

July 2001

doc.: IEEE 802.RR-01/012 r0

**[Draft Comments for NPRM OET Docket No. 99-231  
July 15, 2001]**

## Standards Working Group IEEE 802

Local and Metropolitan Area Network Standards Committee  
Homepage at <http://ieee802.org/>



August [25], 2001

To: Magalie Roman Salas, Esquire,  
Office of Secretary,  
Federal Communications Commission,  
445 12th Street, SW,  
Washington, DC 20554

Reply to: Vic Hayes, Regulatory Ombudsman, IEEE 802  
Agere Systems Nederland B.V.  
Zadelstede 1-10  
3431 JZ Nieuwegein, the Netherlands  
phone: +31 30 609 7528  
fax: +31 30 609 7498  
e-mail: v.hayes@ieee.org

From: Vic Hayes,  
Regulatory Ombudsman, IEEE Project 802,  
C/o Agere Systems,  
1-10 Zadelstede  
3431 JZ Nieuwegein  
Phone: +31 30 609 7528  
Vichayes@agere.com

Dear Miss Magalie,

In response to the questions of the Federal Communications Commission (the "Commission") in the Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Order (the "Notice"), document FCC 01-158, in the proceedings of ET Docket No. 99-231 the IEEE project 802, the LAN/MAN Standards Committee (the "Committee") offer their comments.

The Committee welcomes the proposed actions of Commission to improve the sharing capabilities for spread spectrum devices, to permit new digital transmission technologies and to increase the 5.8 GHz band.

The Committee support, in principal, the improved sharing capability in the shape of the adaptive hopping proposal. However, they feel that waivers should be issued on those rules before the Order is released.

The Committee supports the introduction of the new digital transmission technologies, but note with concern that the rules as proposed do not provide sufficient qualifications to provide the necessary sharing capabilities.

## 1 Introduction of the Committee

The Committee operate under the rules of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) and the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE-SA). They are part of IEEE project 802, called the Local and Metropolitan Area Networks Standards Committee (LMSC). LMSC is sponsored by the IEEE Computer Society.

### 1.1 IEEE

The IEEE is a non-profit, technical professional association of more than 350,000 individual members in 150 countries.

Through its members, the IEEE is a leading authority in technical areas ranging from computer engineering, biomedical technology and telecommunications, to electric power, aerospace and consumer electronics, among others.

Through its technical publishing, conferences and consensus-based standards activities, the IEEE

- produces 30 percent of the world's published literature in electrical engineering, computers and control technology,
- holds annually more than 300 major conferences and
- has more than 800 active standards with 700 under development.

The IEEE is made up of 10 Regions, 36 Technical Societies, four Technical Councils, approximately 1,200 individual and joint Society chapters, and 300 Sections.

## 1.2 IEEE-SA

The IEEE Standards Association (IEEE-SA) is an international membership organization serving today's industries with a complete portfolio of standards programs.

The IEEE-SA has two governing bodies: the Board of Governors and the Standards Board. The Board of Governors is responsible for the policy, financial oversight and strategic direction for the Association including two very important documents:

- IEEE Standards Association Bylaws
- IEEE Standards Association Operations Manual

The Standards Board has the charge to implement and manage the standards process, such as approving projects.

IEEE-SA members - both individual and corporate - continue to set the pace for the development of standards products, technical reports and documentation that ensure sound engineering practices worldwide. Membership in the IEEE-SA demonstrates to industry, its regulatory bodies and to customers, strong support of an industry-led consensus process for the development of standards and operating procedures and guidelines that:

- facilitate trade and commerce
- create and expand markets
- increase competitiveness in industry
- foster quality design and manufacture
- safeguard against hazards

## 1.3 LMSC

IEEE Project 802, Local and Metropolitan Area Network (LAN/MAN) Standards Committee has the basic charter to develop and maintain networking standards and recommended practices, using an open and accredited process, and to enable and advocate them on a global basis.

LMSC was formed in February 1980 and has met at least three times per year as a Plenary body ever since that time. LMSC has grown from a participation of 500 individuals in the 90s till over 1000 individuals in the Plenary sessions in 2001.

Products of LMSC are the IEEE 802.3 or Ethernet standards, IEEE 802.5 or Token Ring standards and the IEEE 802.11 or Wi-Fi standards. They all have been adopted by the ISO/IEC Joint Technical Committee 1 (JTC1) as International standards.

---

**1.4 IEEE 802.11**

IEEE 802.11, the Standards Working Group for Wireless Local Area Networks, is responsible for developing Carrier Sense Multiple Access/Collision Avoidance (CSMA/CA) based Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) standards within LMSC. IEEE 802.11 was formed in July 1990 and has produced the ISO/IEC 8802-11:1999 (IEEE 802.11:1999) standard with two supplements. With supplement 802.11b, Manufacturers can build devices for operation at data rates of 11 million bits per second (11 Mbit/s) using radio at 2.4 GHz. These devices can be used in the home, the enterprise and at public places such as Conference areas, Hotels and Airports to surf the Internet or connect to the Enterprise Intranet.

With supplement 802.11a, devices can be built operating at between 6 Mbit/s and 54 Mbit/s using radio at 5 GHz.

This Committee is using its own product during its conferences 6 times a year. Some Radio Access Points, Radio PC cards in the laptops of the members, a file server and a fast Internet connection enable the members to work efficiently and paperless. At its May 2001 meeting, for instance, 350 members could get the documentation in a matter of seconds from the file server or from the Internet. Without the network, copies would have been ordered, distributed and collected, normally requiring a lead time of at least 4 hours if a high speed copy machine was available on premises, or 8 hours if the copies had to be ordered at a copy service.

This Committee has 5 projects, 1) to enhance to WLAN standard with improved Quality of Service capabilities, 2) to write a Recommended Practice for an Inter-Access Point Protocol, 3) an additional radio entity for higher than 20 Mbit/s data rates in the 2.4 GHz band, 4) to enhance the standard with Dynamic channel selection and transmit power control, and 5) to enhance the standard with improved security capabilities. A study group is proposing a project to arrive at a single global 5 GHz standard.

At the beginning of the July 2001 meeting, 802.11 has [200] members, [200] observers building membership. Those individuals are sponsored by [80] companies.

**1.5 IEEE 802.15**

IEEE 802.15, the Standards Working Group for Wireless Personal Area Networks, is responsible for developing Carrier Sense Multiple Access/Collision Avoidance (CSMA/CA) or other access method based standards for short distance wireless networks within LMSC. IEEE 802.15 was formed in [July 1999]. The group has four projects: 1) a WPAN standard for Bluetooth, 2) a co-existence guideline for license exempt devices, 3) a High rate WPAN standards and 4) a low rate WPAN standard.

At the beginning of the July 2001 meeting, 802.15 has [60] members, [60] observers building membership. Those individuals are sponsored by [40] companies.

**1.6 IEEE 802.16**

IEEE 802.16, the Standards Working Group for Broadband Wireless Access Networks (or Wireless Metropolitan Area Networks), is responsible for developing standards and recommended practices to support the development and deployment of fixed broadband wireless access systems. IEEE 802.16 first met in July 1999. The group has four projects: 1) 802.16, Air Interface for 10-66 GHz, 2) 802.16a, amendments to the MAC layer and an additional PHY layer for 2-11 GHz Licensed Frequencies, 3) 802.16b, amendments to the MAC layer and an additional PHY layer, License-Exempt Frequencies, with a focus on 5-6 GHz and 4) Recommended Practice for co-existence amongst 802.16 and 802.16a devices.

At the beginning of the July 2001 meeting, 802.16 had 137 members, 97 others eligible for membership. Those individuals were sponsored by over 120 companies.

---

## 2 Comments

### 2.1 Adaptive Hopping

The Committee applauds the Commissions initiative to reduce interference between Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum systems and other systems for the support of IEEE 801.11 and IEEE 802.15. The goal of the proposed modifications to FCC Part 15.247 requested by the Joint Petitioners<sup>1</sup> was, and is, to persuade the FCC to minimize the potential for unnecessary interference between occupants of the 2400-2483.5 MHz band in a way that is best for all its occupants, present and future, by seeking a modification of Part 15.247 of the FCC's rules to make the use of adaptive frequency hopping techniques practical and technically feasible in the 2400-2483.5 MHz band.

There are a number of major, distinct classes of communications devices presently operating in, or being targeted at that band: IEEE 802.11b/g, Bluetooth (IEEE 802.15.1), IEEE 802.15.3, IEEE 802.15.4, HomeRF, and some 2.4 GHz cordless phones, in addition to microwave ovens, which, while not communications devices, can present potentially significant sources of interference.

The intent of the Committee is to enable "low power, narrowband FH devices," such as Bluetooth to elect to reduce their number of hopping channels from the current minimum of 75 hopping frequencies to some reduced hopset of <75 by employing intelligent, adaptive hopping algorithms to significantly improve their ability to coexist with IEEE 802.11b/g, IEEE 802.15.3, IEEE 802.15.4, and other "static, wideband" systems, as well as eliminating problems with interference from microwave ovens.

The use of such intelligent, adaptive hopping algorithms will enable such frequency hopping devices to recognize the presence of, and intelligently avoid interference from and to, other occupants of the band.

Since there are large numbers of IEEE 802.11b devices already fielded (and those numbers will continue to grow rapidly by all projections), IEEE 802.11g and IEEE 802.15.3 and IEEE 802.15.4 will begin to be deployed in the relatively near future, and projections indicate that 10's to 100's of millions of Bluetooth devices will be fielded in the next couple of years, it is imperative that everything possible be done to enhance the ability of Bluetooth and those other occupants of the 2400-2483.5 MHz band to coexist.

#### 2.1.1 Earlier adoption of adaptive hopping and method therefore

As the Committee has shown above, there are already many devices in the field that deserve limitation of interference from hopping devices. The Commission, however, did NOT allow early adoption with Waivers, such as the Commission did for digital transmission systems. It would be advantageous if adaptive hopping could also be permitted under a waiver to the existing rules. This would allow Bluetooth implementers to proceed with interference avoidance measures without waiting for the full NPRM processing.

### 2.2 Digital Transmission Systems

In paragraph 15 to paragraph 18, the Commission discusses the introduction of Digital Transmission Systems. The Commission proposes in paragraph 16 to change the rules in the current spread spectrum bands at 915 MHz, 2.4 GHz and 5.7 GHz in such a way that that the new digital transmission system would be required to meet the same technical requirements as modified in this proceeding. The goal of the Commission to provide flexibility and certainty to promote the introduction of new and non-interfering products into the bands without the need for rules changes is whole heartedly supported. However, as shown in the following sections, the Commission needs to

---

<sup>1</sup> See paragraph 5 of the Notice, *Joint Petition For Clarification or, in the Alternative, Partial Reconsideration*, submitted on October 25, 2000, by 3Comm, Apple Computer, Cisco Systems, Dell Computer, IBM, Intel Corporation, Intersil, Lucent Technologies, Microsoft, Nokia Inc., Silicon Wave, Toshiba America Information Systems, and Texas Instruments.

---

---

include an additional rule to prevent the new digital transmission systems from causing unacceptable levels of interference.

### 2.2.1 Power spectral density levels of digital transmission systems

In paragraph 17 the Commission requests comment on whether digital transmission systems should be allowed the same power levels as direct sequence spread spectrum systems<sup>2</sup>.

The current direct sequence spread spectrum rules require the digital signal to be spread by a pseudo random code. Such operation has the characteristic that the power is spread over a wider frequency band than for normal modulations. The effect is that the power level density of the transmitted signal is remarkably lower than the total transmit power. All proposals in paragraph 15 of the Notice are based on digital transmission with the same characteristics. For instance, all modulations of the Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum specifications (1, 2, 5.5 and 11 Mbit/s data rate) in the IEEE 802.11 standard have, if they would use the full transmit power level of 30 dBm, a peak power spectral density of 20 dBm/MHz and a 23 dB bandwidth of 22 MHz.

If the current Commission's proposal for the new digital transmission systems with no more than the same power level limits as specified for direct sequence spread spectrum systems were adopted, then this would permit systems with a peak power spectral density of 8 dBm/3 kHz (that is equivalent to 33 dBm/MHz). A peak power spectral density of 33 dBm/MHz is 13 dB more than what is currently practiced for direct sequence spread spectrum systems. Accordingly, the proposed digital transmission systems would heavily interfere with all currently deployed direct sequence spreading systems.

To prevent new systems from causing unacceptable interference to those devices in the 2.45 GHz band, the Committee propose the Commission to introduce a new requirement in addition to the existing requirement of 8 dBm/3 kHz. The new requirement is to limit the peak power spectral density to use an additional limitation in dBm/MHz.

### 2.2.2 Alignment of the rules in 15.247 with the U-NII rules

The Commission seeks comment<sup>3</sup> on whether the same result would be achieved by amending the U-NII rules to include the 915 MHz and 2.4 GHz bands. The Committee supports this proposal, provided that the Commission expresses the power spectral density in the same unit (dBm/MHz) as in the U-NII rules and the level is specified comparable to the levels currently applied by devices approved through the Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum rules.

The Committee supports the proposal of the Commission to extend the upper limit of the U-NII band from 5.825 GHz to 5850 GHz. The Committee does not expect any detrimental impact of this change.

## 3 Summary

In summary, the Committee welcome the proposed actions of Commission to improve the sharing capabilities for spread spectrum devices with the adaptive hopping proposal, and would like to see the implementation be enable immediately by the means of waivers. The Committee also welcomes the new rules for digital transmission technologies, but would like to add a qualification to the rules that the spectral power density be specified in the units (dBm/MHz) and supports the proposal by the Commission to increase the 5.8 GHz band with 25 MHz.

---

<sup>2</sup> See beginning of paragraph 17 of the Notice: The rules for Part 15 spread spectrum systems limit maximum peak output power to 1 watt. In addition, the rules for direct sequence systems limit peak power spectral density conducted to the antenna to 8 dBm in any 3 kHz band during any time interval of continuous operation

<sup>3</sup> See paragraph 18 of the Notice

---

Respectfully,

James T. Carlo (jcarlo@ti.com)  
Chair, IEEE 802, LAN/MAN Standards  
Texas Instruments  
9208 Heatherdale Drive  
Dallas TX 75234

Vic Hayes (vichayes@agere.com)  
Regulatory Ombudsman, IEEE 802  
Agere Systems Nederland B.V.  
Zadelstede 1-10  
3431 JZ Nieuwegein, the Netherlands

cc: Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Commissioner Kevin J. Martin  
Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy  
Commissioner Michael J. Copps  
Commissioner Gloria Trastani  
Dale Hatfield  
Julius P. Knapp  
Neal L. McNeil  
Karen Rackley  
John A. Reed  
Anthony Serafin

Stuart J. Kerry, Chair, IEEE 802.11, WG for Wireless Local Area Networks  
Bob Heile, Chair, IEEE 802.15, WG for Wireless Personal Area Networks  
Roger B Marks, Chair, IEEE 802.16, WG for Broadband Wireless Access

Judy Gorman, IEEE, Standards Board  
Deborah Rudolph, IEEE, USA  
Dr. Ned Sauthof, IEEE, USA

---