any references to specific security suites. 10
- Any definition of 802.15.3 security suite ARC 11
- Define or modify authentication protocols. 12
- Specify parameters for a public key security suite used for authentication. 13
- Public key IE. 14

CID 375 - REJECT. This standard only deals with TG3 and the encryption specification is adequate for these data rates. 15

CID 64 - ACCEPT 16

CID 368 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The ACL handover command will be changed to use TLV elements so that no restrictions are placed on the data or verification methods. The command will be renamed to Security Information Exchange command. 17

CID 366 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The ACL handover command will be changed to use TLV elements so that no restrictions are placed on the data or verification methods. The command will be renamed to Security Information Exchange command. 18

CID 19 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove the security suites and update the draft consistent with the criteria listed in 03/032r3. 19

CID 338 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove the security suites and update the draft consistent with the criteria listed in 03/032r3. 20

CID 362 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The public key IE will be removed from the draft. 21

CID 371 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove the security suites and update the draft consistent with the criteria listed in 03/032r3. 22

CID 86 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove the security suites and update the draft consistent with the criteria listed in 03/032r3. 23

CID 36 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove the security suites and update the draft consistent with the criteria listed in 03/032r3. 24

CID 37 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove the security suites and update the draft consistent with the criteria listed in 03/032r3. 25

CID 377 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove the security suites and update the draft consistent with the criteria listed in 03/032r3.

CID 85 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove the security suites and update the draft consistent with the criteria listed in 03/032r3.

CID 343 - ACCEPT.

CID 15 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove the security suites and update the draft consistent with the criteria listed in 03/032r3.

CID 286 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add text to clause 8 that indicates that Dly-ACK frames are passed up as the MSDUs are correctly received.

CID 290 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add text to clause 8 that indicates that Dly-ACK frames are passed up as the MSDUs are correctly received.

CID 720 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add text to clause 8.7 and 8.8.3 that indicates that Dly-ACK frames are passed up as the MSDUs are correctly received.

CID 394 - Agree we are going to change names for power save mode and introduce a mode set that includes ACTIVE.

CID 388 - ACCEPT.

CID 118 - Withdrawn, 16 January 2003

CID 91 - JPKG will explain why interoperability was not required by our PAR and is out-of-scope.

CID 216 - JPKG will write text to explain that 802.11 APs do not support this behavior, but could if updates in 802.15.2 were adopted.

CID 101 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The WG has adopted a motion to request a title change for the draft. When the title in the PAR is changed, the title in the draft will be changed to match.

CID 102 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'The Medium Access Control (MAC) sublayer protocol supports both isochronous and asynchronous data types and is designed to support additional physical layers as might be specified at a later time.' to 'The Medium Access Control (MAC) sublayer protocol supports both isochronous and asynchronous data types.'

CID 103 - REJECT. The current PAR only states that DEVs will support greater than 20 Mb/s, i.e. that the rate will be high enough, 20 Mb/s or more. All DEVs are required to support the 22 Mb/s mode so that this fulfills the requirement. Note that the quoted text says that 20 Mb/s is proposed to be the lowest rate, but it is not a requirement from the PAR.

Meeting recessed at 12:04 pm EST.

Meeting called to order at 1:11 pm EST

CID 266 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Merging piconets is very complex and has been discussed in prior meetings. The group had decided not to provide this capability in the standard. The options that the DEV has are: Shutdown its piconet, join the new one. Handover control to another DEV, disassociate and join the new one. Join as a neighbor, etc.

CID 45 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add a length element wherever the PHY capabilities field occurs.

CID 294 - ACCEPT.

CID 140 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add the PNC address to the Piconet Synchronization Parameters and delete it from the Piconet IE. Rename the Piconet IE as the BSID IE. Change page 134, line 17 should say "e.g., the PNC MAC address is different,"

CID 821 - Write text that describes what is a valid beacon, i.e. it has the correct PNID and PNC MAC address and if security enabled it follows {9.x.x secure beacon reception}. Also define that 'Lost beacon' is not receiving a valid beacon at the expected time.

CID 713 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. After "recipient of" change "the IE" to "an IE" (2 occurrences). Change "IEs" before "shall" to "IE" (3 occurrences). Change "subsequent" to "consecutive" (3 occurrences). Use 'at least' in all the references to the number of repeated beacons. In line 42, change "the first IE announcement shall be made in a system wake beacon" to "the IE shall be announced in a System Wake beacon and at least the following mMinBeaconInfoRepeat-1 beacons". Line 43 is deleted due to the resolution of another comment.

Replace lines 46 and 47 as follows: "A CTA Status IE is considered to be intended for all DEVs if the DestID contained in that IE is the BcstID or McstID. Otherwise the CTA Status IE is intended for the pair of DEVs defined by DestID."

The standard does not allow the BcstID or McstID to be used for SrcID except that the BcstID is allowed for an MCTA, but this CTA is not announced with a CTA Status IE. The SrcID of the CTA status IE is informed of this information with a directed Channel Status Response command that requires and ACK. The CTA Status IE main purpose is to inform the destination, not source.

CID 272 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Allow the PNC to tx a command a SIFS following the terminating ACK for a non-PNC CAP PDU. Also mention that the PNC is allowed to use MCTAs to accomplish this.

CID 287 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete 'Hence, once a DEV decrements its backoff counter to zero, it shall check whether there is enough time remaining in the CAP for the transmission of current frame and a SIFS interval.' Merge information from this page and 179 that deal with the same issue.

CID 324 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Clarify that each transmission attempt, even for re-transmissions, is included in the total.

CID 576 - Add a description of the PNC using the BcstID as the destination for this command. Need to say that this is sent no-ACK and the PNC simply receives response from everyone who hears it, if they hear it, when they get chance to respond.

CID 449 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'The PNC or destination DEV shall not respond to any command from a DEV that is not allowed to be sent as indicated in Table 53. The PNC or destination DEV may transmit an ACK following reception of the frame if the ACK policy is set to Imm-ACK.' to be 'The PNC or destination DEV shall ignore any command from a DEV that is not allowed to be sent as indicated in {xref Table 53}. The PNC or destination DEV shall transmit an Imm-ACK following reception of the frame if the ACK policy is set to Imm-ACK.' Add a sentence to clause 7, 'A DEV shall not respond or ACK any frame that has a Protocol Version different from the one(s) that the DEV supports.'

CID 289 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Defined in clause 5 "A Channel Time Allocation (CTA) is the channel time allocated by the PNC in response to a Channel Time Request Block (CTRqB) in a Channel Time Request." Change CTRB to CTRqB everywhere.

CID 570 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the paragraphs as follows:

(note CTR Interval will change names due to the resolution of another comment.)

The CTR Interval Type field shall be set to one for a subrate CTA request and zero for a super-rate CTA request. A subrate CTA request indicates a need for a CTA every N superframes where N is greater than one, while a super-rate CTA request indicates a need for N CTAs in every superframe where N equals one or N greater than one.

The CTR Interval field specifies the value of N, as described above. For a subrate CTA request, the CTR Interval field value shall be a power of 2. A PNC shall support up to eight CTAs per superframe for each stream."

CID 275 - Allow the DEV to set this field to the max number of TUs it can use in a superframe as an asynchronous allocation. The PNC may ignore the field in creating its allocations. This is a request for a maximum number, not a requirement. 0 = as many as I can take, 1-255

CID 677 - Use MIFS where appropriate, add bit to the Channel Time Request command to indicate if MIFS or SIFS is included in the TU. PNC adds the difference between MIFS and SIFS to the end of the calculation.

CID 682 - ACCEPT.

CID 49 - ACCEPT.

CID 355 - REJECT. The symmetric key encryption is sufficient for the PAN space without adding additional complexity.

CID 528 - Sample text: Only correctly received frames shall be processed. Also add that the DestID needs to check. Add a definition of correctly received as FCS check, protocol revision check (see other comment on this), DestID is either DEVID, McstID, BcstID, PNID is the PNID of the piconet with which the DEV is synchronized. Shall ACK all correctly received frames with Imm-ACK and DestID is DEVID.

CID 227 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add the PNC address to the Piconet Synchronization Parameters and delete it from the Piconet IE. Rename the Piconet IE as the BSID IE. Change page 134, line 17 should say "e.g., the PNC MAC address is different,"

CID 356 - REJECT. This text is well accepted and is essentially the same as the text in 802.11.

CID 376 - REJECT. Authentication for multicast groups is outside of the scope of the PAR.

CID 129 - ACCEPT.

Meeting recessed at 3:05 pm EST.

Meeting called to order at 4:25 pm EST.

CID 313 - Lower the values, Jeyhan will provide reduction, currently at 30 dB, will reduce to at least 27 dB

CID 281 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change to 5 CAZAC sequences.

CID 132 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change from 4 to 5.

CID 282 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change from 4 to 5.

CID 131 - Withdrawn, 16 September 2003.	1
	2
CID 52 - REJECT. The SIFS, MIFS and PHY header are low level timing information that would be required when the MAC was designed and therefore should be known to the DME as well.	3
	4
	5
CID 53 - Add information to the PIB	6
	7
CID 510 - Names don't match with clause 7, change clause 6 names to match.	8
	9
CID 511 - Change any names that don't match with clause 7 in clause 6.	10
	11
CID 769 - Change PS names to new ones, however, for the purposes of the standard and interoperability AWAKE and SLEEP will keep the same definitions.	12
	13
	14
CID 263 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	15
	16
CID 225 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	17
	18
CID 321 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	19
	20
CID 162 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	21
	22
CID 512 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the name from PSSwitchOperation to PSMMode to match the frame formats. The frame formats in 7.5.7.1 only specify 3 states because PS is used to switch to PSPS, SPS or both SPS and PSPS.	23
	24
	25
	26
CID 255 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	27
	28
CID 222 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	29
	30
CID 382 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	31
	32
CID 159 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	33
	34
CID 96 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	35
	36
CID 318 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	37
	38
CID 260 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	39
	40
CID 232 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	41
	42
CID 97 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	43
	44
CID 233 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	45
	46
CID 261 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	47
	48
CID 319 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	49
	50
CID 383 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	51
	52
CID 223 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	53
	54

CID 160 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	1
CID 236 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	2
CID 322 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	3
CID 226 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	4
CID 386 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	5
CID 100 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	6
CID 163 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	7
CID 224 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	8
CID 262 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	9
CID 384 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	10
CID 320 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	11
CID 161 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	12
CID 98 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	13
CID 234 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	14
CID 235 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	15
CID 385 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	16
CID 99 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in 03/032r3.	17
CID 71 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The BSID is set with either the MLME-START, MLME-START-DEPENDENT or MLME-PICONET-PARM-CHANGE and we will set the BSID to be read only in the PIB. Read only applies to the MLME-GET and MLME-SET.	18
CID 605 - John Sarrallo will write text to define PNC and non-PNC related traffic.	19
CID 244 - ACCEPT.	20
CID 606 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. However, the DEV needs to have the opportunity refuse handover, see the resolution of CID 139.	21
CID 610 - ACCEPT.	22
CID 135 - REJECT. Security policies are out of scope. If a DEV wants high security it should set its Des-Mode.	23
CID 2 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The move with superframe timing field set to 0 solves this so that the dependent piconets know to listen for the next beacon.	24

CID 437 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The DENIED code is no longer necessary due to changes in the ACL handover command. Delete 'DENIED'.

CID 438 -ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "that DEV" to "the DEV of TrgtID". The request command asks for all of the security information that is managed by the QueriedDEVID, not just information about the QueriedDEVID.

CID 431 - ACCEPT.

CID 429 - ACCEPT.

CID 265 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. While a DEV could send a frame with the wrong PNID, the new text will require that no DEV in the piconet will ACK the frame because it has the wrong PNID. Text has been added as a part of the resolution of CID 528 to require checking the PNIC for ACK.

CID 267 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The IE is limited to help limit the memory space required by the PNC and also to limit the size of the Piconet services command.

CID 300 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The IE is limited to help limit the memory space required by the PNC and also to limit the size of the Piconet services command.

CID 172 - Add MLME for Piconet servies that matches the frame formats.

CID 605 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "The new PNC shall begin using the PNCID for all PNC related traffic, but it shall continue to use its previously assigned DEVID for all non-PNC traffic." To: "The new PNC shall begin using the PNCID as the SrcID for all beacon frame or command frames transmitted. The new PNC shall use the PNCID or its previously assigned DEVID as the SrcID for all data frames transmitted."

CID 206 - Withdrawn, 16 January 2003

CID 173 - Withdrawn, 16 January 2003

CID 205 - Withdrawn, 16 January 2003

CID 637 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add to the end of line 19 'After a DEV disassociates from the piconet, the PNC shall delete the DEV's Piconet Services IE from its own record.' Note: All of the other DEVs will see the disassociate announcement and can update their own internal storage by deleting the entry if they kept it.

CID 469 -ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Rename the field name "Information elements" to "IEs Provided". However, when bit 0 is equal to zero, the other 31 bits are a binary representation of the IE number, thus you can request Ies (one at time) up to an index of about 2^{31} , which is more than sufficient.

CID 732 - ACCEPT.

CID 149 - ACCEPT.

CID 5 - ACCEPT.

CID 398 - REJECT. The DEV is required to scan through all of the requested channels before it returns the .confirm. One reason for this is that DEV might find multiple piconets with the same PNID or BSID and it should report to the DME all of the relevant piconets that it defines.

CID 79 - Withdrawn, 16 January 2003.

CID 600 - REJECT. The DEV stays on the channel after it receives a frame so it can find the beacon associated with the piconet. If no frame is found, it stays on the channel for the ChannelScanDuration specified in the MLME-SCAN.request.

CID 264 - REJECT. The remote DEV determines the length of time that is used to scan the channel. The DME then informs the MAC/MLME to perform the scan using MLME-SCAN.request.

CID 82 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace DEV characteristics with DEV characteristics IE, this allows expandability.

CID 502 - ACCEPT.

James Gilb asked the BRC if they felt that the resolution process had completed sufficiently to go forward to Sponsor Ballot recirculation. Everyone present indicated that they felt that we were ready to finish the editing and go forward to Sponsor Ballot recirculation. There is also agreement that there is much work to do and that careful review will be required.

Meeting recessed at 7:34 pm EST.

James Gilb moved to adjourn, seconded by Jim Allen, no objections

802.15.3 adjourned at 7:34 pm EST.

2.2 Wednesday, 15 January 2003

Meeting called to order at 8:00 am EST.

CID 275 - Table, MKS to provide text by Thursday morning on how to use it to limit max allocated async slots in a superframe.

CID 353 - (add to existing resolution) add the following section:

8.2.6.3 Dependent PNC termination of a dependent piconet

After stopping piconet operations for its own piconet {xref 8.2.6}, a child PNC shall inform its parent PNC that it no longer requires channel time for child piconet operations by sending the parent PNC a channel status request command terminating the CTA used for the child piconet.

After stopping piconet operations for its own piconet {xref 8.2.6}, a neighbor PNC shall inform its parent PNC that it no longer requires channel time for neighbor piconet operations by sending a disassociation request command to the parent PNC. Upon receiving a disassociation request command from a neighbor PNC, a parent PNC shall remove the CTA used by the neighbor piconet.

CID 328 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE:

7.2.1.7 More data

The More Data bit shall be set to 0 if the DEV will not use the rest of the channel time in that CTA, {xref 8.4.4.1}. The More Data bit shall be set to 1 when the PNC is sending an extended beacon, {xref 8.6.2}. In all other cases it shall be set to 1.

New paragraph in 8.4.4.1:

The More Data bit is set to 1 to indicate that the source DEV could be sending more frames in the CTA. In order to save power at the destination DEV, a source DEV may indicate that it will not use the remaining time in the current CTA by setting the More Data bit to 0. The source DEV may retransmit a frame with More Data set to 0 if an ACK is expected but not received. If the destination DEV receives a frame with the More Data bit set to 0 with ACK policy set to Imm-ACK or Dly-ACK, it should continue to listen for an implementation specific time after sending the ACK to make sure that the source DEV is not going to retransmit the frame because it did not receive the ACK. The source DEV may choose to send a zero length frame with the More Data bit set to zero when it has no more frames to send in a CTA.

The More Data bit shall be ignored by the destination for all frames sent in the CAP.

CID 81 - ACCEPT.

CID 4 - Table, John Sarallo to write more text to include that the child PNC needs to change its superframe duration as well.

CID 213 - REJECT. The PAN environment is very dynamic. DEVs move in and out of coverage as a normal course of operation. Unlike the Aps in 802.11, the PNC may also be moving and so it may move out of range of DEVs in the piconet. Even in the case where the PNC does not handover, DEVs will occasionally lose contact with the piconet. The 802.15.3 standard is designed to provide recovery mechanisms for the times that the DEVs lose contact with the piconet, e.g. scanning for new piconet, the ability to automatically start a piconet if the PNC disappears, fast association time using BSID and PNID, the requirement for a channel scan prior to starting a new piconet. The association timeout period is used by both the PNC and DEV to detect when they have lost contact.

In the case of a home, the user is allowed to designate a DEV to be the PNC via the Des-Mode bit in the capability field. Thus the user is able select a central DEV with sufficient range and power to be the PNC and force it not to handover responsibilities.

CID 352 - Table, JPKG to provide MSCs for fixes.

CID 430 - REJECT. The DME already knows the mapping between DEVID and MAC address, in fact it is the DME and FCSL that map MAC addresses into DEVIDs, not the MAC or MLME. The other proposed parameters are not used by the DME. The handovder countdown is a local timing requirement of the MAC. The number of CTRBs is not passed to the DME because the CTRBs are used only by the MAC/MLME, 8.5.1.1 and 8.5.2.1. The number of SPS sets is only used by the MAC/MLME and is not used by the DME, 8.13.

CID 433- REJECT. The MSC in figure 98 shows that the MLME-PNC-HANDOVER.indication is only used at the beginning and end of the handover process. At the beginning of the handover, the NmbrHndOvrBcns and the DEVInfoSet are not known by the new PNC. At the end of the handover process, the NmbrHndOvrBcns has no meaning and the DEVInfoSet has already been passed to the new PNC. If the .indication says that the handover process has been canceled, then neither of these parameters are required either.

CID 436 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add NewPNCDEVID and NewPNCDEVAddress, the Handover-Countdown is a timing parameter local to the MAC/MLME and doesn't have significance here.

CsourceID 177 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add three more octets be to the left end of figure 41, with these parameters:|MaxTxPwr|MaxCTRBs|MaxAssociatedDEVs| Also modify figure 39 so that the PNC capabilities field length is now four octets and the Length field of the IE is increased from 2 to 5.

CID 176 - ACCEPT.

CID 465 - ACCEPT. See also CID 453.

CID 468 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Rephrase the definition as follows: 'The Sequence Number field specifies the number of frames that have been sent prior to this frame by this DEV in the response to the request. Thus the first frame has a Sequence Number of 0 while the last frame has a Sequence Number equal one less than the Total Number of Frames.'

CID 139 - Table, JPKG to provide MSCs for fixes. See also CID 352.

CID 215 - Table, JPKG to provide MSCs for fixes. See also CID 352 and 215.

CID 603 - ACCEPT.

CID 1 - Table, JPKG to provide MSCs for fixes. See also CID 352 and 215.

Meeting recessed at 10:05 EST.

Meeting called order at 1:04 pm EST.

CID 672 Comment:

"Undesirable specification: The Aloha access algorithm defined in this subclause is undesirable in two folds: (1) The "binary backoff" nature of the contention algorithm, i.e., doubling the contention window after an inferred collision, in a PAN would unnecessarily increase the access latency, as an inferred collision could be a result of a non-collision event such as interference or bad channeling. Also, the backoff has a memory which could spread over a large number of superframes, and hence does not allow the PNC to adapt the CW to load changes for optimal channel throughput and access latency. Instead, re-randomizing the backoffs without doubling the CW among contending DEVs in every superframe would be more effective in avoiding collision, especially considering the generally low DEV population in a PAN, and hence in improving channel throughput and access delay. (2) Potentially each contending DEV may have to buffer a large number of MCTA definitions as announced in the beacon, and determine which of those MCTAs may be used for an initial transmission, a retransmission, and a retransmission again, ..., of a command frame, all within the same superframe. This would certainly increase the implementation cost."

Remedy:

"(1) The number "a" should not be individual functions of retransmission attempts by contending DEVs. Instead, it should be a parameter whose value is updated and announced by the PNC in each beacon. To this effect, add two 1-octet subfields to the Piconet Synchronization Parameters field for encoding "a", one for use with Association MCTAs and one for use with Open MCTAs. "a" may be called Association CW exponent and Open CW exponent, respectively. Eliminate the first branch of Equation (1) and the condition in the second branch. Each contending DEV shall redraw a backoff after receiving a beacon using the "a" value contained in that beacon, even if the previous backoff has not expired (and hence the DEV did not transmit in the previous superframe). A DEV shall regenerate a backoff for a retransmission within the same superframe using the same "a" value as in the initial transmission.

(2) Add a statement to limit the number of MCTAs (for each type, Association or Open) that may be used by any given DEV to two within each superframe. That is, only one retransmission is allowed by each DEV following a failed transmission in the same superframe."

Proposed Response:

REJECT. The Slotted Aloha backoff algorithm is well documented in the literature. Just as an associating DEV won't know the difference between a collision and interference, the PNC likely won't be

able to tell the difference between a collision and interference either. In this case, the PNC won't know what value to set for the exponent of the back-off window, "a". Also, the suggested Remedy does not specify what algorithm the PNC will use to determine the parameter "a".

Resolution is to reject as indicated above.

CID 675 Comment:

"Incorrect specification in lines 13-16, page 183."

SuggRemedy:

"Change "broadcast or unassigned" to "Association or Open". Delete "the open or association MCTA with the number r ". Change "ACK" to "Imm-ACK". Delete the last statement "After receiving" if "a", and hence the "backoff", is to be updated every superframe, as suggested earlier by this balloter."

Response:

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE:

The comment that "broadcast or unassigned" should be changed to open or association. The rest of the suggested Remedy is not appropriate because it is based on a rejected suggestion from CID 672.

CID 338 - Table, Dan Baily to provide references for Ntru.

CID 19 - Table, wait for email ballot so the entire BRC can weigh in with their opinions.

CID 374 - REJECT. This subject is appropriate for a follow-on PAR when there is more experience with a standard. This is an efficiency issue only.

CID 349 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace the sentence with 'At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards listed below.'

CID 347 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change '1' to '1.0', change 'SEC 1: Standards for Efficient Cryptography' to be 'Standards for Efficient Cryptography, SEC 1:'

CID 350 - Rene to provide new suggested definitions by January 22 via email. authentication, authentic data, integrity code, key establishment, key management, key transport, nonce, symmetric key. Clarify that any changes in the definitions will not impact the draft.

CID 425 - Dan Bailey to submit new MLME-SECID-UPDATE.confirm

CID 14 - Where do we prohibit using distribute key (or request key) to distribute a management key.

CID 362 - Table and solve with fragmentation field update if necessary.

CID 363 - Withdrawn, 15 January 2003.

CID 364 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add 'RSA X.509' and 'ECC X.509' above 'X.509'.

CID 361 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add a field '80 bit security required' with the definition 'If the 80-bit security required bit is set to 1, the security manager shall only authenticate DEVs with a security suite that

is stated to provide at least 80-bit security in Table 96 while it operates as the security manager.' Add a column to table 96 with title 'At least 80 bit claimed security' and put X's in all of the columns.

CID 24 - Table, Singer to provide paragraph by Jan 20.

CID 25 - Withdrawn, 15 January 2003.

CID 26 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add a sentence to the end of 9.2.9: 'A DEV shall reject any SECID that it receives where the first octet does not contain the correct DEVID as described above.'

Meeting recessed at 3:00 pm, EST.

Meeting called to order at 4:34 pm EST.

CID 372 - REJECT. There is no reference in the draft for scalable security suites. The working group felt strongly that certificates should be optional, not required, based on the application space that 802.15.3 is addressing.

CID 371 - Dan/Ari to provide references for claimed security levels and independent review, due Jan 20.

CID 365 - REJECT. The extra 8 octets over the air have an inconsequential effect on the overall throughput of the piconet because they are sent infrequently. Furthermore, there are techniques to efficiently store these in memory.

CID 367 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove the field 'Security suite' from 'Verification Info Type field'. Add a new fields to the 'Verification Info Type field', 'OID Length' and 'OID' with the definitions 'The OID indicates the security suite of the ACL information, {xref 10.2.1}.' and 'The OID length is the length of the OID.' Add these definitions to 7.5.2.1 where they are missing as well.

CID 369 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete 'Certificate chain URL' from page 147, line 15.

CID 86 - (also 19, 371,) Table, resolve with email ballot.

CID 85 - Need Dan to specify something (ITU-T) that says you can use X.509 for Ntru.

Meeting recessed at 5:54 pm EST.

2.3 Tuesday, 14 January 2003

Meeting called to order at 8:12 am EST.

CID 572 - ACCEPT.

CID 573 - ACCEPT

CID 478 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Using 'rate' would be confusing with data rate. Rename "CTR interval type" to "CTA Rate Type" and "CTR Interval" to "CTA Rate" throughout the draft.

CID 651 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Rename CFP to CTAP - channel time allocation period.

CID 652 - REJECT. The proposed text is too restrictive. A DEV may have data pending for stream index 5 that is lower priority than stream index 3. The DEV would want to send data from stream index 3 in a CTA assigned to stream index 5 to improve the performance of its highest priority applications.

CID 326 - ACCEPT.	1
	2
CID 69 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'of type other than data' to be 'of any type'	3
	4
CID 664 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. After the sentence on line 51, add to the paragraph. "However, it is possible that the target DEV will not be receiving during the CTA if it is in a power save mode, {xref 8.13} or if it is not receiving multicast traffic, {xref 6.3.19.1}"	5
	6
	7
	8
CID 666 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'If the PNC ... additional channel time.' to be 'If the source DEV requires additional channel time it will need to use the stream modification procedure, 8.5.1.2.'	9
	10
	11
CID 278 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace the sentence in D15p181L30-31 by "In any individual super-frame, the PNC may allocate more time for a dynamic CTA than the amount indicated in the channel time response command."	12
	13
	14
	15
CID 672 - Table, WMS to consider, possible reject.	16
	17
CID 675 - Table pending resolution of 672.	18
	19
CID 144 - ACCEPT.	20
	21
CID 817 - ACCEPT. The parameter will be deleted as indicated in CID 144.	22
	23
CID 571 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. It is possible that the asynchronous request will not replace the previous requests. This is described in 8.5.2.1 and should have been cross-referenced here. Add a cross-reference to 8.5.2.1 after 'all previous asynchronous requests'	24
	25
	26
	27
CID 124 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Use 'group' and 'individual', change throughout the draft to match.	28
	29
CID 274 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace the paragraph with 'The target ID list type field shall be set to 0 for group allocation requests and shall be set to 1 for individual asynchronous allocation requests, {xref 8.5.2.1}.'	30
	31
	32
	33
CID 701 - ACCEPT.	34
	35
CID 702 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. After "superframe" add ", with any such CTA again announced by multiple CTA blocks that overlap in time but have different DestIDs.'	36
	37
	38
CID 704 - ACCEPT.	39
	40
CID 486 - REJECT. The participation of the PNC DME is not required to respond to this command as required by the draft standard. Thus the .indication and .response primitives are not required in this instance.	41
	42
	43
CID 488 - REJECT. The participation of the PNC DME is not required to respond to this command as required by the draft standard. Thus the .indication and .response primitives are not required in this instance.	44
	45
	46
CID 484 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The probe command is always sent as a peer-to-peer command (i.e. as a 'side-stream'). If a DEV sends a probe to the PNC, the PNC responds with information about itself, not with information about another DEV. The only way to find probe information about a DEV is to send the probe command directly to the DEV. Therefore, the TargetID in this MLME will become the DestID in the first probe command frame that is sent.	47
	48
	49
	50
	51
	52
CID 482 - ACCEPT	53
	54

CID 483 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the "Valid range" of "ResultCode" as follows: RESPONSE_RECEIVED, TIMEOUT. Change the corresponding "Description" to "Indicates if the request has received a response or timed out."

CID 487 - ACCEPT.

CID 489 - ACCEPT.

CID 657 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. On page 179, line 52 at the end of the paragraph add 'Dynamic CTAs may be used for both asynchronous and isochronous streams.'

CID 820 - ACCEPT. Also delete from the PICS.

CID 199 - ACCEPT.

CID 245 - ACCEPT.

CID 270 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add an xref to the paragraph, change 'the requested priority,' to be 'the requested priority {xref Annex A.1.2.1},'

CID 301 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change bullet text from:

"The available number of TUs field shall be set to a value less than the minimum number of TUs requested."

to:

"The available number of TUs field shall be set to the number of TUs that the PNC had available for allocation to this request."

Meeting recessed 10:00 am EST

Meeting called to order at 10:30 am EST.

CID 690 - ACCEPT.

CID 312 - REJECT. The scheduler, including the allocation of left over time in the superframe is out of the scope of this standard. Implementers are free to create scheduling algorithms that best meet their combination of price and performance for their application.

CID 246 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'is in a power save mode, if the CTR type or

CTR interval is modified.' to be 'is in a power save mode. The PNC shall announce the modification of all streams where the CTR type or CTR interval is modified.'

CID 200 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 246.

CID 247 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete the sentence, there is text in 8.13 now that handles this issue.

CID 691 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In figures 114, 115 and 116, Change "ACK" to "Imm-ACK" (2 occurrences in each figure). Delete "with ResultCode = ???" in each of these three figures.

On page 183, line 8, change "presence" to "reception" and change 'association frame' to "Association Request command".

CID 697 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In figures 117 and 118, Change "ACK" to "Imm-ACK" (2 occurrences in each figure). Delete "with ResultCode = ???" in each of these two figures. Add 'with Reason Code = success' to the channel time response command arrow in figure 117.

CID 699 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "ACK" to "Imm-ACK" in both figures. Change "SUCCESS" to "RESPONSE_RECEIVED" in each of these two figures. Ed. Note coordinate this code with new clause 6 name.

CID 150 - REJECT. The open and association MCTAs were added to handle two concerns, the first was that new PHYs may not support efficient CCA detection. In this case, slotted aloha provides a contention access method that provides for the needs of the piconet. Another reason to use slotted aloha is that under certain conditions, it can be more efficient than using the CAP. Adding a new contention method to the MAC when a PHY group has been formed is probably not the best venue. At this time, the TG has many members who have expertise in the MAC available to review draft. In the future, when a new PHY is down-selected, there may not be as many people available who have the experience and knowledge of the TG3 MAC to be able to add a new contention method. Adding slotted aloha does not add much, if any complexity, the DEV needs the random number generator and exponential increasing backoff for any contention based method. The DEV is already required to be able to send frames and look to see if it gets an ACK. Depending on the parameters used for either the CAP or the open and association MCTAs, the power usage may actually be lower using MCTAs for the DEVs in the piconet than using the CAP. MCTAs have an advantage over the CAP in that they can be put into multiple locations in the superframe allowing the PNC to potentially use the time more efficiently.

CID 151 - REJECT. The open and association MCTAs were added to handle two concerns, the first was that new PHYs may not support efficient CCA detection. In this case, slotted aloha provides a contention access method that provides for the needs of the piconet. Another reason to use slotted aloha is that under certain conditions, it can be more efficient than using the CAP. Adding a new contention method to the MAC when a PHY group has been formed is probably not the best venue. At this time, the TG has many members who have expertise in the MAC available to review draft. In the future, when a new PHY is down-selected, there may not be as many people available who have the experience and knowledge of the TG3 MAC to be able to add a new contention method. Adding slotted aloha does not add much, if any complexity, the DEV needs the random number generator and exponential increasing backoff for any contention based method. The DEV is already required to be able to send frames and look to see if it gets an ACK. Depending on the parameters used for either the CAP or the open and association MCTAs, the power usage may actually be lower using MCTAs for the DEVs in the piconet than using the CAP. MCTAs have an advantage over the CAP in that they can be put into multiple locations in the superframe allowing the PNC to potentially use the time more efficiently.

CID 204 - Table, ADH to communicate with KO to see if this allocates slots too often. Plus, are we overloading CTRRespTime which only has to do with the PNC's current loading for channel time request. If the PNC is efficient, then it will take up a lot of time in the superframe for MCTAs.

CID 254 - Table, resolve with CID 204.

CID 490 - REJECT. The participation of the PNC DME is not required to respond to this command as required by the draft standard. Thus the .indication primitive is not required in this instance.

CID 241 - ACCEPT.

CID 242 - ACCEPT.

CID 201 - ACCEPT.

CID 202 - ACCEPT.

CID 252 - ACCEPT.	1
	2
CID 251 - ACCEPT.	3
	4
CID 203 - ACCEPT.	5
	6
CID 119 - ACCEPT.	7
	8
CID 700 - ACCEPT.	9
	10
CID 474 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change all CTR references to be "CTRq" to avoid confusion. If the response command needs an acronym, it will be 'CTRsp'.	11
	12
	13
CID 275 - Table, JS to figure out what MR meant.	14
	15
CID 121 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. After line 50 on page 152, add a paragraph that says 'For isochronous requests, the minimum number of TUs and the desired number of TUs are the number of TUs per CTR interval requested by the DEV. In the case of a super-rate allocation, it is the number of TUs requested in each superframe. In the case of a sub-rate allocation it is the number of TUs requested in each of the sub-rate superframes. For example, a request for a minimum number of TUs of 4 with a sub-rate CTR interval of 4 indicates that the DEV is requesting 4 TUs every fourth superframe.'	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
CID 677 - Table, WMS to propose solution.	23
	24
CID 678 - REJECT. The DEVs need to have time to switch between transmit and receive between CTAs. A MIFS is not necessarily enough time to do this, therefore the SIFS time is required which is equal to the greater of the the TX/RX turnaround and the RX/TX turnaround times.	25
	26
	27
	28
CID 679 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The equation is confusing because it is missing parentheses. It should read:	29
	30
	31
MaxDrift = [clock accuracy (ppm)/1e6]*interval	32
	33
A number in ppm is divided by 1e6 to get its fractional equivalent, thus 100 ppm is equal to 0.0001. The drift for a 10 ms interval with 100 ppm accuracy is 10 us.	34
	35
	36
Add parentheses to the equation to emphasize that the interval is multiplied by the fractional clock accuracy.	37
	38
Recessed at 12:06 pm EDT.	39
	40
Meeting called to order at 1:13 pm EDT	41
	42
CID 45 - Tabled, Bain to work on it.	43
	44
CID 682 - Tabled, WMS to suggest solution, resolve with CID 677	45
	46
CID 684 - ACCEPT.	47
	48
CID 49 - Tabled, WMS to suggest solution, resolve with CID 677	49
	50
CID 120 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. On page 72, line 25, delete 'and a beacon containing the requested stream modification.'	51
	52
	53
	54

CID 574 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. On page 153, line 18, add 'In the case of a super-rate allocation, it is the number of TUs assigned in each superframe. In the case of a sub-rate allocation it is the number of TUs assigned in each of the sub-rate superframes.'

CID 329 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'super-rate' to be 'super-rate or subrate'

CID 353 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. On page 15, line 36 add 'A child piconet ends its piconet with the shut-down procedure and then uses the stream termination command to release the resources in the parent piconet. When the child PNC shuts down its piconet, it is not required to leave the parent piconet.'

CID 209 - REJECT. The child piconet is a full member of the parent piconet and is able to communicate to other DEVs in the piconet. The neighbor, on the other hand, only communicates with the PNC and may not be a full 802.15.3 DEV, i.e. it could be an entity from another network that wants to request quiet time to share the channel. In addition, the neighbor could be a DEV that is not able to authenticate with the parent PNC, but would like to coordinate the channel resources to avoid collision. Wherever possible, the draft will be updated to use dependent piconet and a single description when discussing similarities of child and neighbor piconets.

CID 614 - ACCEPT

CID 208 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'If the piconet is not 802.15.3 compliant, it shall' to be 'If the network operated by the neighbor PNC is not an 802.15.3 piconet, the neighbor PNC shall ...'

CID 715 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. On page 199, line 30 change 'Fragmentation is performed ... stream or asynchronous data.' to be 'Fragmentation may be performed at the transmitting DEV on each MSDU.' On line 31 change 'commands' to be 'commands, i.e. MCDUs.'. On page 199, line 34 delete 'for any reason and all the retransmissions shall obey the original fragmentation threshold of the MSDU/MCDU.' Change 'aMinFragmentSize' to be {xref pMinFragmentSize}.

CID 355 - Tabled, RS to provide more detailed information.

CID 292 - ACCEPT.

CID 528 - Table, J. Barr to work on it. If a DEV receives a frame from an unassociated DEV it may ignore the frame and may ACK the frame if the ACK policy is set to Imm-ACK. If authentication is required and a DEV receives a frame from an unauthenticated DEV, it shall ignore the frame and may ACK the frame if the ACK policy is set to Imm-ACK. If a DEV receives a frame from a PNID other than the PNID of the piconet with which the DEV is synchronized, it shall ignore the received frame.

CID 530 - ACCEPT

CID 357 - JS, WMS and KO to consider changing? What are the arguments to keep it this way?

Meeting recessed at 3:02 pm EST

Meeting called to order at 3:44 pm EST.

CID 174 - Table, ADH to present text.

CID 359 - Withdrawn, 14 January 2003.

CID 117 - WMS to ask the commenter.

CID 358 - Withdrawn, 14 January 2003.

CID 227 - Table, resolve with the other comment about putting the BSID up front (WMS?)	1
	2
CID 325 - Withdrawn, 14 January 2003.	3
	4
CID 360 - REJECT. This information is already passed to DEVs in the authentication process in the authentication response command. While it allows the DEV to know before it joins what is the level of security, this provides only part of the information that the DEV needs when selecting a piconet.	5
	6
	7
	8
CID 240 - REJECT. While it is true that flipping the figure may be easier to read, it would be the only figure in the entire draft with octet 0 on the right.	9
	10
	11
CID 549 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete 'consists of a single command block and'	12
	13
CID 550 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "Rename "Data" to "Data Payload" whenever it references the "Data" field of a Data frame."	14
	15
	16
CID 536 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'payload field' to 'Frame Payload field' in this subclause, 2 places lines 35, 37.	17
	18
	19
CID 356 - Table, ADH to look for rewritten text.	20
	21
CID 531 - REJECT. Requiring the PNC to monitor all of the frames sent between devices is not feasible. Also, the use of the bits by the PNC is not clearly defined.	22
	23
	24
CID 551 - ACCEPT.	25
	26
CID 328 - Table, WMS to describe how this can optional or used with a null data frame once last data frame has been sent.	27
	28
	29
CID 78 - ACCEPT.	30
	31
CID 152 - JPKG to write REJECT.	32
	33
CID 145 - ACCEPT.	34
	35
CID 517 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'MaxAssociations' to be 'MaxAssociatedDEVs' to match the name in 7.5.1.1. Also change this name in 6.3.5 as well.	36
	37
	38
CID 147 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add to this section 'For each stream, all MSDUs that do not use Dly-ACK policy shall be transmitted in the order that they were received from the FCSSL. This implies that it is possible that MSDUs from different streams will be transmitted in a different order than they were received from the FCSSL. MSDUs that use Dly-ACK policy may be transmitted out of order by the MAC.'	39
	40
	41
	42
	43
CID 137 - ACCEPT.	44
	45
CID 136 - ACCEPT.	46
	47
CID 519 - ACCEPT.	48
	49
CID 520 - ACCEPT.	50
	51
CID 522 - ACCEPT.	52
	53
CID 521 - ACCEPT.	54

Recessed at 5:33 pm EST. 1

Called to order at 6:58 pm EST. 2

CID 524 -ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "Change "MSDU" to "MPDU" and "media" to "medium". Change 'If the StreamIndex for the request is not assigned to the DEV as a stream source,' to be 'If the StreamIndex for the request does not correspond to an existing stream with the DEV as the source.' 3
4
5
6
7
8

CID 597 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'SUCCESS' to be 'COMPLETED' in the figure and in the text. 9
10

CID 54 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the description from 'Data rate in Mb/s.' to be 'PHY dependent index of the data rate' Add a note to the PHY section that this corresponds to the value that goes in the PHY header. 11
12
13
14

CID 148 - ACCEPT. 15

CID 129 - Table, JPKG to bring data. 16
17

CID 825 - ACCEPT. 18
19
20

CID 826 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change x^{15} to be x^{14} in table 126. Let $n=15$ in the xinit matrix and map $x_{(n-1)}$ to x_{14} , etc. in the text. 21
22
23

CID 133 - ACCEPT. 24
25

CID 313 - Table, James will provide new numbers for EVM that are 5 dB relaxed and are more in line with 802.11a. 26
27
28

CID 134 - ACCEPT. 29
30

CID 281 - Table, JPKG to bring back result. 31
32

CID 132 - Table, same as CID 281. 33
34

CID 282 - Table, same as CID 282. 35
36

CID 280 - ACCEPT. 37
38

CID 130 - ACCEPT. 39
40

CID 131 - JPKG to check for efficiency. 41
42

CID 53 - Table, JPKG to suggest clause 11 text, don't need PIB 43
44

CID 50 - ACCEPT. 45
46

CID 55 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete the PHYPIB_Range from the table. 47
48

CID 153 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make a table of all of the pZZZYy parameters and their values, this will follow the format of table 65 in clause 8. 49
50
51

CID 594 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "non zero value" to "than 0 or 1", This command returns a list of all the DEVs who are members of a particular PS set. It does not indicate that they are in a PS mode. The PS status IE(s) in the beacon contain the lists of the DEVs that are in PS mode for each of the sets. A DEV 52
53
54

shall first join a set before it can change to either SPS or PSPS mode. Thus a DEV can be a member of a set but not be in a power save mode.

CID 59 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete 'or SPS mode,' because SPS DEVs do not make a special effort to hear beacon announcements.

CID 309 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'subsequent' to be 'consecutive', 2 places, change the third dashed list items on line 43 from 'If the DEV is in SPS mode, the IEs shall be sent in mMinBeaconInfoRepeat subsequent SPS set wake beacons.' to be 'If the DEV is in SPS mode, the first IE announcement shall be made in one of the DEV's SPS set wake beacons.'

CID 249 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 309. This resolution removes the requirement that the PNC align the announcements to the SPS DEV's wake beacons. Instead it aligns it with one and sends the rest in the following beacons.

CID 248 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 309.

CID 774 - ACCEPT.

CID 560 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The PCTM IE is placed in the beacon until the HIBERNATE DEV either a) responds to the IE with a PS mode change command or b) the ATP of the DEV expires and the PNC disassociates the DEV. Thus the DEV will either respond or it will be removed from the piconet.

CID 799 - REJECT. This standard only has positive acknowledgement, there is not a negative acknowledgement. Thus any acknowledgement is a positive one.

CID 806 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The PCTM IE is placed in the beacon until the HIBERNATE DEV either a) responds to the IE with a PS mode change command or b) the ATP of the DEV expires and the PNC disassociates the DEV. Thus the DEV will either respond or it will be removed from the piconet.

CID 559 - REJECT. The PCTM bit is not used for PSPS DEVs because they listen to all of the system wake beacons and the beacons that follow any missed system wake beacons.

CID 777 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Following line 51 on page 215, add 'The PNC uses the wake beacon interval information from all participating PSPS DEVs to determine the system wake beacon interval. The actual system wake beacon interval may not correspond to any of the PSPS DEVs desired wake beacon interval.'

CID 778 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 777.

CID 771 - Change "A DEV that is in SPS mode may have multiple wake beacons" to "A DEV in SPS mode may be in multiple SPS sets and therefore may have multiple wake beacons because each of those SPS sets may have its own wake beacon."

CID 127 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 310

CID 250 - ACCEPT.

CID 793 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'field to 'PS' and shall request that the PNC terminate the stream, 8.5.1.3.' to be 'field to 'PS'. The DEV shall also send a Channel Time Request command to terminate the stream, {xref 8.5.1.3}.'

CID 789 - REJECT. The sentence does not add any specifications (no shalls, may or shoulds). This sentence was added to clarify the purpose of the MCTA and its length. It is intended as an aid to the implementers but does not place any restrictions on them.

CID 791 - ACCEPT.

CID 797 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'wake CTAs' to be 'CTAs'

Skip to Probe.

CID 480 - REJECT. The Probe command that is sent by the MLME-PROBE.response primitive can also contain a request for information. Therefore the .response command needs these two parameters.

CID 156 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete the parameter and the paragraph on page 203, lines 40-47, 'To accommodate ... describe above.'

CID 143 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 156.

CID 67 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 156.

CID 315 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 156.

CID 379 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 156.

CID 257 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 156.

CID 229 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 156.

CID 219 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 156.

CID 93 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 156.

CID 243 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 0 -> Non-dependent piconet1 -> Dependent piconet2-255 -> Reserved.

CID 496 - REJECT. The remote piconet description set corresponds to the data that is passed in the Remote Scan Response command. Some of the data (beginning with SuperframeDuration) is not passed in the command and so cannot be passed up by the primitive.

CID 497 - REJECT. The remote piconet description set corresponds to the data that is passed in the Remote Scan Response command. Some of the data (beginning with SuperframeDuration) is not passed in the command and so cannot be passed up by the primitive.

CID 499 - REJECT. The DME controls the scan process and it happens after it receives the the MLME-REMOTE-SCAN.indication primitive as illustrated in Figure 131.

CID 500 - ACCEPT.

CID 498 - REJECT. The scan has not yet been performed when this primitive is issued, see Figure 131, so these parameters are not yet available.

CID 582 - REJECT. The purpose of the remote scan request is to determine the level of potential interference on the current channel and other channels without disturbing the coordination function of the PNC. It also gives the PNC a longer 'reach' in finding out who might be the potential interferers. The PNC does not

need this additional information to be able to determine the interference levels. This information is included in the scan process because the DEV might join one of the piconets that it finds.

Meeting recessed at 9:58 pm EDT. T = 225, E = 378

2.4 Monday, 13 January 2003

Meeting called to order at 1:14 pm EST.

PM/SPS-4 comments

CID 253 - Accept

CID 230 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 253

CID 258 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 253

CID 94 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 253

CID 316 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 253

CID 157 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 253

CID 220 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 253

CID 380 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 253

PM/SPS-4

CID 83 - Accept in principle, Delete item MLF 23.3 from Table E.4. In item MLF 23.2 Table E.4, remove "& - FD3" Remove item FD3 from Table E.1.

CID 84 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 83.

CID 259 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 83.

CID 317 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 83.

CID 381 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 83.

CID 221 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 83.

CID 95 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 83.

CID 231 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 83.

CID 158 - Accept in principle, Resolve as indicated in CID 83.

Misc PS issues:

CID 780 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The terms power management and power save were used interchangeably but this is confusing. The TG has agreed to change all the occurrences of 'power management' to be 'power save' for consistency.

CID 295 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add the CWB IE to the table with entries: 'shall ignore' for all three entries.

CID 296 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add the CWB IE to the table with entries: 'shall not request', 'shall not request', 'shall not send', 'shall not send'

CID 293 - Accept.

CID 128 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 293.

CID 122 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the description to "The wake beacon interval is the number of superframes, including the current one, between wake beacons, {xref 8.13}. For example, a wake beacon interval of 8 indicates that the DEV is requesting a wake beacon every 8th beacon, {xref Figure 137}."

CID 44 - Accept.

CID 311 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 44.

CID 123 - Accept

CID 310 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add a reason code to 7.5.7.2 "Unique Wake Beacon Interval required." Add to 8.13.2.1 "The PNC may require that all PS sets have a unique Wake Beacon Interval. For example, the PNC may reject a request to create a PS set with a Wake Beacon Interval of 4 if there is a PS set that already has this value. If the DEV requires this Wake Beacon Interval, it may join the existing PS set."

CID 509 - Table: Do we rename PS mode as PM mode? Or do we use another name? DEV Mode? (DM)

CID 511 - Table: Rename some of the parameters? Resolve after CID 509.

CID 586 - Table: Resolve after CID 509

CID 503 - Accept

CID 818 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "For a piconet that has pseudo-static CTAs, NbrOfChangeBeacons shall be at least four." to be "For a piconet that has pseudo-static CTAs, NbrOfChangeBeacons shall be at least {xref mMaxLostBeacons}."

CID 753 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The CTA location does not change relative to the beacon and so the CTA does not change (CTAs only have meaning measured relative to the beacon). The location of the psuedo-static CTA relative to previous beacons will change, but the source and destination DEVs will be informed prior to that by the piconet parameter change IE. If there are pseudo-static CTAs, the piconet parameter IE will be sent at least mMaxLostBeacons prior to the change. Thus, even if the DEVs miss some of the announcements, they will either a) hear at least one of them or b) miss all but hear the first beacon with the new superframe duration. To clarify this, change "A PNC shall not change pseudo-static CTAs" to be "A PNC shall not change either the pseudo-static CTAs or the pseudo-static CTA blocks"

CID 71 - Table, resolution will be to add an MLME-PICONET-PARM-CHANGE.indicate that goes up to the other DEVs in the piconet after the change occurs. Add this to Figure 134. Change text in 10.3 to reflect the fact that the change of BSID value in the PIB occurs after the MLME-PICONET-PARM-CHANGE.request. Pass up the BSID, PNID, Channel index and superframe duration. Note: the BSID will become a read-only attribute. Need text for this.

Recessed at 3:47 pm EST for potential TG3 official business.

Called to order for comment resolution at 3:50 pm EST.

CID 510: Jay to check all of the xrefs to make sure that they point to the correct location. Due Tuesday afternoon at 3:30 pm.

CID 513: REJECT. The participation of the PNC DME is not required to respond to this command as required by the draft standard. Thus the .indication and .response primitives are not required in this instance.

CID 43: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE: "Add NumberOfPiconets to describe how many PiconetDescriptionSet fields are specified. Add a parameter for the "NumberOfPSStructureSet" to specify how many PSStructureSet fields are specified. Add needs a NumberOfDEVInfoFields, 'type: integer, valid range: 2 to mMaxNumValidDEVs', add mMaxNumValidDEVs to table 64 with a value of 256-3-10 = 243, add text to 7.2.3 'The maximum number of valid DEVs, mMaxNumValidDEVs includes the PNC and the NbrIDs but not the reserved IDs, the BcstID, McstID or the UnassocID.', Add to 7.5.4.2, page 145, line 20, change 'broadcast and multicast ID.' to be 'the BcstID, the UnassocID, the McstID or the reserved IDs, {xref 7.2.3}.' in 8.3.3, change 'In addition, the PNC shall send the piconet information for each of the DEVs that are a member of the piconet at least once every mBroadcastDEVInfoDuration via a PNC information command.' to be 'In addition, the PNC shall send the piconet information for each of the DEVs once every mBroadcastDEVInfoDuration via a PNC information command. When the PNC broadcasts this command, the PNC shall include all DEVs that are associated in the piconet, including the DEV personality of the PNC, as well as an entry for the PNCID.', in 8.2.3, page 164 line 38 following 'to the chosen PNC capable DEV.' add 'In the PNC information command, the PNC shall include all DEVs that are associated in the piconet, including the DEV personality of the PNC, as well as an entry for the PNCID.' and a re-definition of the DEV InfoSet as follows:

Name: Piconet Description Set

Type: Set of PiconetDescriptions as defined in Table 6.

Valid Range: a set containing zero or more instances of a PiconetDescription

Description: The PiconetDescriptionSet is returned to indicate the results of the scan request.

Name: DEVInfoSet

Type: A set of DEVInfo fields as defined in {xref 7.5.4.2}.

Valid Range: a set containing 3 to mMaxNumValidDEV instances of fixed length DEVInfo fields.

Description: The DEVInfoSet is returned to indicate the results of a PNCInfo request.

Name: ACLRecordSet

Type: A set of ACLRecords as defined in {xref 7.5.4.4}

Valid Range: a set containing 0 or more instances of variable length ACLRecords. The maximum number of instances depends on the size of the records, {xref pMaxFrameSize} and the length of the secure command security fields, {xref 7.3.3.2}

Description: The ACLRecordSet is returned to indicate the results of a ACLInfo request."

CID 514: REJECT. The participation of the PNC DME is not required to respond to this command as required by the draft standard. Thus the .indication and .response primitives are not required in this instance.

CID 515: REJECT. The participation of the PNC DME is not required to respond to this command as required by the draft standard. Thus the .indication primitive is not required in this instance.

CID 516: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace the first sentence with 'The DME is informed of the PS mode change to ACTIVE.'

CID 588: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'PS mode' to be 'SPS and/or PSPS mode' and change this in figure 144, also on page 216 line 4, page 217 line 19 and page 281, line 13.

CID 593: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "number PS set structures" to "number of current PS sets", and "The PS set structure" to "Each PS set structure". Change 'Number of supported PS sets' to be 'Maximum Supported PS Sets' in Figure 92 and the following text. Also replace where it occurs in clause 8. Add a new field, "Number of Current PS Sets" with definition, "The Number of Current PS Sets field is a count of the number of PS set structures in this command as well as the number of currently active PS sets in the piconet."

Recessed for dinner at 5:30 pm EST.

Meeting called to order at 6:41 pm EST

CID 824 - ACCEPT. Renumber 18.x as 17.x and update the rest of the numbers in the table accordingly.

CID 138 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 298.

CID 298 - ACCEPT

CID 719 - ACCEPT

CID 394 - PM renaming, table resolve after CID 509

CID 388 - Table, is there another way to do this.

CID 91 - Table, Gilb to write interoperability text

CID 154 - Table, Reject using old text, JPKG to do this.

CID 237 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add parameter to MLME-CREATE-ASIE.request:"ASIE-index", integer type, range is application specific, definition: 'Used to uniquely identify an ASIE.'

CID 168 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 237.

CID 238 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add parameter to MLME-CREATE-ASIE.confirm: "ASIE-index" (note type, range and definition defined in CID 237.)

CID 169 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 238.

CID 170 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add the ASIE index to the MLME's as indicated in CIDs 237 and 238.

CID 173 - Withdrawn, 13 January 2003.

CID 816 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This field is no longer used (and hasn't existed for at least 3 drafts). Delete the sentences "If the application data identifier field was set to "0" in the request, the MAC shall assign a new application data identifier that is different from that assigned to other current ASIEs. The "0" value application data identifier shall not be assigned to any ASIE. If the requested application data identifier belongs to an existing ASIE, the MAC shall modify the persistence of that ASIE, and reply with the same application data identifier in the indicate. If the repeat field an existing ASIE is set to "0", the PNC shall terminate the existing ASIE."

CID 297 - ACCEPT.

CID 125 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 297.

CID 401 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 297.

CID 403 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. After a DEV gains membership in the piconet, i.e. after it associates if authentication is not required or after it authenticates if authentication is required, the PNC broadcasts the PNC info command that contains not only the DEVID and DEV addresses of every DEV in the piconet, it also contains their capabilities. The complete list of DEVs in the piconet might make the beacon too long, so the standard uses the broadcast of the PNC info command, which can be fragmented, to communicate the list of DEVs in the piconet. This is described in 8.3.3. No change is required for the draft because this functionality is already provided.

CID 404 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "Change the "Valid range" of "ResultCode" as follows: SUCCESS, TIMEOUT. Change the corresponding "Description" to 'Indicates if the primitive completed successfully or timed out.' In line 47, change "the result of the attempted association" to 'the reason why the attempted association failed as indicated in the association response command or indicates that the association was successful.'

CID 406 - REJECT. The list of active DEVs in the piconet is passed to the DME via the MLME-PNC-INFO.confirm, see also the resolution of CID 403. This MLME is used to notify DEVs that are already in the piconet that a new DEV has joined. The DEVs that are already in the piconet should already have the membership information, if not they can request in a directed frame from the PNC using the PNC Info Request command.

CID 555 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This IE is only used to notify the existing members of the piconet about a new member that has just joined. DEVs that join the piconet after this DEV will find out about the existing DEVs in the piconet when the PNC broadcasts the PNC Info command after the new DEV joins the piconet. See also the resolution of CID 403. No change required for the draft since the requested capability is provided by the PNC Info command.

CID 453 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In Figure 49 change "Capabilities" to "Overall Capabilities" and in lines 14-15 change "The capabilities" to "the Overall Capabilities"

CID 627 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the name to mAssocRespConfirmTime which is defined in 8.15, Table 64.

CID 629 - REJECT. The PNC info command provides the requested functionality as described in 8.3.3. Thus the DEV association IE does not need to be expanded. See also the resolution of CID 403.

CID 75 - ACCEPT.

CID 630 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'ack with' to 'Imm-ACK with'. (2 places) The association IE is sufficient for this process as the PNC info command will be used to update the new DEV with the complete membership in the piconet as described in 8.3.3. See also the resolution of CID 403.

CID 634 - REJECT. The association IE serves two purposes. The first is to tell other DEVs in the piconet that a new DEV has joined. The second, perhaps more important purpose is that this IE is used to complete the association process for the requesting DEV. When the DEV receives this IE in the beacon, it knows that it has successfully associated.

CID 643 - ACCEPT.

CID 642 - REJECT. DEVs that remain associated already know the members of the piconet (or they can find out by requesting this information from the PNC with the PNC info command). They do need to know when a DEV is disassociated and the association IE provides this information.

CID 644 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "ack" and "ACK" to "Imm-ACK", and "ASSOCIATE-INFO" to "ASSOCIATION-INFO" As indicated in the resolution of CID 642, the association IE is sufficient to

inform the DEVs in the piconet that a DEV has disassociated from the piconet. See also the resolution of CID 403.

CID 42 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Define mAssocRespConfirmTime to be $4 * mMaxSuperframeDuration$.

CID 314 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 42.

CID 142 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 42.

CID 378 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 42.

CID 256 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 42.

CID 218 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 42.

CID 155 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 42.

CID 228 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 42.

CID 92 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 42.

CID 712 - REJECT. The source DEV finds out information about the CTA in channel time request process. Some of the information is sent by the source to the PNC with the channel time request command and some of the information is passed back by the PNC to the source DEV with the channel time response command. The only DEV not involved in the negotiation is the destination and so it is the only intended target of this information element.

CID 77 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'If the CAP is present in the superframe, ...' to be 'If the CAP is present in the superframe and the PNC allows data in the CAP, ...'.

CID 146 - ACCEPT.

CID 279 - ACCEPT.

CID 291 - ACCEPT.

CID 126 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 291.

CID 277 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve as indicated in CID 291.

CID 650 - ACCEPT. See also CID 291.

CID 493 - REJECT. The MAC/MLME does not perform any measurements, rather the DME responds via MLME-CHANNEL-STATUS.response primitive with the numbers that it has been collecting over a previous measurement window size.

CID 492 - REJECT. These parameters are not coming from the requestor, rather the DME is keeping track of the channel status so that it can compute channel time requests and to determine which PHY data rates to use.

CID 554 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change to 'The stream index, 7.2.5, indicates the stream corresponding to the channel time allocation.'

CID 561 - ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "about certain characteristics of the CTAs" to "of certain characteristics of a CTA". An allocated CTA would be an allocated channel time allocation, which would be redundant.

CID 476 - Tabled, M. Schrader to write a definition for SPS and ACTIVE CTAs

2.4.1 Waking up HIBERNATE mode DEVS

PM/Wakeup CID 262, CID 98, CID 384, CID 224, CID 234, CID 320, CID 161, CID 99, CID 235, CID 385, CID 321, CID 225, CID 162, CID 263, CID 255, CID 260, CID 382, CID 318, CID 96, CID 222, CID 232, CID 159, CID 97, CID 319, CID 261, CID 160, CID 233, CID 223, CID 383, CID 100, CID 386, CID 322, CID 163, CID 236, CID 226

Allow DEV to request CTAs with HIBERNATE DEV. PNC allows or rejects and responds with the channel time response command but doesn't allocate until the HIBERNATE DEV changes mode to ACTIVE. If it accepted, use Reason Code "Success, target DEV in HIBERNATE mode" When the DEV wakes up, begin allocating the CTAs as normal with a CTA status IE to notify people.

3. Text for resolutions

PM/Wakeup CID 262, CID 98, CID 384, CID 224, CID 234, CID 320, CID 161, CID 99, CID 235, CID 385, CID 321, CID 225, CID 162, CID 263, CID 255, CID 260, CID 382, CID 318, CID 96, CID 222, CID 232, CID 159, CID 97, CID 319, CID 261, CID 160, CID 233, CID 223, CID 383, CID 100, CID 386, CID 322, CID 163, CID 236, CID 226

Allow DEV to request CTAs with HIBERNATE DEV. PNC allows or rejects and responds with the channel time response command but doesn't allocate until the HIBERNATE DEV changes mode to ACTIVE. If it accepted, use Reason Code "Success, target DEV in HIBERNATE mode" When the DEV wakes up, begin allocating the CTAs as normal with a CTA status IE to notify people.

Attempt at merged text for requesting channel time with either an SPS DEV or a HIBERNATE DEV.

8.5.1.1

(new text)

If the target DEV is in either SPS or HIBERNATE mode and the PNC grants the channel time request, the PNC shall set the Reason Code in the Channel Time Response command to "Success, DEV in PS mode." The PNC shall place the PCTM IE in the beacon with a bit set for the target DEV, 7.4.8.

When the Target DEV in HIBERNATE or SPS mode receives a beacon with its bit set in the PCTM IE, it shall send a PS mode change command to the PNC. If the DEV wants to remain in a power save mode it shall set the PS mode field in the PS mode change command to the appropriate value, either 'PS' or 'HIBERNATE'. The PNC shall then terminate the stream, 8.5.1.3.

If the power save DEV wishes to listen to the new allocation, it shall set the PS mode field in the PS mode change command to 'ACTIVE'. The PNC shall then begin allocating the channel time in the beacon for the stream. The PNC shall no longer set the bits for the DEV in the PS status IEs.

If the PNC does not receive the PS change command from the power save DEV within a timeout determined by the PNC, the PNC shall terminate the channel time request, 8.5.1.3, and unset the power save DEV's bit in the PCTM IE.

If the Target DEV is SPS mode, after the PNC sets the SPS DEV's bit in the PCTM IE the PNC shall provide in the SPS DEV's next wake superframe a CTA with the SPS DEV as the source and the PNC as the destination that is long enough to handle a PS change command and a channel time request command with 4 isochronous CTRBs. This allows the SPS DEV to request a change to one of the current channel time allocations, to request new channel time or to request that a channel time allocation be terminated.

8.5.2.1

Same as above, but only for HIBERNATE DEV since async slots are aligned to the SPS wake beacons.

(new text)

If the target DEV is in either HIBERNATE mode and the PNC grants the channel time request, the PNC shall set the Reason Code in the Channel Time Response command to "Success, DEV in PS mode." The PNC shall place the PCTM IE in the beacon with a bit set for the target DEV, 7.4.8.

When the Target DEV in HIBERNATE mode receives a beacon with its bit set in the PCTM IE, it shall send a PS mode change command to the PNC. If the DEV wants to remain in HIBERNATE mode it shall set the PS mode field in the PS mode change command to 'HIBERNATE'. The PNC shall then terminate the stream, 8.5.1.3.

If the power save DEV wishes to listen to the new allocation, it shall set the PS mode field in the PS mode change command to 'ACTIVE'. The PNC shall then begin allocating the channel time in the beacon for the stream. The PNC shall no longer set the bits for the DEV in the PS status IEs.

If the PNC does not receive the PS change command from the HIBERNATE DEV within a timeout determined by the PNC, the PNC shall terminate the channel time request, 8.5.1.3, and unset the DEV's bit in the PCTM IE.

4. Status summary

4.1 Status at opening of Ft. Lauderdale

Table 1—Ballot resolution at opening of Ft. Lauderdale meeting

Type	SB1
T (technical)	447
E (editorial)	379
Total	826

4.2 Running status at Ft. Lauderdale

Table 2—Ballot resolution at opening of Ft. Lauderdale meeting

Type	SB1	10 pm, 1/13/03	10 pm, 1/14/03	6 pm, 1/15/03	10 pm, 1/16/03
T (technical)	447	361	225		
E (editorial)	379	378	378		
Total remaining	826	739	603		
Total resolved/day	N/A	87	136		

4.3 Status at closing in Ft. Lauderdale

Table 3—Ballot resolution as of close of Ft. Lauderdale meeting

Type	SB1	SB1 (after resolution)	Unresolved as of 17 January, 2002
T (technical)	447		
E (editorial)	379		
Total	826		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54